

## Black Sea “Lake” reservoir age evolution since the Last Glacial — Hydrologic and climatic implications

Guillaume Soulet<sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Guillemette Ménot<sup>a</sup>, Vincent Garreta<sup>a</sup>, Frauke Rostek<sup>a</sup>, Sébastien Zaragosi<sup>c</sup>,  
Gilles Lericolais<sup>b</sup>, Edouard Bard<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CEREGE, UMR6635, CNRS-IRD, Université Paul Cézanne Aix-Marseille, Collège de France, Europôle de l'Arbois, BP 80, 13545 Aix-en-Provence Cedex 04, France

<sup>b</sup> IFREMER, Centre de Brest, Géosciences Marines BP70, 29280 Plouzané Cedex, France

<sup>c</sup> Université Bordeaux I, UMR5805 EPOC, Avenue des Facultés, 33405 Talence, France

\*: Corresponding author : Guillaume Soulet, email address : [soulet@cerege.fr](mailto:soulet@cerege.fr)

### Abstract :

Chronologies of sediments that document the last glacial history of the Black Sea “Lake” are hampered by issues relating to reservoir age. Regulated by basin hydrology, reservoir ages represent a tool that could potentially be used to better understand the response of Black Sea “Lake” hydrology to climate change. Therefore, deciphering reservoir age evolution is crucial both for better constraining the basin chronological framework and for providing new insights into our understanding of Black Sea “Lake” hydrology.

By tuning a meaningful new high-resolution geochemical dataset (obtained from core MD04-2790) to a climate reference record, here, we propose a reliable chronology spanning the last 32 kyr BP. The chronology is compared to a large AMS radiocarbon dataset ( $n = 51$ ). Pairs of calendar and radiocarbon ages allowed us to compute reservoir ages, and to, then, reconstruct a high-resolution quantitative reservoir age record for the last glacial history of the Black Sea “Lake”.

The main factor controlling reservoir ages in lakes is the Hard Water Effect (HWE), which is regulated by basin hydrology. Therefore, changes in the reconstructed reservoir age record have been qualitatively interpreted in terms of the hydrologic responses of the Black Sea “Lake” to climate change. Our results allowed us to determine periods of complete isolation or outflow for the Black Sea “Lake”. During Heinrich Event 2 (HE2) and during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) the basin was strictly isolated, whereas prior to HE2 and during HE1 it outflowed into the Marmara Sea. Following the onset of the Bølling–Allerød, factors other than the HWE are thought to have influenced the reservoir age, preventing conclusive interpretations. We also determined an undocumented, to date, phase of Black Sea “Lake” stratification during the full glacial (HE2 and LGM). Our results indicate that reservoir age is a powerful tool for investigating and better understanding past hydrologic changes in lakes and inland seas.

### Research highlights

► Reservoir ages record hydrologic responses to climate change. ► By tuning, we provide a calendar chronology for Black Sea “Lake” sediments. ► Then, using a  $^{14}\text{C}$  age dataset, we provide a high-resolution reservoir age record. ► The Black Sea “Lake” outflowed into the Marmara Sea prior to HE2 and during HE1. ► The basin was strictly isolated during the LGM, with water column stratified.

**Keywords :** Black Sea; reservoir age; radiocarbon; Last Glacial; Last Deglaciation; paleoclimate

# 1. Introduction

---

During the last global lowstand and for much of deglacial ocean level rise, the Black Sea was disconnected from the global ocean and evolved as a giant lake with its water level controlled by regional climate (e.g. Ross et al., 1970; Schrader, 1979; Stoffers et al., 1978). The last reconnection of the Black Sea “Lake” to the global ocean occurred at 9,000 yr BP (Ryan, 2007; Soulet et al., 2011). The previous prevalent hypothesis of a smooth reconnection (e.g. Ross et al., 1970; Fedorov, 1971) was challenged when Ryan et al. (1997) proposed that Mediterranean waters breached the Bosphorus sill leading to a catastrophic refilling of the Black Sea basin. Attendant flooding of the vast emerged continental shelf would have led to a massive migration of Neolithic farmers, imprinting collective memory through culturally-widespread deluge myths (Ryan and Pitman, 1998). In a decade, the “Flood hypothesis”, as well as its possible cultural consequences, has been a matter of debate (e.g. Aksu et al., 2002a; Hiscott et al., 2007; Ryan, 2007; Ryan et al., 2003; Yanko-Hombach et al., 2007).

The lack of reliable Black Sea “Lake” level markers (Giosan et al., 2006; Pirazzoli, 1991), the scarcity of radiocarbon ages on *in situ* materials, and the difficulty in constraining basin reservoir ages (Giosan, 2007; Ryan, 2007; Kwiecien et al., 2008) mainly explain uncertainties surrounding the last reconnection. Since Black Sea “Lake” level is directly linked to the basin water budget, disentangling the last reconnection mystery also relies on a better understanding of the response of Black Sea “Lake” hydrology to glacial and deglacial climate changes. Recently, several high-resolution geochemical studies (Bahr et al., 2005, 2006, 2008; Kwiecien et al., 2008, 2009; Major et al., 2002, 2006) have considerably increased our knowledge regarding Black Sea “Lake” hydrologic responses to climate change. However, meaningful interpretations in terms of Black Sea “Lake” paleohydrology must rely upon robust chronologies, a limiting factor for most studies, due to poorly-constrained basin reservoir ages.

The reservoir age of a water body is the difference between the radiocarbon ages of the water body and the contemporary atmosphere (Arnold and Anderson, 1957; Bard, 1988; Craig, 1957; Stuiver and Polach, 1977; Stuiver et al., 1986; Suess and Revelle, 1957). Reservoir age changes throughout time are directly linked to changes in reservoir parameters (e.g. water input and output, exchange with the atmosphere, the size of the carbon pool, etc.) and in atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ . As a consequence, reservoir ages represent a powerful tool for reconstructing the response of the reservoir to climate change (e.g. Bard, 1988; Bard et al., 1994; Bondevik et al., 2006; Mangerud, 1972; Siani et al., 2001; Stuiver et al., 1986).

Closed basins (lakes, inland seas) are extremely sensitive to climate change. As a result, lake reservoir ages vary markedly (e.g. Stein et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2009). The strong sensitivity of the Black Sea “Lake” to climate change is now well established (Bahr et al., 2005, 2006, 2008; Kwiecien et al., 2008, 2009; Major et al., 2002, 2006). First attempts to decipher Black Sea “Lake” reservoir ages were performed using rough comparisons between Black Sea “Lake” geochemical records and Greenland ice core records (Kwiecien et al., 2008; Ryan, 2007). Although these pioneering results provided important insight into our understanding of Black Sea “Lake” reservoir age variability, they only represented rough estimations. To date, a single reservoir age has been quantitatively reported ( $1450 \pm 400$   $^{14}\text{C}$  yr; Kwiecien et al., 2008). Providing a quantitative reservoir age record throughout last glacial Black Sea “Lake” history is critical for properly calibrating radiocarbon ages obtained from biota grown within the basin and for better constraining the hydrologic response of this former lake to climate change.

Based upon new high-resolution geochemical records, obtained from a sedimentary sequence from the Black Sea tuned to  $^{230}\text{Th}$ -dated Hulu Cave climate records (Wang et al.,

2001), here, we propose a calendar chronology for glacial to deglacial sediment of the Black Sea “Lake”. The obtained calendar chronology is then compared to a previously published dataset of AMS radiocarbon ages (Bahr et al., 2005; Kwiecien et al., 2008; Major et al., 2002), as well as to numerous original dates. For this study, we developed a statistical method for computing reservoir ages, and provide a quantitative high-resolution reservoir age record of the Black Sea “Lake” spanning the last 32 yr BP. We also qualitatively interpret large and abrupt reservoir age changes in terms of the hydrologic responses of the Black Sea “Lake” to climate change.

## 2. Materials and Methods

---

### 2.1. Material

Piston core MD04-2790 was recovered in the upper slope of the NW Black Sea (44°12.8'N, 30°59.6'E; 352 m water depth), in the direct axis of the Danube River (Figure 1) during the ASSEMBLAGE 1 cruise, aboard *Marion Dufresne*. From the top to a depth of 1.24 m, the core revealed the typical marine stratigraphic Units I and II (Ross and Degens, 1974). The lowermost limnic unit, Unit III (Ross and Degens, 1974), was found at a depth of 1.24 m to the core base. The base of Unit III was not reached. A careful inspection of MD04-2790 core sediments revealed neither a turbiditic sequence nor a visible unconformity.

### 2.2. Methods

#### 2.2.1. High-resolution geochemical records (XRF profiles, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, and TOC)

The bulk intensity of numerous major elements was determined using an Avaatech XRF-core scanner (Jansen et al., 1998). Measurements were performed every millimeter on the split core at Ifremer (Brest, France) by setting the voltage to 10 kV and the intensity to 1000 mA. The acquisition time was 20 s. Here, we present XRF profiles useful to build the chronology of MD04-2790 sedimentary sequence: the Ca-intensity (calcium) and the Ti/Ca-ratio (titanium vs. calcium ratio) profiles.

The carbonate content (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) and the Total Organic Carbon (TOC) content were measured at CEREGE (Aix en Provence, France) with a FISON NA 1500 elemental analyzer, as described in Pailler and Bard (2002). Sediment samples were freeze-dried, crushed, and homogenized in an agate ball mill. Both the Total Carbon (TC) content and the TOC contents of each sample were determined in two separate analyses. We measured TOC after an acid removal of the carbonate fraction. Each TOC measurement was duplicated. In order to calculate the dry weight percentages of calcium carbonate, the following equation was applied:  $\text{CaCO}_3 = (\text{TC} - \text{TOC}) \times 8.33$ . Two major authigenically-precipitated CaCO<sub>3</sub> peaks (Bahr et al., 2005; Major et al., 2002) occurred, approximately, within the first 3 m of the core and quickly increased in total CaCO<sub>3</sub> content from ~15% to 45%, then to 65%, thus diluting TOC. As a result, each measured TOC value was corrected for CaCO<sub>3</sub> dilution ( $\text{TOC}_{\text{corrected}} = 100 \times (\text{TOC}_{\text{measured}} / (100 - \text{CaCO}_3))$ , in %). Three hundred samples were measured, with one sample taken at every 5 or 10 cm.

The obtained high-resolution geochemical records (Figure 2) were very similar to those previously published, as follows: Bahr et al. (2005, 2006) and Kwiecien et al. (2008, 2009) for XRF-Ca and XRF-Ti/Ca; Major et al. (2002) and Kwiecien et al. (2009) for CaCO<sub>3</sub>; Bahr et al. (2008) for TOC. Therefore, in the following, we do not discuss our high-resolution geochemical records and instead refer the reader to discussions contained in the studies cited above.

### 2.2.2 Lake Surface Temperatures (LST)

Lake Surface Temperatures (LST) were calculated using the GDGT thermometer TEX<sub>86</sub> and the calibration equation provided in Schouten et al. (2002). Our analytical methods were assessed in the frame of an international comparison. Results from our laboratory were found to compare favorably with data from other laboratories (Schouten et al., 2009). Details regarding the analytical methods and the calibration comparisons will be provided elsewhere (Ménot et al., in preparation).

### 2.2.3. Calendar age model reconstruction

The calendar chronology for core MD04-2790 was reconstructed by tuning our high-resolution geochemical dataset (Figure 3) to the Hulu Cave  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  record (Wang et al., 2001). The stratigraphic approach is similar to that performed on marine sequences (Bard et al., 2004; Hughen et al., 2006). Tuning relies upon an assumption of synchronous climate changes throughout the Northern Hemisphere. Climate synchronicity is consistent with studies which have identified abrupt climate shifts in proxies measured within the same archive yet related to different parts of Earth's environmental system. Such studies have found no significant stratigraphic lag (i.e. no temporal lag) (Fleitmann et al., 2009; Hughen et al., 2004; Ruth et al., 2007; Severinghaus and Brook, 1999; Severinghaus et al., 1998; Steffensen et al., 2008; Wolff et al., 2010).

From the Bølling-Allerød to the Pre-Boreal we assumed that high-resolution XRF-Ca variability was linked to variability in productivity within Black Sea "Lake" surface water, which is, itself, driven by north-hemispheric climate variability. Indeed, Bølling-Allerød and Pre-Boreal warm oscillations are geochemically characterized by prominent maxima in XRF-Ca intensities,  $\text{CaCO}_3$  content, and TOC (C2 and C1 in Figure 2). Between these maxima, a glacial-type interval (GT1 in Figure 2) is geochemically characterized by near glacial values, representing the Younger Dryas cold event (Bahr et al., 2005, 2008; Kwiecien et al., 2008; Major et al., 2002; Figure 2).  $\text{CaCO}_3$  maxima (C2 and C1) are mainly composed of authigenically-precipitated calcite (Bahr et al., 2005; Major et al., 2002). Precipitation of authigenic calcite within lakes results from the photosynthetic utilisation of  $\text{CO}_2$  and resultant calcium carbonate supersaturation in the water column during the growing season (Leng & Marshall, 2004). High TOC accompanying strong increases in authigenic calcite strengthens the hypothesis that both  $\text{CaCO}_3$  maxima (Figure 2) were deposited under high productivity conditions that prevailed during the Bølling-Allerød and the Pre-Boreal warm oscillations. During the Younger Dryas (GT1 interval, Figure 2), carbonates are mainly detrital (Bahr et al., 2005; Major et al., 2002). However, TOC, as well as  $\text{CaCO}_3$  values, even if lower compared to values occurring within the C2 and C1 intervals (Figure 2), exceeded glacial values (Figure 2). The Younger Dryas cooling was likely a winter phenomenon with no substantial summer change except for the length of the growing season (for a review, see Denton et al., 2005). As a result, the observed XRF-Ca,  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , and TOC drops likely occurred due to a shorter growing season in Black Sea "Lake" surface waters. This suggests that during the Younger Dryas, productivity, even if reduced, was not negligible. Therefore, variability in our high-resolution XRF-Ca profile represents a suitable record for productivity variability within late glacial Black Sea "Lake" surface waters. Of interest is that a similar variability has been observed in high-resolution records on the north-hemispheric scale (Ruth et al., 2007) within the following: Ice Core  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  (e.g. NGRIP members, 2004), Chinese speleothems  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  (e.g. Wang et al., 2001), and pollen records from Merfelder Maar (Germany) (Litt et al., 2001). These similar findings support our assumption that Black Sea "Lake" productivity variability was primarily driven by north-hemispheric climate variability. Therefore, between 14.8 to 10 kyr BP, variability in the XRF-Ca record (Figure 3) was confidently tuned to the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  variability of the  $^{230}\text{Th}$ -dated Hulu Cave records (Figure 3) ( $R =$

0.6). Note that the Bølling-Allerød onset is defined as the first sample that displays a  $\text{CaCO}_3$  content that exceeds glacial  $\text{CaCO}_3$  variability (the glacial  $\text{CaCO}_3$  mean + two standard deviations). The defined core depth corresponds to a sudden increase in Lake Surface Temperature inferred from  $\text{TEX}_{86}$  (Figure 2). A good correspondence between the independently defined tie points and concomitant changes in Lake Surface Temperature (LST) strengthened the robustness of the approach (Figure 3).

During the glacial, due to extremely reduced productivity, as suggested by the low  $\text{CaCO}_3$  and TOC contents (Bahr et al., 2008; Kwiecien et al., 2009; Major et al., 2002; Figure 2) and the detrital origin of carbonates (Bahr et al., 2005; Major et al., 2002), the XRF-Ca profile did not present a suitable record for climate variability and did not provide any tie points. Fortunately, between 32 to 17.7 kyr BP, the GDGT thermometer  $\text{TEX}_{86}$  (Figure 2 and 3) displayed northern hemispheric glacial climate variability. In particular, the strong LST troughs associated with Heinrich Events 3 and 2 cold spells (HE3 and HE2) were well expressed. Therefore, from 32 to 17.7 kyr BP the LST variability was tuned to the  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  variability of the  $^{230}\text{Th}$ -dated Hulu Cave records (Figure 3) ( $R = 0.7$ ). The reader should note that our tuning was derived from basic utilisation of the LST obtained from  $\text{TEX}_{86}$  measurements. A more in-depth discussion will be presented elsewhere (Ménot et al., in preparation).

Specific approaches were applied to the Red Layer (RL) interval and to the lacustrine to marine transition. MD04-2790 sediments display four discrete RLs that are easily recognizable by four strong “discrete” peaks in the XRF-Ti/Ca (Figure 2), in agreement with previous work (Bahr et al., 2005, 2006; Kwiecien et al., 2009; Major et al., 2002, 2006). Each RL interval (Figure 2) consists of an alternation of greenish-grey and red laminations that correspond to X-ray bright and dark laminations, respectively (Figure 4). Observations of sediment thin sections (Figure 4) indicate that each red lamination is characterized by high amounts of scattered silts and sands (mainly quartz) embedded in a reddish clayey matrix, suggesting that silts and sands represent Ice-Rafted Detritus (IRD). Dispersal of the outsized grains throughout the muds clearly indicates that the red laminations are not the result of turbiditic processes. Interestingly, this facies is strongly similar to the laminated facies described within the Armorican margin (Zaragosi et al., 2001; Eynaud et al., 2007). These features have been interpreted as possibly representing the springtime expulsion of anchor-ice (i.e. ice attached to the bed of rivers and including bed materials) by deglacial “Fleuve Manche” meltwater discharges (Toucanne et al., 2009). By analogy, each red clayish with IRD (X-ray dark) lamination likely represents spring meltwater discharge from NW Black Sea rivers, whereas each greenish-grey clayish (X-ray bright) lamination should represent “normal” sedimentation during the period spanning summer to winter. We tested this hypothesis by visually counting X-ray dark laminations between core depths of 653 and 604 cm (within RL3; Figure 2), since this interval is bracketed by two radiocarbon dates ( $15,160 \pm 70$  and  $14,990 \pm 60$ , respectively; Table 1) displaying a time interval of  $\sim 170$   $^{14}\text{C}$  yr. To a first order, this radiocarbon time interval, being short, can be considered as a calendar time interval. We counted 192 red laminations (X-ray dark laminations) that fit the measured  $\sim 170$  yrs, well supporting seasonal deposition for the red clayey with IRD laminations. As a result, the duration of each RL deposition was constrained by visually counting the X-ray dark laminations (the red laminations with IRD). We found durations of 162, 237, 263, and 221 years for the oldest to the youngest RL (RL4 to 1 in Figure 2), respectively. RL3 onset was anchored at 17.2 kyr BP (red dot in Figure 3) by applying the sedimentation rate calculated between the last two  $\text{TEX}_{86}$ /Hulu Cave tie points (Figure 3). Between each discrete RL, as well as between the end of the RL1 to the onset of C2 (which corresponds to the Bølling-Allerød onset dated to 14.8 kyr BP; Figure 2 and 3), we assumed a constant sedimentation rate which resulted in a sedimentation rate of 94 cm/kyr, consistent with the mean sedimentation rate of the preceding glacial period (90 cm/kyr).

Sedimentary transitions from lacustrine to marine environments were characterized by steep decreases in the XRF-Ca intensity and the  $\text{CaCO}_3$  content that occurred in the MD04-2790 core at a depth of 133 cmbsf (Figure 2; subunit T). The onset of Black Sea sapropel deposition was characterized by the occurrence of thinly laminated aragonite layers beginning at a depth of 124 cmbsf (Figure 2; subunit A), and visible in the XRF-Ca intensity as thin discrete peaks (Figure 2). The feature is concomitant with a rapid increase in TOC (Figure 2). A review of the various sedimentological and geochemical signatures and the associated radiocarbon ages of the two boundaries throughout the various Black Sea sedimentary environments (from the basin to the coastal plain) allowed Soulet et al. (2011) to determine the following atmospheric radiocarbon ages:  $8090 \pm 120$   $^{14}\text{C}$  yr BP for the steep decrease in XRF-Ca and  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , and  $7230 \pm 260$   $^{14}\text{C}$  yr BP for the onset of sapropel deposition leading to calibrated ages of  $8995 \pm 145$  and  $8080 \pm 250$  yr BP, respectively.

For the intervals of the age model derived from tuning (32 to 17.7 and 14.8 to 10 kyr BP), uncertainties were propagated following the approach of Hughen et al. (2006). The approach mainly relies upon the assumption that the age model uncertainty is linearly linked to the correlation coefficient evolution between MD04-2790 and the Hulu Cave records (Figure 5). Otherwise, the age model uncertainty was propagated using the uncertainties of the tie points through the partial derivative theory of error propagation (Figure 5).

#### 2.2.4. Radiocarbon dates

Measurements were performed on shells of the benthic bivalve *Dreissena sp.* Only one radiocarbon measurement was derived from a monospecific ostracod sample (*Candona sp.*). Measurements were performed by Accelerator Mass Spectrometry at the “Laboratoire de Mesure du Carbone 14” (Saclay, France). The resulting AMS  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages were calculated following the method of Mook and Van der Plicht (1999).

In order to enlarge the radiocarbon dataset, we compared our XRF-Ca/ $\text{CaCO}_3$  profiles with those of the following neighbouring cores: MD04-2760/88 (Kwiecien et al., 2008), GeoB 7608-1 (Bahr et al., 2005), and BLKS 9810 (Major et al., 2002) (see Figure 1 for core locations). Between the RL and C1 sedimentary intervals (Figure 2), geochemical features were so prominent that the different records are easily correlable. For interval G (Figure 2), even if meaningful, the variability in the different geochemical profiles was low. As a result, we only correlated our XRF-Ca record to the XRF-Ca record from core MD04-2760/88, which displayed the highest measurement resolution (Kwiecien et al., 2008; Figure 6). The approach allowed us to transfer original depths of the previously published radiocarbon dates to the MD04-2790 core depth (Table 1). Here, we report 51 radiocarbon ages for which 30 are original.

#### 2.2.5 Black Sea “Lake” reservoir ages and $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$

##### 2.2.5.1 Reservoir age calculation

We obtained the calendar age of each  $^{14}\text{C}$ -dated sample using the tuned chronology (see section 2.2.3.). Each pair of calendar and  $^{14}\text{C}$  dates allowed us to calculate an associated reservoir age. The core principle of the approach is illustrated in Figure 7.

The statistical method used to calculate the reservoir age (R) and its associated uncertainties ( $\sigma_R$ ) for a pair of calendar ( $t_{\text{calend}} \pm \sigma_{\text{calend}}$  in yr BP) and radiocarbon ( $t_{\text{rad}} \pm \sigma_{\text{rad}}$  in  $^{14}\text{C}$  yr BP) dates is based on the Bayesian framework (Buck et al., 1996). In this framework, *prior* knowledge is our knowledge regarding quantities “before” the statistical inference (or *inversion*) and had to be translated under the form of the distributions. For our problem, we

designed the distributions as  $p(R)$  for  $R$  and  $p(T_{\text{rad}})$  for the actual – not perfectly known – radiocarbon age  $T_{\text{rad}}$ . We had a very weak knowledge of the reservoir age. We then choose  $p(R) = U(R; -10,000; 10,000)$  (i.e. a uniform distribution for  $R$  spanning  $-10,000$  to  $10,000$  yr). In contrast, we had a relatively strong knowledge of the radiocarbon age from laboratory measurements, that we translated by taking  $p(T_{\text{rad}}) = N(T_{\text{rad}}; t_{\text{rad}}, \sigma_{\text{calend}})$  (i.e. a Gaussian distribution for  $T_{\text{rad}}$ , centred on  $t_{\text{rad}}$ , with a standard deviation  $\sigma_{\text{rad}}$ ).

We then related  $R$  and  $T_{\text{rad}}$  to an auxiliary variable called  $T_{\text{calib}}$  which represents the calibrated age corresponding to  $R$  and  $T_{\text{rad}}$  through the classical “radiocarbon calibration process”. The calibrated age is itself related to  $T_{\text{calend}}$ , the calendar age of the sample. We used a first distribution of the calibrated age given both the reservoir age and the radiocarbon age,  $p(T_{\text{calib}}|R, T_{\text{rad}})$ . The distribution is defined by the calibration program used, (here, Calib601; <http://intcal.qub.ac.uk/calib/>). The second distribution  $p(T_{\text{calend}}|T_{\text{calib}})$  links the calibrated age  $T_{\text{calib}}$  with the calendar age  $T_{\text{calend}}$ . We used  $p(T_{\text{calend}}|T_{\text{calib}}) = N(T_{\text{calend}}; T_{\text{calib}}, \sigma_{\text{calend}})$  (i.e. a Gaussian distribution for  $T_{\text{calend}}$  centred on  $T_{\text{calib}}$  and with a standard deviation equal to  $\sigma_{\text{calend}}$ ). In practice, the symmetric relationship between  $T_{\text{calend}}$  and  $T_{\text{calib}}$  measures the closeness between both quantities and allows one to “select”  $T_{\text{calib}}$  and the associated  $R$  that best corresponds to the “measured”  $T_{\text{calend}}$  value, noted  $t_{\text{calend}}$ . Bayes formula allowed us to invert our “forward” model providing the *posterior* distribution of  $R$ ,  $T_{\text{rad}}$ , and  $T_{\text{calib}}$  given  $t_{\text{calend}}$ , its uncertainty ( $\sigma_{\text{calend}}$ ), and *prior* information, as follows:

$$p(R, T_{\text{rad}}, T_{\text{calib}} | t_{\text{calend}}) = \frac{p(R) \cdot p(T_{\text{rad}}) \cdot p(T_{\text{calib}} | R, T_{\text{rad}}) \cdot p(t_{\text{calend}} | T_{\text{calib}})}{\int p(R) \cdot p(T_{\text{rad}}) \cdot p(T_{\text{calib}} | R, T_{\text{rad}}) \cdot p(t_{\text{calend}} | T_{\text{calib}}) dR \cdot dT_{\text{rad}} \cdot dT_{\text{calib}}}$$

The distribution, called the *posterior*, carries all information regarding  $R$  from the posited *prior*, the model, and the data. Since the denominator does not depend on the variables of interest ( $R$ ,  $T_{\text{rad}}$ , and  $T_{\text{calib}}$ ), it is a normalizing constant for the distribution defined by the numerator. As usual for Bayesian computation, we used a simpler proportional relationship and kept in mind that the defined *posterior* distribution must be renormalized so that its integral was 1. Thus, we simply noted the following:

$$p(R, T_{\text{rad}}, T_{\text{calib}} | t_{\text{calend}}) \propto p(R) \cdot p(T_{\text{rad}}) \cdot p(T_{\text{calib}} | R, T_{\text{rad}}) \cdot p(t_{\text{calend}} | T_{\text{calib}})$$

We then integrated over  $T_{\text{rad}}$  and  $T_{\text{calib}}$  in order to obtain the *posterior* only for  $R$ , as follows:

$$p(R | t_{\text{calend}}) \propto p(R) \cdot \int p(T_{\text{rad}}) \cdot p(T_{\text{calib}} | R, T_{\text{rad}}) \cdot p(t_{\text{calend}} | T_{\text{calib}}) dT_{\text{rad}} \cdot dT_{\text{calib}} \quad (1)$$

A numerical approximation of the distribution in Equation (1) was obtained by computing the integral for a grid of  $R$  values. We noted  $R_j$  as a value of this grid, with  $j = 1..n$ . For each  $R_j$ , we then approximated  $I(R_j) = p(R_j | t_{\text{calend}})$  as follows:

1.  $t_{\text{rad}}$  was corrected for  $R_j$ ,
2. The value was calibrated with a standard error of  $\sigma_{\text{rad}}$  using IntCal09 (Reimer et al., 2009). We used Calib601 (<http://intcal.qub.ac.uk/calib/>) which provided, as output, a weighted set of  $K$  Gaussian distributions. We indexed with  $k = 1..K$  the weights  $w_k$  between 0 and 1 and the parameters that defined the distributions,  $m_k$  and  $\sigma_k$ . Numerical integration was performed by discretising the space of  $T_{\text{calib}}$  over, for example,  $6 \sigma_{\text{calib}}$ . Note that  $t_{\text{calib},i}$ ,  $i = 1..M$ , is a value of this grid. The integral for a given  $R_j$  is, therefore, as follows:

$$I(R_j) = \sum_{i=1}^M \left[ \left( \sum_{k=1}^K w_k \cdot N(t_{calib,i}; m_k, \sigma_k) \right) \cdot N(t_{calend}; t_{calib,i}, \sigma_{calend}) (t_{calib,i+1} - t_{calib,i}) \right],$$

where  $N(a;b,c)$  indicates a Gaussian distribution evaluated at point  $a$ , with a mean  $b$  and a standard deviation  $c$ . The *posterior* density of the reservoir age is the following:

$$p(R|t_{rad}, t_{calend}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\delta(R_j) \cdot I(R_j)}{\sum_{j=1}^n I(R_j)} \right)$$

where  $\delta$  is the Dirac mass function and the denominator is the normalizing constant. For convenience, in the following discussion, the discrete distributions (shown in a later equation and in Figure 7) are summarised as the form of a mean  $\pm 1\sigma$  (Table 1).

From 50 to 26 kyr BP, the atmospheric Intcal09 calibration curve is quasi-exclusively constructed using the marine-derived record from the Cariaco Basin tuned to the  $^{230}\text{Th}$ -dated  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  record from the Hulu Cave speleothems (Hughen et al., 2006; Reimer et al., 2009). Since we used Intcal09 in the reservoir age calculation process, the same time-scale reference had to be chosen in order to tune our high-resolution geochemical dataset.

#### 2.2.5.2. $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ calculation

The  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  value (in ‰) represents, at calendar age ( $t_{calend}$ ), the difference between the measured  $^{14}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  and the expected atmospheric  $^{14}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  if radiocarbon production had remained constant at the measured value in 1950 (Stuiver and Polach, 1977). The  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  (in ‰) of the Black Sea “Lake” (Table 1) is calculated as follows:

$$\Delta^{14}\text{C} = 1000 \cdot \left( \frac{\exp\left(\frac{t_{calend}}{8267}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{t_{rad}}{8033}\right)} - 1 \right).$$

Both the reconstructed reservoir ages and the  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  may depend on Black Sea “Lake” water depth. Since cores MD04-2790 and BLKS 9810 (Major et al., 2002) were retrieved from the upper water column (~350 mbsl; meters below sea level), whereas cores MD04-2760/88 (Kwiecien et al., 2008) and GeoB 7608-1 (Bahr et al., 2005) were retrieved in the intermediate water column (~1200 mbsl), a possible water-depth dependent decoupling in the reservoir age and  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  could be explored.

### 3. Results

The original  $^{14}\text{C}$  profile displays two main linear trends between 120 to 300 cmbsf (centimeters below sea floor) and between 400 to 1900 cmbsf (Figure 8). Among the 30 original AMS  $^{14}\text{C}$  measurements we report here, only two were found in a clear age inversion (Figure 8; Table 1). Figure 8 also shows the profile of the original radiocarbon ages corrected for the calculated reservoir ages. The corrected profile shows prominent differences as compared to the original profile. The observed differences are likely linked to drastic changes



in sedimentation rates. In the original profile, these changes were hidden by the reservoir ages.

The  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  and the reservoir ages are shown in Figure 9 and in Table 1. The calculated  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  values are systematically lower than values for atmospheric  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  (Figure 9), indicating that the radiocarbon content within the Black Sea “Lake” was lower than for the contemporary atmosphere. The result indicates that the Black Sea “Lake” exhibited a positive reservoir age throughout the entire record. The  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  values from three samples were higher than the atmospheric reference (Figure 9). The corresponding samples were of poor quality (small coquinas and low weights) which could have induced modern radiocarbon contamination during the procedural treatment prior to the radiocarbon analysis. Therefore, these samples were excluded from the reservoir age calculations and from the discussion.

Prior to ~26 kyr BP, the reservoir age values were constant within an uncertainty of  $155 \pm 100$  yr (weighted mean by variance) (Figure 9 and Table 1), and increased after 26 kyr BP (near HE2 onset). Around 23 kyr BP (near the end of HE2), deep and shallow water reservoir ages progressively decoupled, reaching values of ~2000 yr and ~1500 yr, respectively, at 17.2 kyr BP (Figure 9). During HE1, the reservoir age quickly dropped towards values of ~250 yr before the onset of the Bølling-Allerød (Figure 9). The onset of the Bølling-Allerød is characterized by an instantaneous increase in the reservoir age of 500 yr, reaching ~750 yr (Figure 9). During the Bølling-Allerød, deep water reservoir ages increased until values of ~900 yr during the Younger Dryas, whereas shallow water reservoir ages displayed an opposite trend reaching stable values of ~280 from 13.8 kyr BP to the end of the Younger Dryas cold event (Figure 8). At the lacustrine to marine transition, the reservoir age was approximately 300 yr (Soulet et al., 2011).

## 4. Discussion

---

The reservoir age (i.e. the  $^{14}\text{C}$  content difference between water  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  and contemporaneous atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ ) results from a balance between the input of atmospheric  $^{14}\text{C}$  into the reservoir and its removal by export processes and radiodecay within the reservoir (e.g. Bard, 1988; Siegenthaler et al., 1980; Stuiver et al., 1986). In the open ocean, climate-related changes in atmospheric and oceanic parameters, such as atmospheric  $\text{pCO}_2$ , the wind speed, the ocean's free surface, and other variables drive changes in the reservoir age. However, oceanic circulation is, by far, the main driver of changes in reservoir age (e.g. Andrée et al., 1986a; Bard, 1988; Bondvik et al., 2006; Siani et al., 2001; Stuiver et al., 1986).

In lakes, anomalously high reservoir ages, as compared to those commonly measured in the global ocean, have been reported (e.g. Andrée et al., 1986b; Ascough et al., 2010; Kwiecien et al., 2008; Stein et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2009). A common explanation for this phenomenon is the Hard Water Effect (HWE) (i.e.  $\Sigma^{14}\text{CO}_2$  dilution by radioactively “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  originating from rock weathering that is transported to lakes by rivers (e.g. Andrée et al., 1986b; Broecker and Olson, 1961; Kwiecien et al., 2008; Mangerud, 1972; Ross and Degens, 1974). Therefore, HWE in lakes is related to the lake “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  content (“dead” dissolved inorganic carbon), itself being related to both riverine runoff and the riverine content in “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$ , as well as to the lake water budget. In the following we qualitatively explain how regional climate, by influencing the lake water budget and riverine runoff, as well as rock weathering, is able to change the Hard Water Effect and, as a result, the basin reservoir age.

#### 4.1. The Black Sea “Lake” water budget as a driver for reservoir age changes

The basin water budget influences the Hard Water Effect (HWE) and, therefore, reservoir ages. In fact, in strictly enclosed lakes (lakes without outflow) with an evaporative water budget (i.e. evaporation exceeding precipitation and runoff), the “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  brought by rivers continuously accumulates and dilutes basin  $\Sigma^{14}\text{CO}_2$ , thereby continuously increasing HWE and reservoir ages. A lake water budget shift from a negative to a positive state (precipitation and runoff exceeding evaporation) leads to a decrease in lake “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  by dilution. An attendant decrease in lake  $\text{pCO}_2$  is expected to increase the  $\text{CO}_2$  flux from the atmosphere to the lake, leading to an increase in lake  $\Sigma^{14}\text{CO}_2$ . The coupled effect leads to a decrease in the HWE, and, therefore, in reservoir age. If the positive water budget persists until it leads the lake level to its outlet, towards an adjacent basin, the attendant outflow is expected to regulate the lake “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  until it reaches a steady state. In such a case, the HWE and the associated reservoir age should remain rather low and constant.

In addition to changes in the water budget explaining long reservoir age trends in lakes, changes in “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  total input linked to changes the riverine runoff and riverine “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  are also expected to change the lake HWE and the reservoir age. Changes in runoff depend upon precipitation changes, while riverine “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  mainly depends upon changes in the chemical weathering intensity within the lake catchment.

##### 4.1.1. From an open to an enclosed Black Sea “Lake”

Black Sea “Lake” reservoir ages show a drastic change between HE2 and HE1, with a continuous increase reaching values 10 to 15 times higher than the constant reservoir age that occurred prior to HE2 (Figure 9 and Table 1). Prior to HE2, constant reservoir ages are likely due to a persisting positive water budget, and, thus, to an outflow of the Black Sea “Lake” into the Marmara Sea; while low values suggest a low river “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  content, a result of the glacial predominance of physical rock weathering. Between HE2 and HE1, the increasing trend in reservoir age could either be due to an increasing input of “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  by rivers or to an accumulation of “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  in the lake due to a water budget shift toward an evaporative state, leading to an outflow interruption. The regional hydrologic context, colder and dryer conditions during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) linked with a weakened continental hydrologic cycle (Allen et al., 1999; Combourieu-Nebout et al., 2002; Fleitmann et al., 2009; Tzedakis et al., 2002), supports the second hypothesis. Seismic observations indicate a major glacial Black Sea “Lake” lowstand with a water level located at approximately -120 m (e.g. Aksu et al., 2002b; Kaplin and Selivanov, 2004; Lericolais et al., 2009; Popescu et al., 2004; Ryan et al., 1997), supporting a glacial evaporative water budget. However, the age of the glacial lowstand remains uncertain (Popescu et al., 2004). Our results strongly suggest a Black Sea “Lake” evaporative water budget from HE2 until the LGM, implying a lake level decrease since HE2, with a lowstand reached sometime during the LGM.

##### 4.1.2. From an enclosed to an open Black Sea “Lake”

During HE1, the Black Sea “Lake” reservoir age quickly decreased from high values to ~250 yr (Figure 9). By taking into account that the Black Sea “Lake” was likely strictly isolated (without outflow) due to an evaporative water budget during the full glacial, the only way to decrease reservoir ages is the dilution of lake “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  and an attendant increase in lake  $\Sigma^{14}\text{CO}_2$ , in response to a water budget shift from an evaporative to a positive state. The drastic reservoir age decrease, also previously estimated by Kwiecien et al. (2008), occurred

concomitantly with deposition of Red Layers (Figure 8), which represent the sedimentary signature of short-lived (~200 yr in duration; this study) melt water pulses (Bahr et al., 2006; Kwiecien et al., 2009; Major et al., 2006) that likely brought large amounts of water to the Black Sea “Lake”. After the last melt water pulses (the last peak in XRF-Ti/Ca in Figure 9; RL1 in Figure 2), the reservoir age was continuously decreasing, suggesting that the Black Sea “Lake” water budget remained positive until at least the onset of the Bølling-Allerød. Indeed, any reestablishment of evaporative conditions would have inevitably increased the reservoir age through the concentration of “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$ . Such a long period (~3,000 yr) of a persisting positive water budget would have led Black Sea “Lake” levels to reach its outlet level (~25 mbsl; Soulet et al., 2011). As a result, the Black Sea “Lake” would have outflowed into the Marmara Sea.

In the Marmara Sea, ostracod  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ , reflecting bottom water  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ , decreased by ~1‰ (Vidal et al., 2010) concomitant with a similar decrease in ostracod  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  as recorded in the Black Sea “Lake” during melt water pulses (Bahr et al., 2006, 2008; Kwiecien et al., 2009). Vidal et al. (2010) suggested that, during melt water pulses, outflowing Black Sea “Lake” waters isotopically imprinted Marmara Sea waters. Another peculiar geochemical record also supports an outflowing Black Sea “Lake”. During melt water pulses, water  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  drastically increased as a result of a change in water sources (Major et al., 2006). Between two melt water pulse episodes and following the last pulse until Bølling-Allerød onset, water  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$  dropped, suggesting that an outflow of the Black Sea “Lake” into the Marmara Sea flushed the  $^{87}\text{Sr}$ -rich lake water (Bahr et al., 2008).

#### 4.1.3. Parameters other than river-induced HWE in reservoir age changes

A careful examination of our reservoir age record strongly suggests that, on short time scales, parameters others than those linked with the water budget of the Black Sea “Lake” could be of importance for the  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  budget.

At 14.8 kyr BP (Bølling-Allerød onset), the reservoir age instantaneously increased by ~500 yr, reaching values close to 750 yr (Figure 9). The HWE, which requires longer time scales, cannot explain this increase. An alternative explanation could be the sudden release of “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  from the methanotrophic utilization of “dead”  $\text{CH}_4$ , triggered by a sudden clathrate dissociation within the sediment. At the onset of the Bølling-Allerød, a sudden increase in surface temperature may have triggered clathrate dissociation (Figure 2 and 3). Similar clathrate dissociation events associated with deglacial warming that were recently described within the Marmara Sea (Ménot and Bard, 2010) and within Lake Baikal (Prokopenko and Williams, 2004) could support this hypothesis. However, further work is needed to strengthen this hypothesis for the Black Sea “Lake”.

Following this reservoir age increase, the surface water reservoir age remained stable at ~280 yr, suggesting low HWE and a constant outflow. From the Bølling-Allerød to the last reconnection, the water budget is highly debated. A high water level (e.g. Aksu et al., 2002a; Hiscott et al., 2007) would have flushed  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$ , limiting HWE exactly as for the period prior to HE2. On the other hand, a low water level (e.g. Bahr et al., 2008; Lericolais et al., 2007; Major et al., 2006; Ryan et al., 2003) would have increased HWE by “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  accumulation, therefore, increasing the reservoir age. Productivity occurring during this time period (Bahr et al., 2005, 2006, 2008; Major et al., 2002, 2006) may have allowed a counteraction in the expected reservoir age increase by removing  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  through authigenic calcite precipitation (Kwiecien et al., 2008). However, the quantitative impact of productivity on reservoir age changes still remains to be deciphered. Further work involving transient state box models is required in order to resolve the issue.

## 4.2. Black Sea “Lake” stratification phases

Water stratification, by reducing water exchanges, increases the reservoir age for both shallow and deeper water bodies (e.g. Bard, 1988; Siani et al., 2001; Stuiver et al., 1986). The result, in terms of the reservoir age, is that deep waters exhibit higher reservoir ages than shallower waters. Such decoupling represents a geochemical signature for water stratification.

Based upon the decoupling of ostracod- $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ , as well as Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca profiles from cores retrieved at different water depths (Bahr et al., 2006, 2008), a unique phase of evaporative-driven stratification was previously reported (Bahr et al., 2006, 2008). Our results indicate two stratification periods - one since the Bølling-Allerød until the reconnection in agreement with Bahr et al. (2006, 2008), and another during the full glacial (HE2 and LGM) (Figure 9).

During the full glacial, shallow and deep reservoir ages began to decouple near the onset of HE2 (Figure 9). We suggest that the reservoir age increase was due to an increasing HWE due to an evaporative water budget. Therefore, observed decoupling implies higher “dead”  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  content (i.e. higher alkaline salinity) in deep rather than in shallower waters. As a result, full glacial stratification may have been due to an alkaline salinity gradient within the water column. In many cases, salinity’s (total salt) contribution to the water density is greater than the thermal contribution (Boehrer and Schultze, 2008) and could have prevented surface water temperatures from reaching the maximum in water density, and, therefore, mixing into the entire water column. As a result, an increased full glacial Black Sea “Lake” salinity could likely be responsible for the observed stratification. By measuring the pore water chloride content and by using a diffusion-advection model, Soulet et al. (2010) suggested that the glacial Black Sea “Lake” was a fresh water lake. If reservoir age data prior to HE2 and following HE1 seem to confirm this conclusion, they also point out that Black Sea “Lake” salinity has progressively increased from HE2 through the LGM. This discrepancy likely results from the fact that during some time windows the chloride content may have not been fully representative for the Black Sea “Lake” total salt pool.

## 5. Conclusions

---

A new high-resolution geochemical dataset from core MD04-2790 allowed us to build a reliable calendar age chronology for the Late Quaternary history of the Black Sea “Lake”. Calendar ages and new radiocarbon ages were combined in order to reconstruct a high-resolution reservoir age record for the Black Sea “Lake” from ~32 kyr BP to the last reconnection with the Mediterranean Sea.

Between 32 to 8 kyr BP, the reservoir age varied markedly between values, ranging from ~200 to ~2000 yr. Large reservoir age changes were always associated with climate shifts for the last glacial to deglacial period, demonstrating the extreme sensitivity of the Black Sea “Lake” to climate change. In general, changes in reservoir ages were mainly driven by changes in the Hard Water Effect intensity, with the Hard Water Effect itself controlled by the Black Sea “Lake” water budget. By roughly constraining the hydrologic balance, the results presented here provide new evidence for dating the phases of Black Sea “Lake” outflow or lake level drawdown.

In order to reconstruct and compare reservoir age evolution through different depths, we also used previously published radiocarbon ages from cores retrieved at depths deeper than core MD04-2790. Here we have defined a previously undocumented stratification phase that occurred during the full glacial (HE2 through LGM). The full glacial stratification was likely due to a temporary vertical alkaline salinity gradient that was established when Black Sea

“Lake” level was low enough to prevent water export into the Marmara Sea, allowing the accumulation of salts within the basin.

The reservoir age reconstruction presented here provides evidence for better understanding the hydrologic response of the Black Sea “Lake” since the Last Glacial. The results also provide an example that indicates that the reservoir ages of lakes and inland seas are a powerful tool for investigating and understanding hydrologic responses to climate change in these areas. A coupled transient-state box model that captures water, dissolved inorganic carbon, and radiocarbon budgets would be of great interest for quantitatively ascertaining lake hydrologic changes.

## Acknowledgments

---

Our work is a contribution to the ASSEMBLAGE project funded by the European Commission (EVK3-CT-2002-00090). We acknowledge G. Bayon and J. Etoubleau (Ifremer, Brest) for technical assistance during XRF data acquisition. We also thank S. Toucanne for fruitful discussions. Two anonymous reviewers provided constructive reviews for which we are grateful. We also thank the ARTEMIS project for precious radiocarbon dating measurements. We are grateful to CNRS, Ifremer, and the Collège de France for providing salary support to G. Soulet. Paleoclimate work at CEREGE is supported by grants from the Gary Comer Foundation for Science and Education, the European Community (Project Past4Future), the CNRS-INSU (BLACKMED project), and the Collège de France.

## References

---

- Aksu, A., Hiscott, R.N., Mudie, P., Rochon, A., Kaminski, M.A., Abrajano, T., Yasar, D., 2002a. Persistent Holocene outflow from the Black Sea to the Eastern Mediterranean contradicts Noah's Flood hypothesis. *GSA Today* 12, 4-10.
- Aksu, A.E., Hiscott, R.N., Yasar, D., Isler, F.I., Marsh, S., 2002b. Seismic stratigraphy of Late Quaternary deposits from the southwestern Black Sea shelf: evidence for non-catastrophic variations in sea-level during the last ~10,000 yr. *Marine Geology* 190, 61-94.
- Allen, J.R.M., Brandt, U., Brauer, A., Hubberten, H.-W., Huntley, B., Keller, J., Kraml, M., Mackensen, A., Mingram, J., Negendank, J.F.W., Nowaczyk, N.R., Oberhänsli, H., Watts, W.A., Wulf, S., Zolitschka, B., 1999. Rapid environmental changes in southern Europe during the last glacial period. *Nature* 400, 740-743.
- Andrée, M., Oeschger, H., Broecker, W., Beavan, N., Klas, M., Mix, A., Bonani, G., Hofmann, H.J., Suter, M., Woelfli, W., Peng, T.H., 1986a. Limits on the ventilation rate for the deep ocean over the last 12000 years. *Climate Dynamics* 1, 53-62.
- Andrée, M., Oeschger, H., Siegenthaler, U., Riesen, T., Moell, M., 1986b.  $^{14}\text{C}$  dating of plant macrofossils in lake sediment. *Radiocarbon* 28, 411-416.
- Arnold, J.R., Anderson, E.C., 1957. The distribution of radiocarbon in nature. *Tellus* 9, 28-32.
- Ascough, P.L., Cook, G.T., Church, M.J., Dunbar, E., Einarsson, Á., McGovern, T.H., Dugmore, A., J., Perdikaris, S., Hastie, H., Friðriksson, A., Gestsdóttir, H., 2010.

- Temporal and spacial variations in freshwater  $^{14}\text{C}$  reservoir effects: Lake Mývatn, Northern Iceland. *Radiocarbon* 52, 1098-1112.
- Bahr, A., Arz, H.W., Lamy, F., Wefer, G., 2006. Late glacial to Holocene paleoenvironmental evolution of the Black Sea, reconstructed with stable oxygen isotope records obtained on ostracod shells. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 241, 863-875.
- Bahr, A., Lamy, F., Arz, H., Kuhlmann, H., Wefer, G., 2005. Late glacial to Holocene climate and sedimentation history in the NW Black Sea. *Marine Geology* 214, 309-322.
- Bahr, A., Lamy, F., Arz, H.W., Major, C., Kwiecien, O., Wefer, G., 2008. Abrupt changes of temperature and water chemistry in the late Pleistocene and early Holocene Black Sea. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 9, Q01004, doi:10.1029/2007gc001683.
- Bard, E., 1988. Correction of Accelerator Mass Spectrometry  $^{14}\text{C}$  Ages Measured in Planktonic Foraminifera: Paleoceanographic Implications. *Paleoceanography* 3, 635-645.
- Bard, E., Arnold, M., Mangerud, J., Paterne, M., Labeyrie, L., Duprat, J., Mélières, M.-A., Sønstegaard, E., Duplessy, J.-C., 1994. The North Atlantic atmosphere-sea surface  $^{14}\text{C}$  gradient during the Younger Dryas climatic event. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 126, 275-287.
- Bard, E., Rostek, F., Ménot-Combes, G., 2004. Radiocarbon calibration beyond 20,000  $^{14}\text{C}$  yr B.P. by means of planktonic foraminifera of the Iberian Margin. *Quaternary Research* 61, 204-214.
- Boehrer, B., Schultze, M., 2008. Stratification of lakes. *Rev. Geophys.* 46, RG2005, doi:10.1029/2006rg000210.
- Bolton, A.J., Maltman, A.J., Clennell, M.B., 1998. The importance of overpressure timing and permeability evolution in fine-grained sediments undergoing shear. *Journal of Structural Geology* 20, 1013-1022.
- Bondevik, S., Mangerud, J., Birks, H.H., Gulliksen, S., Reimer, P., 2006. Changes in North Atlantic Radiocarbon Reservoir Ages During the Allerød and Younger Dryas. *Science* 312, 1514-1517.
- Broecker, W.S., Olson, E.A., 1961. Lamont Radiocarbon Measurements VIII. *Radiocarbon* 3, 176-204.
- Buck, C. E., Cavanagh, W. G. and Litton, C. D., 1996. *The Bayesian Approach to Interpreting Archaeological Data*, Wiley, Chichester.
- Combourieu-Nebout, N., Turon, J.L., Zahn, R., Capotondi, L., Londeix, L., Pahnke, K., 2002. Enhanced aridity and atmospheric high-pressure stability over the western Mediterranean during the North Atlantic cold events of the past 50 k.y. *Geology* 30, 863-866.
- Craig, H., 1957. The Natural Distribution of Radiocarbon and the Exchange Time of Carbon Dioxide Between Atmosphere and Sea. *Tellus* 9, 1-17.
- Denton, G.H., Alley, R.B., Comer, G.C., Broecker, W.S., 2005. The role of seasonality in abrupt climate change. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 24, 1159-1182.
- Eynaud, F., Zaragosi, S., Scourse, J., Mojtahid, M., Bourillet, J.F., Hall, I.R., Penaud, A., Locascio, M., Reijonen, A., 2007. Deglacial laminated facies on the NW European continental margin: the hydrographic significance of British-Irish Ice Sheet

- deglaciation and Fleuve Manche paleoriver discharges. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 8, doi: 10.1029/2006GC00.
- Fedorov, P.V., 1971. Postglacial transgression of the Black Sea. *International Geology Review* 14, 160-164.
- Fleitmann, D., Cheng, H., Badertscher, S., Edwards, R.L., Mudelsee, M., Göktürk, O.M., Fankhauser, A., Pickering, R., Raible, C.C., Matter, A., Kramers, J., Tüysüz, O., 2009. Timing and climatic impact of Greenland interstadials recorded in stalagmites from northern Turkey. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36, L19707, doi:10.1029/2009gl040050.
- Giosan, L., Donnelly, J.P., Constantinescu, S., Filip, F., Ovejanu, I., Vespremeanu-Stroe, A., Vespremeanu, E., Duller, G.A.T., 2006. Young Danube delta documents stable Black Sea level since the middle Holocene: Morphodynamic, paleogeographic, and archaeological implications. *Geology* 34, 757-760.
- Giosan, L., 2007. V. Yanko-Hombach, A.S. Gilbert, N. Panin and P.M. Dolukhanov, Editors, *The Black Sea Flood Question: Changes in Coastline, Climate and Human Settlement*, Springer, Berlin (2007) ISBN 978-1-4020-4774-9 971pp., 246 illus. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 26, 1897-1900.
- Hiscott, R.N., Aksu, A.E., Mudie, P.J., Marret, F., Abrajano, T., Kaminski, M.A., Evans, J., Çakiroglu, A.I., Yasar, D., 2007. A gradual drowning of the southwestern Black Sea shelf: Evidence for a progressive rather than abrupt Holocene reconnection with the eastern Mediterranean Sea through the Marmara Sea Gateway. *Quaternary International* 167-168, 19-34.
- Hopmans, E.C., Schouten, S., Pancost, R.D., van der Meer, M.T.J., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2000. Analysis of intact tetraether lipids in archaeal cell material and sediments by high performance liquid chromatography/atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry* 14, 585-589.
- Hughen, K., Southon, J., Lehman, S., Bertrand, C., Turnbull, J., 2006. Marine-derived  $^{14}\text{C}$  calibration and activity record for the past 50,000 years updated from the Cariaco Basin. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25, 3216-3227.
- Hughen, K.A., Eglinton, T.I., Xu, L., Makou, M., 2004. Abrupt Tropical Vegetation Response to Rapid Climate Changes. *Science* 304, 1955-1959.
- Huguet, C., Hopmans, E.C., Febo-Ayala, W., Thompson, D.H., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Schouten, S., 2006. An improved method to determine the absolute abundance of glycerol dibiphytanyl glycerol tetraether lipids. *Organic Geochemistry* 37, 1036-1041.
- Jansen, J.H.F., Van der Gaast, S.J., Koster, B., Vaars, A.J., 1998. CORTEX, a shipboard XRF-scanner for element analyses in split sediment cores. *Marine Geology* 151, 143-153.
- Kaplin, P.A., Selivanov, A.O., 2004. Lateglacial and Holocene sea level changes in semi-enclosed seas of North Eurasia: examples from the contrasting Black and White Seas. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 209, 19-36.
- Kwiecien, O., Arz, H., Lamy, F., Wulf, S., Bahr, A., Röhl, U., Haug, G.H., 2008. Estimated reservoir ages of the Black Sea since the last glacial. *Radiocarbon* 50, 99-118.
- Kwiecien, O., Arz, H.W., Lamy, F., Plessen, B., Bahr, A., Haug, G.H., 2009. North Atlantic control on precipitation pattern in the eastern Mediterranean/Black Sea region during the last glacial. *Quaternary Research* 71, 375-384.

- Leng, M.J., Marshall, J.D., 2004. Palaeoclimate interpretation of stable isotope data from lake sediment archives. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 23, 811-831.
- Lericolais, G., Bulois, C., Gillet, H., Guichard, F., 2009. High frequency sea level fluctuations recorded in the Black Sea since the LGM. *Global and Planetary Change* 66, 65-75.
- Lericolais, G., Popescu, I., Guichard, F., Popescu, S.M., 2007. A Black Sea lowstand at 8500 yr B.P. indicated by a relict coastal dune system at a depth of 90 m below sea level. *Geological Society of America Special Papers* 426, 171-188.
- Litt, T., Brauer, A., Goslar, T., Merkt, J., Balaga, K., Müller, H., Ralska-Jasiewiczowa, M., Stebich, M., Negendank, J.F.W., 2001. Correlation and synchronisation of Lateglacial continental sequences in northern central Europe based on annually laminated lacustrine sediments. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 20, 1233-1249.
- Major, C., Ryan, W., Lericolais, G., Hajdas, I., 2002. Constraints on Black Sea outflow to the Sea of Marmara during the last glacial-interglacial transition. *Marine Geology* 190, 19-34.
- Major, C.O., Goldstein, S.L., Ryan, W.B.F., Lericolais, G., Piotrowski, A.M., Hajdas, I., 2006. The co-evolution of Black Sea level and composition through the last deglaciation and its paleoclimatic significance. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25, 2031-2047.
- Mangerud, J., 1972. Radiocarbon dating of marine shells, including a discussion of apparent age of recent shells from Norway. *Boreas* 1, 143-172.
- Ménot, G., Bard, E., 2010. Geochemical evidence for a large methane release during the last deglaciation from Marmara Sea sediments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 74, 1537-1550.
- Ménot, G., Soulet, G., Bard, E., [First quantitative reconstruction of millennial-scale temperature variations in Central Europe. In preparation.](#)
- Mook, W.G., van der Plicht, J., 1999. Reporting  $^{14}\text{C}$  activities and concentrations. *Radiocarbon* 41, 227-239.
- NGRIP members, 2004. High-resolution record of Northern Hemisphere climate extending into the last interglacial period. *Nature* 431, 147-151.
- Pailler, D., Bard, E., 2002. High frequency palaeoceanographic changes during the past 140,000 yr recorded by the organic matter in sediments of the Iberian Margin. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 181, 431-452.
- Pirazzoli, P.A., 1991. *World Atlas of Holocene Sea-Level Changes*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Popescu, I., Lericolais, G., Panin, N., Normand, A., Dinu, C., Le Drezen, E., 2004. The Danube submarine canyon (Black Sea): morphology and sedimentary processes. *Marine Geology* 206, 249-265.
- Prokopenko, A.A., Williams, D.F., 2004. Deglacial methane emission signals in the carbon isotopic record of Lake Baikal. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 218, 135-147.
- Reimer, P.J., Baillie, M.G.L., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Blackwell, P.G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Buck, C.E., Burr, G.S., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P.M., Guilderson, T.P., Hajdas, I., Heaton, T.J., Hogg, A.G., Hughen, K.A., Kaiser, K.F., Kromer, B., McCormac, G., Manning, S., Reimer, R.W., Richards, D.A., Southon, J.R., Talamo, S., Turney, C.S.M., van der Plicht, J., Weyhenmeyer, C.E., 2009.



- IntCal09 and Marine09 Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curves, 0-50,000 Years cal BP. Radiocarbon 51, 1111-1150.
- Ross, D.A., Degens, E.T., 1974. Recent sediments of Black Sea, in: Degens, E.T. and Ross, D.A. (Eds.), The Black Sea: Geology, Chemistry, and Biology. American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Tulsa, pp. 183-199.
- Ross, D.A., Degens, E.T., MacIlvaine, J., 1970. Black Sea: Recent Sedimentary History. Science 170, 163-165.
- Ruth, U., Bigler, M., Röthlisberger, R., Siggaard-Andersen, M.-L., Kipfstuhl, S., Goto-Azuma, K., Hansson, M.E., Johnsen, S.J., Lu, H., Steffensen, J.P., 2007. Ice core evidence for a very tight link between North Atlantic and east Asian glacial climate. Geophys. Res. Lett. 34, L03706, doi:10.1029/2006gl027876.
- Ryan, W.B.F., 2007. Status of the Black sea flood hypothesis, in: Yanko-Hombach, V., Gilbert, A.S., Panin, N. (Eds.), The Black Sea Flood Question: Changes in Coastline, Climate and Human Settlement. Springer, New York, pp. 63-88.
- Ryan, W.B.F., Major, C.O., Lericolais, G., Goldstein, S.L., 2003. Catastrophic Flooding of the Black Sea. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 31, 525-554.
- Ryan, W.B.F., Pitman, W.C., Major, C.O., Shimkus, K., Moskalenko, V., Jones, G.A., Dimitrov, P., Gorür, N., Sakiñç, M., Yüce, H., 1997. An abrupt drowning of the Black Sea shelf. Marine Geology 138, 119-126.
- Schrader, H.-J., 1979. Quaternary Paleoclimatology of the Black Sea basin. Sedimentary Geology 23, 165-180.
- Severinghaus, J.P., Brook, E.J., 1999. Abrupt Climate Change at the End of the Last Glacial Period Inferred from Trapped Air in Polar Ice. Science 286, 930-934.
- Severinghaus, J.P., Sowers, T., Brook, E.J., Alley, R.B., Bender, M.L., 1998. Timing of abrupt climate change at the end of the Younger Dryas interval from thermally fractionated gases in polar ice. Nature 391, 141-146.
- Schouten, S., Hopmans, E.C., Schefuß, E., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2002. Distributional variations in marine crenarchaeotal membrane lipids: a new tool for reconstructing ancient sea water temperatures? Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 204, 265-274.
- Schouten, S., Hopmans, E.C., van der Meer, J., Mets, A., Bard, E., Bianchi, T.S., Diefendorf, A., Escala, M., Freeman, K.H., Furukawa, Y., Huguët, C., Ingalls, A., Ménot-Combes, G., Nederbragt, A.J., Oba, M., Pearson, A., Pearson, E.J., Rosell-Melé, A., Schaeffer, P., Shah, S.R., Shanahan, T.M., Smith, R.W., Smittenberg, R., Talbot, H.M., Uchida, M., Van Mooy, B.A.S., Yamamoto, M., Zhang, Z., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2009. An interlaboratory study of TEX86 and BIT analysis using high-performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 10, Q03012, doi: 10.1029/2008GC002221.
- Siani, G., Paterne, M., Michel, E., Sulpizio, R., Sbrana, A., Arnold, M., Haddad, G., 2001. Mediterranean Sea Surface Radiocarbon Reservoir Age Changes Since the Last Glacial Maximum. Science 294, 1917-1920.
- Siegenthaler, U., Heimann, M., Oeschger, H., 1980.  $^{14}\text{C}$  variations caused by changes in the global carbon cycle. Radiocarbon 22, 177-191.

- Soulet, G., Delaygue, G., Vallet-Coulomb, C., Böttcher, M.E., Sonzogni, C., Lericolais, G., Bard, E., 2010. Glacial hydrologic conditions in the Black Sea reconstructed using geochemical pore water profiles. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 296, 57-66.
- Soulet, G., Ménot, G., Lericolais, G., Bard, E., 2011. A revised calendar age for the last reconnection of the Black Sea to the global ocean. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 1019-1026.
- Steffensen, J.P., Andersen, K.K., Bigler, M., Clausen, H.B., Dahl-Jensen, D., Fischer, H., Goto-Azuma, K., Hansson, M., Johnsen, S.J., Jouzel, J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Popp, T., Rasmussen, S.O., Rothlisberger, R., Ruth, U., Stauffer, B., Siggaard-Andersen, M.-L., Sveinbjornsdottir, A.E., Svensson, A., White, J.W.C., 2008. High-Resolution Greenland Ice Core Data Show Abrupt Climate Change Happens in Few Years. *Science* 321, 680-684.
- Stein, M., Migowski, C., Bookman, R., Lazar, B., 2004. Temporal changes in radiocarbon reservoir age in the Dead Sea Lake Lisan system. *Radiocarbon* 46, 649-655.
- Stoffers, P., Degens, E.T., Trimonis, E.S., 1978. Stratigraphy and suggested ages of Black Sea sediments cored during Leg 42B, in: Ross, D.A., Neprochnov, Y.P. et al. (Eds.), *Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project*. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, pp. 483-488.
- Stuiver, M., Pearson, G.W., Braziunas, T.F., 1986. Radiocarbon age calibration of marine samples back to 9000 cal. yr BP. *Radiocarbon* 28, 980-1021.
- Stuiver, M., Polach, H.A., 1977. Discussion reporting of  $^{14}\text{C}$  data. *Radiocarbon* 19, 355-363.
- Suess, E., Revelle, R., 1957. Carbon dioxide exchange between the atmosphere and ocean and the question of an increase of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  during the past decades. *Tellus* 9, 18-27.
- Toucanne, S., Zaragosi, S., Bourillet, J.F., Cremer, M., Eynaud, F., Van Vliet-Lanoë, B., Penaud, A., Fontanier, C., Turon, J.L., Cortijo, E., Gibbard, P.L., 2009. Timing of massive 'Fleuve Manche' discharges over the last 350 kyr: insights into the European ice-sheet oscillations and the European drainage network from MIS 10 to 2. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28, 1238-1256.
- Tzedakis, P.C., Lawson, I.T., Frogley, M.R., Hewitt, G.M., Preece, R.C., 2002. Buffered Tree Population Changes in a Quaternary Refugium: Evolutionary Implications. *Science* 297, 2044-2047.
- Vidal, L., Ménot, G., Joly, C., Bruneton, H., Rostek, F., Çagatay, M.N., Major, C., Bard, E., 2010. Hydrology in the Sea of Marmara during the last 23 ka: Implications for timing of Black Sea connections and sapropel deposition. *Paleoceanography* 25, PA1205, doi:10.1029/2009pa001735.
- Wang, Y.J., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., An, Z.S., Wu, J.Y., Shen, C.-C., Dorale, J.A., 2001. A High-Resolution Absolute-Dated Late Pleistocene Monsoon Record from Hulu Cave, China. *Science* 294, 2345-2348.
- Wolff, E.W., Chappellaz, J., Blunier, T., Rasmussen, S.O., Svensson, A., 2010. Millennial-scale variability during the last glacial: The ice core record. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 29, 2828-2838.

- Yanko-Hombach, V., Gilbert, A.S., Panin, A.V., Dolukhanov, P.M. (Eds.) 2007. The Black Sea Flood Question: Changes in Coastline, Climate, and Human Settlement. Springer, New York.
- Zaragosi, S., Bourillet, J.-F., Eynaud, F., Toucanne, S., Denhard, B., Van Toer, A., Lanfume, V., 2006. The impact of the last European deglaciation on the deep-sea turbidite systems of the Celtic-Armorican margin (Bay of Biscay). *Geo-Marine Letters* 26, 317-329.
- Zaragosi, S., Eynaud, F., Pujol, C., Auffret, G.A., Turon, J.L., Garlan, T., 2001. Initiation of the European deglaciation as recorded in the northwestern Bay of Biscay slope environments (Meriadzek Terrace and Trevelyan Escarpment): a multi-proxy approach. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 188, 493-507.
- Zhou, A.-f., Chen, F.-h., Wang, Z.-l., Yang, M.-l., Qiang, M.-r., Zhang, J.-w., 2009. Temporal change of radiocarbon reservoir effect in Sugan Lake, northwest China during the Late Holocene. *Radiocarbon* 51, 529-535.

## Figures

Figure 1: The Black Sea area. The white dot indicates the location of the MD04-2790 coring site ( $44^{\circ}12.8'N$ ,  $30^{\circ}59.6'E$ ). Black dots represent the coring locations for previously published cores, as follows: BLKS-9810 (Major et al., 2002), GeoB 7608-1 (Bahr et al., 2005), and MD04-2760 and MD04-2788 (Kwiecien et al., 2008). The coring depths, in meters below sea level (mbsl), are indicated below the coring site.




Figure 2: The high-resolution paleoclimate records of core MD04-2790. A: The Black Sea "Lake" surface water temperatures reconstructed using the GDGT thermometer TEX86. B: Total Organic Carbon (TOC). C: The XRF-Ca intensities (blue line) and the CaCO<sub>3</sub> content (bold black line). The black dashed line represents the upper limit of the glacial CaCO<sub>3</sub> variability (glacial mean plus 2 standard deviations:  $11.54 + 2 \times 1.93 = 15.40\%$ ). D: The XRF-Ti/Ca ratio. Black triangles show the stratigraphic positions for each original radiocarbon age. The geochemical subunits of the Black Sea "Lake" sediments are positioned below panel D: A, Aragonite layers; T, Transitional layer; C1, Carbonate peak 1; GT1, Glacial-Type 1; C2, Carbonate peak 2; GT2, Glacial-Type 2; RL, Red Layers; G, Glacial. Historical stratigraphic subdivisions, Unit II and Unit III (Ross and Degens, 1974), are also shown. The stratigraphic position of Heinrich Events 3 and 2 (HE3, HE2) and the Younger Dryas (YD) cold oscillations, as well as the Bølling-Allerød (BA) and Pre-Boreal (PB) warm oscillations, are also indicated. Note that, for optical reasons, the depth scale between 1 to 8 m is different from the depth scale between 8 to 22 m.




Figure 3: High-resolution paleoclimate records for core MD04-2790 as compared to Hulu Cave speleothem  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values (Wang et al., 2001). A: The Black Sea “Lake” surface water temperature reconstruction using the GDGT thermometer TEX86. B: The XRF-Ca intensities. C: The XRF-Ti/Ca ratio. D: The correlation points used to map the MD04-2790 paleoclimatic records onto the Hulu 230Th time scale. Green dots outline the correlation points between Black Sea “Lake” surface water temperature (TEX86) and the Hulu Cave speleothem  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values. Blue dots indicate the correlation points between the very high-resolution XRF-Ca intensity record and the Hulu Cave speleothem  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values. “R” indicates the correlation coefficient and is followed by the resulting numerical value of the comparison. Orange dots were inferred from careful varve counting for each, discrete RL associated with large peaks in XRF-Ti/Ca. Red dot represents the anchor-point of the varve chronology. Data from items represented by black dots are from Soulet et al. (2011).






Figure 4: X-ray imagery and microphotography of the sediment thin section corresponding to the MD04-2790 core interval 657 to 637 cm (i.e. within RL3). Thin dashed black lines represent cm-scale normal faulting. In core MD04-2790, such cm-scale brittle deformation, possibly due to sediment dewatering, only occurs within each RL1-2-3 and 4 intervals. Dewatering leading to the occurrence of discrete, brittle, shear zones has been experimentally reported in clayey sediments that have been previously over-consolidated and then subjected to pore-fluid overpressure. This type of phenomenon is strongly dependent on the previous stress history of the sediment (e.g. Bolton et al., 1998). The bold red line represents the onset of RL3. Arrows indicate the positions of laminations. X-ray imagery and sediment thin sections were performed at EPOC (Bordeaux, France) following the methods described in Zaragosi et al. (2006).




Figure 5: An assessment of uncertainty for the calendar age model. A: Plots of the 1000-yr window moving correlation between MD04-2790 paleoclimate records and Hulu Cave  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records. The bold black line represents the 1000-yr window moving correlation between the Black Sea “Lake” surface temperatures (TEX86) and the Hulu Cave  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  speleothem MSD, whereas the bold gray line is the 1000-yr window moving correlation between the XRF-Ca intensities and the Hulu Cave  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  speleothem H82. B: The calendar age uncertainty is linked to the strength of the correlation. In the absence of a correlation ( $R \leq 0$ ) to Hulu Cave data, we assumed that the MD04-2790 sedimentation rate varied within a factor of two, in order to constrain the maximal MD04-2790 calendar age uncertainty. As a result, the correlation ( $R$ ) and the calendar age uncertainty were proportionally linked by applying no calendar age uncertainty for a perfect correlation of  $R = 1$ , and a maximum calendar age uncertainty of 470 years for a minimum correlation ( $R = -0.7$ ) (black bold line). For the period spanning 14.8 to 10.3 kyr BP, since no complete lack of correlation occurred, we applied no calendar age uncertainty for a perfect correlation of  $R = 1$ , and a maximum calendar age uncertainty of 220 years for the minimum correlation ( $R = 0.05$ ) by assuming a lack of correlation below  $R = 0.2$  (gray bold line). The uncertainties linked to the Hulu Cave  $^{230}\text{Th}$  age uncertainty (dashed blue line) and to the age of the sampling resolution (blue line) were fitted linearly by Hughen et al. (2006) and were also taken into account. The resulting calendar age uncertainty is the quadratic sum of the three sources of uncertainty (red bold line). For the interval constrained by varve counting (17.2 to 14.8 kyr BP) there is a constant sedimentation rate between each discrete RL. The uncertainty was then classically propagated using partial derivative theory. C: The total resulted in a 1- $\sigma$  envelope for the MD04-2790 age model.






Figure 6: The glacial part of core MD04-2760 (lower panel; Kwiecien et al., 2008) tuned to MD04-2790 (upper panel) using high-resolution XRF-Ca profiles. The MD04-2790 record is also shown with a 3-cm running average (the bold black line in the upper panel); the MD04-2760 record (Kwiecien et al., 2008) is also shown with a 5-point running average corresponding to a 3 cm running average (the bold black line in the lower panel) in the MD04-2790 depth scale. Triangles indicate the stratigraphic position of radiocarbon ages obtained for core MD04-2790 and core MD04-2760 (Kwiecien et al., 2008); the Y-2 tephra, only occurring in core MD04-2760 (Kwiecien et al., 2008), is indicated by the black arrow; dashed lines display the tie-points between both XRF-Ca records. The Y-2 tephra would have occurred at a depth of 1157 cm in core MD04-2790. The calibrated age of Y-2 tephra was  $21,770 \pm 500$  cal. yr BP (Kwiecien et al., 2008), in perfect agreement with the calendar age for a depth of 1157 cm ( $21,995 \pm 170$  yr BP), as obtained using our tuning.




Figure 7: A: An example of a reservoir age calculation taken from this study (Table 1; sample SacA 10313). The radiocarbon age of the sample is  $16,710 \pm 70$   $^{14}\text{C}$  yr BP (dark blue probability density function (pdf) on the vertical axis), and its associated calendar age was calculated to be  $18,913 \pm 179$  yr BP (red pdf on the horizontal axis). The original radiocarbon age was corrected for different reservoir ages (0, 1000, and 2000 yr; dark blue, blue, and green pdf on the vertical axis, respectively) and then calibrated (Intcal09; Reimer et al., 2009) to produce the corresponding calibrated pdf (horizontal axis). Intuitively, among the three reservoir ages proposed, only the 1000 yr reservoir age correction led to a calibrated pdf (light blue) that agreed with the calendar age of the sample (red pdf). The Bayesian approach that we used allowed us to formalise this “agreement” and to then reconstruct, in a probabilistically consistent fashion, a set of possible reservoir ages. B: The resulting pdf for the set of possible age reservoirs obtained for this example. The grey area is defined as the mean of the reservoir age plus or minus one standard deviation ( $\pm 1\sigma$ ).




Figure 8: The profile of the original  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages obtained from core MD04-2790, plotted against core depth (grey dots). The same profile with original  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages corrected for reconstructed reservoir ages (black dots).





Figure 9: The  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  and the reservoir age record compared to global paleoclimate records. The upper panel shows the Hulu Cave speleothem  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  with its own chronology (Wang et al., 2001). The intermediate and lower panels show the Black Sea "Lake"  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  and the reservoir age record. For both, the red and orange dots represent the reconstructed  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  and the reservoir ages for the two shallow cores MD04-2790 (352 mbsl) and BLKS 9810 (378 mbsl), respectively. Brown squares and green squares are the reconstructed reservoir ages for the two deep cores, GeoB 7608-1 (1202 mbsl) and MD04-2760/2788 (1225 mbsl), respectively. Black dots, shown for the last reconnection and for the onset of the sapropel, are from Soulet et al. (2011). Black Sea "Lake"  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  values were compared to the atmospheric IntCal09  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$   $1\sigma$ -enveloppe (bold black lines) (Reimer et al., 2009).



## Tables

**Table 1: Black Sea "Lake" radiocarbon data**

#	lab	depth	Corresponding	Sedimentary		AMS $^{14}\text{C}$	Tuned calendar		Reservoir	Age
number		(cmbsf)	depth in core MD04-2790 (cmbsf)	subunit <sup>a</sup>	sample type	(yr BP) $\pm 1-\sigma$	age (yr BP) $\pm 1-\sigma$	$\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ (‰) $\pm 1-\sigma$	( $^{14}\text{C}$ yr) $\pm 1-\sigma$	
<b>MD04-2790 (352 water depth; this study)</b>										
SacA 13276		125	—	T	<i>Candona</i> sp.	7,640 $\pm$ 30	—	27 $\pm$ 31 <sup>d</sup>	410 $\pm$ 262 <sup>d</sup>	
SacA 7608		213	—	GT1	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	10,305 $\pm$ 45	11,591 $\pm$ 99	127 $\pm$ 15	253 $\pm$ 59	
SacA 7609		247	—	C2	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	11,655 $\pm$ 45	13,213 $\pm$ 148	159 $\pm$ 22	304 $\pm$ 181	
SacA 7610		257	—	C2	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	11,920 $\pm$ 45	13,483 $\pm$ 189	158 $\pm$ 27	309 $\pm$ 215	
SacA 13277		275	—	C2	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	12,355 $\pm$ 40	13,827 $\pm$ 137	144 $\pm$ 20	370 $\pm$ 155	
SacA 7611		300	—	C2	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	12,825 $\pm$ 50	14,201 $\pm$ 150	129 $\pm$ 22	554 $\pm$ 131	
SacA 15497		336	—	GT2	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	12,835 $\pm$ 45	14,785 $\pm$ 157	210 $\pm$ 24	239 $\pm$ 133	
SacA 13278		390	—	GT2	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	13,390 $\pm$ 35	15,345 $\pm$ 218	208 $\pm$ 32	538 $\pm$ 158	
SacA 10311		405	—	GT2	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	13,540 $\pm$ 50	15,500 $\pm$ 249	209 $\pm$ 37	620 $\pm$ 160	
SacA 13279		425	—	RL	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	13,885 $\pm$ 40	15,679 $\pm$ 284	183 $\pm$ 41	885 $\pm$ 164	
SacA 7612 <sup>b</sup>		444	—	RL	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	14,930 $\pm$ 60	15,742 $\pm$ 284	47 $\pm$ 37	1895 $\pm$ 163	
SacA 7613		490	—	RL	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	14,200 $\pm$ 50	15,908 $\pm$ 288	169 $\pm$ 41	1084 $\pm$ 158	
SacA 10312		497	—	RL	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	14,320 $\pm$ 60	15,980 $\pm$ 307	162 $\pm$ 44	1161 $\pm$ 175	
SacA 13280		500	—	RL	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	14,290 $\pm$ 60	16,012 $\pm$ 311	171 $\pm$ 45	1108 $\pm$ 181	
SacA 7614		604	—	RL	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	14,990 $\pm$ 60	16,497 $\pm$ 373	138 $\pm$ 52	1406 $\pm$ 330	
SacA 7615		653	—	RL	<i>Dreissena</i> sp.	15,160 $\pm$ 70	16,688 $\pm$ 373	140 $\pm$ 52	1465 $\pm$ 343	

SacA 7616	740	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	15,820 ± 60	17,425 ± 365	148 ± 51	1570 ± 377
SacA 13281	775	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	16,150 ± 50	17,985 ± 367	179 ± 53	1327 ± 348
SacA 10313	830	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	16,710 ± 70	18,913 ± 179	231 ± 29	990 ± 255
SacA 10314	1010	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	18,340 ± 70	20,662 ± 483	241 ± 73	996 ± 409
SacA 7617	1146	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	19,530 ± 80	21,883 ± 169	241 ± 28	1199 ± 183
SacA 15498	1209	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	19,820 ± 80	22,793 ± 339	336 ± 56	748 ± 323
SacA 13282	1322	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	20,820 ± 70	24,372 ± 161	428 ± 30	400 ± 199
SacA 13283 <sup>b</sup>	1395	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	22,230 ± 80	25,284 ± 200	338 ± 35	1071 ± 188

**Table 1 continued**

# number	lab	depth (cmbsf)	Corresponding depth in core MD04-2790 (cmbsf)	Sedimentary subunit <sup>a</sup>	sample type	AMS age (yr BP) ± 1-σ	<sup>14</sup> C Tuned calendar age (yr BP) ± 1-σ	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰) ± 1-σ	Reservoir Age ( <sup>14</sup> C yr) ± 1-σ
<b>MD04-2790 (352 water depth; this study)</b>									
SacA 15498		1719	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	23,560 ± 100	28,259 ± 210	625 ± 46	111 ± 307
SacA 15499 <sup>c</sup>		1827	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	24,020 ± 100	29,748 ± 207	837 ± 51	–
SacA 10315 <sup>c</sup>		1865	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	24,680 ± 120	30,199 ± 189	787 ± 49	–
SacA 15501 <sup>c</sup>		1873	–	G	<i>Dreissena</i> <i>sp.</i>	24,360 ± 100	30,319 ± 191	887 ± 49	–
<b>BLKS9810 (378 m water depth; Major et al., 2002)</b>									
ETH-23298		94.5	223	GT1	<i>Turricaspia</i>	10,640 ± 80	12,123 ± 214	153 ± 32	291 ± 155
ETH-23299		118.5	239	C2	<i>Dreissena</i>	11,410 ± 110	12,984 ± 103	162 ± 22	308 ± 156
ETH-23300		154.5	296	C2	<i>Dreissena</i>	12,790 ± 110	14,142 ± 150	126 ± 26	573 ± 175
ETH-23301		186.5	346	GT2	<i>Dreissena</i>	12,920 ± 100	14,890 ± 159	213 ± 28	305 ± 170
<b>GeoB 7608-1 (1202 m water depth; Bahr et al., 2005)</b>									
KIA 21464		34	125	T	Ostracods	7,735 ± 50	–	15 ± 31 <sup>d</sup>	505 ± 265 <sup>d</sup>

KIA 21463	88	219	GT1	Gastropod	11,460 ± 70	11,914 ± 119	15 ± 17	1252 ± 83
KIA 21461	158	335,5	C2	Gastropod	13,350 ± 80	14,780 ± 157	134 ± 24	761 ± 136
KIA 21866	436	723	RL	Gastropod	16,360 ± 70	17,140 ± 365	36 ± 47	2389 ± 383
<b>MD04-2760 &amp; MD04-2788 (1226 &amp; 1224 water depth; Kwiecien et al., 2008)</b>								
KIA 26699	373 <sup>f</sup>	133	T	Gastropods	8,820 ± 55	–	–14 ± 23 <sup>d</sup>	730 ± 132 <sup>d</sup>
KIA 25679	373 <sup>f</sup>	133	T	Ostracods	8,910 ± 45	–	–25 ± 22 <sup>d</sup>	820 ± 128 <sup>d</sup>
KIA 26700	540 <sup>f</sup>	219	GT1	Gastropods	11,105 ± 60	11,891 ± 115	58 ± 17	907 ± 76
KIA 26701	653 <sup>f</sup>	297	C2	Gastropods	13,050 ± 70	14,146 ± 150	91 ± 22	824 ± 147
KIA 28419	679 <sup>f</sup>	326	C2	Ostracods	13,220 ± 70	14,617 ± 156	130 ± 24	722 ± 135
KIA 25676	1248 <sup>f</sup>	659	RL	Ostracods	14,800 ± 100	16,752 ± 371	202 ± 56	1066 ± 348
KIA 25684	1384 <sup>f</sup>	723	G	Ostracods	16,120 ± 90	17,140 ± 365	69 ± 49	2132 ± 386

**Table 1 continued**

# number	lab depth (cmbsf)	Corresponding depth in core MD04-2790 (cmbsf)	Sedimentary subunit <sup>a</sup>	sample type	AMS <sup>14</sup> C age (yr BP) ± 1-σ	Tuned calendar age (yr BP) ± 1-σ	Δ <sup>14</sup> C (‰) ± 1-σ	Reservoir Age ( <sup>14</sup> C yr) ± 1-σ
<b>MD04-2760 &amp; MD04-2788 (1226 &amp; 1224 water depth; Kwiecien et al., 2008)</b>								
KIA 25685	1519 <sup>f</sup>	789	G	Ostracods	17,090 ± 110	18,195 ± 384	77 ± 52	2047 ± 410
KIA 25686	2105 <sup>f</sup>	1079	G	Ostracods	19,390 ± 110	21,243 ± 315	169 ± 47	1558 ± 289
KIA 25697	2305 <sup>f</sup>	1236	G	Ostracods	20,240 ± 130	23,226 ± 355	337 ± 61	762 ± 339
KIA 25754	2507 <sup>f</sup>	1390	G	Gastropods	21,030 ± 190	25,207 ± 185	540 ± 50	–93 ± 238
KIA 25688	2507 <sup>f</sup>	1390	G	Ostracods	21,460 ± 140	25,207 ± 185	459 ± 41	336 ± 219
KIA 2578	2737 <sup>f</sup>	1496	G	Ostracods	22,340 ± 190	26,665 ± 196	560 ± 52	186 ± 267

<sup>a</sup>see caption of Figure 2

<sup>b</sup>Samples in clear age inversion

<sup>c</sup>Inconsistent Δ<sup>14</sup>C

<sup>d</sup>Calculated as described in Soulet et al. (2011)

<sup>f</sup>Middle of the sieved interval (10 or 20 cm; see Kwiecien et al., 2008)