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#### Abstract

: An Ecopath model of the southern part of Lake Kivu, a deep African equatorial lake was constructed to quantitatively describe the possible impact of fish introductions in this ecosystem. This study is considered as an initial step in summarizing ecological and biological information, under a coherent framework, on this ecosystem. Fourteen compartments were considered. As a phytoplankton-based food web, it is observed that key food sources are not fully utilized as transfer efficiencies per trophic levels (TL) varies between 4.5 and $9.4 \%$. Zooplankton plays a major role in transferring organic matter from TL1 to higher TLs due to the abundance of zooplanktivores. Shifts in food preferences and distribution of some of the fish functional groups were observed as a response to competition. The majority of fish biomass concentration is in TL3 (55\%). The fishery is concentrated at TL3 and can, therefore, be consider as "immature", sensu Odum. The direct and indirect effects of predation between system components (i.e. fish, invertebrates, phytoplankton and detritus) are quantitatively described and the possible influence and role in the ecosystem's functioning of introduced fish species are discussed.
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## Introduction

Introduction of alien species in aquatic ecosystems is stimulated by increasing demand for food to sustain increasing human population and poverty, enhance fish stocks, generate economic benefits, as biomanipulation stratagem and sustain recreational fisheries (Welcomme, 1988 Welcomme, R.L., 1988. International Transfers of Inland Fish Species. FAO Fisheries Technical Papers 294, 318 pp.[Welcomme, 1988], [Pitcher and Hart, 1995], [Irz et al., 2004] and [Saltveit, 2006]). However, this strategy is often unacceptable as it leads to detrimental impacts on ecology of wild stocks that frails ecosystem structure ([Wilcove et al., 1998], [Lodge et al., 1998], [Allen and Humble, 2002], [Kolar and Lodge, 2002], [Sax and Gaines,

2003; Gurevitch and Padilla, 2004; Didham et al., 2005; Arim et al., 2006), fisheries economy (Mack et al., 2000; Pimentel et al., 2001) and recreation (Winfield and Durie, 2004).

Widespread introductions of non indigenous species have been categorized as a major cause of natural species extinction compared to habitat fragmentation (MacDonald et al., 1989; Lodge et al., 1998; Davis and Thompson, 2000; Allen and Humble, 2002; Sax and Gaines, 2003; Gurevitch and Padilla, 2004; Didham et al., 2005; Arim et al., 2006) in both terrestrial (Rejmánek and Richardson, 1996; McCann, 2000; Smith et al., 2000; Allen and Humble, 2002; Guo et al., 2006; Lovett et al., 2006) and aquatic systems (Mills et al., 1993; Pitcher and Hart, 1995; Puth and Post, 2005; Latini and Petrere Jr., 2004; Dudgeon et al., 2006). Although in the latter, biological invasions have been recognized as a persisting problem compared to pathologic crisis in terrestrial ecosystems (Dudegeon et al., 2006).

Questions on success of exotics and the damaging impacts to native stocks at the ecosystem level have fascinated many ecologists, such as Crawley (1987), Naeem et al. (2000) and Kennedy et al. (2002). Ecological systems are extremely complex networks, consisting of many biological species that interact in many different ways, such as mutualism, competition, parasitism and feeding relationships. The latter can cause invasions, extirpations, and population fluctuations of a species to dramatically affect other species within a variety of natural habitats (Pimentel et al., 2001; Winfield and Durie, 2004). According to Hobbs (1989), successful invasion in natural communities depend on species dispersal, establishment and survival with the number of species per area established by immigration-extinction equilibrium. Success of exotics also depends on tolerance and broad ecological demands, ability to adapt to habitat and environmental conditions and $r$-selected life histories (Craig, 1992; Murichi et al., 1995).

Cases where introduction of exotics have been reported beneficial are rare in both terrestrial (Schutzenhofer and Valone, 2006) and aquatic ecosystems (Gottlieb and Schweighofer, 1996). Elevated biodiversity has been observed to increase resistance from invasions in terrestrial and aquatic systems by creating insurance through functional redundancy (Simberloff and Von Holle, 1999; Sax and Brown, 2000; Naeem et al., 2000; Kennedy et al., 2002; Raffaelli et al., 2002; Stachowicz et al., 2002).

The importance of considering a trophic network approach is that it can elucidate feeding relationships which occur between species in an ecological community and determine functional roles of species groups in the ecosystem (Yodzis and Winemiller, 1999). Indeed, numerous evidences suggest that food web structures are susceptible to a wide array of human activities, including species introductions or invasions (Vander Zanden et al., 1999), habitat alteration (Wootton et al., 1996), and global environmental warming (Petchey et al., 1999).

Quantitative trophic analyses at the ecosystem level were carried out in some African Lakes where exotic species were introduced (Moreau, 1995; Moreau et al., 1993; 2001; Villaneuva and Moreau, 2001). A similar approach has been carried out in other African lakes, i.e. Lake Victoria (Moreau, 1995; Villanueva and Moreau 2001), Lake Naivasha (Mavuti et al., 1996; Moreau et al., 2001) or Lake Kariba (Moreau, 1997), to determine the state of biologic community alterations following fish introductions. As effects of fish introductions and its exploitation on the community and ecosystem level are still unknown in Lake Kivu. The aim of the present contribution is to study the food web structure, species interactions, role of exotics in the ecosystem and compare these to observations in other tropical lakes where fish introductions
occurred. Understanding trophic links is crucial in predicting future impact of species invasion in natural food web structure and functioning.

## Material and Methods

## Study site

Lake Kivu (Fig.1) has a surface area of $2370 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ of which $1370 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ is a part of the Congolese territory. An international aquatic system situated along the Congo-Rwanda border at an altitude of 1463 m . It is located between $1^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ and $2^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ latitude south and between $28^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ and $29^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ longitude east. It is a deep (maximum depth 490 m ) equatorial lake with an average water depth of about 240 m . The littoral area stretches not further than 50 m away from the lake's extensive (1200 km) shoreline (Van den Bossche and Bernascek, 1990; Verheyen et al., 2003). It is a meromictic lake with deep relict hypolimnion where beneath lies a vast methane gas reserves (Coulter et al., 1984; Snoeks, 1994). Permanent water stratification is observed: anoxic below 60 m while the deeper part of the lake is methane saturated (Coulter et al., 1984; Van den Bossche and Bernascek, 1990; Isumbisho et al., 2006; Sarmento et al., 2006). Annual precipitation in the region is about 1300 mm , relatively higher along the occidental than the oriental side of the lake, which experiences virtually no variations in water level. The average surface water temperature is about $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Snoeks, 1994).

Several lakes of the East African Rift Valley are characterized by a deep pelagic zone which is colonized by abundant native small pelagic fish (Coulter et al., 1984; Lowe-McConnell, 1993). A well documented exception among these lakes is Lake Kivu. Compared to other lakes of the Rift Valley the fish diversity is relatively poor with only 26 endemic species belonging to
the Cichlidae, Clariidae, Cyprinidae and Clupeidae families (Hanek et al., 1991; Snoeks, 1994). The Cichlids are the most represented with 17 endemic haplochromines (De Vos et al., 2001).

Exotic fishes were introduced to increase biodiversity and productivity of the lake (Welcomme, 1988). Fish stocking in Lake Kivu dates back in the 1950s where two cichlids, Oreochromis macrochir (Boulenger) and Tilapia rendalli (Boulenger), were introduced due to the renowned ecological plasticity of these species (Chapman et al., 2003; De Vos et al., 2001). Two endemic sardines of Lake Tanganyika, Limnothrisssa miodon (Boulenger) and Stolothrissa tanganyicae (Regan), were then simultaneously introduced in 1959 (Van den Bossche and Bernascek, 1990; Spliethoff et al., 1983) to occupy the pelagic zone (90\%). S. tanganyicae, however, was not able to adapt to the local conditions in the lake (Hauser et al., 1995).

The Lake Kivu fishery is predominantly artisanal (Van den Bossche and Bernacsek, 1990; Hanek et al., 1991; de Iongh et al., 1995) which is similar to other East African Lakes (Pitcher and Hart, 1995; Preikshot et al., 1998). In terms of the fishing activity, fishery in the site considered is the most important in the Congolese sector (Hanek et al., 1991). Annual production is generally observed higher in the Rwandese sector where fishing activities are more active and developed. At the zone considered in this study annual production in 1990 represented $20 \%$ of overall production (Hanek et al., 1991; Marshall and Mubamba, 1993). Fishermen operate with various fishing gears depending on season, investment level, fishing areas and species targeted. A specific fishery, trimaran, uses light attraction and liftnet and selectively targets L. miodon and planktivore haplochromines (Van den Bossche and Bernacsek, 1990; Hanek et al., 1991; de Iongh et al., 1995; Kaningini et al., 1999). Beach seines capture mainly the benthopelagic haplochromines, but have been observed to accidentally catch other species such as L. miodon.

Gillnets capture mainly tilapias although smaller mesh-sized ( 10 mm ) nets are employed to trap L. miodon and some haplochromines. Longlines target mainly Clarias species (Hanek et al., 1991).

The lake is an international area shared by Rwanda (East) and RD Congo (ex-Zaire, West). For this study, we considered the Bukavu basin of the Congolese sector (Fig. 1) which is approximately $140 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, as this zone is better documented in terms of biological community ecology and fisheries compared to the Rwandese sector. This zone also represents an important socio-economic aspect (Hanek et al., 1991). It should be noted that parameters integrated in the model were mainly estimated using data collected in this area.

## Theoretical Approach

We used the Ecopath model (Christensen and Pauly, 1993; Christensen et al., 2005) to construct a steady-state description of the Bukavu Bay. The model has already been used for quantifications of food webs in different ecosystems to study the impact of fisheries for management purposes (Pauly et al., 2003; Christensen and Walters, 2004b). It comprises a set of simultaneous linear equations, one for each group under consideration, where the production of the group is equal to the sum of all predation, non-predatory losses and export:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}-\frac{P}{B_{i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j} \frac{Q}{B_{j}} D C_{j i}+B_{i}-\frac{P}{B_{i}}\left(1-E E_{i}\right)+E X_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{i}$ is the biomass of group $i$ (in $t$ km-2 fresh weight); $P / B i$ is the annual production/biomass ratio of $i$ equal to the total mortality coefficient ( $Z$ ) in steady-state conditions (Allen, 1971); EEi
is the ecotrophic efficiency representing the part of the total production consumed by predators or captured in the fishery or exported; $B_{j}$ is the biomass of the predator group $j$; $Q / B_{j}$ is the annual food consumption per unit biomass of the predatory group $j$; $D C_{j i}$, is the proportion of the group $i$ in the diet of its predator group $j$; $E X_{i}$, is the export or catch in fishery of group $i$, that is assumed to be exploited in the fishery (Christensen et al., 2005).

In addition to balancing the model, Ecopath can be used to compute parameters and indices corresponding to the food web characteristics. Some parameters that can be estimated using the software are as follows:
a.) The group-specific omnivory index $O I$ is computed as the variance of the TLs of each predator's prey groups (Christensen and Pauly, 1993) while the system omnivory index (SOI) is computed as the average omnivory index of all consumers weighted by the logarithm of each consumer's food intake $Q$ (Christensen et al., 2005). It indicates the allocation of predator170 prey interactions linking each $T L$ (Christensen and Walters, 2004a). Both OI and SOI indices vary from 0 to 1 , where a value close to 0 indicates high predatory specialization (feeding on one trophic level only) and 1 indicates a maximum feeding versatility on several trophic levels.
b.) The connectance index (CI) is the ratio between the number of actual definite trophic associations among all the groups and the theoretical possible number of connections, ( $N-1)^{2}$ for $N$ groups, including consumption of detritus (Christensen and Walters, 2004a; Christensen et al., 2005). This index is correlated with the maturity e.g. the level of evolution of the ecosystem, as defined by Odum (1969), of the ecosystem because the food chain structure changes from linear to web-like as a system matures (Odum, 1971).
c.) Niche overlap is measured by using a symmetrical index derived from the one proposed by Pianka (1973) based on competition coefficients of the Lotka-Volterra equation (Volterra, 1931) and derived from the Jaccard similarity index (Harris, 1968). It is used to describe mainly the trophic aspect of niche partitioning. An index value close to 0 indicates that two groups have a low resemblance in terms of food consumed and vise versa for a value close to 1 (Christensen et al., 2005).
d.) Trophic aggregation per discrete $T L$, sensu Lindeman (1942), is based on an approach suggested by Ulanowicz (1995). This routine facilitates calculation of flows per TL based on diet compositions by reversing the routine for calculation of fractional trophic levels quoted above. More particularly, the transfer efficiencies between the successive discrete trophic levels are calculated as the ratio between the sum of the exports plus the flow that is transferred from one trophic level to the next, and the throughput at this trophic level (Christensen et al., 2005).
e.) The gross efficiency of the fishery $\left(G E_{F}\right)$ is computed as the ratio between the total catch and the total primary production in the system. The value will be higher for systems with a fishery harvesting fish belonging mainly to low TLs than for systems whose fisheries concentrate on high TLs. Therefore, this index may increase with fisheries 'development' as defined by Pauly et al. (1998).

Model construction

The model was constructed using data collected from 2002 and 2003. These are
complemented by additional published works by Ulyel (1991), Snoeks (1994) and Kaningini et al. (1999). For simplification purposes, species with similar ecological characteristics (i.e. metabolism, diets and predators) were pooled together following the indications of Yodzis and Winemiller (1999). In such a case, the biological characteristics of the most abundant species were considered. A total of 14 groups were considered in this study and the ecological grouping of biological assemblages is the following one.

Detritus: A standing stock of $165 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{22}$ (fresh weight, fw) was calculated using the empirical equation of Pauly et al. (1993) based on an annual primary production estimated in the system (see below) and a euphotic zone of 32 m . It is an input required to run the model (Christensen and Pauly, 1993).

Phytoplankton and primary production: The dominant groups are diatoms (Nitzschia spp and Fragilaria spp) and cryptophytes (Chroomonas spp and Rhodomonas spp). Chlorophyll-a concentration showed clear seasonal variations and increases during the dry season, after deep mixing in the basin of Bukavu (Ishumbisho et al., 2006; Sarmento et al., 2006). The average Chlorophyll- $a$ content is $1.53 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ for the area considered. It can be extrapolated over 60 m as suggested by Isumbisho et al. (2006) and the resulting fresh biomass ( $32.1 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{km}{ }^{-2} \mathrm{fw}$ ) was used as an input in the model. The annual primary production was measured at $273 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C.m}{ }^{-2}$ by Sarmento et al. (2006) and concords with annual range values ( $240-379 \mathrm{gC} . \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ ) indicated earlier by Jannasch (1975), Van den Bossche and Bernacsek (1990) and Descy and Fourniret (1991). A similar value was estimated using the Photosynthesis simulator of Capblanc and Dauta (1999). Assuming 1 g C is equal to 10 g fw (Sarvala et al., 1999). A $P / B$ ratio of $85 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ was used as an input in the model.

Zooplankton and secondary production: The zooplanktonic community is dominated by copepods (Thermocyclops) and, to a lesser extent, cladocerans (Diaphanosoma) though seasonal density variations can be observed (Isumbisho et al., 2006). The average $B$ is $5.64 \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{tm}}{ }^{-2}$ estimated from Isumbisho et al. (2006) and an annual $P / B$ value of $30 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ was used (Sarvala et al., 1999; Irvine and Waya, 1999). $Q / B$ value of $180 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ was adopted from Sarvala et al. (1999).

Benthic fauna: Only limited information was available for this group. This includes benthic deposit feeders such as the nematodes, ostracods, insects, bivalve mollusks, gastropods and other benthic organisms which develop only along the littoral zone due to the relative steepness of the lake. A P/B value of $4.5 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ (Payne, 1986; Mavuti et al., 1996) was adopted and $Q / B$ of $45.0 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ was assumed from a gross efficiency (GE or $P / Q$ ) value of 0.1 (Christensen and Pauly, 1993). An input value of 0.900 for $E E$ was used to estimate the biomass based on intense predation noted from higher $T L$ consumers.

Fish groups: When possible the $B / P$ ratio was estimated from recently collected length frequency distributions by using the FiSAT software (Gayanilo et al., 2002). In a first step, this software was used to estimate the growth parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth function i.e., the asymptotic length $\left(L_{\infty}\right)$ and the growth coefficient $(K)$ which are needed for $P / B$ computation by reference to the length converted catch curve method. Otherwise, the predictive models of de Merona (1983) or Fröese and Binohlan (2000) were employed to estimate these demographical parameters. Natural mortality, M, was computed using the predictive formula of Pauly (1980). The demographical data of the fish populations considered here are summarized on Table 1.

The food consumption per unit of biomass $(Q / B)$ has been estimated in a few cases using Maxims (Jarre et al., 1991), a software model based on the method of Pauly (1986) which allows the computation of $Q / B$ from an estimate of the daily food consumption of individual fish of a particular size. Otherwise, $Q / B$ was calculated using the multiple regression formula of Palomares and Pauly (1998).

For most fish groups, local field data on diet composition were available. Additional information was taken from Snoeks (1994) and Ulyel et al. (1991).

The biomass $(B)$ of each fish group was estimated assuming equilibrium conditions, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=Y / F \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y$ is yield in $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{km}}{ }^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ and $F$ is the coefficient of fishing mortality. $F$ is the difference between total and natural mortalities: $F=Z-M$, assuming that $Z$ is equal to $P / B$ as indicated by Allen (1971).

Due to habitat preferences of the species under investigation, the littoral area which is about $10 \%$ of the total surface of the lake (based on depth and bathymetry) was separated from the pelagic zone. The biomass of each compartment was calculated according to habitat area. For littoral groups, the biomass per $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ as computed as an average for the whole lake was, then, multiplied by 10 in order to express the concentration of this group in the littoral area.

Catch data and the proportion of each group in the total catch were obtained from various sources (i.e., Van den Bossche and Bernacsek, 1990) including unpublished data (J-C. Micha,

FUNDP; B. Kaningini and M. Isumbisho, UERHA pers. comm.) recently collected as a part of an on-going Belgium Project for development of a gillnet fisheries in the lake.

The following groups were considered
$\mathbf{1}^{\circ}$ ) Clarias spp: This group includes Clarias gariepinus (Burchell) and C. liocephalus (Boulenger) which is quite rare in the lake and has been observed only in open waters. $C$. gariepinus has been considered as the key species for this group due to its predominance and well-studied ecological characteristics (B. Kaningini, UERAH, pers. comm.). Ulyel (1991) considered this species as a benthic polyphage, feeding on insects, crustaceans and fishes.
$2^{\circ}$ ) Raiamas moorei: This species, formerly known as Barilius moorei (Boulenger), is the only cyprinid inhabiting most areas of the lake. It feeds mainly on small bottom mollusks and insects, as well as on small cichlids and clupeids. $E E$ was set at 0.50 as this group is rarely targeted by fishermen and seems to be exposed to a limited predation by Clarias spp and Haplochromis vittatus (Boulenger).
$3^{\circ}$ ) Haplochromis spp: A list of 17 species was proposed and observed by Snoeks (1994). For the purpose of the present study, these species have been re-grouped based on their feeding ecology (Snoeks, 1994; Ulyel et al., 1991; Fourniret et al., 1992; Kaningini et al., 1999; Fröese and Pauly, 2006) into three groups:

- H. vittatus, a piscivore species which inhabits both the near shore and open waters.
- Benthos-feeding haplochromines are mostly H. gracilior (Boulenger), H. graueri (Boulenger), H. astatodon (Regan), H. nigroides (Pellegrin) and H. paucidens
(Regan). They inhabit the littoral areas and feed mainly on small mollusks, nematodes, insects and their larvae. The biological characteristics of H. graueri were considered since this is the most abundant among the benthophages.
- Plankton-feeding haplochromines are dominated by H. kamiranzovu (Snoeks) which was considered as the key species for this group.

Diet compositions of haplochromine groups were adapted from Ulyel et al. (1991) and Fourniret et al. (1992).
$4^{\circ}$ ) Limnothrissa miodon: This pelagic fish feeds mainly on zooplankton (Copepods) during its juvenile stage and may consume insects and small-sized fishes (de Iongh et al., 1983; Kaningini, 1995; Isumbisho et al., 2004). According to Pearce (1995), it is capable of adapting its diet preferences according to the local conditions (i.e., food availability), as this species is not specialized with regards to its preys. Demographic studies have been carried out by de Iongh et al. (1995) and Kaningini (1995). According to de Iongh et al. (1995), three length classes can be identified for this species based on condition factor changes. For this study, however, only two length classes were considered based on the length at first maturity ( $50 \%$ of the gonads maturing) to separate the pelagic adults ( $>8.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ total length) from the sub littoral juveniles (< 8.0 cm total length). This repartition takes into account the difference of their spatial distribution (Spliethoff et al., 1983; Lambœuf, 1991), spawning-related migrations (Marshall, 1991) and ontogenetic diet variations (de Iongh et al., 1983). Several studies on feeding patterns have also indicated occurrence of cannibalism (Spliethoff et al., 1983; Isumbisho et al., 2004).

It should be noted that the adults have a low $P / B$ ratio $\left(1.75 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$ compared to juveniles (6.69 $\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ), a feature which was already noted when juveniles and adults are separated in an

Ecopath model such as for Lates niloticus (Linnaeus) in Lake Victoria (Villanueva and Moreau, 2001). It is due to higher exploitation and predation on the latter, which are also integrated into the adult pool. As juveniles sardines prey mainly on zooplankton and small benthos, their $Q / B$ values measured using MAXIMS are also higher $\left(35.8 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$ than those of adults $\left(19.20 \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$ which feed on zooplankton but also on small fish. In addition, small fish consume much more food relatively to their size than larger ones (Pauly and Palomares, 1987).
$5^{\circ}$ ) Barbus spp inhabit near-shore area. This group includes Barbus kerstenii (Peters), B pellegrini (Poll), B. pleurogramma (Boulenger) and B. altianalis (Boulenger). This group feeds basically on microphytes, insects and other benthic organisms, and on small littoral fishes (Ulyel, 1991; Kaningini et al., 1999; Fröese and Pauly, 2006). This group is one of the least consumed and exploited and least predated upon in the lake; $E E$ was, therefore, admitted to be 0.50 .
$6^{\circ}$ ) Oreochromis niloticus eduardianus (Boulenger) is an endemic, microphage fish inhabiting the littoral areas. It is the most abundant, native cichlid species in the lake due to its high fecundity (Trewavas, 1983).
$7^{\circ}$ ) Other Tilapiine fish include two introduced cichlid species, O. macrochir and T. rendalli), with the latter being more abundant possibly due to its efficient reproduction and feeding plasticity (Trewavas, 1983). In its original environment, T. rendalli is, however, regarded as a macrophyte-feeding species (Fröese and Pauly, 2006). Both inhabit the littoral areas of the lake and feed on macrophytoplankton and other organic material (Ulyel, 1991; Kaningini et al., 1999).

## Results

The basic input for each group and the parameters computed by the model are presented in Table 2 and 4, while the relative diet compositions are given in Table 3 whereas Figure 2 summarizes the main flows within this ecosystem. Total estimated fish biomass is low, 3.705 t.km${ }^{-2}$, compared to other African inland waters (Christensen and Pauly, 1993). The resulting biomass for benthos looks low ( $3.676 \mathrm{tkm}^{-2}$ ) for the whole lake, though concentration along the littoral zones yields $36.76 \mathrm{tkm}^{-2}$. This is in agreement with the importance of this group in the diets of several fish groups. A high abundance of zoobenthic organisms has already been noted in shallow areas of Lake George (Moreau et al., 1993) or Lake Ihéma (Mavuti et al., 1996).

Highest TLs were estimated for Clarias spp, $R$. moorei and $H$. vittatus ( $T L>3.3$ ) due to their carnivorous feeding ecology (Table 3). Most groups belonging to TL3 and more are predatory carnivores.

## Ecotrophic efficiencies

A low $E E$ value of 0.079 has been calculated for the detritus as most of it sinks to the bottom in the deepest parts of the lake. Phytoplankton has a higher EE of 0.633 , indicating that this group is the base food source in the lake even if it seems that it is not fully utilized by organisms of higher $T L s$, at least in this area of the lake. This may be attributed to the limited quantity of fish basically consuming this group. A high value of $E E$ is noted as well for zooplankton (0.764). Carnivorous zooplankton (Copepods) partly feed on the herbivorous zooplankton (mainly Cladocerans and Rotifers), as noted in the feeding matrix (Table 2) although they consume also phytoplankton. Ecotrophic efficiencies of fish groups are variable.

For the two groups of Tilapiine fish, $E E$ is quite low ( $E E=0.479$ and 0.233 ), suggesting a very limited exploitation and predation in the lake. The maximum $E E$ value ( 0.917 ) is recorded for $L$. miodon juveniles, as we expected because these fish are exploited and predated.

## The gross efficiencies

The $P / Q$ ratios (Table 4) are low for R. moorei and adult L. miodon. This might be due to the low density of their prey, particularly the zooplankton, and the necessity for these fish to use more energy for hunting their prey, which are available only at low densities. It should be noted that the density of zooplankton per volume basis is very low: $0.0914 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ fresh weight (Isumbisho et al., 2006). The low $P / Q$ ratios obtained for the 2 groups of Tilapiine fish (0.040 and 0.045 ) are in agreement with the low quality of their preferred preys which are principally phytoplankton and decaying organic material. A high $P / Q$ ratio is estimated for zoophagous haplochromines ( 0.202 ) due to their carnivorous feeding habits. The maximum value ( 0.220 ) was obtained for the juveniles L. miodon and this is in relationship with their small size. This value is higher than for adults, which is in agreement with the basis of the method of computation of $Q / B$ implemented by Pauly and Palomares (1987). Ichthyophagous fishes (Clarias spp and $H$. vittatus) have surprisingly low $P / Q$ values. It might come from the scarcity of their possible prey in terms of biomass per volume unit.

## Omnivory indices and diet overlap

The omnivory index $(O I)$ of each group is presented in table 4. In general, high OI values are observed in high TLs, which indicate more complexity in this part of the food web. Highest OI are observed for three predators: Clarias spp, Barbus spp and H. vittatus ( $0.282,0.349$ and 0.339 , respectively), and is related to their large feeding spectrum and distribution in the lake.

These observations concord with the indication of Lindeman (1942) that prey tend to be more specialized than their predators.

Adults of $L$. miodon have a lower $O I(0.153)$, than their juveniles $(0.218)$ due to the latter's feeding flexibility (Isumbisho et al., 2004). Accordingly, our results indicate that, in habitats where it is already acclimated, L. miodon adjusts its trophic behavior to the availability of aquatic macro invertebrates. This has already been noted by Marshall (1995), Kaningini (1995) and recently by Isumbisho et al. (2004). Compared to H. vittatus, Haplochromines groups 4 and 5 have a lower $O I$ ( 0.155 and 0.179 , respectively), which suggests a higher specialization.

OI of $O$. niloticus is zero as this fish consumes only preys from the first trophic level, mainly phytoplankton (Table 3). Preference for phytoplankton of this species has been observed in other tropical lakes (Tadesse, 1999; Lu et al., 2006). Other Tilapiines, on the other hand, have a higher $O I$ due to their trophic plasticity, particularly for $T$. rendalli, that enables dietary shifts from plant or detrital material to animal material (Ulyel 1991; Kaningini et al., 1999).

High values of individual OI for groups sharing the same type of food can be associated with estimates of niche overlaps. L. miodon juveniles (group 7) have a low overlap as predator or prey for most groups, except for the adults (group 6) which show high overlap in prey (Fig. 3). Groups 9 (O. niloticus) and 10 (Other Tilapiines) show the highest overlap which suggests high competition for similar resources. Groups 2 ( $R$. moorei) and 7 have the lowest overlap which expresses divergent preferences in terms of resources consumed (Fig. 3).

The SOI for the southern part of Lake Kivu is low, 0.150 with a connectance index (CI) of 0.396 (Table 4). This $C I$ value is slightly higher than the theoretical value ( 0.317 ) computed using the regression model of Christensen and Pauly (1993). Both values can imply that most functional groups exhibit a certain degree of diet specialization. This indicates as well the coexistence of weak and strong interactions observed among groups as expressed by the various $E E$ values (Table 2). According to Quince et al. (2002), this is common in food webs of especially competitive communities which might be the case here. Moreover, McCann (2000) indicated that recurrent food-web structures, with omnivory and apparent competition, can enhance ecosystem stability if the distribution of consumer-resource interaction strengths is skewed towards weak interaction strengths and McCann referred to as the "weak-interaction effect" which contributes to community-level stability.

## Biomass flux and transfer efficiency

Trophic aggregation revealed that transfer efficiency from TL1 (phytoplankton and detritus groups combined) to higher $T L s$ is about $8.4 \%$. This indicates that this resource may not be fully exploited due to the presence of herbivores in the littoral area, which makes up only $10 \%$ of the lake, leading to increased unconsumed nutrient accumulation (Table 5). The average transfer efficiency is at any $T L$ is less than $10 \%$ (Table 5). This is higher than that observed in other ecosystems such as Lake Navaisha (Moreau et al., 2001). Most of the fish biomass and ecological production take place at $T L 3$ or more, as summarized in table 5.

The ecosystem is phytoplankton-based as $61 \%$ of the total flow originating from TL1 comes from primary producers while only $39 \%$ originates from detritus (Table 5), a feature of relevance in a deep-water body (Christensen and Pauly, 1993). Most primary production is
consumed by zooplankton and juveniles L. miodon. Detritus is consumed only by benthic fauna (group 11) and, to a certain degree, by some fish groups: Barbus spp., O. niloticus and other Tilapiines (Table 3). The elevated proportion of primary production flowing back to detritus (about $65 \%$ of the total) is the result of increasing algal biomass surplus unconsumed, especially in the open waters of the lake.

A high ratio between production and respiration (3.92) is noted. Most likely, a limited quantity of organic matter is imported by inflowing rivers. An important part of the production of several groups is not utilized ( $E E$ is low) and is therefore lost as incorporated into the sediments on the bottom of the lake which has a deep anoxic hypolimnion. This might explain this unusually high value of the production/respiration value.

Fish productivity is linked to primary production by many intermediate trophic links. The primary production required (PPR) in order to support the fishery is $15.2 \%$ of the total primary production (Table 6) which is low compared to an average value suggested by Pauly and Christensen (1995) for tropical lakes and rivers (23.6 \%). When expressed relative to the total flow from TL1, the PPR (primary production required) used in Ecopath corresponds to the ecological footprint $(E F)$. For the catch the expression is $E F=P P R / P P^{*} C$ where $P P$ is the total flow from TL1, and $C$ the catch, will give the size of the area in $\mathrm{km}^{2}$, assuming the unit is, needed to sustain a catch of 1 ton for the given resource. As a consequence, a low ecological footprint (Folke and Kautsky, 1996) of the fishery ( $0.04 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) is observed, similar to those observed by Villanueva et al. (2006) in two West African lagoons.

The $G E_{F}$ is quite low (0.0015) compared to what was observed for Lake George (Moreau et al., 1993), Lake Ihéma (Mavuti et al., 1996) and Lake Victoria (Villanueva and Moreau, 2001) or other tropical inland water bodies (Christensen and Pauly, 1993). The mean $T L$ of the fishery is 2.9 (Table 6) as it targets mostly L. miodon.

## Model predictions of the effects of environment changes

The mixed trophic impact (MTI) routine of Ecopath (Ulanowicz and Puccia, 1990) shows the direct and indirect influences of abundance variations of any species group on all other groups considered (Fig. 4). An initial condition that should be considered for this routine is that diet composition of each functional group does not change, despite possible variations in abundance of their various preys. An increased abundance of fish groups of high TLs (about 3 or more) would have various levels of negative impacts on other groups. This is particularly the case for Clarias spp (group 1) and L. miodon. An increasing abundance of non fish groups would generate a positive impact on most groups including fish groups. The impact of zooplankton biomass variations would be less important compared to the phytoplankton group. The extent of bottom-up control is elevated, as an increase in abundance of phytoplankton would have a strong positive effect on all higher TLs (Fig. 4), especially on the herbivores (groups 5, 9, 10 and 12).

A top-down trophic cascade effect (Pace et al., 1999; Persson, 1999) on phytoplankton biomass is also observed in the MTI simulation (Fig. 4). The primary productivity is increased due to the increase in planktivorous fish (TL3) that regulates herbivores, which in turn prey on phytoplankton. Hence, this may lead to a build-up of nonutilized phytoplankton. This was also experimentally observed by Lynch and Shapiro (1981).

Figure 5 shows the susceptibility of some fish to human exploitation than others. Fishing gears employed capture a specific species in the lake (Hanek et al., 1991). An increase of $10 \%$ in the fishing effort shows a substantial increase in catch of target species, i.e. Clarias spp., Haplochromines, adult and juvenile L. miodon. Positive impacts on groups at lower TLs is a consequence of lower predation pressure when stock of fish predators decline due to increased fishing. An increasing fishing effort with beach seine and longline would have a slight negative impact on zooplankton. Both gears do not target $L$. miodon juveniles which are the principal predator of this group.

## Discussion

Successful colonization of L. miodon in Lake Kivu has been attributed to the absence of other pelagic planktivores (de Iongh et al., 1995; Marshall, 1995; Munyandorero and Mwape, 2003) and low diversity of native species (Johannesson and Lambœuf, 1989). These combined with the relative stability of environmental factors suitable for its growth, provided opportunities for successful colonization of this sardine (Marshall, 1991; 1995) and other Cichlids.

Acclimatization of stocked species has been also observed in other African lakes such as Lakes Kyoga (Ogutu-Ohwayo, 1990), Kariba (Karenge and Kolding, 1995), Navaisha (Muchiri et al., 1995; Moreau et al., 2001), Nabugabo (Chapman et al. 1996) and Tana (de Graaf et al., 2000) as well as in other ecosystems in the world (Vitousek et al., 1997; Wilcove et al., 1998; Latini and Petrere Jr., 2004). Environmental condition modifications have already been cited as a major factor in enhancing long-term success and dominance of exotics species in several ecosystems (Muchiri et al., 1995; Smith et al., 2000; Dudgeon et al., 2006).

The ability of $L$. miodon to coexist with other zooplanktivores may be essentially due to spatial heterogeneity, thus, an absence of co-adapted competitors. Competition between similar functional groups may also alleviate indirect effects of predators on ecosystem processes and exhibits functional redundancy in ecosystems (Lawton and Brown, 1993; Loreau et al., 2001; Raffaelli et al., 2002; Stachowicz et al., 2002). Similar observations in other ecosystems were made in Lake Kariba (Karenge and Kolding, 1995), Parakrama Samudra reservoir (Moreau et al., 2001) and in the Great Lakes (Mills et al., 1993). Coexistence seems possible based on a competitive exclusion principle (Richards et al., 2000) by limiting competition through space budgeting (Isumbisho et al., 2003) between or within-guild species. Some haplochromines inhabit mostly the inshore zone while L. miodon occupies essentially the open waters. The significance of spatial heterogeneity in favoring increase of species abundance has also been observed by Le Pape et al. (2003) in the Bay of Biscay.

Similar to L. miodon, naturalized tilapias still remain at limited levels without major impacts on the indigenous Nile Tilapia. Coexistence of both endemic and alien tilapias may be due to niche partitioning aside from the broad tolerance of tilapias against environmental changes (Murichi et al., 1995; Iwama et al., 1997; Khallaf et al., 2003). In Lake Kivu, these tilapias inhabit essentially the shallow waters ( $>10 \mathrm{~m}$ deep). The endemic $O$. niloticus eduardianus, however, is abundant in rocky bottoms while introduced Tilapiine fishes ( $O$. macrochir and, especially, T. rendalli) colonize better the muddy littoral zones (Trewavas, 1983). Spatial segregation limits competition for food and nursery sites similarly observed in Lakes Victoria and Kyoga (Twongo, 1995). O. niloticus and O. macrochir are both microphages which may explain the elevated prey-predator overlap (Fig. 3). Resistance of O. niloticus
eduardianus may be mediated by its opportunistic behavior despite dietary overlap with $O$. macrochir. Broadening of diet spectrum can increase a species tolerance to stress (Murichi et al., 1995; Sax and Brown, 2000; Wanink and Witte, 2000; Villanueva et al., 2006). Dietary shifts of O. niloticus are similarly observed in Lake Victoria (Njiru et al., 2004).

This, however, may not apply to other species of lower environmental tolerance in the lake. Low omnivory indices were observed for some groups, indicating a less diversified diet. This is not the case for the sub-littoral inhabiting cichlids which contribute to the efficient utilization of some resources, i.e. here the primary producers. Despite the low contribution of the detritus group, it is still utilized as a buffering agent in case of resource limitation. Similar observations were indicated by in Lake Navaisha (Munichi et al., 1995; Mavuti et al., 1996) and in some West African lagoons (Villanueva et al., 2006).

Both the predation-based regulation of the lower TLs and the resource-based regulation of the upper TLs are present in the ecosystem studied. The strength of both bottom-up and top-down controls determine system diversity though their relative importance and intensity which are based on the structure and functioning of groups among TLs (Herendeen, 2004). Differences in migration patterns and food availability may influence predation rates of predators, such as $C$. gariepinus, H. vittatus and L. miodon (Isumbisho et al., 2004). This is similar to observations of Bruton (1979) and Huddart (1994) in other African lakes.

The MTI analysis (Fig. 4), nonetheless, demonstrated the importance of bottom-up forces through the strong potential influence of any phytoplankton abundance variation on the whole food web. In ecological theory, bottom-up forces would dominate the ecosystem process (Platts
and Ulanowicz, 1985; Dyer and Letourneau, 2003). According to Proulx et al. (1996), production can also be modified through algal community structure modification as a function of variations in size distribution (Perin et al., 1996) or through predation-mediated modifications in plankton community structures (Hansson and Carpenter, 1993; Dyer and Letourneau, 2003).

Isumbisho et al. (2004) observed variations on zooplankton community abundance and distribution which is mainly due to predation by L. miodon juveniles and partly, in relation, to fishery practices. Predation-related variations within functional groups may lead to compensatory population increases by less vulnerable species in response to predation on vulnerable species (Steiner, 2001). Predation and competition affect aquatic communities indirectly by causing behavioral changes in prey species (Nyström et al., 2001; Steiner, 2001). Predation is an important part of ecosystem functioning though an increase in aggressive invaders or top predators can equally multiply effects of feeding interactions and contribute to reorganization of ecosystem structure indirectly (Fulton et al., 2003; Didham et al., 2005). Changes in zooplankton community structure have also been observed in Lake Donghu (China) by Yang et al. (2005) as a consequence of increased predation pressure of zooplanktivores. In Lake Victoria such phenomenon is attributed to eutrophication (Wanink et al., 2002).

The introduction of $L$. miodon and tilapias in the lake has surely improved energy transfer efficiencies in the lake, especially in the pelagic zone. Significant changes in functional roles at individual (i.e., changes in behavior and habitat use) and population (i.e. change in the abundance and distribution) levels were observed in the lake. Species change has also contributed to an entirely new configuration of the fisheries (Van den Bossche and Bernacsek, 1990; Preikshot et al., 1998). Compared to other ecopath-modeled deep lakes in Africa (see

Villanueva and Moreau, 2001), however, there are many functional groups in Lake Kivu with low EE values which imply that lower TLs are not saturated and predator abundance variations can create vacant niches leaving unexploited resources in lower TLs. Functional consequences of low biodiversity and species specific traits (i.e., size, trophic role, rarity, distribution and degree of specialization) or combined effects of both may explain inefficiencies of energy transfers in this lake. Lower energy transfer efficiencies in high TLs have been observed in other poorly diverse systems (Loreau et al., 2001; Raffaelli et al., 2002; Stachowicz et al., 2002).

Fish stocking is an important aspect of fishery management but comes with considerable risks. Invasive species can redefine an ecosystem by converting diverse communities into mono specific ones as introduced species often become invasive and may lead to native species extinctions (McKinney and Lockwood, 1999; Simberloff, 2000; Lodge, 2001; Rosenzweig, 2001; Davis and Thompson, 2000; de Graaf et al., 2000; Mack et al., 2000; Pimentel et al., 2001; Dudgeon et al. 2006). In African freshwater systems, an ominous example is the loss of biodiversity in Lake Victoria following the Nile perch introduction (Kudhongania and Chitamwebwa, 1995; Pitcher and Hart, 1995; Preikshot et al., 1998). Biodiversity in Lake Kivu, however, is low and fish were stocked to boost up the lake's biodiversity and productivity.

The role of biodiversity has been hypothesized as insurance to ecosystem functioning in case of modifications (Loreau et al., 2001). Biodiversity after introduction is affected in two manners either by global homogenization of regional biota or by affecting native species functions (Levine et al. 2003; Didham et al., 2005; Korniss and Caraco, 2005; Puth and Post, 2005; Olden and Rooney, 2006). Predators and resources manipulations can cause direct changes of diversity at one $T L$, which in turn, affect diversity of other TLs. Indirect biodiversity effects of
varying resources and consumers, on the other hand, are supposed to be stronger in aquatic ecosystems compared to terrestrial systems and detritus based food webs (Dyer and Letourneau, 2003). Intraspecific food resource limitation had been observed on adults of $L$. miodon which had evolved from a mere pelagic planktivore to a piscivore, preying on its juveniles (LoweMcConnell, 1993). The sequestration of space and nutrients by adults may limit resources that eventually provoked such cannibalistic behavior similar to observations of Mandima (1999) on L. miodon in Lake Kariba and by Bundy and Pitcher (1995) on Nile Perch in Lake Victoria.

In Lake Kivu, however, fish introductions showed no detrimental changes at both the biodiversity and ecological levels of the fish community (Marshall, 1995; Ogutu-Ohwayo et al., 1997). It can be suggested that, under non-limiting food conditions, L. miodon which is occupying mainly the open waters of the lake do not have a particular deleterious effects on other indigenous species, i.e. provoking mass extinction of the latter. It actually represents the lake's most important stock in terms of biomass and production (Hanek et al., 1991). It has also stimulated the commercial fishery with an estimated potential annual yield of $55 \mathrm{~kg} . \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ in the early 90s (Johannesson and Lambœuf, 1989; van den Bossche and Bernacsek, 1990; Hanek et al., 1991). At present, introduced fish species in this lake comprise $85 \%$ of the catch (B. Kaningini, UERHA, pers. data). A similar phenomenon observed in Lake Kariba (Coulter et al., 1984; Marshall and Mubamba, 1993). Despite the increasing intensity of the fishing activity on exotics, they seem resilient to human exploitation. Tilapias are known to be highly resilient to intensive fisheries due to their unspecialized ecological flexibility.

Based on the classification of colonizers discussed by Davis and Thompson (2000), L. miodon is a type 2 colonizer due to its eventual key role function in the ecosystem (Spliethoff et
al., 1983; Isumbisho et al., 2006), especially in the fisheries (van den Bossche and Bernacsek, 1990; Marshall and Mabamba, 1993). In most cases, exotic fishes stocked have evolved pervasive in other lakes not only in Africa (Mills et al., 1993; Ogutu-Ohwayo et al. 1997; de Graaf et al., 2000) but worldwide (Villanueva and Moreau, 2001; Dudgeon et al., 2006). Once the biodiversity is altered, the ecosystem is transformed into new configurations often detrimental to human welfare (Ruesink et al., 1995; McCann et al., 2001; Pimentel et al., 2005; Dudgeon et al., 2006; Lovett et al., 2006). In Lake Victoria, for example, the Nile Tilapia has evolved as a "keystone species" though its introduction led to the alteration of ecosystem function, biotic interactions and biotic homogenization (Moreau, 1995; Bundy and Pitcher, 1995, Villanueva and Moreau 2001).

## Conclusion

For this study, we have tried to quantify the impact of invasive species on ecosystem process and functioning. Based on this study, energy fluxes from primary producers in this ecosystem are largely phytoplankton-based because of the importance of zooplankton and abundance of zooplankton consumers. The fragility of the ecosystem to environmental changes lies, therefore, in the production of the zooplankton group (TL 2), which evidently links the transfer of primary production to higher $T L s$, and in the paucity of species diversity. The existing competitions for food among some groups can be limited mostly by the specialization of some of them to narrow spatial distributions.

Broad-scale or ecosystem-level approach is recognized as crucial in describing and understanding the trophic structure in Lake Kivu and the importance of the introduced species. It
is a requirement to elucidate and, eventually, predict possible impact of exotic species on natural food webs. Fish introductions in Lake Kivu is interesting in the sense that the introduction of exotic species have increased energy flux transfers between TLs for what may have been initially a 'dead zone' before the sardine colonization. Exotics play key role functions in the ecosystem (Spliethoff et al., 1983; Isumbisho et al., 2006), especially in the fisheries (van den Bossche and Bernacsek, 1990; Marshall and Mabamba, 1993). It is possibly one of the rare occasions where introduction of exotics can be acknowledged as positive in terms stimulating commercial fisheries (Johannensson and Lambœuf, 1989; van den Bossche and Bernacsek, 1990; Hanek et al., 1991) and improving fish biodiversity with minor consequences on trophic structure and functioning.
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## List of Figures:

Figure 1. Lake Kivu (East Africa) on the border between Republic Democratic of Congo (formerly known as Zaire) and Rwanda. The sector considered in the study is the southern basin of the lake (inside dashed frame).

Figure 2. Relative biomass and major flows connecting of functional groups considered in the Lake Kivu model. Less important flows are omitted for clarity's sake. The horizontal axis of symmetry of each box is aligned with the trophic level (TL) of the box in question. The numbered value of a TL is fractional because it depends on the diet composition of this group and on the TLs of its preys (Christensen and Pauly, 1993).

Figure 3. Prey versus predator niche overlap plot. Groups in the lower left of the figure have quite no overlap and are quite independent for both preys and predators. Groups on the upper right corner have a high overlap for both predators and preys

Figure 4. Combined trophic impacts for functional groups considered. Clear box: cumulative effects (absolute values) of an increase by $10 \%$ in biomass of all other groups to a specific group. Shaded box: sum of the absolute values of impacts of this group on other groups. Positive impacts are shown above the base line, negative impacts are shown below.

Figure 5. Cumulative impacts of fishing gear on functional groups if effort is increased by $10 \%$. Positive impacts are shown above the base line, negative impacts are shown below.
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Table 1. Growth parameters for fish populations as incorporated in the model.

| Species | $\boldsymbol{L}_{\infty}$ <br> $(\mathbf{T L} \mathbf{~ c m})$ | $\boldsymbol{K}$ <br> $\left.\mathbf{y r}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ <br> $\left.\mathbf{( y r}^{-1}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{M}$ <br> $\left.\mathbf{( y r}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clarias gariepinus | $102.8^{a}$ | $0.165^{a}$ | $0.500^{a}$ | $0.363^{c}$ |
| Raiamas moorii | $23.0^{b}$ | $0.680^{b}$ | $1.640^{b}$ | $1.389^{c}$ |
| Haplochromis vittatus | $32.5^{b}$ | $0.550^{b}$ | $1.450^{b}$ | $1.100^{c}$ |
| Haplochromis graueri | $17.2^{b}$ | $1.085^{b}$ | $3.550^{b}$ | $2.049^{c}$ |
| Haplochromis nigroides | $10.7^{b}$ | $1.682^{b}$ | $3.580^{b}$ | $3.115^{c}$ |
| Adult Limnothrissa miodon | $18.0^{b}$ | $1.100^{b}$ | $1.750^{b}$ | $2.147^{c}$ |
| Juvenile Limnothrissa miodon | $15.0^{b}$ | $1.100^{b}$ | $6.690^{b}$ | $2.040^{c}$ |
| Barbus kerstenii | $11.7^{a}$ | $1.330^{a}$ | $2.786^{b}$ | $2.601^{c}$ |
| Oreochromis niloticus eduardianus | $38.7^{a}$ | $0.550^{a}$ | $1.000^{a}$ | $0.800^{c}$ |
| Oreochromis macrochir | $28.3^{a}$ | $0.653^{a}$ | $1.200^{a}$ | $1.007^{c}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Estimated based on models of de Merona (1984) and Fröese and Binohlan (2000) based on observed maximum total length
${ }^{b}$ Computed using the FiSAT software (Gayanilo et al., 2002);
${ }^{c}$ Calculated using the predictive formula of Pauly (1980).

Table 2. Input values and calculated parameters (in bold) for the Ecopath model of the Congolese sector of Lake Kivu. TL is the trophic level, HA is the habitat area (\%), $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{HA}}$ is the biomass calculated for the habitat area $\left(\mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}\right), B$ is the total biomass $\left(\mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2}\right), P / B$ is the production rate $\left(\mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right), Q / B$ the annual foof consumption per unit biomass $\left(\mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right), Y$ the total catch $\left(\mathrm{t} . \mathrm{km}^{-2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$.and $E E$ is the ecotrophic efficiency .

| Group name | TL | HA | $\boldsymbol{B}_{H A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{P} / \boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{Q} / \boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{E}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clarias spp | $\mathbf{3 . 3 6}$ | 1.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 4}$ | $0.204^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $0.50^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $4.30^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.028 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 5}$ |
| Raiamas moorei | $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ | 1.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 5}$ | $1.64^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $21.03^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 0.010 | $0.500^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| Haplochromis vittatus | $\mathbf{3 . 4 2}$ | 1.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 9}$ | $0.029^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.45^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $10.04^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.010 | $\mathbf{0 . 7 9 7}$ |
| Haplochromis benthivores | $\mathbf{3 . 1 5}$ | 0.1 | $\mathbf{5 . 3 2 0}$ | $0.532^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $3.55^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $17.56^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.773 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 4 4}$ |
| Haplochromis planktivores | $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$ | 1.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 9}$ | $0.329^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $3.58^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $35.80^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.153 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 9}$ |
| L. miodon adults | $\mathbf{3 . 0 4}$ | 1.0 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 2 4}$ | $1.324^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $1.75^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $17.20^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 1.159 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 1 1}$ |
| L.miodon juveniles | $\mathbf{2 . 8 0}$ | 1.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 9 6}$ | $0.496^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $6.69^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $30.36^{\mathrm{f}}$ | 1.659 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 1 7}$ |
| Barbus spp | $\mathbf{2 . 9 8}$ | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 6}$ | $2.79^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $27.86^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.010 | $0.500^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| O. niloticus eduardianus | $\mathbf{2 . 0 0}$ | 0.1 | $\mathbf{3 . 6 7 0}$ | $0.367^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.01^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $25.39^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.075 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 7 9}$ |
| Other Tilapiine fish | $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ | 0.1 | $\mathbf{3 . 8 3 0}$ | $0.383^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $1.20^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $26.74^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.074 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 3}$ |
| Benthic fauna | $\mathbf{2 . 3 2}$ | 0.1 | $\mathbf{3 6 . 7 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 7 6}$ | $4.50^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $45.00^{\mathrm{d}}$ |  | $0.900^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| Zooplankton | $\mathbf{2 . 0 5}$ | 1.0 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 0 0}$ | 10.800 | $26.00^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $180.00^{\mathrm{d}}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 6 2 6}$ |
| Phytoplankton |  | 1.0 | $\mathbf{3 4 . 1 7 3}$ | 34.173 | $80.00^{\mathrm{d}}$ | - |  | $\mathbf{0 . 6 3 3}$ |
| Detritus |  | 1.0 | $\mathbf{1 6 5 . 0 0}$ | $165.00^{\mathrm{d}}$ | - | - |  | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5 1}$ |

[^1]Table 3. Diet composition (\%) of the groups considered in the Ecopath model of the Lake Kivu Congolese sector.

|  | Group name $^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{C}}$ | $\mathbf{4}^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\mathbf{5}^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\mathbf{6}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathbf{7}^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $\mathbf{8}^{\mathbf{g}}$ | $\mathbf{9}^{\mathrm{h}}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}^{\mathrm{k}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Clarias spp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Raiamas moorei | 0.005 |  | 0.020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Haplochromis vittatus | 0.015 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | Haplochromis benthivores | 0.120 | 0.15 | 0.200 |  |  |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | Haplochromis planktivores | 0.090 | 0.10 | 0.080 |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | L. miodon adult | 0.020 |  | 0.025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | L.miodon juvenile | 0.100 | 0.25 | 0.100 |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | Barbus spp | 0.010 |  | 0.010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | O. niloticus eduardianus | 0.100 |  | 0.050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Other Tilapiine fish | 0.030 |  | 0.025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Benthic fauna | 0.400 | 0.46 | 0.270 | 0.78 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.57 |  | 0.10 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Zooplankton | 0.040 | 0.01 | 0.150 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.65 | 0.70 | 0.10 |  | 0.05 | 0.30 | 0.05 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | Phytoplankton | 0.020 | 0.01 | 0.020 | 0.01 | 0.8 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.80 | 0.65 | 0.30 | 0.85 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Detritus | 0.050 |  | 0.050 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.10 |

a. Diet composition based on indications of Mavuti et al. (1996) and from Fröese and Pauly (2006);
b. From Kaningini et al. (1999);
c. Ulyel (1991) and Kaningini et al. (1999);
d. Considered diet composition of Haplochromis graueri (Ulyel, 1991);
e. Considered diet composition of Haplochromis kamiranzovu (Ulyel, 1991);
f. Kaningini et al. (1999) and Roest (1999);
g. M. Isumbisho (UERAH, unpublished data);
h. From Trawavas (1983) and B Kaningini (UERAH, pers. omm..);
i. Considered diet composition of O. macrochir from Fröese and Pauly (2006);
j. Based on indications of Christensen and Pauly (1993);

Table 52. From Christensen and Pauly (1993) and Sarvala et al. (2003).
Table 4. Ecological characteristics of the groups considered in the model. $P / Q$ is the production/consumption ratio, FtD is the flow to detritus $\left(\mathrm{t} \mathrm{km}^{-2} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right), N E$ is the net efficiency and $O I$ is the omnivory index.

| Group name | P/Q | FtD | NE | OI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clarias spp | 0.116 | 0.249 | 0.145 | 0.282 |
| Raiamas moorei | 0.078 | 0.124 | 0.097 | 0.150 |
| Haplochromis vittatus | 0.144 | 0.067 | 0.181 | 0.339 |
| Haplochromis benthivores | 0.202 | 2.730 | 0.253 | 0.155 |
| Haplochromis planktivores | 0.100 | 3.205 | 0.125 | 0.179 |
| L. miodon adult | 0.102 | 5.688 | 0.127 | 0.153 |
| L.miodon juvenile | 0.220 | 3.286 | 0.275 | 0.218 |
| Barbus spp | 0.100 | 0.108 | 0.125 | 0.349 |
| O. niloticus eduardianus | 0.040 | 2.057 | 0.050 | 0.000 |
| Autres Tilapiine fish | 0.045 | 2.401 | 0.056 | 0.195 |
| Benthic fauna | 0.100 | 51.277 | 0.143 | 0.233 |
| Zooplankton | 0.144 | 688.307 | 0.206 | 0.053 |
| Phytoplankton | - | 1002.627 | - | 0.000 |
| Detritus | - | 0.000 | - | 0.298 |

Table 5. The trophic structure of the Lake Kivu ecosystem (Congolese sector) as estimated by the Ecopath software.

| Trophic level <br> (TL) | Catch <br> $\left(\mathrm{t} \mathrm{km}^{-2}\right.$ yr $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | (\%) per <br> TL | Biomass <br> $\left(\mathrm{t} \mathrm{km}^{-2}\right)$ | \% per TL | Transfer efficiency <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | 0.0031 | 0.08 | 0.012 | 0.32 | 4.60 |
| IV | 0.3405 | 8.62 | 0.365 | 9.86 | 7.60 |
| III | 2.7310 | 69.12 | 2.034 | 54.93 | 9.40 |
| II | 0.8767 | 22.19 | 1.292 | 34.89 | 4.50 |

Proportion of total flow originating from detritus: 0.31
Transfer efficiencies: 1) From primary producers: 6.80 \%
2) From detritus: $\quad 7.10 \%$

Total: $\quad 6.90 \%$

Table 6. Summary statistics of Lake Kivu.

| Parameter | Value | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sum of all consumption | 2190.04 | t. $\mathrm{km}^{-2} . \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ |
| Sum of all exports | 1499.85 | t. $\mathrm{km}^{-2} . \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ |
| Sum of all respiratory flows | 1233.99 | t.km ${ }^{-2} . \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ |
| Sum of all flows into detritus | 1762.12 | t.km ${ }^{-2} . \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ |
| Total system throughput | 6686.00 | t. $\mathrm{km}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ |
| Sum of all production | 3040.00 | t.km ${ }^{-2} . \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ |
| Mean trophic level of the catch | 2.90 |  |
| Gross efficiency (catch/net p.p.) | 0.001445 |  |
| Input total net primary production | 2733.84 | t.km ${ }^{-2} . \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ |
| Calculated total net primary production | 2733.84 | t.km ${ }^{-2} . \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ |
| Total primary production/total respiration | 2.21 |  |
| Net system production | 1499.85 | t.km ${ }^{-2} . \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ |
| Total primary production/total biomass | 52.22 |  |
| Total biomass/total throughput | 0.008 |  |
| Total biomass (excluding detritus) | 52.35 | t.km ${ }^{-2}$ |
| Total catch | 3.95 | t.km ${ }^{-2} . \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ |
| Connectance Index (CI) | 0.396 |  |
| System Omnivory Index (SOI) | 0.148 |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Laboratoire des Ressources Halieutiques, IFREMER, Avenue du Général de Gaulle, BP 32, 14520 Port-enBessin Huppain, France
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Department of Tropical Fisheries, Laboratoire d'Agronomie, Environnement et Ecotoxicologie, INP/ENSAT, BP 32607, 31326 Castanet Tolosan, France
    ${ }^{c}$ UERHA, Département de Biologie, Institut Supérieur Pédagogique de Bukavu, BP 854, Bukavu, People's Republic of Congo
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ URBO, FUNDP, Rue de Bruxelles 61, B-5000 Namur, Belgium

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Biomass $(B)$ was estimated when possible directly from the ratio between catch $(Y)$ and annual fishing mortality as computed in Ecopath ( $B=Y / F$ );
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Based on echo sound data by Lambœuf (1991). Biomass of adults are higher (1.324 $\mathrm{tkm}{ }^{-2}$ ) than those of their juveniles ( $0.496 \mathrm{tkm}^{-2}$ ) due to the demographical structure of the population.
    ${ }^{c} P / B$ of functional group is assumed equal to the total mortality estimated (cf. Table 1 );
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Sources indicated in text;
    ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Estimated using the predictive model of Palomares and Pauly (1998);
    ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Estimated using the MAXIMS software (Jarre et al., 1991).

