On the relationship between scattering layer, thermal structure and tuna abundance in the Eastern Atlantic equatorial current system
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ABSTRACT

A migrating Sound Scattering Layer (SSL) was observed in the Eastern Atlantic equatorial system during warm and cool seasons. Averaged column scattering strength was nearly the same during daytime at 350-400 m depth and during night-time close to the surface. The depth of the nocturnal SSL was correlated with the depth of the thermocline. In the warm stable season, the maximum column scattering strength was found south of the equator in a dome shaped structure. In the cool season, the maximum was found at the northern convergence where the thermocline was well-marked, whereas upwelled waters were acoustically poor. For the warm season, this pattern is consistent with the distribution of chlorophyll, zooplankton and micronekton. For the cool season, it fits also rather well, except for the net-sampled micronekton: this discrepancy could be related to the poor efficiency of the net in sampling adequately a thin layer. Finally, SSL strength coincides well with tuna catch distribution in this area, suggesting a trophic relationship.


RÉSUMÉ

Couche réfléchissante, structure thermique et abondance des thons dans le système de courants équatoriaux de l'Atlantique oriental

L'étude porte sur la variabilité d'une couche diffusante acoustique (SSL) observée au cours des saisons hydrologiques chaude et froide dans le système équatorial de l'Atlantique oriental. En moyenne, la réverbération de volume intégrée de la SSL ne présentait pas de différence entre le jour et la nuit : cependant la SSL, qui se trouve à 350-400 m de jour, remonte de nuit au niveau de la thermocline à quelques dizaines de mètres de la surface. En saison chaude stable, les valeurs les plus élevées de réverbération de volume ont été observées au sud de l'équateur dans une structure thermique en dôme. En saison froide, caractérisée par l'upwelling équatorial et une zone de convergence située quelques degrés plus au nord, c'est dans celle-ci que les plus fortes valeurs ont été rencontrées. On remarque
INTRODUCTION

The Eastern Atlantic equatorial current system is more productive than adjacent areas. This enrichment and its mechanisms have been studied intensively during many cruises, especially in three well-known programmes: Equilant (1963), Gate (1974), Ciprea (1977-1979). During some of them the presence and the strength of migrating scattering layers were recorded (Gerlotto, 1975; Gerlotto et al., 1978).

In the mid-1970s, a new surface tuna fishery was established to exploit new areas along the equator. In order to locate pelagie fish concentrations, an acoustic survey was carried out in the area bordered in latitude by 3°N and 6°S and in longitude by 2° and 10°W in March-April 1976 by the R/V Capricorne (cruse CAP 7604), following a grid of 6 meridian, 90 nautical miles-spaced transects.

A second cruise (Echopreg II) was carried out in July-August 1977 with more methodological objectives to prepare for the Ciprea programme. It was restricted to a single transect along the 4°W meridian from 5°N to 4°30'S in two directions.

At this time, we did not have sufficient data on environmental conditions and tuna abundance. Later, a comprehensive description of the equatorial system, including primary and secondary production levels, was provided, and this is analyzed below. In addition, tuna fishery has grown in the area, and catch statistics allow us to map the distribution of tuna abundance. All this knowledge brings us to a better understanding of the acoustic data. So, from the steady composition of the micronekton and the macroplankton in the area, as described by Roger (1982) confirming our own observations, we derive the possibility to convert SSL volume scattering strength into biomass (relative or absolute). Then, its fluctuations in time and space could be investigated in relation with the environment and as potential standing stock of tuna prey (Blackburn, 1969).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Equipment

The following acoustic equipment was used: - echosounder Simrad EKR 38 with a 30 x 30 cm nickel transducer (7 x 7° beam angle);

- analog echo-integrator Simrad QM Mark II.

During both cruises, the same equipment with the same settings was used. No significant alterations of the performances were recorded between the cruises (see Tab. 1).

A ten-foot Isaacs Kidd Midwater Trawl (IKMT in this paper) with an opening-closing device (Bourret, 1976) was used during the second survey.

Data acquisition method

There are two channels on the integrator. One was selected as the main channel, with constant gain and threshold, and the interval of integration was set according to the depth of the SSL: not strictly, but in the range where the layer appeared, in order to reduce unwanted echoes or noise. The second channel was used either with different settings (for example, higher gain in low response) or with an other integration interval to watch over other layers.

After squaring, the echo-signal voltages corresponding to the scattering layer identified on the paper-recorder were integrated on a two-nautical miles distance (ESDU: Elementary Sampling Distance Unit as defined by Burczynski, 1982).

Acoustic data were recorded day and night continuously, except for the two first transects of the first cruise for which day-time acoustic data were missed.
Hydrological observations were restricted to a continuous surface temperature record for both cruises and a series of 20 nautical-miles spaced bathythermogram sets for the first cruise. During *Echoprep II*, twenty-eight one-hour hauls at a speed of two knots were performed with the IKMT. Unfortunately, misfunctions in the opening-closing device and in the acoustic pinger made the depth of the catches very uncertain.

**Data processing**

**Acoustic data**

The output of the integrator may be regarded as proportional to the integrated volume backscattering strength of the layer named “column scattering strength” (Urick, 1975; Clay and Medwin, 1977):

\[
S_{\text{rc}} R1-R2 = \int_{R1}^{R2} S_{\text{v}} \, dV
\]

\[
= 10 \log M + C_s + C_l
\]

with

- \(S_{\text{rc}}\) = column scattering strength
- \(S_{\text{v}}\) = volume backscattering strength
- \(M\) = graphic output of the integrator
- \(C_s\) = echosounder constant
- \(C_l\) = integrator constant
- \(R1, R2\) = minimum and maximum depth of the integrated layer.

**Biomass**

From the analysis of the IKMT hauls and corresponding echointegration, it appeared that only larger *Crustacea* and *Pisces* give valuable acoustic contributions. However, Euphausiids, which largely dominate the *Crustacea* class, give an echo intensity 12.8 times lesser than *Pisces*, weight for weight (*i.e.* -11 dB for the scattering volume). The overall proportion (wet weight) was 63% of *Crustacea* and 37% of *Pisces* (excluding the other groups).

Besides, the micronekton of this area has been surveyed intensively during the programme *Ciprea*, which consisted of a number of stations along a transect on the 4°W meridian from 2°N to 10°S during the two main seasons. Zooplankton and micronekton were sampled using an “Omori” net (Roger, 1982). An important result was that the proportion of the groups or families was very steady at the observed time-space scale. In addition, this proportion was very close to our own findings, with 64% *Crustacea* (mainly Euphausiids) and 36% *Pisces* in weight, excluding other groups.

So, this very good agreement in the two sets of observations, and the permanence in the proportion of organisms in the area convinced us to use these properties in an endeavour to assess the biomass of the SSL. The basic idea is to settle an average TS (Target Strength) for 1 g of mixture of the layer (Euphausiids and *Pisces*), from a couple of equations and the finite proportion of these organisms. For Euphausiids, we use the equation settled by Greene *et al.* (1989) and the KRIDA model (Dalen and Kristensen, 1990); for the *Pisces* the Love’s equation (Love, 1977). The proportions are found in Roger (1982). Results are in Table 2.

**RESULTS**

**Depth and diel migration of the SSL**

In the water column (0-500 m) the presence of a somewhat narrow SSL was almost constant. Its general diel pattern was the same for both seasons: deep in the daytime at 350-450 m and close to the surface during the night (Fig. 1, Tab. 3). During the daytime, the layer reached its maximum depth at nearly 10 a.m. and remained more or less stable until 3 p.m. and located below 300 m for about nine hours. Its average thickness was 36 m in March-April and 45 m in July-August, but the difference was not significant.
The upward movement of the layer began at 5 p. m., its minimum depth was reached soon after 7 p. m., so the ascension from 350 to 50 m or less lasted about two hours, giving an average ascendency rate of 2.5 m/min or 4 cm/s. During night-time the depth of the layer was strongly related to the maximum thermal gradient, or thermocline (Fig. 2). This point will be discussed below. In the absence of a well-marked thermocline, the layer was close to the surface. Figure 3 shows the good fit between the depths of the averaged mid-point of the layer and the isotherm 23°5°C which corresponded to the mid-point of the thermocline during the first cruise (CAP 7604). There was no evidence of any influence of the lunar cycle, either during the fifteen days centred on the new moon or during the second period, centred on the full moon, with a mean depth of the layer closer to the surface.

The average thickness of the layer during the night was 14 m in the warm season and 21 m in the upwelling season. This difference may be related to the absence of a marked thermocline in the central part of the upwelling (see below). The layer remains for about ten hours at the upper level. It starts to sink around 5.30 a.m. just before sunrise and then drops quickly. The 300-350 m depth is reached after two hours. Thus, the sinking and ascending speeds are comparable.

It is clear from the echograms that all the organisms were not beginning their migration at the same time and moving at the same speed, as is evidenced in the appearance of several distinct layers which can cross without mixing. The gap between layers was no more than a few tens of meters. During the migration, scattering from these layers became less intense, especially between 150 and 75 m: this interval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Day/Night</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>sd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March-April</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-August</td>
<td>Day</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March-April</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-August</td>
<td>Night</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thickness of the SSL according to the period of the year and day/night situation; n, number of data; t, average thickness of the layer in metres; sd, standard deviation.

Épaisseur de la couche diffusante acoustique (SSL) selon la période de l’année et la situation jour/nuit; «n», nombre de données; «E», épaisseur moyenne de la couche en mètres; «sd», écart-type.
Figure 3

Depth of the night SSL (mid-point) and depth of the thermocline (23°5C isotherm), all transects, cruise CAP7604.

Profondeur de la SSL (point moyen) de nuit et profondeur de la thermocline (isotherme 23°5 C), toutes radiales confondues, campagne CAP7604.

Table 4

Day and night average integrated values. For the first period, transects 1 and 2 were discarded (no record during day-time). For the second period, the data of the two transects are put together. m, average integrator output (relative units); n, number of values (ten nautical miles averaged for the first period, thirty nautical miles averaged for the second); sd, standard deviation.

Valeurs moyennes intégrées de jour et de nuit. Pour la première période, les radiales 1 et 2 ont été éliminées (manque d’observations de jour). Pour la seconde période, les données des deux radiales ont été réunies. «m», sortie moyenne de l’intégrateur (en unités relatives); «n», nombre de valeurs (moyennées sur dix milles marins pour la première période, sur trente milles marins pour la seconde); «sd», écart-type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Night</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March-April</td>
<td>16.44</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>10.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.80</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>13.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July-August</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5

Column scattering strength and biomass estimations for cruise CAP 7604. M, average integration of the SSL (graphical output of the Simrad QM MK II echo-integrator); SVc, average column scattering strength of the SSL, in dB; B1, average biomass of Pisces and larger Crustacea expressed in gram of dry weight per square metre (g/m²); B2, same value, in fresh weight: B2 = B1 x 5; B3, average biomass of all organisms expected in the SSL, extrapolation according to Roger (1982), in g/m² of dry weight: B3 = B1 x 1.25; B4, same value, in fresh weight: B4 = B3 x 5; Z, average value for the total area, as sum of transect averages divided by the number of transects (6); sd, standard deviation of the mean.

Index de réverbération intégré de la «SSL» et biomasses estimées correspondantes, campagne CAP7604. «M», intégration moyenne de la «SSL» (sortie graphique de l’intégrateur Simrad QM MK II); «SVc», index de réverbération intégré de la «SSL», en dB ; «B1», biomasse moyenne de poissons et de crustacés supérieurs exprimée en grammes de poids sec par mètre carré (g/m²); «B2», même valeur en poids frais: B2 = B1 x 5 ; «B3», biomasse moyenne de la totalité des organismes présents dans la «SSL» en g/m² de poids sec, extrapolée selon Roger (1982) d’après la formule: B3 = B1 x 1.25 ; «B4», même valeur en poids frais: B4 = B3 x 5 ; «Z», valeur moyenne pour toute la zone, pondérée par radiale (somme des moyennes par radiale divisée par le nombre de radiales); «sd», écart-type de la moyenne.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transect</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SVc</th>
<th>B1</th>
<th>B2</th>
<th>B3</th>
<th>B4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2° 00 W</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>-53.2</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>1.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3° 30 W</td>
<td>17.61</td>
<td>-49.2</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>2.745</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>3.430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5° 00 W</td>
<td>15.11</td>
<td>-49.9</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>2.340</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>2.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6° 30 W</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>-50.8</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>1.900</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>2.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8° 00 W</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>-49.5</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>2.565</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>3.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9° 30 W</td>
<td>20.28</td>
<td>-48.6</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>3.155</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>3.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>14.82</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>-50.0</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>2.290</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>2.860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This leads to the question of the magnitude of the migration. Since the averaged column scattering strength of the SSL was not different between day and night for both cruises, despite the difference of depth (see Tab. 4), it is assumed that all organisms move. The possible influence of the depth on the target strength is considered later. However, the great homogeneity in the averaged day and night values allowed us to take them into account for purposes of description.

Scattering volume and hydrological structure

Warm season (Tab. 5)
The hydrological situation found in March-April 1976 is very similar to the Typical Tropical Structure (TTS) as des-
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Figure 4
Surface temperatures, cruise CAP7604, March-April 1976.

Figure 5
Map of the biomass (average integrator output), cruise CAP7604, March-April 1976.

Figure 6
Biomass (average integrator output) and depth of the thermocline, transect 9° 30' W, cruise CAP7604, March-April 1976.

Table 6
Column scattering strength and biomass estimations for cruise Echopreg II. Transects concern the 4° W meridian. Transect 1 (T1) was southwards, from 3° 45' N to 4° 22' S; transect 2 (T2) was northwards, from 4° 22' S to 4° 45' N, we call T2c the common part with T1. Calculations and symbols are the same as in Figure 5, plus n, which is the number of observations (ESDU on transects, or transects).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transect</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>sd</th>
<th>Svc</th>
<th>B1</th>
<th>B2</th>
<th>B3</th>
<th>B4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>11.53</td>
<td>-48.7</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2c</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>22.68</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>-48.6</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>22.32</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>-48.7</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1-T2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7


this coincidence between high integrated values and dome of the thermocline (Fig. 6)
At this season, the average column scattering strength of the SSL was found to be - 50.0 dB (or 14.8 in relative units of echointegration).

Cool season (Tab. 6)
In July-August 1977, we found a typical equatorial upwelling season along the meridian 4° W transect. As mentioned earlier, this transect was sailed twice. Southwards (Fig. 7), the measurements started only at 4° N. Between this point and 1° N, the surface temperature was quite stable around 25°C, then dropped gradually to 22°C on 1° 30' S and then increased to 23° 5 at 4° S. Northwards (Fig. 8), we found 22°C at 1° N (with a minimum between 0° 30' S and 0° 30' N) where there was a well-marked thermal front, the temperature reached 25°C in a few nautical miles. Beyond 4° N, the temperature dropped again and remained at 22°C up to the continental shelf. Then, the equatorial upwelling was clearly marked, but its northern border moved two degrees of latitude northwards in an eight-day period, changing the structure of the front between cool upwelled waters and warm ones of the northern convergence that spread over two to three degrees of latitude centred around 2° N.
The distribution of the column scattering strength of the layer along the transects was very similar in both directions. The average relative values for the common part (i.e. excluding the part north of 4° N) are 22.2 and 22.7 respectively. This distribution highlights the preponderance of low values in the upwelling area and high values in the northern convergence. It is noteworthy that the rapid modification of the frontal structure did not cause any disturbance in the distribution pattern of the scattering layer.
At this season, the average column scattering strength was found to be - 48.7 dB (22.4 relative units of integration)

DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION

Location of the layer during a 24-hour period
During daytime the depth of the micronektonic organisms is closely related to light levels. This was established by Clarke and Backus (1956) who showed that the migration of the organisms towards the surface is triggered by a precise level of illumination. In the same way, the split of the SSL during its upwards and downwards movement at different speed is also related to difference in light sensitivity (Clarke, 1971).
On the other hand, SSL location during night-time is more controversial. Illumination may play the same part and the moon cycle could influence the depth reached by the organisms. But this is not obvious, according to our observa-
tions: the first cruise was centred on new moon and the second on full moon; and on average the layer was closer to the surface during the second one.

The very good fit between the depths of the thermocline and of the layer seems not to have been pointed out previously, except by Clarke (1933, 34 in Clarke and Backus, 1956) concerning the role of the thermocline on the night migration of copepods in the Gulf of Maine.

A very comprehensive survey entitled "Biological sound scattering", edited by Farquhar (1977), considers several factors which could influence the migration of the SSL. Besides light, he noted temperature modifications, density gradients, pressure and trophic behaviour.

In our case, the question is whether the migration is stopped directly by the thermal gradient or by some factors related to it. Obviously, in this area most of the factors cited by Farquhar coincide with the thermocline and the top of the nitracline (Voituriez and Herbland, 1979) and most of the time with the highest values of chlorophyll.

Roger (1982) pointed out the higher concentration of macroplankton and micronekton in the first one hundred metres during night but could not be more precise due to his sampling mode. We stress the fact that during nighttime, the SSL was located at a depth which corresponds to the maximum thermal gradient and trophic accumulation. In addition, the thickness of the layer is also dependent on the intensity of the thermal gradient.

Influence of the 24-hour cycle

Classical observations of the SSL show that generally the column scattering strength is higher during the night, when the layer is close to the surface (Farquhar, 1977). However, this is not relevant to our observations because we used a higher frequency than in previous studies. According to Chapman and Marshall (1966), the difference between integrated scattering volume from 850 m depth in daytime to the surface at night depends on the frequency and decreases from 2 to 12 kHz. For higher frequencies, the difference is no longer significant. In addition, many authors consider the total column from a given depth to the surface rather than the scattering layer itself: layers located deeper during daytime, might move upwards and "contaminato" the data during nighttime.

In fact there are two questions: one is related to the directivity of the targets, the other to their modification with depth, mainly for fish with swimbladders. Concerning the fish attitude, dive observations from submarine devices are rather controversial and led Barham (1971) to define two types of Myctophids: the first one, with a well developed swimbladder or without it, swims actively, realizes diel migrations and its body remains horizontal; it is found in inter-tropical and sub-tropical areas. The second one has a swimbladder filled with an adipose tissue in the adult phase. It is not very active, performs no migrations or at least not into the upper layers and its body is dark and often in a vertical position; it is mainly found in temperate and cold waters. Large differences in the day-night acoustic response of the Euphausiids have been noted by different authors. According to Sameoto (1980), night values might be seven times lower. Everson (1982) observed similar differences in the antarctic krill Euphausia superba. On the other hand, Sameoto (1980) did not notice any influence of depth on the acoustic response, from 70m to 120m. All these observations on Euphausiids are at 120 kHz.

For the fish, the resonant frequency of the swimbladder is well below 38 kHz, even at 400 m. Using the simplified formula given by Weston (1967) the resonant frequency for a fish of 4 cm at 400 m should be:

$$fr = \frac{8 \cdot Po^{1/2}}{L} = \frac{8 \cdot 400^{1/2}}{4} = 12.6 \text{ kHz}$$

But the scattering section of the fish decreases with depth if the size of the swimbladder decreases with increasing pressure. According to Farquhar (1977), there would be two types of response:

- constant mass of gas, leading to a constriction of the swimbladder and then to a reduction of the scattering section (physostom);
- constant volume maintained by secretion or absorption of gas and then with a scattering strength independent of the depth (physoclist). The Myctophids should belong to the second type.

However, Ona (1990) found a decrease in the volume and to a lesser degree in the dorsal area of the swimbladder with an increased pressure under experimental condition simulating "a rapid downward migration" by three physoclist gadoids. Gjosaeter (1978) did not notice any significant difference between day and night integrated reverberation volume of a SSL moving from 250-300 m to less than 50 m in Arabian Sea. The layer was mainly composed of Myctophids, according to catch data. In this case, the frequency in use was 38 kHz, the same as in our surveys. So, at least at this frequency, the assumption of independence of the target strength of these fishes in regard to the depth and to their day-night behaviour is rather consistent with...
Integrated amount of chlorophyll a on Herbland, 1977). Figure 10

Integrated amount of chlorophyll a on 100 m (mg/m²) along 4° W transect in warm (January 1975) and cool (July 1975) seasons (Voituriez and Herbland, 1977).

Quantité intégrée pour 100 m de chlorophylle a (en mg/m²) le long de la radiale 4° W en saison chaude (janvier 1975) et en saison froide (juillet 1975) : Voituriez et Herbland, 1977.

The observations as far as Euphausiids are concerned, their contribution to the response is so weak in comparison to fish that their variation may be neglected. Also, we failed to consider any significant contribution from other organisms, such as Siphonophores, whose gas bubbles could be resonant at 38 kHz (Barham, 1963).

"Acoustic biomass" and hydrological structure

We call "acoustic biomass" the relative arithmetic expression of the averaged column scattering strength. During warm season, the biomass maximum was observed below the coolest waters, whereas it was below the warmest waters during cool season. Obviously, the surface temperature is only an indicator of the underwater hydrological phenomenon, and this apparent contradiction can be understood from the study of the thermal profile. In TTS situation, the lowest temperatures found at the surface correspond to a dome of the thermocline which is clearly related to the highest biomass values. In cool season, the lowest temperatures correspond to the new upwelled waters without marked thermocline, whereas the warmest temperatures correspond to the stable north convergence area with a well-marked thermocline similar to the TTS situation. This is clear on the thermal profile made on the same transect in August 1978 (Fig. 9). The rapid evolution of the thermal front in the space of a few days must be understood as the displacement of the meanders of the equatorial surface current and of the under-current, following a fifteen-day periodicity, as was described by Düing et al. (1975) and later observed by Herbland and Le Bouteiller (1982). The biomass maximum seems localized north of the northern point reached by this displacement, leading to the idea that the stability of the superficial system is a condition for the formation of a dense SSL.

"Acoustic biomass" and biological production

In Typical Tropical Season (TTS), the top of the nitracline is situated just below the strongest density gradient, which is practically the same for the temperature (Voituriez and Herbland, 1977). At this level, the chlorophyll and zooplankton biomass maxima are also found (Le Borgne, 1977).

According to Le Borgne (1977) the abundance of zooplankton fits well with that of chlorophyll. An assessment of micronekton biomass has been made by Roger (1982) from hauls of "Omori" plankton net made at a number of stations along this transect. The maximum biomass integrated from 400 m to the surface was found between 0° to 3° S and 4° S around the "thermal ridge" (Fig. 11). The very good agreement between the pattern of trophic abundance and that of the "acoustic biomass", particularly along the transect with a marked thermal dome, is noteworthy.

In boreal summer, various situations occur : equatorial upwelling extends approximately from 0° 30' N to 3° S; northerly and southerly a similar situation to the TTS with a strong thermal stratification especially marked in the northern convergence (Voituriez and Herbland, 1977). In the upwelled waters, the nitracline disappears and nitrates are no longer the limiting factor for the primary production. However this production is reduced by water turbulence. In the stable situations found on both sides, the nitracline occurs again with the peak of chlorophyll at this level. Obviously, this maximum is higher than in the upwelling area (Minas et al., 1983). According to Voituriez and Herbland (1977) this should be caused by the sinking of the enriched upwelled waters below the warm waters of northern convergence. On the other hand, the maximum of zooplankton biomass occurs in the upwelling area (Le Borgne, 1977) as well as macroplankton-micronekton, with a biomass 50 % more than in the northern convergence (Roger, 1982; Fig. 11). This is inconsistent with the pattern of distribution of the "acoustic biomass" observed in that...
season. The question revolves around the efficiency of each method. Relevant points to be considered are sampling methods and the spatial distribution of the organisms. Net hauls were made obliquely at constant speed from a given depth to the surface. If the biomass is concentrated in a rather narrow layer fluctuating in density and thickness, the discrete and small sample caught by a plankton net may be inadequate. On the other hand, the echosounder samples the layer in a quasi-continuous way and gives an average of the density along the course of the vessel. In addition, the size of each sample taken by the echosounder (each transmission) is much greater than the net haul (say sixty times more at 50 m depth for example, sixty times or more by minute). Clearly, when scatterers with low target strength (like plankters, krill, small fish, etc.) are spread over a large volume, the net is more efficient. On the contrary, when these scatterers are concentrated in a rather thin layer, then echointegration is much more efficient.

Seasonal variations

Roger (1982) found a ratio of 1.2 between upwelling and cool seasons for the micronekton biomass. This is very consistent with the seasonal ratio of 1.3 we found for the acoustic biomass. Primary production and chlorophyll indices show a seasonal variation of the same amplitude (Voituriez and Herbland, 1977; Herbland et al., 1983). It is difficult to know whether this difference is actual or casual.

Absolute biomass assessment

Obviously, absolute biomass computation is based on a number of assumptions not fully confirmed. In particular, Euphausiids TS is very controversial. According to recent papers (Foote et al., 1990; Greene et al., 1991), it could be some 10 dB less than our value. Nevertheless, the acoustic contribution of krill is so small in comparison with that of fish that even an order of magnitude could not significantly modify the global TS of the mixture, and hence the total biomass. Clearly, what we actually measure acoustically is the Pisces contribution, and we assess the total biomass from the average proportion of the organisms, which is a reasonable procedure due to the steady state of this proportion (Roger, 1982). Another problem is related to the actual TS of this kind of fish, which there is no direct measurement for. So, the absolute value of the biomass is doubtful and should be taken more as an order of size. However, the close average figures given by Roger (1982) for the same transect, using net sampling, are noteworthy: 3.6 g/m² instead of 3.2 for our data in warm season, 4.7 instead of 3.9 in upwelling season. These values are higher than those reported by Sund et al. (1981) for tropical waters, 0.6 to 1.0, but in the range given by Voss (1969) for the Gulf of Guinea, 6 g/m².

Tuna catches in the area

Data and information concerning tuna are mainly found in the well-documented paper "Environnement et pêche thônière en Atlantique tropical oriental" (Stretta, 1988). This area is mainly inhabited by big yellowfins (weight more than 30 kg). The maximum catch occurs from January to March south of the equator to about 3°-4° S. There is a clear westward movement of the concentration between December and April from the west of meridian 0° to 9°-17° W (Fig. 12). The distribution and abundance of the acoustic biomass found in March-April is consistent with this pattern.

During the boreal summer, there is no catch of tuna south of the equator. Almost all the big yellowfin are caught either near the coast of Ghana or in the warm waters of the northern convergence. This situation was regarded as rather paradoxical, since the upwelling area is considered as more productive than the warm waters. On the other hand, the acoustic biomass was found to be much more abundant in the convergence area. In a review of the relations between environment and tuna in the Pacific Ocean, Sund et al. (1981) wrote that "[yellowfins] are more abundant between 1° and 6°N than at the equator where the upwelling is centered. The explanation given is that the major drift of newly upwelled water is towards the northwest...The biomass maximum for the micronekton tuna should be, therefore, a few degrees north of the equator, with tuna aggregated on the forage. The expected forage maximum was found." This is exactly the situation we found during the upwelling season. The same type of situation occurs in fronts. It has been described from Cape Lopez, in the same geographical area (Frontier, cited by Stretta, 1988): tuna were found on the warm side of the
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Distribution of monthly catch of big yellowfin tuna (over 30 kg) in the equatorial area of the Eastern Atlantic, December to April (Stretta, 1988).

Distribution des prises mensuelles de grands albacores (supérieurs à 30 kg) dans le secteur équatorial de l'Atlantique oriental, décembre à avril (Stretta, 1988).
thermal front, less productive but benefiting from the export of living material from the rich but newly upwelled cold waters. The role of fronts was underlined by Laurs et al. (1984) who note "The satellite images and concurrent albacore catch data clearly show that the distribution and availability of albacore are related to oceanic fronts".

In our case, the good fit between tuna catch and "acoustic biomass" suggest some kind of trophic relationship. From acoustic tracking experiments, Cayré et al. (1986) demonstrated the yellowfin is able to reach a depth of 250 m and the skipjack 300 m during daytime. But most of the time these fish did not exceed 100 m depth, except at sunset and sunrise when the skipjack sinks quickly down to 300 m for a short period. Although these observations were made in the Pacific Ocean, it is likely that the behaviour of those fishes is not different in the Atlantic Ocean. Obviously tuna are not able to feed on the SSL when it is deep. The question of their ability to feed during night-time is unclear. However, Bard and Pézennec (1990) after other observers, found fish of the SSL in the stomach contents of the tuna fish in the equatorial area. They could feed quickly on the ascending or descending SSL when light level is just enough : that could explain why tuna are reported to sink quickly at sunrise and sunset. This phenomenon was also recorded from sonic-tagged skipjack in Hawaiian waters (Sund et al., 1981). In this respect, the degree of concentration and patchiness of the layer probably play a very important role.

CONCLUSION

It has been shown that the location of the SSL observed permanently in the equatorial area of the Eastern Atlantic is closely related to the hydrological structure in its night phase. The absence of difference between day and night average column scattering strength of this layer supports the hypothesis of a nearly-total diel migration from 300-400 m to 20-60 m depth.

The night concentration of the layer is under the control of different factors : hydrological structure, type of water mass, and most probably degree of concentration of chlorophyll and zooplankton. The layer seems more concentrated in the Typical Tropical Season or in the convergence which is similar to (stable structure) than in the upwelling (unstable structure).

As far as the trophic use by upper levels is concerned, the degree of concentration or patchiness is probably more important than the average abundance in the total water column. This could explain why tuna, which are directly or indirectly micronekton feeders, are associated more with stable structures with a concentrated narrow layer than with productive areas having a more dispersed layer.

Finally, and despite uncertainties related to the tremendous difference within the acoustic response of the zoological groups, the acoustic approach has proved to be a quick and efficient method to identify areas which are rich and attractive to predators.
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