Diffusion induced Li isotopic fractionation during the cooling of magmatic rocks: The case of pyroxene phenocrysts from nakhlite meteorites
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Abstract:

Ion-microprobe was used to measure Li abundances and isotopic compositions in pyroxenes from three Martian meteorites belonging to the nakhlite family. The profiles performed across augite crystals from Northwest Africa 817 show a large isotopic zoning from crystal cores (delta Li-7 similar to 0 parts per thousand) to rims (delta Li-7 similar to +20 parts per thousand) while Li abundances are almost constant (similar to 9.2 mu g/g). Unlike NWA 817, the pyroxene studied in the Miller Range 03346 nakhlite shows a zoning in Li abundance, with concentrations increasing from similar to 2.5 mu g/g in the core to similar to 9 mu g/g in the rim. The augite rim (delta Li-7 = +7 parts per thousand) is slightly enriched in Li-7 with regard to the core (delta Li-7 = +4 parts per thousand), but most of the isotopic variations observed occur at an intermediate position along the profile, where 67 Li falls down to similar to -11 parts per thousand. In the case of Nakhla, Li concentrations in augite increase from cores (similar to 3.5 mu g/g) to rims (similar to 6.5 mu g/g), while the delta Li-7 variation is restricted (i.e., between delta Li-7 = +6.0 and +12.6 parts per thousand). For the three meteorites the Li abundances were also measured in the groundmass, which was found to be enriched in lithium (similar to 10 mu g/g). Conventional magmatic and post-magmatic processes such as alteration and fractional crystallization, fail to explain the dataset obtained on nakhlites. Degassing processes, which were previously proposed to explain the Li distribution in shergottite crystals, cannot result in the strong decoupling between Li abundances and isotopic composition observed in nakhlites. We suggest that the original magmatic Li distributions (concentrations and isotopic compositions) in nakhlites have been modified by diffusion of Li from the Li-rich groundmass towards the pyroxene crystals during sub-solidus cooling. Diffusion appears to have been efficient for NWA 817 and MIL 03346 but, apparently, did not produce a significant migration of Li in Nakhla, possibly because of the lower abundance of groundmass in the latter. Diffusion induced Li redistributions may also affect terrestrial porphyric rocks but very specific cooling rates are required to quench the diffusion profiles as observed in two of the present nakhlites. (c) 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
1. INTRODUCTION

Lithium, the lightest lithophile element, possesses two stable isotopes, $^6\text{Li}$ ($\approx 7.5\%$) and $^7\text{Li}$ ($\approx 92.5\%$). The large range of variations of Li isotopic compositions observed in natural systems ($\approx 60\%$ range in $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$ ratios) has generated, during the last two decades, a growing interest in its geochemistry (e.g., Elliott et al., 2004; Tomaska, 2004 and references therein). Interestingly, this element is mobile when aqueous fluids are involved (Brézany et al., 1998b) and is now considered as a valuable proxy of metamorphic, hydrothermal and magmatic processes (Chan et al., 1993; You et al., 1995; Zack et al., 2003 and references therein). Lithium can thus be anticipated to be a powerful tracer for the petrogenesis of Martian rocks and to bring new information on (i) possible fluid-rock interactions during the emplacement of Martian lavas or (ii) possible magmatic degassing of Martian melts.

Experimental petrology studies on Martian basaltic rocks, the so-called basaltic shergottites, imply that their parental magmas contained appreciable amounts of water (about 1.8 wt\%) which was lost by degassing (Dann et al., 2001). Such a process may have left specific signatures in the Li distributions and isotopic compositions of phenocrysts from shergottites: in fact though Li is incompatible in dry basaltic melts, its concentration decreases from cores to rims in pyroxenes from the two shergottites Zagami and Shergotty (Lentz et al., 2001). Because Li is soluble in aqueous fluids (Brezany et al., 1998a), such pyroxene zonings may be explained by a coupled loss of H$_2$O and Li from the crystallizing magma (Herd et al., 2004, 2005; Lentz et al., 2001). This hypothesis was further tested by the study of the Li concentrations and isotopic compositions, which may be fractionated during degassing, in pyroxenes from the Northwest Africa 480 (NWA 480) shergottite (Beck et al. (2004). A large increase from a $^7\text{Li}$ depleted core ($\delta^7\text{Li} = -17 \%$) towards a $^7\text{Li}$ enriched rim ($\delta^7\text{Li} = +10 \%$) was observed in NWA 480.

However, Li is one of the fastest elements for solid state diffusion (Giletti and
Shanahan, 1997) and two different lines of evidence strongly suggest that the pristine Li distribution inherited from magmatic processes can be strongly perturbed because of the strong mobility of Li (and its isotopes) in mineral phases. First, the pyroxenes from the Pasamonte eucrite, which formed from an anhydrous melt, exhibits evidences of re-mobilization of Li during or subsequent to its crystallization, shock induced Li diffusion being an additional process which could obscure the magmatic Li distribution (HerD et al., 2004). Second, it has been recently shown that olivine and pyroxene phenocrysts in the NWA 479 lunar basalt, also crystallized from a dry melt, display a wide range of $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values (from 0 to +16 ‰) which has been ascribed to a post-magmatic diffusion of Li from the groundmass into the crystals (Barrat et al., 2005).

There is thus an obvious need for a better understanding of the behavior of Li (and its isotopes) during late- and post-magmatic evolution. During a reconnaissance study of Li isotopes in Martian meteorites, we found that the pyroxenes of the Northwest Africa 817 nakhlite (NWA 817) display a prominent zoning, from $\delta^7\text{Li} = +1$ ‰ in the cores to +21 ‰ in the rims. This observation encouraged us to undertake a detailed study of the Li systematics in phenocrysts of nakhlites, which because of their size, shapes and high Li concentrations are particularly well suited for an ion microprobe study of Li. In addition to NWA 817, we selected Nakhla and Miller Range 03346 (MIL 03346). The different Li and $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$ systematics observed in these three nakhlites evidence the prominence of post-magmatic perturbations for Li in Martian rocks. These perturbations can be explained in a model where the Li concentration gradients inherited from the magmatic history are progressively relaxed by solid state diffusion in the crystals during the cooling of the rock. Such a diffusion process produces characteristic Li isotopic variations in pyroxenes.

2. ION AND ELECTRON MICROPROBE ANALYSIS

The Li concentrations and isotopic compositions were obtained by ion microprobe
analysis with the ims 3f instrument at CRPG-CNRS (Nancy) using classical procedures (CHAUSSIDON and ROBERT, 1998; BECK et al., 2004; BARRAT et al., 2005, and references therein). The Li concentrations and isotopic compositions were determined at a mass resolution (M/ΔM) of 1200 (to remove the interfering \(^6\text{LiH}^+\) at mass 7) by classical energy filtering (-60±10V) for concentrations and with the energy slit centered and fully opened for isotopic ratios. The samples were sputtered by a O\(^+\) primary beam (≈ 50nA) of ≈ 25 micrometer wide. Secondary ions were accelerated at 4.5 kV. Positive ions of \(^6\text{Li}\) and \(^7\text{Li}\) were detected by magnetic peak switching on the electron multiplier (background and dead time of 0.05 count/s and 44 ns, respectively). The set of silicate glass and mineral standard used (DECITRE et al., 2002; BECK et al., 2004; table 1) allowed to determine the ion yield of Li relative to Si (yield \(_\text{Li} = (\text{Li}^+/\text{Si}^+)/(\text{Li}/\text{Si})\) and the instrumental mass fractionation of Li isotopes (\(\alpha_{\text{instLi}} = (\text{Li}^*/\text{Li}^\text{true})\)).

The yield \(_\text{Li}^{-}\) value determined for one of our silicate glass (with 63.4 µg/g Li) was of 1.44 ± 0.02 (6 analyses). It was reproducible to within ± 4% relative on one of our clinopyroxene standard (cpx BZ 226) having a much lower Li content (5.9 µg/g Li). No significant matrix effects, at these low Li concentrations, have ever been observed with our set of standards (DECITRE et al., 2002; BECK et al., 2004; BARRAT et al., 2005). The Li concentrations are thus given in Tables 2 and 3 with an error of ± 5 % relative (one sigma).

The isotopic ratios are given in Tables 2 and 3 in δ-units using the \(\delta^7\text{Li}\) notation (\(\delta^7\text{Li}=1000\times[(\text{Li}^*/\text{Li}^\text{sample})/(\text{Li}^*/\text{Li}^\text{LSVEC-1})]\), LSVEC being the international carbonate standard for Li isotopes (FLESH et al., 1973).

The values of \(\alpha_{\text{instLi}}\) determined on our standards (table 1) range from ≈1.021 to ≈ 1.032 during the different analytical sessions. The major reasons for this variation of \(\alpha_{\text{instLi}}\) over the different analytical sessions are (i) variations in the efficiency of the electron
multiplier, isotopic fractionation on the first dynode increasing with ageing of the multiplier and (ii) differences in the secondary settings. We also observed variations of $\alpha_{\text{instLi}}$ during a given session before and after cleaning of the duoplasmatron source: this is most probably due to small changes in the shape and/or density of the primary beam. All these changes were monitored by running our standards or by duplicating at the end of an isotopic profile the first point of the profile. Typical reproducibilities (given below at ± 1 sigma) obtained for $\alpha_{\text{instLi}}$ on our standards during an analytical sessions are ± 1.0‰ (n=3) for cpx BZ 226 and ± 0.5‰ (n=5) for cpx BZ 226. The precision of the Li isotopic measurements given in Tables 2 and 3 range from ± 0.7‰ (1 sigma) to ± 2.8‰ (1 sigma) : they–were determined from (i) the counting statistics, (ii) the error on correction for instrumental mass discrimination and (iii) the external reproducibility.

The presence of matrix effects on Li isotope measurements was tested by repeated analysis of different silicate mineral and glass standards of variable Li abundance and isotopic composition (Table 1). Possible effects for this range of chemical composition are inferior to 2 ‰ (Beck et al., 2004), thus well below the isotopic variations reported in the meteorites studied here. Furthermore, we can remark that most of the isotopic variations we will describe in nakhlites occur in crystal core, thus at constant major element composition. The absence of correlation between measured Li isotopic composition and major elements composition, (shown in Figure 2 for the Fe/(Fe+Mg) ratio) is an other indication of the low intensity of matrix effects, within this range of chemical compositions.

Major and minor element abundances were measured using a CAMECA SX50 electron microprobe (IFREMER-Centre de Brest). Analyses were obtained using mineral and oxide standards at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a probe current of 12 nA and a one micron beam diameter. Compositions were determined in the same regions where ion probe measurements were performed.
3. SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

Nakhlites have been extensively studied during the last ten years. The reader is referred to the up-to-date review by Treiman (2005) for petrographic descriptions and major element compositions of their phases. The following polished sections were used in the present study: Nakhla (Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle de Paris, n° 1226), Northwest Africa 817 (Ecole normale supérieure de Lyon, NWA 817-B), and Miller Range 03346 (section MIL03346,6 provided by the Meteorite Working Group). The main features of these three nakhlites are briefly summarized below.

Nakhlites are igneous cumulate rocks composed mostly of subhedral to euhedral augite (69-81 vol.%), olivine (0-13 vol.%) set in a groundmass (8-23 vol.%) made chiefly of radiating sprays of plagioclase laths with titanomagnetite for Nakhla, of Fe₂O₃-rich plagioclase laths, skeletal titanomagnetite crystals, needle shaped augite and olivine crystals in a very fine-grained matrix for NWA 817, or of glass containing skeletal crystals of olivine, titanomagnetite and pyrrhotite for MIL 03346. In the three studied nakhlites, the pyroxene crystals display a large homogeneous core, with Mg/(Mg+Fe) about 0.60-0.65, surrounded by distinct rim zones richer in Fe (Fig. 1). The transitions from core to rims are sharp in NWA 817 and MIL 03346, and are more gradual in Nakhla (Fig. 1). Rims are generally lacking where pyroxene crystals abut on other phenocrysts. Olivine is absent in the MIL03346,6 section. In Nakhla and NWA 817, olivine grains display a normal zoning from Fa₇₀ to Fa₈₃, and from Fa₅₉ to Fa₈₆ respectively.

Finally, it is important to stress that nakhlites contain pre-terrestrial (i.e., Martian) veinlets or patches of alteration phases such as smectite clay, Fe-oxy-hydroxides, salt minerals (e.g., halite and gypsum), and sometimes carbonates deposited by water (e.g.,
BRIDGES and Grady, 2000; Gillet et al., 2002; Sautter et al., 2002; Treiman, 2005). In addition, NWA 817 and MIL 03346 are Saharan and Antarctic finds, respectively, so that they have been exposed to terrestrial weathering.

4. RESULTS

About forty points were analyzed for Li abundances and isotopic compositions in the three sections. These results, as well as the major element features of the analyzed areas are given in Tables 2 and 3. Li abundance and isotopic composition profiles obtained on pyroxene from the three nakhlites differ. Li abundances are constant in NWA 817, while they show an increase from cores to rims in Nakhla and MIL 03346. A large isotopic variation (> 20 ‰) is present in NWA 817 and MIL 03346 while it is more restricted in Nakhla (~8 ‰). For the three samples, there is a strong decoupling between [Li] and δ⁷Li.

4.1. Li concentration and isotopic composition in Nakhla

Augite- For the three grains analyzed, augite exhibits an increase of Li concentrations from 3.5 µg/g in the cores toward 6.5 µg/g in the rims (Fig. 3 and Table 2). These values are in agreement with both bulk rock measurements (Dreibus et al., 1982) and the values obtained on other sections of the same meteorite (Lentz et al., 2001). Li isotopic compositions show a restricted variation from δ⁷Li = +6.0 to 12.6 ‰. These values are higher than the bulk rock measurement of Nakhla (δ⁷Li = + 4.5 ± 0.2 ‰) (Bridges et al., 2005).

Olivine- For both core and rim of the selected crystal, Li concentration approaches augite core values. The δ⁷Li obtained is close to that of pyroxene within error (δ⁷Li = + 11.9 ± 1.6 ‰, n=3).

Groundmass- Only one spot was performed for Li abundance determination ([Li] = 10.0 ± 0.5 µg/g).
4.2. Li concentration and isotopic composition in Northwest Africa 817

*Augite*- Two profiles were performed on two distant crystals. In both cases, Li abundances are constant, with a mean of $9.2 \pm 0.2 \mu g/g$ (n=20) (Fig. 4 and Table 1). These values are twice times higher than previous measurement in a pyroxene from the same meteorite (MUSSELWHITE et al., 2005). The isotopic composition reveals a large increase from cores ($\delta^7$Li $\approx 0 \%$) to rim ($\delta^7$Li $\approx +20 \%$) for the two crystals (Fig. 4).

*Olivine*- Li concentrations are uniformly distributed in olivine ([Li] = $7.1 \pm 0.75 \mu g/g$, n=9). As well as in pyroxene, a core/rim variation in isotopic composition is present. The extent is however smaller than in pyroxene. The measurements performed in crystal core from two distinct olivines gave $\delta^7$Li = $-1.9 \pm 1.4 \%$ and $-0.1 \pm 1.4 \%$, while the values measured for the respective rims are $\delta^7$Li = $+ 7.6 \pm 1.2 \%$ and $+ 9.4 \pm 1.6 \%$.

*Groundmass*- Li concentrations in the groundmass are difficult to determine due to the large ion-probe beam size with regard to groundmass crystals size. Then, the measured value is dependant of the amount of plagioclase and pyroxene microliths in the analyzed area. Three measurements were performed, and illustrated this heterogeneity ([Li] = $4.5 \pm 0.2 \mu g/g$, $19.6 \pm 1.0 \mu g/g$, and $18.5 \pm 0.9 \mu g/g$).

4.3. Li concentration and isotopic composition in Miller Range 03346

*Augite*- One profile was realized across the width of the largest augite crystal (Fig. 1A and Fig. 5). Excepted for an anomalous point found in the section (Table 2, px1-7 having $9.7 \mu g/g$ lithium), the Li concentrations in the core are low ($\sim 2.5 \mu g/g$) and increase to the rim ($\sim 9 \mu g/g$). The isotopic composition measured along the profile is however unusual (Fig. 4). Augite rim ($\delta^7$Li = $+ 7 \%$) is slightly enriched in $^7$Li with regard to its core ($\delta^7$Li = $+4 \%$), but most of the variation occur at intermediate position along the profile, where $\delta^7$Li falls down to
\[ \approx -11 \% \text{.} \]

*Groundmass*- A single spot was done for Li abundance determination ([Li] = 8.7 ± 0.3 µg/g).

### 4.4 Li balance and comparison with bulk rock measurements

**Li abundance**

Bulk rock values can be estimated using olivine, pyroxene and groundmass Li concentration, combined with mineral mode for each meteorites and phases densities. These calculations result in Li abundances of 5.1, 6.3 and 9.5 µg/g for Nakhla, MIL 03346 and NWA 817 respectively. In the case of Nakhla, this estimate compares well with bulk rock measurements ([Li] = 4.8 µg/g, MAGNA et al., 2005; [Li] = 6.3 µg/g, SEITZ et al., 2006). The value obtained for MIL 03346 (6.3 µg/g) and NWA 817 (9.5 µg/g) are slightly higher than bulk rock estimate (MIL 03346, [Li] = 4.25 µg/g, BARRAT et al., 2006; NWA 817, [Li] = 7.43 µg/g, SAUTTER et al., 2002) but these differences can be attributed to heterogeneity among samples of a same meteorite, particularly variability in groundmass abundance.

**Li isotopic composition**

The lack of Li isotopic composition measurement in the groundmass prohibits a reliable estimate of the bulk rock abundance from individual phase measurements. We can however at first order compare bulk augite Li isotopic composition (spherical weighted average) with bulk rock measurements available for Martian meteorites. Among the Martian meteorites suite, $\delta^7$Li ranges between -0.6 ± 0.5 and +5.2 ± 0.5 ‰ (SEITZ et al., 2006, MAGNA et al., 2006). Bulk augite estimates agrees for Nakhla ($\delta^7$Li = +8.0 ‰) and MIL 03346 ($\delta^7$Li = +2.9 ‰) with this values taking into account the total error on ion-probe measurements. In the case of NWA 817, bulk augite isotopic composition ($\delta^7$Li = +12.0 ‰) is heavier than available data for Martian meteorites. The reason for this difference is not understood yet. In the case of the moon, where a large range of $\delta^7$Li values from 0 ‰ to +16 ‰ (BARRAT et al., 2005) has
been found at the micrometer scale, one sample was recently found to have in bulk a very high $\delta^7$Li value (+18.4 ± 1.2, SEITZ et al., 2006). This is not the case for Mars yet.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. A non "classical" origin for the $\delta^7$Li variations in pyroxenes from nakhlites

The Li distribution observed in the pyroxenes from the three nakhlites, especially from NWA 817 and MIL 03346, cannot result from the processes classically invoked to explain isotopic variations in magmatic rocks, namely (i) source effects, (ii) assimilation-fractionation-crystallization (AFC model, De Paolo, 1981), (iii) degassing and (iv) post-magmatic alteration. In fact, none of the above processes is able to produce the major characteristic of the present Li distributions: a clear zoning of the pyroxenes in either $\delta^7$Li or [Li] (or both) with no simple correlation either between the $\delta^7$Li and/or [Li] and the major or trace element concentrations (Tables 2, 3 and Fig. 3) or between the $\delta^7$Li and the 1/[Li] (Fig. 6).

The low [Li] observed in the core of pyroxene from MIL 03346 (Fig. 5) seems at first glance broadly consistent with a magmatic fractionation because Li is moderately incompatible during the crystallization of olivine, pyroxene and plagioclase (BRENAN et al., 1998a; HERD et al., 2004; HERD et al. 2005; ZANETTI et al., 2004). However the large range of variations of $\delta^7$Li values in this pyroxene, as well as in the one from NWA 817, cannot result from a closed system magmatic evolution for two reasons. First, Li is amongst the elements with the fastest diffusivity in melts (e. g. $D = 6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ at 1400°C, RICHTER et al., 2004) so that any Li isotopic heterogeneity of the mantle source of nakhlite would be erased during the melting episode. Second, fractional crystallization is unable to fractionate Li isotopes by more than $\approx 1\%$ (TOMASCAK et al., 1999).
AFC or degassing processes seem also unable to reproduce the diversity of the [Li] and $\delta^7\text{Li}$ profiles observed in the present pyroxenes. This comes from the fact that all the models which imply an open system for Li should a priori result in some kind of mixing relationship between [Li] and $\delta^7\text{Li}$, which is not observed (Fig. 6). To produce $\delta^7\text{Li}$ variations in the melt and in the growing crystals with no correlation with the [Li] of the crystal, or with a constant [Li] such as in NWA 817, would require a perfect Li mass balance between the gain due to fractionation and the loss due for instance to degassing. Though such models cannot be totally excluded, they appear rather adhoc.

Thus, it seems obvious that the Li distributions observed in the pyroxenes are not inherited directly from the magmatic history but, most likely, have been strongly perturbed. There is no argument to support that this post-magmatic perturbation is related to the Martian and/or terrestrial low temperature alterations which have been evidenced from the presence of low temperature minerals (see Sample Description). In fact, any significant low temperature addition to these rocks of Li having a different $\delta^7\text{Li}$ value would result in correlations (i.e., mixing lines) between $1/[\text{Li}]$ and the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values. In addition, the profiles performed in large pyroxene crystals in NWA 817 and MIL 03346 don't present any anomalous [Li] or $\delta^7\text{Li}$ value near cracks, which are inferred to be zones of preferential circulation of secondary fluids. Therefore, it appears that the Li distribution observed in the pyroxenes from nakhlites imply the existence of an unusual process able to mobilize Li and to fractionate its isotopes in a rock in closed system at a post-magmatic stage.

5.2. Diffusion-induced kinetic isotopic fractionation of Li: the limits of the model

Theoretical considerations (JAMBON, 1980; RICHTER et al., 1999; 2004) suggest that, because of their large relative mass difference, $^7\text{Li}$ and $^6\text{Li}$ should diffuse at significantly different rates in presence of a Li concentration gradient. Very few examples of such a
process have yet been found in terrestrial rocks (Lundström et al., 2005; Teng et al., 2006). Recently, $\delta^7$Li variations observed in olivine and pyroxene crystals from lunar basalt NWA 479 have been tentatively ascribed to diffusion induced Li isotopic fractionation (Barrat et al., 2005). It is a matter of fact that, in—magmatic systems, Li concentrations are heterogeneously distributed during the crystallization of the minerals. Crystals display significantly lower Li abundances than surrounding melts ($D_{Li} \sim 0.2-0.3$ for olivine and pyroxene, Herd et al., 2004; Zanetti et al., 2004 and references therein) so that at the end of the magmatic evolution early phenocrysts can be embedded in a groundmass with significantly higher [Li]. Upon cooling and crystallization of the intercumulus liquid, Li can be expected to partition preferentially in pyroxene rather than mineral phases encountered in the groundmass. The behavior of Li during the crystallization of basalt supports this hypothesis. Experimental petrology suggests that during the crystallization of basaltic melt, Li is preferentially incorporated in melt rather than in pyroxene in equilibrium with it (Brenan et al., 1998a; Herd et al., 2002). However, below the solidus, Li partitions preferentially in pyroxene rather than lately crystallized feldspar (Coogan et al., 2006).

We model in the following the Li behavior which can be anticipated from diffusion processes taking place in such a rock upon its cooling.

Models were computed using an explicit finite difference method (Crank, 1967) to solve the diffusion equation for an infinite cylinder geometry, which approximates the augite prisms:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = D \left( \frac{d^2C}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{dC}{dr} \right) \quad \text{eq. 1}$$

$C$ is the concentration, $t$ is the time and $r$ is the distance to the revolution axis. $D$ is the diffusion coefficient which is temperature dependent. This equation is solved simultaneously for $^6$Li and $^7$Li, which enables to calculate the evolution of both concentration ($[Li] =$
\([^6\text{Li}]+[^7\text{Li}])\) and \(\delta^7\text{Li}\). The model assumes that (i) the rim of the crystal is in contact with a reservoir of constant concentration and isotopic composition which corresponds to the groundmass (ii) the partitioning coefficient of Li between the two solid phases is equal to 1, (iii) \(^7\text{Li}\) and \(^6\text{Li}\) fluxes are null at the crystal core because of crystal symmetry and (iv) the crystals were homogeneous before diffusion and shared the same Li isotopic composition than the residual melt (now the groundmass), i.e. that Li isotopic equilibrium was present at magmatic temperatures (TOMASCAK et al., 1999). Calculations were run at varying temperatures, using a linear cooling rate from a starting temperature \(T_0\).

Solving equation 1 requires to know, or to postulate, values for (i) the ratio between the diffusion coefficients of \(^7\text{Li}\) and \(^6\text{Li}\), (ii) the diffusion coefficient of Li in pyroxene, (iii) the cooling rate and the initial temperature and (iv) the Li concentration ratio between the groundmass and the crystal.

The diffusion parameters for Li in pyroxene were taken according to COOGAN et al. (2006). The ratio between the diffusion coefficients of \(^7\text{Li}\) and \(^6\text{Li}\) is expressed as \(D_7/D_6 = (m_6/m_7)^\beta\), where \(D_6\) and \(D_7\) are the diffusion coefficients for \(^6\text{Li}\) and \(^7\text{Li}\) respectively, and \(m_6\) and \(m_7\) are the atomic masses of \(^6\text{Li}\) and \(^7\text{Li}\) respectively. The \(\beta\) parameter which ranges between 0 and 0.5 defines the strength of the so-called “isotope effect”. A low value for \(\beta\) implies similar diffusivities for the two isotopes while a high-value imply a strong isotope effect, in other terms that the lighter isotopes will diffuse significantly faster than the heavier ones. \(\beta\) is controlled directly by the mechanisms of diffusion, more precisely by the correlation of consecutive jumps of the diffusing atom in the crystal lattice. The ratio of the diffusion coefficient for two isotopes can then be used to investigate diffusion mechanisms in solids (PETERSON and CHEN, 1974). In the case of Li, little is known on its diffusion mechanism in pyroxene and the \(\beta\) parameter has not been determined. However, Li diffusion
coefficients measured in albite and pyroxene (GILETTI and SHANAHAN, 1997; COOGAN et al., 2006) are typically 3-5 orders of magnitude higher than other minor and major elements (BRADY, 1995). Those measurements, together with a small ionic radius, suggest interstitial mechanism for Li diffusion in pyroxene and then a high-value for $\beta$ (SHOEN, 1958). The sole solid for which a value for $\beta$ was found is silicon metal, for which $\beta$ was found equal to its maximal value, ($\beta=1/2$, PELL, 1960). In the case of silicate phases, the only estimate found, was measured for Li exchange between molten basalt and rhyolite ($\beta=0.215$, RICHTER et al., 2003).

The value of $\beta$ is however crucial in the modeling of the maximum isotopic fractionation produced between crystals (locally) and their surrounding groundmass. This maximum isotopic fractionation depends not only on $\beta$ but also on the initial Li enrichment factor $[\text{Li}]_{\text{crystal}}/[\text{Li}]_{\text{groundmass}}$ (Fig. 7). Taking a Li enrichment factor of $\approx 0.23$ (corresponding to the case observed in MIL03346 where in the pyroxene core $[\text{Li}] = 2.3 \ \mu g/g$ while in the rim $[\text{Li}]\approx 10 \ \mu g/g$) a minimum value for $\beta$ of $\approx 0.15$ is required to reproduce the range of $\delta^{7}\text{Li}$ values observed ($\approx 20 \ %$) (Fig. 7). We have chosen in the following to model the effect of diffusion for $\beta=0.215$, as estimated by RICHTER et al. (2003) for silicate melts.

The temperature dependence of the diffusion coefficient ($D_{\text{Li}}$) can be expressed according to an Arrhenius law, $D_{\text{Li}} = D_0 \exp(-E_a/RT)$, where $D_0$ is the diffusion coefficient at infinite temperature, $E_a$ is the activation energy and $R$ is the ideal gas constant. In the case of pyroxene, $D_0=0.029 \ m^2/s$ and $E_a = 258 \ kJ$ (COOGAN et al., 2006). Calculations were run using linear cooling rates from a starting temperature of $T_0=1000^\circ C$ (solidus) to a final temperature of $600^\circ C$.

5.3. Implication of the diffusion model for phenocrysts from nakhlites
The [Li] and $\delta^7$Li zoning profiles modeled for the relaxation of the [Li] gradients between the groundmass and the phenocrysts at various cooling rates are compared with the profiles observed for MIL 03346 and NWA 817 in Figures 4 and 5. The enrichment factors used were of 0.23 ([Li]=2.3 µg/g in the core of the crystal and [Li]=10 µg/g in the rim, Table 2) for MIL 03346 and of 0.4 ([Li]=4 µg/g is the lowest content found in pyroxene from this meteorite (MUSSELWHITE et al., 2005) and [Li]=10 µg/g in pyroxene rim, Table 2) for NWA 817.

The most important result from this modeling is that it demonstrates that the variety of Li distributions observed in the pyroxenes can be explained in a rather straightforward way from the redistribution of Li and of its isotopes by diffusion.

The calculations show that differential diffusion of the two lithium isotopes will create low $\delta^7$Li edges (Fig. 4 and 5). For fast cooling rates, the isotopic composition of core of a 200 µm crystal is unaffected, but if the cooling rate is below about 50 K/hour, the $\delta^7$Li in the core will also decrease (Fig. 4 and 5). A very good fit is obtained between the modeled and the observed $\delta^7$Li profiles and a fair fit with the Li concentration is observed. Cooling rates of 50 K/h and 75 K/h are estimated for MIL 03346 and NWA 817, respectively. Our approach seems self consistent since the cooling rates, which give the best fit to the data, are similar for NWA 817 and MIL 03346, though the size of the two crystals studied differs.

Our implied cooling rates for NWA 817 and MIL 03346 are significantly higher than other estimates found in the literature. In the case of NWA 817 cooling rates calculated from Fe/Mg and Ca exchange at the interface between pyroxene and olivine are 0.5 and 2.2 K/h respectively (MIKOUCHI and MIYAMOTO, 2002) while experimental petrography suggests a cooling rate of 3-6 K/h for MIL 03346 (HAMMER and RUTHERFORD, 2005). However, because the uncertainty on measured activation energy propagates along the cooling history, cooling rates calculations from diffusion are typically precise at one or two order of
magnitude. As an example, cooling rates estimated for Nakhla using Ca or Fe/Mg diffusion result in almost a two orders of magnitude difference (MIKOUCHI and MIYAMOTO, 2002). In addition, we can remark that Li diffusivity for pyroxene was measured in Li enriched pyroxene (~2 % atoms per formula unit) (COOGAN et al., 2006). This high abundance may have fastened the diffusion process since favoring Li auto-diffusion. In the case of our studied crystals, Li concentrations are much lower (below 0.01 % atoms per formula units) and auto-diffusion is prohibited.

In order to fit at best the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ profiles, $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values of +10‰ and of +17‰ had to be assumed for the groundmass of MIL 03346 and NWA 817, respectively. These values are higher than the terrestrial and/or chondritic $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values. Reported $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values range from -3.8 ± 0.6‰ to +3.9 ± 0.6‰ for bulk carbonaceous chondrites (JAMES and PALMER, 2000; MCDONOUGH et al., 2003). $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values of fresh mid-oceanic ridge basalts are of ≈ +3-4 ‰ (CHAN et al., 1992; MORIGUTI and NAKAMURA, 1998; NISHIO and NAKAI, 2002), while the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ reported for bulk Martian meteorite lie between -0.6 ± 0.5 and +5.2 ± 0.5 ‰ (SEITZ et al., 2006, MAGNA et al., 2006).

Those heavy Li isotopic compositions are artificial since they are inherent to a limitation of our diffusion model. This model hypothesizes that the groundmass is an infinite reservoir, with thus a constant Li abundance and isotopic composition. However, through the diffusion history, as it looses Li, the groundmass will evolve toward heavier composition; the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ of the groundmass does not reflect the original magmatic isotopic composition. It can be pointed out that the $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values measured in NWA 817 pyroxene rims are higher that the measurements obtained in MIL 03346 pyroxene rims. This observation agrees well with the above proposition since Li abundances measured in pyroxenes are more equilibrated in NWA 817, than in MIL 03346.

Finally, Li concentrations increase from core to rim in Nakhla pyroxenes, though $\delta^7\text{Li}$-
values are rather constant (Fig. 3). If this concentration gradient was due to the addition of Li from the groundmass by diffusion, it should be associated to an isotopic fractionation. Because Nakhla contains a much smaller amount of groundmass than the two other meteorites studied (Nakhla (10 vol.%) < NWA 817 (20 vol.%) < MIL 03346 (22 vol.%), (LENTZ et al., 1999; SAUTTER et al., 2002; MIKOUCHI et al., 2005), the magnitude of the effects due to Li diffusion in the phenocrysts might be different.

5.4. Is kinetic fractionation of Li by diffusion related to shock effects?

Diffusive effects on Li distribution seem thus widespread in meteorites from Mars. It is important to evaluate whether this process is specific to meteorites or to Martian meteorites or if it should be considered as a more general phenomenon occurring for terrestrial rocks. Because shock metamorphism is ubiquitous in Martian meteorites, the effects of such a shock must be evaluated to see whether they correspond or not to the temperature-time path required for Li diffusion to produce the effects observed in the present nakhlites.

Two shock effects can be expected. The first one is the “dynamic” escape of lithium, which is the direct consequence of crystal compression, and depends only on the peak shock pressure. Such a shock-induced devolatilization was produced for H and C during shock experiments on terrestrial and extra-terrestrial rocks (TYBURCZY et al., 1990; TYBURCZY et al., 2001). However, the experiments performed by CHAKLADER et al. (2005) seem to indicate that this process does not apply to Li. The second effect is the diffusive relaxation of initial Li gradients during the temperature pulse associated with the shock event. This time-dependent process cannot be realistically tested by shock experiments because of their too short duration but it can tentatively be quantified. During a shock, the compression of materials induces a simultaneous temperature and pressure increase according to the Hugoniot equations (POIRIER, 1991). In the case of nakhlites, the peak temperature produced in the bulk rock is
likely to be below 400 K only, as revealed by the calculation of augite Hugoniot (MALAVERGNE et al., 2001). The peak temperature may even be lower according to Ar/Ar thermochronometry (WEISS and SHUSTER, 2005). Moreover, the shock duration is probably short, as revealed by the study of Zagami shock veins, for which the shock event lasted a few hundredth of second only (BECK et al., 2005). These low temperatures cannot produce any significant migration of Li during the shock events documented for Martian meteorites (for a temperature pulse of 400 K lasting $20 \times 10^{-3}$ s, the diffusion length of Li in albite is below an Angstrom). Alternatively, temperatures above 2400 K can be produced locally by frictional heating (CHEN et al., 1996), within shear-melt-veins (SMVs) or melt pockets (MP). Close to these “hot spots” a substantial migration of Li would be possible (during $20 \times 10^{-3}$ s at 2400 K, the diffusion length of Li in albite is 40 µm). However SMVs and MPs have never been observed yet in nakhlites. In the case of NWA 480, melt pockets are present in the meteorite, but they lack in the surroundings of the studied pyroxene. Thus, it seems reasonable to think that the Li distributions of nakhlites and shergottites were only moderately affected during their ejection from Mars.

If shocks are not the source of the diffusion processes, then Li elemental and isotopic redistributions can be expected to occur during the post-magmatic evolution of terrestrial porphyritic rocks having a cooling history equivalent to that of nakhlites (1-100 K/h). It seems necessary to perform similar studies on terrestrial samples, in order to investigate the local effects of diffusion in crystal, and the length scale of Li isotopic heterogeneities in bulk samples.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In NWA 817 and MIL 03346 nakhlites, large Li isotopic variations, decoupled from the variations of Li abundances, were found within augite crystals. Martian or terrestrial
weathering, involvement of a high-$\delta^7$Li reservoir, shock metamorphism, or degassing processes can account neither for the ranges of $\delta^7$Li values, nor the shapes of the profiles in Li concentrations and isotopic compositions. A viable mechanism to produce these complex Li distributions seems to be post-magmatic diffusive exchange of Li between crystals and groundmass. Though the feasibility of such a process can be established by modeling, it is obvious that experimental data are lacking for the diffusivities of the two isotopes in pyroxene and olivine.

Since the initial Li magmatic record was perturbed by diffusion processes in two of the three studied nakhlites, the use of Li as an indicator of degassing processes appears questionable. Our calculations suggest that even a very restricted amount of diffusion can produce large isotopic variations (> 20 ‰). In Nakhla, the isotopic variation we measured is more restricted, and the initial Li zoning could be preserved. This difference could be explained by a smaller amount of groundmass in Nakhla that in the other samples studied or from geometric effects. In other crystals from the same section or in another section, large $\delta^7$Li variations may be measured.
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Table 1: Chemical composition of the standard materials used for Li abundance and Li isotopes measurements (opx=orthopyroxene, cpx=clinopyroxene).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxyde wt. %</th>
<th>Nazca (glass)</th>
<th>BZ226 (opx)</th>
<th>BZ226 (cpx)</th>
<th>BZCG (cpx)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>48.69</td>
<td>54.14</td>
<td>51.36</td>
<td>50.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>7.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>14.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>22.23</td>
<td>21.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg#</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>89.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg/Si</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li (ppm)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ⁷Li (%o)</td>
<td>+5.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>-4.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-4.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>+10.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Li abundances and isotopic compositions measured in augite and olivine from Nakhla and NWA 817. Major element features of the analyzed areas are also given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Distance to core (µm)</th>
<th>Distance to rim (µm)</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Mg#</th>
<th>TiO$_2$ (wt.%)</th>
<th>Na$_2$O (wt.%)</th>
<th>Li (µg/g)</th>
<th>$\delta^{7}$Li (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nakhla</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyroxenes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.4}$Wo$</em>{38.0}$Fs$_{25.5}$</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>+9.6 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #2</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>En$<em>{38.4}$Wo$</em>{38.0}$Fs$_{23.6}$</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>+6.8 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>En$<em>{37.0}$Wo$</em>{37.9}$Fs$_{25.1}$</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+9.8 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.9}$Wo$</em>{39.9}$Fs$_{23.3}$</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>+7.4 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #5</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>En$<em>{34.1}$Wo$</em>{37.9}$Fs$_{28.0}$</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>+6.0 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>En$<em>{33.0}$Wo$</em>{37.4}$Fs$_{28.7}$</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>+12.6 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px3 #7</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>En$<em>{39.3}$Wo$</em>{37.5}$Fs$_{23.0}$</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>+6.4 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olivines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ol1 #9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>Fa$_{68.4}$</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>+10.2 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ol2 #10</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Fa$_{65.6}$</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>+13.3 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NWA 817</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyroxenes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #1</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>En$<em>{33.6}$Wo$</em>{40.3}$Fs$_{26.1}$</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>+16.8 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #2</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>En$<em>{37.1}$Wo$</em>{40.4}$Fs$_{22.6}$</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>+12.2 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.8}$Wo$</em>{40.4}$Fs$_{22.4}$</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>+7.4 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.5}$Wo$</em>{40.5}$Fs$_{23.3}$</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>+3.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.9}$Wo$</em>{40.4}$Fs$_{22.8}$</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>+1.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.9}$Wo$</em>{40.3}$Fs$_{22.8}$</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>+3.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.9}$Wo$</em>{39.5}$Fs$_{23.3}$</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>+8.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #8</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.2}$Wo$</em>{39.9}$Fs$_{24.0}$</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>+3.5 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #9</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>En$<em>{37.3}$Wo$</em>{39.5}$Fs$_{23.3}$</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>+14.4 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #10</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.4}$Wo$</em>{41.1}$Fs$_{22.6}$</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>+14.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #18</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>En$<em>{15.9}$Wo$</em>{42.3}$Fs$_{41.8}$</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>+20.6 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #19</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>En$<em>{37.1}$Wo$</em>{40.5}$Fs$_{22.4}$</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>+15.1 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #20</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>En$<em>{37.3}$Wo$</em>{40.4}$Fs$_{22.4}$</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>+11.1 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #21</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>En$<em>{37.0}$Wo$</em>{40.3}$Fs$_{22.6}$</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>+8.7 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.8}$Wo$</em>{40.3}$Fs$_{23.3}$</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>+7.7 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.7}$Wo$</em>{40.2}$Fs$_{22.6}$</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>+0.9 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #24</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>En$<em>{37.9}$Wo$</em>{39.3}$Fs$_{22.8}$</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>+5.5 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #25</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>En$<em>{37.4}$Wo$</em>{39.8}$Fs$_{23.1}$</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>+3.8 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #26</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.0}$Wo$</em>{40.4}$Fs$_{22.6}$</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>+11.2 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px2 #27</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>En$<em>{36.7}$Wo$</em>{40.4}$Fs$_{22.9}$</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>+19.5 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olivines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ol1 #36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>Fa$_{57.1}$</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>-1.9 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ol1 #38</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Fa$_{76.1}$</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>+7.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ol2 #46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Fa$_{65.2}$</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>-0.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ol2 #51</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Fa$_{58.1}$</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>+9.4 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electron microprobe analyses were carried out at Ifremer (Centre de Brest) with a Cameca SX50. Analyses were generally obtained at 15 kV accelerating voltage with a sample current of 12 nA.
Table 3: Li abundances and isotopic compositions of a profile in an augite crystal from MIL 03346. Major element features of the analyzed areas are also given.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Distance to core (µm)</th>
<th>Distance to rim (µm)</th>
<th>Composition (mol %)</th>
<th>Mg#</th>
<th>TiO₂ (wt.%)</th>
<th>Na₂O (wt.%)</th>
<th>Li (µg/g)</th>
<th>δ⁷Li (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIL 03346,6 pyroxene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>En₃₆.₅Wo₄₀.₈Fs₂₂.₇</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>+4.7 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #2</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>En₃₆.₃Wo₄₀.₈Fs₂₂.₈</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>+2.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #3</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>En₃₅.₅Wo₄₀.₆Fs₂₃.₇</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-5.1 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #4</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>En₃₆.₃Wo₄₀.₅Fs₂₃.₃</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-11.3 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>En₃₅.₅Wo₄₁.₄Fs₂₃.₁</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-2.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>En₃₆.₄Wo₄₁.₃Fs₂₂.₃</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>+2.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>En₃₅.₆Wo₄₁.₂Fs₂₃.₀</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>+4.2 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>En₃₅.₉Wo₄₁.₂Fs₂₂.₉</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-1.6 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #9</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>En₃₅.₅Wo₄₁.₁Fs₂₃.₉</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-6.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #10</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>En₃₆.₁Wo₄₀.₇Fs₂₃.₂</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-11.8 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>px1 #11</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>En₃₅.₄Wo₃₈.₈Fs₃₆.₁</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>+8.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electron microprobe analyses were carried out at Ifremer (Centre de Brest) with a Cameca SX50. Analyses were generally obtained at 15 kV accelerating voltage with a sample current of 12 nA.
Figure 1: Mg distribution maps of polished sections of MIL 03346, NWA 817 and Nakhla. Zoned augite crystals are mainly orange and groundmass is dark. Olivines (red) are seen here only in NWA 817.
Figure 2: Variation of $\delta^7\text{Li}$ and [Li] relative to atomic Fe/(Fe+Mg) in pyroxenes from the three studied nakhlites.
Figure 3: Variations of Li and $\delta^7\text{Li}$ relative to TiO$_2$ in nakhlite augites.
Figure 4: Li abundance and isotopic composition distribution across two augite crystals in NWA 817. The observed and calculated diffusion profiles are compared (see the text for more details).
Figure 5: Li abundance and isotopic composition distribution across a large augite crystal in MIL 03346. The observed and calculated diffusion profiles are compared (see the text for more details).
Figure 6: Variation of $\delta^7\text{Li}$ relative to 1/[Li] in nakhlites.
Figure 7: Maximum isotopic variation in a 200 µm crystal as a function of the initial crystal to groundmass concentration ratio.