Linking community tolerance and structure with low metallic contamination: A field study on 13 biofilms sampled across the Seine river basin

Lise C. Fechner^{a, b, c, *}, Catherine Gourlay-Francé^{a, c}, Marie-Hélène Tusseau-Vuillemin^d

^a Irstea Antony – Unité de Recherche Hydrosystèmes et Bioprocédés (HBAN), 1 rue Pierre-Gilles de Gennes, CS 10030, F-92761 Antony Cedex, France

^b AgroParisTech, F-75005 Paris, France

^c FIRE, FR-3020, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

^d IFREMER – Technopolis, 40, 155 rue Jean-Jacques Rousseau, 92138 Issy-Les-Moulineaux, France

*: Corresponding author : Lise C. Fechner, tel.: +33 140966568 ; fax: +33 140966199 ; email address : lise.fechner@irstea.fr

Abstract:

It is difficult to assess the biological consequences of diffuse water contamination by micropollutants which are present in rivers at low, even sublethal levels. River biofilms, which respond quickly to changes of environmental parameters, are good candidates to acquire knowledge on the response of aquatic organisms to diffuse chemical contamination in the field. The study was designed as an attempt to link biofilm metal tolerance and metallic contamination in a field survey covering 13 different sampling sites in the Seine river basin (north of France) with low contamination levels. Cd and Zn tolerance of heterotrophic communities was assessed using a short-term toxicity test based on βglucosidase activity. Metal tolerance levels varied between sites but there was no obvious correlation between tolerance and corresponding water contamination levels for Cd and Zn. Indeed, metallic contamination at the sampling sites remained subtle when compared to water guality standards (only two sampling sites had either Zn or both Cu and Zn concentrations exceeding the Environmental Quality Standards set by the EU Water Framework Directive). Yet, multivariate analysis of the data using Partial Least Squares Regression revealed that both metallic and environmental parameters were important variables explaining the variability of metal tolerance levels. Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA) was also performed on both bacterial and eukaryotic biofilm communities from the 13 sampling sites. Multivariate analysis of ARISA fingerprints revealed that biofilms with similar tolerance levels have similar ARISA profiles. Those results confirm that river biofilms are potential indicators of low, diffuse contamination levels of aquatic systems.

Graphical abstract :



Highlights

▶ River biofilms were collected on 13 sites with low metallic contamination levels. ▶ Multivariate analysis shows that biofilm metal tolerance was linked to contamination. ▶ Environmental parameters also modulate biofilm tolerance levels. ▶ Biofilms with similar tolerance levels have similar ARISA profiles. ▶ Biofilms are potential sensitive indicators of diffuse, low-level contamination.

Keywords: River biofilm ; Metal tolerance ; Toxicity ; ARISA ; PICT ; Community-level response

40

41 **1. Introduction**

42 Over the last decades, several authors have discussed the importance of measuring biological 43 responses at the community level in ecotoxicological studies (Clements and Rohr 2009, 44 Geiszinger et al. 2009). Indeed, current risk-assessment of toxicants remains largely based on 45 standardized, single-species tests performed in the laboratory, the results of which are then 46 extrapolated at the ecosystem level. Yet, the responses of single-species tests might differ from 47 responses occurring at the community level as important aspects of community ecology are not 48 considered: for instance, interactions between species within the exposed communities are not 49 taken into account (Schmitt-Jansen et al. 2008). Some studies have even suggested that current 50 environmental quality standards might thus not always be sufficient to protect organisms at the 51 community level (McClellan et al. 2008). Moreover, it is generally acknowledged that 52 community endpoints such as species richness and diversity are intimately linked to ecosystem 53 responses to stress and therefore essential to maintain ecosystem services (Clements and Rohr 54 2009).

55 A community-level approach like PICT (Pollution-Induced Community Tolerance) is an 56 interesting ecotoxicological tool to assess the impacts of toxicant exposures (Blanck et al. 2003). 57 The PICT approach proposes to assess shifts in community composition (from a sensitive 58 community to a more tolerant one) due to toxic exposures. It relies on the assumption that 59 sensitive components from the original community (species, genotypes or phenotypes) will be 60 gradually replaced by more tolerant ones during exposure, thus leading to an increase of the 61 global community tolerance. Tolerance development is measured as a shift in the Effect 62 Concentration (usually EC_{50}) or Lethal Concentration (LC₅₀) obtained with a short-term toxicity

63 test based on a physiological endpoint. Tests can be conducted on communities grown in 64 artificial environments (microcosms, mesocosms) or directly on communities collected in situ. Interpretation of PICT measurements has proved to be more difficult in field studies either 65 66 because of co-tolerance, which occurs for chemicals with similar modes of actions or through the 67 development of unspecific defense mechanisms (such as mucilage for algal and bacterial communities) (Schmitt-Jansen et al. 2008, Soldo and Behra 2000) or because of environmental 68 69 factors, such as light, nutrients, etc. (Serra et al. 2010, Guasch et al. 2002), which also affect 70 tolerance levels.

71 However, several PICT studies have investigated river biofilm tolerance to metals or 72 herbicides in the field and succeeded in linking tolerance acquisition to toxic exposure mostly by 73 focusing on one chemical (for instance zinc or atrazine: Blanck et al. 2003, Tlili et al. 2011, 74 Pesce et al. 2010, Admiraal et al. 1999) or more recently on multi-metallic pollution (Fechner et 75 al. 2012a, Fechner et al. 2012b), and usually in the same river upstream to downstream from a 76 polluted area. However, field studies attempting to link biological effects and chemical 77 contamination over wide ranges of sampling sites remain scarce. Moreover, current 78 contamination levels are characterized by large numbers of chemicals at low exposure 79 concentrations, which means that for historic contaminants like metals, the issue has shifted from 80 managing acute effects of single toxicants at high exposure levels (which might still occur for 81 instance in areas impacted by mining activities in the case of metals) to managing more subtle, 82 chronic effects of mixtures of chemicals (Schmitt-Jansen et al. 2008). Recent studies, including 83 the PICT studies mentioned above, point out that microbial communities might be good 84 indicators of chemical contamination, even of complex mixtures of chemicals at low exposure 85 levels such as found in the field. Indeed, microbial communities, which undergo fast changes in

86 composition and function in response to changes in environmental parameters, are acknowledged 87 as potential interesting bioindicators of contamination (Sims et al. 2013, Sun et al. 2012, 88 Ricciardi et al. 2009). For instance, Sun et al. (2012) have succeeded in linking variations in 89 microbial community composition in sediment and environmental parameters including sediment 90 metallic contamination using Automated-Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis (ARISA) data 91 collected over six estuaries sites in Australia. In another recent study, Ancion et al. (2013) also 92 managed to link biofilm-associated metals with variations of bacterial communities using 93 ARISA fingerprints. However, to our knowledge, there are no field studies using tolerance 94 measurements on biofilms from a wide range of sampling sites impacted only by low, diffuse 95 multi-metallic pollution.

96 The present study was designed as a first attempt at linking metal tolerance and bacterial and 97 eukaryotic community composition of river biofilms to environmental parameters (including 98 physico-chemical parameters and metallic contamination levels) over a wide range of sampling 99 sites in the Seine river basin. Sampling sites were chosen to give a broad representation of 100 contamination levels in a large area impacted by diffuse, low metallic contamination (compared 101 to environmental quality standards). Biofilms were collected at 13 sites in the Seine river basin 102 (North of France) and their Cd and Zn tolerance levels were measured using a short-term toxicity 103 test based on β -glucosidase activity (which measures the tolerance of heterotrophic 104 communities). In parallel, bacterial and eukaryotic community composition was investigated 105 using ARISA. This fingerprinting technique, which exploits the length polymorphism of the 16S-106 23S intergenic spacer of bacteria and the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region of eukaryotes, had already 107 proved useful to assess shifts in community composition upstream to downstream from Paris in 108 the Seine river in previous studies (Fechner et al. 2012a, 2012b). The present study provides a

- 109 larger survey of river biofilms and their use as possible indicators of urban contamination in a
- 110 context of diffuse, low and multi-metallic exposure.
- 111

112 **2.** Materials and Methods

113 **2.1** Collection of river biofilms

River biofilms were collected at 13 sites located in the Seine river basin (North of France, Figure 1) after a two-weeks colonization period (see below for details about the collection of biofilm samples).

117 Sites were sampled over three times from summer (sites 1 to 4 were sampled in early 118 September 2009) to autumn of the same year (sites 5 to 9 in October 2009 and sites 10 to 13 in 119 November 2009). Biofilms were collected on Low Density PolyEthylene membranes (4 to 7 membranes of $14 \times 25 \text{ cm}^2$) vertically attached to plastic crates, as described elsewhere (Fechner 120 121 et al. 2010a), immersed at each sampling site for two weeks at the edge of the river. Colonized 122 membranes were carried back to the laboratory in 250 mL glass-bottles placed in a cooler and filled with mineral water (Grand Barbier, Mont-Dore, France, see Table S3). They were then 123 124 hand-scraped to remove periphyton and make biofilm suspensions in mineral water as in Fechner 125 et al. (2010a). Biofilms scraped from the membranes collected at one site were pooled together 126 to provide a unique biofilm suspension for each date and each site. Aliquots of the biofilm 127 suspensions were then assigned to various analyses for periphyton characterization or tolerance measurements. To obtain ARISA fingerprints, 50 mL aliquots of biofilm suspensions (three 128 129 aliquots ie pseudo-replicates per sampling site) were centrifuged (15 minutes, 10 000 g, 4°C). 130 Supernatants were discarded and pellets were stored at -80°C for further use.

131

132 **2.2** Water chemistry and metallic contamination

Total and dissolved metal concentrations (Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn, Co, Cr, Mn) as well as 17
physico-chemical parameters (temperature, pH, conductivity, Total Suspended Solids

concentration, Dissolved and Particulate Organic Carbon – DOC and POC – in water, as well as major ions: HCO_3^- , Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Cl^- , SO_4^{-2-} , NO_3^- , PO_4^{-3-} , NO_2^- , NH_4^+ , see Table S1 for detailed values) were measured at week 0, 1 and 2 of the study at each site. Labile metal concentrations were measured using Diffusive Gradients in Thin films (DGTs: Zhang and Davison 1995) immersed in the river at each site for one and two weeks (three replicates each).

To describe the metallic contamination of each sampling site in a more integrated way, we also used the cumulative criterion unit (CCU) described by Clements et al. (2000) as the ratio of the measured metal concentration (dissolved metal concentrations were used) to the US EPA national recommended water quality criterion (National Recommended Water Quality Criteria 2009), summed for Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn and Mn at each sampling site:

145 CCU =
$$\sum m_i / c_i$$

where m_i is the total dissolved metal concentration and c_i the criterion value for the ith metal. 146 Criteria were corrected to account for modifications of water hardness for Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb and Zn 147 and a chronic criterion of 100 µg/L was used for Mn according to US-EPA guidelines (National 148 149 Recommended Water Quality Criteria 2009, see Table S2). In this study, we used CCU to 150 provide a unique, global value to compare sampling sites based on dissolved metal 151 contamination levels and general metal toxicity which is useful to assess statistical correlations 152 between biological responses (biofilm tolerance acquisition and structure modification) and 153 contamination data.

- 154
- 155

157 2.3 Biofilm characterization: biofilm descriptors and ARISA fingerprints

158 Biofilms' dry weight (DW), ash-free dry weight (AFDW), and chlorophyll a (Chl-a) 159 concentrations were measured as described elsewhere (Fechner et al. 2010b). ARISA 160 fingerprints were obtained at each sampling date as in Fechner et al. (2010b). Briefly, DNA was 161 extracted from biofilm pellets using the Power Soil DNA Isolation Kit (Mobio Laboratories, Inc., 162 Carlsbad, US). PCR amplification of bacterial 16S-23S ITS was performed using primers 163 ITSF/ITSReub with 1 X PCR buffer, 1.25U of Thermo-Start Taq DNA polymerase (ABGene 164 Ltd, Epsom, UK), 0.2mM (each) dNTP, 1.5mM of MgCl₂, 0.5 mg/mL bovine serum albumin and 0.5 mM ITSF and ITSReub (each). The mixture was held at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 165 166 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 56 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 2 min and a final extension step at 72 °C 167 for 10 min. Amplification of eukaryotic ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 regions was performed using primers 168 2234C/3126T and a similar protocol (annealing temperature: 57.5 °C). Amplicons were separated on an electrophoresis Bioanalyzer (2100 Electrophoresis Bioanalyzer, Agilent 169 170 Technologies) and fluorescence data was converted into electrophoregrams using 2100 Expert 171 software (Agilent Technologies). Electrophoregrams of pseudo-replicates were averaged in order 172 to obtain one ARISA profile per sampling site. ARISA profiles were then processed using the StatFingerprints R package (Michelland et al., 2009). 173

174

175 **2.4 Metal tolerance measurements**

176 Cd and Zn tolerance levels of heterotrophic communities from sampled biofilms were 177 assessed using the normalized EC_{50} values obtained with the toxicity test based on β -glucosidase 178 activity developed by Fechner et al. (2010a, 2011). Briefly, biofilms were exposed to metals for 179 one hour (at least six concentrations of metal varying between 0.001 and 10 mM were tested in

180 triplicate for each toxicity test). Metal exposure levels during the toxicity tests were checked by 181 measuring metal concentrations in the stock solutions by flame AAS (Varian Inc., USA). β-182 glucosidase activity of the metal-exposed biofilms was measured spectrofluorometrically using 183 Methylumbelliferyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (Sigma-Aldrich). Fluorescence of 4-184 Methyllumbelliferone (MUF) was measured using a LB 941 Tristar Ti fluorescence microplate reader (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany). Fluorescence measurements were 185 186 converted into MUF concentrations by calibrating the spectrofluorimeter with a range of MUF 187 solutions in demineralised water. Dose/effect curves were obtained by plotting the % inhibition 188 of β -glucosidase activity as a function of metal concentration, and by fitting the data to the Hill model and adjusting each parameter (maximum % of inhibition, EC_{50} which is the concentration 189 190 at which 50% of the enzymatic activity is inhibited and Hill number) by non-linear regression. 191 95% confidence intervals around the fitted parameters were estimated using a Bootstrap method. Community tolerance to metal was then assessed by calculation of a normalized EC_{50} value by 192 dividing the EC₅₀ value by the Total Suspended Solids (TSS) concentration of the biofilm 193 194 suspension used for the short-term test (Fechner et al. 2010a).

This normalized EC_{50} value has been shown to provide a reliable and robust estimation of metal tolerance that does not depend on experimental conditions (namely, the quantity of biofilm used for the test), both on field and laboratory-exposed biofilms (Fechner et al. 2012a, 2010a, 2011).

200 2.5 Multivariate analysis

201 PCA was performed on all the environmental parameters measured at the 13 sampling sites 202 including metallic contamination levels (mean values of physico-chemical data and metal 203 concentrations were used).

204 The effects of metal contamination on river biofilms' tolerance levels were examined using 205 Partial Least Squares (PLS) regression. PLS is a regression extension of PCA which is used to 206 connect two blocks of variables (X and Y) to each other. It derives its usefulness from its ability 207 to analyze data with noisy, collinear or even incomplete variables. Moreover, the precision of the 208 PLS model increases with the number of X variables (Eriksson et al. 2006). In the present study, 209 PLS regression was performed with Cd and Zn tolerance levels and % maximum of inhibition 210 (obtained during short-term tests performed with Cd and Zn) as the Y variables and the 211 environmental parameters of the river water (physico-chemical parameters, metal concentrations) 212 and biofilm descriptors (DW, AFDW and Chl-a) as the X-variables. The quality of a PLS 213 regression model can be assessed both in terms of goodness of fit (the explained variance of both 214 X and Y-variables calculated as R²X and R²Y) and goodness of prediction (Q²) which is 215 evaluated by cross-validation. The importance of each X-variable in the regression model is 216 summarized by its Variable Influence in the Projection (VIP) values, which takes into account 217 the amount of explained Y-variance and may be used to discriminate between X-variables. PLS 218 regression was performed using XLStat 2010 (Addinsoft, France). Before the PLS regression, all 219 data was log-transformed and normalized.

The influence of the environmental parameters on ARISA fingerprints was investigated using non metric Multidimensional scaling (nMDS) performed on the Euclidian distances between profiles (n = 1000 permutations). nMDS constructs a three-dimensional map showing each

fingerprint as one plot so that highly similar fingerprints are plotted together. A stress value is used to compute a goodness of fit analysis between the reproduced (in the nMDS map) and the actual distances. A stress value <0.1 corresponds to a good ordination (Clarke 1993).

226 Analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was also performed on the Euclidian distance matrix of both 227 bacterial and eukaryotic profiles (1000 permutations) to test the effect of grouping fingerprints 228 according to tolerance and CCU (for each parameter, profiles were grouped together as "high" or 229 "low" whether the corresponding parameter was above or below the mean calculated for all 13 230 sites). ANOSIM is a non-parametric permutation procedure which tests whether differences in 231 dissimilarity between groups exceed differences within groups. It generates a global test statistic 232 (R) which varies between -1 and 1 and the magnitude of which indicates the degree of 233 separation between groups of samples. A value of 1 indicates complete separation whereas a 234 value of 0 indicates no separation.

235

236 **3. Results and discussion**

237 *3.1 Linking biofilm tolerance and metal contamination*

238 The PCA score plot (Fig. 2a) separates sampling sites with higher contamination levels 239 (CCU > 0.30 for sites 4, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 13, Table 1) from the other sites along the first principal 240 component which is correlated to metallic contamination (Fig. 2b). Distribution of sampling sites 241 is less relevant along the second component (only 13.5% of variability) but groups sites with 242 high HCO₃ and pH levels on the upper part of the graph (sites 7, 8, 9, 12, 13). Metal 243 concentrations (Table 2 and Table S4) remained low at all sampling sites for instance when 244 compared to environmental quality standards (EQS) of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) 245 or national recommended water quality criteria from the US-EPA. The only concentrations that

246 exceeded quality standards (in this case WFD EOS) were for Cu and Zn at site 10 (with 247 dissolved concentrations of 1.9 and 9.1 μ g/L respectively) and for Zn at site 13 (with a dissolved 248 Zn concentration of 46.7 μ g/L, which is considerably higher than the other sampling sites, the 249 mean dissolved Zn concentration being 6.5 µg/L, Table 2). Dissolved Cu also reached the WFD 250 EQS (1.4 µg/L) at site 4. In contrast, other PICT studies performed on field-sampled periphyton 251 report higher contamination levels, often due to former mining activities (Blanck et al. 2003, 252 Tlili et al. 2011, Admiraal et al. 1999, Lehmann et al. 1999). For instance, total Zn 253 concentrations reported in a large field study linking biofilm PICT measurements and Zn 254 exposure concentrations (Blanck et al. 2003) over 15 sites across Europe varied between 3.9 255 μ g/L and more than 2 mg/L with a mean of 195.2 μ g/L (in the present study the mean total Zn 256 concentration is 13.6 μ g/L and, except for a high concentration of 60.0 μ g/L at site 13, total Zn 257 concentrations vary from 2.7 to 26.1 µg/L, Table S4). Similarly, the mean dissolved Zn concentration at the reference site in another study (Tlili et al. 2011) is 36 µg/L and reaches 454 258 259 μ g/L at the most contaminated sampling site. The 13 sites of the present study therefore reflect 260 current urban contamination in the Seine river basin which is characterized by a mixture of 261 micropollutants at particularly low, sublethal concentrations (Uher et al. 2011, Tusseau-262 Vuillemin et al. 2007). Field studies linking biological responses, especially community-level 263 parameters, and such low metallic contamination levels are scarce.

Metal tolerance levels (Table 1) varied between sites (5.9 and 8.0 factors were found between the lowest and highest tolerance levels for Cd and Zn respectively). No correlation was found between tolerance and corresponding contamination levels for Cd and Zn (for instance Pearson correlation coefficient R = 0.08 and 0.13 for dissolved Cd/Cd tolerance and dissolved Zn/Zn tolerance respectively) or between tolerance and CCU (R = 0.12 and 0.22 for Cd and Zn

269 respectively). The absence of correlation is not totally surprising considering the very low 270 metallic concentrations registered at those sampling sites. The maximum % inhibition of β glucosidase activity were estimated along with EC₅₀ values from the dose/effect curves by fitting 271 272 the test data to the model (Table 1). A maximum % inhibition below 100% means that β -273 glucosidase activity cannot be fully inhibited during short-term metal exposure even at high 274 metal concentrations. A decrease of this parameter has previously been observed as tolerance 275 levels increased for biofilms exposed to metals either in the laboratory or in the field (Fechner et 276 al. 2012a, 2011, 2012c). In the present study, the maximum % inhibition is also negatively 277 correlated to tolerance (R = 0.72, p - value = 0.006 for Cd and R = 0.49, p - value = 0.09 for Zn) 278 and even to CCU (R = 0.61, p - value = 0.03 for Cd and R = 0.62, p - value = 0.02 for Zn). 279 These results confirm that variations in maximum % inhibition provide an additional biological 280 response of river biofilms to toxic exposure.

281 Those results also confirm that, as could be expected for a field study covering a rather large 282 geographical area and different sampling sites with low contamination levels, establishing a link 283 between tolerance and contamination is not obvious. For instance, the biofilm collected at site 1 284 has the highest Cd and Zn tolerance levels (Table 1) whereas metal concentrations at this site 285 were all below the mean calculated for the 13 sites (except for total Cu see Table S4 for details). 286 This sampling site is also characterized by a low conductivity, TSS, pH and low ionic concentrations (see Table S1 for details). It is thus possible that physico-chemical characteristics 287 288 of the river water play a role on metal speciation and bioavailability *in situ* and consequently on 289 biofilms' response to metals. Environmental parameters other than metallic concentrations must 290 definitely be taken into account to correctly interpret tolerance measurements, especially in the 291 case of low exposure levels which do not engender strong and obvious tolerance development.

292

293 *3.2 Community tolerance: an integrative response to environmental parameters?*

Multivariate analysis was thus used to explore further the relationships between metal tolerance, maximum % inhibition and all the environmental parameters likely to modulate community tolerance. PLS produced a six-component regression model with a good fit (84 and 97% of explained variance for the X and Y variables respectively) and with good predictive ability ($Q^2 = 0.78$, see Table S5 for details on model parameters). PLS revealed that both metallic and physico-chemical parameters were important variables explaining the variability of metal tolerance levels (Table 3).

301 Among the most influential parameters in the regression model (VIP > 1), Zn and Cd 302 concentrations (total for both metals and also dissolved Zn) appear, as well as other metallic 303 concentrations (Cr, Pb and Ni). Finding metallic concentrations other than Cd and Zn among the 304 influential variables is not totally surprising as co-tolerance phenomenons can be due to either 305 specific or non-specific defense mechanisms. Among specific defense mechanisms, evidence of 306 multi-resistance to Pb, Cd and Zn (a family of transport protein) has already been described in 307 bacterial species (Nies 2003). Multi-resistance to Co, Zn, Cd and Ni has equally been linked to 308 the presence of export proteins (Nies 2003) which is interesting as Co also appears as one of the important X variables in the regression model (VIP > 0.95). 309

It is also interesting to note that for Cd and Zn, labile concentrations have a lower significance in the regression model (VIP < 0.9) than total concentrations. DGT-labile metal concentrations are an estimate of time-weighted average concentrations of inorganic and weakly-complexed dissolved metals (Tusseau-Vuillemin et al. 2007). In a previous study on three sites located along the Seine river (Fechner et al. 2012a), multivariate analysis suggested that labile and dissolved

315 metal concentrations might be better related to tolerance acquisition for metals like Cd and Zn 316 than total metal concentrations. Indeed DGT-labile metals have been shown to provide 317 reasonably good estimations of bioavailable metal concentrations to various aquatic organisms 318 compared to total and dissolved metals (Buzier et al. 2006). Yet labile copper concentrations 319 have also been shown to underestimate copper bioavailability to bryophytes, especially at rather 320 low concentrations (Ferreira et al. 2008). As regards biofilms more specifically, metal 321 bioavailability and toxicity mechanisms towards biofilm microorganisms are complex and 322 depend on the biofilm sample, the metal considered and metal speciation (Bradac et al. 2010, 323 Meylan et al. 2004). More field data needs to be obtained in order to investigate further the link 324 between metal speciation *in situ* and biofilm metal tolerance.

pH, POC, NH_4^+ , Na^+ , Mg^{2+} and water alkalinity are also found among the most important 325 326 physico-chemical parameters. The coefficients associated with the Y variables (Table 3) show that an increase in pH, Mg²⁺, Na⁺ and alkalinity are all negatively correlated with tolerance 327 acquisition in the regression model. This is not totally surprising as a parameter like Mg²⁺ is 328 known to influence metal bioavailability by inhibiting the complexation of metal ions to 329 330 biological ligands and thus might decrease metal toxicity towards aquatic organisms (Niyogi and 331 Wood 2004) (and thus probably tolerance acquisition by biofilm microorganisms). Similarly, an 332 increase of pH or water alkalinity might be correlated with a decrease of tolerance as both 333 influence metal speciation (for instance by metal precipitation or by the appearance of other toxic 334 inorganic species such as MeOH⁺) and therefore metal toxicity (Niyogi and Wood 2004). The 335 influence of parameters like POC and NH₄⁺ is less easy to interpret. POC might be a source of 336 contamination for biofilm microorganisms as metal-contaminated particulate matter from the river water might bind to the biofilm matrix. Moreover, Na⁺, POC, NH₄⁺ or any environmental 337

338 parameter might play a role in the species composition of the sampled biofilms and thus in their 339 sensitivity to metals: pH could be a good example as it has a strong influence on bacterial 340 community structure (Fierer et al. 2007). It is also interesting to note that biofilm descriptors 341 such as biofilm DW and Chl-a are among the most influential variables as those parameters were 342 not correlated with tolerance levels in a previous study on biofilms collected along the Seine 343 river (Fechner et al. 2012a). Modifications of those biofilm descriptors might also indicate 344 changes in biofilm species composition (for instance a varying Chl-a concentration might reveal 345 changes in algal species composition) or in the matrix composition (a change in DW might be 346 related to a modification of exopolysaccharides - EPS - production in the matrix or an increase of 347 mineral particles from the river being retained within the matrix). Analysis of ARISA 348 fingerprints brings further information on the modifications of biofilm community structure 349 among the 13 sampling sites.

350

351 3.3 Linking community composition and metal tolerance

352 Tolerance acquisition can be related to genetic and/or phenotypic adaptation and selection 353 processes within the exposed community. In an attempt to better understand the genetic 354 mechanisms at the root of tolerance acquisition in the 13 biofilms sampled in this study, we used 355 ARISA as a fast and efficient technique to visualize gross genetic modifications of community 356 structure. nMDS of both bacterial and eukaryotic ARISA fingerprints was used to visualize 357 groups of biofilms with similar structure (assessed with ARISA fingerprints). Interestingly, 358 bacterial profiles (Figure 3a shows a 2D projection of the 3D nMDS plot) appear to be grouped 359 according to Zn tolerance levels as confirmed by ANOSIM (Global ANOSIM, R = -0.175, p-360 value = 0.08) and not Cd tolerance levels (R = -0.021, p-value = 0.49). Similarly, eukaryotic

361 profiles (Figure 3b) are better grouped according to Zn tolerance levels (R = 0.147, p-value = 362 0.1) than to Cd tolerance levels (R = 0.03, p-value = 0.33). Moreover, ANOSIM reveals that 363 ARISA profiles are also grouped according to CCU levels for eukaryotic communities (R =364 0.197, p-value = 0.14) and to a lesser extent for bacterial communities (R = -0.206, p-value = 365 0.92). nMDS reveals here that differences/similarities in community structure of both bacterial 366 and eukaryotic microorganisms are related to differences/similarities between tolerance levels. 367 Therefore it is probable that selection processes (disappearance of sensitive species and 368 development of metal-tolerant ones) were involved in tolerance acquisition. It is also probable 369 that metal contamination (characterized here by CCU levels) modifies community structure. 370 However, it is difficult to interpret further modifications of ARISA fingerprints and their link to environmental parameters or tolerance levels. 371

372 Although ARISA remains a technique for a global, gross analysis of community structure 373 (only main Operational Taxonomic Units or OTUs are detected and the number of OTUs 374 detected is not always easily interpreted in terms of microbial diversity (Fechner et al. 2010b, 375 Bent and Forney 2008)), biofilms with similar profiles are likely to be composed of the same 376 dominant OTUs. A more thorough investigation of the taxonomic composition of biofilm 377 samples, for instance through high-throughput DNA sequencing or a gene-related molecular 378 approach, might provide further information as, for instance, the presence of metal-resistance 379 species or genes within the communities that have high metal tolerance levels. Considering the 380 results obtained here, it would be interesting to study both prokaryotic (using 16S related assays) 381 and eukaryotic (18S) biofilm communities to investigate the impacts of water contamination. Yet 382 DNA sequencing still remains relatively expensive, especially when compared to ARISA which 383 offers a fast and cost-effective means to screen community structure and identify changes in both

community structure and diversity in relation with environmental parameters (Magbanua et al.
2013). Investigating the presence of metal-resistance genes within the communities would also
be an interesting perspective to further explore biofilms' response to metallic contamination.

387

4. Conclusions

389 This study confirms the importance of both bacterial and eukaryotic microorganisms from 390 river biofilms to investigate the influence of contamination on aquatic ecosystems. Several 391 studies have already pointed at the sensitivity of microbial communities to the influence of 392 contamination, for instance when compared to the eukaryotic macrofauna currently used for 393 water quality monitoring such as fish, algae or macroinvertebrates. River biofilms collected in 394 this study respond to modifications of metallic concentrations in a context of subtle, diffuse 395 contamination, that is to say with low metal concentrations compared to international 396 environmental quality standards. Although tolerance acquisition is not easily interpreted in 397 relation to those particularly low metallic contamination levels, multivariate analysis highlights 398 links between tolerance and metallic exposure. Those results show that a PICT approach is 399 relevant to assess the impacts of low metallic contamination although more data is needed to 400 understand the link between metal speciation *in situ* and metal tolerance of river biofilms. 401 ARISA fingerprints are grouped according to tolerance levels showing that tolerance acquisition 402 is most probably related to modifications of community structure.

Both types of biological responses (tolerance acquisition and modifications of community structure) cannot be unequivocally attributed to metal contamination; the individual effects of all environmental parameters that influence biofilm composition and are identified as important in this study cannot be distinguished. Further studies are thus needed to investigate more closely

407 responses to low contamination at the community level, for instance by carefully choosing a 408 sample group of sites with a known range of contamination levels and physico-chemical 409 parameters so that the effects of confounding factors (physico-chemical parameters) can be 410 statistically deduced from water contamination. However, the study confirms that river biofilms 411 have a great potential to be used as sensitive indicators of diffuse, low-level metallic 412 contamination.

413

414 Acknowledgement

This study was supported by ONEMA (Office National de l'Eau et des Milieux Aquatiques). Data (environmental parameters) collected during the Bioconbas project funded by Agence de l'Eau Seine Normandie was used for interpretation and discussion of biofilm parameters. The authors would like to thank Emmanuelle Uher for her great help with metal analysis and Sophie Ayrault for providing access to the ICP-MS platform at the LSCE. We are also grateful to Cécile Mirande-Bret and Aurélie Germain for their valuable help in the field and in the laboratory, and to Julien Guieu for editing the English version of the manuscript.

422 Supporting information

423 Details about physico-chemical data, metal concentrations and detailed model parameters of
424 the PLS regression are available as Supporting Information.

426 **References**

- 428 Clements, W.H., Rohr, J.R. 2009. Community responses to contaminants: using basic ecological 429 principles to predict ecotoxicological effects Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 28(9),
- 430 1789-1800.
- 431 Geiszinger, A., Bonnineau, C., Faggiano, L., Guasch, H., Lopez-Doval, J.C., Proia, L., Ricart,
- 432 M., Ricciardi, F., Romani, A., Rotter, S., Munoz, I., Schmitt-Jansen, M., Sabater, S. 2009. The
- relevance of the community approach linking chemical and biological analyses in pollution
 assessment. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry 28(5), 619-626.
- 435 Schmitt-Jansen, M., Veit, U., Dudel, G., Altenburger, R. 2008. An ecological perspective in
- 436 aquatic ecotoxicology: Approaches and challenges. Basic and Applied Ecology 9(4), 337-345.
- 437 McClellan, K., Altenburger, R., Schmitt-Jansen, M. 2008. Pollution-induced community
- tolerance as a measure of species interaction in toxicity assessment. Journal of Applied Ecology439 45(5), 1514-1522.
- 440 Blanck, H., Admiraal, W., Cleven, R.F.M.J., Guasch, H., Hoop, M.A.G.T.v.d., Ivorra, N.,
- 441 Nyström, B., Paulsson, M., Petterson, R.P., Sabater, S., Tubbing, G.M.J. 2003. Variability in
- 442 Zinc Tolerance, Measured as Incorporation of Radio-Labeled Carbon Dioxide and Thymidine, in
- 443 Periphyton Communities Sampled from 15 European River Stretches. Archives of
- 444 Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 44(1), 17-29.
- Soldo, D., Behra, R. 2000. Long-term effects of copper on the structure of freshwater periphyton
 communities and their tolerance to copper, zinc, nickel and silver. Aquatic Toxicology 47(3-4),
 181-189.
- 448 Serra, A., Guasch, H., Admiraal, W., Van Der Geest, H.G., Van Beusekom, S.A.M. 2010.
 449 Influence of phosphorus on copper sensitivity of fluvial periphyton: The role of chemical,
- 450 physiological and community-related factors. Ecotoxicology 19(4), 770-780.
- 451 Guasch, H., Paulsson, M., Sabater, S. 2002. Effect of copper on algal communities from 452 oligotrophic calcareous streams. Journal of Phycology 38(2), 241-248.
- 453 Tlili, A., Corcoll, N., Bonet, B., Morin, S., Montuelle, B., Bérard, A., Guasch, H. 2011. In situ
- 454 spatio-temporal changes in pollution-induced community tolerance to zinc in autotrophic and 455 heterotrophic biofilm communities. Ecotoxicology 20, 1823–1839.
- 456 Pesce, S., Margoum, C., Montuelle, B. 2010. In situ relationships between spatio-temporal
- 457 variations in diuron concentrations and phototrophic biofilm tolerance in a contaminated river.
 458 Water Research 44(6), 1941-1949.
- 459 Admiraal, W., Blanck, H., Buckert-de Jong, M., Guasch, H., Ivorra, N., Lehmann, V., Nystrom,
- 460 B.A.H., Paulsson, M., Sabater, S. 1999. Short-term toxicity of zinc to microbenthic algae and 461 bacteria in a metal polluted stream. Water Research 33(9), 1989-1996.
- 462 Fechner, L.C., Gourlay-Francé, C., Bourgeault, A., Tusseau-Vuillemin, M.H. 2012a. Diffuse
- 463 urban pollution increases metal tolerance of natural heterotrophic biofilms. Environmental
 464 Pollution 162, 311-318.
- 465 Fechner, L.C., Versace, F., Gourlay-Francé, C., Tusseau-Vuillemin, M.H. 2012b. Adaptation of
- 466 copper community tolerance levels after biofilm transplantation in an urban river. Aquatic
- 467 Toxicology 106-107, 32-41.

- 468 Sims, A., Zhang, Y., Gajaraj, S., Brown, P.B., Hu, Z. 2013. Toward the development of 469 microbial indicators for wetland assessment. Water Research 47(5), 1711-1725.
- 470 Sun, M.Y., Dafforn, K.A., Brown, M.V., Johnston, E.L. 2012. Bacterial communities are 471 sensitive indicators of contaminant stress. Marine Pollution Bulletin 64(5), 1029-1038.
- 472 Ricciardi, F., Bonnineau, C., Faggiano, L., Geiszinger, A., Guasch, H., Lopez-Doval, J., Munoz,
- 473 I., Proia, L., Ricart, M., Romani, A., Sabater, S. 2009. Is chemical contamination linked to the
- 474 diversity of biological communities in rivers? TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry 28(5), 475 592-602.
- 476 Ancion, P.Y., Lear, G., Dopheide, A., Lewis, G.D. 2013. Metal concentrations in stream biofilm
- 477 and sediments and their potential to explain biofilm microbial community structure. 478 Environmental Pollution 173, 117-124.
- 479 Fechner, L.C., Gourlay-Francé, C., Uher, E., Tusseau-Vuillemin, M.H. 2010a. Adapting an
- 480 enzymatic toxicity test to allow comparative evaluation of natural freshwater biofilms' tolerance 481 to metals. Ecotoxicology 19(7), 1302-1311.
- 482 Zhang, H., Davison, W. 1995. Performance Characteristics of Diffusion Gradients in Thin Films
- 483 for the in Situ Measurement of Trace Metals in Aqueous Solution. Analytical Chemistry 67,
- 484 3391 - 3400.
- 485 Clements, W.H., Carlisle, D.M., Lazorchak, J.M., Johnson, P.C. 2000. Heavy Metals Structure
- 486 Benthic Communities in Colorado Mountain Streams. Ecological Applications 10(2), 626-638.
- 487 National Recommended Water Quality Criteria, U.S.E.P.A. 2009. Office of Water, Office of 488 Science and Technology
- 489 http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/current/index.cfm.
- 490 Fechner, L.C., Vincent-Hubert, F., Gaubert, P., Bouchez, T., Gourlay-Francé, C., Tusseau-
- 491 Vuillemin, M.H. 2010b. Combined eukaryotic and bacterial community fingerprinting of natural
- 492 freshwater biofilms using Automated Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis. Fems Microbiology
- 493 Ecology 74(3), 542-553.
- 494 Fechner, L.C., Gourlay-Francé, C., Tusseau-Vuillemin, M.H. 2011. Low exposure levels of 495 urban metals induce heterotrophic community tolerance: a microcosm validation. Ecotoxicology 496 20(7), 793-802.
- 497 Eriksson, L., Johansson, E., Kettaneh-Wold, N., Trygg, J., Wikström, C., Wold, S. (2006) Multi-498 and Megavariate Data Analysis, Umetrics Academy, Umean, Sweden.
- 499 Clarke, K.R. 1993. Non-parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. 500 Australian Journal of Ecology 18(1), 117-143.
- Lehmann, V., Tubbing, G.M.J., Admiraal, W. 1999. Induced Metal Tolerance in Microbenthic 501
- 502 Communities from Three Lowland Rivers with Different Metal Loads. Archives of 503 Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 36(4), 384-391.
- 504
- Uher, E., Mirande-Bret, C., Gourlay-Francé, C. 2011. Lessons from a large scale deployment of
- 505 DGT in the Seine basin. Royal Society of Chemistry, Environmental Chemistry Group Bulletin 506 January 2011.
- 507 Tusseau-Vuillemin, M.-H., Gourlay, C., Lorgeoux, C., Mouchel, J.-M., Buzier, R., Gilbin, R.,
- 508 Seidel, J.-L., Elbaz-Poulichet, F. 2007. Dissolved and bioavailable contaminants in the Seine
- 509 river basin. Science of The Total Environment 375(1-3), 244-256.
- 510 Fechner, L.C., Dufour, M., Gourlay-Francé, C. 2012c. Pollution-induced community tolerance of
- 511 freshwater biofilms: measuring heterotrophic tolerance to Pb using an enzymatic toxicity test.
- 512 Ecotoxicology 21(8), 2123-2131.

- 513 Nies, D.H. 2003. Efflux-mediated heavy metal resistance in prokaryotes. FEMS Microbiology 514 Reviews 27(2-3), 313-339.
- 515 Buzier, R., Tusseau-Vuillemin, M.H., Mouchel, J.M. 2006. Evaluation of DGT as a metal 516 speciation tool in wastewater. Science of The Total Environment 358(1-3), 277-285.
- 517 Ferreira, D., Tousset, N., Ridame, C., Tusseau-Vuillemin, M.-H. 2008. More than inorganic
- 518 copper is bioavailable to aquatic mosses at environmentally relevant concentrations.
- 519 Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 27(10), 2108-2116.
- 520 Bradac, P., Wagner, B., Kistler, D., Traber, J., Behra, R., Sigg, L. 2010. Cadmium speciation and
- 521 accumulation in periphyton in a small stream with dynamic concentration variations. 522 Environmental Pollution 158(3), 641-648.
- 523 Meylan, S., Behra, R., Sigg, L. 2004. Influence of Metal Speciation in Natural Freshwater on
- 524 Bioaccumulation of Copper and Zinc in Periphyton: A Microcosm Study. Environ. Sci. Technol. 525 38(11), 3104-3111.
- 526 Niyogi, S., Wood, C.M. 2004. Biotic Ligand Model, a Flexible Tool for Developing Site-
- 527 Specific Water Quality Guidelines for Metals. Environmental Science & Technology 38(23), 528 6177-6192.
- 529 Fierer, N., Morse, J.L., Berthrong, S.T., Bernhardt, E.S., Jackson, R.B. 2007. 530 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS ON THE LANDSCAPE-SCALE BIOGEOGRAPHY OF 521 STREAM BACTERIAL COMMUNITIES Ecology 88(0) 2162 2172
- 531 STREAM BACTERIAL COMMUNITIES. Ecology 88(9), 2162-2173.
- 532 Bent, S.J., Forney, L.J. 2008. The tragedy of the uncommon: Understanding limitations in the 533 analysis of microbial diversity. ISME Journal 2(7), 689-695.
- 534 Magbanua, F.S., Townsend, C.R., Hageman, K.J., Lange, K., Lear, G., Lewis, G.D., Matthaei,
- 535 C.D. 2013. Understanding the combined influence of fine sediment and glyphosate herbicide on
- 536 stream periphyton communities. Water Research 47(14), 5110–5120.
- 537
- 538

Table 1. CCU levels of the 13 sampling sites. Cd and Zn tolerance levels (normalized EC_{50} values expressed in mg_{metal}/g_{TSS}) and maximum inhibition levels (%) of biofilms collected at the 13 sites. 95% confidence intervals are shown in brackets.

sampling sites	CCU	Cd tolerance	Cd % max	Zn tolerance	Zn % max
site 1	0.232	8.15 (5.81 - 10.49)	76.43 (72.92 - 80.63)	16.48 (10.90 - 22.06)	74.81 (73.48 - 78.46)
site 2	0.165	3.99 (3.48 - 4.50)	93.30 (92.29 - 94.31)	6.45 (5.32 - 7.57)	89.25 (88.09 - 90.83)
site 3	0.163	3.59 (2.27 - 4.91)	84.98 (81.10 - 90.29)	9.19 (6.08 - 12.29)	77.80 (75.00 - 82.74)
site 4	0.482	3.64 (0.75 - 6.53)	76.17 (76.20 - 81.94)	9.00 (4.72 - 13.27)	73.63 (71.11 - 80.93)
site 5	0.271	2.04 (1.87 - 2.21)	96.00 (95.11 - 96.91)	4.10 (3.43 - 4.78)	93.85 (91.71 - 96.63)
site 6	0.238	2.18 (2.04 - 2.32)	95.47 (94.68 - 96.39)	4.20 (3.84 - 4.55)	88.72 (87.64 - 89.75)
site 7	0.298	1.39 (1.08 - 1.71)	94.60 (92.85 - 96.73)	2.05 (1.60 - 2.51)	87.50 (85.80 - 89.54)
site 8	0.305	2.30 (1.74 - 2.87)	89.78 (89.37 - 9.46)	4.60 (3.69 - 5.50)	86.30 (83.45 - 89.12)
site 9	0.182	4.38 (1.52 - 7.24)	78.65 (76.44 - 80.85)	2.80 (2.15 - 3.45)	81.33 (80.45 - 82.58)
site 10	0.572	4.68 (0 - 12.70)	75.44 (74.12 - 86.24)	10.39 (5.14 - 15.64)	69.30 (67.62 - 74.17)
site 11	0.727	4.21 (2.94 - 5.48)	77.20 (75.93 - 78.60)	4.51 (2.73 - 6.30)	76.74 (73.59 - 81.97)
site 12	0.151	3.69 (2.62 - 4.76)	92.16 (90.17 - 94.34)	3.66 (2.64 - 4.68)	86.23 (85.38 - 87.37)
site 13	0.539	4.99 (3.35 - 6.63)	73.74 (73.06 - 74.64)	3.77 (2.79 - 4.75)	68.17 (66.31 - 70.60)

Table 2. Mean dissolved metal contamination levels at the 13 sampling sites (n=3). Concentrations

are expressed in μ g/L.

sampling sites	Cd	Cr	Со	Cu	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
site 1	0.01	0.28	0.12	0.75	7.61	0.79	0.11	1.22
site 2	0.01	0.28	0.13	0.64	5.93	0.89	0.06	0.83
site 3	0.01	0.33	0.12	0.50	6.88	0.82	0.15	2.23
site 4	0.01	0.31	0.24	1.40	25.30	1.23	0.19	6.80
site 5	0.01	0.04	0.13	0.89	10.16	0.98	0.06	1.58
site 6	0.01	0.03	0.14	0.89	6.96	1.11	0.10	3.00
site 7	0.01	0.13	0.18	0.84	17.84	1.35	0.06	1.89
site 8	0.01	0.17	0.18	0.95	14.88	1.35	0.12	2.91
site 9	0.01	0.15	0.10	0.37	11.83	0.69	0.07	0.95
site 10	0.04	0.23	0.29	1.87	12.60	2.30	0.21	9.07
site 11	0.02	0.15	0.40	1.05	49.84	2.37	0.07	5.46
site 12	0.00	0.49	0.15	0.44	7.45	1.19	0.05	2.07
site 13	0.02	1.48	0.53	0.92	15.78	1.49	0.11	46.65
mean for all 13 sites	0.01	0.31	0.21	0.89	14.85	1.27	0.11	6.51
water quality standards								
EU WFD EQSs ¹	0.25			1.4		<mark>4</mark>	<mark>1.2</mark>	7.8
US EPA CCCs ²	0.19			26		150	19.65	298.64

¹ European Union Water Framework Directive (EU WFD) EQSs are given for waters with CaCO3 > 200 mg/L. Water hardness varies between 173 and 351 mg/L CaCO3 (only site 1 has a water hardness below 200 mg/)L.

² CCCs (Criterion Continuous Concentrations) were corrected using hardness - dependent parameters from Appendix B of the National Recommended Water Quality Criteria from the EPA. The CCCs given here are those obtained for site 9 which has the highest water hardness ($351 \text{ mg/L} \text{ CaCO}_3$).

Values indicated in bold correspond to dissolved metal concentrations that are above the corresponding EQSs. Values indicated in italics are mean concentrations for the 13 sites.

Table 3. Variable importance (Variable Influence in the Projection) in the PLS model and corresponding regression coefficients for each Y variable: Cd tolerance, Zn tolerance and maximum % inhibition of β -glucosidase activity obtained with Cd and Zn. Only variables with VIP > 0.8 are represented. Metal concentrations are indicated by 'lab' for labile. 'dis' for dissolved and 'tot' for total metal concentrations.

Variable	VIP	CoefftolCd	CoefftolZn	Coeffmax%Cd	Coeffmax%Zn
рН	1.442	-0.062	-0.139	0.036	0.085
POC	1.435	0.152	0.149	-0.165	-0.133
dis Cr	1.329	0.126	0.054	-0.107	-0.140
NH_4^+	1.327	-0.231	-0.112	0.236	0.144
dis Pb	1.314	0.066	0.164	-0.116	-0.120
tot Pb	1.217	0.080	0.112	-0.125	-0.132
tot Zn	1.138	0.101	0.024	-0.106	-0.136
Chl-a	1.129	-0.169	-0.053	0.163	0.099
lab Ni	1.074	-0.132	-0.043	0.104	0.102
lab Cr	1.061	-0.021	-0.122	0.010	0.005
Na⁺	1.050	-0.084	-0.069	0.052	0.037
Alkalinity	1.032	-0.052	-0.120	0.042	0.037
DW	1.032	-0.075	-0.083	0.047	0.021
Mg ²⁺	1.018	-0.034	-0.039	-0.042	0.029
dis Zn	1.014	0.028	0.016	-0.027	-0.082
tot Cr	1.014	0.133	-0.016	-0.147	-0.132
tot Cd	1.006	0.082	-0.005	-0.074	-0.092
tot Co	0.985	0.061	-0.035	-0.067	-0.061
dis Co	0.980	0.027	-0.005	-0.019	-0.055
tot Ni	0.976	-0.030	-0.064	0.026	0.022
AFDW	0.953	-0.118	-0.052	0.083	0.050
dis Ni	0.950	-0.084	-0.024	0.097	0.047
lab Co	0.930	0.004	-0.042	-0.048	-0.020
tot Cu	0.929	0.101	0.089	-0.158	-0.107
SO4 ²⁻	0.924	0.026	-0.036	-0.060	-0.038
tot Mn	0.920	0.073	0.017	-0.128	-0.065
dis Cu	0.914	-0.069	0.058	0.059	0.019
Cľ	0.906	-0.044	-0.035	0.024	0.009

Figure 1: Map of the sampling sites in the Seine river basin, North of France.



The location of the city of Paris is indicated by a circle. The names of main rivers are pointed out and arrows show the direction of the flow.

Fig. 2.

PCA score plot (a) and loading plot (b) of the environmental parameters (physico-chemical data and total, dissolved and labile metal contamination data) of the 13 sites. Metal concentrations are indicated by 'lab' for labile, 'Diss' for dissolved and 'tot' for total metal concentrations.



Figure 3. 2D projections (along the first two components) of 3D-nMDS plots of bacterial (a) and eukaryotic (b) ARISA fingerprints (stress values are 3.58 % (a) and 4.86%. (b) for 3D plots).

Each ARISA profile (biofilm sample) is characterized by three parameters (CCU of the sampling site, Cd and Zn biofilm tolerance), each represented as a lower-case letter (m, c and z) or capital letter (M, C and Z) whether the corresponding parameter is above or below the mean calculated for all 13 sites.

Legend:

•	mcz
▲	mcZ
- +	mCz
×	mCZ
•	McZ
•	MCz
	MCZ

	Low	high
CCU	m	М
Cd tolerance	с	С
Zn tolerance	Z	Z



a



b

ARISA profiles of biofilms with high Zn tolerance levels (Z) are represented by: \blacktriangle , ×, \blacksquare , \blacklozenge . ARISA profiles of biofilms with high Cd tolerance levels (C) are represented by: $\blacksquare \nabla \times +$. ARISA profiles of biofilms collected at sites with high CCU (M) are represented by: $\blacksquare \nabla \wedge$.

Highlights:

River biofilms were collected on 13 sites with low metallic contamination levels

Multivariate analysis shows that biofilm metal tolerance was linked to contamination

Environmental parameters also modulate biofilm tolerance levels

Biofilms with similar tolerance levels have similar ARISA profiles Biofilms are potential sensitive indicators of diffuse, low-level contamination

Supporting information

Linking community tolerance and structure with low metallic contamination: a field study on 13 biofilms sampled across the Seine river basin.

Lise C. Fechner^{123*}, Catherine Gourlay-Francé¹³, Marie-Hélène Tusseau-Vuillemin⁴

¹Irstea Antony – Unité de Recherche Hydrosystèmes et Bioprocédés (HBAN)

1 rue Pierre-Gilles de Gennes, CS 10030 F-92761 Antony Cedex, France

²AgroParisTech, F-75005 Paris, France

³FIRE, FR-3020, 4 Place Jussieu 75005, Paris, France

⁴IFREMER – Technopolis 40,155 rue Jean-Jacques Rousseau, 92138 Issy-Les-Moulineaux,

France

^{*}Tel: +33140966568

*Fax: +33140966199

^{*}E-mail: lise.fechner@irstea.fr

Table S1. Mean values (n=3) of physico-chemical parameters of river water at the 13 sampling sites. Ionic concentrations and Total Suspended Solids in river water (TSSw) are expressed in mg/L, conductivity in μ S/cm, DOC in mgC/L and POC in mgC/gTSS. nd: not determined.

sampling sites	HCO ₃ ⁻	Na⁺	K^{+}	Mg ²⁺	Ca ²⁺	Cl	SO4 ²⁻	N03 ⁻	P-PO4 ³⁻	N-NO ₂ ⁻	$N-NH_4^+$	T (℃)	pН	conductivity	TSS	DOC	POC
site 1	168.8	7.0	2.1	2.9	64.3	13.1	11.1	11.6	0.15	0.02	nd	21.7	7.71	699.3	4.6	2.3	131.0
site 2	233.8	12.4	3.2	2.8	92.7	28.0	16.2	22.5	0.14	0.04	nd	18.8	7.74	553.3	4.2	2.5	139.9
site 3	239.9	11.1	3.2	5.6	93.2	24.6	18.0	27.5	0.15	0.08	nd	16.3	7.70	943.7	12.0	2.0	137.5
site 4	213.5	19.4	5.1	8.6	84.3	37.2	38.4	21.0	0.29	0.04	0.04	16.1	7.71	574.7	16.9	3.8	108.3
site 5	197.2	14.6	2.4	9.2	69.8	19.9	28.7	7.9	0.16	0.05	0.06	17.5	7.89	1178.3	9.5	2.8	40.6
site 6	201.3	16.1	2.8	9.6	71.8	22.5	34.3	8.8	0.17	0.06	0.04	17.7	7.85	1386.7	13.2	2.8	56.5
site 7	280.6	31.1	5.4	10.2	102.5	41.4	48.8	18.2	0.17	0.04	0.09	16.8	7.93	1158.7	13.4	3.0	25.2
site 8	278.6	32.4	5.0	10.2	100.7	41.2	52.3	18.7	0.18	0.04	0.06	15.7	7.99	631.3	8.3	3.1	78.8
site 9	307.0	17.4	2.2	20.4	107.0	34.1	51.0	29.8	0.16	0.06	nd	12.6	8.00	670.3	20.6	2.5	48.6
site 10	211.5	21.3	5.5	8.3	85.5	56.7	68.3	25.7	0.24	nd	0.02	11.7	7.58	1494.3	12.3	5.9	65.1
site 11	250.1	25.8	7.9	6.5	97.7	60.7	81.5	16.0	0.22	0.01	0.01	9.1	7.76	1952.7	34.5	10.6	97.9
site 12	278.6	7.8	2.0	3.6	86.5	22.0	9.2	27.6	0.19	0.02	0.06	9.7	7.86	802.7	10.6	2.7	80.8
site 13	284.7	31.2	3.8	4.7	97.1	47.0	81.0	21.7	0.18	0.08	0.11	10.3	7.83	599.7	18.7	4.2	123.0

A CER

Table S2. Parameters used Parameters for Calculating Freshwater Dissolved Metals Criteria That AreHardness-Dependent according to US EPA.

1 101672 × (Ip([hardpass]) ×		me	metal
-4.719 1.101072 ~ (Ent(Inteness]) ~	-4.719	0.7409	Cd
-1.702 0.96	-1.702	0.8545	Cu
-4.705 1.46203 × (Ln([hardness]) × 0.145712)	-4.705	1.273	Pb
0.0584 0.997	0.0584	0.846	Ni
0.884 0.86	0.884	0.8473	Zn

Source: National Recommended Water Quality Criteria, http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/current/index.cf

HCO ₃ ⁻	Na⁺	K⁺	Mg ²⁺	Ca ²⁺	Cľ	SO4 ²⁻	N0 ₃
25.8	2.7	0.9	1.7	4.1	0.9	1.14	0.8
		$\langle \rangle \rangle$					
		, <i>y</i>					
	()						

Table S3. Physico-chemical parameters of Montdore mineral waters (in mg/L)

 Table S4. Mean total (a) and labile (b) metal contamination levels at the 13 sampling sites (n=3).

Concentrations are expressed in μ g/L.

а.								
Sampling sites	Cd	Cr	Co	Cu	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
site 1	0.03	0.55	0.15	7.05	16.41	1.17	1.09	6.71
site 2	0.02	0.07	0.14	1.61	11.24	1.24	0.48	2.69
site 3	0.03	0.30	0.13	3.53	17.92	1.06	1.74	7.21
site 4	0.05	1.30	0.43	6.08	42.49	2.01	2.58	16.01
site 5	0.03	0.49	0.21	3.09	17.11	1.65	0.66	4.40
site 6	0.04	0.89	0.27	4.99	20.46	1.88	1.39	8.36
site 7	0.04	0.96	0.39	6.43	35.29	2.63	1.10	11.17
site 8	0.04	0.64	0.31	4.76	27.80	2.32	1.15	7.63
site 9	0.04	1.14	0.32	3.46	27.66	1.90	0.89	5.84
site 10	0.09	1.75	0.67	4.59	31.62	3.41	3.42	26.14
site 11	0.06	1.23	1.01	2.66	111.02	3.49	1.41	15.32
site 12	0.04	0.87	0.25	0.88	12.91	1.75	0.51	4.89
site 13	0.07	6.12	0.88	2.85	39.42	2.36	2.01	60.03

b.

Sampling sites	Cd	Cr	Co	Cu	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn
site 1	0.001	0.02	0.01	0.11	3.22	0.12	0.02	0.92
site 2	0.001	0.01	0.00	0.09	1.81	0.16	0.03	0.49
site 3	0.002	0.03	0.01	0.10	2.67	0.10	0.13	1.08
site 4	0.002	0.04	0.04	0.30	14.02	0.29	0.13	1.90
site 5	0.002	0.08	0.03	0.24	8.23	0.58	0.05	0.85
site 6	0.002	0.10	0.02	0.19	4.27	0.22	0.08	2.05
site 7	0.003	0.09	0.03	0.19	11.78	0.45	0.04	1.66
site 8	0.005	0.18	0.04	0.25	7.55	0.36	0.09	2.10
site 9	0.002	0.12	0.03	0.18	6.09	0.16	0.03	1.08
site 10	0.009	0.02	0.03	0.38	5.36	0.50	0.02	2.94
site 11	0.002	0.05	0.10	0.10	25.94	0.57	0.03	1.03
site 12	0.001	0.07	0.03	0.05	5.34	0.09	0.04	0.22
site 13	0.002	0.51	0.13	0.17	12.13	0.27	0.11	8.78

AV'

Figure S1. 3D MDS of ARISA bacterial (a) and eukaryotic (b) profiles. Stress values are 3.58% (a) and 4.86%. (b). Each fingerprint (biofilm sample) is characterized by three parameters (CCU of the sampling site, Cd and Zn biofilm tolerance), each represented as a lower-case letter (m, c and z) or capital letter (M, C and Z) whether the corresponding parameter is above or below the mean calculated for all 13 sites. For each biofilm sample (sampling site) ARISA profils were obtained in triplicates.

Legend of the 3-dimensional plot:

	Low	high	• mcz
CCU	m	М	• mcZ
Cd tolerance	С	С	• mCz
Zn tolerance	Z	Z	• mCZ
	<u> </u>		McZ
			MCz

MCZ



