Influence of parentage upon growth in *Ostrea edulis*: evidence for inbreeding depression
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Summary

Genetic variability for growth was analysed in three populations of *Ostrea edulis*, selected for resistance to the protozoan parasite *Bonamia ostreae*. This study was undertaken first to determine the potential for selection for growth in populations that have never been selected for this character, and second to estimate heterosis versus inbreeding depression. Growth was monitored in culture for 10 months. The selected populations (namely S85-G3, S89I-G2 and S89W-G2), their crossbred population and a control population were composed of full-sib families whose parents were already genotyped using five microsatellite markers. This genotyping allowed the estimation of genetic relatedness among pairs of parents. The parents’ relatedness was then correlated with the growth performance of their offspring within each of the three populations, and inbreeding depression was estimated. The population effect for growth was highly significant, with the crossbred population having the highest growth rate, followed by S89I-G2 and S89W-G2, S85-G3 and the control population. The within-populations family effect was also highly significant, indicating, as well as the high value for heritability at the family level (between 0.57 and 0.92), that a potential for a further selection for growth still exists within the three populations. Estimates of inbreeding depression (relative to the mean, for complete inbreeding) were high (1 for S891-G2, 0.44 for S89W-G2 and between 0.02 and 0.43 for S85-G3), which correlates with the apparent heterosis for growth observed in the crossbred population. These results are discussed in the context of the future management of the selected populations.

1. Introduction

In small and isolated populations, increased homozygosity may occur due to genetic drift or to chance mating between relatives and may result in a reduction of the mean phenotypic value of traits associated with fitness, i.e. inbreeding depression (Charlesworth & Charlesworth, 1987; Falconer & Mackay, 1996). There are two explanations for this: first, increasing homozygosity increases the chance that deleterious alleles will be expressed, and secondly, homozygotes may have a reduced fitness value for traits which are controlled by directionally dominant alleles (Lynch & Walsh, 1998). Estimation of inbreeding levels is particularly relevant in closed cultivated populations since they are usually small and since inbreeding may alter the response to artificial selection pressure and endanger the future of a selection programme. Moreover, inbreeding depression seems to be important in some bivalves (Beattie *et al*., 1987; Wada & Komaru, 1994; Ibarra *et al*., 1995; McGoldrick & Hedgecock, 1997), among them *Ostrea edulis* (Bierne *et al*., 1998).

In this study we investigated the effect of inbreeding on growth in closed populations of the European flat oyster *Ostrea edulis* selected by IFREMER for resistance to *Bonamia ostreae*, a widespread haemolymph protozoan parasite that has been...
endangering the flat oyster populations since the late 1970s in several parts of the world (Comps et al., 1980; Polanco et al. 1984; Van Banning, 1985; Elston et al., 1986; Grzel et al., 1988; Friedman et al., 1989; Figueras, 1991; Friedman & Perkins, 1994; Culloty & Mulcahy, 1996). We studied three populations – S85, S89I and S89W – that were initially produced by mass spawning and for which the actual number of real genitors contributing to the variability was unknown (Martin et al., 1993; Naciri-Graven et al., 1998). Using microsatellite markers (Naciri et al., 1995; Launey, 1998), Launey et al. (submitted) have recently shown that S89W and S89I were derived from 3 and about 10–12 individuals respectively, whereas S85 was established from about 20 animals. Launey et al. (submitted) also showed that S89I, S89W and S85 are highly genetically differentiated. This had already been hypothesized by Baud et al. (1997) and Naciri-Graven et al. (1999) who monitored the growth of two crosses involving the former populations for two consecutive years. The faster growth of the two resistant crosses were attributed to their better physiological condition in a confined environment compared with the control (Naciri-Graven et al., 1999), but also to putative hybrid vigour. A correlated inbreeding depression was therefore expected in the selected populations that were not monitored at that time. The experiment reported here was designed with the aim of studying the growth of full-sib families of S85, S89I and S89W, as well as full-sib families of the cross between the three former populations. We additionally investigated the relationships between family growth and the relatedness or the inbreeding coefficient of their parents.

2. Materials and methods

(i) Biological material

Four populations derived from three Ostrea edulis populations (S85, S89I and S89W) selected by IFREMER for their resistance to Bonamia ostreae (Martin et al., 1993; Naciri-Graven et al., 1998) and a wild population were used in this study. These were the third generation of population S85 (S85-G3), the second generation of S89I and S89W (S89I-G2 and S89W-G2) and a crossbred population between S85-G2, S89I-G1 and S89W-G1.) The control population was reared from oysters from Quiberon Bay (Brittany, France), which is known to be a B. ostreae endemic area. The five populations were produced between March and April 1995 at the IFREMER hatchery of La Tremblade (Charente Maritime, France). For each population, the families were produced from two oysters conditioned in 2 litre beakers at 18–20 °C and spawning was induced by heat shocks (up to 30 °C), thereby improving Newkirk’s (1986) protocol. The same parents were used for crosses both within (G2 and G3) and between the selected population (G1G2).

Larvae and spat were cultured according to Walne’s (1974) protocol. Spat, cultured to a minimum size of 4 mm, were transferred to the IFREMER facilities at Bouin (Baie de Bourgneuf, France) where they were grown in an upwelling system with Skeletonema costatum-enriched seawater (Bacher & Baud, 1992) until the spring of 1996. Oysters at this site are known to be weakly infected by Bonamia ostreae (RSeau PAthologie MOllusques, REPAMO, IFREMER). Consequently, the aim of this latter experiment was not to test for B. ostreae resistance but to monitor growth in such a way as to obtain valid estimates of genetic variability.

(ii) Experimental design

Fifty families were monitored for growth. The families were distributed as follows: 17 families for S85-G3, 10 for S89W-G2, 7 for S89I-G2, 11 for the crossbred population and only 5 for the Quiberon control. A similar experimental design to that presented in Naciri-Graven et al. (1999) was used. In April, the five oyster populations were transferred to four concrete tanks of 7 m² (7·70 m long × 1·90 m wide × 0·55 m deep) considered as four blocks. Each block consisted of the 50 families distributed in seven series of four superposed trays that were distributed at random. Each tray was split into two parts and contained 100 oysters from two different families. For each family in each block, 10 animals were individually labelled and weighed (a total of 40 for each family). Measurements were made from April 1996 (day 109) to January 1997 (day 309), every 15 days during the first 3 months and every month from then on. The oysters were fed with Skeletonema costatum produced in subterranean saltwater following previously tested protocols (Baud & Bacher, 1990; Haure & Baud, 1993; Baud et al., 1997).

(iii) Microsatellite analysis, assessment of parentage and/or genetic relatedness

Microsatellite analyses were carried out on the parents using five different markers (Oedu12, OeduU2, OeduH15, OeduO9 and OeduT5; Launey et al., submitted). For S89I and S89W, it was easy to infer the parentage of the different individuals involved in the production of the second generation studied here. S89W-G2 was indeed composed of full-sibs and half-sibs derived from three parents, and S89I-G2 of full-sibs, half-sibs and unrelated individuals from about 10–12 parents (Launey et al., submitted).

It was more difficult to infer parentage for S85-G3, as it was composed of a larger number of individuals. Therefore, for this population, we measured the
genetic relatedness, \( r \), between the parents of each cross according to Queller & Goodnight (1989) using the software ‘Relatedness’ they developed.

(iv) Statistical analysis

The within-family variances were compared using an \( F \)-max test for each of the four populations (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995). In each case heteroscedasticity of variances was found. As a consequence, the use of variance analyses was kept for variance estimations only, and a bootstrap approach was preferred to test the mean squares. It was impossible, using the bootstrap method, to analyse a mixed model since the data need to be resampled with replacement for the random effects and without replacement for the fixed effects. Consequently, the family and the interaction effects were treated as fixed; the data were then resampled 1000 times without replacement and mean squares were calculated for each resampling. The true mean square was declared significant at the \( \alpha \) level when it was higher than \((1-\alpha)\) per cent of the resampled mean squares (Manly, 1997). Calculations were performed using S-Plus software (Statistical Sciences, 1995). The five populations were analysed together using the following fixed model:

\[
Y_{ijk} = \mu + p_i + f_{ji} + b_k + i_{ik} + E_{ijk},
\]

where \( Y_{ijk} \) is the measurement of the \( i \)th individual of the \( j \)th family in the \( k \)th population of the \( k \)th block, \( \mu \) is the grand mean, \( p_i \) is the population effect, \( f_{ji} \) is the within-population family effect, \( b_k \) is the block effect, \( i_{ik} \) is the interaction between populations and blocks and \( E_{ijk} \) is the residual. Each population was then analysed separately using the following two-fixed model:

\[
Y_{ik} = \mu + f_i + b_j + i_{ij} + E_{ijk},
\]

where \( Y_{ij} \) is the measurement of the \( k \)th individual of the \( i \)th family in the \( j \)th block, with similar notation for the different effects. Mean comparisons were performed using the minimum significant differences (\( \text{MSD}_{ij} \)) between pairs \((i,j)\) of populations based on the Game and Howell method (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995) which is appropriate when the variances are heterogeneous.

Heritability estimates were computed for each of the five populations. The following mixed model of variance analysis was used to obtain the variance estimates (and not to test for the significance effect):

\[
Y_{ijk} = \mu + F_i + b_j + I_{ij} + E_{ijk},
\]

with the same expression as for models (1) and (2), and where \( F_i \) is the family random effect, \( I_{ij} \) the random interaction effect, and \( \sigma^2_F \) and \( \sigma^2_I \) their respective variance estimates. Heritability at the family means level \( (h^2_{FM}) \) and its confidence interval at 95% were estimated within each population following Knapp et al. (1985). \( h^2_{FM} \) was calculated as \((1 - MS_I/MS_F)\), where \( MS_I \) is the interaction mean square and \( MS_F \) the family mean square. Heritability \( (h^2) \), calculated as \(2\sigma^2_I/(\sigma^2_I + \sigma^2_F + \sigma^2_i)\), and its 95% confidence interval were also estimated following Becker (1992) and using (3).

Regression analyses were performed on S85, S89I, S89W and the control population, between heritability \( (h^2 \text{ or } h^2_{FM}) \) as the dependent variable and, as independent variables, both the number of families \( (nf) \) and the mean relatedness \( (r; \text{Launey et al.}, \text{submitted}) \) in each population.

The relationship between parentage and growth performance in S89I and S89W was tested using the bootstrap randomization method on model (2) with \( p_i \), the parentage effect (full-sib, FS; half-sib, HS; unrelated, UR), instead of \( f_i \), the family effect. Mean comparisons were performed as before, using the Game and Howell method (Sokal & Rohlf, 1995). For S89 (S89I+S89W), a regression analysis was performed between inbreeding coefficients \( f(f = 0 \text{ for UR, } f = 0.125 \text{ for HS and } f = 0.25 \text{ for FS}) \) and family growth rates. For S85, a regression analysis was also performed between genetic relatedness \( (r) \) and family growth rates. Using the regression analyses, an estimation of the inbreeding depression was computed as:

\[
ID = 1 - Y_i/Y_o,
\]

with \( Y_i \) being the phenotypic mean with no inbreeding \((f = 0)\) or with a null relatedness \((r = 0)\) and \( Y_o \) the phenotypic mean with inbreeding \((f = 1)\) or with a complete relatedness \((r = 1)\).

3. Results

Oyster growth was linear during the experimental period (data not shown). Regression analyses between time and weight were performed on each monitored oyster and their \( R^2 \) values were on average as high as 98% (minimum value 82%). As a consequence the linear slopes (growth in g day\(^{-1}\)) were used as indicators of individual growth performances.

(i) Differences between and within populations

The bootstrap analysis using model (1) of variance analysis shows that the population effect for growth was highly significant, as was the within-populations family effect and the block effect \((P < 0.001; \text{Table 1})\). The interaction between blocks and populations was not significant \((\alpha = 0.05, P = 0.70)\), which allowed us to correct the data for the effect of blocks. Fig. 1 illustrates the corrected means and the 95% confidence
Table 1. Analyses of variance for growth rate (in g day\(^{-1}\)) using the bootstrap randomization method for the data as a whole (model 1) and for each population taken separately (model 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Whole(^a)</th>
<th>S85(^b)</th>
<th>S89W(^b)</th>
<th>S89I(^b)</th>
<th>Cross(^b)</th>
<th>Control(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Populations</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocks</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactions</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Means ± 95% confidence intervals (in g day(^{-1}))</strong></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.198c(^d) ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.223b(^d) ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.219b ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.238a ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.185c ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Data set analysed using model 1. In this model, the family effect is nested to the population effect and the interaction effect is between populations and blocks.  
\(^b\) Data set analysed using model 2. In this model, the interaction effect is between families and blocks.  
\(^c\) NS for \(P > 0.05\), * for \(P < 0.05\), ** for \(P < 0.01\) and *** for \(P < 0.001\).  
\(^d\) Means with different letters are significantly different at the 5% level, using the Game and Howell method.

Fig. 1. Mean growth rates and 95% confidence intervals for S85-G3, S89I-G2, S89W-G2, the crossbred population and the control population.

Intervals of the families studied. It is clear from this figure that the highest growth rates were obtained for families derived from crosses between populations. The Game and Howell multiple comparison of means at the 5% level indicates that the crossbred families had significantly higher growth rates than either S89W or S89I, which were similar to each other. S85 and the Quiberon control had significantly lower growth rates than all other populations (Table 1).

The bootstrap analysis using model (2) shows that the family effect was always highly significant within each population (\(P = 0.004\) for the Quiberon Control and \(P < 0.001\) for the other populations; Table 1). The block effect was highly significant for S85, S89W and the crossbred population (\(P < 0.001\)) but only significant for S89I (\(P = 0.046\)) and for the Quiberon Control (\(P = 0.040\)). None of the interactions between blocks and families was significant (\(P > 0.05\)).
(ii) **Heritability estimates**

Heritabilities of growth rate at the family mean level \(h^2_{FM}\) and at the family level \(h^2\) were estimated for each population with a confidence interval at the 95% level. The highest heritabilities were obtained for the crossbred population followed by S85 and S89I (Table 2). The heritabilities for S89W was lower but still significant. The control had the lowest heritabilities, which were not significantly different from 0. Both heritability estimates were positively correlated with the mean genetic relatedness \(r\) and the number of families \(n_f\) studied in each population. Relatedness and family number explained 46% of the variation for \(h^2\) and 61% of the variation for \(h^2_{FM}\). The partial correlation coefficients \(PC\) were, however, not significant at the 5% level, whatever the order of the two variables \((r\) and \(n_f)\) in the model. With \(r\) as a first variable, the partial correlation coefficients were as follows: \(PC_{(r, r)} = 0.396, PC_{(r, n_f)} = 0.550, PC_{(r, FM, r)} = 0.594\) and \(PC_{(r, FM, n_f)} = 0.509\).

(iii) **Influence of kinship in S89I and S89W families**

The effect of parent kinship on growth rate was tested separately on S89I and S89W families (Table 3). The effect was highly significant \((P < 0.001)\) for the S89I population. In this population, families derived from unrelated individuals performed significantly better than families derived from half- or full-sibs (Table 3). In S89W population, kinship had only a marginally significant effect on growth rate \((P = 0.041)\) and families derived from half-sibs performed slightly but not significantly better than those from full-sibs. The kinship effect on pooled data \((S89I + S89W)\) was highly significant \((P < 0.001)\). Families derived from unrelated individuals performed significantly better than families from full-sibs and slightly better than families from half-sibs. In each of the three analyses, the block effect was significant \((P = 0.045\) for S89I and \(P < 0.001\) for S89W and pooled S89) but the interaction effect was not \((P > 0.42)\).

Within S89 populations, the mean growth rates decreased with increasing inbreeding for both populations (Fig. 2). However, the regression analysis was significant only for S89I \((P = 0.033; P = 0.407\) for S89W). The intercepts were highly significant \((P < 0.001)\) and similar for the two regression lines \((S89I, 0.237; S89W, 0.241)\). The adjusted \(R^2\) for S89I (Fig. 2) indicates that the model explained about 56% of the observed variation. The slopes for the two populations were not significantly different from each other \((\alpha = 5\%)\), which allowed the two populations to be pooled. The regression analysis performed on pooled S89 gave a non-significant result \((Y = -0.129^{**} X + 0.237^{***}; P = 0.065, \text{adjusted } R^2 = 0.180)\).
(iv) Influence of relatedness in S85 families

Fig. 3 shows the relationship between relatedness and family means for growth rate within the S85 population. Only 15 of 17 families were used in the analysis because two genitors died before genotyping, which means that the genetic relatedness \((r)\) could not be calculated for two of the families. The regression line appears to be slightly negative but the slope was not significantly different from 0. The adjusted \(R^2\) was
null, indicating a large dispersion of the data, mainly due to two families that had high growth rates (0.194 and 0.242) coupled with high relatedness (0.80 and 0.91, respectively).

(v) Estimating inbreeding depression
For the S89 populations, the value of inbreeding depression (ID) was estimated using the regression analyses between f and family growth rates: ID was 1 for S89I, 0.44 for S89W and 0.55 when the populations were pooled. For the S85 populations, the value of ID was estimated using the regression analysis between the relatedness r and family growth rates: in this case, ID was very small (0.02).

4. Discussion
Bonamia ostreae is unlikely to have affected the results. The Baie de Bourgneuf, where the experiment was conducted, is known to be weakly contaminated by B. ostreae. Baud et al. (1997) and Naciri-Graven et al. (1999) have shown that no parasite infection was recorded in 1-year-old oysters and that 13% of the selected oysters were infected by the end of the second year of the experiment versus 20% for the Quiberon controls. In this study, the monitored oysters were too young to experience significant parasite pressure. This is in agreement with the results of Culloty & Mulcahy (1996) who showed that B. ostreae is typically observed in oysters that are at least 2 years old.

(i) A better growth for the selected populations?
In our study, the selected populations grew better than the control population. The mean growth rates of S89I and S89W populations were about 18% and 20% higher than that of the Quiberon control. S85 performed 7% better than the control but the difference was not significant. Naciri-Graven et al. (1999) suggested that the better growth of the resistant populations in experimental conditions might be the consequence of hatchery and nursery breeding. The environmental conditions imposed by cultivation might have indirectly selected those animals more able to grow in a confined and/or a warm environment, while the Quiberon control is better adapted to cold waters and an open environment. Baud et al. (1997) and Naciri-Graven et al. (1998) have indeed shown that the difference between a crossbred G1G2 population (involving animals from S85, S89I and S89W populations) and a control was present in a confined environment but not in the open sea. Alternatively, selection for resistance to B. ostreae could have resulted in a selection, through hatchery practice, for oysters which escape infection by faster growing. We cannot exclude the third hypothesis that the selection for improved resistance to B. ostreae indirectly enhanced the growth rate in the case where the two traits were positively correlated. Whatever the explanation, it is interesting to notice that, at least under experimental conditions, the third generation of S85 performed as well as the control and that the second generations of S89I and S89W did even better. The results indeed demonstrate that there is a potential for growth improvement in Ostrea edulis, even though inbreeding depression might impair the effect of a further selection.

(ii) Heterosis versus inbreeding depression
The crossbred population performed much better than the control population (+28%), confirming the results obtained on similar populations by Baud et al. (1997) and Naciri-Graven et al. (1999) and on other bivalves (Hedgecock et al., 1998). Moreover, the crossbred population grew better than the selected populations from which the parents were derived (+13%). This is not a direct estimation of heterosis for growth since the cross mean is compared with the progeny means of the parents and not with the means of the parents themselves; 13% is therefore an overestimate of the actual heterosis. Heterosis must, however, exist since inbreeding depression was found, at least in the S89 population. In the S85 population indeed, the low estimated inbreeding depression is due to the very good performance of two families, derived from parents with high relatedness, and that might have already purged their genetic load. A new analysis excluding these two families gave an ID value of 0.43 which is quite similar to the S89 ID value (0.55).

The high ID values estimated across the S89 populations (55%) and potentially in the S85 population (43%) are in agreement with the finding of Bierne et al. (1998), who showed that the genetic load is high in Ostrea edulis. Similar results have also been reported by Beattie et al. (1987), Ibarra et al. (1995) and McGoldrick & Hedgecock (1997) on other bivalves. Finding a significant inbreeding depression for growth, a character which has usually been considered as a component of fitness in bivalves, is in agreement with DeRose & Rolf’s (1999) results. Following earlier observations reviewed in Falconer & Mackay (1996), these authors have indeed proved that life-history traits usually experience significant inbreeding depression, in contrast with purely morphological traits which experience much lower depression.

(iii) What about a further round of selection?
The high heritability value within each selected population indicates that selection for higher growth rates at the family level would be effective, and this is
all the more true with the crossbred population (S89-G1 × S85-G2). Accordingly, Newkirk & Haley (1982) had an encouraging response for weight at 2 years after one generation of selection in the European flat oyster, with the mass-spawned selected groups being on average 23% heavier than the control line. The response in growth rate after a second round of selection was, however, lower than after the first one, and Newkirk & Haley (1983) suggested that inbreeding may have contributed to these lower performances. Our results are in agreement with the former ones, showing that there is still a large variation for growth within populations, despite the high selection pressures that were used for selecting the resistance to Bonamia ostreae (Naciri-Graven et al., 1998), and the occurrence of inbreeding.

(iv) Significance of heritability values.

The heritability values at the family level ($h^2$) and at the family mean level ($h^2_{FM}$) show that consistent variability still exists within each selected population. $h^2_{FM}$ corresponds to the ratio of $(\sigma^2_\lambda + \sigma^2_\beta)$ to mean phenotypic variance ($\sigma^2_{FP}$) and it estimates the likelihood that a mean phenotype will reflect the genotypic (Gallais, 1990). In our study, this likelihood is very high, indicating that the environmental conditions were well controlled and that a selection on a mean family basis would be very efficient. $h^2$ corresponds to the ratio of twice the covariance between full-sibs ($\sigma^2_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2_\beta$) to phenotypic variance ($\sigma^2_F$) and therefore lies between the strict-sense heritability ($h^2_F = \sigma^2_\lambda / \sigma^2_F$) and the broad-sense heritability ($h^2_F = (\sigma^2_\lambda + \sigma^2_\beta) / \sigma^2_F$). It is tendentious to compare heritabilities from different experiments since this parameter depends on the environment, the population under study and the experimental conditions. However, it is interesting to notice that the significant heritabilities we obtained for the selected population under study and the experimental conditions might be viewed as the consequence of inbreeding, which is expected to increase the genetic variance between individuals or families while decreasing the mean of quantitative characters (Gallais, 1990; see also Fowler & Whitlock, 1999).

(v) Further insights

Finding a high genetic load for growth has implication in population genetics of bivalves. A correlation between multilocus heterozygosity (MLH) and fitness traits as measured by growth has indeed often been observed in natural populations of marine bivalves (Zouros et al., 1980; Koehn & Gaffney, 1984; Gaffney et al., 1990; Zouros & Pogson, 1994). David et al. (1995) suggested that a high genetic load was a necessary condition to explain MLH–fitness correlation by the general effect hypothesis. This hypothesis also required a small level of inbreeding (or at least linkage disequilibrium between markers and selected genes) that has not yet been clearly assessed in natural populations. Several factors, however, such as large variation in reproductive success (Hedgecock, 1994), non-random mating or restricted gamete and larvae dispersal (David et al., 1997a), could easily result in such level of inbreeding. Our results, following those of Bierne et al. (1998) and David et al. (1997b) on Spisula solidissima, therefore suggest that the general effect hypothesis (David et al., 1995) might be relevant in explaining the MLH–fitness correlation in natural populations of marine bivalves.
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