

1 **Supporting Information**

2

3 **Bioinformatic studies**

4 *In silico*, the LuxS protein sequence alignment shows three groups, which differ
5 according to site (S1 Fig.). Amino acid variations between these three groups in positions 2,
6 11, 13, 29, 31, 32, 128, 132, 133, 134, 135, and 144 does not seem to indicate any particular
7 tendency. Translated sequences revealed that the *luxS* gene found here has a conserved
8 domain common to the *luxS* genes found in other species, which is essential for LuxS enzyme
9 activity (S1 Fig., site 41-45, HTLEH) [1]. This distribution is valid whatever the colonized
10 location (Br or Sc) and molt stage considered. Transcripts related to *Sulfurovum* and
11 *Sulfurimonas* spp. were detected in a low temperature biofilm of an active vent, and the
12 expression of these genes was also correlated with AI-2 activities and with a QS response in
13 *V. harveyi* [2]. This implies that AI-2 signals can be produced *in situ*. As epibionts of *R.*
14 *exoculata* are still not cultivable, no biochemical approaches could be used in this study.
15 However, *luxS* gene transcripts were correctly amplified (RT-PCR) and the LuxS protein
16 sequence is conserved and seems to be able to produce AI-2. Therefore, it is possible that at
17 least some *Epsilonproteobacteria* epibionts would have AI-2 activity during the shrimp
18 colonization processes. Indeed, *luxS*/AI-2 is conserved among *Epsilonproteobacteria* [2]
19 which is the main phylotype found in the gill chamber epibiont community here. Moreover,
20 despite QS signaling molecules, such as acylhomoserine lactones that appear to have inherent
21 susceptibility to hydrolytic degradation, the precursor of AI-2 (DPD) is very stable over a
22 broad pH range [3]. In line with this hypothesis, Nichols and colleagues demonstrated AI-2
23 production in the vent hyperthermophiles *Thermotoga maritima* and *Pyrococcus furiosus*
24 grown in laboratory, via a *luxS*-independent biotic / abiotic reaction pathway [4]. This study
25 showed the stability of AI-2 at elevated temperature. So it is possible that the epibiont

26 community of the gill chamber, living in environments characterized by harsh
27 physicochemical conditions, communicated using AI-2, but as epibionts are not yet grown
28 under laboratory condition, experiment to detect AI-2 could not be undertaken.

29 The LuxR protein alignment showed a C-terminal DNA-binding domain (DBD) with a
30 helix- turn-helix motif, the “HTH LUXR” motif and an N-terminal signal-binding domain
31 (SBD) connected with a short linker (S2A Fig). These two functional domains are found in
32 LuxR-type receptors [5]. Moreover, RT PCR experiments gave expected size transcripts
33 indicating expression of *luxR* genes. Usually, LuxR-type protein-sensing AHLs harbor six
34 highly conserved amino acids in the N-terminus SBD that are important for signal-binding,
35 signal molecule specificity and shaping the signal-binding pocket. These six conserved amino
36 acids are W57, Y61, D70, P71, W85 and G113 (with respect to *Agrobacterium* sp. TraR, S2B
37 Fig.), which are either hydrophobic or aromatic, displaying a conserved motif for AHL-
38 sensors [6]. Bioinformatic analyses of *R. exoculata* epibiont LuxR amino acids at these
39 positions revealed that the conserved WYDPWG-motif of the AHL-sensors was absent (S2B
40 Fig.). However, the N-terminus SBD can harbor diverse domains that determine the signal-
41 sensing specificity of the receptor [7]. The variability of the SBD domains enables bacteria to
42 sense diverse signals, like exogenous AHLs, exogenous or endogenous non-AHLs, or
43 eukaryotic signals, and can thereby influence different bacterial processes [8]. Since no *luxI*
44 has yet been found in the epibiont metagenome [9], and no AHLs could be detected in our
45 study (S3 Fig.), it is possible that these LuxR protein would be LuxR solos [8], [10], [11].
46 LuxR solos form a protein family highly similar to QS LuxRs, which does not possess an
47 associated cognate LuxI protein. A recent study in the insect pathogen *Photorhabdus*
48 *luminescens* found some LuxR solos, designated PluR, which respond to a new class of
49 endogenously produced molecules, the α -pyrones, which are not AHLs [12]. Moreover, a

50 LuxR solo sub-family has been discovered that is only found in plant-associated bacteria that
51 do not bind AHLs but instead bind plant produced compounds [11], [13], [14].

52

53 **S1 Fig. LuxS protein sequences alignment.**

54 The words red/black, orange and white, are respectively used to describe the microbial mat at
55 the end, intermediate stage, and beginning of the molt cycle. Black boxes indicate similarity
56 of amino acids sequences. The red square shows a region necessary for the enzyme activity
57 that is conserved in all *luxS* gene.

58

59 **S2 Fig. LuxR protein analysis.**

60 (A) LuxR protein sequence alignment. The words red/black and white are used to describe the
61 microbial mat at the end and beginning of the molt cycle, respectively. Black boxes indicate
62 similarity of amino acid sequences. LuxR type receptors share a modular domain structure,
63 with a N-terminal signal binding domain (SBD) and a C-terminal DNA binding domain
64 (DBD) with the conserved “HTH LUXR” motif (yellow hexagon). The N-terminus is marked
65 with an N and the C-terminus with a C. LuxR were identified using BLAST [15] software and
66 SMART 7 software [16]. (B) Conserved amino acid motifs of LuxR-type proteins from
67 *Rimicaris exoculata* epibionts. **Upper part:** Motif of the six conserved amino acid positions
68 in typical AHL sensors. Protein sequences of luxR from *Vibrio fischeri*, TraR from
69 *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, SdiA from *Escherichia coli*, QscR and LasR from *Pseudomonas*
70 *aeruginosa* were used to generate the alignment [17]. **Lower part:** Motif of the six conserved
71 amino acids of LuxR from *Rimicaris exoculata* epibionts. All alignments were generated with
72 Geneious software. The sequence logo was made with WebLogo3 [18].

73

74 **S3 Fig. Chromatograph of N-acylhomoserine lactone extraction.**

75 (A) C₄-AHL standard (1) and 3-oxo-C₁₂-HSL standard (2). (B) branchiostegite and C₄-
76 AHL extraction control (3). (C) and (F) scaphognathite. (D) abdomen and C₄-AHL
77 extraction control (4). (E) branchiostegite. (G) abdomen.

78 Several results demonstrate that bacterial QS signals can also be sensed by eukaryotic
79 organisms. For instance, using AHL-producing and AHL-nonproducing *V. anguillarum*
80 strains, the zoospores of the green alga of the genus *Enteromorpha* attach themselves to AHL
81 producing bacteria biofilms [19]. A similar result has been reported for the zoospores of
82 macroalgae of the genus *Ulva* [20] and cypris larvae of the barnacle *Balanus improvisus* [21],
83 which are attracted to bacterial biofilms and preferentially settle on those of AHL-producing
84 bacteria. Interkingdom communication via the QS can also be bidirectional. Indeed, Wu and
85 colleagues showed that human stress molecules can be recognized by the QS system of
86 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains and can activate QS-regulated virulence genes [22]. Studies
87 involving animals have only described responses to OC₁₂-HSL, which induces an increased
88 synthesis of IL-8 in human lung fibroblasts [23] and the acceleration of apoptosis in
89 macrophages and neutrophils [24]. Data is still lacking on the perception of other AHL by
90 animals [25]. This contrasts with the situation described for plants, which respond
91 differentially to various AHLs and which, unlike animals, are not dependent upon the
92 integrity of the AHL molecules. Finally, eukaryotes can produce compounds referred to as
93 Quorum Quenching (QQ) actors, which interact directly with the compounds of bacterial QS
94 to induce signal disturbance [26], [27], [28]. This indicates that a communication system
95 between microorganisms and eukaryotes may exist at the cellular level, therefore, *R.*
96 *exoculata* and its symbionts could communicate via the QS.

97

98 **Extraction and quantification of *N*-acylhomoserine lactones (AHLs)**

100 **Extraction of AHLs**

101 The AHL extraction protocol was adapted from Morin [29]. Internal membranes of
102 branchiostegites, scaphognathites, exopodites, abdomens and guts of frozen and immersed *R.*
103 *exoculata* (adult specimens of 3 to 3.5 cm long) were dissected and each part was weighed.
104 The samples were ground in a FastPrep bead beater (10 sec, power 4, 4°C) in 700 µL of
105 phosphate buffered saline-sea water using a microbead matrix and centrifuged (2 min, 8000 g,
106 4°C). For each sample, the supernatants were recovered and then combined and centrifuged
107 (10 min, 10,000 rpm, 4°C) to remove cell debris. The clear supernatant was recovered and
108 taken up in 20 ml of dichloromethane. The mixture was shaken by inversion for 3 minutes and
109 the phases then separated by centrifugation (10 minutes, 4000 g, 4°C). The lower organic
110 phase was recovered and a second extraction of the remaining aqueous phase was then carried
111 out. The collected dichloromethane extracts were then combined, dried over anhydrous
112 magnesium sulfate (MgSO₄), filtered and evaporated to dryness at 30°C (Rotavapor, Buchi,
113 Switzerland). Residues were dissolved in 1 mL of HPLC-grade acetonitrile (Carlo Erba), and
114 filtered through a 0.45 µm PTFE syringe filter (Alltech, France). The sample was then stored
115 for 24 to 48 hours, protected from light at -20°C, until analysis. All samples were stored
116 within 48 hours of extraction.

117

118 **Mass spectrometry analysis**

119 Mass spectrometry analysis was performed by on-line LC-MS-MS [94]. Solutions of
120 *N*-acyl or oxo-acylhomoserine lactones of 1, 2 and 5 mg / ml were used as internal standards
121 only. The LC-separated compounds were detected by electrospray ionization ion trap mass
122 spectrometry (ESI-MS) using a Bruker Esquire-LC spectrometer (Bruker Daltonic, Germany)

123 under positive-ion conditions. The software used was Bruker Esquire-LC NT version 6.08 and
124 Agilent Technologies ChemStation.

125

126 **AHL study**

127 The *luxI* gene was not recovered in previous attempts using metagenomic approaches
128 [9]. However, as the metagenome is still incomplete, *luxI* might be present but not yet
129 sequenced. To test this hypothesis, several AHL extractions were done in this present study.
130 To test the effectiveness of extraction, a standard control (C₄-AHL) (2 µg / mL) was added to
131 the sample. To test the effect of the waiting time on ice and light exposure, an inherent part of
132 dissection of animals on board the vessel, a standard control was added at the time of
133 dissection of Br and at the time of grinding muscles. Extractions were done in order to
134 optimize the yield of AHLs at several key stages of the procedure. (i) Extractions were
135 performed on several types of sample. An extraction was done on 15 shrimps per site
136 (Rainbow, TAG and Snake Pit) at the beginning of a molt cycle and stored in
137 dichloromethane at -20°C. At the beginning of the cycle, the epibiont community was little
138 developed. Extractions were then performed again on Rainbow shrimps using 30 shrimps to
139 provide a sufficient quantity of symbionts for the AHL extraction. The same extraction was
140 performed on shrimp from the Rainbow, TAG and Snake Pit sites at the end of the molt cycle,
141 these specimens having been stored alive in dichloromethane at -20°C once aboard.
142 Specimens at the end of the molt cycle provide a larger quantity of symbionts for AHL
143 extraction. Another extraction was done on the whole gill chamber of 45 entire shrimps from
144 the Rainbow site at the end of their molt cycles and stored at -20°C. As the gill chamber is
145 almost closed, the liquid contained in the head, in which epibionts bathe, is mostly conserved
146 during the freezing of the shrimp. Therefore, an extraction on the whole head should have
147 allowed possible AHLs present in “the supernatant of the gill chamber” to be recovered. (ii)

148 The recovery of AHLs in the solvent was done in two stages to optimize the recovery of all
149 forms of AHLs: a first time with neutral pH, then a second time after aqueous stage
150 acidification by the addition of chloric acid to favor the recovery of acid AHLs. (iii) The
151 filtering of MgSO₄ was done with a glass fiber filter instead of a paper filter, as used
152 previously, to avoid AHLs sticking to the filter. During the LC-MS-MS analysis, standard
153 solutions of AHLs of known concentration were first injected, eluted in liquid
154 chromatography and then passed through the mass spectrometer for calibration. Then
155 solutions of extracted AHLs were analyzed in LC-MS-MS. The retention time and MS-MS
156 spectra were compared with standard ones. Chromatographs were similar for all extractions
157 (S3 Fig.). Spectrum B and D samples containing the control of extraction showed the
158 presence of a peak in a retention time of 10 minutes corresponding to that of C₄-HSL
159 (spectrum A). The areas under peak of the B and D spectra reveal a loss of one sixth of C₄-
160 HSL during extraction if compared with area under peak of the standard passed alone
161 (spectrum A). Sample spectra showed no specific retention time corresponding to the AHLs
162 targeted (S3 Fig.). M/z reports recorded after division gave readings of 102, but no m/z
163 reports corresponded to acyl chains of AHLs. A search was performed on all the m/z of the
164 AHL family in MS-MS. M/z report peaks recorded at 102 did not correspond to a known
165 retention time. Despite all our attempts, no AHLs could were ever revealed during this study.
166 Several hypotheses can be suggested. Firstly, this absence could be explained by quantities of
167 AHLs in the sample being under the limit of the apparatus detection level (2 ng / μ L).
168 Secondly, AHLs can undergo chemical degradation opening the lactone ring by addition of a
169 water molecule. This phenomenon, called lactonolysis, occurs spontaneously in aqueous
170 solutions [30], [31]. It is strongly favored at high temperature and under alkaline pH, and can
171 be reversed in acidic pH solutions. Thirdly, as symbionts still cannot be cultured, the trials for
172 extractions were done on intracellular AHLs, which are rapidly excreted into the surrounding

173 seawater. So the concentration of intracellular AHLs is weaker than the extracellular
174 concentration, although the latter would be difficult to collect using our approach, even with
175 whole shrimp heads. Fourthly, sampling and shrimp recovery on board is a possible cause of
176 stress (variation in pressure and temperature) for the epibionts, which could produce less
177 AHLs as a result. Moreover, the conservation of shrimps in dichloromethane at -80°C over
178 long periods may not be optimal for AHL preservation. The freezing / defrosting could also
179 cause deterioration of AHLs. Long chain AHLs are less prone to degradation than short-chain
180 AHLs [31]. The half-life of N-hexanoyl-homoserine lactone (C₆-HSL) varies from over 21
181 days (pH 5.5, 4°C) to less than 30 min (pH 8.5, 37°C) [30], [32]. Fifthly, the gill chamber
182 could contain compounds that might interact negatively with AHLs, such as inhibitors [26],
183 [27], [28]. Finally, *luxI* may not be present in the symbiotic population at all. All these
184 reasons could contribute to explaining why AHLs were not detected in the epibiont
185 community of the gill chamber.

186

187 **References**

188

- 189 1. De Keersmaecker SCJ, Sonck K, Vanderleyden J. Let LuxS speak up in AI-2
190 signaling. *TRENDS in Microbiology*. 2006;14: 114-119.
- 191 2. Perez-Rodríguez I, Bolognini M, Ricci J, Bini E, Vetriani C. From deep-sea volcanoes
192 to human pathogens: a conserved quorum-sensing signal in *Epsilonproteobacteria*.
193 *ISME J*. 2015;9(5): 1222-34.
- 194 3. Globisch D, Lowery CA, McCague KC, Janda K. Uncharacterized 4,5-dihydroxy-2,3-
195 pentanedione (DPD) molecules revealed through NMR spectroscopy: implications for
196 a greater signaling diversity in bacterial species. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2012;51: 4204–
197 4208.

- 198 4. Nichols JD, Johnson MR, Chou CJ, Kelly RM. Temperature, not LuxS, mediates AI-2
199 formation in hydrothermal habitats. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2009;68: 173–181.
- 200 5. Nasser W, Reverchon S. New insights into the regulatory mechanisms of the LuxR
201 family of quorum sensing regulators. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2006;387: 381–390.
- 202 6. Patankar AV, González JE. Orphan LuxR regulators of quorum sensing. *FEMS*
203 *Microbiol. Rev.* 2009;33: 739–756.
- 204 7. Skerker JM, Prasol MS, Perchuk BS, Biondi EG, Laub MT. Two-component signal
205 transduction pathways regulating growth and cell cycle progression in a bacterium: a
206 system-level analysis. *PLoS Biol.* 2005;3(10):e334.
- 207 8. Subramoni S, Venturi V. LuxR-family “solos”: bachelor sensors/regulators of
208 signalling molecules. *Microbiology.* 2009;155: 1377–1385.
- 209 9. Schmidt C, Vuillemin R, Le Gall C, Gaill F, Le Bris N. Geochemical energy sources
210 for microbial primary production in the environment of hydrothermal vent shrimps.
211 *Mar. Chem.* 2008;108: 18-31.
- 212 10. Fuqua C. The QscR Quorum-Sensing Regulon of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: an
213 Orphan Claims Its Identity. *J Bacteriol.* 2006; 188(9): 3169–3171.
- 214 11. González JF, Venturi V. A novel wide spread interkingdom signaling circuit. *Trends*
215 *Plant Sci.* 2013; 18: 167–174.
- 216 12. Brachmann AO, Brameyer S, Kresovic D, Hitkova I, Kopp Y, Manske C, et al.
217 Pyrones as bacterial signaling molecules. *Nat.Chem.Biol.* 2013;9: 573–578.
- 218 13. Patel HK, Suárez-Moreno ZR, Degrassi G, Subramoni S, González JF, Venturi V.
219 Bacterial LuxR solos have evolved to respond to different molecules including signals
220 from plants. *Front Plant Sci.* 2013;4: 447.
- 221 14. Venturi V, Fuqua C. Chemical signaling between plants and plant-pathogenic bacteria.
222 *Annu Rev Phytopathol.* 2013;51: 17-37

- 223 15. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, et al. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.*
224 1990;215: 403-410.
- 225 16. Letunic L, Doerks T, Bork P. SMART 7: recent updates to the protein domain
226 annotation resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012 doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr931.
- 227 17. Brameyer S, Kresovic D, Bode HB, Heermann R. LuxR solos in *Photorhabdus*
228 species. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* 2014;4: 166.
- 229 18. Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE. WebLogo: a sequence logo
230 generator. *Genome Res.* 2004;14(6):1188-90.
- 231 19. Joint I, Tait K, Callow ME, et al. Cell-to-cell communication across the prokaryote-
232 eukaryote boundary. *Science.* 2002;298: 1207.
- 233 20. Tait K, Joint I, Daykin M, et al. Disruption of quorum sensing in seawater abolishes
234 attraction of zoospores of the green alga *Ulva* to bacterial biofilms. *Environ Microbiol.*
235 2005;7: 229–40.
- 236 21. Tait K, Havenhand J. Investigating a possible role for the bacterial signal molecules
237 N-acylhomoserine lactones in *Balanus improvisus* cyprid settlement. *Mol Ecol.*
238 2013;22: 2588–602.
- 239 22. Wu L, Estrada O, Zaborina O, Bains M, Shen L, Kohler JE, et al. Recognition of host
240 immune activation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Science.* 2005;309(5735): 774-7.
- 241 23. Smith RS, Fedyk ER, Springer TA, Mukaida N, Iglewski BH, Phipps RP. IL-8
242 production in human lung fibroblasts and epithelial cells activated by the
243 *Pseudomonas* autoinducer N-3-oxododecanoyl homoserine lactone is transcriptionally
244 regulated by NF-kappa B and activator protein-2. *J Immunol.* 2001;167: 366–74.
- 245 24. Tateda K, Ishii Y, Horikawa M, Matsumoto T, Miyairi S, Pechere JC, Standiford TJ,
246 Ishiguro M, Yamaguchi K. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* autoinducer N-3-

- 247 oxododecanoyl homoserine lactone accelerates apoptosis in macrophages and
248 neutrophils. *Infect Immun.* 2003;71: 5785–93.
- 249 25. Hughes DT and Sperandio V. Inter-kingdom signalling: communication between
250 bacteria and their hosts. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2008; 6: 111–20.
- 251 26. Shiner E, Rumbaugh K, Williams S. Interkingdom signaling: Deciphering the
252 language of acyl homoserine lactones. *FEMS Microbiol.* 2005;29: 935-947.
- 253 27. Grandclément C, Tannières M, Moréra S, Dessaux Y, Faure DD. Quorum quenching:
254 role in nature and applied developments. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 2015;40(1): 86-116.
- 255 28. Dong YH, Wang LH, Xu JL, Zhang HB, Zhang XF, Zhang LH. Quenching quorum-
256 sensing dependent bacterial infection by an N-acyl homoserine lactonase. *Nature.*
257 2001;411: 813-7.
- 258 29. Morin D, Grasland B, Vallée-Réhel K, Dufau C, Haras D. On-line high-performance
259 liquid chromatography–mass spectrometric detection and quantification of N-
260 acylhomoserine lactones, quorum sensing signal molecules, in the presence of
261 biological matrices. *Journal of Chromatography A.* 2003;1002: 79–92.
- 262 30. Byers JT, Lucas C, Salmond GPC, Welch M. Nonenzymatic turnover of an *Erwinia*
263 *carotovora* quorum-sensing signaling molecule. *J Bacteriol.* 2002;184: 1163–1171.
- 264 31. Yates EA, Philipp B, Buckley C, Atkinson S, Chhabra SR, Sockett RE, et al. N-
265 acylhomoserine lactones undergo lactonolysis in a pH-, temperature-, and acyl chain
266 length-dependent manner during growth of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* and
267 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Infect Immun.* 2002;70(10): 5635-46.
- 268 32. Delalande L, Faure D, Raffoux A, Uroz S, D'Angelo-Picard C, Elasri M, et al. N-
269 hexanoyl-L-homoserine lactone, a mediator of bacterial quorum-sensing regulation,
270 exhibits plant-dependent stability and may be inactivated by germinating *Lotus*
271 *corniculatus* seedlings. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2005;52(1):13-20.