ICES Journal of Marine Science April 2013, Volume 70, Issue 3, Pages 498-510 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fst016</u> © 2013 International Council for the Exploration of the Sea. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved.

This is a pre-copy-editing, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in ICES Journal of Marine Science following peer review. The definitive publisher-authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fst016

EcoTroph: a simple model to assess fishery interactions and their impacts on ecosystems

Loïc Gasche¹ and Didier Gascuel^{2,*}

¹ IFREMER centre Atlantique, Unité EMH, rue de l'île d'Yeu, BP 21105, 44311 Nantes cedex 03, France
 ² Université Européenne de Bretagne, UMR 985 Agrocampus Ouest/INRA Ecologie et Santé des Ecosystèmes, 65 rue de Saint-Brieuc, CS 84215, 35042 Rennes cedex, France

*: Corresponding author : Didier Gascuel, tel: +33 223 48 55 34 ; fax: +33 223 48 55 35 ; email address : <u>Didier.Gascuel@agrocampus-ouest.fr</u>

Abstract:

The EcoTroph model leaves aside the notion of species, and models the functioning of marine ecosystems as flows of biomass from low to high trophic levels, so as to quantify easily the impacts of fishing at an ecosystem scale. In this paper, based on two case studies we present a new development of the EcoTroph model that is devoted to the analysis of fishery interactions and impacts of fleet segments on ecosystems. First, deriving an EcoTroph model from an Ecopath model of the Guinea ecosystem, the impacts of the artisanal fishery are distinguished from those of the industrial fishery. It appears that these fisheries do not always compete for the same fish groups but that they both strongly impact the ecosystem is set up, also based on a pre-existing Ecopath model. Two scenarios are simulated: a doubling in the fishing mortality of small pelagics causes a strong decrease in biomass at all trophic levels of the ecosystem, confirming the pivotal role of these "wasp-waist" species in this ecosystem.

Keywords: Ecopath, ecosystem modelling ; EcoTroph, fishery interactions ; fishing impact ; Guinea, management scenario ; small pelagics ; Southern Benguela

28 Introduction

Models are increasingly used to improve our understanding of marine ecosystem functioning and address applied questions in the field of fisheries management (Walters and Martell 2004). In particular, the recognition that the impact of fishing extends well beyond the targeted species lets fisheries scientists develop new ecosystem models, as key tools for the implementation of ecosystem-based fisheries management.

One of these models is EcoTroph (ET) (Gascuel 2005, Gascuel and Pauly 2009), a 34 minimum-realistic ecosystem model which provides a simplified overview of ecosystem 35 36 functioning and facilitates simulations of changes in fishing mortality. EcoTroph uses trophic spectra to represent marine ecosystems, leaving aside the notion of species and modelling 37 marine ecosystems' functioning as flows of biomass from low to high trophic levels (TLs). In 38 the past few years EcoTroph's applications have shifted from theoretical contexts based on 39 virtual ecosystems (Gascuel and Pauly 2009, Gascuel et al. 2011) to specific real case 40 studies to assess the current fishing impacts at the ecosystem scale (Gasche et al. 2012, 41 Lassalle et al. 2012, Tremblay-Boyer et al. 2011) or to analyze the effects of MPAs on the 42 whole food web (Colleter et al. 2012, Valls et al. 2012). 43

However EcoTroph's simulation abilities remained limited, allowing modelers only to simulate 44 a global change in the fishing pressure using the same effort multiplier for all trophic levels. 45 We therefore developed a new version of the EcoTroph model where fishing mortality can be 46 47 changed either for each fleet segment operating in the ecosystem or for each functional group of the food web. This considerably increases the number of possible simulations and 48 49 allows users to simulate management scenarios closer to reality. Indeed, the model can now 50 be used to assess the specific impact of each fleet segment on the food web and to 51 investigate relationships between fisheries as well as their joint effect on the ecosystem.

Here, using the Guinean and the Southern Benguela ecosystems as case studies, we 52 demonstrate that this simple model can be used to simulate complex changes in the 53 54 dynamics of fisheries. Simulations by fleet segments are presented through an application to 55 the Guinean ecosystem where the interactions between artisanal and industrial fisheries are a key aspect of fisheries management. Then the EcoTroph model is applied to the Southern 56 Benquela upwelling ecosystem to simulate two hypothetical fishing scenarios. This case 57 study especially highlights the strong influence of the exploitation of small pelagic species on 58 59 the whole food web as well as on other fisheries. Both these applications to real ecosystems give us valuable insights into the key mechanisms driving ecosystem reactions to fishing that 60 61 could be of much use in an ecosystem approach to fisheries management.

62

63 Material and methods

64 General principles and major equations of the EcoTroph model

EcoTroph is a trophic level-based model using trophic spectra to represent marine ecosystems (Gascuel 2005, Gascuel and Pauly 2009). Trophic spectra are graphical representations of the continuous distribution of the ecosystem biomass (or production, or consumption by predators, or catch, etc.) across trophic levels (Gascuel et al. 2005). Conventionally, this continuous distribution is approximated by a distribution in trophic classes whose width is $\Delta \tau = 0.1$ TL.

71 EcoTroph models marine ecosystem functioning as flows of biomass from low to high trophic levels. Biomass enters the ecosystem at trophic level 1, generated by the photosynthetic 72 73 activity of primary producers and recycling by bacteria that form a part of the microbial loop. 74 A β coefficient chosen by the user between 0 and 1 determines the intensity of the biomass input control. A value of 0 means that all the production at trophic level 1 comes from primary 75 producers, while a value of 1 indicates that all production comes from recycling (and thus 76 depends on the total ecosystem biomass). There is usually no biomass between trophic 77 78 levels 1 and 2, herbivores and detritivores being at trophic level 2. Then, at TLs>2, the biomass is distributed along a continuum of values of TL, the diet variability of the various consumers resulting in all fractional TLs being filled. Conventionally, EcoTroph's representation of the ecosystem stops at trophic level 6 which is deemed high enough to cover all top predators.

Despite its apparent simplicity and representation of the ecosystem as flows of biomass, 83 EcoTroph falls into the "whole ecosystem models" category as defined in Plagányi et al. 84 (2007). Indeed, EcoTroph attempts to take into account all trophic levels in the ecosystem 85 86 and is commonly based on Ecopath (Christensen & Walters, 2004) outputs possibly representing tens of trophic groups. Nonetheless the notion of species quickly disappears 87 from EcoTroph as trophic spectra are built summarizing all the information available at the 88 species (or trophic group) level with one curve per output variable. EcoTroph only models 89 top-down and bottom-up effects of fishing along the food chain, with no spatial, temporal or 90 environmental variations, mostly using common stock assessment equations. Therefore this 91 model could also correspond to the "extensions of single-species assessment models" 92 93 (ESAM) category. It is our opinion that the EcoTroph approach is one of the simplest 94 available when trying to model the effects of fishing at the ecosystem level. Thus we chose in this paper to refer to EcoTroph as a minimum-realistic ecosystem model, even if these terms 95 are partly antagonistic. 96

97 Biomass flows through trophic levels by means of two processes: predation of predators upon their prey; and ontogeny (as ontogeny can be associated, for some species, with 98 increases in trophic levels). Gascuel et al. (2008) showed that even if characterized by 99 100 abrupt jumps at the level of organisms (according to predation events), this flow can be 101 modeled in a continuous way at the ecosystem scale. Therefore, ecosystem functioning is 102 considered a non conservative flow of biomass moving through trophic levels, using the 103 usual equations of fluid dynamics. In steady state conditions, the biomass at trophic level τ 104 (i.e. in the trophic class $[\tau, \tau + \Delta \tau]$, expressed here in tons) is calculated as:

$$B_{\tau} = \frac{\Phi_{\tau}}{K_{\tau}} \cdot \Delta \tau$$

where Φ_{τ} is the mean biomass flow passing through the trophic class [$\tau, \tau + \Delta \tau$ [(obtained from eq. (2) below and expressed in tons/year). The flow kinetics K_{τ} is the mean speed of the biomass flow through that class (obtained from eq. (4)), which quantifies the velocity of biomass transfers through the food web (in TL/year, the number of trophic levels crossed per year).

Losses in the biomass flow occur at each trophic level undergoing fishing mortality, natural mortality other than predation, and losses from metabolism (e.g. excretion and egestion, loss of energy by respiration). Thus, the biomass flow Φ_{τ} decreases according to trophic levels and can be calculated as:

115 (2)
$$\Phi_{\tau+\Delta\tau} = \Phi_{\tau} \cdot \exp[-(\mu_{\tau} + \varphi_{\tau}) \cdot \Delta\tau]$$

116 where μ_{τ} is the natural loss rate (encompassing non-predation natural mortality and 117 metabolic losses) and φ_{τ} the fishing loss rate. The value of the φ_{τ} parameter in the current 118 state (i.e. the last one observed, used to build the model; see below) is computed as the 119 catch over production ratio at trophic level τ ($\varphi_{cur,\tau} = (Y/P)_{cur,\tau}$), and μ_{τ} as:

(3)
$$\mu_{\tau} = ln \left(\frac{P_{\tau}}{P_{\tau+\Delta_{\tau}}}\right) / \Delta_{\tau} - \varphi_{\tau}$$

120

Simulations are made under the hypothesis that natural loss rates are constant and that onlyfishing loss rates change.

The biomass flow equation (2) implies that the biomass flow occurring at one trophic level (and therefore the related biomass) depends on the flow at lower trophic levels. In other words, it implicitly introduces a bottom-up control of prey on predators in the model. This equation also defines the net transfer efficiency (TE) between trophic levels as $exp(-\mu_{\tau})$.

127 The speed of the biomass flow K_{τ} depends on the turnover of the biomass. Gascuel et al. 128 (2008) showed that it can be estimated from an Ecopath model as $K_{\tau} = (P/B)_{\tau}$. This is consistent with Allen's relationship (P/B = M+F at equilibrium) and with the fact that the inverse parameter $1/K_{\tau}$ is the mean life expectancy of an organism within the trophic class [τ , $\tau+\Delta\tau$ [. Thus, when the mortality changes the speed of the flow is changing too. Predation mortality being an important source of mortality, it is taken into account in the model by means of a relationship, called the top-down equation, linking the flow kinetics at a trophic level τ (K_{τ}) to the biomass of predators at trophic level τ +1:

(4)

135

$$K_{\tau} = \left[K_{cur,\tau} - F_{cur,\tau}\right] \cdot \left[1 + \alpha_{\tau} \cdot \frac{B_{pred}^{\gamma} - B_{pred,cur}^{\gamma}}{B_{pred,cur}^{\gamma}}\right] + F_{\tau}$$

where $K_{cur,\tau}$ is the speed of the flow at trophic level τ in the current state (which is 136 characterized by a fishing mortality $F_{cur,\tau}$; K_{τ} is the speed of the flow in any state of the 137 138 ecosystem (characterized by F_{τ}); B_{pred} is the predator biomass of species from trophic level τ +1 (i.e. biomass conventionally between TL τ +0.8 and τ +1.3); α is a coefficient chosen by 139 140 the user between 0 and 1 which determines the part of natural mortality at trophic level τ that is dependent on predator abundance; γ is a shape parameter varying between 0 and 1 141 defining the functional relationship between prey and predators. A value of γ =1 results in a 142 linear effect of the abundance of predators on the flow kinetics, while a smaller value would 143 144 reflect nonlinear effects due to predators' competition. This equation allows the model to take into account indirect effects of fishing on the ecosystem when performing simulations. 145 Fishing, reducing the life expectancy of targeted species, can be the cause of notable 146 147 accelerations in the ecosystem's flow kinetics. This equation also introduces a top-down 148 control in the model, whose intensity is defined by the α parameter. Because it reduces the biomass of predators responsible for top-down control, fishing can slow down prey flows, 149 increasing their life expectancy. 150

151 In the last version of EcoTroph (Gascuel et al., 2011) two distinct compartments may be 152 considered within the ecosystem: one where biomass is accessible to fisheries and one 153 where it is not. The same equations (1) to (4) are used either for the whole ecosystem, or for 154 the accessible part only. Parameters differ from one compartment to the other (and are noted

 $B_{\tau}^{*}, \Phi_{\tau}^{*}, K_{\tau}^{*}, or F_{\tau}^{*}$ for the accessible part), taking into account the fact that exploited species 155 usually do not have the same characteristics as the unexploited ones. This is especially the 156 case at low or intermediate trophic levels where the rate of turnover (and thus the flow 157 kinetics K_{τ}) is for instance much higher for large zooplankton than for pelagic finfish. 158

159 Finally, the catch equation used for simulations is deduced from equation (2) applied to the accessible biomass flow (Gascuel et al. 2011): 160

 $\mathsf{Y}_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{*}_{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}_{\tau} \cdot \Delta \tau = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{*}_{\tau} \cdot \mathsf{P}^{*}_{\tau}$ 161 (5)

162

or : $Y_{\tau} = F_{\tau}^{*} \cdot B_{\tau}^{*}$, with: $\phi_{\tau}^{*} = K_{\tau}^{*} \cdot F_{\tau}^{*}$

where Y_{τ} is the annual catch from the $[\tau, \tau + \Delta \tau]$ trophic class. 163

164

Building an EcoTroph model

To run, EcoTroph needs as inputs estimates of the current biomass, catch, production and 165 mean trophic level for all species or groups present within the studied ecosystem. If these 166 167 data are all available from direct scientific observations EcoTroph can be used as a standalone model. Otherwise, missing data can be obtained from other models such as an 168 Ecopath model. In both cases, the first step in setting up an EcoTroph model is to derive 169 trophic spectra representing the current distribution of the ecosystem biomass (and 170 171 production and catch) across trophic levels. Thus, biomass of each functional group is distributed on a range of trophic levels according to a density probability log-normal function 172 centered on the group mean trophic level and whose standard deviation measures within-173 group variability in trophic levels. The trophic spectrum is the single curve corresponding to 174 the sum of biomass (or production or catch) for all groups (see Gasche et al. 2012 and 175 Gascuel et al. 2009a for more details about trophic spectra). 176

177 The current kinetic trophic spectrum is deduced from biomass and production trophic spectra $(K_{cur, \tau} = (P/B)_{cur, t})$ either for the all groups or for accessible groups only. In this process, the 178 accessibility to fisheries has to be defined for each trophic group, allowing for the calculation 179 of the current accessible biomass and production trophic spectra ($B^*_{cur,\tau}$ and $P^*_{cur,\tau}$). This 180 accessibility parameter theoretically corresponds to the proportion of the group's biomass 181

that would be caught under the hypothesis of infinite fishing effort (Gascuel and Pauly 2009).

183 It takes into account the proportion of target and non-target species within the group, and the 184 ratio between the fished area and the whole distribution area of the species.

185

186 Running simulations to assess fisheries interactions

Simulations in EcoTroph are based on changes applied to the fishing mortality trophic 187 spectrum. The previous version of EcoTroph allowed for simulations where a unique fishing 188 mortality multiplier was applied to all trophic levels, resulting in a general increase or 189 decrease in the values of the fishing mortality across all trophic levels. Here, we develop a 190 191 new version of the EcoTroph model where fishing mortality can be changed fleet by fleet, or 192 trophic group by trophic group, therefore allowing for simulations of changes in the ecosystem fishing pattern. Simulations performed fleet by fleet are quite similar to those 193 previously performed with EcoTroph, except that total fishing mortality now is the 194 195 combination of fishing mortalities coming from a set of different fisheries. Changes in the fishing mortality trophic spectrum allow for simulations of changes in selectivity or targeting 196 such that there is an increase or decrease in mortality applied to some groups. 197

For each simulation, the fishing mortality applied to each trophic class is derived from the current situation as:

200 (6)
$$F_{\tau}^* = \sum_{g} mE_{g} \cdot F_{cur,g,\tau}^* = \sum_{g} mE_{g} \cdot \frac{Y_{cur,g,\tau}}{B_{cur,\tau}^*}$$
, in case of fleet by fleet simulations

201 (6')
$$F_{\tau}^* = \sum_i mE_i \cdot F_{cur,i,\tau}^* = \sum_i mE_i \cdot \frac{Y_{cur,i,\tau}}{B_{cur,\tau}^*}$$
, in case of group by group simulations

202 Where: mE_g and mE_i are multipliers of the accessible fishing mortality applied respectively to 203 fleet *g* or to trophic group *i*; $F^*_{cur,g,\tau}$ and $F^*_{cur,i,\tau}$ are the current partial accessible fishing 204 mortalities related to the fleet *g* or to the trophic group *i*; $Y_{cur,g,\tau}$ and $Y_{cur,i,\tau}$ are the current 205 catch of the fleet *g* and trophic group *i* respectively, at trophic class τ ; and $B^*_{cur,\tau}$ is the current 206 accessible biomass of the trophic class τ . Finally, the new version of EcoTroph also provides the ability to run simulations by fleet and by group at the same time, eq. (6) and eq. (6') thus becoming:

209 (7)
$$F_{\tau}^{*} = \sum_{g} \sum_{i} m E_{g,i} \cdot F_{cur,g,i,\tau}^{*} = \sum_{g} \sum_{i} m E_{g,i} \cdot \frac{Y_{cur,g,i,\tau}}{B_{cur,\tau}^{*}}$$

Values of fishing mortality multipliers between 0 and 1 correspond to decreases in the fishing
mortality and values above 1 to increases in the fishing mortality.

212 These simulated fishing mortalities are used in EcoTroph's usual equations to calculate biomass flow kinetics, biomass, production and catch. Modifying the initial $F_{cur,\tau}$ (and $F_{cur,\tau}$) 213 changes the current flow kinetics $K_{cur,\tau}$ (and $K_{cur,\tau}^*$) into the simulated K_{τ} and K_{τ}^* calculated in 214 the top-down control equation of EcoTroph (equation 4). Biomass flow Φ_{τ} and Φ_{τ}^* are 215 calculated from the biomass flow equation (2) and biomass B_{τ} and B_{τ}^{*} are back-calculated 216 from equation (1), modifying the whole image of the ecosystem. Finally total catches are 217 deduced from the accessible biomass by means of equation (5), while partial catch of fleet g218 219 is calculated from the simulated partial mortality due to this fleet. As biomass (B_{τ} or B_{τ}^{*}) and kinetics (K_{τ} or K_{τ}^{*}) are interdependent, the system of equations needs to be solved iteratively, 220 221 starting each simulation with the current values of $K_{cur,\tau}$ and $K_{cur,\tau}^*$ as initial values. Then B_{τ} and B_{τ}^{*} can be estimated from equations (1) and (2) and K_{τ} and K_{τ}^{*} from equation (4). These 222 last two steps are repeated until K and B estimates stabilize (i.e. when computed values at 223 trophic level 2 do not vary by more than 10⁻⁶ between two consecutive iterations). Note that 224 the model does not allow users to identify which species or groups represent which amount 225 of a given trophic class, as all trophic groups are pooled together. Thus, it is not possible to 226 calculate biomass or catch per species or trophic group (but only per trophic class), except if 227 228 a trophic class is linked to only one trophic groups.

229

230

Study sites and previous ecosystem models

In order to test the method, changes in the fishing pattern were simulated within two African
ecosystems, where previous Ecopath and EcoTroph models have been developed (Shannon

et al., 2003, Gasche et al. 2012, Gascuel et al. 2009b and 2011). Each application illustrates 233 a new feature of the new version of the EcoTroph model. In the Guinean case study, where 234 235 there has been a strong increase in fishing pressure over the past 25 years, we analysed 236 interactions between artisanal and industrial fisheries, both targeting a wide range of species. The Guinean model refers to the continental shelf of the Guinean Exclusive Economic Zone 237 (EEZ) between the coast and the 200m isobath (Fig.1). This shelf is one of the largest of the 238 239 Central-East Atlantic, with distance between the coast and the 200m isobath about 104 240 nautical miles (193 kilometers) in the North. It is characterized by a high productivity and large inflows of continental nutrients. The total area of the studied zone is an estimated 241 42 969 km². The initial Ecopath model (Gascuel et al. 2009b) refers to year 2004 and 242 includes 35 functional groups, of which 24 were fish groups defined based on their ecology 243 (especially their diet) and available fisheries data. This classification is especially aimed at 244 discriminating fished species, as each commercial category regularly representing more than 245 1% of the catch of the small scale fishery or of the industrial fishery is identified as a separate 246 247 functional group. Data on catch and from scientific surveys were provided by the Guinean institute CNSHB (Centre National des Sciences Halieutiques de Boussoura). The required 248 model-parameter estimates (mainly P/B, Q/B, and diet) were obtained from an earlier 249 250 balanced Ecopath model (Guénette and Diallo, 2004), using complementary ad hoc 251 procedures detailed in Gascuel et al. (2009b).

In the Southern Benguela ecosystem, we equated the set of fisheries to a mixed fishery and simulated increases in the fishing mortality of some specific groups of trophic classes, corresponding on the one hand to small pelagics, and to two groups of hake on the other hand.

The Southern Benguela ecosystem is one of the world's four most important eastern boundary upwelling systems (Hutchings et al., 2009) and extends from the Orange River (the north-western boundary between Namibia and South-Africa) to East London on the Indian Ocean, with a total modeled area of 220 000 km² (Fig. 1). The Ecopath model from Shannon et al. (2003) has been set up for the 1990-1997 time period and has 32 groups so as to

include all the major components of the ecosystem, and especially small pelagics. Indeed, 261 because of their richness in nutrients such upwelling ecosystems have a very high primary 262 263 production that can support a high fish biomass (Shannon et al., 2008), and in particular a high small pelagic fish biomass that impacts both biomasses of plankton and of predators 264 (Cury et al., 2000). In the Southern Benguela, the dominating small pelagic species are 265 anchovy (Engraulis encrasicolus), sardine (Sardinops sagax) and redeve round herring 266 267 (Etrumeus whiteheadi), whereas Cape hake (Merluccius capensis) and Deep-water Cape hake (*M. paradoxus*) are commercially important predators. Extraction rates strongly 268 increased since the beginning of the 20th century to peak at over 1.3 million t.yr⁻¹ in the 1960s 269 and have subsequently declined by more than 50% (Griffiths et al., 2004). Since the 1970s 270 271 South Africa has managed its marine resources cautiously, allowing overall catches to remain relatively stable (Griffith et al., 2004). 272

273

Applying EcoTroph to the Guinean and Southern Benguela ecosystems

EcoTroph specific parameters, accessibilities and top-down (α) or biomass input (β) controls, 275 were obtained from the previous published versions of the model (Gascuel et al., 2011, 276 Gasche et al., 2012) and set accordingly to ecosystem characteristics. For instance, 277 278 upwelling ecosystems like the Southern Benguela are known to be characterized by very 279 high phytoplankton biomass. Therefore, biomass recycling was deemed not to have much importance in this ecosystem and the related β parameter was set to a low value of 0.1. 280 Conversely, the Guinean shelf ecosystem is characterized by large intertidal areas 281 (mangroves) inducing higher importance of biomass recycling in the food chain and the β 282 parameter was set equal to 0.2. All of these EcoTroph parameters are based on expert 283 knowledge and remain highly uncertain. Thus, sensitivity analyses of the new model have 284 been performed, but results do not differ from those obtained from the elasticity analyses 285 286 presented in Gasche et al. (2012) and will therefore not be discussed in this paper.

For the Guinea ecosystem, where the matter of competition between national and foreign 287 fleets is a key question for fisheries management, we focused on the study of the interactions 288 289 between the small scale fishery and the industrial fishery (mainly due to foreign fleets), and their joint impacts on the ecosystem. This leads to the building of isopleth graphs for 290 biomass, catch or mean trophic levels that show how they evolve for fishing mortality 291 multipliers ranging from 0 to 3 for the small scale fishery on one hand, and the industrial 292 293 fishery on the other hand. As the Guinea ecosystem is already strongly exploited, these 294 fishing mortality multipliers were deemed sufficient to encompass the range of possible effects of changes in the fishing mortality. On such isopleth graphs the current situation 295 corresponds to the fishing mortality multiplier 1 for each fishery. 296

297 For the Southern Benguela ecosystem two scenarios are built, corresponding to scenarios already simulated with the Ecosim and OSMOSE models of the Southern Benguela (Travers 298 et al., 2010). We use these scenarios to test EcoTroph's ability to simulate changes in a 299 fishery's fishing pattern, alternatively increasing fishing mortality on different trophic groups. 300 301 The first scenario corresponds to a doubling in the fishing mortality of small pelagic species (i.e. sardine, anchovy and redeve) and the second scenario to a doubling in the fishing 302 mortality of hakes (large Cape hake and large Deep-water Cape hake). These scenarios 303 304 were used in Travers et al. (2010) to compare outputs from the Osmose and the Ecosim 305 models. We add outputs from EcoTroph to this comparison, so as to determine whether 306 results from this simple model can to some extent supplement or replace results from more 307 complex models.

308

- 309 **Results**
- 310 311
- Ecosystem fishing patterns

Fisheries interactions in the Guinean ecosystem

312 Small scale and industrial fisheries do not exhibit the same current fishing pattern and thus 313 are not exploiting the Guinean ecosystem in the same way (Fig. 2). The small scale fishery is

using a large variety of gears (seines, lines, traps, etc.) and is mainly targeting an abundant 314 and very coastal pelagic fish, the bonga shad (Ethmalosa fimbriata), as well as higher trophic 315 316 level demersal groups, such as croakers (*Pseudotolithus spp*), threadfins (*Polydactylus spp*) and seabreams (see Gascuel et al., 2009b for the detailed specific composition of these 317 trophic groups). The importance of the bonga shad for the small scale fishery is clearly 318 noticeable in Fig. 2a, with a peak in catches around trophic level 2.5. The industrial trawl 319 320 fishery does not fish the bonga shad but is targeting intermediate trophic levels, especially 321 small pelagics like horse mackerels (Trachurus spp) and sardinella (Sardinella aurita), and 322 the same demersal and high trophic level species as the small scale fishery.

Fishing loss rates (equal to the catch over production ratio; Fig. 2c) indicate that the small 323 scale fishery has a lower impact on the bonga shad than could be expected from catches 324 (Fig. 2a) and from fishing mortalities (equal to the catch over biomass ratio; Fig. 2b). Indeed, 325 even if about 60% of the biomass of bonga shad is caught each year by the small scale 326 fishery, it amounts to 35% of the annual production at trophic level 2.5 (and 44% of the total 327 328 production of bonga shad). The industrial fishery's impact on small pelagics appears to be 329 very low, due to the very large biomass of these stocks and their high productivity. On the contrary, for higher trophic level species the catch over production ratio is high and always 330 higher than the catch over biomass ratio, indicative of long-lived species with low production 331 332 rates. Looking at the total fishing loss rate we can note that higher trophic level species (with 333 a trophic level between 4 and 4.5) experience the highest fishing pressure: about 40% of the 334 yearly production of each of these trophic levels is fished; with almost the same values of 335 fishing loss rates for the two individual fisheries. The cumulative effects of both fisheries across a wide range of trophic levels indicate very strong impacts of fishing on some species 336 337 belonging to these trophic level classes. Indeed, some emblematic species of this area on which the small scale fishery depends a lot have very high fishing loss rates. For example 338 fishing loss rate for the bobo croaker (Pseudotolithus pseudotolithus) is 0.62 and that of sea 339 catfish (Arius spp) is 0.69, which leaves little room for an economically viable increase in 340 their catches. 341

343

Catch simulations

The "current" (2004) total annual catch is equal to 2.8 tons t.km⁻² (Fig. 3a), with 1.2 t.km⁻² for 344 the industrial fishery and 1.6 t.km⁻² for the small scale fishery. Total catch increases strongly 345 when the fishing effort increases, but the higher the fishing mortality, the harder it is to 346 increase the catch for both fisheries. We know from theoretical EcoTroph simulations that 347 348 catches will reach a maximum for a certain total fishing mortality and decrease afterwards, 349 because of ecosystem overexploitation (Gascuel and Pauly 2009, Gascuel et al. 2011). Isopleths tend to horizontality when increasing the fishing mortality for both fisheries. This 350 means that the higher the fishing mortality, the lower the impact of the small scale fishery on 351 the total catch and the greater the impact of the industrial fishery. The industrial fishery, in its 352 "current" state and structure, also has a greater influence on total catch when increasing 353 fishing efforts than the small scale fishery. For instance, the total catch reaches 3.5 t.km⁻² if 354 the industrial fishing effort is doubled and only 3.2 t.km⁻² when doubling relates to the small 355 356 scale fishery (the other fleet remaining constant).

Catches per fishery highlight fleet interactions (Fig. 3c and e). Indeed catch isopleths for the 357 small scale fishery are not perfectly vertical (especially for high F multipliers for the small 358 359 scale fishery), underlining an impact of the industrial fishery. Nevertheless, in the current 360 state, this impact of the industrial fishery on the small scale one remains rather low. In the absence of the industrial fishery the artisanal catch would be increased by about 13%. Such 361 an increase would alternatively be achieved with a 25% increase in fishing mortality of the 362 small scale fishery. Competition increases with the fishing pressure and the higher the fishing 363 364 mortality for the small scale fishery, the higher the impact of the industrial fishery on the 365 catch of the small scale fishery.

The industrial fishery is more impacted by the small scale fishery than the small scale fishery is impacted by the industrial fishery (Fig. 3e). Indeed, in the absence of the small scale fishery, the industrial catch would be 40% higher, which would correspond to a 65% increase in the current fishing mortality of the industrial fishery. This impact of one fishery on the other

370 comes from the fact that both are in competition for some species in the ecosystem: they 371 partly target the same high trophic level species. The lower sensitivity of the small scale 372 fishery can be explained by its large catches of fish of trophic level 2.5 with the core species, 373 the bonga shad, not being targeted at all by the industrial fishery. On the contrary, a larger 374 part of the industrial fishery catches is also targeted by the small scale fishery, especially 375 high trophic level groups.

Gascuel et al. (2009b) estimated that fishing intensity increased by 3% per year since 1997 for both the industrial and the small scale fishery. Our EcoTroph model represents year 2004, thus a 3% yearly increase would correspond to a 30,5% increase in fishing effort in 2013 compared to 2004. This increase in the fishing effort would lead to a 13% increase in the catch of the artisanal fishery and to a 15% increase for the industrial fishery. This increase especially concerns catch of low trophic level groups for both fisheries, with almost no impact of the increase on trophic levels above 4.

The current mean trophic level of the total catch in this ecosystem is equal to 3.21 (Fig. 3b), 383 384 with a higher trophic level for the industrial fishery (3.52; Fig. 3f) than for the small scale one (3.0; Fig. 3d). Thus, when the fishing mortality of the small scale fishery is increased, the 385 proportion of its catch in the total catch increases and therefore the mean trophic level of the 386 total catch decreases (Fig. 3b). When only industrial F is increased, the model predicts a 387 388 decrease in mean trophic level of the catch for both fisheries (Fig. 3d and 3f), while it remains almost constant for the whole catch because the proportion of industrial landings is 389 increased. A 30,5% increase in the fishing effort, corresponding to a hypothetical steady-390 391 state 2013 situation, would only moderately impact the trophic level of the catch, with a less 392 than 0.1 decrease in the trophic level of the catch for both fisheries.

It is worth noting that the trophic level of the catch of the small scale fishery is mostly impacted by the industrial fishery, especially when the fishing mortality of the small scale fishery is high (isopleths tend to get more horizontal when fishing mortality increases for the small scale fishery increases). This comes from competition between the two fisheries for species of trophic level above 3.5: an increase in the catch of these species for the industrial

fishery will reduce the biomass of these species available to the small scale fishery, and 398 therefore their share in its catches, resulting in a decrease in trophic level of the catch of the 399 400 small scale fishery. Starting from the current situation, this competition implies that the mean trophic level of the small scale fishery's catch may vary from 2.85 to 3.10, depending on the 401 industrial fishery. When industrial fishing mortality is high the catch of the small scale fishery 402 403 is dominated by bonga shad. On the contrary, if it is low, then the small scale fishery catches 404 more demersal predators and the trophic level of its catch is about 3.1. In other words, the 405 impact of the industrial fishery on the small scale one is quantitatively low (in term of catch) 406 but qualitatively high (in term of TL and therefore in term of catch composition).

These two fisheries, with their different histories and exploitation patterns, do not react to an 407 408 increased fishing mortality in the same way: for the industrial fishery, increasing the fishing mortality for either or both fisheries will result in fishing down the foodweb, i.e. a decrease in 409 the trophic level of the catch. This decrease in TL is the result of both high fishing mortalities 410 applied to high trophic level species and rather low mortalities applied to very productive 411 412 small pelagic species. On the contrary, increasing the fishing effort for the small scale fishery results in a small increase in the TL of its catch, and an increased competition with the 413 industrial fishery causes a decrease in the TL of the catch of the small scale fishery. This is 414 because the bonga shad (whose trophic level is 2.5) will be overexploited more quickly than 415 416 higher trophic level groups around 3.7 in case the small scale fishery is the only one to increase its fishing effort. Most of these results can be explained by the spatial extension and 417 418 gears used by these two fisheries. The small scale fishery is mainly using nets, small purse-419 seines, lines and traps. Pirogues are able to target demersal finfish and especially high 420 valuable species such as croakers, threadfins or sea catfish. They also target the estuarine 421 and very coastal bonga shad, which is living mainly on grounds whose depth is less than 5 meters and thus accessible only to small boats. Conversely, the industrial fishery is targeting 422 species such as sardinella and horse mackerel using large pelagic trawls, but also shrimps 423 or cephalopods (Octopus vulgaris) using bottom trawls. The two fisheries are competing for 424 the demersal finfish located on the whole continental shelf. The small scale fishery 425

developed in Guinea in the 80's and motors grew more powerful during the 90's (Gascuel et 426 al., 2009b), increasing the area available for exploitation for these boats. This caused an 427 428 increase in fishing mortality on all stocks but especially on those that were shared with the industrial fishery. Therefore shared stocks of high trophic level species quickly underwent 429 high fishing loss rates, and the small scale fishery had little room for development in this 430 situation as most of its target stocks were fished at high levels. On the contrary, the industrial 431 432 fishery had (and still has in our model) access to more stocks of little exploited pelagic and 433 demersal invertebrates, and therefore had a higher potential for an increase in its catch.

434

435

Impact on the ecosystem

Total animal biomass (TL \geq 2) is currently about 146.4 t.km⁻², while it is estimated around 436 149.8 t.km⁻² without any fishing. Thus it is little affected by fishing, as it mostly consists of 437 unexploited low trophic level species such as zooplankton or benthos. In the same way, 438 mean trophic level of the ecosystem biomass is 2.3 and is little affected by changes in fishing 439 440 mortality. The small scale fishery has a bit more impact on total biomass than the industrial fishery (Fig. 4a) due to higher catch and to the high exploitation rates of its main targets, 441 including the bonga shad, croakers, sea catfish (about 85% of its catch). These high fishing 442 443 efforts become very high when applying multipliers and artificially lead to high catches of 444 these species, strongly impacting biomass. On the contrary the industrial fishery is targeting a wide range of pelagics, as well as cephalopods and shrimps. These species are 445 characterized by low exploitation rates that lead to catches lower than those of the small 446 scale fishery, within the range of fishing effort multipliers simulated here (but with the likely 447 ability to bear much higher multipliers). 448

449 Current biomass accessible to fisheries is equal to 11.3 t.km⁻² and was estimated equal to 450 18.7 t.km⁻² in the unexploited state (multipliers equal to zero for both fisheries, Fig. 4c). In 451 other words, biomass accessible to fishing has been reduced by more than one third in this 452 ecosystem, since the onset of fishing. Increasing the fishing effort for both fisheries to 453 hypothetical 2013 levels (+30.5%), accessible biomass would be reduced to 10.2 t.km⁻², a

10% additional decrease. The small scale fishery impacts accessible biomass more than the 454 industrial fishery and is responsible for about 75% of the decrease predicted by the model 455 456 between the unexploited state and the 2004 situation. But at the same time this small scale fishery has much less impact on the trophic level of the accessible biomass than the 457 industrial fishery (Fig. 4d). Indeed, increasing the fishing effort for the industrial fishery 458 amounts to removing most high trophic level groups while leaving most small pelagics in the 459 460 ecosystem. Small pelagics having lower TLs and remaining plentiful in the environment they 461 drag down the trophic level of the accessible biomass. On the contrary, the small scale fishery already strongly fishes the low TL bonga shad in addition to some higher trophic level 462 species. We can infer that removing these various groups from the ecosystem, without 463 depleting any given TL, has balanced impacts on the trophic diversity of the part of the 464 ecosystem that is accessible to exploitation. This causes a much lower decrease in the mean 465 TL of accessible biomass. This also explains why the lowest simulated trophic levels for the 466 accessible biomass are reached for high industrial fishery effort and low small fishery effort: 467 468 in this case the industrial fishery removes the high trophic level species while low trophic level species (in particular bonga shad) are not fished and stay in the ecosystem. 469

This ecosystem does not appear to be globally overexploited but the fraction that is accessible to fisheries has undoubtfully been modified by fishing, in terms of biomass as well as trophic level. High trophic level pelagic and demersal species are particularly impacted by fishing as most of them undergo the cumulative effects of both fisheries. Indeed, the model indicates that biomass of trophic levels higher than 4 is divided by two compared to the unexploited state. This reduction agrees with results from surveys undergone since the early 80's (Gascuel et al. 2007).

One of the methods to decrease the impact of the industrial fishery on high trophic levels would be to selectively increase fishing mortality on little fished small pelagic groups and to decrease mortality applied to some predatory species. However, as suggested by Cury et al. (2000) and Bakun (2006), these species can apply a wasp-waist control on other populations

within the ecosystem and be the source of major shifts and should therefore be managedcarefully. This question is tackled in more detail in the next subsection.

483

484

Species interactions in the Benguela ecosystem

485

Fishing pattern and catch simulation

Previously we simulated competition between two fisheries each characterized by a fixed 486 fishing pattern (only global changes in the fishing effort). Here we equate the ecosystem's 487 488 complex set of fisheries to a single mixed fishery and then look at changes in the fishing pattern of that simulated fishery based on two simple scenarios: (i) a doubling in the fishing 489 mortality of three small pelagic species (anchovy, sardine and redeve at TLs 3.54, 2.99 and 490 3.64 respectively) and (ii) a doubling in the fishing mortality of the two groups of hake 491 492 (TL=4.50 for Deep-water Cape hake and TL=4.64 for Cape hake) of the ecosystem. These scenarios aim at better understanding key ecosystem dynamics and do not correspond to 493 realistic management options given the current state of the ecosystem. Indeed, the Southern 494 Benguela ecosystem is still recovering from past overexploitation, with Cape hake spawning 495 biomass being at about 50% of its pre-exploitation level but Deep-water Cape hake still being 496 497 below 10% its pre-exploitation level (Rademeyer et al., 2008).

Trophic spectra corresponding to these scenarios can be compared to the base spectrum (Fig. 5). In terms of fishing mortality, it appears that the effects of a doubling in fishing effort applied to a given group do not propagate much more than 0.5 TL away from the mean trophic level of this group in our model. Indeed, our fishing mortality multipliers do not modify the spectrum below TL 2.5 or above TL4 in the small pelagics scenario, and almost no changes can be observed below TL4 in the hake scenario. This may be highly dependent on choices made when defining smoothing functions for each trophic group.

505 In terms of catches, two patterns appear when looking at the two different scenarios (Fig. 506 5b). Doubling the fishing mortality on small pelagic species has little direct effect, the catch of 507 these groups increasing by one third at best. This increase in fishing mortality of small

508 pelagics causes some decrease (up to 25%) in the catch of their predators, around trophic level 4.5. This is due to the bottom-up control of high trophic level biomass by lower trophic 509 510 level biomass. This may have notable consequences as it suggests that the south-african purse-seine fishery (targeting small pelagics, Rademeyer et al., 2008) can have direct 511 impacts on the catch of demersal trawlers. Therefore the hypothetical choice to favour the 512 pelagic fishery to the detriment of the demersal fishery would be a highly political one, with 513 514 potentially deep social consequences. Indeed, the trawl fishery was identified as the most 515 secure employment of all South African sectors whereas employment in the pelagic fishery is less stable and more risky due to fluctuations in TAC and greater fixed operating costs (de 516 Young et al., 2012). Notwithstanding its stability, the deep-sea hake industry is also the 517 fishery with the largest wage bill on the West and South-west coasts (de Young et al., 2012). 518 Doubling the fishing effort on hakes increases their catch by 50% at best, which still is a 519 higher increase than that observed for the small pelagics scenario. In this scenario, the 520 increase in hake catches is predicted to result in a slight increase in catch at TL 3.5 (+ 2 %), 521 522 because of a release in predation linked to top-down effects, but not at lower trophic levels (e.g. TL 3.0). Thus our simple simulations tend to indicate that increasing the fishing effort on 523 hake could have positive consequences for the local fishing industry with much less 524 detrimental side consequences than an increase in the catch of small pelagics. 525

526

527

Impact on the accessible biomass

528 Increasing fishing mortality on small pelagics reduces accessible biomass at all trophic levels (Fig. 5c). This result agrees with those of Walters et al. (2005) and Shannon et al. (2009) 529 who showed by means of Ecosim models that a decrease in small pelagic fish abundance is 530 531 likely to have marked effects on both higher and lower trophic levels of the food web. On the other hand increasing fishing mortality on hakes only causes a slight decrease in biomass 532 around trophic level 4.5 and even causes a very slight increase in accessible biomass at 533 trophic level 3.5 because of top-down effects. Thus, the effects of an increased fishing 534 mortality versus current level seem to be much more important when this increase targets 535

small pelagics: accessible biomass is reduced by about 30% between trophic level 2.8 and 536 trophic level 4.8, with smaller reductions for other trophic levels. As a comparison, model 537 538 simulation of a collapse in small pelagic fish in Shannon et al. (2009) suggests severe declines in large pelagic fish (48% reduction in biomass), cetaceans (27%), seals (17%) and 539 birds (33%), whose mean trophic level is within a 4.4-4.7 range in our model. Evidence of 540 strong competition between the purse-seine fishery and birds for small pelagics can also be 541 542 found in Okes et al. (2009). When hakes are targeted, accessible biomass is reduced only 543 between trophic levels 4.2 and 5.2 and by no more than 25%. An interesting point is that doubling the fishing effort on small pelagics impacts accessible biomass above trophic level 544 4.5 almost as much as a doubling in the fishing effort multiplier on adult hakes (whose mean 545 trophic levels are 4.50 and 4.64 depending on the species). These results can be seen as a 546 complement to results obtained when simulating global increases in the effort multiplier: we 547 show that even if biomasses of small pelagics are little impacted by increased fishing efforts, 548 increases in their catches may have great impacts on the whole food chain. We also illustrate 549 550 the vulnerability of predatory species to fishing: in an ecosystem with developing fisheries they would have to bear the double burden of an increased direct fishing effort and of a 551 reduced availability of their prey. Both of these factors possibly being very impacting, this may 552 553 lead to quick collapses in population abundances.

554

555

Comparison with other models of the Southern Benguela ecosystem

556 Results obtained with EcoTroph for the Southern Benguela case-study are compared to those obtained with Osmose and Ecosim (Travers et al., 2010). When simulating a doubling 557 558 in the fishing effort applied to small pelagics (Fig. 6a), biomass in trophic class 3 decreases 559 by 4% in EcoTroph as the three small pelagic groups belong to this class. This relatively small decrease can be explained by the fact that this trophic class comprises of other non-560 targeted small pelagic species with high biomasses (in particular mesopelagic fish) that act 561 as a buffer against strong variations of total biomass. EcoTroph results exhibit a strong 562 bottom-up effect with decreases in biomass for classes 4 and 5 almost as strong as that 563

observed for targeted class 3. Results for trophic class 5 for all three models have to be taken with great care as it only comprises of one trophic group (and some smoothed biomasses from class 4 in EcoTroph). Simulated biomass obtained with EcoTroph for trophic class 2 is higher than the initial biomass because of the decrease in biomass of potential predators from trophic class 3 that causes a slackening in top-down control from this class.

Ecosim and Osmose predict an increase in biomass at trophic class 3, probably because 569 570 some groups in this trophic class benefit from the decreased competition from anchovy, 571 sardine and redeye. The issue when comparing these three models is that in EcoTroph sardine belongs to class 3 while class 2 only comprises benthos and zooplankton, whereas 572 in the other two models sardine belongs to trophic class 2 with benthos and zooplankton. As 573 this difference could be one of the causes of the differences observed between models, we 574 pooled trophic classes 2 and 3 and plotted the obtained biomass ratio (Fig. 6b). Therefore 575 biomass ratios for trophic class 2-3 take into account both the direct effects of fishing and the 576 indirect effects of top-down control. These combined effects have no noticeable impact on 577 578 biomass when using the Ecosim model and a limited negative impact in both Osmose and 579 EcoTroph.

When simulating a doubling in the fishing effort applied to hake all three models predict a decrease in biomass for trophic class 4, ranging from about 5% in Ecotroph to 11% in Osmose (Fig. 6c). EcoTroph predicts a strong top-down effect that impacts classes 3 (positively) and 2 (negatively). The other two models also predict a top-down effect, but with slightly different impacts on biomass. In Osmose the positive impact on biomass observed for both class 2 and class 3 could be explained by the ability of trophic class 4 fish to feed on both these classes in this model (opportunistic predation).

587

588 **Discussion**

In this paper we showed that the EcoTroph model can provide useful answers to some commonly asked questions in fisheries management. In the Guinean case study we tackled

the question of the level of impact of industrial fisheries on artisanal or small scale fisheries. 591 We found that the impact of the industrial fishery on the small scale fishery is moderate in 592 593 terms of biomass caught but significant in terms of decrease in the trophic level of the catch, 594 due to a competition for demersal high trophic levels. On the contrary, the small scale fishery has a stronger impact in terms of biomass but a very limited impact on the ecosystem's mean 595 596 trophic level because of the exploitation of a wider range of trophic levels. These particular 597 impacts of each fishery are mainly linked to the gears they use, but also to their ability to 598 exploit the very coastal and estuarine resources including the very abundant bonga shad. The small scale fishery heavily targets a few species, all of them except the bonga shad 599 being high trophic levels, while the industrial fishery moderately fishes a greater number of 600 species spanning a wide range of trophic levels (between 2.7 an 4.7). Our simulations 601 showed that the most impacted groups in this ecosystem are those undergoing the combined 602 603 effects of both fisheries and put to light the need for discussions between stakeholders and managers if these stocks are to be preserved in the long run. Results concerning Guinea 604 605 further suggested that exploiting a wider range of trophic levels induces a higher impact on 606 the whole ecosystem biomass (and on its accessible part as well) but a lower decrease in mean trophic level of the ecosystem and thus in trophic biodiversity. From a theoretical point 607 608 of view, this should ensure a higher stability in the whole food web, as predators are known 609 to have a regulatory role. From a practical point of view, it should be noted that the exploitation of forage fish (i.e. low trophic level) species usually does not replace the 610 611 exploitation of their predators but adds to it. In that case, due to the bottom-up controls 612 underlined above, the reduction in biomass of high trophic levels is increased and the impact 613 on ecosystem is even greater, as shown in the Benguela case study.

When studying the Southern Benguela ecosystem we tried to determine whether some parts of the fishery could be developed, and with which impacts on the fish stocks. Our results indicated that increasing the fishing effort on small pelagic species in the Southern Benguela would be to the detriment of high trophic level species and of fisheries targeting them, with noticeable decreases in accessible biomass and catch. A general result from our models was

that low trophic level species are key species in the sense that they deeply influence their 619 ecosystem through predator-prey relationships. These results seem consistent with those of 620 621 previous studies by Cury et al. (2000) and Shannon et al. (2009) and raise one of the most important problems of the exploitation of low trophic level species: it may provide high 622 catches but impacts the whole food chain, reducing the biomass of predators even if they are 623 not directly targeted. Therefore choices are to be made between fisheries with high but often 624 625 irregular catches of low value small pelagics and demersal fisheries with higher value fish, 626 more stable yields but lower production and catch. Predators not only being commercial fish species but also birds and cetaceans with their own environmental and indirect commercial 627 value it becomes apparent that a balance has to be found between all these ecosystem 628 components. The first step towards this balance may be to leave enough food in the 629 ecosystem to avoid reaching thresholds where lack of energy might hamper reproduction 630 and growth of key species, possibly by means of explicit protection of forage fish species as 631 suggested by Walters et al. (2005) and Cury et al. (2011). As stated previously EcoTroph is a 632 633 simple model based on a limited number of equations and hypotheses that tries to provide a 634 minimum realistic representation of an ecosystem as well as a guick and easy way to simulate changes in the fishing mortality applied to this ecosystem. The new development of 635 the EcoTroph model presented in this paper is part of this approach and allows for the 636 637 simulation of an almost infinite number of scenarios without greatly increasing model 638 complexity or introducing new equations in the model. The model is available as an R package on http://sirs.agrocampus-ouest.fr/EcoTroph/, or as an EwE plug-in on 639 640 http://www.ecopath.org/plugins.

EcoTroph provides a new simple and very synthetic view on ecosystem functioning. Analysing the ecosystem effects of fleet interactions based on more complex ecosystem models such as EwE, Osmose, ISIS-Fish or Atlantis is usually a difficult task. Here, we proposed simple graphical representations such as catch, biomass or trophic level isopleths as tools to analyse fisheries interactions. Potential EcoTroph users have to keep in mind that EcoTroph results are rather straightforward and based on interactions between the direct

impacts of fishing on an ecosystem and the indirect reactions caused by top-down and 647 bottom-up control. This could easily be seen in our comparison of EcoTroph results with 648 649 those of Osmose and Ecosim: EcoTroph easily got the big picture, with results that were coherent with those of other models but was unable to capture smaller variations that could 650 be explained by spatial interactions, species competition or changes in the species 651 composition of a given trophic level. Nonetheless, EcoTroph was set up to study ecosystem 652 653 trends and to help users to think differently, in a more synthetic way, on the ecosystem aspect of fisheries. We hope it will be useful to modellers as a simple and easy-to-use tool to 654 aggregate information about ecosystems and study major ecosystem trends through various 655 simulated scenarios. 656

657

658 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Lynne Shannon for providing Benguela Ecopath outputs and Morgane Travers for providing Ecosim and Osmose outputs. They also acknowledge the Centre National des Sciences Halieutiques de Boussoura (Guinea) and especially Ibrahima Diallo, Mohamed Soumah, and Aboubacar Sidibé for providing data and insight on the Guinean shelf ecosystem.

664

665 **Bibliography**

- Bakun A. 2006. Wasp-waist populations and marine ecosystem dynamics: Navigating the
 "predator pit" topographies. Progress in Oceanography 68: 271-288.
- Christensen V. & Walters C. J. 2004. Ecopath with Ecosim: methods, capabilities and
 limitations. Ecological Modelling 172: 109–139.
- Colléter M., Gascuel D., Ecoutin JM., De Morais L. T. 2012. Modelling trophic flows in
 ecosystems to assess the efficiency of Marine Protected Area (MPA), a case study on
 the coast of Senegal. Ecological Modelling, 232:1-13.

- Cury P., Bakun A., Crawford R. J. M., Jarre-Teichmann A., Quiñones R. A., Shannon L. J. &
 Verheye H. M. 2000. Small pelagics in upwelling systems: Patterns of interaction and
 structural changes in "wasp-waist" ecosystems. ICES Journal of Marine Science,
 Symposium Edition 57(3): 603-618.
- 677 Cury P., Boyd I. L., Bonhommeau S., Anker-Nilssen T., Crawford R. J. M., Furness R. W.,

Mills J. A., Murphy E. J., Österblom H., Paleczny M., Piatt J. F., Roux J-P., Shannon L.

- J., Sydeman W. J. 2011. Global Seabird Response to Forage Fish Depletion—One-Third
 for the Birds. Science, 334: 1703-1706.
- De Young, C., Hjort, A., Sheridan, S. & Davies, S. 2012; Climate change implications for
 fisheries of the Benguela Current region Making the best of change. FAO/Benguela
 Current Commission Workshop, 1–3 November 2011, Windhoek, Namibia. FAO
 Fisheries and Aquaculture Proceedings. No. 27. Rome, FAO. 125 pp.
- Drapeau L., Pecquerie L., Fréon P. & Shannon L. J. 2004. Quantification and representation
 of potential spatial interactions in the Southern Benguela ecosystem. African Journal of
 Marine Science 26: 141-159.
- Fréon P., Drapeau L., David J. H. M., Fernandez Moreno A., Leslie R. W., Oosthuizen W. H.,
 Shannon L. J. & Van der Lingen C. D. 2005b. Spatialized ecosystem indicators in the
 southern Benguela. ICES Journal of Marine Science 62: 459-468.
- Gasche L., Gascuel D., Shannon L., Shin Y-J. 2012. Global assessment of the fishing
 impacts on the Southern Benguela ecosystem using an EcoTroph modelling approach.
 Journal of Marine Systems, 90 (1):1-12.
- Gascuel D. 2005. The trophic-level based model: A theoretical approach of fishing effects on
 marine ecosystems. Ecological Modelling 189: 315-332.
- Gascuel D., Bozec Y-M., Chassot E., Colomb A. & Laurans M. 2005. The trophic spectrum:
 theory and application as an ecosystem indicator. ICES Journal of Marine Science 62:
 443-452.

- Gascuel D., Guénette S., Diallo I., Sidibé A. 2009b. Impact de la pêche sur l'écosystème
 marin de Guinée modélisation EwE 1985/2005. Fisheries Centre Research Reports,
 17(4), University of British Columbia [ISSN 1198-6727], 60 p.
- Gascuel D., Guénette S., Pauly D. 2011. The trophic-level based ecosystem modelling
 approach: Theoretical overview and practical uses. ICES Journal of Marine Sciences, 68:
 1403-1416.
- Gascuel D., Labrosse P., Meissa B., Taleb Sidi MO, Guénette S. 2007 Decline of demersal
 resources in North-West Africa: an analysis of Mauritanian trawl survey data over the last
 25 years. African Journal of Marine Sciences, 29: 331-345.
- Gascuel D., Morissette L., Palomares M. L. D., Christensen V. 2008. Trophic flow kinetics in
 marine ecosystems: Toward a theoretical approach to ecosystem functioning. Ecological
 Modelling 217: 33-47.
- Gascuel D., Pauly D. 2009. EcoTroph: Modelling marine ecosystem functioning and impact
 of fishing. Ecological Modelling 220: 2885-2898.
- Gascuel D., Tremblay-Boyer L., Pauly D. 2009a. Ecotroph (ET): A trophic level based
 software for assessing the impacts of fishing on aquatic ecosystems. Fisheries Centre
 Research Reports, 17(1), University of British Columbia [ISSN 1198-6727], 83 p.
- 716 Griffiths C. L., van Sittert L., Best P. B., Brown A. C., Clark B. M., Cook P. A., Crawford R. J.
- M., David J. H. M., Davies B. R., Griffiths M. H., Hutchings K., Jerardino A., Kruger N.,
 Lamberth S., Leslie R., Melville-Smith R., Tarr R., van der Lingen C. D. 2004. Impacts of
 human activities on marine animal life in the Benguela: a historical overview.
- 720 Oceanography and Marine Biology 42: 303-392.
- Guénette and Diallo, 2004. Exploration d'un modèle préliminaire de l'écosystème marin de
 Guinée. In Pêcheries Maritimes, Ecosystèmes et Sociétés en Afrique de l'Ouest: un Demi
 Siècle de Changement, pp. 328–346. Ed. by P. Chavance, M. Ba, D. Gascuel, J. M.
 Vakily, and D. Pauly. Actes du Symposium International, Dakar (Sénégal), Juin 2002.
 Bruxelles, Office des Publications Officielles des Communautés Européennes. 532 pp.
 Collection des Rapports de Recherche Halieutique ACP-UE 15.

- Hutchings L., Van der Lingen C. D., Shannon L. J., Crawford R. J. M., Verheye H. M. S.,
 Bartholomae C. H., Van der Plas A. K., Louw D., Kreiner A., Ostrowski M., Fidel Q.,
 Barlow R. G., Lamont T., Coetzee J., Shillington F., Veitch J., Currie J.C., Monteiro P. M.
 S. 2009. The Benguela Current: An ecosystem of four components. Progress in
 Oceanography 83: 15-32.
- Lassalle G., Gascuel D., Le Loc'h F., Lobry J., Pierce G., Ridoux V., Santos B., Spitz J.,
 Niquil N. 2012. Assessing the effects of fisheries on marine top-predators: the Bay of
 Biscay case study. ICES Journal of Marine Sciences, 69: 925-938.
- Okes, N. C., Hockey P. A. R., Pichegru L., van der Lingen C. D., Crawford R. J. M., Grémillet
 D. 2009. Competition for shifting resources in the southern Benguela upwelling: seabirds
 versus purse-seine fisheries. Biological Conservation, 142: 2361–2368.
- Plagányi, É. E. 2007. Models for an ecosystem approach to fisheries. FAO Fisheries
 Technical Paper. No. 477. Rome, FAO. 108p.
- Rademeyer, R. A., Butterworth D. S. & Plagányi É. E. 2008. Assessment of the South African
 hake resource taking its two-species nature into account, African Journal of Marine
 Science, 30:2, 263-290.
- Shannon L. J., Moloney C. L., Jarre A., Field J. G. 2003. Trophic flows in the southern
 Benguela during the 1980s and 1990s. Journal of Marine Systems 39: 83-116.
- Shannon L. J., Neira S. and Taylor M. 2008. Comparing internal and external drivers in the
 southern Benguela and the southern and northern Humboldt upwelling ecosystems.
 African Journal of Marine Science 30(1): 63-84.
- Shannon L. J., Coll M., Neira S., Cury P., Roux J. P. 2009. Impacts of fishing and climate
- change explored using trophic models. In : Checkley D. (ed.), Alheit J. (ed.), Oozeki Y.
- (ed.), Roy C. (ed.). Climate change and small pelagic fish. Cambridge : Cambridge
 University Press, p. 158-190. ISBN 978-0-521-88482-2.
- Travers M., Watermeyer K. E., Shannon L. J., Shin Y-J. 2010. Changes in food web structure
 under scenarios of overfishing in the southern Benguela : Comparison of the Ecosim and
 OSMOSE modelling approaches. Journal of Marine Systems 79: 101-111.

- Tremblay-Boyer L., Gascuel D., Watson R., Christensen V., Pauly D., 2011. Modelling the
 effects of fishing on the biomass of the world's oceans from 1950 to 2006. Marine
 Ecology-Progress Series (MEPS), 442: 169–185.
- Valls A., Gascuel D., Guénette S., Francour P., 2012. Modeling trophic interactions to assess
- the potential effects of a marine protected area: case study in the NW Mediterranean
- Sea. Marine Ecology-Progress Series (MEPS), 456: 201–214.
- Walter CJ, and Martell SJD., 2004. Fisheries ecology and management. Princeton University
 Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Walters, C. J., Christensen, V., Martell, S. J., and Kitchell, J. F. 2005. Possible ecosystem
 impacts of applying MSY policies from single-species assessment. ICES Journal of
 Marine Science, 62: 558-568.
- 766
- 767

768 **Table 1 – Parameter values used in the two models**

	Guinean ecosystem	Southern Benguela ecosystem
	Standard values	Standard values
Accessibilities (per Ecopath trophic group)	Empirically defined	Based on literature (1)
Top-down control parameter α	0.4	0.5
Biomass input control parameter β	0.2	0.1

(1) Ratio of the fished area from Fréon et al. 2005 and Drapeau et al. 2004, corrected by the proportion of fished species within the group

769

770

771 Figure captions

- Figure 1: Location of the two case studies (stripped areas): the Guinean shelf ecosystem
- (from Gascuel et al., 2009b), and the Southern Benguela ecosystem (from Shannon et al., 2008), corresponding to the modelled areas.
- Figure 2: Current fishing pattern in the Guinean ecosystem. (a) Fishing mortality; (b) Fishingloss rate.

- Figure 3: Simulations of catches and trophic leels in the Guinean ecosystem: (a) total catch
 (in t.y⁻¹.km⁻²), (c) catch of the small scale fishery, (e) catch of the industrial fishery.
 Trophic level of the total catch and of the catch of each of the two simulated fisheries (b,
 d and f). Each parameter is simulated for fishing effort multipliers ranking from 0 to 3, for
 the small scale fishery (x axis) and the industrial fishery (y axis).
- Figure 4: Simulations of the fishing impact on the Guinea ecosystem biomass (a, c) and on
 the mean trophic level of biomass (b, d). The accessible biomass is defined as the
 biomass of all species currently fished that is accessible to fishing.
- Figure 5: Simulation of a fishing pattern change in the Benguela ecosystem. Fishing mortality
 trophic spectra for the initial state, the small pelagics scenario and the hakes scenario
 (a). Catch trophic spectra for the initial state, the small pelagics scenario and the hakes
 scenario (b). Accessible biomass trophic spectra for the initial state, the small pelagics
 scenario and the hakes scenario (c).
- Figure 6: Comparison of results obtained with EcoTroph to results obtained with Ecosim and
 Osmose (from Travers et al., 2010) for the Southern Benguela ecosystem. The small
 pelagics scenario corresponds to graphs a and b and the hakes scenario to graph c.
 Trophic class 2 corresponds to trophic levels from 2 to 2.9, class 3 to TLs from 3 to 3.9,
 etc.













801 Figure 3



Figure 4





808 Figure 5



