

---

## The hydrothermal vent community of a new deep-sea field, Ashadze-1, 12°58'N on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge

M-C. Fabri<sup>1,\*</sup>, A. Bargain<sup>2</sup>, P. Briand<sup>1</sup>, A. Gebruk<sup>3</sup>, Y. Fouquet<sup>4</sup>, M. Morineaux<sup>1</sup>  
and D. Desbruyères<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Département Etude des Ecosystèmes Profonds, Ifremer Brest, BP70, 29280 Plouzané, France,

<sup>2</sup> Université de Nantes, Equipe Mer Molécule et Santé EA2160, BP 92208, 44322 Nantes, France,

<sup>3</sup> P.P. Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Science, Nakhimovsky Pr. 36, Moscow 117997, Russia,

<sup>4</sup> Département Géosciences Marine, Ifremer Brest, BP70, 29280 Plouzané, France

\*: Corresponding author : M-C. Fabri, email address: [Marie.Claire.Fabri@ifremer.fr](mailto:Marie.Claire.Fabri@ifremer.fr)

---

### Abstract:

Ashadze-1 (12° 58'N 44° 51'W, 4080 m) on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR) is the deepest known active hydrothermal vent field. The first observations on this site were numerous clear and black smokers and surprisingly few known symbiotic species dominant in other vent areas on the MAR. The species most abundant at Ashadze-1 are those usually found at the periphery of hydrothermal communities: sea-anemones *Maractis rimicarivora* and chaetopterid polychaetes *Phyllochaetopterus* sp. nov. This study comprised site mapping and faunal sampling and Ashadze-1 was completely mapped by using the remote operated vehicle 'Victor 6000' and a new high resolution tool available for deep-sea research. A photo-mapping survey was carried out with a long range optical black and white camera. Digitization of substrata and sea-anemones visible on the images was performed by GIS. Spatial distribution of *Ma. rimicarivora* was distinguished by high densities of 32 ind.m<sup>-2</sup> on the western side of the main smoker area. Submersible sampling operations allowed taxonomic identification within a 200 × 110 m area. Carbon, nitrogen and sulphur isotopic ratios were measured in four dominant species to identify their trophic position. The present paper gives the complete maps and describes the faunal community of the Ashadze-1 vent field. The results obtained led us to consider this site as an ecosystem in its declining stage. Finally we compare the similarities of this community to other hydrothermal communities on the northern MAR.

**Keywords:** hydrothermal vent, Mid-Atlantic Ridge, *Maractis rimicarivora*, isotopes

## Introduction

---

Chemosynthetic ecosystems were discovered in 1985 on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (MAR) (Rona et al., 1986). Their main features are their linear distribution along the ridge, short life and restricted extension linked to the carbon source they depend on. These ecosystems are separated by several hundred kilometres, though two distant sites can support very closely related communities and share common species. Approximately 600 species from hydrothermal areas around the world have been described and many of the invertebrates among them host chemoautotrophic bacteria as epi- or endosymbionts and are endemic to the vents (Desbruyères et al., 2006). However, little is known about the distribution of endemic species from one site to another along the axial valley. The discovery of a new hydrothermal ecosystem and the description of its species composition enrich existing knowledge of the species distribution along the ridge. Nine hydrothermal vent ecosystems are known on the Northern MAR (NMAR). Ashadze-1, the southernmost NMAR vent field, is the only such system that has not been described until now. It is the deepest ocean vent field (4080 m) and is located on a rocky mantle of serpentinized peridotites modified by seawater, very common in the axial valley of this oceanic segment. After its discovery in 2003, Ashadze-1 was revisited in 2005 (Beltenev et al., 2003, 2005). A TV-towing system revealed the presence of sea-anemones and chaetopterid polychaetes. During the Serpentine cruise in 2007, this site was studied by using new techniques available on the Ifremer Victor Remote Operated Vehicle (ROV) (Fouquet et al., 2008). The temperature of the hydrothermal end-member fluid was high (374°C), and contained high hydrogen (19 mM) and iron (9.3 mM), whereas the hydrogen sulphide concentration (1 mM) was low (Charlou et al., in press). Fine scale bathymetry was obtained directly on board and allowed us to approach the site to acquire high resolution photographs to compose a mosaic of images from which geographical data were extracted to produce maps. Biological samples allowed us to describe the faunal composition of this ecosystem and confirm the trophic position of certain species. Four objectives were pursued to describe the Ashadze-1 vent field: (1) mapping of sulphide structures, substrata and the spatial distribution of the dominant species visible on the images—the sea-anemone *Maractis rimicariivora* Fautin & Barber, 1999; (2) the description of benthic communities; (3) determining stable isotopic ratios measured in dominant

64 species in order to understand the trophic positions of these  
 65 consumers at Ashadze-1; and (4) the comparison of the  
 66 Ashadze-1 faunal composition to the other NMAR vent  
 67 communities.  
 68

## 70 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 73 Study site and material

74 The study was performed at the Ashadze-1 hydrothermal vent  
 75 field ( $12^{\circ}58'N$   $44^{\circ}51'W$ , 4080 m) on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge  
 76 during the Ifremer Serpentine French-Russian cruise  
 77 (Fouquet *et al.*, 2008) (Figure 1). Four dives were performed  
 78 with the remote operated vehicle (ROV) 'Victor 6000' (dives  
 79 310-01, 311-02, 312-03 and 313-04). The ROV 'Victor 6000'  
 80 can use two separate modules for two different objectives: sur-  
 81 veying and sampling. The 'Victor' survey module, known as  
 82 the 'Module de Mesure en Route' (MMR), was deployed  
 83 during the first dive (Simeoni *et al.*, 2007). The first survey  
 84 was performed at 50 m above the bottom and covered a  
 85 surface area  $1000\text{ m} \times 3000\text{ m}$  to gather bathymetric data;  
 86 the second survey was performed 10 m above the bottom  
 87 and covered an area of  $200\text{ m} \times 140\text{ m}$  to gather micro-  
 88 bathymetric data (precise to within 20 cm) and long range  
 89 black and white optical images (OTUS camera) (Fouquet  
 90 *et al.*, 2008). The 'Victor' sampling module was deployed  
 91 during the three other dives to explore the site and collect  
 92 water, rock and faunal samples as well as colour video images.  
 93

### 95 OTUS photo mapping survey and GIS

96 The ROV navigated at 8 m above the bottom during OTUS  
 97 acquisition at Ashadze-1, and 1200 OTUS images were  
 98 taken every 10 seconds for two and a half hours.  
 99 Consequently, each image covered a surface equivalent to 8  
 100 by 8 m, for a total surface area of 200 by 140 m. The images  
 101 were geo-referenced and oriented to conform to the direction  
 102


of the submersible by using a dedicated Ifremer tool, 'Adelie  
 OTUS', developed for the ArcGIS software suite (ESRI) and  
 OTUS images. The speed of the ROV and image acquisition  
 frequency led to an overlap in image acquisition. A 70%  
 overlap was chosen to reduce the asymmetrical lighting  
 effect. A total of 1000 images were used in order to  
 compose a mosaic, the final objective. The geodesic system  
 used was WGS84, with Mercator projection with standard  
 parallel N15.

### Sulphide structure and marker distribution

The exploratory dives were performed by the ROV with three  
 video cameras. One was fixed to a pan-and-tilt mount used by  
 the 'Victor' pilots. A fixed camera was also mounted on the  
 back rail to provide a vertical view and another video  
 camera was fixed on the left side of the vehicle. The three  
 video images were simultaneously recorded on DVD for sub-  
 sequent analysis. A total of 80 hours of video were recorded.  
 Every video included time, immersion, and camera panoramic  
 and tilt angle data. This information was used to compare the  
 positions of sulphide structures to those extracted from the  
 OTUS black and white image analysis. During the exploration  
 dives, plastic plates were deployed to mark every noteworthy  
 structure to assist navigation and future explorations.  
 Colour video analysis enabled the mapping of sulphide struc-  
 tures and markers.

### Substrata classification and mapping

Nine substrata classes were defined: pelagic sediments, fault  
 areas, solid angular blocks, strongly oxidized sulphide min-  
 erals, oxidized sulphide minerals, moderately oxidized sul-  
 phide minerals, recently oxidized sulphide minerals, fresh  
 sulphide minerals, and hydrothermal sediments. The contours  
 of these different substrata were digitized by GIS following the  
 pattern on OTUS images.



125 Fig. 1. Locations of the nine known hydrothermal vent ecosystems on the northern Mid-Atlantic Ridge (NMAR). Ashadze-1 is the southernmost vent site of the  
 126 NMAR and the deepest ocean vent field.

### 127 *Maractis rimicarivora* spatial distribution

128 *Maractis rimicarivora* was conspicuously abundant on OTUS  
129 images that were manually digitized and integrated in the GIS  
130 for spatial analysis. Sea-anemone densities were calculated  
131 using the Spatial Analyst tool of the ArcGIS ESRI suite. A  
132 simple density calculation was applied with a 4 m diameter  
133 circle for the neighbourhood. The resulting map was gener-  
134 ated with a pixel size of 0.3 m. These parameters allowed a  
135 consistently smooth density estimation of the sea-anemone  
136 population in the overall area, including that in parts in  
137 which organisms could not be digitized, i.e. plumes or non-  
138 overlapping parts of OTUS images.  
139

### 141 Megafauna benthic communities

142 Species identification was achieved from recovered specimens  
143 as well as those analysed from the colour video records.  
144 Biological samples were collected during ROV exploration  
145 dives 311-02, 312-03 and 313-04 using a suction sampler  
146 and the submersible grab. Organisms were washed on deck  
147 with filtered seawater after which the latter was passed  
148 through 500 $\mu$ m sieves. The organisms collected were fixed  
149 in 4% formalin in seawater for 24 hours and stored in 70%  
150 ethanol. Back on land, the samples were sorted under a bin-  
151 ocular microscope. Individuals were identified to the lowest  
152 possible taxonomic level. A. Waren (Swedish Museum of  
153 Natural History) and A. Sysoev (Zoological Museum,  
154 Moscow State University) assisted with gastropod identifi-  
155 cation; N. Sanamyan (Kamchatka Branch of the Pacific  
156 Institute of Geography) assisted with actiniarian identifi-  
157 cation; E.P. Turpaeva (Shirshov Institute of Oceanography,  
158 Moscow) assisted with pycnogonid identification; I. Bartsch  
159 (Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg, Hamburg) assisted with  
160 acarina identification and A. Martynov (Zoological  
161 Museum, Moscow State University) assisted with ophiurid  
162 identification; and D. Desbruyères, M. Morineaux (Ifremer  
163 Brest, France) and S. Hourdez (CNRS Roscoff, France)  
164 assisted with polychaete identification.  
165

### 167 Sample preparation and stable isotope analyses

168 Tissue samples were collected from four dominant species:  
169 *Phymorhynchus moskalevi* Sysoev & Kantor, 1995 gastropods,  
170 *Maractis rimicarivora* sea-anemone, *Mirocaris fortunata*  
171 Martin & Christiansen, 1995 shrimps and *Phyllochaetopterus*  
172 sp. nov. polychaete. Samples were prepared from alcohol-  
173 preserved specimens except for chaetopterids, which were pre-  
174 pared from formalin-preserved specimens. As the effects of  
175 these two kinds of preservation have been reported to be  
176 negligible in previous studies, no specific comparison was  
177 performed (Bergquist *et al.*, 2007; De Busserolles *et al.*,  
178 2009). Gastropods, shrimps and cnidarian muscle and soft  
179 tissue were removed from the specimens and used for stable  
180 isotope analyses. For the chaetopterids, whole intact individ-  
181 uals separated from their tubes were analysed. Shrimp and  
182 chaetopterid animals had to be pooled to obtain enough  
183 material to analyse. No acid was applied to the samples since  
184 the tissues did not contain any carbonate.  
185

186 Stable isotope measurements were performed on homogen-  
187 ized material obtained after drying samples by lyophilization  
188 for 24 hours. All the dried samples were analysed in an elemen-  
189 tal analyser coupled with an isotope ratio mass spectrometer

(EA-IRMS Europa Scientific, Iso-Analytical, Crewe, UK) to  
determine carbon, nitrogen and sulphur isotopes. The values  
are expressed in  $\delta$  (delta) notation using as standards Pee  
Dee Belemnite for carbon, atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> for nitrogen and  
Canyon Diablo Troilite for sulphur. Trophic shifts of +1‰  
for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  (Conway *et al.*, 1994) and +3.4‰ for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$   
(Minegawa & Wada, 1984) were considered, whereas no  
significant shift of  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  was assumed (McCutchan *et al.*, 2003).

### Ordination of NMAR vent sites

Lists of species present on each of the nine hydrothermal vents  
known on the NMAR (Menez Gwen, Lucky Strike Rainbow,  
Lost City, Broken Spur, TAG, Snake Pit, Logatchev and  
Ashadze-1) were drawn up by using two main sources: the  
*Handbook of deep-sea hydrothermal vent fauna* (Desbruyères  
*et al.*, 2006) and the Biocean database (Fabri *et al.*, 2006). For  
the analyses we kept the vent community species, though neg-  
lected the 'penetrating' species which are also found in the  
abyssal oceanic domain and may have been observed at the  
shallowest vent fields (Desbruyères *et al.*, 2000; Colaço *et al.*,  
2002). The working matrix was composed of the presence or  
absence of each species at each vent site. A dendrogram for  
the hierarchical clustering of all the sites, using group-average  
linking based on the Bray–Curtis similarity matrix, was estab-  
lished with PRIMER (Plymouth Routines in Multivariate  
Ecological Research, Version 5 (Clarke & Warwick, 2001)).  
In parallel a dendrogram was also established for data pre-  
viously transformed according to Hellinger, giving the same  
grouping (Legendre & Gallagher, 2001).

### Long term data archive and availability

Observations, sampling, video recording, digital images and  
temperature measurements were performed during dives  
311-02, 312-03 and 313-04. All the data and metadata were  
stored in the Biocean database (Fabri *et al.*, 2006) and meta-  
data are available on the website <http://www.ifremer.fr/biocean>. Species level taxonomic identifications and metadata  
will be available on the Ocean Biogeographic Information  
System portal (<http://www.iobis.org>) of the Census of  
Marine Life Programme (<http://www.coml.org/>).

## RESULTS


### Ashadze-1 photo mosaic

The photo survey of Ashadze-1 was organized in a GIS and  
gave a precise overview of the hydrothermal vent site  
(Figure 2). The images recorded covered an area of 110 ×  
200m and show the active site, located in the south-western  
part of the mosaic.

### Sulphide structure and marker distribution

The Ashadze-1 hydrothermal vent site is organized around a  
group of three very active black vents (Figure 2). The 2-m high  
'Long chimney' is located at the top of a small mound, marked  
with a plastic plate labelled SE2. The plume of this chimney  
was so intense that it was detected 50 m above the bottom  
during the bathymetric survey and it masked part of the  
hydrothermal area on the OTUS images. The 'Long





**Fig. 2.** The OTUS photo survey mosaic of Ashadze-1. The darker area in the southern part contains fluid emissions and sulphide structures, while the grey uniform area in the north mostly contains pelagic sediment. Three black smokers (Long chimney, Big black smokers and Twin chimneys) are grouped in an area  $20 \times 20$  m, and 5 small thin 'Clear chimneys' are located 40 m away in the western part. A group of small diffusing oxidized chimneys called the 'Anemone garden' is located in the northern part of the active centre. Markers SE1, SE2, SE3 and SE5 were deployed to mark the edifices.

chimney' was broken for fluid sampling during dive 312-03. The maximum fluid temperature was  $352^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The 'Big Black smokers' are five big apertures that expel black fluid at  $353^{\circ}\text{C}$  and located on a small crest marked with a plastic plate labelled SE3. The 'Twin chimneys' are two thin black smokers 50 cm high, located on a steep slope marked with a plastic plate labelled SE5. Fluid sampling was performed during dive 313-04 in the smaller one and the temperature measured was  $347^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

West of the central part, the 'Clear chimneys' expel a translucent concentrated jet of fluid less loaded with sulphide compounds than that of the fluid expelled from the black smokers. No temperature measurement was performed at this site. The 'Anemone garden' lies to the north of the active centre and is a group of small chimneys that expels a fluid reaching a temperature of  $112^{\circ}\text{C}$ . These structures are black and orange due to a mix of fresh and oxidized sulphide minerals, and they are covered by sea-anemones *Ma. rimicarivora*. This complex is marked with a plastic plate labelled SE1 on the eastern border.

### Substrata distribution

The interpretation of each OTUS image resulted in the substrata map showing a large area covered by hydrothermal sediments produced by a complex of three active chimneys (fresh sulphide edifices on Figure 3). Sulphide edifices were more oxidized northward from the active central part. No gradation was observed southward. In the eastern part of the field no fresh sulphide edifices were noticed but moderately oxidized sulphide edifices and chaotic were present.

### *Maractis rimicarivora* spatial distribution

Thirty-four thousand three hundred and twenty-nine sea-anemones *Ma. rimicarivora* were digitized from the


OTUS images. The sea-anemone population was mainly located in the vicinity of the active centre (Figure 4). High densities ( $32 \text{ ind.m}^{-2}$ ) were found in the eastern part of the active centre at only 5 m from two groups of black smokers: 'Twin chimneys' and 'Long chimney'. The density of *M. rimicarivora* decreased gradually with distance from the active centre, dropping to  $2 \text{ ind.m}^{-2}$  at a distance of 25 m. Sea-anemones live on hard substrata. They have been observed on sulphide structures as well as on small blocks of old sulphide chimneys very close to fluid venting from hydrothermal sediment.

### Benthic communities

The inventory of all the benthic fauna found in the community is presented in Table 1. It is composed of 10 phyla, 32 families and 43 species among which at least 7 species are new to science. In the Mollusca phyla, no bivalves were found except 1 *Thyasira* sp. shell, whereas at least 12 species of gastropods were present including 2 new species. In the Annelida phyla, only the polychaete class was sampled with 11 species of which at least 3 were new. Most of the arthropods were not identified to species level although several species were found in each of the 8 orders. The five decapod species were those commonly found on MAR hydrothermal vents.

The benthic-megafauna community observed is dominated by two species, namely the sea-anemone *Ma. rimicarivora* and the chaetopterid *Phyllochatopterus* sp. nov. These species form large populations on different substrata, such as the walls of active and old chimneys and on old pieces of fallen chimneys and for the most part covered by hydrothermal sediments.

Specimens were sampled at 4 sites: on the eastern part (SE1) and on the western part (W) of the 'Anemone garden', at the base of the 'Long chimney' (SE2) and in the




279 **Fig. 3.** Ashadze-1 substrata are divided into nine classes visible on the OTUS images. The active centre is located in the south-western part, where the fresh sulphide edifices are in the middle of hydrothermal sediment area. The dark grey to light grey areas represent sulphide edifices ranging from fresh to oxidized stages. In the eastern part several faults and angular blocks are present, with no specific hydrothermal activity.

282  
283  
284 sediment 2 m east (E) of the ‘Anemone garden’ (Figure 4).  
285 These four sites can be distinguished on the videos (Figures  
286 4 & 5): the first (SE1) was at the base of active and fresh sulphide  
287 chimneys; the second (W) was also very similar but  
288 covered by grey hydrothermal sediment, probably stemming  
289 from the three black smokers; the third (SE2) was character-  
290 ized by very black hydrothermal sediment covering pieces of

hard substratum, and the last (E) was composed of two  
layers, a top layer 8-cm thick composed of orange-brown oxidized  
sediment and an underlying grey one.

The polychaete chaetopterid was observed in dense mats at  
the base of the chimneys. This polychaete, *Phyllochaetopterus*  
sp. nov., can be recognized easily by its long tube from  
which two long white palps sometimes protrude. Video



313 **Fig. 4.** The spatial distribution of *Ma. rimicrivora* decreasing gradually with distance and concentrically around a high density centre (32 sea-anemones.m<sup>-2</sup>)  
314 located between the ‘Twin chimneys’ and the ‘Clear chimneys’. Two sampling sites, W and SE1, were located on a ring around the ‘Anemone garden’, E was in the  
315 sediment, and SE2 was at the bottom of ‘Long Chimney’.

**Table 1.** Composition of benthic species at Adhadze-1 identified from recovered specimens and from video records. Relative abundances are classified: +, rare; ++, common; +++ , abundant; ++++ , dominant

Phylum	Class	Order or superfamily	Family	Genus species author	Relative abundance
				sp. gen.	+
				spp. gen.	+
				sp. gen.	+
				sp. gen.	+
	Anthozoa	Actiniaria	Actinostolidae	<i>Maractis rimicarivora</i> Fautin & Barber, 1999	+++
	Bivalvia		Thyasiridae	<i>Thyasira</i> sp. (only one shell)	+
	Gastropoda	Vetigastropoda	Lepetodrilidae	<i>Lepetodrilus atlanticus</i> Waren & Bouchet, 2001	+
				<i>Pseudorimula midatlantica</i> McLean, 1992	+
			Skeneidae	<i>Protolira thorvaldssoni</i> Waren, 1996	++
			Sutilizaonidae	<i>Sutilizona pterodon</i> Waren & Bouchet, 2001	+++
		Neomphalidoidea	Peltospiridae	<i>Lirapex costellatus</i> Waren & Bouchet, 2001	+
				<i>Lirapex</i> sp. nov.	
				<i>Peltospira smaragdina</i> Waren & Bouchet, 2001	++
		Neritimorpha	Phenacolepatidae	<i>Shinkailepas briandi</i>	+
				<i>Shinkailepas</i> sp. (cryptic species)	
		Prosobranchia	Conidae	<i>Phymorhynchus carinatus</i> Waren & Bouchet, 2001	+
				<i>Phymorhynchus</i> aff. <i>carinatus</i>	+
				<i>Phymorhynchus moskalevi</i> Sysoev & Kantor, 1995	+
				<i>Phymorhynchus ovatus</i> Waren & Bouchet, 2001	+
	Polychaeta	Amphinomida	Amphinomidae	<i>Archinome</i> sp.	++
		Capitellida	Capitellidae	sp. gen.	++
		Phyllodocida	Hesionidae	sp. gen.	+
			Polynoidae	<i>Levensteiniella iris</i> Hourdez & Desbruyères, 2000	+
				<i>Thermiphione</i> sp. nov.	+
				sp. nov. (polynoinae)	+
		Spionida	Chaetopteridae	<i>Phyllochaetopterus</i> sp. nov.	+++
			Cirratulidae	sp. gen.	+
			Spionidae	<i>Prionospio</i> sp.	+++
		Terebellida	Ampharetidae	<i>Amathys lutzi</i> Desbruyères & Laubier, 1996	+
				<i>Glyphanostomum</i> sp. nov.	++
	Ostracoda			spp. gen.	
	Arachnida	Acarina	Halacaridae	<i>Copidognathus nautilei</i> Bartsch, 1997	+
	Pycnogonida	Pantopoda	Ammonotheidae	<i>Sericosura heteroscela</i> Child & Segonzac, 1996	+
	Malacostraca	Amphipoda		spp. gen.	+
		Copepoda		spp. gen.	++
		Isopoda		spp. gen.	+
		Mysida		sp. gen.	+
		Decapoda	Alvinocarididae	<i>Chorocaris chacei</i> Williams & Rona, 1986	+
				<i>Mirocaris fortunata</i> Martin & Christiansen, 1995	+++
				<i>Rimicaris exoculata</i> Williams & Rona, 1986	+
			Galatheidae	<i>Munidopsis exuta</i> Macpherson & Segonzac, 2005	+++
			Bythograeidae	<i>Segonzacia mesatlantica</i> Williams, 1988	+
	Ophiuridea	Ophiurida	Ophiuridae	<i>Ophioctenella acies</i> Tyler et al., 1985	+++
	Osteichthyes	Perciformes	Zoarcidae	<i>Pachycara thermophilum</i> Geistdoerfer, 1994	++

films and sampled specimens showed that chaetopterids conformed to a pattern with regard to sediment coverage. At SE1 they seemed to be clean, fat and healthy, and the tube annulations were clearly observed; however, on the western part of the 'Anemone garden' (W) the chaetopterids were also fat and healthy but specimens and tubes were incrustated by hydrothermal sediment and covered with a white bacterial mat; at SE2 the chaetopterids were very thin and completely incrustated by hydrothermal sediment; and finally, in the eastern part of the 'Anemone garden' (E), only transparent empty chaetopterid tubes were sampled.

Entire sampled polychaete populations were identified at the four sites described previously. The highest diversity was found at SE1 (8 families) where *Phyllochaetopterus* sp. nov. was the dominant polychaete with amphinomid and capitellid families. At W *Phyllochaetopterus* sp. nov. were the only

dominant species. However, *Phyllochaetopterus* sp. nov. were almost absent or dead at SE2 and in the hydrothermal sediment on the eastern part of the Anemone garden (E). In addition, it is noteworthy that: (1) a group of scavenger *Archinome* sp. was seen on the video at the bottom of site SE1 where a hundred *Archinome* sp. were sampled; and (2) and that two Ampharetids, *Amathys lutzi* and *Glyphanostomum* sp. nov., and Spionid *Prionospio* sp. were particularly abundant at SE2. The latter species was also sampled in relatively high abundance in blade cores in the sediment at SE2 and E where the only other polychaete family found was Ampharetidae.

Three species of alvinocaridid shrimps were found in order of decreasing abundance on the walls of active or fresh sulphide chimneys: *Mirocaris fortunata*, *Chorocaris chacei* (identified onboard from videos), and very few *Rimicaris*






Fig. 5. Digital photographs of Ashadze-1 sampling sites. Site W is covered with a thin layer of grey particles. Site SE1 appears to be very clean and orange. Site E is located in the sediment 2 meters from SE1. Site SE2 is completely covered by sediment at the bottom of the 'Long chimney'.

*exoculata*. Only isolated individuals of the latter species were observed, with only seven specimens being collected at SE1. Conversely, *Mi. fortunata* was observed in a ring around the 'Anemone garden' whose chimneys are composed of black and fresh sulphurs. They were present at the two sampled sites, SE1 and W, located close to this ring. *Mirocaris fortunata* were seen swimming between chaetopterid tubes at SE1 while they were neither seen nor sampled at SE2 and E.

Gastropods were sampled everywhere at Ashadze-1. Similar diversity was found at SE1 on the hard substratum and at the base of the 'Long chimney' (SE2), characterized by very black hydrothermal sediment covering pieces of old chimneys. Quite high numbers of dead gastropods were found at these two sites. At SE2 no gastropod was visible on the video films due to the thick layer of particles, even after zooming in. However, 2/3 of gastropod specimens were sampled at SE2, with *Sutilizona pterodon* making up more than half of them. This species, as well as *Lepetodrilus atlanticus*, *Lirapex costellatus* and *Lirapex* sp. were not sampled at any other site. *Protolira thorvaldssoni* was also sampled in notably high quantities at SE2. Only four species were sampled throughout the three sites W, SE1 and SE2: *Peltoispira smaragdina*, *P. thorvaldssoni*, *Shinkailepas briandi* and *Pseudorimula midatlantica* in decreasing order of abundance. Four different species of scavenger or predator gastropod *Phymorhynchus* spp. were present at SE1, whereas only one or two were sampled at SE2 and W.

At the base of the 'Anemone garden' in a temperature zone around 3.5°C, the ophiuroid *Ophioctenella acies* and the scavenger pycnogonid *Sericosura heteroscela* were common among chaetopterid polychaetes. Occurrences of the galatheid *Munidopsis exuta* were plotted from our black and white images. These galatheids were commonest at the periphery of the active centre, especially on very oxidized-sulphide

structures. Predators included the crab *Segonzacia mesatlantica* and the zoarcid fish *Pachycara thermophilum*.

In brief, we found that benthic communities were most diverse and abundant at the 'Anemone garden' in the eastern part (SE1) as well as in the western part (W). At W a bacterial mat covered faunal populations and diversity seemed to be lower than at SE1. In the sediment (E) further away from the low fluid venting of the 'Anemone garden', no specimens were collected apart from empty chaetopterid tubes and one polychaete family. Conversely, many specimens were collected from the sediment at the bottom of the strong fluid venting of the 'Long chimney' (SE2).

### Trophic position of consumers

The mean isotopic ratios of animal tissues ranged from -20.07 to -11.9‰ for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ , from 5.5 to 8.0‰ for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and from 6.87 to 10.17‰ for  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  (Table 2).

*Maractis rimicarivora* has the lowest range of mean  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values, around -14.69‰, and the highest range of  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ , from 8.44‰ to 11.02‰. Its  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  isotopic signature is the highest with a mean value of 10.43‰.

*Mirocaris fortunata* has a  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  value of -12.88‰, the lowest range of  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values, around 8.52‰, and the lowest range of  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  values, around 10.15‰.

*Phyllochaetopterus* sp. nov. have the lowest  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  mean isotopic signature with the highest range of values from -25.38‰ to -15.61‰. It also has the highest range of  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ , from 9.88‰ to 8.22‰, and the lowest  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  isotopic signature with a mean value of 6.87‰.

*Phymorhynchus moskalevi* has the highest  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  isotopic signatures with mean values of -11.47‰ and 11.9‰ respectively while it has the lowest  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  isotopic signature with a mean value of 8.12‰.



Table 2.  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  and  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  values for the species collected at Ashadze-1 vent field.

Species	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$				$\delta^{15}\text{N}$				$\delta^{34}\text{S}$			
	Min	Max	Mean + SD	n	Min	Max	Mean + SD	n	Min	Max	Mean + SD	n
<i>Maractis rimicarivora</i>	-14.95	-14.34	-14.69 +/- 0.31	3	9.89	11.02	10.43 +/- 0.57	3	8.44	12.51	10.17 +/- 2.90	2
<i>Mirocaris fortunata</i>	-13.40	-12.21	-12.88 +/- 0.50	4	8.39	9.68	8.52 +/- 0.13	4	9.46	10.94	10.15 +/- 0.74	3
<i>Phyllochaetopterus</i> n. sp.	-25.38	-15.61	-20.07 +/- 3.96	5	9.88	8.22	9.39 +/- 0.85	5	5.36	8.73	6.87 +/- 1.41	5
<i>Phymorhynchus moskalevi</i>	-12.72	-10.92	-11.47 +/- 0.98	4	7.63	8.80	8.12 +/- 0.49	4	9.76	12.71	11.90 +/- 1.41	4

Min, minimum; Max, maximum.

## Ordination of the NMAR vent ecosystems

A total of 88 species from NMAR hydrothermal vent communities were considered in the matrix. The three following taxa represented 84% of the total species number: Arthropoda, including 15 species of copepods, 9 amphipods, 6 acari, 5 caridea, 1 brachyura, 1 galatheide and 1 pycnogonid; Mollusca, including 23 species of gastropods and only 2 bivalves; and Annelida with 11 species of polychaetes. The remaining 16% were represented by Chordata—6 species of fish, Cnidaria—4 species including one Anthozoa *Ma. rimicarivora*, Echinodermata—2 species of ophiuroids and 1 species of Chaetognatha. The number of species per area from north to south was the following: Menez Gwen—34 species, Lucky Strike—48, Rainbow—40, Lost City—7, Broken Spur—15, TAG—19, Snake Pit—32, Logatchev—1—24 and Ashadze-1—29.

The fauna at Lost City was represented by only 8% of the total species matrix, therefore it was not considered in the following analyses. Moreover, the chemical features of the emitting fluids are very different to those found in the other hydrothermal fields, having a high pH value of 9 and low temperature, leading the alkaline fluid to precipitate carbonates and hydroxides below the sea floor and upon mixing with seawater (Kelley *et al.*, 2001).

The aim of the ordination was to display the biological relationships among the hydrothermal vent ecosystems known on the NMAR. The ordination provides a 2 dimensional view of the sites whose placement reflects the similarities of their biological communities. A non-metric, multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot was produced by PRIMER (Figure 6). A stress value of 0.02 was derived by

statistical processing. As it is close to 0.01 it gives a good two dimensional representation with no possibility of misleading interpretation. A cross-check with the results of the hierarchical classification was performed by superimposing the two groups formed with 50% similarity: the 'Northern-shallow NMAR' and the 'Central-deep NMAR'. The latter included Ashadze-1. As the 'penetrating' species were not included in our analyses, this ordination does not reflect the proportion of these species resulting from considerable depth.

## DISCUSSION

### Ashadze-1 benthic communities

Deep-sea hydrothermal communities are usually distributed in concentric rings around vent openings: bacterial feeders on chimney walls, symbiotic species in the intermediate area of diffuse venting and filter feeding organisms on the external rings (Colaço *et al.*, 2002). This scheme did not appear to be reproduced in the Ashadze-1 vent field as the diffuse venting area was not colonized by organisms living in symbiotic association with primary producers.

The striking difference between Ashadze-1 and other hydrothermal macrofaunal communities on the NMAR is the sharp numerical dominance of the sea-anemone *Ma. rimicarivora*, reaching densities of 32 ind.m<sup>-2</sup>. This species was especially abundant on chimney walls near the shimmering fluid. Sea-anemones are usually considered as peripheral species at hydrothermal vents occupying the zone of oxidized sulphides (Copley *et al.*, 1999). At TAG *Ma. rimicarivora* occurred in a concentric pattern at a distance from 15 to 60 m around the central chimney complex and reached the highest density of 20 ind.m<sup>-2</sup> at 30–40 m from the centre of a black smoker zone. *Maractis rimicarivora* is known to be a typical cnidarian, depending on prey for energy and nutrients, with no evidence of bacterial symbiosis (Van Dover *et al.*, 1997; Fautin & Barber, 1999). It is a suspension feeder and predator, feeding on small zooplankton and particulate organic material. At TAG it has also been seen preying on the shrimp *R. exoculata* (Van Dover *et al.*, 1997). The effect of temperature on the distribution of sea-anemones was investigated at TAG. The negative correlation between the abundance of anemones and the mean temperature recorded over a one year period suggest that the distribution of sea-anemones may be ultimately constrained by a maximum thermal tolerance of 25°C. However, in the absence of physiological investigations, ecological interactions such as shrimp aggregations in higher-temperature areas preventing the settlement of sea-anemones were suggested (Copley *et al.*,




Fig. 6. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) ordination of the known NMAR hydrothermal vent ecosystems. Bray-Curtis similarity coefficients of species presence or absence were used for the ordination. Depths represented by circles of different sizes and the two clusters formed at 50% similarity levels were superimposed on the MDS plot.

2007). At the Ashadze-1 vent field, *Ma. rimicarivora* indeed occurred on oxidized chimney walls where swarms of *R. exoculata* were expected. We measured a temperature of 3°C at the surface of *Ma. rimicarivora* at SE1 in the 'Anemone garden'.

The quasi-absence of the shrimp *R. exoculata* at Ashadze-1 may be a consequence of the low temperature on chimney walls, ranging from 3 to 10°C. The optimal thermal habitat of *R. exoculata* would be around 20°C taking into account its physiological thermal tolerance and the chemosynthetic activity of shrimp epibionts (Ravaux *et al.*, 2003; Schmidt *et al.*, 2008a). At TAG and Rainbow, temperature measurements ranged from 3 to 18°C in shrimp swarms (Schmidt *et al.*, 2008b). Juveniles were observed to colonize the colder zones further away from black smoke emissions (Gebruk *et al.*, 2000). At Ashadze-1 only very few *R. exoculata* could be observed and sampled. They were restricted to the site labelled SE1 in the 'Anemone garden' situated in the non-oxidized part of the chimney. The absence of dense shrimp aggregations at Ashadze-1 could also be the result of a recent environmental change, in terms of months or years, caused by a shift in the pattern of hydrothermal activity. This has already been suggested to explain the absence of dense shrimp aggregations at Broken Spur (Murton & Van Dover, 1993). *Rimicaris exoculata* can disappear very quickly as has been seen at 5°S on SMAR, where a large platform was densely populated by shrimps in 2005, but hardly any shrimps were observed on the same structure in 2006 (Haase *et al.*, 2007).

Another symbiotic species missing at Ashadze-1 was the mussel *Bathymodiolus* sp.: neither live bivalves nor shells were found on this vent field. This absence can be explained by two hypotheses: (1) very high production of suspended mineral particles at this vent field could negatively affect the filtering activity of bivalves and result in their absence, as was described for Rainbow (Desbruyères *et al.*, 2001); and (2) although great precautions were taken to ensure the complete coverage of the area, we did not have enough time to survey a sector south of the active field. It is possible that we missed a population of bathymodiolid mussels in the area, as was the case at Lost City where an enormous field of sub-fossil mussel shells was found on the slope of the Atlantis massif near the active field three years after its discovery (Sagalevitch *et al.*, 2005).

*Phyllochaetopterus* sp. nov. were found in very high densities, essentially on hard substratum. Sampled specimens were particularly healthy in areas where few hydrothermal sediments had accumulated, i.e. on the 'Anemone garden'. However, chaetopterid species are generally reported in high densities in mud and sandy bottoms in intertidal areas (Nishi, 2008). Until today, only a few species have been observed in hydrothermal deep seawater and at very low densities on the MAR. During the French EXOMAR cruise in 2005, another genus, *Spiochaetopterus* sp., was seen in low densities at TAG in a similar habitat: on blocs buried under hydrothermal oxidized particles with several *Ma. rimicarivora* scattered around (Fabri, personal observation). Chaetopterid tube worms have never been reported on the East-Pacific Rise but were observed in high densities in the vicinity of an active vent on the Pacific–Antarctic Ridge near the Foundation Seamount Chain (Stecher *et al.*, 2002). Like all chaetopterid polychaetes, *Phyllochaetopterus* sp. nov. are a tubicolous species. The branches of the tube are probably used to

modify the movement of water passing through it, carrying food particles. These particles are then trapped by mucus bags secreted by the polychaete. Both palps, seen outside the tube in videos, are also used but to a lesser extent, to seize the food in the environment (Barnes, 1965). The weak hydrothermal activity and high particle flow characterizing Ashadze-1 seems to be favorable to the development of this polychaete family.

Four species of the predatory gastropod *Phymorhynchus* spp. were present, among which one new species was discovered. These gastropods are often observed at the periphery of dying vents and are known to feed on mussels, shrimp remains, other gastropods and polychaetes (Desbruyères *et al.*, 2006; A. Waren, personal communication). The gastropod *Peltoospira smaragdina* was sampled all over Ashadze-1, but the ones taken from SE1 were all dead. They contained dust-like rust-coloured sediment and had evidently been buried for some time. It appeared as though they had suffocated (A. Waren, personal communication). On the contrary *L. atlanticus* was not as dirty and rusty as most of the specimens from SE1. It was in very good condition, although the soft parts were missing. The presence of a single *L. atlanticus* shell is the first specimen seen between Snake Pit and the newly discovered vent field at 5°S on the MAR (Haase *et al.*, 2007). Lepetodrilids have long been considered vent-endemic animals but they have recently been found at seeps and on sunken wood (Johnson *et al.*, 2008). These tiny gastropods are usually sampled as by-catches with the larger vent taxa, especially bivalve molluscs in the Atlantic Ocean, on which these limpets reside. At Ashadze-1 *L. atlanticus* was sampled with a piece of chimney by the grabbing arm of the submersible. Usually, the shells disappear quite rapidly in vents, in contrast to seeps and regular deep sea beds, so it is possible that an event occurred at Ashadze-1 relatively recently (A. Waren, personal communication).

Most species of Galatheid are scavengers not restricted to hydrothermal vents. They usually occur in low densities and their abundance in vent environments probably reflects the benefit derived from the organic matter produced by the chemosynthetic community inhabiting these zones. In general, their abundance increases in the vicinity of active hydrothermal sites and decreases in the centre of hydrothermal activity, which is what we found at Ashadze-1.

To sum up, the hydrothermal community at Ashadze-1 lacks symbiotic species (except for single *R. exoculata*) that are characteristic of the deep-sea hydrothermal fields on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. This absence is partially counter-balanced by unusually rich development in the active zone of peripheral species such as *Phyllochaetopterus* sp. nov. and *Ma. rimicarivora*. Moreover many scavengers such as *Phymorhynchus* spp., *Ophioctenella acies* and *Munidopsis exuta* colonize substrates in the active zone. Previous work focused on the life-cycle of a hydrothermal vent ecosystem from its initial colonization, growth and development of the community, followed by its demise (Van Dover, 2003). Knowing the characteristics of a waning stage ecosystem, i.e. gathering of scavengers and invasion by non-vent deep-sea taxa, we believe that Ashadze-1 is such an ecosystem. High faunal diversity at the Ashadze-1 vent field emphasizes the fact that diversity is similar at declining and at active vent fields, and is maintained by non-chemosynthetic taxa invading the field owing to the low level of toxic sulphides (Van Dover, 2002).

With regard to the fact that deep-sea hydrothermal vents are patchy and ephemeral environments, with individual vents lasting only a few years or decades (Micheli, 2002), and taking into account the faunal characteristics of this ecosystem and the accumulation of particles at the base of 'Long chimney' we assume that the formation of the latter occurred rapidly due to the sudden intensification of the fluid flow that led to the burial of the ecosystem developing around it. This assumption is supported by the fact that although fauna were not visible at the surface of the sediment, apart for a few emerging sea-anemones, specimens were found when sampling the layer of hydrothermal particles. Both live and dead gastropods were found in this thick layer of particles at the bottom of the 'Long chimney', whereas they usually live on hard substrata; also chaetopterids were very thin and completely black. Conversely, polychaetes were healthier at SE1, which is 15 m from 'Long chimney', and is probably a more favourable environment for their development with lower particle accumulation. Health appeared to decrease as the thickness of particles lessened, going from 'Long chimney' to W, the western part of the 'Anemone garden', and finally to SE1, the more remote eastern part. Site W was covered by a thin layer of particles, possibly originating from the 'Long chimney' and the first impact of its growth. The higher the chimney, the larger the area covered by particles. Moreover, only one bivalve shell was found in the sediment two meters from 'Long chimney'. This may indicate that bivalves were present but buried due to the possible rapid growth of the chimney. As they are filter-feeders they may not have been able to survive with the massive sudden input of particles.

### Trophic position of consumers

Chemosynthetic-based ecosystem primary producers are known to be either sulphur-oxidizing chemosynthetic bacteria, methanotrophic bacteria and/or bacteria utilizing other reduced chemicals such as hydrogen or iron (Schmidt *et al.*, 2008b). Invertebrates acting as primary consumers are capable of exploiting these bacteria either through the ingestion of free-living chemoautotrophic bacteria, or via nutritional exchange with endosymbiotic chemoautotrophic bacteria (Colaço *et al.*, 2002; Bergquist *et al.*, 2007).

Natural variations in stable isotopes have been widely used in marine ecology over the past twenty years to investigate the food web (Peterson & Fry, 1987; Conway *et al.*, 1994). Stable carbon, nitrogen and sulphate isotopic ratios are commonly measured in order to evaluate the source of dietary carbon, trophic position and sulphate origin respectively (McCutchan *et al.*, 2003).

In our study stable isotope ratios were measured on very few species, all of them being heterotrophic. Therefore, we did not try to construct the food web structure at Ashadze-1. However, we focused on the trophic position of these species through their isotopic ratios in order to support our hypotheses on the waning stage of this ecosystem. The tissue isotopic mean values of the four species at Ashadze-1 are compared to values measured for the same species at other vent fields on the NMAR (Colaço *et al.*, 2002, De Busserolles *et al.*, 2009). Although carbon isotopic values fall into the range described for these species, nitrogen isotopic values are always higher at Ashadze-1 than at other vent fields.

*Maractis rimicarivora* and *Phymorhynchus moskalevi* at Ashadze-1 have similar isotopic values to that measured for the same species at TAG.  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  isotopic mean values are the same and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  isotopic values are 2‰ higher at Ashadze-1. These values were already observed to be higher at TAG than at the other vent fields for these species (Colaço *et al.*, 2002). Actinarians usually collected at the periphery of vent fields have a very positive  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  signature, indicating that they occupy a high trophic level. They are believed to profit occasionally from the vent communities, but not exclusively. *Phymorhynchus* sp. are scavengers or predators, usually feeding on mussels at different vent fields, except at TAG where no mussels were found. *Phymorhynchus* sp. was then assumed to adapt and feed on dead shrimps, but is also known to feed on gastropods and polychaetes (A. Waren, personal communication).

*Mirocaris fortunata* has similar isotopic values at Ashadze-1 and at the Rainbow vent fields (Colaço *et al.*, 2002). The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  isotopic values are the same and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  isotopic values are 2‰ higher at Ashadze-1. The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  isotopic values in this species have a very wide range—from  $-10.46$  to  $22.06$ —suggesting an opportunistic feeding strategy (Gebruk *et al.*, 2000). *Mirocaris fortunata* were described as predators and scavengers or detritus feeders on the strength of what was found in their stomachs (Colaço *et al.*, 2002). They are assumed to prey on individuals that feed on free-living bacteria (De Busserolles *et al.*, 2009). They are also assumed to graze directly on free-living bacteria, as indicated by stable isotope signatures that can be around  $-10$  to  $-11$  (Gebruk *et al.*, 2000).

*Phyllochaetopterus* sp. nov. has a similar  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  minimum value and a  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value higher by 3.89‰ than that measured for chaetopterids at Logatchev (Colaço *et al.*, 2002). The wide range of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  measured for these polychaetes may be due to the fact that either whole individuals were kept for analyses (thus their gut content was not removed) or that their diet was composed of very diverse particulate organic matter (POM). POM at hydrothermal vents can be composed of body parts of invertebrates, faunal or microbial mucous secretions, microbial cell debris and photosynthetically derived material (Levesque & Juniper, 2002). The increased contribution of large and heterogeneous POM has been shown to significantly contribute to the diet of organisms in areas of low fluid discharge or as a vent site ages (Limén *et al.*, 2007).

Carbon isotopic ratios are assumed to allow determining the source of dietary carbon for an organism (Conway *et al.*, 1994). As the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values are in the same range at Ashadze-1 and at other vent fields on the NMAR, we can state that the four species for which isotopic ratios were measured at Ashadze-1 have the same food diet as that sampled at other NMAR fields. The generally very high  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values for the Ashadze-1 species suggest that their nitrogen source does not stem directly from local origin, but rather from recycled organic matter. Hence we can consider that these species play the same secondary consumer role in the ecosystems. The sulphur isotopic ratios of vent species are assumed to reflect their source isotopic values because isotope fractionation associated with the assimilation of sulphur compounds is thought to be small (Conway *et al.*, 1994). The food web based on photosynthetically derived POM has sulphur isotopic values ranging from  $+10$  to  $+20$ ‰ while the sulphur isotopic values of the food web



631 based on locally produced organic matter, vent sulphides,  
632 pore-water sulphides and thiosulphate, range from  $-11.5$  to  
633  $+13.4\%$  (Brooks *et al.*, 1987). The mean  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  isotopic ratios  
634 measured from animal tissues at Ashadze-1 ranged from  
635  $+6.87$  to  $+10.17\%$ , falling within the range of the  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$   
636 ratios found for vent species.

637 The high trophic level of dominant species and the sulphur  
638 isotopic ratios reflecting vent sulphide origins support the  
639 hypothesis of a chemosynthetic ecosystem present at  
640 Ashadze-1, although no symbiotic species were seen during  
641 the Serpentine cruise. Two hypotheses can be put forward:  
642 (1) symbiotic species existed but were buried or consumed  
643 by detritus-feeder species like *Ma. rimicarivora*,  
644 *Phyllochaetopterus* sp. nov., *Phymorhynchus* sp. and *Mi. fortuna-*  
645 *nata*, all of which were abundant; or (2) symbiotic species did  
646 not exist, perhaps owing to great depth, as free-living bacteria  
647 are the only component at primary producer level that consti-  
648 tute the food source of primary consumers. However, this  
649 trophic level was not very well represented as we could not  
650 find enough small crustaceans to feed this high proportion  
651 of secondary consumers, unless sea-anemones and shrimps  
652 are the primary consumers. Our opinion is that the first  
653 hypothesis better reflects the transfer of organic matter  
654 along the food chain.

### 657 Comparison with the Mid-Atlantic Ridge 658 hydrothermal vent ecosystems

659 Species richness (S) on the NMAR vent fields is based on  
660 (Desbruyères *et al.*, 2006). Minimum species richnesses on  
661 Lucky Strike (S = 28) Snake Pit (S = 27) and Logatchev  
662 (S = 24) have been described and compared previously, but  
663 they were lower than that presented in this study (respectively  
664 48, 32 and 24) (Van Dover & Doerries, 2005). One of the  
665 reasons explaining this difference is that the previous study  
666 analysed only of mussel bed communities. However, at  
667 Lucky Strike, which can be considered as a giant mussel  
668 bed, we found 7 additional species of gastropods and 8  
669 other species of annelids. An alternative explanation for the  
670 increased species number at the current stage might be the  
671 international sampling performed on some of the NMAR  
672 vent fields that has led to better knowledge of these vent com-  
673 munities. The low species richness at Broken Spur (S = 15)  
674 might result from the low number of samples taken by the  
675 international scientific community. However, some vent  
676 fields have been visited hundreds of times but nonetheless  
677 exhibited very low species richness, such as TAG (S = 19).

679 Ashadze-1 is grouped with the deepest and southernmost  
680 NMAR vent fields, though these results do not allow deter-  
681 mining whether latitude or depth is the major factor influen-  
682 cing geographical distribution. Previous studies have  
683 highlighted the same division between the NMAR vent  
684 fields, invoking bathymetry as the major geographical  
685 barrier to species dispersion (Van Dover *et al.*, 2002). This  
686 division is emphasized by the two mussel species *B. azoricus*  
687 and *B. Puteoserpensis*, found in the northern and southern  
688 parts respectively. However, a recent study also based on the  
689 presence or absence of species, but using other statistical  
690 methods, has shown that the Azores fields cannot be con-  
691 sidered separately from the other northern Atlantic fields  
692 when comparing the NMAR vent fields to other ocean vent  
693 fields (Bachraty *et al.*, 2009). This was also shown by

Gebruk & Mironov (2006), based on the distribution of  
common species and pairs of closely related species along  
the northern MAR.

Vent sites recently discovered on the southern MAR  
(SMAR) will provide new information on species distribution  
(Koschinsky *et al.*, 2006). The shrimp *R. exoculata*, the gastro-  
pod *L. atlanticus* and the mussel *B. puteoserpensis* were found  
at  $5^\circ\text{S}$  at a depth of 3050 m (Haase *et al.*, 2007). The few speci-  
mens of *R. exoculata* and *L. atlanticus* found at Ashadze-1  
support the theory that no geographical barrier exists  
between NMAR and SMAR. This leads to the hypothesis  
that Ashadze-1 could be one of the stepping stones in  
species dispersal along the MAR between Logatchev and the  
vent fields at  $5^\circ\text{S}$ .

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank M. Segonzac for helping with the species lists for the  
NMAR vents and O. Gauthier for validating the statistical  
methods. We are also grateful to the captain and crew of  
RV 'Pourquoi Pas?', the pilots and crew of the ROV 'Victor  
6000' and the shipboard scientific party, especially  
A. Normand for the microbathymetric map. We are also  
grateful for the assistance of the following taxonomic special-  
ists: A. Sysoev, N. Sanamyan, E. P. Turpaeva, A. Martynov, I.  
Bartsch and S. Hourdez, and especially to A. Waren for his  
taxonomic identifications and very helpful comments.  
Furthermore, we are indebted to Keith Hodson (www.accent-  
europe.fr) for correcting the English. This work was funded by  
the European Union MARBEF project (FP6-GOCE-CT-2003-  
505446) and ANR DeepOases (ANR06BDV005).

### REFERENCES

- Bachraty C., Legendre P. and Desbruyères D. (2009) Biogeographic relationships among deep-sea hydrothermal vent faunas at global scale. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 56, 1371–1378.
- Beltenev V., Ivanov V., Shagin A., Segeyev M., Rozhdestvenskaya I., Shilov V., Debretzova I., Cherkashev G., Samovarov M. and Poroshina I. (2005) New hydrothermal sites at  $13^\circ\text{N}$ , Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *InterRidge News* 14, 14–16.
- Beltenev V., Neschertov A., Shilov V., Ivanov V., Shagin A., Stepanova T., Cherkashev G., Batuev B., Samovarov M., Rozhdestvenskaya I., Andreeva I., Fedorov I., Davydov M., Romanova A., Rummyantsev A., Zaharov V., Luneva N. and Artem'eva O. (2003) New discoveries at  $12^\circ58'\text{N}$ ,  $44^\circ52'\text{W}$ , MAR: Professor Logatchev-22 cruise, initial results. *InterRidge News* 12, 13–14.
- Bergquist D.C., Eckner J.T., Urcuyo I.A., Cordes E.E., Hourdez S., Macko S.A. and Fisher C.R. (2007) Using stable isotopes and quantitative community characteristics to determine a local hydrothermal vent food web. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 330, 49–65.
- Brooks J.M., Kennicutt II M.C., Fisher C.R., Macko S.A., Cole K., Childress J.J., Bidigare R.R. and Vetter R.D. (1987) Deep-sea hydrocarbon seep communities: evidence for energy and nutritional carbon sources. *Science* 238, 1138–1142.
- Charlou J.L., Donval J.P., Konn C., Ondréas H., Fouquet Y., Jean-Baptiste P. and Fourré E. (in press) High production and fluxes of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_4$  and evidence of abiotic hydrocarbon synthesis by serpentinization in ultramafic-hosted hydrothermal systems on the



- 694 Mid-Atlantic ridge. *AGU Monograph Series-Diversity of Hydrothermal*  
695 *Systems on Slow-spreading Ocean Ridges*.
- 696 **Clarke K.R. and Warwick R.M.** (2001) *Change in marine communities:*  
697 *an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation*, 2nd edition.  
698 Plymouth: PRIMER-E:
- 699 **Colaço A., Dehairs F. and Desbruyères D.** (2002) Nutritional relations of  
700 deep-sea hydrothermal fields at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge: a stable  
701 isotope approach. *Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research*  
702 *Papers* 49, 395.
- 703 **Conway N.M., Kennicutt M.C. and Van Dover C.L.** (1994) Stable iso-  
704 topes in the study of marine chemosynthetic-based ecosystems. In  
705 Lajtha K. and Michener R.H. (eds) *Stable isotopes in ecology and*  
706 *environmental science*. London: Blackwell Scientific Publications, pp.  
707 158–186.
- 708 **Copley J.T.P., Jorgensen P.B.K. and Sohn R.A.** (2007) Assessment of  
709 decadal-scale ecological change at a deep Mid-Atlantic hydrothermal  
710 vent and reproductive time-series in the shrimp *Rimicaris exoculata*.  
711 *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*  
712 87, 859–867.
- 713 **Copley T.P., Tyler P.A., Van Dover C., Schultz A., Dickson P., Singh S.**  
714 **and Sulanowska M.** (1999) Subannual temporal variation in faunal  
715 distribution at the TAG hydrothermal Mound (26°N, Mid-Atlantic  
716 Ridge). *Marine Ecology* 20, 291–306.
- 717 **De Busserolles F., Sarrazin J., Gauthier O., Gélinas Y., Fabri M.C.,**  
718 **Sarradin P.M. and Desbruyères D.** (2009) Are spatial variations in  
719 the diets of hydrothermal fauna linked to local environmental con-  
720 ditions? *Deep-Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*  
721 56, 1649–1664.
- 722 **Desbruyères D., Almeida A., Biscoito M., Comtet T., Khrpounoff A.,**  
723 **Le Bris N., Sarradin P.M. and Segonzac M.** (2000) A review of the  
724 distribution of hydrothermal vent communities along the northern  
725 Mid-Atlantic Ridge: dispersal vs. environmental controls.  
726 *Hydrobiologia* 440, 201–216.
- 727 **Desbruyères D., Biscoito M., Caprais J.-C., Colaço A., Comtet T.,**  
728 **Crasson P., Fouquet Y., Khrpounoff A., Le Bris N., Olu K., Riso**  
729 **R., Sarradin P.-M., Segonzac M. and Vangriesheim A.** (2001)  
730 Variations in deep-sea hydrothermal vent communities on the  
731 Mid-Atlantic Ridge when approaching the Azores Plateau. *Deep-Sea*  
732 *Research I* 48, 1325–1346.
- 733 **Desbruyères D., Segonzac M. and Bright M.** (2006) *Handbook of*  
734 *deep-sea hydrothermal vent fauna*. Linz: Landesmuseum.
- 735 **Fabri M.-C., Galeron J., Larour M. and Maudire G.** (2006) Combining  
736 the Biocean database for deep-sea benthic data and online Ocean  
737 Biogeographic Information System. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*  
738 316, 215–224.
- 739 **Fautin D.G. and Barber B.R.** (1999) *Maractis rimicarivora*, a new genus  
740 and species of sea anemone (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Actiniaria:  
741 Actinostolidae) from an Atlantic hydrothermal vent. *Proceedings of*  
742 *the Biological Society of Washington* 112, 624–631.
- 743 **Fouquet Y., Cherkashov G., Charlou J.L., Ondréas H., Birot D., Cannat**  
744 **M., Bortnikov N., Silantyev S., Sudarikov S., Cambon-Bonavita**  
745 **M.A., Desbruyères D., Fabri M.C., Querellou J., Hourdez S.,**  
746 **Gebruk A., Sokolova T., Hoise E., Mercier E., Kohn C., Donval**  
747 **J.P., Etoubleau J., Normand A., Stephan M., Briand P., Crozon J.,**  
748 **Fernagu P. and Buffier E.** (2008) Serpentine cruise—ultramafic  
749 hosted hydrothermal deposits on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge: first sub-  
750 mersible studies on Ashadze 1 and 2, Logatchev 2 and Krasnov vent  
751 fields. *InterRidge News* 17, 15–19.
- 752 **Gebruk A. and Mironov A.N.** (2006) Biogeography of Atlantic hydro-  
753 thermal vents. In M.E. Vinogradov and A.L. Vereshchaka (eds)  
754 *Ecosystems of Atlantic hydrothermal vents*. Moscow: Nauka, pp.  
755 119–162.
- Gebruk A.V., Southward E.C., Kennedy H. and Southward A.J.** (2000)  
Food sources, behaviour, and distribution of hydrothermal vent  
shrimps at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *Journal of the Marine Biological*  
*Association of the United Kingdom* 80, 485–499.
- Haase K.M., Petersen S., Koschinsky A., Seifert R., Devey C., Keir R.,**  
**Lackschewitz K., Melchert B., Perner M., Schmale O., Süling J.,**  
**Dubilier N., Zielinski F., Fretzdorff S., Garbe-Schönberg D.,**  
**Westernströer U., German C., Shank T., Yoerger D.R., Giere O.,**  
**Kuever J., Marbler H., Mawick J., Mertens C., Stöber U., Walter**  
**M., Ostertag-Henning C., Paulick H., Peters M., Straus H.,**  
**Sander S., Stecher J., Warmuth M. and Weber S.** (2007) Young vol-  
canism and related hydrothermal activity at 5°S on the slow-spreading  
southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 8,  
1–17.
- Johnson S.B., Waren A. and Vrijenhoek R.C.** (2008) DNA barcoding of  
*Lepetodrilus* limpets reveals cryptic species. *Journal of Shellfish*  
*Research* 27, 43–51.
- Kelley D.S., Karson J.A., Blackman D.K., Früh-Green G.L., Butterfield**  
**D.A., Lilley M.D., Olson E.J., Schrenk M.O., Roe K.K., Lebon G.T.,**  
**Rivizzigno P. and Party T.A.-S.** (2001) An off-axis hydrothermal vent  
field near the Mid-Atlantic Ridge at 30°N. *Nature* 412, 145–148.
- Koschinsky A., Billings C., Devey C., Dubilier N., Duester A., Edge D.,**  
**Garbe-Schönberg D., German C., Giere O., Keir R., Lackschewitz**  
**K., Mai H.A., Marbler H., Mawick J., Melchert B., Mertens C.,**  
**Peters M., Sander S., Schmale O., Schimdt W., Seifert R., Seiter**  
**C., Stöber U., Suck I., Walter M., Weber S., Yoerger D.R.,**  
**Zarrouk M. and Zielinski F.** (2006) Discovery of new hydrothermal  
vents on the southern Mid-Atlantic Ridge (4°S–10°S) during cruise  
M68/1. *InterRidge News* 15, 9–15.
- Legendre P. and Gallagher E.D.** (2001) Ecologically meaningful trans-  
formations for ordination of species data. *Oecologia* 129, 271–280.
- Levesque C. and Juniper S.K.** (2002) Particulate matter as a food source  
at a nascent hydrothermal vent on the Juan de Fuca Ridge. *Cahiers de*  
*Biologie Marine* 43, 289–292.
- Limén H., Levesque C. and Kim Juniper S.** (2007) POM in macro-/meio-  
faunal food webs associated with three flow regimes at deep-sea hydro-  
thermal vents on Axial Volcano, Juan de Fuca Ridge. *Marine Biology*  
153, 129–139.
- McCutchan J.H., Lewis W.M., Kendall C. and McGrath C.C.** (2003)  
Variation in trophic shift for stable isotope ratios of carbon, nitrogen,  
and sulfur. *Oikos* 102, 378–390.
- Minegawa M. and Wada E.** (1984) Stepwise enrichment of <sup>15</sup>N along  
food chains: further evidence and the relation between <sup>15</sup>N and  
animal age. *Geochemical and Cosmochimical Acta* 48, 1135–1140.
- Murton B.J. and Van Dover C.** (1993) ALVIN dives on the Broken Spur  
hydrothermal hydrothermal vent field at 29°10'N on the Mid-Atlantic  
Ridge. *BRIDGE Newsletter* 7, 11–14.
- Nishi E.** (2008) A new species of *Spiochaetopterus* (Chaetoptera:  
Polychaeta) from the Iheya Seamount off Okinawa in East China  
Sea, Japan. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 121,  
289–294.
- Peterson B.J. and Fry B.** (1987) Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies.  
*Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 18, 293–320.
- Ravaux J., Gaill F., Le Bris N., Sarradin P.M., Jollivet D. and Shillito B.**  
(2003) Heat-shock response and temperature resistance in the  
deep-sea vent shrimp *Rimicaris exoculata*. *Journal of Experimental*  
*Biology* 206, 2345–2354.
- Rona P.A., Klinkhammer G., Nelsen T.A., Trefry J.H. and Elderfield H.**  
(1986) Black smokers, massive sulphides and vent biota at the  
Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *Nature* 321, 33–37.

- 757 **Sagalevitch A., Bogdanov Y., Bortnikov N., Silant'ev S., Galkin S.V.**  
758 **and Lein A.** (2005) A comparative study of MAR hydrothermal  
759 fields found in different geodynamic settings: preliminary results of  
760 the 50th cruise (August–September 2005) of R/V Akademik  
761 Mstislav Keldysh. *InterRidge News* 14, 16–18.
- 762 **Schmidt C., Le Bris N. and Gaill F.** (2008a) Interactions of deep-sea vent  
763 invertebrates with their environment: the case of *Rimicaris exoculata*.  
764 *Journal of Shellfish Research* 27, 79–90.
- 765 **Schmidt C., Vuillemin R., Le Gall C., Gaill F. and Le Bris N.** (2008b)  
766 Geochemical energy sources for microbial primary production in the  
767 environment of hydrothermal vent shrimps. *Marine Chemistry* 108,  
768 18–31.
- 769 **Simeoni P., Sarrazin J., Nouze H., Sarradin P.M., Ondréas H.,**  
770 **Scalabrin C. and Sinquin J.M.** (2007) Victor 6000: new high resolu-  
771 tion tools for deep sea research. 'Module de Mesures en Route'. In  
772 *Oceans'07 Marine Challenges: Coastlines to Deep Sea*. Aberdeen:  
773 Scottish Enterprise, p.6.
- 774 **Stecher J., Turkay M. and Borowski C.** (2002) Faunal assemblages on  
775 the Pacific Antarctic Ridge near the Foundation Seamount Chain  
776 (37 degree 30'S, 110 degree 30'W). *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* 43,  
777 271–274.
- 778 **Van Dover C.L.** (2002) Community structure of mussel beds at deep-sea  
779 hydrothermal vents. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 230, 137–158.
- 780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819
- Van Dover C.L.** (2003) Variation in community structure within hydro-  
thermal vent mussel beds of the East Pacific Rise. *Marine Ecology  
Progress Series* 253, 55–66.
- Van Dover C.L. and Doerries M.B.** (2005) Community structure in  
mussel beds at Logatchev hydrothermal vents and a comparison of  
macrofaunal species richness on slow- and fast-spreading id-ocean  
ridges. *Marine Ecology* 26, 110–120.
- Van Dover C.L., German C.R., Speer K.G., Parson L.M. and Vrijenhoek  
R.C.** (2002) Evolution and biogeography of deep-sea vent and seep  
invertebrates. *Science* 295, 1253–1257.
- and
- Van Dover C.L., Polz M., Robinson J., Cavanaugh C.M., Kadko D. and  
Hickey P.** (1997) Predatory Anemones at TAG. *BRIDGE Newsletter*  
12, 33–34.
- Correspondence should be addressed to:**  
M-C. Fabri  
Département Etude des Ecosystèmes Profonds  
Ifremer Brest, BP70, 29280 Plouzané, France  
email: Marie.Claire.Fabri@ifremer.fr