Phytoplankton spring and fall blooms in the North Atlantic in the 1980s and 2000s
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1. Introduction

[2] Phytoplankton growth results from the conjunction of nutrient supply and light availability. When both demands are satisfied, phytoplankton can experience rapid growth, leading to large biomass accumulations in the upper layers generally referred to a phytoplankton bloom. This phenomenon is important for regional to global carbon budgets, and also drives bottom-up processes throughout the pelagic food chain [Richardson and Schoeman, 2004]. There are at least two types of phytoplankton blooms that arise from different combinations of physical forcing: spring and fall blooms.

[3] The onset of a spring bloom occurs when upper layer stratification is sufficient for phytoplankton to use the nutrients previously brought to the surface by deep winter mixing [Sverdrup, 1953]. This is also the time when surface irradiance increases toward the summer solstice maximum. Spring blooms end when surface waters are nutrient depleted due to consumption by phytoplankton (bottom-up control), and also because of zooplankton grazing (top-down control) [Banse, 1992].

[4] A fall bloom is conversely driven by the deepening of the surface mixed layer at the end of summer, leading to nutrient entrainment in the surface layer. It is also controlled by a reduction in grazing pressure due to the seasonal vertical migration of mesozooplankton toward deep waters [Colebrook, 1982]. It is dissipated (diluted) by mixing and energy starved by declining light in fall-winter [Longhurst, 1995].

[5] Phytoplankton spring blooms in the North Atlantic are the most pronounced of any open ocean region [Yoder et al., 1993; Kennedy et al., 2000]. They have been the focus of many studies [Colebrook, 1979; Ducklow and Harris, 1993; Siegel et al., 2002]. Mechanisms of their rise were first described 60 years ago [Sverdrup, 1953], and are still being investigated [i.e., Dutkiewicz et al., 2001; Siegel et al., 2002; Follows and Dutkiewicz, 2001; Lévy et al., 2005; Ueyama and Monger, 2005; Behrenfeld, 2010]. Fall blooms have been reported in the North Atlantic Drift Region (NADR; 30°N–56°N; 43°W–0°W) [Colebrook, 1982; Longhurst, 1995; Dandonneau et al., 2004; Lévy et al., 2005].

[6] The aim of our study is to investigate differences in the North Atlantic (30°N–50°N and 60°W–0°W) phytoplankton blooms in spring and fall between 1979–1983 and 1998–2002. These two time periods are, respectively, the first 5 years of the Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS, NASA ocean color mission launched in 1978 [Hovis et al., 1983]) and Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS, NASA mission launched in 1997; [McClain et al., 1998]).
2004]) satellite ocean color missions (see below for justification of using only subsets of these two time series). The surface chlorophyll-a concentration (Chl) derived from these satellite observations is used as a proxy for phytoplankton biomass.

[7] Sea surface temperature (SST) can be used as an indicator of the ocean stratification, which is one forcing of phytoplankton variability [Behrenfeld et al., 2006]. However, contradictory relationships between SST and Chl have been reported, which suggests that SST might be an ambiguous indicator of stratification. An inverse relationship between Chl and SST has been reported over about 60% of the global ocean using remote sensing [Behrenfeld et al., 2006; Martínez et al., 2009]. This inverse relationship is usually expected in nutrient-limited subtropical regions, because a warming-induced stratification would reduce the upward nutrient supply and then productivity [Sarmiento et al., 2004; Doney, 2006; Polovina et al., 2008]. Stratification would conversely increase productivity in subpolar gyres, which are light limited because of intense vertical mixing [Polovina et al., 1995; Doney, 2006]. A parallel relationship between Chl and SST is conversely observed over ~40% of the global ocean. This was shown, for instance, in the North Atlantic from the 1980s to the 2000s [Martínez et al., 2009], and related to a regime shift of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) from a cold to a warm phase in the mid–1980s.

[8] Our aim here is to study the connection between stratification and seasonal Chl cycles (blooms). Therefore, we investigate variability of Chl along with that of the mixed layer depth (MLD) and of the wind stress, which is a driver of the MLD. The corresponding data sets are presented in section 2. In section 3, the spatial and seasonal variability of Chl and MLD are quantified using empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analyses. Then, their interannual variability within each of the two time periods is presented separately for the eastern and northeastern Atlantic, which differ both in terms of their physical and biogeochemical environments. The possible role of changes in wind stress intensity in generating the differences in MLD observed between the CZCS and SeaWiFS era is also examined. Results are summarized and discussed in section 4.

2. Data

[9] Ocean color time series are provided by the CZCS (November 1978–June 1986) and SeaWiFS (September 1997–December 2010) missions. Caution is necessary when comparing data from these two time series separated by an 11 year gap. We accordingly used the reprocessed data set generated by Antoine et al. [2005], who applied the same algorithms and an adapted calibration to both CZCS and SeaWiFS observations, providing two fully compatible 5 year time series. The years 1979–1983 were selected for the CZCS because there is virtually no data in 1984, and because the calibration became uncertain for the years 1985 and 1986. The reprocessed SeaWiFS data set was generated in 2003. Therefore, it only includes preceding years; i.e., the 5 years from 1998–2002. For the sake of simplicity, these two time periods will be referred to as the “CZCS period” and the “SeaWiFS period,” though they do not correspond to the full lifetime of these satellite missions. In order to avoid repetition, they will be also occasionally referred to as “the 1980s” and “the 2000s,” although they do not include all years from each of these 2 decades. Embracing the full 13 year SeaWiFS time series would entail using the NASA standard data set, losing compatibility with the reprocessed CZCS data set and introducing essentially unknown uncertainty in the comparison. The archive used here is made of monthly composites with an 18 km resolution. It excludes shallow waters (depth < 200 m). Readers are referred to Antoine et al. [2005] for further understanding of how this reprocessing made the two data sets comparable.

[10] The database of in situ vertical temperature profiles put together by de Boyer Montégut et al. [2004] allowed them to build a global monthly MLD time series starting in 1941. They determined MLD using a temperature criterion, as being the depth where the temperature is 0.2°C different from the temperature at 10 m. This reference depth was shown to be sufficiently deep to avoid aliasing by the diurnal temperature signal [de Boyer Montégut et al., 2004]. Here we extracted the North Atlantic (30°N–50°N and 60°W–0°W) monthly MLD over 1979–1983 and 1998–2002. The number of observations is quasi-identical over the two time periods (34,391 versus 32,444 observations, respectively). Their spatial distribution are similar and differ only over the area 40°N–50°N and 40°W–30°W, with slightly less data in 1979–1983 (not shown).

[11] Surface wind stress is one forcing of the interannual MLD variability. We used the International Comprehensive Ocean–Atmosphere Data Set (ICOADS), which provides gridded monthly summary products extending from 1800 onward, based on an extensive collection of surface marine data. We used the 1° enhanced product extracted monthly over 1979–1983 and 1998–2002, and derived the wind stress amplitude from its zonal and meridional components [Woodruff et al., 2010].

[12] Chl, MLD, and wind stress monthly fields were averaged on a 2° by 2° grid and interpolations were carried out to fill empty grid cells. Linear interpolations in time were first performed in each cell, and only when the gap was of 1 month. Spatial interpolation along the longitude and latitude axes was subsequently performed only for single isolated empty cells. The MLD and wind stress data sets were barely impacted by this processing because their initial coverage was almost full. At the end, all 2° by 2° cells in the study region were filled with MLD and wind stress data, and 90% were filled with Chl data.

[13] In order to quantify the seasonal variability of Chl, MLD, and wind stress in the North Atlantic over 1979–1983 and 1998–2002, Empirical Orthogonal Function analyses (EOFs) have been performed on anomaly fields. The first set of EOFs (section 3.2) was performed on Chl and MLD data to investigate their seasonal variability, and the anomaly fields were obtained by subtracting the average annual value in each 2° by 2° cell determined over 10 years; i.e., the 5 years of CZCS plus the 5 years of SeaWiFS. The second set of EOFs (section 3.3) was performed on MLD and wind stress data to highlight their interannual variability. The anomaly fields were therefore derived by subtracting the average monthly values determined over the 10 years.

[14] The potential implication on primary production of the observed differences in Chl seasonal cycles has been evaluated using a wavelength-, depth-, and time-resolved
light photosynthesis model [Morel, 1991; Antoine and Morel, 1996]. In addition to Chl, SST, and MLD, this model needs surface photosynthetically available radiation (PAR), which has been computed for clear-sky conditions from date and latitude [Gregg and Carder, 1990] and corrected for cloudiness using a monthly cloud climatology. This climatology was derived from averaging ISCCP-D2 monthly mean cloud data between July 1983 (the start of the ISCCP data set) and June 2006 [Rossow and Schiffer, 1999; http://isccp.giss.nasa.gov]. Therefore, possible differences in cloudiness between the 1980s and 2000s are ignored, which means that differences in the modeled primary production are due only to differences in Chl, SST, and MLD. It is worth noting that such a light photosynthesis model does not explicitly include the effect of nutrient limitation. It is a diagnostic model that uses the observed Chl as the indicator of the trophic state.

3. Results

3.1. Basin-Scale Spatial Features and Seasonal Cycles

[15] The distributions of Chl and of the maximum MLD (computed over 1998–2002) are displayed in Figure 1 for the purpose of illustrating the main features of the study region (30°N–50°N; 60°W–0°W), which includes the subtropical and subpolar phytoplankton regimes. For the purpose of facilitating the reading of the figures, the Chl value of 0.6 mg m⁻³ is arbitrarily used to delineate regions of high Chl. This threshold corresponds to the green-to-yellow transition on color plates.

[16] At subpolar latitudes the annual phytoplankton biomass cycle is dominated by the spring bloom, which occurs in response to increases in mean irradiance of the mixed layer. At lower latitudes in the subtropics, the biomass peak occurs during winter when mixing by winds and thermal convection replenish the euphotic zone with nutrients. This biomass peak is much reduced in comparison to high-latitude spring blooms [Yoder et al., 1993]. The study region also encompasses five of Longhurst’s [1995] biogeochemical provinces. In the north, high Chl values appear in the North Western Continental Shelves (NWCS) province in the west and in the North Atlantic Drift (NADR) province in the east. These two provinces belong to the subpolar gyre (Figure 1a). In the south, low Chl values appear in the western and eastern North Atlantic Subtropical (NAST) provinces of the oligotrophic subtropical gyre. In the western region, the Gulf Stream (GFST) province separates the NWCS and NAST provinces. These biogeochemical province boundaries are partly driven by the oceanic dynamics [Longhurst, 1995]. Therefore, they also appear on the spatial distribution of the winter MLD maximum (MLDmax; Figure 1b). Northwest of 40°N–40°W in the NWCS region, MLDmax is shallow and the cold Labrador Current flows from the north. The southern boundary of the NWCS region is the Gulf Stream, which flows northeastward and spreads in the northeastern region into the North Atlantic Current, where MLDmax is deep (>150 m). Further south, the Azores Current flows eastward then southeastward and MLDmax is about 100 m.

[17] The characteristics of the spring and fall blooms are separately analyzed. The fall bloom refers to a Chl peak between September and January, and the spring bloom to a peak between February and August. These two time intervals cover the full year when taken together. The average and standard deviation of the Chl and MLD seasonal cycles over the entire study region are shown in Figure 2. In the subpolar region (from 40°N to 50°N and 60°W to 0°W; i.e., the NWCS and NADR provinces), the Chl seasonal cycle during the period 1979–1983 exhibited a fall bloom (Figure 2a, first peak of the thick dashed line) slightly stronger than the spring bloom (second peak, in May). In 1998–2002, the fall bloom was weaker and the spring bloom was dominant (Figure 2a, thick solid line). This dominant spring bloom was preceded by a maximum of
MLD that was deeper during the SeaWiFS era than it was during the CZCS era (Figure 2a, dashed versus solid thin lines).

The average spring and fall distributions of Chl and MLD for the two time periods are shown in Figure 3. These distributions show that the large fall bloom compared to the spring bloom during the CZCS era (Figure 2) was mainly located in the NADR rather than in the NWCS province (Figures 3a and 3c). The weaker fall bloom and stronger spring bloom in the 2000s are particularly evident in the NADR province (Figures 3b and 3d compared to Figures 3a and 3c). Finally, deeper wintertime MLD are mostly located in the GFST and NADR regions (Figures 3e and 3f).


The results of EOF analyses performed on Chl and MLD anomalies are shown in Figures 4 and 5. The two first EOFs modes of Chl seasonal anomalies respectively represent 34% and 17% of the total variance (Figure 4). The spatial function of the first mode (Figure 4a) shows the strongest Chl seasonal variability in the transition zone, between the subpolar (NWCS and NADR) and subtropical (NAST) regions. The second mode (Figure 4b) highlights the highest Chl variability in the NADR province. The associated time functions (Figure 4c) show a spring bloom occurring earlier in the transition zone (red line, white background) than in the subpolar region (black line, white background). The spring bloom is initiated in the transition zone by the supply of nutrients from winter mixing, while further north in the subpolar gyre, where the ecosystem is likely to be light limited, the bloom occurs later when the MLD becomes shallower than the critical depth [Sverdrup, 1953; Siegel et al., 2002].

Conversely, the fall bloom occurred earlier in the subpolar region (black line, gray background) than in the transition zone (red line, gray background). The fall bloom is initiated in the subpolar gyre when the mixed layer deepens at the end of summer and is progressively refueled with nitrate. Further south, a longer time is necessary for the deepening of the MLD to reach the nutrients that are deeper than northward.

Besides these regional differences, the two time functions show that fall booms were weaker and spring blooms were stronger in the 2000s than they were in the 1980s (Figure 4c). This pattern is emphasized in the transition zone, where the fall bloom barely appeared in the 2000s (Figure 4c, small peaks in the 2000s as compared to the 1980s).

The first mode of the EOFs performed on MLD explains 76% of the total variance (only 3.8% for the second mode, not shown). The spatial distribution of the MLD variability mimics that of the annual MLDmax (Figure 1b). The strongest seasonal variability appears in the NADR region where the North Atlantic Current flows (Figure 5a). In the NAST(E), NWCS, and Labrador current regions, the MLD seasonal variability is weak and the MLDmax is shallower than in the NADR region. The MLDmax occurs around February–March (EOF time function on Figure 5b), which is mainly driven by the MLD variability in the NADR region. In this region, MLDmax was deeper during the SeaWiFS era than during the CZCS era, particularly from 2000 to 2002.

Figure 2. (a) Time series of means and (b) standard deviations of MLD (left axis, thin lines) and Chl (right axis, thick lines). Data are averaged over the North Atlantic (60°W–0°W; 40°N–50°N) for the CZCS (dashed lines) and SeaWiFS (solid lines) era. Time axis is from July to June.
The interannual variability of Chl and MLD is now investigated separately for the eastern (i.e., NADR and eastern NAST) and northwestern (NWCS) regions. This geographical splitting is driven by the west-east gradient appearing on the spatial distribution of MLD and Chl (Figures 1 and 3).

### 3.2.1. The Eastern Atlantic (NADR and Eastern NAST Provinces)

The eastern Atlantic refers here to the region east of 40°W and corresponds to the NADR and eastern NAST provinces, where both Chl and MLD spatial distributions are essentially zonal (Figures 1 and 3–5). Therefore, Hovmöller plots of Chl and MLD, which show their time variability along latitude in the eastern Atlantic, are performed by averaging data along 40°W–0°W. They are displayed on Figure 6 (the period of the fall bloom is indicated by gray bars at the top).

During the CZCS era (Figure 6a), the spring bloom started earlier (March–April) in the transition zone (∼40°N) than further north in the subpolar gyre (May–June at 50°N). The fall bloom started in October–November at 50°N, which is about 1–2 months earlier than at 45°N. The fall bloom was of similar amplitude or even higher than the spring bloom.

During the SeaWiFS time period (Figure 6b), the spring bloom was stronger than in the 1980s, and it extended further south than during the CZCS era, down to 36°N–40°N. The fall bloom was weaker and restricted to the northern boundary. It is also worth noting that the fall bloom in the NADR region was earlier in the 2000s (around Sep-
tember–October; Figure 7b), than it was in the 1980s (Figure 7a).

The southward extension of the spring bloom in the 2000s appeared after the occurrence of deeper MLD values (up to 80 m) in winter (Figures 6c and 6d). This winter-time increase of MLD in a nutrient limited region likely allowed a more efficient uplift of deep nutrients. In 2001 and 2002, MLD over 36°N–50°N was deeper and spring blooms were stronger than in any other year considered here. The weaker fall bloom in the 2000s followed a change in the timing of the MLD deepening at the end of summer, which occurred 1 month later at the beginning of winter.

Figure 4. Spatial patterns of (a) the first seasonal mode (red line in Figure 4c) and (b) the second mode (black line in Figure 4c) of Chl EOFs and (c) their time functions. September to January time periods are in gray to highlight the fall bloom.

Figure 5. As in Figure 4 but for the first mode of the MLD EOFs.
the 2000s than 20 years earlier (September versus August, figure not shown).

3.2.2. The Northwestern Atlantic (NWCS Province)

[28] In this section, we focus on the northwestern NWCS province where the MLD is shallow and Chl is high. The Gulf Stream is the southern boundary of the NWCS province and its latitudinal position has significant interannual variability. To keep the Gulf Stream dynamics out of the analysis, Hovmoller plots of Chl and MLD (Figure 8) have been generated by averaging data along longitude in the area where the annual MLDmax is shallower than 120 m (see the black lines on Figure 1). Therefore, the range of latitude only covers 38°N–50°N.

[29] In the NWCS province, strong fall and spring blooms occurred during both the CZCS and SeaWiFS eras (Figures 8a and 8b). In this region, differences in the Chl and MLD seasonal cycles are weak between the CZCS and the SeaWiFS eras (Figure 8). The spring bloom extended slightly further south in the 2000s, whereas the fall bloom was somewhat more restricted to the northern part at that time.

3.3. Wind Forcing and MLD Differences

[30] We investigated the possible role of the wind stress variability on generating the deeper MLDmax observed in the 2000s in the eastern Atlantic. For this purpose, we performed EOF analyses on the nonseasonal signals of MLD and wind stress (Figure 9). The first mode of variability of

Figure 6. Latitude versus time plots for the eastern Atlantic (average over 40°W–0°W): (a, b) Chl and (c, d) MLD during the CZCS (Figures 6a and 6c) and SeaWiFS (Figures 6b and 6d) eras. Chl contours are plotted from 0.6 mg m⁻³ every 0.1 mg m⁻³. They are reported on the MLD figures. The gray bars on the top correspond to the September–January time period (months of the occurrence of fall bloom).
both parameters represents approximately the same amount of their total variance (21% and 26%, respectively, for the MLD and wind stress). Their spatial distributions both show high variability in the NADR region (Figures 9b and 9c). The MLD and wind stress time functions of the EOFs are driven by the high variability in the northeastern region (Figure 9a). They are accordingly correlated ($r = 0.5$). They show similar positive anomalies in the 2000s compared to the 1980s. The positive peaks from 2000 to 2002 both appear on the wind stress and MLD anomalies, suggesting that deeper MLD during the SeaWiFS era were related to stronger winds in the NADR region. This is actually what appears when comparing Figure 10 (Hovmoller plot of the wind stress) and Figures 6c and 6d. Winds were stronger in the 2000s than they were in the 1980s.

4. Summary and Discussion

[31] In the NWCS region (roughly 38°N–50°N and 60°W–40°W), the MLD characteristics only slightly differed between the CZCS and SeaWiFS eras. The amplitude of the fall and spring blooms was high during both periods, with little differences.

[32] In the eastern Atlantic (30°N–50°N and 40°W–0°W), two blooms of similar amplitude occurred in fall and spring at the beginning of the 1980s (CZCS era, 1979–1983). At the beginning of the 2000s (SeaWiFS era, 1998–2002), the spring bloom was stronger and extended further south than

Figure 7. Month of the occurrence of Chl maximum reached between September and January and referred to as the fall bloom for (a) the CZCS era (1979–1983) and (b) the SeaWiFS era (1998–2002), as indicated. Black pixels are where no distinct fall bloom existed.

Figure 8. As in Figure 6 but for the northwestern (NWCS) Atlantic region.
in the 1980s. This stronger spring Chl may be related to stronger wintertime winds, which induced deeper MLD, leading to enhanced nutrient uplift (bottom-up process; e.g., Dutkiewicz et al. [2001]). The fall bloom was weaker in the 2000s and only appeared at the northern boundary of the study region. The MLD deepening at the end of summer has been delayed. It occurred 1 month later in 1998–2002 than in 1979–1983. This delay combined with a deeper winter MLD likely increased light limitation and consequently limited a full development of the fall bloom.

[33] The fall bloom is less documented in the literature than the spring bloom. One possible reason is its small amplitude in the 2000s. The way in which time series are often analyzed might also be an explanation, as the usual representation uses plots starting in January, which emphasizes spring blooms, not fall or winter blooms. The weaker fall bloom in the 2000s than in the 1980s is consistent with a 1 month delay in the MLD deepening at the end of summer. This delay we observed in the NADR region has also been reported in the Mid-Atlantic Bight by Schofield et al. [2008]. Although the difference in the timing

---

**Figure 9.** (a) Time functions and spatial patterns of the (b) first nonseasonal mode of the MLD EOFs (red curve in Figure 9a) and (c) wind stress EOFs (black curve in Figure 9a).

**Figure 10.** Latitude versus time plots of wind stress for the eastern Atlantic (average over 40°W–0°W) during the (a) CZCS and (b) SeaWiFS eras.
of the MLD deepening in fall has been put forward here as one possible explanation for the much lower fall bloom in the 2000s, other causes are possible. They include lateral advection [Williams and Follows, 1998; Dutkiewicz et al., 2001], mesoscale motions [McGillicuddy and Robinson, 1997], or wind bursts and storms, which all influence the timing of the ML shoaling [Lévy et al., 2005] and can impact the bloom dynamics. One of the most obvious forcing of the differences in the zooplankton bloom fall would be the frequency of wind bursts in fall and winter, particularly north of 40°N [Lévy et al., 2005]. However, the investigation of the frequency of the wind bursts is impeded by the unavailability of high-quality long-term wind records with a sufficiently high temporal resolution.

The absence of a fall bloom in the 2000s could also be due to changes in zooplankton populations [Beaugrand et al., 2002]. Over the decades from the 1950s to the present, the cold water species (Calanus finmarchicus), which has a single peak of abundance in spring, has been replaced by a warm water species (Calanus helgolandicus) characterized by two peaks of abundance in spring and fall [Planque and Fromentin, 1996]. These changes of dominance in zooplankton communities reported in parallel to the Northern Hemisphere warming might explain an increase in grazing pressure in fall that would have prevented the bloom development in this season in the northeastern Atlantic.

The spring bloom differences in the eastern Atlantic are more likely related to differences in the physical environment (bottom-up processes). As explained in the introduction, an inverse relationship between Chl and SST is usually expected, whereas in the North Atlantic higher SST and Chl were reported in the 2000s as compared to the 1980s and related to a regime shift of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) from a cold to a warm phase in the mid-1980s [Martinez et al., 2009]. The usual scenario would have predicted a shallower MLD and weaker Chl in the subtropical region and enhanced Chl in the subpolar region. Our results show that SST was higher in the 2000s than it was in the 1980s, in parallel to stronger winds and enhanced Chl in the subpolar Atlantic, where parallel higher Chl-SST has been shown from the CZCS to the SeaWiFS era [Martinez et al., 2009]. Parallel lower Chl-SST has also been reported in other areas. All together, the regions with a parallel time evolution of Chl and SST represent about 40% of the permanently stratified waters [Martinez et al., 2009]. Further studies are therefore needed in these areas (the Indian Ocean for instance).
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