Rapport interne LPO/12<mark>-</mark>05

UMR 6523	DELAYED MODE QUALITY CONTROL		
Laboratoire de	OF OVIDE ARGO DATA		
Physique des Océans	FLOAT WMO 6900400		
Date : 11 avril 2012	Auteurs : Lagadec Catherine Thierry Virginie	Archivage : LPO	

Liste de diffusion :

LPO

Carole Despinoy (ODE/LPO)

DELAYED MODE QUALITY CONTROL OF OVIDE ARGO DATA FLOAT WMO 6900400

C.Lagadec - V. Thierry

11avril2012



1 Presentation and DMQC summary

Warning : Note that all the figures are plotted with the latest QC flag values (the modifications mentionned table 2 are taken into account).

1.1 QC flag checks and interesting profiles

Warning : the resolution is equal to 50 dbar from the surface to 500 dbar, then 60 dbar from 500 to 2000 dbar. Salinity data between 0 and 5 dbar are suspicious because they are acquired when the pump of the CTD is turned off.

1.2 Salinity correction from the OW method

According to the results from the OW method and the comparison with the Ovide CTD measurements, we thus conclude that there is an offset in salinity : salinity values are not salty enough (positive correction around 0.01 psu). It is thus necessary to correct the data at all cycles. Corrections are deduced from the configuration 3 of the OW method. Errors bars are maximum values between those provided by the OW method and 0.02.

Number	Deployment (cycle OD)	Last cycle
	cycle OD	176
Provor	02/06/2006	
WMO 6900400	19h15	
CTS3 05-S3-31	45.795 N	
	$19.089 \ W$	
Date of control	Float status	Last cycle
March 2012	Dead	31/03/11
Coriol	11/04/2012	

TAB. 1: Status of the float

Cycle	Para-	Vertical level	Old	New	Comments	Coriolis transmission
	meter		flag	flag		
115	PSAL,TEMP	$950\mathrm{m}$	3	1		29/04/10
117	PSAL, TEMP	$500-600 \mathrm{m}$	4	1		29/04/10
128	PSAL, TEMP	800m	4	1		29/04/10
137	PSAL, TEMP	0-600m			mixed layer	29/04/10
all cycles	PSAL	surface	1	4	untrustable	29/04/10
(except 0D, 110)		(PRES inf.5)			data	

TAB. 2: Float 6900400. Summary of the modifications of the real-time QC flags and of the interesting or suspicous data.

2 Data

OW CONFIGURATION	1	3
CONFIG_MAX_CASTS	250	250
MAP_USE_PV	1	1
MAP_USE_PV_ELLIPSE	1	1
MAP_USE_FACTEUR	1	1
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_LARGE	3.2	3.2
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_SMALL	0.8	0.8
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_LARGE	2	2
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_SMALL	0.5	0.5
MAPSCALE_PHI_LARGE	0.5	0.5
MAPSCALE_PHI_SMALL	0.1	0.1
MAPSCALE_AGE	0.69	0.69
MAP_P_EXCLUDE	500	500
MAP_P_DELTA	250	250
Reference data base	CTD only	CTD only
Comments		no break points

TAB. 3: Parameters of the OW method.



FIG. 1: Profiles position and relationship between cycle number, date and color.



FIG. 2: Surface pressure



FIG. 3: θ /S diagrams. (Left panel) Flags are not taken into account. (Right panel) Quality flags are taken into account.



FIG. 4: Temperature section along the float trajectory. Quality flags are not taken into account.



FIG. 5: Salinity section along the float trajectory. Quality flags are not taken into account.

 $\overline{}$



FIG. 6: Pression as fonction of cycle number and vertical level index along the float trajectory. Quality flags are taken into account.



FIG. 7: Potential temperature, salinity and potential density sections along the float trajectory (interpolated on standard levels). Quality flags are taken into account.



FIG. 8: Comparison of the cycle 0A with the nearest CTD profile done after the float deployment.

4 Cycle 115 - Comparaison to the nearest historical CTD profiles



6900400 – Cycle 115 – Date Argo profile 29–Jul–2009 Dates historicals profiles 26–Nov–1996 (blue) and 15–May–1998 (magenta)



FIG. 9: Flotteur 6900400, cycle 115. Upper panel : Position of the Argo profile (red) and of the nearest CTD profiles (black). The nearest CTD profile in time is in magenta while the nearest CTD profile in space is in blue. Lower panels : Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest CTD profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest CTD profile in space (blue line). The color of the Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).



FIG. 10: Float 6900400, cycle 115. The Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest CTD profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles : the nearest profile in time (magenta) and the nearest profile in space (blue). The color of the Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

5 Cycle 115 - Comparaison to the nearest ARGO profiles



6900400 – Cycle 115 – Date Argo profile 29–Jul–2009 Dates historicals profiles 28–Mar–2007 (blue) and 31–May–2008 (magenta)



FIG. 11: Flotteur 6900400, cycle 115. Upper panel : Position of the analysed Argo profile (red) and of the nearest Argo profiles (black). The nearest Argo profile in time is in magenta while the nearest ARGO profile in space is in blue. Lower panels : Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the analysed Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest Argo profile in space (blue line). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).



FIG. 12: Float 6900400, cycle 115. The analysed Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest Argo profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles : the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta) and the nearest Argo profile in space (blue). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

6 Cycle 117 - Comparaison to the nearest historical CTD profiles



6900400 – Cycle 117 – Date Argo profile 18–Aug–2009 Dates historicals profiles 08–Nov–1972 (blue) and 26–Nov–1996 (magenta)



FIG. 13: Flotteur 6900400, cycle 117. Upper panel : Position of the Argo profile (red) and of the nearest CTD profiles (black). The nearest CTD profile in time is in magenta while the nearest CTD profile in space is in blue. Lower panels : Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest CTD profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest CTD profile in space (blue line). The color of the Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).



FIG. 14: Float 6900400, cycle 117. The Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest CTD profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles : the nearest profile in time (magenta) and the nearest profile in space (blue). The color of the Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

7 Cycle 117 - Comparaison to the nearest ARGO profiles



6900400 – Cycle 117 – Date Argo profile 18–Aug–2009 Dates historicals profiles 28–Mar–2007 (blue) and 10–Jun–2008 (magenta)



FIG. 15: Flotteur 6900400, cycle 117. Upper panel : Position of the analysed Argo profile (red) and of the nearest Argo profiles (black). The nearest Argo profile in time is in magenta while the nearest ARGO profile in space is in blue. Lower panels : Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the analysed Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest Argo profile in space (blue line). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).



FIG. 16: Float 6900400, cycle 117. The analysed Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest Argo profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles : the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta) and the nearest Argo profile in space (blue). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

8 Cycle 128 - Comparaison to the nearest historical CTD profiles



6900400 – Cycle 128 – Date Argo profile 06–Dec–2009 Dates historicals profiles 31–Oct–1997 (blue) and 31–Oct–1997 (magenta)



FIG. 17: Flotteur 6900400, cycle 128. Upper panel : Position of the Argo profile (red) and of the nearest CTD profiles (black). The nearest CTD profile in time is in magenta while the nearest CTD profile in space is in blue. Lower panels : Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest CTD profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest CTD profile in space (blue line). The color of the Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).

6900400 - Cycle 128



FIG. 18: Float 6900400, cycle 128. The Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest CTD profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles : the nearest profile in time (magenta) and the nearest profile in space (blue). The color of the Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

9 Cycle 128 - Comparaison to the nearest ARGO profiles



6900400 – Cycle 128 – Date Argo profile 06–Dec-2009 Dates historicals profiles 01–Jan–2010 (blue) and 22–Nov–2009 (magenta)



FIG. 19: Flotteur 6900400, cycle 128. Upper panel : Position of the analysed Argo profile (red) and of the nearest Argo profiles (black). The nearest Argo profile in time is in magenta while the nearest ARGO profile in space is in blue. Lower panels : Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the analysed Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest Argo profile in space (blue line). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).





FIG. 20: Float 6900400, cycle 128. The analysed Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest Argo profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles : the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta) and the nearest Argo profile in space (blue). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

10 Cycle 137 - Comparaison to the nearest historical CTD profiles



6900400 – Cycle 137 – Date Argo profile 06–Mar–2010 Dates historicals profiles 19–May–1997 (blue) and 11–Apr–2002 (magenta)



FIG. 21: Flotteur 6900400, cycle 137. Upper panel : Position of the Argo profile (red) and of the nearest CTD profiles (black). The nearest CTD profile in time is in magenta while the nearest CTD profile in space is in blue. Lower panels : Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest CTD profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest CTD profile in space (blue line). The color of the Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).



FIG. 22: Float 6900400, cycle 137. The Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest CTD profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles : the nearest profile in time (magenta) and the nearest profile in space (blue). The color of the Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

11 Cycle 137 - Comparaison to the nearest ARGO profiles



6900400 – Cycle 137 – Date Argo profile 06–Mar–2010 Dates historicals profiles 11–Jan–2010 (blue) and 01–Apr–2010 (magenta)



FIG. 23: Flotteur 6900400, cycle 137. Upper panel : Position of the analysed Argo profile (red) and of the nearest Argo profiles (black). The nearest Argo profile in time is in magenta while the nearest ARGO profile in space is in blue. Lower panels : Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the analysed Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest Argo profile in space (blue line). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).





FIG. 24: Float 6900400, cycle 137. The analysed Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest Argo profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles : the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta) and the nearest Argo profile in space (blue). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

12 OW method, CONFIGURATION # 1



FIG. 25: Figures from the OW method. (Left) Position of the historical and float data. (Right) Comparison, on various θ levels, between the float data and the historical data interpolated at the float position.



FIG. 26: Figures from the OW method. Comparation of the θ /S diagram of the float with the historial database. (left) raw data; (right) corrected data using the OW correction.



FIG. 27: Figures from the OW method. Salinity anomaly :(left) raw data; (right) corrected data using the OW correction.





FIG. 28: Correction proposed by the OW method.



FIG. 29: Chosed levels by the OW method.

13 OW method, CONFIGURATION # 3



FIG. 30: Figures from the OW method. (Left) Position of the historical and float data. (Right) Comparison, on various θ levels, between the float data and the historical data interpolated at the float position.



FIG. 31: Figures from the OW method. Comparation of the θ /S diagram of the float with the historial database. (left) raw data; (right) corrected data using the OW correction.



FIG. 32: Figures from the OW method. Salinity anomaly :(left) raw data; (right) corrected data using the OW correction.



FIG. 33: Correction proposed by the OW method.



FIG. 34: Chosed levels by the OW method.