

Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry

January 2011, Volume 399, Number 3, Pages 1389-1392

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-4432-y>

© Springer-Verlag 2011

Archimer
<http://archimer.ifremer.fr>

The original publication is available at <http://www.springerlink.com/>

Synthesis of hydrochloric acid solution for total mercury determination in natural waters

Nathalie Patel-Sorrentino^{a,*}, Jean-Yves Benaim^a, Daniel Cossa^b and Yves Lucas^a

^a Laboratoire PROTEE (Processus de Transferts et d'Echanges dans l'Environnement), Equipe CAPTE, Université du Sud Toulon-Var, BP 20132, 83957 La Garde cedex, France

^b Ifremer, Centre de Méditerranée, BP 330, 83507 La Seyne-sur-Mer, France

*: Corresponding author : Nathalie Patel-Sorrentino, Tel.: +33 (0)4 94 14 23 06. Fax : +33 (0)4 94 14 21 68. email address : patel@univ-tln.fr

Abstract:

Total mercury (Hg_T) determination requires the addition of concentrated hydrochloric acid solution ($\geq 10 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ HCl) in relatively high amounts to preserve the samples and to prepare reagent solutions. A method for the preparation of concentrated HCl with Hg_T concentration of lower than 5 ng L^{-1} is described in this article. It is based on the well-known chemical reaction: $2 \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow (\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4 + 2 \text{ HCl}$. This method is validated thanks to the US Environmental Protection Agency method 1631 and standard reference materials BCR-579 (mercury in coastal seawater).

Keywords: Synthesis – Hydrochloric acid – Mercury determination – Green analytical chemistry

1. Introduction

Considering the very low concentrations of mercury (Hg) in natural waters (0.05-5.00 ng L⁻¹), important loss and contamination problems can arise in sampling, sampling treatment and analysis processes [1-7]. The main mercury loss is due to Hg adsorption on bottle walls, but it is now recognized that the addition of acid (e.g. HCl) or oxidant (e.g. BrCl) allowed stabilisation of water samples for total dissolved Hg determination after filtration [4-7]. About contaminations, the routes are numerous and can lead to obtain erroneously high total mercury (Hg_T) concentrations [8]. Such errors were common before the 80's and marine chemists have come to recognize that high concentrations were frequently reflecting contamination from sampling and analysis rather than ambient levels [5]. To overcome these analytical difficulties, a standardised sampling and analysis protocol has been proposed with the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method 1631 [5]. During analysis, major problems might arise due to insufficient blank control. The total blank is composed of the system blank which is the total mercury coming from the analytical equipment (carrier gas argon, Teflon tubing, reaction vessel, gold quartz-sand trap, etc) and the reagent blank which is the total mercury coming from the reagent solutions (HCl, BrCl, NH₂OH·HCl, SnCl₂).

Repeated purging and analysis of pre-purged samples allow the determination of the system blank and its standard deviation. It should be stable and ≤ 10 pg, otherwise the system and/or operating variables must be changed.

Beside the system contamination, the reagent blank is determined by analyzing the reagent solutions and should not exceed 20 pg [5]. To preserve the samples and to prepare the reagent solutions, concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution is added in relatively high amounts to the samples and reagents; it must therefore be of superior quality [5, 9, 10]. High quality HCl solution is generally purchased at high cost [11-14], or may be obtained by repeated sub-boiling distillation of cheap analytical reagent grade HCl solution [1, 9, 15] or by purging analytical reagent grade HCl solution for at least 12h after adding a small quantity of reductant NaBH₄ to it [7]. The purpose of this article is to propose an alternative way synthesising high quality HCl solutions from low cost analytical reagent grade products. This quick and easy process presents advantages such as (i) a low cost production, (ii) controlled quantity and quality for 'fresh' solutions and (iii) cost and environmental gains if we consider the unused part of the commercial HCl solution because of the loss of quality due to a bottle which lasts a long time after opening. This environmental benefit is consistent with the green analytical chemistry (GAC) approach [16, 17]. Indeed, the diminution in the amount of reagents employed in the measurement step is a mean for reducing adverse environmental impact of analytical methodologies in the philosophy of GAC.

2. Experimental

Material

For each synthesised hydrochloric acid solution batch, the concentrations of HCl and Hg_T were verified. With regard to the HCl concentration, a simple acid-base titration by a pH electrode (Mettler Toledo) and freshly prepared NaOH solutions was used. Hg_T concentrations were measured using a Tekran 2500[®] cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometer (CVAFS) and gold quartz-sand traps for Hg pre-concentration.

Mercury measurements

Hg_T determination for freshwater samples is well documented and a large majority of researchers use the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method 1631 [5]. Prior to analysis, all Hg in a sample aliquot is oxidized to labile Hg²⁺ with bromine monochloride (BrCl) solution. After oxidation, the sample is sequentially reduced with hydroxylaminehydrochloride (NH₂OH·HCl) solution to neutralize free halogens which can destroy the gold traps, then reduced with an excess of stannous chloride (SnCl₂) solution to convert Hg²⁺ to volatile Hg⁰. Elemental Hg is purged from solution with Hg-free argon and collected onto a collection gold trap by amalgamation. Hg is thermally desorbed from this trap into an argon stream that drives the released Hg⁰ to the analytical gold trap. The Hg is thermally desorbed from the analytical trap into an argon stream that carries Hg⁰ vapor into the cell of an atomic fluorescence spectrometer. The analytical procedure used both for analysis of the synthesised HCl solution and the reference material has followed the method described here and detailed by Cossa et al. [14].

Reagent solutions

The argon used as a carrier gas is Argon Instrument 5.0 (99.999%, Linde Gas) which has been Hg purified by passing through a gold quartz-sand trap. All the Teflon material used for the synthesis of hydrochloric solutions was perfluoroalkoxy (PFA) Teflon.

At first, to test the Hg_T concentration in the synthesised HCl solution and validate our method, concentrated HCl (30%) Suprapur[®] solution (Merck) was used for the reagents preparation. Moreover the Hg_T concentration was measured in this commercial solution to compare to our synthesized HCl solution.

Hg_T determination requires the preparation of the stannous chloride (SnCl₂), hydroxylaminehydrochloride (NH₂OH·HCl) and bromine monochloride (BrCl) solutions. These reagent solutions were carried out as described by Cossa *et al.* [14].

After preparation, the solutions were stored in Teflon bottles tightly capped, double-bagged in new polyethylene zip-type bags and stored in a cold (≤ 4 °C) and dark place in a plastic box until use.

Cleaning

The Teflon material used for the synthesis of HCl solution was cleaned by heating to 60-70 °C in 4 M HCl for at least 12 h. The material was cooled, rinsed ten times with deionised water, and dried in a Hg-free clean bench just before use.

Synthesis of hydrochloric acid solution

The HCl solution was synthesised using the well known industrial process: $2 \text{NH}_4\text{Cl} + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow (\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4 + 2 \text{HCl}$, with an extreme care to avoid Hg contamination. The best way is to minimize exposure to ambient contamination including: (i) the use of a laminar flow hood equipped with a charcoal filter, (ii) wearing gloves and (iii) the use of metal-free and well cleaned material.

In the process, 20 mL H₂SO₄ (MM = 98.07 g mol⁻¹; d = 1.83; 95% for analysis, Fisher Scientific) react with 20 g NH₄Cl (MM = 53.49 g mol⁻¹; 99.5% for analysis, Fisher Scientific) to produce HCl gas which is dissolved in 20 mL of deionised water. The H₂SO₄ was put in a Teflon recipient (30 mL, Savillex[®]) and brought to the Teflon reaction flask (120 mL, Savillex[®]) containing the NH₄Cl thanks to a peristaltic pump (Gilson[®]) (Fig. 1). The Teflon reaction flask was equipped with a

two ports transfer cap; one port was connected to the H₂SO₄ flask via the peristaltic pump, the other to the Teflon recipient (30 mL, Savillex[®]) containing the deionised water which received the HCl vapour. To avoid over pressure, this Teflon recipient was not hermetically closed but protected with a Parafilm[®] sheet and a polyethylene zip-type bag. Connections consisted of PFA Teflon tubings and a Viton[®] tubing for the peristaltic pump. The H₂SO₄ flow was adjusted to 0.1 mL min⁻¹. This low flow was required to carry out a correct dissolution of the HCl gas into the deionised water in order to give a concentrated HCl solution. These process conditions allow obtaining about 27 mL HCl within 4 hours with a yield going from 82% to 87% depending on the batches.

3. Results and discussion

The total mercury background concentration in ocean water is around 0.05-2.00 ng L⁻¹ [2, 4], which represents, for a 100 mL sample usually collected for Hg_T determination, a Hg_T amount of 5-200 pg. The Hg_T contamination due to the necessary use of HCl solution (sample preservation and reagent preparation) is estimated as follows. It has already been shown that Hg_T is stable for long-term storage when samples are kept in the dark and acidified with 0.4-0.5% HCl (v/v) [4, 5, 13, 14]. With a superior quality HCl solution having a 5 ng L⁻¹ Hg_T concentration, as recommended [5], such an add represents a 2-3 pg Hg_T amount for a 100 mL sample. When using the same HCl solution to prepare the reagent solutions, the Hg_T contaminations coming from the HCl solution are 0.5 ng L⁻¹, 0.1 pg L⁻¹ and 4 ng L⁻¹ for SnCl₂, (NH₂OH·HCl) and BrCl solutions respectively. To carry out the Hg_T determination, 0.5% (v/v) bromine monochloride solution, 0.2% (v/v) hydroxylamine hydrochloric solution and 0.5% (v/v) stannous chloride solution must be added to the sample [5, 14]. Therefore, considering a 100 mL sample, the amount of Hg_T coming from a 5 ng Hg L⁻¹ HCl solution, represents around 20 pg. If the purging of reducing reagent solutions, SnCl₂ and (NH₂OH·HCl) with Hg-free argon can reduce Hg_T to acceptable levels, it is not the case for BrCl because it is an oxidant solution. However, the KBrO₃ and KBr can be purified by heating them to 250 °C for a minimum of 12 h [14]. Hence, it is obvious that one of the main keys to avoid Hg contamination during the preservation and the analysis processes is to use the mostly Hg-purified HCl solution.

The HCl concentrations and total mercury concentrations were measured for (i) a concentrated HCl (30%) Suprapur[®] solution (Merck) issued from a just-opened bottle and (ii) the here synthesised HCl solutions. Results are shown in Table 1. The results regarding Hg_T concentrations were validated by investigation of the standard reference material BCR-579. This sample with a certified mercury value of 1.9 ± 0.5 ng Hg kg⁻¹ (n = 6) consists of acidified coastal seawater. It was analysed with the described method and a mean value of 2.0 ± 0.5 ng Hg kg⁻¹ (n = 5) was obtained.

This study shows the following results: (i) both types of HCl solutions (commercial and synthesised) are concentrated acid solutions; (ii) it confirms that the concentrated HCl (30%) Suprapur[®] solution (Merck) is a high purity grade; (iii) it proves that the HCl solution synthesised by the proposed method is almost Hg-free even when prepared with low grade products which are potentially Hg-contaminated. Reaction between NH₄Cl and H₂SO₄ occurs in a non-reducing environment making the presence of volatile reduced Hg species unlikely. The lower volatility of the oxidized Hg species limits its transfer within the HCl gas stream produced by the reaction, even with low grade reagents.

Conclusion

The synthesis described in this article allows quick, cheap and easy obtaining of concentrated HCl solutions having Hg_T concentration $< 5 \text{ ng L}^{-1}$, value which corresponds to the grade concentration recommended by the literature for total mercury analysis. Key advantages of this alternative way to have HCl solutions of superior quality are the following. First, costs for a given quantity are at least 2 times less than the purchase of a Suprapur[®] grade HCl solution. It can even cost 25 times less if highly pure commercial solutions are considered. Secondly, this synthesis allows always having 'fresh' solutions with controlled qualities (HCl concentration and almost Hg-free) and quantities. The usual minimum amount of commercial concentrated Suprapur[®] grade HCl solution is 250 mL when the required quantities for total mercury analysis are small, so that a given bottle lasts a long time after opening, increasing the risks of HCl concentration loss and Hg contamination. Thirdly, if we consider the unused part of a commercial HCl solution, because of the loss of quality once the container is opened, the synthesis of the exact required quantity increases the cost gain but also becomes an environmental benefit. It corresponds to the philosophy of GAC by decreasing the amounts of consumed reagents.

Acknowledgments


The authors wish to thank to D.H. Dang for his help in the drawing of the figure.

References

1. Fadini PS, Jardim WF (2000) *Analyst* 125:549-551
2. Gill GA, Fitzgerald WF (1985) *Deep-Sea Res Pt A* 32:287-297
3. Bloom NS (1995) *Environ Lab* 7:20-26
4. Parker JL, Bloom NS (2005) *Sci Total Environ* 337:253-263
5. US Environmental Protection Agency (2002) Method 1631, Revision E: Mercury in Water by Oxidation, Purge and Trap, and Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry, EPA 821-R-02-019
6. Logar M, Horvat M, Akagi H, Pihlar B (2002) *Anal Bioanal Chem* 374:1015-1021
7. Leopold K, Foulkes M, Worsfold P (2010) *Anal Chim Acta* 663:127-138
8. Fitzgerald RA, Gordon Jr DC, Cranston RE (1974) *Deep Sea Res and Oceanographic Abstracts* 21:139-144
9. Brüggemann L (1999) In: Grasshoff K, Kremling K, Ehrhardt M (ed) *Methods of Seawater Analysis*, 3rd edn. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim
10. Cai Y (2000) *Trends Anal Chem* 19:62-66
11. Taylor VF, Jackson BP, Chen CY (2008) *Anal Bioanal Chem* 392:1283-1290
12. Bloom NS, Crecelius EA (1983) *Mar Chem* 14:49-59
13. Quemerais B, Cossa D (1995) *Protocoles d'Echantillonnage et d'Analyses du Mercure dans les Eaux Naturelles, Rapport Scientifique et Technique ST-31, Québec*
14. Cossa D, Averty B, Bretaudeau J, Sénard AS (2003) *Spéciation du Mercure Dissous dans les Eaux Marines. Ifremer, Brest*
15. Hinn GM, Nelson BK (1997) *Nucl Instr and Meth in Phys Res A* 397:189-193
16. Armenta S, Garrigues S, De la Guardia M (2008) *Trends Anal Chem* 27:497-511
17. Tobiszewski M, Mechlinska A, Zygmunt B, Namiesnik J (2009) *Trends Anal Chem* 28:943-951

Figures

Fig. 1 Schematic of the experimental protocol for the HCl synthesis



Tables

Table 1 HCl and total mercury concentrations for commercial and synthesised HCl solutions

HCl solution	[HCl] (mol L ⁻¹) ¹⁾	[Hg _T] range (ng L ⁻¹)	[Hg _T] mean (ng L ⁻¹)	Standard deviation (ng L ⁻¹)	Number of determination
Suprapur®	10.0	1.32 - 1.44	1.38	0.05	5
Synthesised batch 1	12.2	0.57 - 0.68	0.64	0.04	5
Synthesised batch 2	11.9	0.18 - 0.31	0.24	0.05	5
Synthesised batch 3	11.8	0.60 - 0.73	0.66	0.04	5
Synthesised batch 4	12.0	0.46 - 0.56	0.51	0.05	5
Synthesised batch 5	11.8	0.22 - 0.31	0.27	0.05	5