Journal of Applied Ecology October 2008, Volume 45, Issue 5, Pages 1460–1467 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01537.x</u> © 2008 The Authors. Journal compilation © 2008 British Ecological Society



This is the pre-peer reviewed version of the following article, which has been published in final form at <a href="http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com">http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com</a>

# Demographic response of a population of white-chinned petrels *Procellaria aequinoctialis* to climate and longline fishery bycatch

Christophe Barbraud<sup>1,\*</sup>, Cédric Marteau<sup>1</sup>, Vincent Ridoux<sup>2</sup>, Karine Delord<sup>1</sup>, Henri Weimerskirch<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, UPR 1934 CNRS, 79360 Villiers en Bois, France; and <sup>2</sup> Centre de Recherche sur les Ecosystèmes Littoraux Anthropisés, UMR 6217 CNRS-IFREMER-Université La Rochelle, Avenue Michel Crépeau, 17042 La Rochelle, France

\*: Corresponding author : Christophe Barbraud, email address : barbraud@cebc.cnrs.fr

#### Abstract:

1 Fisheries can affect non-target species through bycatch, and climate change may act simultaneously on their population dynamics. Estimating the relative impact of fisheries and climate on non-target species remains a challenge for many populations because the spatio-temporal distribution of individuals remains poorly known and available demographic information is incomplete.

2 We used population survey data, capture–mark–recapture methods, population modelling and the demographic invariant method to investigate the effects of climate and fisheries on the demography of a predator species affected by bycatch. These complementary approaches were used to help account for different sources of uncertainty.

3 The white-chinned petrel Procellaria aequinoctialis is the commonest seabird species killed by longline fisheries in the Southern Ocean. Petrel breeding success was positively related to the fishing effort for Patagonian toothfish Dissosticus eleginoides. El Niño events negatively affected adult survival with a time lag of 3 years. Fishing efforts for toothfish and hake (Merluccius spp.) were negatively related to petrel recruitment, suggesting that fisheries-induced mortality strongly impacted younger age classes. Lambda estimated from matrix population models was below replacement (0.964  $\pm$  0.026), and the number of breeding pairs declined by  $\approx$  37% in 21 years. This decline was probably caused by low survival of both young age classes and adults.

4 The Crozet archipelago, Southern Indian Ocean, population size was estimated at  $\approx$  170 000 individuals in the early 1980s, and would be severely affected by any additional source of mortality that approached 8000 individuals per year. The number of petrels killed by the toothfish fishery alone exceeded this threshold during the late 1990s and early 2000s, but has declined well below this since 2003.

5 Synthesis and applications. Complementary approaches suggest that both longline fishery bycatch and climate have a significant impact on the size of the Southern Ocean white-chinned petrel population. Stopping or reversing climate change will be a very slow process, and may be impossible. Therefore, we recommend a reduction in bycatch to help the populations recover. Further information on the status of individuals caught in longlines is required to understand the demographic processes involved.

**Keywords:** survival; demographic invariants; El Niño; longline fishing; population model; *Procellaria aequinoctialis*; recruitment

# 1. Introduction

Increasing industrialization of fisheries and expansion into new areas has resulted in intense harvesting of fish stocks, alteration of the structure and functioning of marine ecosystems (Jackson *et al.* 2001; Pauly *et al.* 2002), and increasing numbers of marine vertebrates becoming entangled or hooked accidentally by fishing gear intended for commercial target species (Lewison *et al.* 2004). This bycatch has been implicated in population declines of several species of marine predators (Mangel 1993; Weimerskirch, Brothers & Jouventin 1997). Conservation measures such as seasonal closure or technical improvements have been implemented to minimize bycatch in several ocean regions (Kraus *et al.* 1997; Robertson *et al.* 2006). Despite this progress, the population-level and ecosystem effects of bycatch remain poorly understood.

Several studies worldwide have documented an impact of climate on population dynamics through local weather and large-scale climatic phenomena (Stenseth et al. 2002; Dulvy et al. 2008). Both climate and fisheries can affect the dynamics of marine predators, and effective management actions rely on an understanding of the respective magnitude of the populationlevel effect of these threats and their respective effects on specific life history stages. However, very few studies have disentangled the effects of fisheries and climate on vital rates of species affected by bycatch (Nel et al. 2003; Frederiksen et al. 2004, Rolland, Barbraud & Weimerskirch 2008), and the direct link between fisheries and observed population declines has been hard to establish (Guenette et al. 2006). Our understanding of the consequences of fisheries bycatch on non-target species has improved for marine megafauna (albatrosses, whales), but less charismatic species have received less attention, although some are caught in large numbers in fisheries and changes in their abundance may have important ecological consequences (Lewison et al. 2004). Efficient mitigation measures implemented to reduce bycatch often increase costs for fishing companies, which may prevent the implementation of the mitigating technology in the fisheries of developing nations (Lewison et al. 2004). In addition, funds allocated to reduce mortality for some non target species may be at the expense of other non target species with higher conservation concerns. In this context, bycatch can be considered as exploited populations (Lebreton 2005), and the theoretical basis for harvesting renewable resources can be utilised to explore the sustainability of the dynamics and interactions between natural mechanisms and bycatch. The logic here is that it might not be necessary to reduce bycatch to zero but to reduce by catch to a sustainable level relative to the dynamics of the population affected by bycatch (Williams et al. 2002).

The white-chinned petrel Procellaria aequinoctialis Linnaeus 1758 population is an interesting case study in the context of bycatch problems since it is by far the most common seabird species killed by fishing activities in the Southern Ocean (Robertson et al. 2006). Large numbers are killed in longline fisheries targeting Patagonian toothfish Dissosticus eleginoides Smitt 1898 (Nel, Ryan & Watkins 2002; Favero et al. 2003) and hake Merluccius spp. (Barnes, Ryan & Boix-Hinzen 1997). As many as 94% of seabirds estimated killed in the Kerguelen and Crozet archipelagos toothfish longline fisheries since 1997 were whitechinned petrels (Weimerskirch, Capdeville & Duhamel 2000; Delord et al. 2005). Although it is estimated that hundreds of thousands of pairs are breeding worldwide (Brooke 2004). detailed and complete surveys are lacking on the major breeding grounds. The species was moved from an IUCN listing of Lower Risk/Near Threatened to Vulnerable in 2000 (Birdlife International 2000) following recent declines observed at sea (Woehler 1996) and at a breeding colony in South Georgia (Berrow, Wood & Prince 2000), combined with tens of thousands of individuals caught in fisheries each year. These declines may be related to fishing activities around their breeding and non breeding grounds, but changes in demographic parameters in relation to climate fluctuations have never been investigated in this species. In addition, because breeding population sizes and demographic parameters are poorly known, and because there is little information on the at-sea distribution during the

non breeding season, it has been difficult to estimate the impact of fisheries related mortality on the dynamics of the white-chinned petrel population.

Here we use population survey data, capture-mark-recapture (CMR) methods, matrix population modelling and a method based on demographic invariants to investigate the effect of climate and fisheries on the demography of white-chinned petrels at Crozet archipelago, Southern Indian Ocean. The aims of this paper are: 1) to estimate the demographic parameters and to determine the relative impact of climate and fisheries on the vital rates using a 20-year dataset of life history data; 2) to develop a matrix population model allowing us to explore the impact of climate and fisheries on the population rate of increase ( $\lambda$ ); 3) to validate this model using population survey data; 4) to assess whether the fisheries-induced additional source of mortality is sustainable or not for this population; 5) to conduct population projections with different levels of fishing effort and climate change.

# 2. Methods

# SPECIES ECOLOGY, STUDY AREA AND FIELD DATA

White-chinned petrels nest in more of less aggregated burrows, forming relatively dense colonies (Brooke 2004). Visits to the colonies start before dusk and continue during the first part of the night. Birds return to colonies in September and lay their single egg in November. Chicks hatch in January and fledge in April. During breeding males and females alternate foraging trips at sea and feed on fish, krill and squid (Ridoux 1994; Catard, Weimerskirch & Cherel 2000).

Fieldwork was conducted at Possession Island (46°25'S, 51°45'E; c. 17x13 km), Crozet archipelago (Figure S1, Supplementary Material), between 1983 and 2004. Since 1985, 75 burrows have been inspected annually during breeding. Every year, all adult birds captured in the study burrows were marked with a stainless steel band during egg incubation, and all chicks were marked just before fledging. Two visits during the early incubation period (December), one visit at hatching (January) and one visit just before fledging (March) allowed each marked individual to be identified and its breeding success measured.

The total number of burrows and breeding pairs on Possession Island and the Crozet

archipelago were estimated in 1983 and 2004 (Appendix S1, Supplementary Material).

#### POPULATION MODELLING

We chose a simple age/stage structured matrix population model (Appendix S2, Supplementary Material) as this allowed us to assess the importance of the basic demographic parameters without going beyond the limits of the data. The resulting matrix model was a linear, time varying matrix **A** that provides the parameters for projecting a vector **n** that gives the numbers of individuals in each age/stage from time *t* to *t*+1 as  $\mathbf{n}_{t+1} = \mathbf{A}_t \mathbf{n}_t$  (Caswell 2001).

The matrix model was run with various values of first year survival, starting with the one estimated from return rates, to find the value that provided the best fit to the data. Secondly, we performed a sensitivity analysis of the population growth rate,  $\lambda$ , to changes in the lower level demographic parameters using arcsin scaled variance-stabilized sensitivities (Link & Doherty 2002). Thirdly, a life table response experiment (Caswell 2001) was performed to quantify the contribution of the vital rates for which we had enough data to estimate their temporal variation to variability in  $\lambda$  (Appendix S3, Supplementary Material). Finally, a stochastic matrix model was built using the relationships between vital rates and covariates to predict population growth under various scenarios of environmental change.

#### ESTIMATING BREEDING PARAMETERS AND JUVENILE SURVIVAL

Annual breeding success was estimated from the sample of 75 marked burrows as the proportion of eggs that fledged a chick. Age at first breeding was estimated from known aged individuals ringed as chicks that were observed breeding at the colony for the first time. Because petrels stay at sea during their first years of life, no CMR data is available for estimating juvenile and immature survival. The annual survival during the first years at sea was calculated to give the mean return rate observed in the study colony to four years, the minimum age at first breeding observed (Appendix S2, Supplementary Material; Results).

#### ESTIMATING ADULT SURVIVAL AND RECRUITMENT

Field observations indicate that very few non breeders were observed at the colony and that some individuals absent on the colony during a given year were seen again during the next or following breeding seasons. This is equivalent to temporary emigration from the study area which can be modelled using an unobservable state (Kendall & Nichols 2002). We thus defined two states: B, breeder and NB, non breeder and estimated adult annual survival, transition and recapture probabilities with multistate CMR models (Appendix S4, Supplementary Material).

The recruitment probability was estimated using the CMR methodology developed by Pradel (1996) (Appendix S4, Supplementary Material). The same recapture histories were used as for estimating survival but with only one state (B), as these models have not been developed for a multistate approach. Consequently, the parameters estimated were the probability that an individual breeding in a given year had not bred previously, and the recapture probability.

Survival and recruitment were estimated from a sample size of 248 individual capture histories. Recruitment was not estimated during the first two years of the study when the study colony was settled.

# CLIMATE AND FISHERIES COVARIATES

Climatic fluctuations are often suspected of affecting populations through an indirect mechanism, where climate first affects primary production, this disturbance then being integrated along the trophic web up to predators (Frederiksen et al. 2004). In marine ecosystems, abundance data of prey consumed by predators are seldom available due to logistic constraints for sampling. We used proxies of the biotic production in the foraging zones which are strongly involved in controlling the quality of the physico-chemical environment available for the primary production (Wilson & Adamec 2002). Two factors believed to have consequences for the white-chinned petrel demographic parameters were fitted as covariates: 1) sea surface temperature anomalies (SSTA); and 2) southern oscillation index (SOI). SOI is closely associated to El Niño and provides an index of oceanographic and climatic conditions over a large spatial scale, which is associated with changes in marine food webs (Comiso et al. 1993) and population dynamics (Barbraud & Weimerskirch 2003). SSTA influences seabird breeding ecology but at a smaller spatial scale than SOI (Croxall, Trathan & Murphy 2002). Using ring recoveries, diet and radio-tracking data on white-chinned petrels from Possession Island (Ridoux 1994: Weimerskirch et al. 1999; Catard et al. 2000) we restricted SSTA to the geographical regions frequented by petrels during specific time periods of the year (Appendix S5, Figure S1, Supplementary Material). Since portions of the oceanographic anomalies associated with the SOI follow the eastward hemispheric course of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current and reach the western South Indian Ocean 3-4 years later (Xie et al. 2002), we investigated the effects of the SOI of the current year and the SOI with a lag of 3 and 4 years (SOI<sub>3</sub> and SOI<sub>4</sub>) as covariates.

White-chinned petrels are potentially vulnerable to encounters with longline vessels fishing for toothfish in the immediate vicinity of their breeding sites at Crozet, and for hake around coastal shelf-edge habitats of South Africa (Weimerskirch *et al.* 1999). We tested for effects of longline fishing efforts targeting toothfish and hake on demographic parameters. Effort data were extracted from several sources (Appendix S5, Figure S1, Supplementary Material) and were expressed as numbers of hooks set. We also tested for the effect of the minimum bycatch estimate (Appendix S5, Supplementary Material) on survival and recruitment. Recruitment of breeders at the colony being an age dependent process we tested the effect of fisheries covariates on recruitment with a lag of 1 and 2 years, i.e. for each covariate we tested the effect of the covariate in year *t*-1 and *t*-2 on recruitment in year *t*.

Since breeding individuals are known to catch bait while following vessels targeting toothfish (Catard *et al.* 2000), we predicted a positive effect of fishing effort for toothfish on breeding success. Given the large numbers of white-chinned petrels killed in fisheries, and the mean age of individuals found dead in longline vessels from both hake and toothfish fisheries (~4 years, n = 6, H. Weimerskirch & D. Besson unpublished data), we predicted a negative effect of fishing effort and bycatch estimate on adult survival and recruitment. We had no *a priori* prediction on the effect of climatic covariates on demographic parameters.

#### DEMOGRAPHIC INVARIANT METHOD

Although matrix population models are useful to assess the importance of covariates on the population dynamics it is seldom possible to account for all possible sources of uncertainty. We therefore used the demographic invariant method (Niel & Lebreton 2005) as an alternative modelling approach to assess the impact of bycatch (Appendix S6, Supplementary Material).

All estimates reported in the text are  $\pm$  one standard error (SE).

# 3. Results

#### **BREEDING POPULATION SIZE**

In 1983, 9582 burrows were counted giving an estimated number of 7707 breeding pairs. In 2004, the number of burrows counted was 7531, and the number of breeding pairs was estimated at 5321. Burrow detection probability (Appendix S1, Supplementary Material) was estimated in 2004 at  $0.92 \pm 0.02$  [Cl<sub>95</sub>: 0.88-0.95]. Assuming a constant detection probability, the number of breeding pairs was estimated at 8377 (Cl<sub>95</sub>: 8020 – 8733) and 5783 (Cl<sub>95</sub>: 5538 – 6028) in 1983 and 2004 respectively. The number of breeding pairs declined by 37.1% in 21 years, corresponding to a  $\lambda$  of 0.983  $\pm$  0.001 (i.e. an average rate of decline of 1.76% per year), lower than 1 (*z* = 12.16, *P* < 0.001).

#### **BREEDING SUCCESS**

The mean breeding success during the period 1986-2004 was 38.2% ( $\pm$  4.2). Breeding success increased nonlinearly during the study period (Table 1), varying around an average of 51.4% since 1995 (Figure 1). None of the climate covariates were found to affect breeding success (Table 1). Fishing effort for toothfish had a positive effect on breeding success (Table 1). The generalized linear mixed effect model including the effects of these significant variables explained 68.5% of the variance in breeding success. The random effect for burrow identity accounted for 11.8% of the total variance in breeding success (calculated as the sum of the burrow identity, year and residual variance components).

# AGE AT FIRST BREEDING AND JUVENILE SURVIVAL

The mean observed age at first breeding was 6.1 years ( $\pm$  0.3, n = 16, min = 4, max = 9). Most (87.5%) individuals were observed breeding for the first time between 4 and 7 years of age. Only 16 (4%) of the 401 chicks ringed since 1986 were observed as breeding recruits in the colony. Excluding the last 7 years of the study for which the recruitment process was not terminated, the average return rate was 9%, and the average annual juvenile survival was low and estimated at 39.3% with a low precision (SE = 29.2%).

# ADULT SURVIVAL

The GOF test indicated that the Jolly-Move model fitted the data satisfactorily ( $\chi^2_{31} = 17.58$ , P = 0.97). Modelling the recapture probability indicated that it was constant across years (Table S1, Supplementary Material), and high ( $0.826 \pm 0.057$ , Cl<sub>95</sub>: 0.686 - 0.911). Transition probabilities varied according to state but not year. Local survival of adults was estimated at  $0.895 \pm 0.019$  (Cl<sub>95</sub>: 0.851 - 0.928). Transitions between states were not random. Non breeders in year *t* were less likely to become breeders in year *t*+1 ( $0.178 \pm 0.058$ ) than breeders in year *t* ( $0.766 \pm 0.042$ ).

Only SOI<sub>-3</sub> was related to the probability of adult survival (Table 2), and explained 73.3% of its variability. Adult survival was positively related to SOI<sub>-3</sub> (Figure 2), indicating that adult survival decreased three years after El Niño events. The effect of SOI<sub>-3</sub> was strong, the *F*-test remaining significant after correction of the *P* value using the Bonferoni method.

# RECRUITMENT

The GOF test of the more general model  $p_t \gamma_t$ , where *p* and  $\gamma$  are respectively the capture and seniority (1-recruitment) probabilities, was significant ( $\chi_{63}^2 = 136.33$ , *P* <0.001). The lack of fit was due to Test 2.Ct ( $\chi_{16}^2 = 83.15$ , *P* < 0.001), indicating that individuals captured in year *t* were more likely to be captured in year *t*+1 than those not captured in year *t*. Following Lebreton *et al.* (1992) we made use of the overdispersion factor ( $\hat{c} = 2.164$ ).

Model selection indicated that recapture probability was constant and recruitment time dependent. As expected, fisheries covariates negatively affected recruitment probability in year *t*, but also in year *t*+1 and *t*+2 (Table S2, Supplementary Material). Most important was the effect of the toothfish fishery with a lag of one year, which remained significant using a Bonferoni corrected *P* value, and which explained 53.2% of the variability in recruitment. The number of individuals killed also negatively affected the recruitment probability in year *t*+1 and *t*+2 (Table S2, Supplementary Material), and this effect remained nearly significant after using the Bonferoni method.

#### POPULATION MODELLING

The asymptotic properties of the matrix model with time-varying parameters were used for the starting age distribution of the simulation model. With a juvenile survival of 0.393, the predicted  $\lambda$  over the study period was 0.907  $\pm$  0.026, lower than 1 (z = 3.58, P < 0.001). True juvenile survival must be much higher since we did not take into account the probability of detecting returning birds and of permanent emigration, and only a small part of the entire population was monitored. The best fit to the observed  $\lambda$  was obtained for a value of juvenile survival of 0.7. The sensitivity analysis of  $\lambda$  to demographic parameters showed that it is

mainly sensitive to adult survival which showed the most important contribution to the variance of  $\lambda$  (Table S3, Supplementary Material).

Incorporating the improvement in breeding success observed since 1995 in the population model and keeping other parameter values and their variance constant, achieved  $\lambda \approx 1$ . Using the mean values of SOI, toothfish and hake fishing efforts during the last three years of the study achieved  $\lambda = 0.99$ , indicating that the population is still declining at a slow rate of 1% per year. The stochastic model indicated that the projected mean  $\lambda$  was strongly dependent both on variations in the mean and variability of SOI.<sub>3</sub> and fisheries activities (Figure 3). When fisheries are operating,  $\lambda$  is more sensitive to a decrease of the mean or to an increase of the variance of SOI.<sub>3</sub>. It is likely that if the fisheries continue to operate at current levels the population will probably not recover unless SOI.<sub>3</sub> increases importantly. If the fisheries continue to operate and SOI.<sub>3</sub> decreases it is likely that the population will decrease dramatically. A doubling of fishing efforts would strongly impact  $\lambda$  for decreasing, but not increasing, values of SOI.<sub>3</sub>.

#### POTENTIAL EXCESS GROWTH

Using the estimated average age at first breeding (6.1) and adult annual survival (0.895), we obtained  $\lambda_{max} = 1.094$ . On Possession Island burrows were only found on 5° to 30° vegetated slopes at a maximum elevation of 200 metres above sea level, and average density was 0.0714 breeding pairs/100 m<sup>2</sup>. The estimated surface area favourable for petrels on the other islands of the Crozet archipelago was about  $25.10^6$  m<sup>2</sup>, yielding 23 600 (min 9800; max 36 800) breeding pairs. Based on the matrix population model, the population size of the entire archipelago was estimated at 106 700 individuals (min 44 300; max 166 300). Taking into account the decline of the population of 37% in 21 years, the population size in the early 1980s was 169 300 individuals (min 70 300; max 264 000). Given these numbers, any additional source of mortality that approaches 8000 (min 3300; max 12 500) individuals will be a source of serious concern for this population.

The minimum estimates of the numbers of white-chinned petrels killed by the toothfish fishery around the Crozet archipelago exceeded 8000 individuals in 1997, 1999, 2000 and 2001 (Figure 4), but numbers killed have declined below 8000 since 2003. Using the most conservative approach, the numbers killed still exceeded 12 500 in 2000 and 2001.

# 4. Discussion

This study is among the first to use simultaneously several modelling approaches to examine the effects of climate and fisheries on the population dynamics of a marine vertebrate. Results suggest that the breeding population size of the most frequently accidentally killed seabird species in the Southern Ocean has decreased by  $\approx$ 37% in 21 years at one of its main breeding locality. This adds to a growing body of evidence for declining populations of white-chinned petrels with a 28% decline of occupied burrows at Bird Island, South Georgia between 1978 and 1998 (Berrow *et al.* 2000), and a 86% decline of at-sea densities in the Prydz Bay area between 1980 and 1992 (Woehler 1996). There is strong inference for this decreasing trend at Crozet since both approaches used to estimate  $\lambda$  (direct survey, matrix modelling) led to a  $\lambda$  lower than 1. Climate and longline fisheries probably contributed to the population decline, highlighting the complexity of the impact of global changes on animal populations and the fact that fisheries, oceanographic and climate conditions act in combination to influence seabird demography.

The negative effects of fishing effort and bycatch estimates on recruitment probability suggest a negative effect on the number of recruits through juvenile and immature survival. This coincides well with the mean age of birds found dead in longline vessels targeting

toothfish (~4 years), and by the very low numbers of ringed chicks recruited in the study population, although this could partly reflect some emigration to other areas. Higher vulnerability of younger individuals to fishing gear has been observed in other seabird species (Murray *et al.* 1993; Gales, Brothers & Reid 1998; Bregnballe & Frederiksen 2006). Younger birds may i) spend more time in areas with high longlining efforts than adult birds, ii) be less efficient foragers than adults and may therefore attempt to fish behind vessels more frequently, iii) be more hungry than adults and take more risks behind vessels, iv) be less experienced than adults in foraging behind vessels without getting hooked.

Adult survival was relatively lower than in the closely related Cory's shearwater Calonectris diomedea Scopoli 1769 (0.927, Mougin, Jouanin & Roux 2000) and Westland petrel Procellaria westlandica Falla 1946 (0.965, Waugh et al. 2006). This may reflect permanent emigration from the study colony (which we were not able to estimate), or species to species variation. Contrary to our prediction we did not detect an effect of fishing effort on adult survival. It is possible that our assumption of a fishing effort proportional to the bycatch proportion of the population was false. This may be the case if mitigation measures to reduce incidental mortality exist, or if the relationship between fishing effort and bycatch mortality is not linear with a threshold value attained at high fishing efforts. However, we did not find a significant relationship between numbers caught and adult survival, mitigation measures have only been systematically implemented since 2001 (Delord et al. 2005), and as explained above and coherent with this finding, few adults died by getting caught in longlines. Adult mortality decreased three years after El Niño events. This result adds to a growing body of evidence that large scale environmental conditions influence the demography of marine predators (e.g. Thompson & Ollason 2001; Votier et al. 2005). El Niño conditions are frequently associated with warmer sea surface temperature in the Crozet basin with a lag (Xie et al. 2002), which negatively affect primary production through a limitation of surface mixing reducing the upward nutrient supply (Sedwick et al. 2002; Behrenfeld et al. 2006), and may therefore affect petrels indirectly by altering food availability. There is recent evidence for a propagating mode of SOI evolving eastward from the eastern Pacific into the southern Atlantic basin (Tourre & White 2005), with warm SST occurring in the south Atlantic about 2.5 years after El Niño events and affecting the demographic performances of Antarctic fur seals Arctocephalus gazella Peters 1875 at South Georgia (Forcada et al. 2005). Some climate models forced by future greenhouse warming suggest an increased El Niño frequency and intensity (Merryfield 2006), and our prospective study suggests that an increase in the intensity or the variability of El Niño events may have negative impacts on the populations of white-chinned petrels.

Breeding success increased during the study period, but this increase may only concern the study colony where a rat control programme has been conducted since the late 1980s (Jouventin, Bried & Micol 2003). Breeding success is still probably low elsewhere where no rat control has been undertaken. Residual variations of breeding success around the increasing trend were due to fluctuations in fisheries activities, which positively affected breeding success. This is in accordance with studies on the foraging ecology of breeding white-chinned petrels at Possession Island. In 1997, remains of Patagonian toothfish were recorded in 40% of stomach samples collected after foraging trips, and prevs associated with fisheries (bait, toothfish) were present in 70% of the chick's food samples (Catard et al. 2000). No fishery waste was found between 1981 and 1983 within the same population (Ridoux 1994) when no longline fishery was active. Positive relationships between breeding success and fishery activities are known from other seabird species (e.g. Oro 1999; Tuck et al. 2001). This may indicate that some species directly benefit from offal resulting from increased fishing activities, although a purely correlative pattern cannot be excluded. Nevertheless, given the small contribution of breeding success to  $\lambda$ , the effect of fisheries through breeding success is small, and might even be negative on a long term scale if fish stocks are overexploited and the structure of food webs is affected.

Although our results suggest an effect of climate on the dynamics of this petrel population, there was still some uncertainty for an effect of fisheries originating from the fact that immature survival could not be estimated from CMR data and the effect of fishing effort on

this parameter could not be directly tested. Further evidence for a negative effect of mortality in fisheries on the population dynamics comes from the demographic invariant method. Numbers killed by just the toothfish fishery exceeded the potential excess growth during several years, indicating that this fishery negatively impacted the population. These are conservative figures since we could only estimate the numbers killed by the toothfish fishery, the total numbers killed being underestimated. The potential excess growth is very sensitive to the value of  $\beta$  which was not estimated in our study but taken from Wade (1998). In the future the value of  $\beta$  could be increased (decreased) to adjust the potential excess growth if the population increases (decreases) while still experiencing a known level of incidental mortality. The decrease in the numbers killed since 2002 probably reflects the effect and improvement of recent conservation measures including seasonal closure, streamer lines and line weighting (Delord *et al.* 2005).

To conclude, our results suggest that both climate fluctuations and fisheries affected the population dynamics of white-chinned petrels. Because of the diversity and plasticity of the foraging strategies of marine top predators at the species and population levels (Weimerskirch 2007), more studies disentangling the effects of climate and fisheries on their dynamics are needed to understand the underlying processes. Better knowledge on the status (e.g. sex, age) and at sea distribution of individuals caught in longlines is needed to facilitate our understanding of the demographic processes involved. This additional information could be modelled together with demographic and bycatch data using Bayesian integrated population modelling that would allow efficient use of information in the data and description of uncertainty (Punt *et al.* 2001, Maunder 2004). For white-chinned petrels, our and previous studies suggest that several actions (eradication of introduced predators, reducing bycatch) may help the populations to recover in the long term, depending on the future impact of climate.

# Acknowledgments

We thank D. Besson for data management, M. Balesteros for help during surveys, P. Frigola, C.-A. Bost, B. Lequette, C. Verheyden, C. Guinet, J.-M. Coquillat, M. Salamolard, F. Lagarde, C. Boiteau, F. Cuenot-Chaillet, P. Lys, A. Catard, T. Lengagne, D. Aurès, T. Guionnet, J. Martin, G. Mabille, F. Bailleul, F. Pawlowski, P. Blanchard, M.-H. Burle, Y. Perrot, G. Dorémus for their contribution to the long term monitoring, G. Duhamel and fishing observers for their contribution in estimating the numbers of petrels killed. We thank M. Frederiksen and two anonymous reviewers for comments on the manuscript. The work was supported by SARPC, TAAF, IPEV (program n°109), REMIGE (ANR 2005 Biodiv-11).

# Supplementary material

The following supplementary material is available online from <u>www.Blackwell-Synergy.com</u>:

- Appendix S1. Estimating the number of breeding pairs.
- Appendix S2. Life cycle diagram and matrix population model.

**Appendix S3.** Estimating the contribution of the vital rates to variability in  $\lambda$ .

Appendix S4. Estimating adult survival and recruitment.

Appendix S5. Climatic and fisheries covariates.

Appendix S6. Estimating the maximum annual growth rate.

**Table S1.** Modelling probabilities of recapture, transition and survival.

Table S2. Modelling the impact of covariates on recruitment.

**Table S3.** Sensitivity of  $\lambda$  to demographic parameters.

Figure S1. Map of the study area.

# References

Barbraud, C. & Weimerskirch, H. (2003) Climate and density shape population dynamics of a marine top predator. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London B*, **270**, 2111-2116.

Barnes, K.N., Ryan, P.G. & Boix-Hinzen, C. (1997) The impact of the hake *Merluccius* spp. longline fishery off South Africa on Procellariiform seabirds. *Biological Conservation*, 82, 227-234.

Behrenfeld, M.J., O'Malley, R.T., Siegel, D.A., McClain, C.R., Sarmiento, J.L., Feldman, G.C., Milligan, A.J., Falkowski, P.G., Letelier, R.M. & Boss, E.S. (2006) Climate-driven trends in contemporary ocean productivity. *Nature*, **444**, 752-755.

Berrow, S.D., Wood, A.G. & Prince, P.A. (2000) Foraging location and range of White-chinned Petrels *Procellaria aequinoctialis* breeding in the South Atlantic. *Journal of Avian Biology*, **31**, 303-311.

Birdlife International (2000). Threatened birds of the world. Birdlife and Lynx Editions, Barcelona, Spain.

Bregnballe, T. & Frederiksen, M. (2006) Net-entrapment of great cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo* sinensis in relation to individual age and population size. *Wildlife Biology*, **12**, 143-150.

Brooke, M. (2004) Albatrosses and Petrels across the world. Oxford University Press.

Caswell, H. (2001) Matrix Population Models. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.

Catard, A., Weimerskirch, H. & Cherel, Y. (2000) Exploitation of distant Antarctic waters and close shelf-break waters by white-chinned petrels rearing chicks. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **194**, 249-261.

Comiso, J.C., McClain, C.R., Sullivan, C.W., Ryan, J.P. & Leonard, C.L. (1993) Coastal zone color scanner pigment concentrations in the southern ocean and relationships to geophysical surface features. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **98**, 2419-2451.

Croxall, J.P., Trathan, P.N. & Murphy, E.J. (2002) Environmental change and Antarctic seabird populations. *Science*, **297**, 1510-1514.

Delord, K., Gasco, N., Weimerskirch, H., Barbraud, C. & Micol, T. (2005) Seabird mortality in the Patagonian toothfish longline fishery around Crozet and Kerguelen Islands, 2001-2003. *CCAMLR Science*, **12**, 53-80.

Dulvy, N.K., Rogers, S.I., Jennings, S., Stelzenmüller, V., Rye, S.R. & Skjoldal, H.R. (2008). Climate change and deepening of the North Sea fish assemblage: a biotic indicator of warming seas. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01488.x.

Favero, M., Khatchikian, C.E., Arias, A., Rodriges, P., Canete, G. & Mariano-Jelicich, R. (2003) Estimates of seabird by-catch along the Patagonian Shelf by Argentine longline fishing vessels, 1999-2001. *Bird Conservation International*, **13**, 273-281.

Forcada, J., Trathan, P.N., Reid, K. & Murphy, E.J. (2005) The effects of global climate variability in pup production of Antarctic fur seals. *Ecology*, **86**, 2408-2417.

Frederiksen, M., Wanless, S., Harris, M.P., Rothery, P. & Wilson, L.J. (2004) The role of industrial fisheries and oceanographic change in the decline of North Sea black-legged kittiwakes. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **41**, 1129-1139.

Gales, R., Brothers, N. & Reid, T. (1998) Seabird mortality in the Japanese tuna longline fishery around Australia, 1988-1995. *Biological Conservation*, **86**, 37-56.

Guenette, S., Heymans, S. J. J., Christensen, V. & Trites, A. W. (2006) Ecosystem models show combined effects of fishing, predation, competition, and ocean productivity on Steller sea lions (*Eumetopias jubatus*) in Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, **63**, 2495-2517.

Jackson, J.B.C., et al. 2001. Historical overfishing and the recent collapse of coastal ecosystems. *Science*, **293**, 629-637.

Jouventin, P., Bried, J. & Micol, T. (2003) Insular bird populations can be saved from rats: a long-term experimental study of white-chinned petrels *Procellaria aequinoctialis* on IIe de la Possession (Crozet Archipelago). *Polar Biology*, **26**, 371-378.

Kendall, W.L., & Nichols, J.D. (2002) Estimating state-transition probabilities for unobservable states using capture-recapture/resighting data. *Ecology*, **83**, 3276-3284.

Kraus, S.D. et al. (1997) Acoustic alarms reduce porpoise mortality. Nature, 338, 525.

Lebreton, J.-D. (2005) Dynamical and statistical models for exploited populations. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Statistics*, **47**, 49-63.

Lewison, R.L., Crowder, L.B., Read, A.J. & Freeman, S.A. (2004) Understanding impacts of fisheries bycatch on marine megafauna. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **19**, 598-604.

Link, W.A. & Doherty, P.F. (2002) Scaling in sensitivity analysis. *Ecology*, **83**, 3299-3305.

Mangel, M. (1993) Effects of high-seas driftnet fisheries on the Northern right whale dolphin (*Lissodelphis borealis*). *Ecological Applications*, **3**, 221-229.

Maunder, M.N. (2004) Population viability analysis based on combining Bayesian, integrated, and hierarchical analyses. *Acta Oecologica*, **26**, 85-94.

Merryfield, W. (2006). Changes to ENSO under CO<sub>2</sub> doubling in a multi-model ensemble. *Journal of Climate*, 19, 4009-4027.

Mougin, J.L., Jouanin, C. & Roux, F. (2000) Demography of the Cory's shearwater *Calonectris diomedea* on Selvagem Grande. *Revue d'Ecologie la Terre et la Vie*, **55**, 275-290.

Murray, T.E., Bartle, J.A., Kalish, S.R. & Taylor, P.R. (1993) Incidental capture of seabirds by Japanese southern bluefin tuna longline vessels in New Zealand waters, 1988-1992. *Bird Conservation International*, **3**, 181-210.

Nel, D.C., Ryan, P.G. & Watkins, B.P. (2002) Seabird mortality in the Patagonian toothfish longline fishery around the Prince Edward Islands, 1996-2000. *Antarctic Science*, **14**, 151-161.

Nel, D.C., Taylor, F, Ryan, P.G. & Cooper, J. (2003) Population dynamics of the Wandering Albatross *Diomedea exulans* at Marion Island: longline fishing and environmental influences. *African journal of Marine Science*, **25**, 503-517.

Niel, C. & Lebreton, J.-D. (2005) Using demographic invariants to detect overharvested bird populations from incomplete data. *Conservation Biology*, **19**, 826-835.

Oro, D. (1999) Trawler discards: a threat or a resource for opportunistic seabirds? *Proceedings XXII International Ornithological Congress* (eds N.J. Adams & R.H. Slotow), pp 717-730. Birdlife South Africa, Johannesburg.

Pauly, D., Christensen, V., Guénette, S., Pitcher, T.J., Sumaila, R.U., Walters, C.J., Watson, R. & Zeller D. (2002) Towards sustainability in world fisheries. *Nature*, **418**, 689-695.

Pradel, R. (1996) Utilization of capture-mark-recapture for the study of recruitment and population growth rate. *Biometrics*, **52**, 703-709.

Punt, A.E., Smith, D.C., Thomson, R.B., Haddon, M., Xe, H. & Lyle, J.M. (2001) Stock assessment of the blue grenadier *Macruronus novaezelandiae* resource off south-eastern Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, **52**, 701-717.

Ridoux, V. (1994) The diets and dietary segregation of seabirds at the sub-Antarctic Crozet Islands. *Marine Ornithology*, **22**, 76-82.

Robertson, G., McNeill, M., Smith, N., Wienecke, B., Candy, S. & Olivier, F. (2006) Fast sinking (integrated weight) longlines reduce mortality of white-chinned petrels (*Procellaria aequinoctialis*) and sooty shearwaters (*Puffinus griseus*) in demersal longline fisheries. *Biological Conservation*, **132**, 458-471.

Rolland, V., Barbraud, C. & Weimerskirch, H. (2008) Combined effects of fisheries and climate on a migratory long-lived marine predator. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **45**, 4-13.

Sedwick, P.N., Blain, S., Quéguiner, B., Griffiths, F.B., Fiala, M., Bucciarelli, E. & Denis, M. (2002) Resource limitation of phytoplankton growth in the Crozet Basin, Subantarctic Southern Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research II*, **49**, 3327-3349.

Stenseth, N.C., Mysterud, A, Ottersen, G., Hurrell, J.W., Chan, K.-S. & Lima, M. (2002) Ecological effects of climate fluctuations. *Science*, **297**, 1292-1296.

Thompson, P. & Ollason, J. (2001) Lagged effects of ocean climate change on fulmar population dynamics. *Nature*, **413**, 417-420.

Tourre, Y.M. & White, B.B. (2005) Evolution of the ENSO signal over the tropical Pacific-Atlantic domain. *Geophysical research Letters*, **32**, L07605.1-L07605.4

Tuck, G.N., Polachek, T., Croxall, J.P. & Weimerskirch, H. (2001) Modelling the impact of fishery bycatches on albatross populations. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **38**, 1182-1196. Votier, S.C., Hatchwell, B.J., Beckerman, A., McCleery, R.H., Hunter, F.M., Pellatt, J., Trinder, M. & Birkhead, T.R. (2005) Oil pollution and climate have wide-scale impacts on seabird demographics. *Ecology Letters*, **8**, 1157-1164.

Wade, P.R. (1998) Calculating limits to the allowable human-caused mortality of cetaceans and pinnipeds. *Marine Mammal Science*, **14**, 1-37.

Waugh, S.M., Doherty, P.F., Freeman, A.N.D., Adams, L., Woods, G.C., Bartle, J.A. & Hedley, G.K. (2006) Demography of Westland petrels (*Procellaria westlandica*), 1995-2003. *Emu*, **106**, 219-226.

Weimerskirch, H. (2007) Are seabirds foraging for unpredictable resources? *Deep-Sea Research II*, **54**, 211-223.

Weimerskirch, H., Brothers, N. & Jouventin, P. (1997) Population dynamics of wandering albatross *Diomedea exulans* and Amsterdam albatross *D. amsterdamensis* in the Indian Ocean and their relationships with longline fisheries: conservation implications. *Biological Conservation*, **79**, 257-270.

Weimerskirch, H., Catard, A., Prince, P.A., Cherel, Y. & Croxall, J.P. (1999) Foraging white-chinned petrels *Procellaria aequinoctialis* at risk: from the tropics to Antarctica. *Biological Conservation*, **87**, 273-275.

Weimerskirch, H., Capdeville, D. & Duhamel, G. (2000) Factors affecting the number and mortality of seabirds attending trawlers and longliners in the Kerguelen area. *Polar Biology*, **23**, 236-249.

Williams, B.K., Nichols, J.D. & Conroy, M.J. (2002) Analysis and management of animal populations. Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA.

Wilson, C. & Adamec, D. (2002) A global view of bio-physical coupling from SeaWiFS and TOPEX satellite data, 1997-2001. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **29**, 14063-14066.

Woehler, E.J. (1996) Concurrent decreases in five species of Southern Ocean seabirds in Prydz Bay. *Polar Biology*, **16**, 379-382.

Xie, S.-P., Annamalai, H., Schott, F.A. & McCreary Jr, J.P. (2002) Structure and mechanisms of South Indian Ocean climate variability. *Journal of Climate*, **15**, 864-878.

# Tables

**Table 1.** Results of the final reduced mixed model showing variables with significant effects on annual breeding success of white-chinned petrels at Possession Island between 1986 and 2004. Test statistics (*F* and *P* values) are Type III, and *df* (numerator, denominator) for fixed effects were estimated using the Satterthwaite approximation. Goodness-of-fit:  $\chi^2 / df = 0.91$ . Variance components plus their SE are shown for random effects.

Effect	F	df	Р	Estimate	SE	
Fixed effects:						
Intercept	15.76	1, 27.35	<0.001	-2.657	0.669	
Trend	8.92	1, 18.28	0.008	0.387	0.130	
Trend <sup>2</sup>	5.99	1, 14.01	0.028	-0.014	0.006	
тоотн	4.69	1, 14.68	0.047	0.387	0.179	
Random effects:						
Burrow				0.155	0.134	
Year				0.248	0.189	
Residual vari	ance			0.909	0.066	

Model	F	df	Р	Slope	Cl <sub>95</sub>
$S_T$	2.432	1,16	0.138		
$S_{T+T^2}$	4.262	2,15	0.034		
S <sub>SOI</sub>	0.073	1,16	0.792		
S <sub>SOI-3</sub>	60.39	1,16	<0.001	2.640	0.631 to 4.650
S <sub>SOI-4</sub>	0.870	1,16	0.365		
S <sub>SSTALT</sub>	0.628	1,16	0.439		
S <sub>SSTAST</sub>	0.001	1,16	1		
S <sub>SSTAw</sub>	0.143	1,16	0.710		
S <sub>tooth</sub>	0.005	1,16	0.500		
S <sub>HAKE</sub>	0.983	1,16	0.168		
S <sub>NKILL</sub>	0.369	1,16	0.276		

**Table 2.** Modelling the impact of climatic and fishery covariates on survival. T and  $T^2$  respectively indicate linear and quadratic trends.

# **Figures**

**Fig. 1.** White-chinned petrel breeding success at Possession Island as a function of year. Regression line is estimated from the statistical model in Table 1. Error bars indicate SE.

**Fig. 2.** White-chinned petrel adult survival at Possession Island as a function of SOI<sub>-3</sub>. Regression line is estimated from a statistical model on a logit scale, and point estimates are with full variation over time. Error bars indicate SE.

**Fig. 3.** Mean projected growth rate of the white-chinned petrel population of Possession Island from a stochastic matrix model as a function of a change in (a) mean SOI<sub>-3</sub>, and (b) standard deviation of SOI<sub>-3</sub>. Plain line indicates the current effect of fisheries, dashed line a doubling of fishing effort of both toothfish and hake fisheries, and dotted line no effect of fisheries.

**Fig. 4.** Numbers of white-chinned petrels killed by the longline toothfish fishery in the CCAMLR areas 58.6 and 58.4.4 (dashed lines). Upper and lower dashed lines indicate maximum and minimum estimates, respectively. Horizontal lines indicate the potential excess growth. Solid line represents the mean estimate and pointed lines represent minimum and maximum estimates.



Fig. 1



Fig. 2









# Appendix S1 Estimating the number of burrows and breeding pairs of whitechinned petrels on Possession Island and Crozet archipelago

On Possession Island, white-chinned petrels systematically dig their burrows in mixed vegetation patches of *Poa cookii* Hook and *Acaena magellanica* Vahl (Mougin 1970). In 1983 (December to February) and 2004 (November to December) all vegetation patches were inspected and all white-chinned petrel burrows were counted (on Possession Island, white-chinned petrels dig large burrows that cannot be confounded with other species' burrows). Collapsed burrows and those for which the entrance was obstructed by vegetation were not counted. In 1983, a sample of 81 burrows was opened and inspected just before the chicks fledge (March) to estimate the proportion of burrows that fledged a chick. This sample of burrows was also used to estimate the proportion of burrows that received an egg and that fledged a chick. The number of breeding pairs was then estimated as the number of burrows multiplied by the ratio (proportion of burrows that fledged a chick).

In 2004, all counted burrows were examined acoustically during the laying period. Taped vocalizations of white-chinned petrels (male and female) were played 1 mn down the burrow to elicit a response from an occupying bird (Berrow 2000). To estimate the proportion of birds present in burrows that would not respond to taped vocalizations we inspected a sample of 50 burrows using a burrowscope (infrared camera mounted on a flexible wire equipped with a video display system; Sandpiper Technologies Inc.). The response rate was very high (100%). Because we measured burrow occupancy during the laying period we calculated correction factors to estimate the number of burrows that received an egg (i.e. the number of breeding pairs). This was done from a sample of 58 individually marked burrows, which were inspected once in mid-November and once in early December (beginning of the incubation period). The proportion of burrows occupied during the survey with no subsequent egg laying and the proportion of burrows unoccupied during the survey with an egg laid latter were then estimated from this sample. The number of burrows counted was then corrected by these proportions and by the response rate to estimate the number of breeding pairs.

To estimate the proportion of burrows missed by observers during surveys, a double observer approach (Nichols et al. 2000) was used in a sample of 16 patches of vegetation in 2004. Within each patch, i) a first observer counted the number of burrows present and disposed a small piece of white paper within each burrow, ii) a second observer counted the number of burrows with and without a piece of paper. independently from the first observer. For each patch, the data consisted of the number of burrows only seen by the first observer, the number of burrows only seen by the second observer, and the number of burrows seen by both observers. Data DOBSERV were analysed using the software (http://www.mbrpwrc.usgs.gov/software) for independent observers.

We computed the geometric mean population growth rate between 1983 and

2004 as  $\left(\frac{\hat{N}_{2004}}{\hat{N}_{1983}}\right)^{\frac{1}{21}}$  where  $\hat{N}$  represents the estimated numbers of burrows and 21 is

the number of years separating both counts. Variance for this estimated rate of population change was estimated using the delta method approximation (Seber 1982, Williams et al. 2002).

White-chinned petrels breed on three other islands (Est, Apôtres and Pingouins Islands) in the Crozet archipelago (Derenne *et al.* 1976; Jouventin *et al.* 1984) that were never surveyed. From the survey conducted on Possession Island we characterised the habitat used by white-chinned petrels with a combination of two variables (elevation and slope) and estimated densities in seven geographic zones delimited by distinct topographical features. We then estimated an average density of burrows per surface area, and this density was extrapolated to the surface areas presenting the habitat characteristics used by white-chinned petrels on Est, Apôtres and Pingouins islands to obtain an estimate of the total population size for the archipelago. Surface areas of favourable habitat on the islands were estimated using a combination of topographic maps (scale 1:25 000) and satellite images.

# References

- Berrow, S.D. (2000) The use of acoustics to monitor burrow-nesting white-chinned petrels *Procellaria aequinoctialis* at bird Island, South Georgia. *Polar Biology*, **23**, 575-579.
- Derenne, P., Mougin, J.L., Steinberg, C. & Voisin, J.F. (1976) Les oiseaux de l'île aux Cochons, archipel Crozet (46°06'S, 50°14'E). *Comité National Français des Recherches Antarctiques*, **40**, 107-145.
- Jouventin, P., Stahl, J.-C., Weimerskirch, H. & Mougin, J.L. (1984) The seabirds of the French Subantarctic Islands & Adélie Land: their status and conservation. *ICPB Technical Publication*, 2, 609-625. Status and Conservation of the World Seabirds. Cambridge.
- Mougin, J. L. 1970. Le petrel à menton blanc *Procellaria aequinoctialis* de l'île de la Possession (Archipel Crozet). L'Oiseau et RFO **40** : 63-96.
- Nichols, J.D., Hines, J.E., Sauer, J.R., Fallon, F.W., Fallon, J.E. & Heglund, P.J. (2000) A double-observer approach for estimating detection probability and abundance from point counts. *Auk*, **117**, 393-408.
- Seber, G.A.F. (1982) *The estimation of animal abundance and related parameters*. Macmillan, New York, USA.
- Williams, B.K., Nichols, J.D. & Conroy, M.J. (2002) *Analysis and management of animal populations*. Academic Press, Sand Diego, California, USA.

**Appendix S2** Life cycle diagram and matrix population model for the white-chinned petrel.



Stages are: J1, first year juvenile; J2, second year juvenile, J3, third year juvenile, PB, pre breeders; B, adult breeders; NB, adult non breeders. Variables are:  $S_0$ , juvenile survival;  $S_a$ , adult survival; *BS*, breeding success; , probability of leaving the pre-breeder stage; , state transition probabilities. Because very few birds were sexed within the study colony, we assumed that parameters were equal between both sexes.

The life cycle has a post-breeding survey format, meaning that population values are assessed with an annual time step after breeding events. Prior to the reproductive maturity, individuals move through three annual juvenile stages (J1, J2 and J3), then to the pre-breeder stage (PB). The three juvenile stages ensure that birds are three years old before entering the pre-breeder stage and therefore cannot breed before the age of four, the minimum age at first breeding observed for this population (see Results). Juvenile survival ( $S_0$ ) was estimated using the equation return rate  $=(S_0)^4$ , where return rate is the number of chicks observed as recruits at the colony divided by the number of chicks ringed for each cohort. Although recent developments of CMR models allow the estimation of age-specific breeding probabilities and juvenile survival taking into account detectability (e.g. Spendelow et al. 2002), our sample size of birds recruited in the population was too small (n = 16)to support such a modelling approach. From the PB stage survival was assumed to be equal to adult survival ( $S_a$ ). A pre-breeder that survives from year t to t+1 has two possibilities: it may become a breeder with probability  $\tau$  or it may stay in the PB stage with probability  $(1-\tau)$ . The parameter  $\tau$  was estimated following Caswell (2001, p. 164) as:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\overline{T}} \exp\left[-\ln\left(\frac{\lambda}{\Pr B}\right)\left(\frac{\overline{T}}{2} - \frac{V(T)}{2\overline{T}}\right)\right]$$

which estimates  $\tau$  for a variable stage duration and assumes that the PB stage duration varies among individuals with mean  $\overline{T}$  and variance V(*T*) and that the age distribution is stable. Parameter  $\tau$  is the probability of leaving the pre-breeder stage and reflects the fact that not all individuals become breeders at age four years. Stage duration was estimated as the age of first breeding minus the age at which juveniles entered the PB stage. Like other seabird species, white-chinned petrels occasionally take years off between breeding attempts (Chastel 1995), during which they remain at sea and are unavailable for detection. Thus, mature individuals have two possible fates in the matrix model, they can become non breeders (NB) or breeders (B). Transition probabilities between these states were directly estimated from a multistate CMR model (see Appendix S4). Fecundity was the breeding success of breeders multiplied by adult survival and by the probability that breeders stay in the same state.

The standard error of the population growth rate,  $\lambda$ , was calculated using the delta method from the variances of the matrix entries and the sensitivities (Caswell 2001).

For the stochastic matrix model built using the relationships between vital rates and covariates to predict population growth under various scenarios of environmental change, we added a random noise to each relationship with a mean 0 and a standard deviation of 0.2 (i.e. similar to those found for breeding success and adult survival). For each scenario, we ran matrix models 5000 times for 20 times steps and recorded the mean stochastic  $\lambda$  as the mean of the observed growth rates of all replicates.

Since measurement uncertainty in survival and fecundity rates need to be separated from process variance to avoid overestimation of the negative effect on lambda (Inchausti & Weimerskirch 2001), we used the annual estimates of adult survival, transition probabilities and breeding success together with their process variance in the matrix  $A_t$ . The process variance was estimated by fitting random effects models using SAS (2002) for breeding success and MARK (White & Burnham 1999) for the other parameters.

# References

- Caswell, H. (2001) *Matrix Population Models*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Chastel, O. (1995) Influence of reproductive success on breeding frequency in four southern petrels. *Ibis*, **137**, 360-363.
- Inchausti, P. & Weimerskirch, H. (2001) Risks of decline and extinction of the endangered Amsterdam albatross and the projected impact of long-line fisheries. *Biological Conservation*, **100**, 377-386.
- SAS Institute, Inc. (2002-2003) SAS/STAT User's Guide, version 9.1. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Spendelow, J.A., Nichols, J.D., Hines, J.E., Lebreton, J.-D. & Pradel, R. (2002) Modelling postfledging survival and age-specific breeding probabilities in species with delayed maturity: a case study of Roseate Terns at Falkner Island, Connecticut. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, **29**, 385-405.
- White, G.C. & Burnham, K.P. (1999) Program MARK: survival estimation from populations of marked animals. *Bird Study Supplement*, **46**, S120-S139.

# Appendix S3 Estimating the contribution of the vital rates to variability in $\lambda$

This requires both the variability of the vital rate and the sensitivity analysis of  $\lambda$  because a particular vital rate may make a small contribution either because it does not vary much or because  $\lambda$  is not sensitive to its variation. We ignored covariation among demographic traits, and the effects of the temporal variation of a demographic parameter  $\theta$  on the variability in  $\lambda$  was approximated by using a first-order Taylor expansion,  $V(\lambda) = s(\theta)^2 \operatorname{var}(\theta)$ , where  $s(\theta)$  is the sensitivity of  $\lambda$  to the parameter  $\theta$  and  $\operatorname{var}(\theta)$  in the variance of  $\theta$  (Caswell 2001). We used the process variance of the parameters estimated from a model with random effects.

# Reference

Caswell, H. (2001) *Matrix Population Models*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.

# Appendix S4 Estimating annual adult survival and recruitment

Adult annual survival, transition and recapture probabilities were estimated with multistate CMR models (Brownie et al. 1993). The most general model that we started with was the conditional Arnason-Schwarz model (CAS) with two states: B, breeders and NB, non breeders. In this model all parameters are time and state dependent. Because non breeders are unobservable their recapture probability was fixed to zero. Model notation follows the principle of Lebreton et al. (1992), and we started our modelling with model  $S_t^r p_t^r \psi_t^{rs}$ , where S, p and  $\psi$  indicates survival, recapture and transition probabilities respectively, t indicates time, r and s indicate state. According to Kendall & Nichols (2002), the state effect on survival is not estimable and survival probabilities of breeders and non breeders were constrained to be equal. Specific hypotheses about climate and fisheries effects on survival were tested with models constraining variation over time to be a function of covariates. To assess whether survival, recapture and transition probabilities were constant or time dependent we compared models with either constant or time dependent parameters using the Akaike Information Criterion corrected for sample size (AICc) (Burnham & Anderson 2002). We compared models where parameters varied through time according to a linear or a quadratic trend. For transition probabilities we also compared models where transitions between states were random (i.e. transitions between states were set equal) or not. We removed the first capture to limit heterogeneity amongst individuals.

There is currently no goodness-of-fit (GOF) test applicable to multistate models with unobservable states. Following Lebreton & Pradel (2002), we used *ad hoc* procedures by running GOF tests for a model with one state to examine lack of fit. The GOF tests proposed by Pradel, Gimenez & Lebreton (2005) assess the fit of the Jolly-Move model (JMV), through  $\chi^2$  test statistics. Because the CAS model can be considered as a particular case of the JMV model, we used the GOF test of the JMV model to detect violation of assumptions (Pradel, Gimenez & Lebreton 2005).

The analysis of the relationship between bycatch and mortality involves the theory of competing risks of mortality (Anderson & Burnham 1976), since natural and human induced causes of death occur simultaneously. For long-lived species with a moderate annual bycatch proportion of the population a good approximation of the effect of bycatch on survival is obtained using the equation  $S = S_i(1-bK)$ , where  $S_i$  is survival in the absence of bycatch, *K* is the proportion of the initial number caught by longlines, *b* is a slope coefficient which value indicates whether bycatch mortality is additive (=1) or compensatory (<1), and *S* is the overall population surviving (Lebreton 2005). Because the bycatch proportion was unknown for white-chinned petrels, due to unknown numbers caught on longlines from the hake fishery and scarce estimates of population size, we assumed that the level of bycatch was proportional to fishing effort (*F*) and used the equation S = a - bF, where *a* and *b* are parameters to be estimated. Models were fitted using the software M-SURGE (Choquet *et al.* 2005a), and U-CARE (Choquet *et al.* 2005b) to test goodness-of-fit (GOF) of the initial CMR model.

The recruitment probability was estimated using the CMR methodology developed by Pradel (1996) and CMR models were fitted using programs U-CARE and MARK (White & Burnham 1999), which estimates recapture probabilities together with seniority probabilities ( $\gamma$ ). The seniority probability at time *t* is defined as the probability that an individual present in the population at time *t* had not entered

the population between time *t* and *t*-1. It can be viewed as the complement of recruitment probability (1-recruitment probability). The recapture probability is defined as for a standard survival analysis. We started with the general model where parameters were time dependent ( $p_t \gamma_t$ ), and then tested the effect of covariates on  $\gamma$ . Because the number of studied burrows varied slightly during the study period, we tested the effect of the number of burrows on  $\gamma$ .

# References

- Anderson, D.R. & Burnham, K.P. (1976) Population ecology of the mallard: VI The effect of exploitation on survival. US Fish and Wildlife Service Resource Publication 128.
- Brownie, C., Hines, J.E., Nichols, J.D., Pollock, K.H. & Hestbeck, J.B. (1993) Capture-recapture studies for multiple strata including non-Markovian transition probabilities. *Biometrics*, **49**, 1173-1187.
- Burnham, K.P. & Anderson, D.R. (2002) *Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach*. Spinger Verlag. New York.
- Choquet, R., Reboulet, A.-M., Pradel, R., Gimenez, O. & Lebreton, J.-D. (2005a) *M*-SURGE 1.8 User's Manual. CEFE, Montpellier, France. (<u>http://ftp.cefe.cnrs.fr/biom/Soft-CR</u>).
- Choquet, R., Reboulet, A.-M., Lebreton, J.-D., Gimenez, O. & Pradel, R. (2005b) *U*-*CARE* 2.2 User's Manual. CEFE, Montpellier, France. (http://ftp.cefe.cnrs.fr/biom/Soft-CR).
- Lebreton, J.-D. (2005) Dynamical and statistical models for exploited populations. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Statistics*, **47**, 49-63.
- Lebreton, J.-D., Burnham, K.P., Clobert, J. & Anderson, D.R. (1992) Modelling survival and testing biological hypotheses using marked animals: a unified approach with case studies. *Ecological Monographs*, **61**, 67-118.
- Lebreton, J.-D. & Pradel, R. (2002) Multistate recapture models: modelling incomplete individual histories. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, **29**, 353-369.
- Pradel, R. (1996) Utilization of capture-mark-recapture for the study of recruitment and population growth rate. *Biometrics*, **52**, 703-709.
- Pradel, R., Gimenez, O. & Lebreton, J.-D. (2005) Principles and interest of GOF tests for multistate capture-recapture models. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, **28**, 189-204.
- White, G.C. & Burnham, K.P. (1999) Program MARK: survival estimation from populations of marked animals. *Bird Study Supplement*, **46**, S120-S139.

Appendix S5 Climatic and fisheries covariates used in the analyses of adult survival, recruitment and breeding success of white-chinned petrels at Possession Island

Covariate	Season	Months	Spatial area	
	Sources			
SSTALT <sup>a,b</sup>	chick rearing	Jan-Apr	29-66°E / 60-65°S 1	
SSTAST <sup>a,b</sup>	chick rearing	Jan-Apr	51-51.5°E / 46.5-47°S 1	
SSTAw <sup>b,c</sup>	non breeding	May-Aug	13-22°E / 23-34°S 1	
SOI <sup>a,b,c</sup>	annual	Annual	Southern Ocean 2	2
SOI <sub>-3</sub> a,b,c	annual	Annual	Southern Ocean 2	2
SOI <sub>-4</sub> a,b,c	annual	Annual	Southern Ocean 2	2
TOOTH <sup>a,b,c</sup>	annual	Annual	CCAMLR areas 58.6 & 58.4.43	3
HAKE <sup>b,c</sup>	annual	Annual	14-20°E / 29-37°S 4	ŀ
NKILL <sup>b,c</sup>	annual	Annual	CCAMLR areas 58.6 & 58.4.43	3

1 <u>http://www.ingrid.ldeo.columbia.edu;</u> 2 <u>http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/current;</u> 3 <u>http://www.ccamlr.org/</u> and Terres Australes et Antarctiques Françaises unpublished data, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (Duhamel G. unpublished data); 4 Fairweather (2001), Ryan *et al.* (2002).

SSTA, sea surface temperature anomaly; SOI, southern oscillation index, SOI with subscript indicates a lag effects in years; TOOTH, Toothfish fishing effort from the legal and illegal unregulated and unreported; HAKE, hake fishing effort; NKILL, minimum numbers of white chinned petrels killed by longline fisheries targeting toothfish; CCAMLR, Scientific Committee for the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources. Regarding the Toothfish longline fishery, an illegal unreported and unregulated (IUU) fishing effort started since 1995 within the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR) statistical areas 58.6 and 58.4.4 (i.e. around Crozet). Estimates of IUU fishing effort were obtained from the CCAMLR Scientific Committee reports. Legal and IUU fishing efforts for Toothfish were summed to obtain a global fishing effort, as both fisheries operated in the same geographic areas and during the same period.

Season corresponds to the phenology of white-chinned petrels, and the corresponding calendar period is given by month. Spatial area indicates the area over which covariates were averaged. White-chinned petrels spend the non breeding season (May to August) in waters of the coastal shelf-edge off South Africa and Namibia. During incubation (from September to December) birds forage in several water masses over a vast area, and thus we did not use SSTA during incubation as a covariate. During chick rearing (from January to April) breeding white-chinned petrels are mainly distributed in waters of the coastal shelf-edge of Antarctica and south west of Crozet archipelago on the peri-insular shelf.

Superscripts associated with covariates indicate the demographic parameters for which covariates were tested. a) breeding success, b) adult survival, c) recruitment. For breeding success, we tested the effect of SOI, SSTALT, SSTAST and TOOTH, but not of HAKE and SSTAw since breeding individuals were not concerned by these factors. For adult survival, we tested the effect of all covariates since adult survival is an annual estimate and during the entire year birds are exposed to all environmental factors (climate and fisheries). For recruitment, we did not test the climatic covariates corresponding to the breeding period (i.e. SSTAST, SSTALT) since *a priori* they could not affect the recruitment. Ring recoveries led us to suspect that individuals

spend most of their immature years in waters situated in the wintering area of the Crozet population and around the Crozet waters. We thus tested the effect of TOOTH, HAKE, SSTAw, SOI and NKILL on recruitment.

Monthly SSTA on a 1° scale were extracted from the website of the Integrated Global Ocean Services System and averaged for each area and time period. The SOI was obtained monthly from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology.

To assess the effect of covariates on survival and recruitment we carried out an analysis of deviance (Skalski, Hoffmann & Smith 1993). The proportion of variation explained by covariates was calculated following Schemper (1990). Because we expect white-chinned petrel bycatch to increase with fishing effort, and to be negatively related to survival and/or recruitment, we performed one-sided tests for fishery covariates. Because breeding success was a binary variable and some burrows were occupied during several years, the effect of covariates on breeding success was tested using a generalised linear mixed model using PROC GLIMMIX in SAS (2002). The burrow and year effects were entered as random factors and since breeding success showed an increasing trend across years (see Results), we included a trend effect with a quadratic term. We followed a backward stepwise procedure removing one variable at a time using the pseudo-AICc value. All covariates were standardized to scale for a range of 0-1.

# References

- Fairweather, T. P. (2001) An analysis of the trawl and longline fisheries for Merluccius capensis off the west coast of South Africa. Ph.D. Thesis, Rhodes University, South Africa.
- Ryan, P.G., Keith, D.G. & Kroese, M. (2002) Seabird bycatch by tuna longline fisheries off South Africa, 1998-2000. South African *Journal of Marine Science*, 24, 103-110.
- SAS Institute, Inc. (2002-2003) SAS/STAT User's Guide, version 9.1. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Schemper, M. (1990) The explained variation in proportional hazards regression. *Biometrika*, **77**, 216-218.
- Skalski, J.R., Hoffmann, A. & Smith, S.G. (1993) Testing the significance of individual and cohort level covariates in animal survival studies. *Marked individuals in the study of bird population* (eds J.-D. Lebreton & P.M. North), pp. 9-28. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basle.

# Appendix S6 Estimating the maximum annual growth rate and the potential excess growth using the demographic invariant method.

The maximum annual growth rate ( $\lambda_{max}$ ) of the population at Possession Island was estimated using the formula for long-lived species in Niel & Lebreton (2005) as:

$$\lambda_{\max} \approx \frac{(s\alpha - s + \alpha + 1) + \sqrt{(s - s\alpha - \alpha - 1)^2 - 4s\alpha^2}}{2\alpha},$$

where *s* is the adult survival probability and  $\alpha$  the age at first reproduction. Then we estimated and compared the potential excess growth with the number of birds killed by longline fisheries. The potential excess growth was estimated as  $N\beta(\lambda_{max} - 1)$ , where *N* is the estimated population size and  $\beta$  accounts for the effect of density on demographic performance. *N* for Possession Island was estimated from the matrix population model which provided a conversion factor needed to get from breeding pairs to total population size (i.e. including immature birds and non breeders). The parameter  $\beta$  was set at 0.5 based on the simulations performed by Wade (1998) on populations of cetaceans and pinnipeds, and given that this white-chinned petrel population is large and submitted to large-scale additional mortality (see Results). The potential excess growth can be interpreted as the maximum number of individuals, not including natural mortalities, which may be killed by an additional source of mortality while allowing the population to maintain a sustainable level. Any additional source of serious concern.

Numbers of petrels killed by toothfish longline fisheries (i.e. bycatch estimates) were obtained from yearly CCAMLR reports and from the French Southern Territories administration. No estimate is available for the hake fisheries. The Possession Island population being part of the Crozet archipelago population and since it was not possible to tell the origin of the individuals killed by the toothfish fishery, we used population size estimates for the entire archipelago to draw some inference.

#### References

- Niel, C. & Lebreton, J.-D. (2005) Using demographic invariants to detect overharvested bird populations from incomplete data. *Conservation Biology*, **19**, 826-835.
- Wade, P.R. (1998) Calculating limits to the allowable human-caused mortality of cetaceans and pinnipeds. *Marine Mammal Science*, **14**, 1-37.

Figure S1. Map indicating the spatial areas where covariates were extracted based on the distribution of white-chinned petrels from Possession Island inferred from ring recoveries, satellite tracking and at sea observations: (a) climate covariates; (b) fishery covariates. Toothfish and hake fisheries are respectively indicated by dotted and plain lines. See Appendix S5 for abbreviations.



Table S1 Modelling probabilities of recapture, transition, and survival of whitechinned petrels at Possession Island. The starting model is  $S_t p_t^r \psi_t^{rs}$ , where the probability of capture of non breeders was fixed to zero and survival probabilities of breeders and non breeders were constrained to be equal. np indicates number of parameters

Model	Deviance	np	ΔAICc				
Modelling recapture	Modelling recapture probability (with all other parameters unchanged)						
$p_t$	1249.31	64	66.87				
р	1258.48	51	31.64				
Modelling transition probability (with $S_t$ unchanged)							
$p\psi_{t+}^{rs}$	1381.49	36	110.22				
$p\psi^{rs}$	1316.23	21	6.42				
$p\psi_t$	1351.19	35	77.17				
$p\psi$	1388.94	19	74.37				
Modelling survival probability							
$S_t p \psi^{rs}$	1316.23	21	6.42				
$S p \psi^{rs}$	1347.74	4	0				

probability is constant.							
Model	F	df	Р	Slope	CI <sub>95</sub>		
Modelling the effect of the number of burrows							
$\gamma_{burrows}$	3.236	1,14	0.094				
$\gamma_{burrows+burrows^2}$	1.511	2,13	0.257				
Modelling the effect	of covariates						
$\gamma_{SOI}$	0.089	1,14	0.771				
$\gamma_{SOI-3}$	0.021	1,14	0.897				
$\gamma_{SOI-4}$	4.769	1,14	0.046	0.675	0.190 to 1.159		
$\gamma_{SSTAw}$	0.507	1,14	0.488				
$\gamma_{tooth}$	2.998	1,14	0.052				
γ <sub>HAKE</sub> 0.183	6.121	1,14	0.013	-0.445	-0.708 to -		
$\gamma_{NKILL}$	1.544	1,14	0.117				
$\gamma_{TOOTH-1}$	15.917	1,14	<0.001	-0.553	-0.793 to -		
0.314							
$\gamma_{TOOTH-2}$	7.812	1,14	0.007	-0.394	-0.595 to -		
0.193							
γ <sub>HAKE-1</sub> Ο 207	7.314	1,14	0.008	-0.454	-0.701 to -		
$\gamma_{HAKE-2}$	6.978	1,14	0.009	-0.447	-0.687 to -		
0.206				a <i>i</i> = <i>i</i>			
$\gamma_{NKILL-1}$	8.768	1,14	0.005	-0.451	-0.672 to -		
0.230	0.000		0.005	0.004	0 500 1-		
$\gamma_{NKILL-2}$	8.936	1,14	0.005	-0.391	-0.580 to -		
0.201							

# Table S2 Modelling the impact of climatic and fishery covariates on recruitment probability estimated from reversed capture histories. The recapture probability is constant.

Table S3. Sensitivity of population growth rate to changes in demographic parameters for white-chinned petrels at Possession Island, and their contribution to variance of the population growth rate. Sensitivities were estimated from a matrix population model including the mean estimated demographic parameters and their process variance. S<sub>0</sub> juvenile survival, S<sub>a</sub> adult survival,  $\psi$  transition probability, B breeder, NB non breeder, BS breeding success,  $\tau$  probability that a pre breeder becomes a breeder given that it survives.

Parameter	Estimate	Sensitivity	Contribution
S <sub>0</sub>	0.700	0.0412	
Sa	0.895	0.2366	0.000207
Ψвв	0.438	0.1896	0.000150
Ψвив	0.389	0.1685	0.000119
ΨΝΒΝΒ	0.187	0.0931	0.000017
ΨΝΒΒ	0.210	0.1048	0.000021
BS	0.382	0.0805	0.000145
Т	0.284	0.0272	