Dietary protein hydrolysate and trypsin inhibitor effects on digestive capacities and performances during early-stages of spotted wolffish: Suggested mechanisms
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Abstract:
Growth rate is dependent upon adequate provision of amino acids especially in newly-hatched fish which experience very high growth rate. The replacement of a fraction of protein content by partially hydrolyzed (pre-digested) proteins was carried out and the digestive capacities and performances of larval/juvenile spotted wolffish (Anarhichas minor) were measured. The goal of this study was to verify whether the scope for growth is principally dictated by the proteolytic capacity of the digestive system by examining the effect of protein hydrolysates (PH) and trypsin inhibitor dietary inclusion on protein digestion/assimilation capacities, growth and survival. Four experimental diets were examined: C (control) I (supplemented with 750 mg/kg soybean trypsin inhibitor (SBTI)) H (supplemented with 20% PH) and HI (supplemented with 20% PH and 750 mg/kg SBTI). Protein hydrolysate supplementation gave significantly higher body mass than control at day 15 post-hatching. Unexpectedly, at day 30 and 60, fish administered diet HI (containing trypsin inhibitor) were heavier than the other groups. Suggested mechanisms are presented and discussed. The main conclusions of this study are that wolffish larval stage lasts roughly 15 days and that juvenile growth is linked to proteolytic capacity, but also very likely to absorption capacity of peptides and amino acids.

Keywords : Spotted wolffish; Anarhichas minor; Early-stages; Trypsin inhibitor; Protein hydrolysate; Digestive capacity; SBTI
Keywords:...

Introduction

Along with the dynamic and complex processes of organ differentiation and morphogenesis, the newly-hatched fish experience the highest growth rate that they will ever achieve in their entire lifetime (Gisbert and Williot, 2002; Sala et al. 2005). Growth rate is mainly a function of protein synthesis and deposition and is the net income of behavioural and physiological processes that begins with food intake (Lamarre et al. 2010). Accordingly, and especially during the early stages, rapid growth is reliant upon adequate provision of amino acids (aa). It has been suggested that protein digestion and amino acids assimilation could set a limit on growth rate in juvenile fish (Blier et al. 1997). Not surprisingly, trypsin activity, a key-enzyme of protein digestion, is correlated to growth rate and survival in various fish species (Sharma and Chakrabarti, 1999; Lemieux et al., 1999) including wolffishes (Lamarre et al., 2004; 2007). Growth performances are thus clearly limited by the efficiency of the digestive system to provide amino acids for protein synthesis and energy production.

Protein is usually the main component of fish feed and fish rely on proteases for their digestion. Many studies have shown that the replacement of a fraction of protein content by partially hydrolyzed (pre-digested) proteins could enhance performances of larval fish. If digestive capacity is a limiting factor at first-feeding, offering more digestible dietary proteins should improve both growth and survival, since proteins are absorbed mainly as smaller peptides or single amino acids (Silk et al., 1985; Rust, 1995; Ronnestad et al., 2001; Kotzamanis et al. 2007).

Positive effects of dietary protein hydrolysate supplementation on growth and survival have only been reported on larval stages of fish when the digestive system is actively developping. Medium level of inclusion of protein hydrolysate enhanced survival and/or growth in larval stages of seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*, Zambonino Infante et al. 1997; Cahu et al. 1999), common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*, Carvalho et al., 1997) and barramundi (*Lates calcarifer*, Nankervis and Southgate, 2009). On the other hand, no or negative effects of PH have been reported on juvenile fish such as rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Stone et al., 1989) and juvenile turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*, Oliva-Teles et al., 1999). Most marine fish larvae hatch with a rudimentary digestive system and undergo a metamorphosis prior to the onset of exogenous feeding. Newly-hatched larvae generally display low activity of digestive enzymes...
(Kolkovski, 2001) and many species do not even present a functional stomach (Govoni et al., 1986). On the other hand, spotted wolfish (*Anarhichas minor*, Olafsen) is a particular case because it displays external morphology and internal organs that are not typical of larval fish (Falk-Petersen and Hansen, 2001). This species hatches well developed at a relatively large size (20-24 mm), displays a fully functional digestive system, fairly high trypsin activity (Desrosiers et al., 2008; Savoie et al., 2008) and readily accept exogenous food (Falk-Petersen & Hansen 2001; Lamarre et al. 2004; 2007). Accordingly, the distinction between larval and juvenile stages is rather arbitrary in fish (Paine and Balon 1984; Flegler-Balon 1989, Copp and Kovac, 1996) and wolfish hatch with very few remaining larval characteristics (Falk-Petersen and Hansen, 2001). In this experiment, fish up to 15 days post-hatching (DPH) were considered as newly-hatched fish and after 30 DPH as true juveniles. This bottom-dwelling marine fish has been identified as a threatened species in Canadian coastal waters (Kulka et al., 2007), a species of concern in the USA (AWBRT, 2009) and is the object of directed research and development efforts that include aquaculture interests as well as population conservation concerns (Le François et al. 2002; 2010). Hence, a better understanding of the early-life processes governing survival and growth of spotted wolfish is warranted.

Trypsins (TRYP) and chymotrypsins (CHY) are proteolytic enzymes secreted by the pancreas and liberated in the digestive tract as inactive precursors, respectively trypsinogen and chymotrypsinogen, activated once in the digestive tract. They are both endopeptidases that break peptide bonds of specific non-terminal amino acids within the protein. Aspartate amino transferase (AST) is indicative of the capacity to oxidize amino acids for energy production or of transamination for protein synthesis.

The goal of this study was to verify whether the scope for growth is dictated by the proteolytic capacity by examining the effect of protein hydrolysates (PH) and trypsin inhibitor dietary inclusion on protein digestion/assimilation capacities, growth and survival. The inhibitor used in this study was soybean trypsin inhibitor (SBTI), which inhibits trypsin and to a lesser extent, chymotrypsin. We suggest that the capacity to digest proteins sets a limit to the expression of growth potential in first-feeding wolfish. The first prediction that we formulate to test this hypothesis is that growth will be enhanced by the presence of PH in the feed but hindered by the addition of a trypsin inhibitor. The second prediction is that the decrease in growth rate induced by the inhibitor of trypsin will be counterbalanced by the inclusion of PH.
Material and method

Experimental animals and rearing conditions

The study was carried out at the facilities of the Centre Aquacole Marin de Grande-Rivière, Québec, Canada. Fertilized spotted wolffish eggs originating from captive female wild broodstock were incubated as described in Savoie et al. (2006). Hatching began on February 28th 2007 and entire hatching was stimulated on March 13th by gentle mixing of the eggs. Day 0 of the experiment was considered to be March 14th. Newly hatched fish (mean weight 0.103 ±0.01g and mean length 24.5 ±1.4 mm) were randomly placed in each of the 12 low-level (2 cm) rearing units containing 1.5 litres with a water supply of 1 litre/minute and individual aeration (n=50 per unit). Feeding of all experimental groups was initiated on March 14th. Mean salinity during the sixty days of the experiment was of 31.3 ± 0.1, oxygen saturation was always above 85% (mean 86.8 ± 2.2%) and a 12/12-h light/dark cycle was adopted. Once a day, mortality was recorded, dead fish were removed and rearing units carefully cleaned.

Nutrition

The different treatments (diets) were randomly assigned to twelve rearing units (4 diets in triplicate). Fish were fed by hand each hour from 8am to 5pm for the entire experimental period. As suggested by Savoie et al. (2006), no live prey was distributed to avoid possible interference with negative or positive effects of experimental diets on survival rates. The composition of the four diets and processing details (previously described in Savoie et al. 2006) are provided in Table 1. The compound diets were formulated and processed at Ifremer, Centre de Brest (France). It was formulated in order to be isonitrogenous. The four experimental diets contained exactly the same ingredients except for hydrolysates and SBTI content. In the diets “C” and “I”, the protein fraction was fish meal. In the diets “H” and “HI”, the fish meal was replaced by 20% of PH. Two pellet sizes were used: 400-800 and 800-1200 μm according to the fish length and according to the feeding tables adopted in Savoie et al. (2006).

Sampling

Twenty-five fish were sampled at day 0 and four fish per tank were sampled at day 15, 30 and 60 (total: 205 fish). Fish were fasted for 18 hours before sampling. Individual weight (g) and length (cm) were noted and the fish were quickly frozen at –80°C until analysis. A
supplementary 11 fish were weighed (g) and measured (mm) at each sampling date in order to
evaluate growth and calculate productivity of the different experimental groups. Productivity
(total biomass produced/tank) was calculated as follow: mean final fish weight X remaining
individuals in a tank (Lopes et al., 2001).

Table 1. Composition of the experimental diets for spotted wolffish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients¹ (in %)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>HI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish meal</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPC 90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precooked Potatoe starch</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cod liver oil</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy lecithin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin Mixture²</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Mixture³</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betaine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBTI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>750 mg/kg</td>
<td>750 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Dietary ingredients were commercially obtained. Fish meal, and cod liver oil were from
La Lorientaise (Lorient, France). The soy lecithin was from Ets Louis François (St Maur
des Fossés, France). The potato precooked starch (Nutralys) was from Roquette (Lille,
France). HPC 90 was from Ocean NutraSciences (Matane, Quèbec, Canada), SBTI
(Trypsin soybean inhibitor) was from Sigma (T-9128).

²Per kg of vitamin mix: retinyl acetate 1 g; cholecalciferol 2.5 mg; all-rac- α-tocopherol
acetate 10 g; menadione 1 g; thiamin 1 g; riboflavin 0.4 g; D- calcium pantothenate 2 g;
pyridoxine HCl 0.3 g; cyanocobalamin 1 g; niacin 1 g; choline chloride 200 g; ascorbic
acid 20 g; folic acid 0.1 g; biotine 1 g; meso-inositol 30 g.

³Per kg of mineral mix: KCl 90 g; KI 40 mg; CaHPO₄·2H₂O 500 g; NaCl 40 g;
CuSO₄·5H₂O 3 g; ZnSO₄·7H₂O 4 g; CoSO₄·7H₂O 20 mg; FeSO₄·7H₂O 20 g; MnSO₄·H₂O 3
g; CaCO₃ 215 g; MgSO₄·7H₂O 124 g; NaF 1 g.
Enzymatic assays

Whole individuals were thawed on ice and homogenised in 9 volumes of Tris-HCl buffer (100mM, pH 7.5) using a Ultra Turrax T25 (IKA Labortechnik) electrical homogeniser for three 10-s periods. Between each period, samples were kept on ice for 1 min. Homogenate was centrifuged at 7000 g for 1 minute prior to the enzymatic assays. The different enzyme activities were measured using a Lambda 11 UV/VIS spectrophotometer equipped with a thermostated cell holder (Perkin Helmer inc.). Conditions for enzyme assays were as follows:

Aspartate aminotransferase (AST, E.C. 2.6.1.1): Aspartate (22mM), phosphate buffer (100mM) pH 7.4 (Schwartz, 1971). Trypsin (TRY, E.C. 3.4.21.4): Benzoyl-L-arginine-p-nitroanilide (2.3 mM), Tris-HCl 0.2 M and CaCl2 0.04 M buffer, pH 8.0 (Erlanger et al., 1961 Desrosiers et al. 2008, Lemieux and Blier, 2007). Chymotrypsin (CHY, E.C. 3.4.21.1): Succinyl-Ala-Ala-Pro-Phe-p-nitroanilide (2 mM), Tris-HCl 0.1 M and CaCl2 0.01 M buffer, pH 7.8 (Delmar et al., 1979). Total protein content of muscle was determined using the bicinchoninic acid method (Smith et al. 1985). Enzyme assays were conducted at 15 °C and protein analyses were conducted at room temperature (≈23 °C). All enzymatic assays were run in duplicate and protein assays in triplicate.

Molecular weight distribution of the protein hydrolysate

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Molecular weight distribution of the HPC90 protein hydrolysate (Ocean NutraScience Inc., Matane (QC), Canada) was determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) on a Superdex Peptide HR 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare, Baie-d’Urfé, QC, Canada) with an exclusion limit of 1x10^5 Da (13 μm, 10 x 300-310 mm) using a high-pressure liquid chromatography system (HPLC Waters, Mississauga, ON, Canada)(Beaulieu et al., 2009). The system was equipped with a Waters 996 Photo Diode Array detector, a Waters 600 solvent delivery pump and a Waters 717 plus autosampler. The mobile phase (isocratic) consisted of 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer containing 150 mM of NaCl at pH 7.0. In accordance with instructions from the supplier, the column was calibrated using peptides of known molecular weight (GE Healthcare, Baie-d’Urfé, QC, Canada) as reference samples. Ribonuclease A (1mg/ml), aprotinin (1mg/ml), vitamin B12 (0.1mg/ml) and cytidine 5’-monophosphate (Sigma, Oakville, ON, Canada) (0.1mg/ml) were mixed together for the calibration of the Superdex Peptide HR 10/300 GL column. This yielded a near linear correlation between the retention time and the log of the molecular mass of peptides in the range of 367 to 13700 Da. Millenium32 (version 3.2)
software was used to analyse the chromatographic data. The protein hydrolysate sample (50 μl, 1 mg/ml) prepared in 50 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.0 was eluted at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min and monitored at an absorbance of 280 nm.

Statistical analyses

To detect the potential effect of diet on survival rate, productivity and final weight, an analysis of variance was performed using the general linear model procedure in Systat 10.2 computer software. Survival rates were arcsin transformed. When a significant difference was detected, a Fisher LSD post hoc test was used. To detect possible interaction between the effect of diet and weight on enzymatic activities, analysis of covariance (factors: diet, weight and diet × weight) was performed using the general linear model procedure. When no effect of weight on enzymatic activity was detected within a group, an analysis of variance with diet as factor was performed. When a significant interaction was detected between diet and weight, a linear regression of weight and enzymatic activity was performed for each diet.

Enzymatic activities were log transformed prior to analysis. Treatments were considered significantly different when P<0.1.

Results

Inhibitory capacity of the formulated diets containing SBTI

In order to confirm the effectiveness of SBTI when processed in the experimental feed, gross inhibitory activity was initially evaluated and compared for diets C, H, HI and I. Pellets of the four different diets were homogenized with 9 volumes of Tris-HCl as described above. Trypsin activity was measured according to Erlanger et al. (1961). The concentration of trypsin added to the mixture was 100 U/ml. Assay was repeated 8 times for each diet. The trypsin activity was 1.58 ± 0.27, 1.62 ± 0.18, 0.55 ± 0.09 and 0.51 ± 0.12 U for diet C, H, HI and I respectively. There was no significant difference between C and H diet nor between HI and I but in diets containing SBTI, trypsin activities were reduced by three-fold and significantly lower than in the two other diets. As a result, the inhibitory activity of SBTI in the diets HI and I was confirmed and judged effective.

Analysis of the shrimp protein hydrolysate HPC 90

The result of the molecular weight analysis (SEC) is presented in Fig. 1. Our estimation revealed that the experimental hydrolysate was composed of 87% of peptides of around 1900 Da and 13 % of peptides of less than 303 Da. This suggest that the protein hydrolysate was
mostly composed of oligopeptides of 10-20 amino acids residues and contained very few free amino acids.

Survival, productivity and weight

At the end of the trial, there was a significant effect of diet on survival and productivity (Figure 1). Survival was 67.3 ± 3.5, 82.7 ± 5.7, 84.7 ± 5.9, 44.7 ± 10.9 % and productivity was 38.1 ± 1.0, 46.2 ± 3.7, 58.8 ± 2.8 and 24.5 ± 5.5 g of fish/tank for group C, H, HI and I respectively. Diet HI enhanced both productivity and survival compared to the control group (p=0.02 and 0.085 respectively) while diet enhancements of productivity and survival failed to reach significance (p=0.155 and 0.132 respectively). Productivity and survival were significantly lower in group fed diet I compared to diet HI (p=0.001 and 0.006 respectively) and diet I was different from control diet for productivity only (p=0.029). It is noteworthy that a great variability between replicates, especially in the group I likely precluded differences between groups to be significant.

Fish mass according to diet and time are presented in Fig. 2. At day 15, fish mass was higher in both groups receiving diets containing hydrolysates (H and HI, p<0.000 and p=0.002 respectively) and lower for the group I (p=0.021) compared to control diet. At day 30 and 60, group H had the same mass as the control but group HI was heavier (p=0.001 and 0.008 for day 30 and 60 respectively). Group I (SBTI without PH) had a lower body mass of all groups after 15 and 30 days but at day 60 achieved a mean mass equivalent to group C and H (Fig.3).

Enzymatic activities

Mean enzymatic activities at day 0 of the experiment were 7.932 ± 0.325, 0.084 ± 0.008 and 1.208 ± 0.034 U/g fish for AST, CHY and TRY respectively (U per mg protein was calculated revealed the same trends but data are not presented). At day 15, there were some differences between groups but none were significantly different: AST activity was lower when inhibitor was present in the diet (groups HI and I), CHY was higher in group HI and TRY was lower in group I. At day 30, CHY and TRY activities were significantly higher in group HI than in group I. At day 60, only CHY activities were different between groups, CHY activity was higher in group HI than control and group I (Fig. 4).
Discussion

It was anticipated that PH would to some extent improve growth rate and survival and that SB
TI, would reduce protein digestion capacities through direct trypsin inhibition, and significantly
impair those same performance traits. Indeed, diet I (containing SBTI) caused more mortality
and slower growth and fish administered diet H had a higher body mass at day 15 (see Fig. 3).
However, diet H did not lead to better performances after 30 and 60 days suggesting sufficient
maturation of the digestive system after 15 days to fulfill the digestive requirements in order to
maintain a fair growth rate. This is in accordance with many studies which found that hydrolysates
are beneficial to fish larvae (Szlaminska et al., 1993; Cahu and Zambonino-Infante, 1995; Carvalho et al., 1997; Zambonino Infante et al., 1997) but do not affect juvenile growth (Cahu and Zambonino-Infante, 2001). According to those results, newly-hatched wolffish up to 15 DPH would benefit from a diet supplemented with PH but not thereafter, when they could be considered as “true juveniles”.

In a previous study on the same species, Savoie et al. (2006) obtained some indications that protein hydrolysates could be beneficial to the young spotted wolfish but failed to obtain significant results. The proposed explanation for the absence of a clear benefit following PH dietary incorporation in this previous experiment, was linked to the insufficient degree of hydrolysis of the commercial protein hydrolysates used (> 6 500 Da: Asta-Pep™). In comparison, HPC90™ used in the present study presents a higher degree of hydrolysis (1900 Da).

The deleterious effect of the added SBTI was clearly demonstrated: mass and TRY activity were lower at day 15 and 30 in group I for which mean survival was the lowest (45%) of all experimental group. Fish in this group were probably in very bad condition by having a restricted access to appropriate amount of amino acids, normally obtained via trypsin breakdown of whole proteins. In this group, digestive capacities were hampered by the presence of SBTI and contrarily to group HI, access to amino acids was not insured by the supply of pre-digested proteins.

We foresaw some level of compensatory effect of PH when administered in combination with a trypsin inhibitor in the diet which would in the best of cases, display growth rates equivalent (or similar) to the control group. Unexpectedly, a highly significant positive impact of the combination of trypsin inhibitor and protein hydrolysate (HI) on growth performance was
observed after 60 days: survival and mass of group HI were around 25% higher and 20% higher than that of the control group. Adding only protein hydrolysate to the diet (group H) enhanced survival almost as much as group HI but did not enhance final fish mass. Even if it is well known that PH is not beneficial to juveniles, we were expecting the group H to perform better than HI since their digestive capacities were not hampered by an inhibitor. Different processes that might help to explain those results are discussed below.

Sveier et al. (2001) working on Atlantic salmon achieved best growth rates when both protease inhibitor and protein hydrolysate were added to the diet. They suggested that the changes in digestion and absorption patterns caused by the inhibitor might result in an extended digestion time and better protein utilization for growth.

Pre-digested proteins are more readily absorbed than intact proteins and result in a high postprandial peak of amino acids in the plasma (Espe et al., 1993, 1999). Carvalho et al. (2004) suggested that dietary excess of di- and tri-peptides was linked to reduced early-stage performance in common carp (Cyprinus carpio) either due to saturation of the peptide transport mechanisms and/or to the rapid hydrolysis of low-molecular weight peptides, that produced an excessive amino acid load, that in turn saturated the amino acid intestinal transport mechanisms.

In adult fish and in mammals, cholecystokinin (CCK) plays a major role in the pancreatic enzyme secretion (Singer, 1993). This gastro-intestinal hormone is secreted in response to the presence of nutrients in the lumen (Liddle, 1997) and stimulates trypsin and chymotrypsin secretion (Einarsson et al., 1997). On the other hand, trypsin acts with a feedback signal by degrading the CCK releasing factor and terminating CCK secretion (Herzig, 1998; Liddle, 2000; Cahu et al., 2004). In fact, food (proteins) act as a substrate for trypsin, bind it and prevent degradation of CCK releasing factor. In this particular case, trypsin was inactivated by SBTI and did not give the signal to stop pancreatic secretion. Growth could then be enhanced via an overcompensation of digestive enzyme secretion. This would imply that other digestive enzymes than trypsin would set digestive capacity and growth at these young stages (Rungruangsak-Torrissen, 2006).

Chymotrypsin activity was always higher in group HI (significant at day 30 and 60) but in group I, values were similar to control group. Fish of group I were likely in bad condition and
overcompensation of chymotrypsin secretion was not expressed in this group. In the case of TRY this overcompensation seemed to allow a steady state of the activity level despite the presence of the inhibitor. These results suggest that the improved performances of the groups provided with trypsin inhibitor and protein hydrolysate partly depend on overcompensation which is induced only when supplemented with small peptides. In a recent study Lilleeng et al. (2007) reported an upregulation of trypsin-like activity in the distal intestine wall. This increased activity may contribute to higher trypsin activity in the intestinal content and partly explain improved growth performance when PH is supplemented in presence of trypsin inhibitor. This hypothesis could be also validated via the quantification of trypsin and chymotrypsin messenger RNA because enzyme activities do not necessarily equal their synthesis rate. In the case of trypsin and chymotrypsin, these are secreted in the form of inactive zymogens: respectively trypsinogen and chymotrypsinogen. These have to be activated by enterokinase or by their own active form. Consequently, if the enzymes are produced but readily inactivated by SBTI, their normal “self-activation” could be impaired resulting in a large quantity of zymogens but no measurable activity.

The PH used in our experiment was processed by enzymatic hydrolysis with endopeptidase (Seanergy inc. technical information on HPC90™). Endogenous exoproteases (which non-specifically cuts terminal amino acids from the peptide chain) may therefore play a more important role in the final digestion of PH than trypsin which is an endopeptidase. SBTI may extend the life of these exoproteases in the gut by blocking their digestion by trypsin thus increasing their net activity. Completion of the final steps of peptide digestion could then be facilitated and scope for growth of fish enhanced (Ross, N. Pers. Comm..). This hypothesis could be tested by estimating synthesis and expression of this exopeptidase under the same conditions used in the present study.
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**Figure captions**

Figure 1 Molecular weight distribution of the HPC90 shrimp protein hydrolysate (Ocean NutraScience Inc., Matane (QC), Canada) determined by gel permeation chromatography

Figure 2 Survival (%) and productivity (g/tank, mean weight * survival) of spotted wolffish at the end of the experiment (60 DPH).

Figure 3 Mean weight of spotted wolffish according to diet (C, H, HI, I) and days post-hatching

Figure 4 Enzymatic activities of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), chymotrypsin (CHY) and trypsin (TRY) of entire larvae of spotted wolffish according to diet (C, H, HI, I) and days post-hatching
The graph illustrates the effects of different diets on productivity and survival. The x-axis represents four diets: C, H, HI, and I. The y-axis represents the percentage of productivity and survival. The line graph and bar charts show the following:

- Diet C has the highest productivity and survival, indicated by 'a' along the line graph and bar chart.
- Diet H has a moderate productivity and survival, indicated by 'ab' along the line graph and bar chart.
- Diet HI has the lowest productivity and survival, indicated by 'b' along the line graph and bar chart.
- Diet I has the lowest productivity and survival, indicated by 'c' along the line graph and bar chart.

The bar charts at the bottom of the graph further illustrate the differences in productivity and survival among the diets.