Contribution of several nitrogen sources to growth of *Alexandrium catenella* during blooms in Thau lagoon, southern France
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Abstract:

A monitoring program with a weekly sampling frequency over a 15-month period indicates that urea concentrations above a certain threshold level may trigger the blooms of *Alexandrium catenella* in Thau lagoon. However, urea concentrations were also sometimes related to ammonium and dissolved organic nitrogen concentrations, indicating that the role of urea may not be a direct one. An original approach is used to assess the relative contribution of several nitrogen sources (nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, urea) to growth of *A. catenella* by comparing nitrogen uptake rates to nitrogen-based growth rates estimated from dilution experiments during four blooms over a 4-year period (2001–2004) in Thau lagoon. Nitrate and nitrite contributed 0.1–14% and 0.1–5% respectively of growth requirements. Ammonium and urea were the main N sources fueling growth of *A. catenella* (30–100% and 2–59%, respectively). Indirect estimates indicated that an unidentified N source could also contribute significantly to growth at specific times. Concerning ammonium and urea uptake kinetics, half-saturation constants varied between 0.2 and 20 μg N L$^{-1}$ for ammonium and between 0.1 and 44 μg N L$^{-1}$ over the 4-year period, indicating that *A. catenella* can have a competitive advantage over other members of the phytoplankton even under low concentrations of ammonium and urea. However, the observed large changes in ammonium and urea uptake kinetics on a short time scale (days) during blooms preclude more precise estimates of those contributions to growth and require further investigation.
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Introduction

Since 1998, recurrent toxic (Paralytic Shellfish Poisoning) blooms of *Alexandrium catenella* occur in Thau lagoon (French Mediterranean coast) during spring and/or autumn. They always originate in Angle Creek, a small creek in the north-eastern corner of the lagoon. Nitrogenous nutrients such as urea have been suggested to be important in triggering flagellate blooms (Robert et al., 1986; Glibert and Terlizzi, 1999). However, the relationship may not be a direct one because urea can be degraded to ammonium before being used by phytoplankton. A first study (Collos et al., 2004) indicated a low affinity of *A. catenella* for nitrogen compounds (nitrate, ammonium, urea) relative to other members of the phytoplankton community and an unbalanced growth following a nutrient pulse with initially high nitrogen accumulation followed by cell division. Here, we report further results from 3 other blooms. We also use an original approach relating nitrogen uptake rates to gross growth rates of *A. catenella* in order to estimate the contribution of each nitrogen compound to vegetative growth and a possible deficit pointing to a nitrogen source other than inorganic nitrogen or urea. The rationale behind this approach is to express both nitrogen uptake rates and growth rates on a common basis: nitrogen. This allows the direct comparison of rates and so the contribution of each nitrogen source to growth can be estimated. Here we test four nitrogen sources (nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, urea) for which $^{15}$N labelled compounds are readily available.

Material and methods

The Thau lagoon is a shallow marine lagoon located on the French Mediterranean coast (43°24'N-3°36'E) covering 75 km$^2$ (Fig. 1). It has a mean depth of 4 m with a maximum
depth of 10 m. The lagoon is connected to the sea by 3 narrow channels. Three oyster farming zones are located along the northwestern shore. The lagoon represents 10% of French oyster production and is the main oyster production center on the Mediterranean. Since 1998, it has experienced recurrent blooms of *Alexandrium catenella* that periodically threaten economic activities. Those blooms always originate in Angle Creek, a shallow embayment located on the northeastern part of the lagoon.

From October 1999 to January 2001, along a regular monitoring program for cell counts of *A. catenella*, we measured urea concentrations on a weekly basis at two stations (Fig. 1): station A5 in Angle Creek (position 43°26.916’ N, 003°40.300’ E) and station “Bouzigues” (labelled B in Fig. 1) located outside Angle Creek and near the first row of oyster pens (position 43° 26.070’ N, 003° 39.920’ E). In 2003, a more extensive sampling program was implemented with weekly sampling for chemical and biological variables (see below) at station A5. During periods of blooms, a grid of 21 stations within Angle Creek was sampled on selected dates for the same variables.

During natural blooms of *Alexandrium catenella*, uptake kinetics of several N compounds were studied by the \(^{15}\text{N}\) tracer technique. In parallel, *in situ* gross and net growth rates as well as grazing rates were determined over 24 h by the dilution method (Landry and Hassett, 1982). Enrichments were 20 µmol \(^{15}\text{NH}_4\)-N·l\(^{-1}\) and all other nutrients in stoichiometric proportions. Surface water was sampled with polycarbonate (PLC) bottles because sampling bottles for which the internal rubber spring had been replaced by silicone tubing still remained toxic to phytoplankton (Collos et al., 2005). A 9 liter sample stored in a 10 liter PLC square bottle was brought back to the laboratory. After gentle stirring, aliquots were withdrawn from this sample and used for various measurements (see below).

**Cell counts.** Cell numbers and chain numbers were determined with a haemocytometer. Cell motility was noted before fixation, using an inverted microscope.
Nutrients. Nitrate was measured according to Wood et al. (1967), nitrite according to Bendschneider and Robinson (1952) and urea according to Goeyens et al. (1998). Samples for ammonium determination were immediately fixed and measured using the method of Koroleff (1976). For the other nutrients, field samples were brought to the laboratory, stored in acid precleaned PLC carboys. Filtrations and storage of samples were performed within one hour after sampling, in an all glass filtering system through a precombusted (450°C for 6 h) Whatman GF/F filter (vacuum <10 cm Hg). Filtrates were immediately frozen in precombusted Pyrex flasks for later analysis with a segmented flow analyser. Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) was measured following Armstrong and Tibbits (1968).

Particulate matter and isotopic analyses. Samples (50 ml) were filtered on Pall Gelman A/E 13 mm precombusted filters. There was a linear relationship between PC, PN and volume filtered between 1 and 50 ml (Collos, 2002). Filters were dried at 60°C for 24 h, then stored at room temperature. Analyses of particulate carbon, particulate nitrogen, $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N content were done on the same sample with a PDZ Europa elemental analysis-mass spectrometry Integra CN system. Calculation of uptake rates were according to Collos (1987), and expressed in units of day$^{-1}$ in order to be compatible with growth rate estimates. Vmax was also expressed on the basis of cell numbers in order to correct for underestimates of uptake due to isotopic dilution of the tracer in the particulate matter by other unlabelled sources (Collos and Slawyk, 1985).

Pigment analyses. Chlorophyll a, b, c and pheopigments a, b and c were measured by spectrofluorometry (Neveux and Lantoine, 1993) on Pall Gelman A/E 13 mm filters.

Uptake kinetics. Samples were pre-filtered on a 1000 µm mesh, in order to remove major debris (Dupuy et al., 2000), but maintain near natural conditions (Redden et al., 2002) and brought back to the laboratory. 50 ml aliquots were distributed in 60 mL PLC square bottles and spiked first with a solution of $^{13}$C labelled bicarbonate at a constant concentration, then
with 8 different concentrations and two different ranges (either 0.1 to 10 µgat N l⁻¹ or 1 to 100 µgat N l⁻¹) of various N compounds (nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, urea) and incubated for 1 h. Samples were then processed as above (particulate matter and isotopic analyses).

For determination of kinetic constants, raw data was first inspected visually for biphasic or multiphasic behavior. In case of the latter, only data points corresponding to the first phase were selected to compute the affinity constant (Ks) and the maximum uptake rate (Vmax) with a non linear regression technique.

*Net and gross growth rate.* A modification of the dilution technique of Landry and Hassett (1982) was used (Collos et al., 2004). A 5% dilution level was prepared with 0.2 µm filtered seawater, and enriched with h/2 medium (Andersen et al., 2005) with only ammonium as a nitrogen source at a final concentration of 20 µgat N l⁻¹ (H series), along with an undiluted sample, and a control without enrichment (T series). Differential enrichments following Andersen et al. (1991) were also prepared: h/2 medium without N and h/2 medium without P. Incubations were carried out in the laboratory under the same conditions as the cultures. The 5% sample was considered to be without grazers and this approach is satisfactory when biomass levels are high as in those bloom situations (Dolan et al., 2000). Growth rates were calculated according to Guillard (1973) based on chlorophyll a, chlorophyll c, PC, PN and cell numbers.

Incubations took place in the lab under an irradiance of 100 µmol.m⁻².s⁻¹ and 20±1°C. N-based gross growth rates and N uptake rates were expressed in units of time⁻¹. Those values are underestimates of true rates (Collos, 1987), but are nevertheless directly comparable. Nitrogen uptake rates were related to gross growth rates of *A. catenella* in order to estimate the contribution of each N compound to vegetative growth and the possible deficit pointing to a N source other than inorganic nitrogen or urea. The contribution of each N source is the ratio of its uptake rate (d⁻¹) to the N-based growth rate (d⁻¹). For the unknown source (X), N uptake
rates are summed up and the total is then subtracted from the N-based growth rate. The remaining part is attributed to unknown N sources.

Results

Out of the 65 samples recovered over the 15 month period (1999-2001), only 5% exhibited urea concentrations above 0.5 µgat N.l\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2). The highest values occurred on 5 June 2000 at station A5 and on 29 May 2000 at station Bouzigues. The relationship shown in Fig. 2 between urea concentration and cell density of *A. catenella* was obtained with a one week time lag. This was done because there is no instantaneous increase in cell-based growth rate following an increase in limiting substrate concentration for phytoplankton in general (Collos, 1986) and *A. catenella* in particular (Collos et al., 2004). However the effect of urea may not be a direct one because there were also significant relationships between urea and ammonium during a spatial survey covering the Angle Creek sector at 21 stations (Fig. 3), and between urea and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) during a time series over 6 months at station A5 (Fig. 4). Urea represented between 3 and 25% of dissolved organic nitrogen in Angle Creek over a six month period with weekly sampling.

A summary of biomass estimates during *A. catenella* blooms (Table 1) indicates that cell densities ranged over almost two orders of magnitude, while there were less variations in chlorophylls and particulate carbon and nitrogen. *A. catenella* represented between 62 (October 2001) and 99 % (November 2001) of total cell numbers, the percentage varying between 83 and 92% at the other dates.
Gross growth rates calculated on the basis of changes in cell numbers, chlorophyll a, and particulate nitrogen (PN) are presented in Table 2. The cell-based growth rate ranged between -0.12 and 0.89 d\(^{-1}\) and was related neither to the other growth estimates nor to the grazing rates for the whole data set. However, if the November 2004 data point was removed, the relationship between cell-based growth rates and grazing rates became significant (p<0.05), and the slope (1.5) indicated that grazing was generally greater than gross growth.

The Chl a-based growth and grazing rates were related in a significant (p<0.05) way and the slope (0.94) indicated that both processes were in balance. The PN-based and Chl a-based growth rates were related significantly to each other (r\(^2\)=0.712, p<0.05). Chl a-based growth rates were generally higher than cell-based growth rate (2 to 10x) excepted in October 2003 when both rates were negative and in November 2004 when the highest cell-based growth rates ever reported for *A. catenella*, corresponding to 1.1 to 1.3 divisions per day were recorded. At that time, balanced growth seem to have been achieved over 24 h.

In unenriched controls, cell-based growth rates were very low or negative, and were not related to rates in enriched samples. Differential enrichments indicated primary N limitation (all samples enriched with medium without N exhibited negative growth rates, except in November 2004 with a low value of 0.16 d\(^{-1}\)) and secondary P limitation (samples enriched with medium without P exhibited growth rates between 18 and 100% of the controls with full enrichment. Chla-based growth in unenriched samples were significantly correlated to those in enriched samples but rates were reduced by about half.

Uptake kinetics were measured during each bloom (Fig. 5) and used to calculate uptake rates at in situ concentrations. Those values, when compared to growth estimates based on nitrogen as a biomass index (Table 2), allow to estimate the contribution of each N compound to growth of *A. catenella* (Table 3). From those values, nitrate and nitrite are minor substrates for growth, while ammonium and urea supply most of the nitrogen needed. Indirect
estimates also indicate a sometimes important (up to 67%) contribution of another N compound that is neither nitrate, nitrite, ammonium or urea.

Concerning ammonium and urea uptake kinetics, half-saturation constants varied between 0.2 and 20 µgat N.l⁻¹ for ammonium and between 0.1 and 44 µgat N.l⁻¹ over the four year period. Over smaller time scales (days), a large variability in uptake parameters was sometimes observed (Fig. 6). This occurred during the development of a bloom of *A. catenella*, with the biomass being multiplied by ten over 3 days in terms of cell numbers (0.7 vs. 10.1 millions cells.l⁻¹) as well as chl a (17.4 vs 150 µg.I⁻¹). Vmax values were similar in absolute (per cells) or specific (per unit N) rates but Ks varied over an order of magnitude (0.5 and 6.2 µgat N.l⁻¹) over the same three days. The increases in ammonium uptake with ammonium concentrations in those experiments were accompanied by decreases in inorganic carbon fixation (Fig. 6) but the ranges of C/N uptake ratios differed markedly between dates. They ranged from 10 to 60 mol C/mol N initially, and from 20 to 1300 mol C/mol N three days later, reflecting a greater contribution of another N source as the bloom developed. During the same period, the C/N composition ratios did not change markedly (7.8 vs 7.2 mol C/mol N). The cell-based and PN-based growth rates both decreased by a factor of about 2 over the 3 day period (26-29 September 2003). Initial pheophytin a/chlorophyll a (Pa/Ca) ratios ranged from 0.07 (November 2001) to 0.23 (October 2001). After 24 h incubations, the final Pa/Ca ratios ranged from 0.06 to 0.26.

Discussion

The results of the monitoring program over a one year period (Fig. 2) suggest a threshold effect (0.5 to 1.5 µgat N.l⁻¹) similar to that described by Glibert and Terlizzi (1999) in aquaculture ponds, excepted for the one week time lag that was introduced following the
assumption that the growth response of *A. catenella* to an increase in urea is not instantaneous. The importance of urea relative to the total DON pool (3-25%) is higher than the average value mentioned in a recent review (Bronk, 2002), but lower than in the Chesapeake Bay (Glibert et al. 2006). It is in the same range as that reported by Mitamura and Saijo (1975) in a shallow eutrophic bay. This suggests the potential importance of urea in such environments. Although the relationship in Fig. 2 is striking in its similarity with results from Glibert and Terlizzi (1999), its interpretation is not easy for at least two reasons. The first one is that a concentration is the result of two processes: production and consumption. The second one is illustrated by the relationship between urea and other components of the dissolved N pool (Fig. 3 and 4). This indicates that the effect of urea may not be a direct one.

In order to further explore those relationships, we measured rates of uptake and growth based on a common unit: nitrogen. As mentioned in the methods section, both rates are underestimated (Collos, 1987), but the significant relationship between PN-based and Chl a-based growth rates indicates that most of the changes in PN are due to *A. catenella*, and the slope of the regression indicates that PN-based growth rates are underestimated by about 33%. Our approach involves a number of assumptions and calculations that introduce uncertainty in the final estimates. The « real » values probably lie between those of the H and T series shown in Table 3 because the H series overestimate growth rates due to enrichment and the T series underestimate growth rates because there was no enrichment. But the main cause of variability in such estimates seems to come from the short term changes in uptake kinetics such as those described in Fig. 5.

Concerning ammonium uptake kinetics, the two extreme Ks values (0.5 and 6.2 µgat N.l⁻¹) found here over a 3 day interval are lower than the value of 8.4 µgat N.l⁻¹ previously found during the November 2001 bloom (Collos et al., 2004). Possibly the changes and decreases in growth between September 26 and 29, 2003 were due to light limitation because
of self-shading by the high biomass (150 µg chl a.l⁻¹). However, this was certainly not the case in November 2004 when a similar biomass (143.5 µg chl a.l⁻¹) was observed, yet the highest cell-based growth rate ever reported for *A. catenella* was recorded simultaneously (Table 2).

Harrison (1976) also observed large differences in Kₚ for natural populations of the dinoflagellate *Gonyaulax polyedra* sampled at different times and interpreted these as reflecting differences in the physiological condition of the organisms. In our case, the ten fold increase in KNH₄ over 3 days was accompanied by a 2 fold decrease in cell-based growth rate. During the same period, the Pa/Ca ratio decreased from 0.17 to 0.10. In October 2003, the negative growth rate was accompanied by a Pa/Ca ratio of 0.11. For healthy phytoplankton, values of the Pa/Ca ratio range from 0.05 to 0.10 (Shuman and Lorenzen, 1975; Barlow et al., 1993; Fundel et al., 1998). So the low or negative growth rates do not seem to be due to degradation of photosystem II. The simultaneous occurrence of negative growth rates and low Pa/Ca ratios was also observed in cultures of *A. catenella* and may reflect encystment or gametogenesis in response to an environmental stress (Collos et al., 2006).

The maximal cell-based gross growth rate reported here (0.89 d⁻¹) is 62% higher than the maxima of 0.50-0.55 d⁻¹ measured so far in laboratory cultures for *A. catenella* (Matsuda et al., 1999; Doblin et al., 2000; Collos et al., 2004). Using the dilution technique with natural populations, Garcés et al. (2005) reported gross cell-based growth rates of *A. catenella* between 0.24 and 0.44 d⁻¹ in Tarragona harbor, with apparently no nutrient limitation. This lack of nutrient limitation contrasts with our results but is probably due to the higher nutrient levels than in Thau lagoon. Typically, DIN levels in blooms were always below 10 µgat N.l⁻¹ in Angle Creek while they could reach 60 µgat N.l⁻¹ in Tarragona harbour. Garcés et al. (2005) also reported generally higher (3 to 5 times) chla–based growth rates than cell-based
growth rates on the 24 h time scale which confirms our previous (Collos et al. 2004) and present results concerning the time lag between N uptake, chla synthesis and cell division. The very large difference (10x) between chla–based growth rates and cell-based growth rates in October 2001 is probably due to the lower contribution of A. catenella (62%) to the total phytoplankton. In fact, removing the 25 October 2001 data point actually improves the relationship between the chla –based growth rate and chla-based grazing rate and the relationship between PN-based growth and chla-based growth, p values becoming less than 0.01 for both.

A close relationship between cell based and chla based growth rates is not to be expected because of the varying chla cell content (Carlsson et al., 1998, Carignan et al., 2002). The only exception was the November 2004 bloom where balanced growth appears to have been achieved, and a possible cause for the highest growth rate ever reported for this organism (1.3 div.d\(^{-1}\)). Those rates were obtained under artificial light in the laboratory and are lower than growth rates of other dinoflagellates incubated under natural light (Smayda, 1996). Still, the higher growth rates obtained in the field compared to laboratory cultures point out to a lack of some growth factor in culture media used so far for A. catenella. Concerning the unknown N source identified by indirect means, it could be DON such as humic acids (Carlsson et al., 1998) or PN such as cyanobacteria of the genus *Synechococcus* which has recently been shown to be grazed by A. catenella (Jeong et al., 2005).

Our results also show that A. catenella can have a competitive advantage over other members of the phytoplankton even under low concentrations of ammonium and urea.

The main challenge now remaining is to better constrain the uptake values as there are rapid changes in ammonium uptake kinetics on short time scales, introducing a large variability in calculations of uptake values. Interactions between ammonium and urea also have to be studied in order to better define the role of urea in the growth
of *A. catenella*. In phytoplankton, such interactions appear to be complex and both species and nutrient state dependent (Waser et al., 1998). Data available from the present study indicate an inhibition of urea uptake by ammonium concentrations above 0.2 µg N L⁻¹, but this phenomenon clearly requires further investigation in simpler systems such as laboratory cultures because processes such as ammonium excretion during ammonium assimilation (Uchida, 1976; Price and Harrison, 1988) complicates the relationship.
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Table 1. Description of *Alexandrium catenella* blooms in Angle Creek

Chl : chlorophyll ; PC : particulate carbon ; PN : particulate nitrogen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>A. catenella</th>
<th>Chl a</th>
<th>Chl c</th>
<th>PC</th>
<th>PN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10^6 cells.l⁻¹)</td>
<td>(µg.l⁻¹)</td>
<td>(µmol C.l⁻¹)</td>
<td>(µmol N.l⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Oct. 01</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Nov 01</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>414.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Sept. 03</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>177.5</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Sept. 03</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>295.8</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Sept. 03</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>679.7</td>
<td>87.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Oct. 03</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>250.4</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>1487.0</td>
<td>164.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Nov. 04</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>495.0</td>
<td>70.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Gross growth rates of *A. catenella* estimated by the dilution technique over 24 hours and using different biomass estimates.

PN: particulate nitrogen, G: grazing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Cell-(\mu_{\text{max}}) (d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Chla-(\mu_{\text{max}}) (d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>PN-(\mu_{\text{max}}) (d(^{-1}))</th>
<th>cell-G (d(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 Oct. 2001</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Nov. 2001</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Sept. 2003</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Sept. 2003</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Sept. 2003</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Oct. 2003</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Nov. 2004</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Contribution of known and unknown nitrogen sources to PN-based growth of *A. catenella* during blooms. Range of values from 6 series of measurements over 3 years.

In the H series with full enrichment, the contribution of NH$_4$ corresponds to 20 µmol N.l$^{-1}$ and other N sources at *in situ* concentrations. In the T series without any enrichment, the contributions of all known N sources correspond to *in situ* concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N source</th>
<th>% total H</th>
<th>% total T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>growth</td>
<td>growth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.1 – 3</td>
<td>5 – 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>0.1 – 1</td>
<td>1 – 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>30 – 68</td>
<td>32 -100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>2 – 36</td>
<td>48 – 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>0 – 67</td>
<td>0 – 13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure captions

1. Study site and station locations (A5 and B). Urban areas in black, shellfish farming areas in grey.

2. Relationship between urea concentrations and cell densities of *A. catenella* at two stations in Thau lagoon over a 15 month period.

3. Relationship between urea and ammonium concentrations during a spatial survey of Angle Creek

4. Relationship between dissolved organic nitrogen (minus urea) and urea concentration at one station in Angle Creek over a six month period.

5. Representative relationship between specific uptake rates of several nitrogen compounds and concentrations in Angle Creek during a bloom of *A. catenella*.
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