Abstract:

We review the reservoirs of methane clathrates that may exist in the different bodies of the Solar System. Methane was formed in the interstellar medium prior to having been embedded in the protosolar nebula gas phase. This molecule was subsequently trapped in clathrates that formed from crystalline water ice during the cooling of the disk and incorporated in this form into the building blocks of comets, icy bodies, and giant planets. Methane clathrates may play an important role in the evolution of planetary atmospheres. On Earth, the production of methane in clathrates is essentially biological, and these compounds are mostly found in permafrost regions or in the sediments of continental shelves. On Mars, methane would more likely derive from hydrothermal reactions with olivine-rich material. If they do exist, martian methane clathrates would be stable only at depth in the cryosphere and sporadically release some methane into the atmosphere via mechanisms that remain to be determined. In the case of Titan, most of its methane probably originates from the protosolar nebula, where it would have been trapped in the clathrates agglomerated by the satellite's building blocks. Methane clathrates are still believed to play an important role in the present state of Titan. Their presence is invoked in the satellite's subsurface as a means of replenishing its atmosphere with methane via outgassing episodes. The internal oceans of Enceladus and Europa also provide appropriate thermodynamic conditions that allow formation of methane clathrates. In turn, these clathrates might influence the composition of these liquid reservoirs. Finally, comets and Kuiper Belt Objects might have formed from the agglomeration of clathrates and pure ices in the nebula. The methane observed in comets would then result from the destabilization of clathrate layers in the nuclei.
concurrent with their approach to perihelion. Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations show that
methane-rich clathrate layers may exist on Pluto as well. Key Words: Methane clathrate-Protosolar
nebula-Terrestrial planets-Outer Solar System.
1. Introduction

Methane is ubiquitous in the universe. Its presence has been inferred in the interstellar medium (Irvine, 1987), and it is widely observed in many extraterrestrial objects such as the brown dwarfs (Oppenheimer et al., 1995), the giant planets of our Solar System (Irwin 2003) and beyond (Visscher and Moses, 2011), the icy moons Titan (Owen et al., 1975) and Triton (Stansberry et al., 1996), the dwarf planet Pluto (Cruikshank et al., 1976), and a large sample of comets (Bockelée-Morvan et al., 2004). Small amounts of methane have also been observed via remote sensing in the martian atmosphere (Formisano et al., 2004; Krasnopolsky, 2012; Villanueva et al., 2013) and recently observed by in situ measurements onboard MSL Curiosity (Webster et al., 2015). On Earth, methane is the main compound of natural gas and the second most active greenhouse gas after carbon dioxide for which it is urgent to reduce emissions.

In the Solar System, methane exists in gaseous, liquid, and pure crystalline ice forms, as a result of the wide range of thermodynamic conditions prevailing in many small bodies and planets. There is another type of crystalline ice, usually called clathrate hydrate or clathrate, which can incorporate methane and may also be widespread in the Solar System (see Kargel and Lunine, 1998 for a review). Clathrates are a class of compounds in which water forms a structure with small cages that trap guests, such as methane, that stabilize the water lattice. The two most common clathrate structures found in natural environments are known as structures I and II, which differ in the type of water cages present in the crystal lattice (Sloan and Koh, 2008). Structure I possesses two types of cages, namely, a small pentagonal dodecahedral cage, denoted $5^{12}$ (12 pentagonal faces in the cage), and a large tetrakaidecahedral cage, denoted $5^{12}6^2$ (12 pentagonal faces and 2 hexagonal faces in the cage). Structure II also possesses two types of cages, a small $5^{12}$ cage and a large hexakaidecahedral cage, denoted $5^{12}6^4$ (12 pentagonal faces and 4 hexagonal faces in the cage). The type of structure that crystallizes depends largely on the size and shape of the guest molecule. For example, methane and carbon dioxide induce water to form structure I clathrate (see Fig. 1), whereas nitrogen induces formation of structure II clathrate (Sloan and Koh, 2008).

In this paper, we review the reservoirs of methane clathrates that may exist in the different bodies of the Solar System. Methane clathrates might play an important role in the evolution of
planetary atmospheres and climates. On Earth, the production of methane ultimately found in clathrates is essentially biological, and these compounds are mainly found in permafrost regions or in the sediments of continental shelves. Clathrates present in Mars and Titan’s subsurfaces are suspected to continuously or sporadically feed their atmospheres in methane. If this clathrate-release of methane did not occur, these atmospheres would have been totally devoid of methane for billions of years. Clathrates may also form in the internal oceans of Enceladus and Europa and influence their compositions. More generally, locations beyond the snowline in the protosolar nebula should be the appropriate place for the formation of clathrates and their agglomeration in the building blocks of icy bodies.

In Section 2, we depict the formation processes of methane in the interstellar medium. We also describe how this molecule was subsequently embedded in the gas phase of the protosolar nebula before having been ultimately trapped in clathrate form in the building blocks of icy bodies and giant planets during disk evolution. Section 3 is devoted to a discussion of the formation, storage, and destabilization conditions of methane clathrates on Earth and Mars, and their possible impact on climate evolution. In Section 4, we review the current scenarios of Titan’s formation and evolution, as they all support the idea that methane clathrates played, and continue to play, an important role in the shaping of the satellite’s current properties. We also address the cases of Enceladus and Europa’s internal oceans where clathrates might form. We finally discuss the roles of methane-rich clathrates in the formation and evolution of comets, Pluto/Triton, and Kuiper Belt Objects. Section 5 is devoted to conclusions.

2. The fate of methane from interstellar medium to the protosolar nebula

2.1 Methane formation in the interstellar medium

A rich chemistry of hydrocarbons is observed in the interstellar medium (Irvine, 1987; Herbst and Klemperer, 1973; Prasad and Huntress, 1980), and methane is one of the fundamental hydrocarbon molecules in this environment. The observation of gaseous methane, however, is not easy; the molecule lacks a permanent dipole moment and thus cannot be detected by radio observations of pure rotational spectral lines – a method through which many other interstellar
molecules have been identified. Alternatively, gaseous methane has been detected via absorption when viewing towards high mass young stellar objects (Lacy et al., 1991; Knez et al., 2009), with a CH₄ abundance of order 10⁻⁷⁻¹⁰⁻⁶ relative to H₂. Unfortunately, such observations are, so far, possible only towards very bright sources. In low-mass (Sun-like) star forming regions, Sakai et al. (2009) detected CH₃D. Assuming a typical molecular D/H ratio in low-mass star-forming regions, the gaseous CH₄ abundance is derived to be 10⁻⁷.

For several molecules in dark clouds, including CH₄ and H₂O, a pathway starting with successive hydrogenation of ions by H₂ and concluding with the dissociative recombination of the protonated form of the respective neutral has been invoked. In the case of methane, this sequence would start with the reaction of carbon atoms

\[
C + H₃^+ \rightarrow CH^+ + H₂. \quad (1)
\]

Successive hydrogenation then leads to the formation of CH₃⁺ ions:

\[
H₂ + CH^+ \rightarrow CH₂^+ + H, \quad (2)
\]

\[
H₂ + CH₂^+ \rightarrow CH₃^+ + H. \quad (3)
\]

The product of Reaction 3 (the CH₃⁺ ion) is relatively stable and cannot abstract hydrogen from H₂. Thus a radiative recombination process has to be invoked to form CH₅⁺ ions

\[
CH₃^+ + H₂ \rightarrow CH₅^+ + hν. \quad (4)
\]

The rate constant of this reaction is \(3.78 \times 10^{-16} \frac{(T/298)^{2.3}}{cm^3 s^{-1}} \exp(-21.5/T) \), which is \(1.1 \times 10^{-13} \frac{cm^3 s^{-1}}{10K} \) (Talbi and Bacchus-Montabonel, 1998; KIDA database¹).

CH₅⁺ can then undergo proton transfer to CO to form methane:

¹http://kida.obs.ubordeaux1.fr/
\[
\text{CH}_5^+ + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{HCO}^+.
\]

If CO is not abundant, dissociative recombination can function as the final step:

\[
\text{CH}_5^+ + e^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{H}.
\]

But reaction (6) is only a minor pathway in the dissociative recombination of \(\text{CH}_5^+\) (branching fraction 0.05) (Semaniak et al., 1998; Kaminska et al., 2010). In spite of the apparent inefficiency of reactions (4) and (6), time-dependent models of gas-phase chemistry in a molecular cloud can make \(\text{CH}_4\) as abundant as \(10^{-6}\) (relative to \(\text{H}_2\)) at a few \(10^5\) yr and \(10^{-8}\) at \(10^8\) yr (Millar et al., 1997; Woodall et al., 2007; Aikawa, 2013).

Sequential hydrogenation of carbon atom on cold grain surface is an alternative and probably more efficient mechanism to form methane:

\[
\text{C} + 4\text{H} \rightarrow \text{CH}_4
\]

Formation of methane by impinging H atoms on graphite has been experimentally proven to occur at very low temperatures \((T \geq 7 \text{ K})\) (Bar-Nun et al., 1980). Observation of methane ice via absorption when observing in the direction of high-mass young stellar objects indicates \(\text{CH}_4\) ice abundance is a few percent relative to \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) ice (Lacy et al., 1991; Boogert et al., 1996). Assuming a canonical abundance of \(\sim 10^{-4}\) for \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) ice, \(\text{CH}_4\) ice abundance is \(10^{-6}\) relative to \(\text{H}_2\). The Spitzer space telescope detected \(\text{CH}_4\) ice features in the direction of 25 out of 52 low-mass young stellar objects. The \(\text{CH}_4\) ice abundance from these observations ranged from 2 to 13 percent relative to \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) ice (Oberg et al., 2008). In gas-grain models of low-mass dense cores, \(\text{CH}_4\) ice can indeed be as abundant as a few to 10 percent relative to water ice (Aikawa et al., 2005). When a forming protostar heats the molecular cloud core, a fraction of \(\text{CH}_4\) ice is sublimated, which reacts with \(\text{C}^+\) to restart rich hydrocarbon chemistry in the gas phase (Sakai et al., 2008, 2009; Aikawa et al., 2008; 2012).
2.2 Methane incorporation in clathrates formed in the protosolar nebula

Enclathration is a mechanism that would favor the efficient trapping of CH₄ and other volatiles in planetesimals. Indeed, formation scenarios of the protosolar nebula invoke two main reservoirs of ices that took part in the production of icy planetesimals (see Fig. 2). The first reservoir, located within 30 AU of the Sun, contains ices (dominated by H₂O, CO, CO₂, CH₄, N₂, and NH₃) that originated from the interstellar medium, which, due to their near solar vicinity, were initially vaporized. Because the kinetics is inhibited, chemical models predict that the gas phase ratios CO/CH₄ and N₂/NH₃ in the protosolar nebula remained almost similar to those initially acquired in the interstellar medium (Lewis and Prinn, 1980; Prinn and Fegley, 1989). In these conditions, CO and N₂ remained the two main C- and N-bearing volatiles in the protosolar nebula gas phase, with CH₄ and NH₃ one order of magnitude less abundant (Mousis et al., 2002a). These results, which still remain valid, are at odds with previous studies predicting that CH₄ and NH₃ were the dominant species from equilibrium models of the protosolar nebula (Grossman, 1972; Lewis, 1972). With time, the decrease of temperature and pressure conditions allowed the water in this reservoir to condense at ~150 K in the form of microscopic crystalline ice (Kouchi et al., 1994) at typical pressures met in the midplane of the disk. This location corresponds to the position of the so-called snowline (or water iceline) in the protosolar nebula (Cyr et al., 1998; Lunine 2006). It is postulated that a substantial fraction of the volatile species were trapped as clathrates during this condensation phase as long as free water ice was available within 30 AU in the outer solar nebula (Mousis et al., 2000). On the other hand, the remaining volatiles that were not enclathrated (due to the lack of available water ice) probably formed pure condensates at lower temperatures in this part of the nebula (Mousis et al., 2012a,b). The formation locations of methane clathrates or pure condensates would also correspond to the positions of methane icelines in the protosolar nebula. The other reservoir, located at larger heliocentric distances, is composed of ices that originated from the interstellar medium and did not vaporize when entering into the disk. In this reservoir, water ice was essentially in the amorphous form, and the other volatiles remained trapped in the amorphous matrix (Owen et al., 1999; Notesco and Bar-Nun, 2005). Consequently, icy solids that formed at heliocentric distances less than 30 AU mainly agglomerated from a mixture of clathrates and pure condensates, whose ratio depends on the amount of available crystalline water and its clathration efficiency (Mousis et al., 2009b). In contrast, solids produced
at higher heliocentric distances (i.e., in the cold outer part of the solar nebula) were formed from primordial amorphous ice originating from the interstellar medium. Thus, clathrates may have been agglomerated in comets (Marboeuf et al., 2010, 2011, 2012), in the building blocks of the giant planets (Gautier et al., 2001; Alibert et al., 2005a,b; Mousis et al., 2009b, 2012a), and in their surrounding satellite systems (Lunine and Stevenson, 1985; Mousis, 2004; Mousis and Gautier, 2004; Mousis et al., 2009a). Even if the global picture regarding the possible existing ice forms in the protosolar nebula is well understood, one must note that there is an important deficiency of clathrate equilibrium data at pressures well below 1 bar (Sloan and Koh, 2008; Fray et al., 2010). Typical nebular pressures are in the $10^{-6}$-10$^{-9}$ bar range, and the partial pressures of concerned volatiles is at minimum 3 or 4 orders of magnitude lower. This implies the extrapolation of laboratory equilibrium data obtained typically in the mbar range down to very low pressure to derive the formation conditions of clathrates in the protosolar nebula.

2.2.1 The water abundance throughout the protosolar nebula

Before going further, it is necessary to discuss the plausible abundance profile of crystalline water, which might have existed during this condensation epoch throughout the nebula and is needed for clathrate formation. Interestingly, although it remains challenging to derive the spatial distribution of water ice abundance in the midplanes of protoplanetary disks from observations (Thi et al., 2002; Pontoppidan et al., 2005; Terada et al., 2007; Aikawa et al., 2012), gaseous water lines have been detected in their upper layers (Carr and Najita, 2011; Hogerheijde et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013).

At low pressure, water vapor condenses beyond the so-called “snowline,” which corresponds to the region where the temperature drops below 150 K. Inside the snowline, water is in vapor form, but it exists only as ice beyond the snowline. Several studies suggest that the abundance of water is not homogeneous throughout the protosolar nebula. Stevenson and Lunine (1988) proposed that the outward vapor diffusion induced by the presence of the snowline with its subsequent condensation in a narrow location (with a width around 0.5 AU) would deplete the region inside the snowline of vapor down to subsolar values, and increase substantially the ice abundance at the snowline location by factors 5 to 10 times the protosolar value (assuming that all O forms
H₂O in the protosolar nebula). More recently, Ali-Dib et al. (2014) calculated that this depletion would also take place with modern realistic replenishment rates (due to the inward drift and sublimation of the particles created from the condensation of gas at the snowline) and assuming that solids in the protosolar nebula are almost entirely in the form of decimetric pebbles, a size thought to be optimal for accretion timescales. In this model, the vapor diffusing from the inner nebula condenses at the snowline onto existing submillimetric dust that starts growing through coagulation and condensation. Once the pebble size is reached, the dust migrates inward and sublimates inside the snowline, and therefore replenishes the depleted vapor. Because the replenishment timescale is longer than diffusion timescale, this replenishment is not fast enough to counter the diffusion, which leads to a depleted inner nebula in ~10⁴ yr. On the other hand, the ice abundance beyond the enriched region should remain more or less in protosolar abundance with respect to H₂ gas because water ice is homogeneously distributed beyond the snowline, except in the neighborhood of planetary feeding zones. Figure 3 shows the water abundance profile throughout the protosolar nebula derived from the model of Ali-Dib et al. (2014). It shows that the inner nebula is almost completely depleted in water, but with a highly enriched snowline, and with an intact abundance beyond it (Ciesla and Cuzzi 2006).

### 2.2.2 Formation of methane-rich clathrates in the outer protosolar nebula

Clathrates form during the cooling of the outer protosolar nebula after ice crystallization and usually at higher temperatures than pure condensates (Mousis et al., 2009a,b; Mousis et al., 2010). The clathration process stops when no more crystalline water ice is available to trap the volatile species. Beyond this point only pure condensates form at a lower temperature if the disk continues to cool down. Solids formed under these conditions are then agglomerated from a mixture of pure ices, stoichiometric hydrates (such as NH₃-H₂O hydrate), and multiple guest clathrates that crystallized in the form of microscopic grains at various temperatures in the outer part of the disk. While the kinetics (i.e., formation rate) of clathrate formation is not yet well constrained in the low pressure range, the process of volatiles being trapped in icy grains may be theoretically described by using the equilibrium curves of pure condensates and of the stoichiometric hydrate NH₃-H₂O, a statistical-thermodynamic model that determines the equilibrium curves and compositions of multiple guest clathrates, and the thermodynamic paths detailing the evolution of temperature and pressure between 5 and 30 AU in the
protosolar nebula (Mousis et al., 2012b). As mentioned above, the abundance of water is crucial for enabling clathrate formation in the protosolar nebula and for determining the nature of entrapped volatiles.

Figure 4 represents the composition of icy planetesimals as a function of their formation temperature in the outer protosolar nebula, which is computed for two different cases of water abundances. In the first case, the amount of water is derived from a protosolar oxygen abundance. The resulting water abundance is not sufficient to allow for the clathration of all volatiles present in the gas phase of the protosolar nebula, which usually occurs in the ~45-80 K temperature range at nebular pressure conditions. Therefore, the protosolar nebula has to cool down to extremely low temperatures (~20-25 K) to permit the condensation of essentially all N\textsubscript{2} and a significant fraction of CO in the form of pure ices. In the second case, the abundance of water is set high enough to allow for the trapping of all species in multiple guest clathrates, a mechanism that could have been effective in Jupiter’s feeding zone (see previous Section). Here, all volatiles are captured in solids in the ~45-80 K range, including CO and N\textsubscript{2}. Interestingly, in both situations, CH\textsubscript{4} is entrapped in clathrates at ~54 K, irrespective of the considered water abundance. Since the water abundance profile is not depleted beyond the snowline (see Fig. 3), methane should always be trapped in clathrate structures and never form a pure condensate at lower temperature and pressure conditions in the protosolar nebula. Consequently, methane has probably been agglomerated in clathrate form in the building blocks of comets, giant planets, and their surrounding icy satellites (Mousis et al., 2009a,b, 2012a).

3. Methane clathrates in terrestrial planets

3.1. Methane clathrates on Earth

In general, the formation of the common clathrates on Earth needs four ingredients: high pressure, low temperature, an abundance of easily degradable organic matter, and plenty of water. An additional requirement is that the fluid phase needs to be saturated with respect to methane. If the ambient temperature is low, as it is in the Arctic and Antarctic, a pressure close to surface conditions may be sufficient to stabilize the clathrate. This enables the thermodynamic stability of methane clathrates in terrestrial permafrost regions. The production of methane that leads to
formation of such clathrates is dominantly biological and depends primarily on fermentation of organic material and anaerobic oxidation of organic material or molecular hydrogen with carbon dioxide as the terminal electron acceptor, in which the carbon is reduced to form methane. In these biological processes – the anaerobic oxidation in particular – the microorganisms have a pronounced preference for the light carbon isotope $^{12}\text{C}$. The methane that is formed may, therefore, get extremely light isotopic signatures down to $\delta^{13}\text{C}= -70\text{‰}$. Because of the requirement of organic material or hydrogen the prevailing regions of oceanic methane clathrates on Earth occur in the sediments on the continental shelves at some depth beneath the sea surface in high-productivity oceanic upwelling areas (see Fig. 5). The specific depth depends on the ambient temperature. Methane clathrates, once formed, are thermodynamically stable also in sediments of the abyssal plains of the deep-sea. No clathrates are, however, formed there because of the extremely low production rate of organic matter in the abyssal ocean.

We normally think of clathrates as being mostly methane gas with some additional water. This is partly true: destabilization of 1 m$^3$ of methane clathrate at standard temperature and pressure yields 164 m$^3$ of gas and 0.8 m$^3$ of water (Kvenvolden, 1998). However, if we think of it the other way around, out of 1 m$^3$ of solid clathrate 0.8 m$^3$ is water, while only 0.2 m$^3$ is methane that has been locked in the structure of this solid compound. Thus, the requirement of water during the formation of methane clathrate is immense. The availability of water may be a problem in finely grained and tight clayey sediment. What often happens is that once a seed of clathrate forms, it very slowly expands and pushes away the sediment. If several such seeds grow large enough they may merge and form a continuous horizon of clathrates within the sediment.

The global inventory of methane clathrate in marine sediments is not precisely known. It has been estimated from models representing the seafloor area according to depth, temperature, and $\text{O}_2$ concentration, and making assumptions on the sedimentation rate of organic carbon as a function of water depth, and its burial rate in sediments. In this way, steady state values of methane in its different states—dissolved in sea water, frozen in seafloor clathrates, gaseous in methane bubbles—may be calculated. The resulting calculation indicates ~3000 Gt of carbon in clathrates and ~2000 Gt of carbon in bubbles (Buffet and Archer, 2004). Higher values of the
frozen methane inventory have been reported in the literature, up to 20,000 Gt of carbon (see e.g. Higgins and Schrag, 2006, and references therein). This range may be compared to the entire world’s conventional oil and gas inventory of ~5,000 Gt of carbon making sea floor methane a possible resource for the future.

An increase of temperature can destabilize methane clathrates and release methane. The free gas that is released and accumulated underneath marine clathrates may break through the solid layer because of earthquakes and other physical disturbances. Such events may cause huge submarine landslides that would release even more CH₄ to the ocean water and to the atmosphere (see Fig. 5). This methane may have climatic effects as a greenhouse gas, while the landslides may cause major tsunamis with severe damage of coastal areas around the world’s oceans. For example, the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum, which occurred ~55 Ma, has been attributed to a sudden release of greenhouse gases (carbon dioxide and/or methane) to the ocean/atmosphere reservoir (see e.g. Dickens et al., 1995). During more than 10,000 years, Earth’s surface temperature increased by 5-10°C, and bottom water temperature by more than 4°C. A number of isotope records, based on data of primary carbonates and organic matter, show a dramatic drop in the ratio of ¹³C to ¹²C, with a δ¹³C value of 2-to-3‰, in the global ocean/atmosphere inorganic carbon reservoir at this epoch. This shift would require the addition of at least 30,000 Gt of mantle CO₂ with a δ¹³C value of -7‰. Such a high value, similar to the present CO₂ ocean/atmosphere reservoir, is highly implausible. Due to the small δ¹³C value in methane clathrates of -60‰, Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum alternatively may have been rather triggered by the so-called clathrate gun hypothesis (Kenneth et al., 2000, 2003; Renssen et al., 2004), which corresponds to an episode of release of a few 1,000 Gt of carbon originating in methane clathrates. The relatively low values of ~1,000 to 2,000 Gt of carbon first calculated by Dickens et al. (1995) have been revised upward in some later works (e.g., ~3,000 to 6,000 Gt of carbon, Sexton et al., 2011). Today’s global inventory of methane in seafloor clathrates should have been one half that of the Paleocene because of a warmer climate and therefore does not exceed 2000 Gt of carbon (Buffet and Archer, 2004). If so, the global inventory of carbon in methane clathrates at the Paleocene seems too low to explain the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum. Today, the clathrate gun hypothesis is no longer viewed credibly in its most extreme form (Sowers, 2006; Severinghaus et al., 2006), but this
mechanism is recognized as a likely contributor to the immense climate warming of the Earth’s natural past. Additionally, the triggering mechanism of physical clathrate destabilization is not identified. Alternatively, an episode of submarine volcanism may have resulted in the intrusion of huge mantle-derived melts in carbon-rich sedimentary layers in the northeast Atlantic, with explosive release of methane to the ocean/atmosphere reservoir (Svensen et al., 2004).

Although clathrate destabilization is not firmly established as the cause of the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum, this example shows the potentially important role of methane clathrates in climate evolution, as could be the case on Mars (see 3.2 Section below). On present Earth, the presence of large amounts of clathrates is primarily a threat with respect to terrestrial and shallow marine clathrates in permafrost. Deep marine clathrates are much less sensitive to changes in temperature because of the high hydrostatic pressure (Kvelvolden, 1998). Most existing deep marine clathrates on Earth are not in the vicinity of the border of the pressure-temperature stability field for clathrates. A sea-level rise, for instance, caused by global warming and melting of grounded polar ice caps would increase the stability of the clathrates. A potential sea-level regression, on the other hand, would primarily destabilize the clathrate at its base because of interaction with the geothermal gradient, making the layer of clathrate thinner. Interestingly, it should be noted that the industrial exploitation of methane clathrates in sea floors should be less harmful for the environment than shale gas. Indeed, accidental leakage of shale gas alone is a significant part of recent anthropogenic warming. Because of that, the footprint for shale gas is greater than that for conventional gas or oil on any time horizon. Compared to coal, the footprint of shale gas will likely be more than twice as great over the course of the next twenty years (Howarth et al., 2011).

3.2. Methane clathrates on Mars

Mars is a cold planet. The seasonally averaged temperature at the surface is ~220 K and the average atmospheric pressure is ~7 mbar. The composition of the atmosphere is dominated by CO₂ (96%), N₂ (2%), Ar (2%) with traces of other compounds: O₂, CO, H₂O, H₂, O₃, and CH₄ (at low level: a few ppb to a few tens ppb) (Owen et al., 1977; Mumma et al., 2009; Mahaffy et al., 2013). The martian climate is very contrasted. Its surface pressure covers the 3-10 mbar range,
depending on seasonal conditions and altitude, and its surface temperature may reach values as low as 140 K in winter on the south polar cap. A water ice cryosphere extends typically to 10 km in depth (in the ~0 to 20 km range), depending on latitude and crust physical properties, below the surface (Lasue et al., 2013, and references therein). This cryosphere is potentially rich in clathrates of various gases, either originating from the atmosphere or produced at depth by magmatic/hydrothermal processes and migrating up to deep cryospheric layers. The discovery of CH₄ in the atmosphere of Mars by the PFS instrument on the Mars-Express orbiter (Formisano et al., 2004) has drawn attention to the possible role of clathrates as a large scale cryospheric reservoir of this gas, which could have been produced in large amounts in the past and remained trapped, at least partially, in the crust or inside polar caps until recent epochs. Such reservoirs present in the close subsurface would allow the sporadic release of CH₄ into the atmosphere through dissociation or ablation (Kargel, 2004; Prieto-Ballesteros et al., 2006; Chastain and Chevrier, 2007; Mousis et al., 2013a), explaining its presence in the atmosphere. Methane clathrate has also been considered to be a likely source of thermal insulation in parts of the crust, and it has been proposed that such insulators could drive further geologic activity (Kargel et al., 2007). Earlier, Ross and Kargel (1998) reviewed the thermal conductivity of clathrate hydrates and proposed some applications to the possible thermal state of martian polar caps. In addition, Kargel and Lunine (1998) reviewed what was then known of clathrates in the Solar System (including methane clathrates) and considered the possible stability and occurrence of clathrates on Mars, although the focus was on CO₂ clathrate in that work. Finally, Max and Clifford (2000), Kargel et al. (2000), Pellenbarg et al. (2003), and Kargel (2004) discussed some very wide-ranging geological and biological roles of methane clathrates on Mars, if they occur.

3.2.1 Observation of CH₄ in the Martian atmosphere

CH₄ has been detected in Mars’ atmosphere at the 10-60 ppb level by several instruments from space and ground-based observatories at the end of the nineties and during the following decade, spanning ~6 Martian years (MY24-MY29) (Krasnopolsky et al., 2004; Formisano et al., 2004; Mumma et al., 2009; Fonti and Marzo, 2010). Recent published CH₄ observations (Krasnopolsky, 2012; Villanueva et al., 2013) suggest a small CH₄ mixing ratio of ~10 ppb, and in some cases no or little CH₄ with an upper limit of ~7 ppb in 2009-2010, during Mars’ norther
spring. More recent MSL Curiosity in situ measurements are showing variations in the methane detection at Gale Crater over the 8 km traverse to Mount Sharp during the two terrestrial years of the nominal MSL mission. While the background level of methane remains around 0.69 ± 0.25 ppb, an elevated level of methane of 7.2 ± 2.1 ppb was detected during approximately 2 months (Webster et al. 2015), comparable to typical levels observed by remote sensing at the end of the last decade. All measurements suggest that CH₄ is highly variable both in space and time. Based on the existing photochemical scheme of atmospheric CH₄ oxidation, such a variability would require a photochemical lifetime of less than 1 Martian year (Lefèvre and Forget, 2009), much shorter than the conventional lifetime of 300 yr based on existing photochemical models. Such a short lifetime has been considered by some as implausible. Therefore, it has been alternatively argued that Mars’ CH₄ lines observed from Earth might be strongly affected by competing telluric CH₄ lines, bringing into question the reality of the detection from ground-based observatories (Zahnle et al., 2011). Recent MSL in situ measurements confirm both presence of methane and typical mixing ratios of ≈10 ppb during active periods, together with abrupt time and space variations. To explain a short CH₄ lifetime, it has been suggested that CH₄ molecules in contact with the regolith could be adsorbed at the surface of ferric mineral grains whose superficial layers are permanently oxidized by atmospheric H₂O₂ and other oxidants, making surface oxidation a possible sink for CH₄ (Chassefière and Leblanc, 2011a). Further experimental work is required to assess the plausibility of this hypothesis. Note that direct oxidation of CH₄ by H₂O₂ at the surface of grains has been proved to be inefficient at low Mars temperatures (Gough et al., 2011).

### 3.2.2. Possible origins for CH₄ on Mars

The origin of CH₄ is still largely unknown. An external meteoritic source, as well as a direct magmatic origin, do not seem capable of explaining the observed amount of CH₄ in the atmosphere (Atreya et al., 2007). CH₄ may rather be produced by deep geochemical processes such as hydrothermal alteration of basaltic crust (Lyons et al., 2005) or by serpentinization of olivine-rich material producing H₂ and reducing crustal carbon into CH₄ through the reaction of dissolved CO₂ with H₂. This process has been observed in hydrothermal systems at mid-ocean ridges (Oze and Sharma, 2005). Possibly, if life developed at depth on Mars, some of the released CH₄ could be biogenic, as observed on mid-ocean ridges and in geothermal systems on Earth (Emmanuel and Ague, 2007). By studying terrestrial ophiolitic rocks, which are able to generate large amounts of CH₄ during serpentinization, it has been recently suggested that
serpentinized rocks on Mars could produce significant amounts of CH$_4$ at relatively low temperatures (<50°C) (Etiophe et al., 2013). Such a mechanism could explain the production of CH$_4$ on Mars in the ancient past or in the present (see Holm et al., 2014 for details).
It is difficult to estimate the amount of CH₄ that could have been formed via this process during Mars’ history. The amount of water involved in serpentinization during Mars’ history has been modeled and estimated to be potentially large, a several hundred meters thick water Global Equivalent Layer (Chassefière and Leblanc, 2011b) based on the constraint provided by the present atmospheric D/H ratio (~5 SMOW, Owen et al., 1988; Webster et al., 2013), which is directly related to the accumulated amount of hydrogen released in the serpentinization process to the atmosphere, then lost to space,. Because serpentinization results in the formation of ferric iron, the magnetic field measured by MGS in the Southern hemisphere (Langlais et al., 2004) may also be used as a constraint. The removal of a ~500 m (300-1000 m) thick water global equivalent layer by serpentinization during Mars’ history fits both present atmospheric D/H and southern crust magnetization (Chassefière et al., 2013b). The corresponding accumulated amount of CH₄ released by serpentinization could be up to 3.6 x 10²¹ g (equivalent to a ~0.4 bar CH₄ partial pressure) if a 1000 m thick global equivalent layer has been consumed and all the produced hydrogen is in the form of CH₄. Assuming a 300 m thick global equivalent layer, and a CH₄ molar fraction (CH₄/(H₂+CH₄)) of 0.1, the accumulated mass of the produced CH₄ is 3.6 x 10²⁰ g (~0.04 bar CH₄ partial pressure assuming a global pure-CH₄ uniform atmosphere).

These values, although they must be considered as an upper limit, are quite significant. Because large amounts of CH₄ could have been produced in subsurface hydrothermal systems during Mars history, the fate of this CH₄ is important. Has it been released directly to the atmosphere, with subsequent loss of hydrogen to space, or temporarily stored in the cryosphere inside clathrates? If stored in the cryosphere, what are the stability zones of CH₄ clathrates in the subsurface, and how long has CH₄ has been stored after being produced? What are the mechanisms of CH₄ release into the atmosphere? Although all these questions are still poorly answered, some preliminary elements of answers may be provided.

3.2.3 Stability of methane clathrates and transport/storage/release processes

The phase diagram of pure CH₄ clathrates is shown in Fig. 6. At the mean atmospheric conditions that prevail at the surface of Mars (T ~220 K, P~7 mbar), pure CH₄ clathrates are not stable and cannot form by condensation of atmospheric CH₄. The same is true for the main
atmospheric constituent, CO₂, and all minor ones (N₂, Ar, CO, O₂, etc.). During winter, on the south permanent CO₂ ice polar cap, the temperature can be as low as 140 K. Even at such a low temperature, the equilibrium pressure is larger than 10 mbar (Fig. 6), and pure CH₄ clathrates are not stable. Because CO₂-dominated clathrates are stable below 150 K, CH₄ can nevertheless condense together with CO₂ into CO₂-CH₄ multiple guest clathrates in winter on the south polar cap, with relative abundance of CH₄ in the clathrate one third to one fourth of its value in the atmosphere (Thomas et al., 2009; Herri and Chassefière, 2012).

In addition, Fig. 6 shows that CH₄ clathrates are stable in the martian crust, from a few meters below the surface down to a ~10 km depth, in agreement with the conclusions found by Kargel (2004) and Prieto-Ballesteros et al. (2006). If, at some point early in its evolution, the atmosphere of Mars had been rich in CH₄ (see e.g. Kasting, 1997), and the background pressure has been of the order of several hundred millibar or more of CO₂, large amounts of CH₄ may have been trapped in shallow subsurface clathrates (Prieto-Ballesteros et al., 2006; Thomas et al., 2009). If CH₄ has been formed at depth in hydrothermal systems, either hydrothermally or biologically, it has been transported upward by convecting hydrothermal fluid or in gas phase, depending on the nature of the transition between the cryosphere and deep aquifers, via either a direct contact or an unsaturated zone where gas may freely circulate. Two possible paths of an ascending parcel of a CH₄-rich fluid are represented in the (P, T) plane on Fig. 6. At great depth, CH₄ is dissolved in the fluid. Then bubbles form in the ascending fluid due to pressure decrease. When reaching their stability boundaries, at 10 km and 3.5 km depths in the two considered cases, clathrates form at the interface between the gas inside the bubbles and ambient water under the form of a thin film surrounding the bubble, as observed in oceanic CH₄ plumes forming above deep-sea CH₄ sources (Sauter et al., 2006). When crossing the H₂O solid/liquid boundary, at 7 km and 2.7 km depths in the two considered cases, clathrates solidify and fill the pore space available in the preexisting water ice, so there would not be anymore convection (Elwood Madden et al., 2009). Clathrates can further expand upward over time through diffusion. The removal timescale by diffusion of clathrates from a 10 km deep cryosphere is very poorly known, and could be anywhere from 2 × 10⁴ to 10¹² yr (Mousis et al., 2013a). CH₄ can therefore be stored in subsurface clathrates for a long time before being further released to the atmosphere.
The storage capacity of a CH₄-saturated cryosphere on early Mars is quite large. According to recent calculations by Lasue et al. (2014) from a physical model of Mars’ cryosphere, the global storage capacity of the Noachian cryosphere is $1 \times 10^{20}$ to $6 \times 10^{20}$ moles of CH₄ assuming a CH₄-clathrate saturated cryosphere. By restricting this capacity to the fraction of southern terrains where a significant remanent magnetic field is observed ($<3.6 \times 10^{20}$ g, see above and Chassefière et al., 2013b), the methane trapping capacity of the cryosphere is $2 \times 10^{19}$ to $1.5 \times 10^{20}$ g. A quantity of serpentinization-derived methane of $2 \times 10^{19}$ g (or 20,000 Gt) or more, corresponding to an amount of water stored in serpentine of only a few tens of meters deep global equivalent laye of water, could have been stored in the cryosphere of the cold early Mars. Any sudden destabilization of the early Mars cryosphere, for example, due to impacts or obliquity variations, could have released to the atmosphere large amounts of CH₄, resulting in a global heating of the planet through greenhouse effects (Kasting, 1997). According to calculations by Lasue et al. (2014), CH₄ emission fluxes could have been comparable to, or larger than, those at the origin of the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum which occurred on Earth ~55 Ma (See Section 3.1).

Mechanisms of CH₄ release from the upper cryosphere into the atmosphere are poorly understood. The space and time variability of CH₄ concentration in the atmosphere is puzzling, as already mentioned. Some CH₄ plumes have been observed in equatorial regions, where no water ice is observed at the surface. At high latitudes, where water ice is present close to the surface, no release of CH₄ has been observed except over the north polar cap during northern summer (Geminale et al., 2011). If CH₄ is mainly released from equatorial regions, where ice is below the depth of a few meters and is unaffected by seasonal temperature changes, the release flux is not controlled by seasonal cycles, which seems to contradict the fact that a seasonal trend is observed, with more CH₄ during northern summer and lower levels during northern winter (see Fig. 2 in Mousis et al., 2013a). The space and time variability of the CH₄ release rate, which seems to be required to explain the observed atmospheric CH₄ variations, is difficult to explain. The geothermal gradient, which controls the stability of clathrates, is not expected to vary over short time scales. Sporadic release could be due to seismic or tectonic activity, and geographical variability to possible heterogeneities of the superficial cryosphere and its content in CH₄ clathrates. But these mechanisms cannot explain the observed global seasonal pattern. A possible obstruction by seasonally variable glacial deposits of the porous regolith, preventing CH₄ from
being released to the atmosphere, doesn’t seem compatible with identified source regions (equatorial) and the observed seasonal variation pattern. The possible condensation of CH₄ in CO₂-CH₄ clathrates on the south polar cap during winter (Herri and Chassefière, 2012) and the adsorption of CH₄ in the regolith (Meslin et al., 2011) are not efficient enough to generate significant seasonal variations in the atmosphere.

It has been proposed that, due to the metastability of clathrates (named “anomalous preservation,” (see e.g., Stern et al., 2001), CH₄ could be released, at least partially, to the atmosphere under the form of small metastable submicron particles, which are lifted up to the middle atmosphere by convection, then transported by winds during weeks or months, before progressively decomposing to gas phase under the effect of cloud processes (Chassefière, 2009). If so, CH₄ plumes would form inside the atmosphere far from the release location at the surface in a sporadic way depending on cloud activity, and thus would be related to the water content of the atmosphere. To our knowledge, this hypothesis is the only one that provides a self-consistent frame for explaining the observed apparent seasonal variability, but it would require extensive laboratory experiments to be validated.

3.2.4 Conclusions

Other clathrates apart from CH₄ clathrates could have played an important role in driving Mars atmospheric composition and climate. The late deposition of sulfate minerals (Bibring et al., 2006) has been suggested to be due to the sequestration of volcanic SO₂ in the Noachian upper cryosphere under the form of planetary-scale CO₂-SO₂ clathrate reservoirs (Chassefière et al., 2013a). The two orders of magnitude drop between the measured atmospheric abundances of non radiogenic argon, krypton, and xenon in Earth versus Mars could be explained by the early sequestration in the martian cryosphere of these noble gases under the form of clathrates (Mousis et al., 2012c).

No direct observation of clathrates has been made on Mars to date. Observations planned for the Trace Gas Orbiter (TGO) of the Exomars mission, starting in 2016, should bring a definitive answer to the question of the reality of CH₄ in Mars’ atmosphere, and if CH₄ is present should considerably improve our understanding of CH₄ variability and cycles. If metastable particles of clathrates are present in Mars’ atmosphere, they could be observed by a combination of IR and
UV spectroscopy from orbit, but such detection is quite challenging due to the small size of the particles and the required high spectral resolution and signal-to-noise ratio. Clathrates may be spectroscopically searched for on the icy surface of the polar caps, where CO2-minor species multiple guest clathrates may form during winter. Subsurface clathrates can be directly reached only from landers by drilling and compositional analysis. Laboratory experiments are also needed to simulate the thermodynamic and kinetic behaviors of clathrates in martian conditions (Mousis et al., 2013a).

4. Methane-rich clathrates in solid bodies of the outer Solar System

As discussed in Section 2, methane is believed to have been encaged in multiple guest clathrates during the cooling of the outer part of the protosolar nebula within the inner ~30 AU. Multiple guest clathrates would have then been agglomerated with crystalline ices and taken part in the composition of the building blocks of the outer icy satellites, including Titan and Enceladus, as well as of comets or Kuiper Belt Objects.

4.1 Titan

Titan’s methane probably originates from the protosolar nebula (Mousis et al., 2002b; Mousis et al., 2009a). It has been proposed that, similarly to Mars, methane was synthesized via serpentinization reactions within the interior of the satellite (Atreya et al., 2006), but this mechanism is invalidated by the atmospheric measurement of the methane D/H ratio (Mousis et al., 2009c). Because Enceladus and Titan were a priori formed from the same building blocks in Saturn’s subnebula, the D/H ratio in Titan’s water should be similar to the value measured in Enceladus. Assuming that methane was synthesized in Titan’s interior, then its D/H ratio would have been very close to the D/H value of water measured in Enceladus, which is in fact about twice larger (Mousis et al., 2009c; Waite et al., 2009). This comparison rules out the mechanism of serpentinization as the main source of atmospheric methane.

The lack of detection of Xe and Kr in Titan's atmosphere motivated Mousis et al. (2009a) to propose a formation model of the satellite in which noble gases are trapped in clathrates formed in the protosolar nebula. In this model, temperature and pressure conditions led to the sublimation of Ar in the Saturn’s subnebula, while Xe and Kr remained trapped in the methane-dominated
clathrates incorporated in Titan’s building blocks. This scenario relies on calculations using a statistical thermodynamic model describing the composition of clathrates formed in the protosolar nebula, which is similar to the one used to compute the planetesimals composition in Fig. 4, and adopting conditions in which argon and carbon monoxide are selectively devolatilized while methane, ammonia, krypton, and xenon are retained. Note that, to explain the Kr and Xe deficiencies in Titan's atmosphere, Mousis et al. (2009a) proposed that, instead of being trapped in methane-dominated clathrate, Kr and Xe could have been sequestrated by H₃⁺ in the form of Kr-H₃⁺ and Xe-H₃⁺ complexes in the protosolar nebula gas phase. This hypothesis may be supported by a recent study by Pauzat et al. (2013) showing that the H₃⁺ abundance is found sufficiently high in the outer part of the protosolar nebula to allow for the efficient formation of Kr-H₃⁺ and Xe-H₃⁺ complexes at temperatures higher than those required for their trapping in clathrates (> 50 K). Another explanation for the depletion of Kr and Xe in Titan's atmosphere was proposed by Thomas et al. (2007, 2008) and Mousis et al. (2011) who suggested that large amounts of Kr and Xe were initially available in Titan's atmosphere, and have been subsequently trapped in multiple guest clathrates dominated by nitrogen and methane and formed from the crystalline water ice available on the surface.

Methane clathrates are thought to play an important role in the present state of Titan's atmosphere. It has been proposed that, if Titan has a 100-km-thick near-surface layer of high-strength, low-thermal conductivity methane hydrate as previously suggested in the literature, then its interior is likely to be considerably warmer than previously expected (Durham et al., 2003). Also, because photochemistry in the atmosphere of Titan should eliminate methane in a few tens of millions of years (Mandt et al., 2009), a source of atmospheric methane is required. Observations from the Cassini-Huygens mission have ruled out large liquid bodies on the surface as a source due to their measured finite extent (Mastrogiuseppe et al., 2014). Tobie et al. (2006) instead proposed a model where atmospheric methane is released from clathrates. Laboratory experiments have shown that methane clathrate would form easily under Titan's proto-core conditions, and would ascend to the top of the outer liquid layer. Tobie et al. (2006) showed that, by taking into account the coupling of Titan's orbit with its interior as well as the properties of methane clathrates, it appears that three episodes of outgassing have occurred, releasing methane into Titan's atmosphere. The last episode is supposed to have occurred after 3.5 Gyr and is enough to account for the present-day methane abundance in Titan's atmosphere.
In addition to atmospheric resupply, the dissociation of methane clathrate on Titan has also been suggested to drive explosive cryovolcanism (Lopes et al., 2013).

Another potential source of clathration on Titan involves ethane. As a result of the production of this alkane in Titan's atmosphere over the Solar System's lifetime, it was expected that Cassini-Huygens would find a ~155m deep global ethane ocean on its surface, which has not been observed. Even scenarios including periodic outgassing of methane (Tobie et al., 2006) and thus lower ethane production would still involve a 20m-deep global ocean. The lakes detected at high latitudes during Cassini RADAR flybys (Stofan et al., 2007; Lorenz et al., 2008) cannot store more than 20% of the produced ethane. Mousis and Schmitt (2008) proposed an explanation to this ethane deficiency. The different mechanisms of cryovolcanism envisioned in the case of Titan (Mitri et al., 2006; Tobie et al., 2006) produce a very porous material at the surface. Thus, liquid hydrocarbons are able to percolate through this layer and form a reservoir, which explains the lack of ethane at the surface. At sufficient depth, multiple guest clathrates including methane, ethane, and nitrogen can be formed. The formation of these clathrates would eventually reduce the ice porosity, isolating the hydrocarbons liquid reservoir under a depth depending on conditions. Subsequent cryovolcanic events may destabilize clathrates and break this isolation.

The presence of a layer of methane clathrates and the percolation of ethane can also be used to explain the fact that Titan is flatter than expected (polar radius 270m shorter than predicted by the flattening due to spin rate). Choukroun and Sotin (2012) proposed that percolating ethane might substitute for methane in the large cages of clathrates, as shown by laboratory experiments, and would then induce an increase of their density. Global circulation models predict that ethane produced by photolysis precipitates mainly at high latitudes (Rannou et al., 2006; Griffith et al., 2006; Mitchell et al., 2009), which implies that the substitution would happen at the poles and cause flattening. Choukroun and Sotin (2012) showed that the observed 270m flattening is compatible with the accepted ethane production rate over reasonable timescales. Another effect of this mechanism would be the release of methane, contributing to its presence in the atmosphere. Recently, Mousis et al. (2014) also considered the effects of interaction between a hydrocarbon liquid reservoir (i.e., alkanofer) and a clathrate layer (see Fig. 7). Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations show that propane and ethane compete for the same cages and that the eventual composition of the reservoir is a function of the clathrate structure that is
considered. When considering a structure I clathrate forming in coexistence with the lake, the result is a liquid solution dominated by ethane if less than 0.9 mole fraction of the reservoir is trapped. Above a 0.9 mole fraction, however, propane becomes dominant. With structure II clathrate, ethane becomes the dominant constituent in the liquid phase.

4.2 Enceladus

Methane has been detected in the plumes emitted by Enceladus (Waite et al., 2009, 2014). Mousis et al. (2009d) proposed a scenario for the formation of Enceladus similar to that of the aforementioned Titan, which would trace back the origin of its methane reservoir to the same type of building blocks. Due to the position of Enceladus (nearer to Saturn), the phenomenon of devolatilization is expected to be even more pronounced than in Titan's case. However, there is no data available yet as to the abundance of Kr and Xe in Enceladus. The methane of Enceladus could alternatively be produced by hydrothermal activity such as serpentinization reactions at the interface between the rocky core and the putative internal ocean of Enceladus (see Holm et al., 2014 for details). A measurement of the D/H ratio in CH₄, which is not possible at present, would explain its origin. Mousis et al. (2009d) determined that the D/H ratio would range between $4.7 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ in methane if it originates from the solar nebula, by taking as a reference the methane of Titan, which is primordial (Mousis et al., 2009d), whereas methane produced by serpentinization reactions would have a D/H ratio between $2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ and $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$, assuming a reaction between peridotite and deuterium-enriched residual water. The methane of Enceladus being primordial (from protosolar clathrates) or a product of hydrothermal activity would help to clarify our understanding of the subnebula’s thermodynamic conditions at the time of formation of the satellite’s building blocks.

Bouquet et al. (2015) investigated the possibility of clathrate production in current day Enceladus. In the plausible conditions of the putative internal ocean of Enceladus, a liquid mixture that corresponds to the composition of the plumes would produce multiple guest clathrates including methane below a 20 km depth, modifying the composition of the ocean, as shown in Fig. 8. Preliminary results show that the ultimate fate of those clathrates depends on multiple factors. For example, the low density of structure II clathrates implies that these clathrates would ascend to the top of the ocean. Depending on how thick the ice layer above
the ocean is, these ices could produce a clathrate layer or dissociate and release their volatiles into the ocean. Structure I clathrates have higher density, close to that of salt water, and thus their buoyancy depends on the exact composition (and thus density) of the surrounding ocean. Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations show that methane in the ocean would be depleted by its encaging in clathrates. Therefore, studying the ultimate fate of clathrates is necessary to determine whether the abundance of methane in the plumes is due to the addition of methane by other sources (e.g., serpentinization).

4.3 Europa

The conditions on Europa are also favorable to clathrate formation (Kargel et al., 2000; Prieto-Ballesteros et al., 2005; Zolotov and Kargel, 2009). Hand et al. (2006) studied the possibility of the radiolytically produced oxidants being trapped in clathrates. Their conclusion is that oxidants such as CO₂ and SO₂ generate a 1-10m layer of clathrates, and that the double occupancy of clathrates cages by molecular oxygen could explain the observations of solid-state oxygen at the surface (Spencer and Calvin, 2002), as these clathrates would stay stable at the surface. Such conclusions may apply to Ganymede as well.

The presence of an internal ocean on Europa raises the question of the formation of clathrates within the ocean as well the influence of the volatiles trapping on the composition of the ocean. As gravity on Europa is higher than on Enceladus, pressure conditions for clathrate formation is easily met in a cold liquid ocean. The high propensity of methane to form clathrates in the conditions of Enceladus (Bouquet et al., 2015) allows us to argue that it would be trapped in clathrates in Europa's ocean as well. Depending on the exact composition of the clathrates (as they can be expected to be multi-guest), the type of clathrate structure formed, and the density of the ocean, it is possible that these clathrates would deposit at the bottom of the ocean or float and reach the bottom of the ice layer, similar to the case of Enceladus.

4.4 Comets and Kuiper-Belt Objects

Given their high heliocentric distances, Kuiper-Belt Objects (hereafter KBOs) have physical properties consistent with the presence of clathrates, even if ground-based observations performed so far do not allow us to determine the presence of amorphous ice versus crystalline
ice on their surfaces, due to poor signal-to-noise ratios. Deep water ice absorption bands have been detected on most of large KBOs (absolute magnitude brighter than 3), while small KBOs (absolute magnitude fainter than 5) present only small absorption bands. For intermediate objects, there is only one case (2003 AZ84) for which a deep water absorption band has been detected (Barucci et al., 2011; Brown et al., 2012). The water ice absorption bands detected on KBOs can be fitted either with amorphous or crystalline water ice, depending on the target (Barucci et al., 2011). Large amounts of methane and other volatiles have been detected at the surface of some large KBOs, including Pluto and Eris (Lellouch et al., 2011; Barucci et al., 2011). Meanwhile, thermodynamic equilibrium calculations show that clathrate formation was possible on Pluto during its cooling phase after accretion. Formation of clathrates in equilibrium with the cooling atmosphere could have permitted the efficient trapping of the atmospheric noble gases Ar, Kr, and Xe in these crystalline structures (Mousis et al., 2013b). Because the propensity to trap CH$_4$ in clathrates is similar to that of Xe (Sloan and Koh, 2008), these clathrates should also incorporate some substantial fractions of CH$_4$ if they do exist on Pluto’s surface or in its shallow subsurface. Given the similarities between the properties of the two bodies, the conclusions expressed for Pluto are also valid for Neptune’s satellite Triton. For example, it has been proposed that clathrates could be a possible source for Triton’s plumes (Croft et al. 1995).

In the case of comets, which are thought to be formed in the same outer regions of the protosolar nebula as KBOs, the presence of water ice remains difficult to detect from ground-based observations. However, the presence of crystalline water ice has been established at the surface of comet P/Tempel 1 by the Deep Impact spacecraft (Sunshine et al., 2006), where regions with 3 to 6% water ice particles of 10 to 50 micrometers in diameter have been directly observed. Methane has also been detected in cometary coma because of its infrared emission lines, with a production rate relative to water molecules of ~1% (Mumma and Charnley, 2011). These two observational elements are compatible with the hypothesis of a CH$_4$-rich clathrate layer that destabilizes when the comet approaches the Sun. The presence of clathrates in comets has been studied from a theoretical point of view in different papers (Marboeuf et al., 2010, 2011, 2012). These authors have developed a nucleus model, which considers an initially homogeneous sphere composed of a predefined porous mixture of ices and dust and takes into account heat
transmission, gas diffusion, sublimation/recondensation of volatiles within the nucleus, water ice phase transition, dust release, and mantle formation. This model has predicted that stability conditions of multiple guest clathrates are permanently present in the subsurface of the short-period comets 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko and 46P/Wirtanen, namely, the current and initial targets of the Rosetta spacecraft. The thickness of the clathrate zone slightly oscillates with time as a function of the heliocentric distance, but never vanishes.

Methane trapping by clathrates in the protosolar nebula is also thought to have influenced the present-day state of Pluto and comets (Mousis et al., 2012b). The thermodynamic calculations of multiple guest clathrates compositions in nebular conditions suggest that nitrogen has not been trapped in these structures, in contrast with methane and carbon monoxide. Instead, nitrogen would have condensed as pure ice at lower temperatures (~20 K) than those required for clathration (~50 K) in the protosolar nebula. This feature, associated to the fact that radiogenic heating might have been efficient in comets (Mousis et al., 2012b), could have induced the devolatilization of nitrogen while methane and carbon monoxide would have remained stable in the nuclei. Compared to comets, the quasi-absence of porosity and the much higher gravities in larger Kuiper-Belt Objects, Triton or Pluto would have prevented any substantial loss of nitrogen.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we reviewed the possible locations and occurrence conditions of methane clathrate in the Solar System. Indeed, this clathrate is typically formed at higher temperature than many other clathrates for a given pressure and correspondingly remains stable longer against dissociation when its environment is progressively heated. It is thus expected to exist in many solid bodies of the Solar System where crystalline water ice can coexist with gaseous methane.

We first detailed the scenarios that are presently under debate for the formation conditions of CH₄ in the interstellar medium. Gas phase vs. surface reactions have been discussed in the literature, including formation of methane by H impacts on carbonaceous and/or icy grains of the interstellar medium. Additional information on these mechanisms is thus strongly required based, for instance, on radio observations of the methane isotopomers. Then, we have discussed the possible incorporation of methane in clathrates that could have been formed in the protosolar nebula. The corresponding mechanisms are dependent on the plausible water abundance throughout the protosolar nebula and on the fact that clathration stops when there is no more
crystalline water ice available to trap the volatile species. Calculations based on accurate thermodynamic models thus showed that, beyond the snowline, methane should always be trapped in clathrates and never form pure condensates. As a consequence, methane clathrate agglomeration in comets and in the building blocks of the giant planets and their surrounding satellites is a plausible scenario for the origin of methane in solid bodies like Titan, Enceladus, Europa, comets, and Kuiper-Belt Objects. There, methane could be encaged in multi-guest clathrates together with, for instance, noble gases (Titan, Encelade), molecular oxygen (Europa and, perhaps Ganymede), CO (comets), and also N₂ (Triton and Pluto).

Methane clathrate is also present on Earth, originating today from biogenic sources, such as fermentation and/or anaerobic oxidation of organic material. It is formed under very specific, chemical and geological conditions and, thus, primarily occurs on the continental slopes and in the very cold Arctic regions (in the continental shelf or on the land in permafrost). Methane clathrate is attracting increasing attention because of its possible influence on global warming in case of destabilization. It also represents huge amounts of stored hydrocarbons that could be used as a nearly inexhaustible energy source in the near future.

More surprisingly, methane clathrate could also be present in Mars where a water ice cryosphere exists below the surface. Indeed, small amounts of CH₄ have been detected in the atmosphere of Mars, characterized by a high temporal and spatial variability. This suggests a possible release of CH₄, irrespective of its origin, by methane clathrates that have been shown, at least theoretically, to be stable in the martian crust, from a few to hundreds of meters below the surface. However, no direct observation of clathrates on Mars has been made to date and, concerning especially methane, both the origin of this species and its clathration/release mechanisms are still being debated.

Finally, the present review has presented scenarios for CH₄ enclathration in solid bodies of the Solar System. However, it should be noted that CH₄ clathrate is very hard to detect and most of the information detailed here is based on laboratory works (experiments and theoretical models). Significantly improved spectroscopic techniques (i.e., high spectral resolution in infrared and signal-to-noise ratio) from telescopes or space missions and the comparison of the spectra with laboratory data (Dartois, 2011; Dartois et al., 2012; Oancea et al., 2012) will certainly be required to directly observe methane clathrates at the surface of these solid
bodies. Moreover, searching for clathrates in their subsurface will be even more challenging, and this goal is actually not reachable for a few decades. For the moment, increasing our knowledge of methane clathrates in the Solar System is still based on improvement of laboratory models (Lunine and Stevenson, 1985; Sloan and Koh, 2008; Marion et al., 2006, 2014).
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Figure 1. Methane clathrate. Small cage is shown.
Figure 2. Two reservoirs of ices in the protosolar nebula. A first reservoir (cold zone), located at distances higher than ~30 AU, is constituted from amorphous ices coming from interstellar medium. The second reservoir (hot zone), located within the ~30 AU, is made from volatiles initially in the form of amorphous ices that were transported from interstellar medium towards the inner and hot part of the disk. When reaching regions with temperatures higher than ~150 K, these ices vaporized. During the cooling of the disk, volatiles located in the inner 30 AU condensed again but in crystalline forms, including both pure crystalline ices and clathrates (see text).
Figure 3. Water abundance profile throughout the protosolar nebula (normalized relative to the protosolar oxygen abundance, and assuming that all O forms H$_2$O in the disk). Water is highly depleted at distances closer than the snowline location in the protosolar nebula. The water abundance becomes supersolar at the snowline location and remains protosolar at higher heliocentric distance. We refer the reader to Ali-Dib et al. (2014) for details about the model used in this computation.
Figure 4: Composition of icy planetesimals as a function of their formation temperature in the protosolar nebula (see Mousis et al., 2012b for details about the model). Top panel: the water abundance in the protosolar nebula is derived from protosolar values for oxygen and carbon, assuming that all O, C and N are in the forms of H₂O, CO, CO₂, CH₃OH and CH₄, N₂ and NH₃, with CO:CO₂:CH₃OH:CH₄ = 70:10:2:1 and N₂:NH₃ = 10:1 in the disk gas phase (Johnson et al., 2012). In this case, water is not abundant enough to form NH₃-H₂O hydrate and trap all the other volatiles in multiple guest clathrates. If the protosolar nebula cools down to temperatures lower
than ~25 K, then N₂ essentially crystallizes as pure ice, as well as a significant fraction of CO. Bottom panel: the water abundance is arbitrarily set 30% higher than the protosolar oxygen abundance, namely, the minimum abundance from which NH₃-H₂O hydrate forms and all the other volatiles are trapped in multiple guest clathrates during the cooling of the protosolar nebula. The temperature of volatiles encaging always remains higher than ~45 K at nebular pressure conditions.
**Figure 5:** Methane clathrate deposits near Artic coast (credit USGS). Because of the future rise of sea levels and global temperature, clathrates present in the permafrost and at shallow depth in the oceans might destabilize and release the trapped methane into the atmosphere.
Figure 6: Phase diagram of CH$_4$ clathrate and water, and typical trajectories of a CH$_4$-rich fluid rising from a deep source up to the Martian surface and, ultimately, atmosphere. The top geothermal pressure-temperature profile (dashed blue line) uses a conductivity of 2.4 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ and a density of 2 g cm$^{-3}$, which is consistent with an ice-cemented soil. The bottom profile (solid blue line) uses a conductivity of 0.9 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ and a density of 2.3 g cm$^{-3}$, which is consistent with a dry sandstone. Properties are assumed constant with depth, with a surface temperature of 180 K and a geothermal flux of 30 mW m$^{-2}$ (from Mousis et al., 2013a).
Figure 7: Schematic view representing the possible interaction between an alkanofer and a coexisting clathrate reservoir on Titan. The two phases are assumed to communicate and form an isolated system that occupies the open porosity of the icy crust. The clathrate reservoir expands with time throughout the porous icy crust at the expense of the alkanofer. The progressive transfer and fractionation of the molecules in the forming clathrate reservoir alter the alkanofer’s chemical composition (adapted from Mousis et al., 2014).
**Figure 8:** Evolution of the mole fraction of five species susceptible to encaging in the internal ocean of Enceladus. Dotted lines represent the plume mole fractions. Residence time refers to the time needed to renew the water of the ocean (model of subglacial lake - see Mousis *et al.*, 2013c). This plot assumes that structure II clathrate is formed.