Experimental ostreid herpesvirus 1 infection of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*: Kinetics of virus DNA detection by q-PCR in seawater and in oyster samples
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Abstract:

Herpes- and herpes-like viruses are known to infect a wide range of bivalve mollusc species throughout the world. Abnormal summer mortalities associated to the detection of ostreid herpesvirus 1 (OsHV-1) have been currently reported in France among larvae and spat of the Pacific cupped oyster *Crassostrea gigas*.

In the present work, we have developed an experimental protocol of horizontal transmission based on the cohabitation between healthy and experimentally infected oysters. Through a cohabitation trial, the kinetics of OsHV-1 detection in different oyster organs and seawater samples were investigated and characterized for the first time using real time quantitative PCR.
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1. Introduction

Infections by herpes- or herpes-like viruses have been reported in various marine mollusc species throughout the world. Such viruses were reported in USA (Farley, 1972; Friedman et al., 2005), New Zealand (Hine, 1992), Australia (Hine and Thorne, 1997), Mexico (Vasquez-Yeomans et al., 2004), in Asian countries (Moss et al., 2007) and France. The first description of a virus morphologically similar to members of the Herpesviridae family was reported by Farley et al. (1972) in eastern oysters Crassostrea virginica. Herpes-like virus infections have then been reported in other bivalve species, including the Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas (Arzul et al., 2001b,c; Hine, 1992; Nicolas et al., 1992; Renault et al., 1994), the European flat oyster Ostrea edulis (Arzul et al., 2001b,c; Comps and Cochenne, 1993; Renault et al., 2000b), the Chilean oyster Tiostrea chilensis (Hine et al., 1998), the Portuguese oyster Crassostrea angulata (Arzul et al., 2001b), the Suminoe oyster Crassostrea rivularis (Arzul et al., 2001b,c), the Manila clam Ruditapes philippinarum (Arzul et al., 2001c; Renault and Arzul, 2001; Renault et al., 2001), the carpet shell clam R. decussatus (Arzul et al., 2001c; Renault and Arzul, 2001), and the French scallop Pecten maximus (Arzul et al., 2001a). Herpes-like infections have also been described recently in different abalone species Haliotis laevigata, Haliotis rubra, and Haliotis diversicolor (Chang et al., 2005; Hooper et al., 2007; Tan et al., 2008).

Since 1991, episodic herpes-like virus infections have been reported in France in Crassostrea gigas spat and juveniles (Renault, 1998). These virus infections are mostly evidenced during the summer period, concomitantly with a rapid increase of seawater temperature (Garcia et al., unpublished data). They are generally associated with high mortality rates reaching up to 80% (Renault et al., 1994, 1995). Herpes-like viruses have also been detected in adult oysters (Arzul et al., 2002; Hine and Thorne, 1997). However, adults appear less sensitive to the disease than spat. This may be explained in part by the existence of a higher antiviral immune response in adults. Previous studies have presumed the presence of hypothetical antiviral molecules in Pacific oyster haemolymph (Olicard et al., 2005a,b).

A purification protocol established in order to isolate viral particles from infected C. gigas larvae allowed the characterization of the complete genome sequence and the nucleocapsid reconstructed structure of the virus (Davison et al., 2005; Le Deuff and Renault, 1999). This virus was classified as the sole member of the genus Ostreavirus (family Malacoherpesviridae, order Herpesvirales) and was named ostreid herpesvirus 1 (OsHV-1) (Arzul et al., 2002; Davison, 2002; Davison et al., 2005, 2009; Minson et al., 2000).

The economical importance of C. gigas oyster aquaculture has contributed to the development of specific and sensitive diagnostic tools, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Renault et al., 2000a; Renault and Lipart, 1998), in situ hybridization (ISH) (Lipart and Renault, 2002; Renault and Lipart, 1998), immunohistochemistry (Le Deuff et al., 1995), and recently real-time PCR (Pepin et al., 2008). These techniques allowed to keep a watch on the detection of the viral infection in the natural environment through epidemiological investigations. Furthermore, the infectivity of OsHV-1 was confirmed towards younger stages of C. gigas through experimental trials conducted in laboratory conditions. It was first demonstrated that axenic healthy larvae could be infected by contact with filtered suspensions of ground infected larvae (Arzul et al., 2001b,c; Le Deuff et al., 1995, 1996).

In 2008, in order to explore the causes of massive mortality outbreaks affecting French C. gigas spat, experimental infection trials were carried out (Schikorski et al., submitted). The intramuscular injection of viral suspensions prepared from naturally infected fresh oysters induced high mortality rates reaching up to 80% in 3 days in healthy 1-year-old oysters. The capacity to obtain OsHV-1 experimentally infected oysters allowed us to develop a protocol of horizontal transmission through cohabitation trials. It was demonstrated that healthy oysters after 2 days of cohabitation with experimentally infected oysters develop the disease. Thus, our previous results contributed to the set up of a reproducible model for studying the pathogenicity of OsHV-1 on C. gigas oysters in experimental conditions.
In this context, the aim of the present study was to investigate the kinetic of OsHV-1 DNA detection in the Pacific oyster *C. gigas* in cohabitation assays between healthy and experimentally infected oysters. The experiment was conducted in a closed system without the seawater being changed. Virus DNA quantification was performed by real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) in the time course of the experiment in different oyster tissues including haemocytes, gills, mantle, adductor muscle, and digestive gland. Besides, very little information is available concerning the detection of the virus in seawater. Thus, virus DNA amounts were also measured in seawater during the time course of the present experiment.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Oysters

One-year-old cupped Pacific oysters *C. gigas* were purchased in November 2008 from a shellfish farm located on the French Mediterranean coast. Animal size was around 40 mm in length, 5 g of total weight. Animals did not present any mortality or other symptom of disease prior the experiment. Moreover, no abnormal mortality event has been reported at the shellfish farm location in 2008. Oysters were placed in the Ifremer’s facilities (Laboratoire de Génétique et Pathologie, La Tremblade, France) in a single tank of 200 L of filtered (1 µm) seawater and slowly acclimated to 22°C increasing the temperature of 1°C/day. During this period, oysters were fed everyday by addition of 2 liters of microalgae *Skeletonema costatum* (1.5 x 10³ cells/mL). At the end of the acclimatization period and just before the beginning of the experiment, a set of 14 individuals was assessed by real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) in order to evaluate initial OsHV-1 prevalence.

#### 2.2. Design for experimental OsHV-1 infection

A pool of 120 oysters was predestined to be used as virus source of infection for the establishment of the experimental protocol of horizontal virus transmission in a closed system. These oysters were placed out of water for 24 hours at 22°C and then anesthetized by being placed during 4 hours at 22°C in a solution of seawater (1 v)/distilled water (4 v) containing 7% (w/v) of magnesium chloride (MgCl₂; 50 g L⁻¹). Once a time relaxed, 100 µL of a fresh OsHV-1 suspension at 1.5 x 10⁵ viral DNA copies µL⁻¹, were injected into the adductor muscle of each animal (Schikorski et al, submitted). OsHV-1 (μVar genotype; Segarra et al, in press) suspension was prepared from naturally infected oysters collected on the field during the summer 2008. Inoculated oysters were then placed for 48 hours at 22°C in 5L tanks supplied in filtered (1 µm) seawater without food supply nor seawater change. After 48 hours, these oysters were used as source of virus to infect healthy oysters by horizontal transmission of OsHV-1.

Three hundred healthy individuals were randomly distributed in three aquaria supplied with 25 L of filtered (1 µm) seawater at 22°C. Forty moribund oysters, experimentally infected as described above, were placed in a basket added in the center of each aquarium. Two negative controls were included in the experimental design. The first one consisted of 100 healthy oysters in contact with 40 healthy oysters sacrificed by removing the superior valve. The second one consisted of 100 healthy oysters alone. After 48 hours of cohabitation, the different baskets containing infected or healthy oysters were removed. Experimentally infected oysters and healthy animals were maintained in a closed system without the seawater being changed during the time course of the experiment in order to increase the virus transmission.

In order to evaluate the kinetic of virus DNA detection, a pool of 10 live oysters from each aquarium was sacrificed at 0, 6, 24, 30, 48, 72, 96, 120, and 144 hours. The haemolymph of each animal was first collected from the adductor muscle, using a 1 mL syringe fitted with a 20 G needle. Haemolymphs were pooled for each tank (10 oysters per tank) at each collecting time and then haemocytes were collected by centrifugation (1500 x g for 10 min, 4°C). After haemolymph collection, the upper valve of sampled animals was removed and
tissue fragments of approximately 25 mg were dissected from gills, mantle, adductor muscle, and digestive gland. To prevent an external contamination of these samples by virus particles present in seawater, tissue samples were rinsed three times in autoclaved artificial seawater filtered through 0.22 µm filters. Tissue samples were pooled together for each tank at each collecting time (10 oysters per tank). Haemocytes and tissue samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until DNA extraction. During the time course of the experiment, aquaria were checked daily and dead oysters were removed from tanks. Detection of viral DNA in the seawater was also evaluated. Since the beginning of the cohabitation period, 1 mL of seawater was sampled in each aquarium at 0, 6, 24, 30, 48, 54, 72, 78, 96, 102, 120, 144, and 168 hours. Samples of seawater were stored at -20°C until DNA extraction and qPCR analysis.

Finally, three other aquaria containing each 25 oysters in contact with 10 moribund oysters (experimentally infected as above) and supplied with 6 L of filtered (1 µm) seawater at 22°C were exclusively devoted to the monitoring of mortalities occurring during the cohabitation experiment.

2.3. DNA extraction from oyster tissue and seawater samples

Total DNA was extracted from oyster tissues or seawater samples using the QIAgen QIAamp tissue mini kit combined with the use of the QIAcube automate according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, tissue samples were digested overnight on a rocking platform at 56°C by addition of 180 µL of ATL buffer supplied with 20 µL of proteinase K per 25 mg of sample. When tissues were completely lysed, a volume of 200 µL of lysate was transferred into a 2 mL microcentrifuge tube and DNA extraction with QIAamp Mini spin columns was carried out using a QIAcube automate. Final elution of DNA extracted from tissue samples was performed with 200 µL of double-distilled water. For seawater samples, DNA was extracted from 200 µL of samples previously treated on a rocking platform at 56°C during 1 h after addition of 180 µL of lysis buffer supplied with 20 µL of proteinase K. DNA extractions were performed manually with a final elution of 50 µL of double-distilled water.

DNA concentrations and DNA quality were measured using a spectrophotometer Eppendorf, then stored at -20°C prior OsHV-1 detection and quantification by qPCR.

2.4. OsHV-1 DNA quantification by qPCR

The detection and quantification of OsHV-1 DNA was carried out using the real-time PCR protocol previously published (Pepin et al., 2008). After dilution to 2 ng µL⁻¹, 5 µL of DNA samples were added to the reaction mix composed of 12.5 µL of Brilliant® SYBR Green Master Mix reagent (Stratagene), 2.5 µL of both C9 forward and C10 reverse primers diluted at the concentration of 2 µM each, and 2.5 µL of distilled water (Barbosa-Solomieu et al., 2004). All amplification reactions were performed using a Mx3000P real-time PCR thermocycler sequence detector (Stratagene) with 96-microwell plates according to the following conditions: 1 cycle of pre-incubation at 95°C for 10 min; 40 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 30s, 60°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min; and a final step for melting temperature curve analysis at 95°C for 1 min, 60°C for 30s, and 95°C for 30s. The specificity of the PCR products was systematically checked with the melting temperature value calculated from the dissociation curve (Bustin, 2000). Absolute quantification of OsHV-1 DNA copies was carried out by comparing CT values obtained for tested samples with the standard curve based on a ten-fold dilution curve derived from a stock solution of OsHV-1 genomic DNA (5 x 10⁸ copies µL⁻¹) extracted from purified virus particles (Le Deuff and Renault, 1999). Efficiency (E) and linearity (R²) were calculated from the standard curve with MxPro v3.0 software (Stratagene), and tested for each run. All samples were analyzed in triplicate. The results were expressed as a log¹⁰ of the viral DNA copy number per ng of tissue or per µL of seawater.
2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses of the viral DNA quantification in seawater and tissues samples were carried out using the XLSTAT-Pro® v7.5.3 software. The normality of the data was tested using a Shapiro-Wilk test. A non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by a Dunn post hoc comparison test was performed to compare differences between means of viral DNA amounts quantified in oyster tissues and seawater during the time course of the experiment. Finally, Spearman rho tests were used to analyze correlations between viral DNA amounts quantified in the different oyster tissues and mortality monitored during cohabitation, and also between the different oyster tissues themselves. A P-values level of 0.05 was used in all tests to identify significant effects or differences.

3. Results

3.1. Oyster mortality

Batches of oysters infected by intramuscular injection of OsHV-1 suspensions were characterized by a sudden mortality (around 55 %) occurring only 2 days after injection (Fig. 1). At Day 6 post-injection, the cumulative mortality was around 80% and reach 90 % at the end of the experiment (Day 10).

Mortalities rates observed in batches of oysters cohabited with moribund oysters were significantly lower than those recorded in oysters infected by intramuscular injection ($P<0.001$). Mortality rates increased gradually from Day 1 to Day 8 and reached a maximum at 50 % at Day 10. No mortality was observed in both negative controls.

3.2. Quantification of OsHV-1 DNA in seawater samples

The kinetic of OsHV-1 DNA detection was monitored by qPCR in seawater during the experiment. No virus DNA was detected in seawater samples at T0. Six hours after addition of oysters experimentally infected by OsHV-1 per intramuscular injection, the amount of virus DNA in seawater increased to reach $1 \times 10^2$ DNA copies/µL and remained stable up to 48 h (Fig. 2). No statistical differences were evidenced between the three different tanks from 6 h to 48 h. After 54 h, once that intramuscularly injected oysters were removed, the amount of viral DNA decreased slightly as approximately $2.46 \times 10^1$ DNA copies/µL (Fig. 2). Then, the number of viral DNA copies in seawater increased again at 72 h with a maximal significant amount of viral DNA of $1 \times 10^3$ DNA copies/µL detected at 102 h ($P=0.033$) (Fig. 2). Finally, results revealed a last phase of decrease of the mean number of OsHV-1 DNA copies in seawater from 120 h to 168 h, where the amount of viral DNA appeared less than $1 \times 10^1$ DNA copies/µL. No virus DNA was detected in seawater sampled in both negative controls all along the experiment (data not shown).

3.3. Viral DNA quantification in oyster tissues

After the acclimatization of animals in Ifremer’s rearing facilities and just before starting the experiment, the initial status of OsHV-1 DNA detection was defined. For this purpose, pieces of mantle were collected from 14 individuals corresponding to more than 2.3% of the total population of oysters used for the experiment. Results of qPCR analyses did not allow to detect OsHV-1 DNA (Table 1).

The quantification of OsHV-1 DNA was also carried out in oysters intramuscularly injected with OsHV-1 suspension and used during the cohabitation experiment. Of 14 individuals analyzed, corresponding approximately to 10% of injected animals, virus DNA amounts ranged from $9.20 \times 10^3$ to $3.96 \times 10^5$ DNA copies ng$^{-1}$ of total DNA extracted from tissues,
with an mean virus DNA amount estimated to $1.37 \times 10^5$ DNA copies ng$^{-1}$ of total DNA extracted from tissues.

The quantification of viral DNA was also assessed in different tissues sampled from oysters having cohabitated with moribund experimentally infected oysters. The kinetic of virus DNA detection was similar between adductor muscle, mantle, gills, and hemocytes (Fig. 3). For all sampling times, each tissue displayed positive results. After 6 h of cohabitation, the highest mean viral DNA amount was observed in hemocytes with $1.93 \times 10^2$ viral DNA copies ng$^{-1}$ of total DNA extracted from tissues. For other organs, values were significantly lower ($P=0.025$). Means of virus DNA amounts were $1.40 \times 10^1$ and $2.73 \times 10^1$ for adductor muscle and digestive gland, respectively. Mean values reported for gills and mantle were below $1 \times 10^1$ viral DNA copies ng$^{-1}$ of total DNA extracted from tissues. Until Day 2, virus DNA amounts remained stable in the different tissues. A significant increase of the virus DNA amount was then observed from 72 h to 96 h post-cohabitation in all analyzed tissues ($P<0.037$), except for the digestive gland for which the number of viral DNA copies did not show any significant difference during the rest of the experiment (Fig. 3). The highest virus DNA amounts were obtained at 96 h post-cohabitation and were assessed to $4.66 \times 10^4$, $1.27 \times 10^5$, $1.23 \times 10^5$ and $3.38 \times 10^5$ DNA copies ng$^{-1}$ of total DNA extracted from tissues in hemocytes, gills, mantle and adductor muscle, respectively (Fig. 3). These virus DNA amounts were not significantly different between analyzed tissues ($P=0.622$). For longer period of cohabitation, results of qPCR analysis showed a significant decline of virus DNA amounts in each type of tissue (Fig. 3).

The quantification of virus DNA was performed in the different pooled tissues of oysters corresponding to negative controls at 48 h and 96 h. Results did not show virus DNA above $1 \times 10^1$ DNA copies ng$^{-1}$ of total DNA extracted from tissues. A significant correlation was evidenced between mortality recorded during cohabitation and viral DNA amounts quantified in different oyster tissues, including hemocytes ($\rho=0.574; P=0.007$), gills ($\rho=0.598; P=0.004$), mantle ($\rho=0.672; P<0.001$) and adductor muscle ($\rho=0.712; P<0.001$). No correlation was notified regarding the digestive gland.

4. Discussion

Previous studies have led to hypothesize ways of OsHV-1 transmission in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. It has been notably suggested that asymptomatic OsHV-1 infected oysters may play a role of reservoirs of the virus and that seawater may act as a vector in the horizontal transmission of OsHV-1 (Arzul et al., 2002; Le Deuff et al., 1996). Although experimental OsHV-1 infections has been carried out successfully in *C. gigas* at larval stages (Le Deuff et al., 1994, 1996), attempts to reproduce the disease in oyster spat have been inconclusive until 2008. A first experimental data set indicated that it was possible to transmit OsHV-1 to *C. gigas* spat, in cohabitation experiments using live infected larvae. A 40% mortality rate of challenged spat was only observed when the spat were kept in stressful conditions. In those experiments, control mock-challenged spat presented a mortality rate of 20% (Renault, unpublished data). However, when holding conditions were improved, so reducing the stress levels of the animals, no significant mortalities were observed. In 2008, a protocol based on intramuscular injection of viral suspensions prepared from naturally infected fresh oysters, collected during mortality outbreaks occurring in the field during the summer period, allowed to reproduce the viral disease in *C. gigas* spat in experimental conditions (Schikorski et al, submitted). The availability of OsHV-1 experimentally infected oysters allowed us to design an experimental approach which permits to mimic infections occurring in natural conditions on the field. The kinetic of OsHV-1 DNA detection in oyster tissues and seawater was then investigated in experimental conditions.

In the present experiment, oysters experimentally infected by intramuscular injection of OsHV-1 suspension served as the source of infective virus particles. Mortality recorded in these infected oysters reached approximately 80% 4 days post-injection, confirming the
reproducibility of the experimental infection protocol developed in 2008 (Schikorski et al., submitted). An interval of 2 days seems to be necessary between injection and the outbreak of mortality. This period may reflect the incubation period required for the virus to initiate an intense replication phase leading to irreversible cell damages and oyster mortality, as previously suggested by Sauvage et al. (2009). The injected oysters do seem to have become infected with OsHV-1 and when they were cohabited with healthy oysters the latter became infected. Interestingly, mortality rates recorded in initially healthy oysters during the cohabitation experiment were lower and required a longer period (50% of mortality after 8 days). This longer period could be related to the time needed by the virus to be conveyed in seawater to attain naïve individuals and to infect them by natural means, which is more representative of what happens in natural conditions. Once again, these results corroborate suppositions made by Sauvage et al. (2009) suggesting that OsHV-1 outbreaks observed in the field could takes place during a mean period of 10 days which could correspond to the required time for the transmission of the virus and the development of the disease in favorable temperature conditions. In our study, experimental assays were performed at 22°C, a temperature reported in the field during the summer period and currently associated with the development of OsHV-1 infections (Soletchnik et al., 1998).

Furthermore, differences in cumulative mortality rates between injected animals and cohabited animals - 90% versus 50% - could be first explained by the different routes of infection. Unlike the injection protocol consisting to infect directly oysters by injection of the infective virus suspension in the adductor muscle, we could suppose that some oysters could be not contaminated by the virus in the case of cohabitation and interpreted as being not to have been infected. Moreover, results may suggest that effective infection also depended of the quantity of virus particles in contact with oysters. The quantity of estimated virus particles injected based on virus DNA quantification was around 1.5 x 10^7 DNA copies per oyster. As the mean quantity of virus particles detected in seawater was 1 x 10^2 DNA copies μl^-1 6 hours after adding experimentally infected oysters, we can assume that the maximal quantity of virus particles was 2.5 x 10^9 in each tank. Thus, the amount of virus particles available for each oyster (100 per tank) at a particular time may be estimated at 2.5 x 10^7. This quantity is comparable to the virus particle amount injected in oysters (1.5 x 10^7 DNA). Moreover, on the assumption that juvenile oysters (40mm) would be able to filter a mean of 0.5 L of seawater/h (Bougrier et al., 1995), an oyster would then filtrate approximately 5 x 10^7 equiv. virus particles/h. Consequently, a competition may exist between oysters in term of accumulation of virus particles. In this context, a relationship between filtration activity and susceptibility to the viral disease may be suspected. However, it is necessary to keep in mind that qPCR used to quantify OsHV-1 DNA did not specify whether copies of viral DNA corresponded to infectious viruses (enveloped virus particles), which are necessary to initiate the viral infection in host-cells (Lyman and Enquist, 2009). The presumption of the existence of genetic basis for resistance to OsHV-1 infections could also explain that some oyster spat survived at the end of our experiment, and that whether in the case of cohabitation (50% after 10 days) or intramuscular injection protocols (10% after 10 days). These observations could be attributed to the presence of better immunological and physiological capacities in some animals, which reduce their susceptibility against the development of the disease. Furthermore, we could also suggest that epithelial surfaces could act as physical barrier in horizontal routes of infection, activating innate immunity response that intramuscular route could bypass.

Molecular techniques have been developed to detect OsHV-1 in infected oysters (Arzul et al., 2002; Le Deuff et al., 1995; Lipart and Renault, 2002; Renault et al., 2000a; Renault and Lipart, 1998). Among these methods, the qPCR quantification (Pepin et al., 2008) can be used to measure virus DNA amounts in both oyster tissues and seawater samples. In situ hybridization analysis, using specific DIG-labeled probes showed that OsHV-1 was able to infect different tissues of C. gigas including gills, labial palps, mantle, digestive gland, heart, adductor muscle, gonads and nervous ganglia (Lipart and Renault, 2002). Moreover, gills and mantle appeared as organs target for the viral replication and thus have been recommended for epidemiological studies (Arzul et al., 2002; Pepin et al., 2008; Sauvage et
In the present study, OsHV-1 DNA was quantified in haemocytes, gills, mantle, adductor muscle, and digestive gland. OsHV-1 DNA was detected in all tissues only 6 hours after the start of the cohabitation assay. At this sampling date, the highest virus DNA amount was reported in haemocytes (>1 x 10^5 DNA copies ng⁻¹ of total DNA extracted from tissues). This result may be interpreted as a rapid penetration of the virus in the haemolymphatic system and explained because of the open circulatory system present in oysters. Maximal virus DNA amounts were observed in all tissues 96 hours after the beginning of the cohabitation. Values ranging from 1 x 10^4 to 1 x 10^5 DNA copies ng⁻¹ of total DNA extracted from tissues can be the result of virus replication in target tissues leading to irreversible cell damages and oyster mortality. Such a result has been previously reported in the mantle of infected oysters (Sauvage et al., 2009). Concerning the digestive gland, virus DNA amounts ranging from 1 x 10^2 to 1 x 10^3 copies of viral DNA ng⁻¹ of total DNA extracted from tissue were detected early suggesting than this organ may play a role for the entry of the virus during the feeding step. However, important variations of viral DNA amounts were observed between the experimental tanks. These results can be justified by the presence of high quantity of PCR enzymatic inhibitors in the digestive gland (Kaufman et al., 2004). Although OsHV-1 has been detected in nervous tissues based on ISH (Lipart and Renault, 2002), the small size of oyster nervous ganglia, and consequently the difficulty to dissect them, did not permit to study the virus DNA quantification in such tissues.

Although no virus DNA was detected at the start of the experiment in examined healthy oysters (14 individuals), low virus DNA amounts were detected in some pools of asymptomatic oysters collected from both negative controls during the time course of the experiment. However, no mortality has been recorded in these controls. Experimental conditions might induce stress and cause virus replication at low levels in healthy carrier oysters without inducing an expressed disease. Arzul et al. (2002) have already reported the detection of virus DNA in healthy animals and suggested the existence of healthy carriers. These observations are corroborated by Pepin et al. (2008) supporting that oysters showing a virus DNA amount inferior to 1 x 10^1 DNA copies ng⁻¹ of total DNA extracted from tissue were not associated with mortalities (Le Deuff et al., 1996; Sauvage et al., 2009). In our study, such low virus DNA amounts were also reported in some animals still alive at the end of the experiment of cohabitation (data not shown). However, these observations do not allow us to dismiss the hypothesis that these animals could maybe develop more slowly the disease, which could lead to their death the days following the discontinuation of the experiment.

Virus DNA quantification was also performed in seawater during all the time course of the experiment. Results indicated that virus DNA was not detected at the start of the experiment and virus DNA amounts then increased rapidly to approximately 1 x 10^2 DNA copies/µL of water 6 h after moribund experimentally infected oysters have been added in aquaria, and remained stable during the first 48 hours. As the experiment was conducted in a closed system, without seawater change, these results may indicate that the virus detected in oysters during the course of the experiment was not related to a virus contamination of the water supply at the start of the study. A lightly decrease of virus DNA amounts corresponding to the removing time of dead experimentally infected oysters was observed after 48 hours. Although the initial source of virus particles has been removed from aquaria, a second increase of virus DNA amounts was notified after 96 hours with maximal values at 102 hours. These results suggested that oysters were infected after cohabitation with injected oysters and leached infective virus particles which can participate to the spread of the disease.

A bacterial monitoring was also carried out during the experiment in seawater and in the haemolymph of moribund oysters (data not show). The research of pathogenic bacterial strains of Vibrio sp. known to be currently implicated in mortality outbreaks of C. gigas, such as Vibrio splendidus and Vibrio aestuarianus, was performed by qPCR (Saulnier et al., 2009). Both no detectable Vibrio aestuarianus DNA and no significant increase in Vibrio splendidus DNA were evidenced in samples tested during cohabitation trial monitoring (data not shown), suggesting that mortalities occurring during the course of the experiment can be attributed to OsHV-1 infection alone.
In conclusion, this work constitutes the first study on the kinetic of OsHV-1 DNA detection in experimentally infected *C. gigas* by cohabitation. Mass mortality and virus detection only occurred in oysters cohabited with injected oysters suggesting that the main source of virus was from the experimentally infected oysters. Taken together, results suggested that infective virus particles could first enter thought the digestive gland and the haemolymphatic system. In a second step, virus particles could be transported by haemolymph to the different target organs before to finally engage an intense replication phase conducting to the development of the disease in target tissues. As previously evocated by Sauvage et al. (2009), improved knowledge about the transmission of OsHV-1 may contributed to the establishment of practical precautions and epidemiological recommendations, which would contribute to reduce the impact of virus contamination of Pacific oysters. Some technical practices occurring frequently in oyster aquaculture, such as transfers of oyster batches, might represent a risk for the spread of the disease, and especially during the summer period. Indeed, at the view of present results, a short time of contact appears sufficient for virus transmission from infected oysters to healthy ones.
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Tableau 1: Real-time PCR quantification of OsHV-1 DNA in the mantle of healthy oysters collected prior the beginning of the experiment and in oysters dead 2 days after intramuscular injection of viral suspension (expressed as viral DNA copy number ng⁻¹ of total DNA extracted from tissues samples).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Origin of animals</th>
<th>Number of individuals analysed</th>
<th>Minimal individual viral load</th>
<th>Maximal individual viral load</th>
<th>Average viral load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial portage of OsHV-1 in animals used in this study</td>
<td>1 year oysters Prevost pond France</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0 No Ct</td>
<td>0 No Ct</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead individuals 2 days after intramuscular injection of the viral suspension of OsHV-1</td>
<td>1 year oysters Prevost pond France</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.20 x 10⁵³</td>
<td>3.96 x 10⁶⁵</td>
<td>1.37 x 10⁵⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Mean cumulative mortality of Pacific oysters *Crassostrea gigas* experimentally infected by OsHV-1 either after intramuscular injection of a fresh viral suspension (straight line) or after 2 days of cohabitation with experimentally infected oysters in the case of the horizontal transmission protocol (dashed line). Results are represented as the percentage of mean cumulative mortality ± SD (n = 3).
Figure 2: Kinetic of OsHV-1 DNA detection in seawater. Virus DNA amounts were quantified by real-time quantitative PCR. Results are expressed as viral DNA copy number/µL of seawater. Data obtained from the 3 different experimental tanks are represented. *: No virus DNA was detected at time 0.

Figure 3: Kinetics of virus DNA detection in different tissues of *Crassostrea gigas* Pacific oyster spat after cohabitation with experimentally OsHV-1 infected oyster spat. Virus DNA amounts were quantified by real-time quantitative PCR in haemocytes (A), gills (B), mantle (C), adductor muscle (D) and digestive gland (E). Results are expressed as viral DNA copy number/ng of total DNA extracted from tissues samples. The black lines represent the average kinetic of OsHV-1 DNA detection in different oyster tissues during cohabitation with moribund oysters. Data obtained from the 3 different experimental tanks are represented.