

# Seep communities from two mud volcanoes in the deep eastern Mediterranean Sea: faunal composition, spatial patterns and environmental control

Bénédicte Ritt<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Daniel Desbruyères<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Claude Caprais<sup>1</sup>, Olivier Gauthier<sup>3</sup>, Livio Ruffine<sup>1</sup>, Roselyne Buscail<sup>4</sup>, Karine Olu-Le Roy<sup>1</sup>, Jozée Sarrazin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ifremer Brest, REM/EEP & REM/GM, Institut Carnot Ifremer-EDROME, BP 70, 29280 Plouzané, France

<sup>2</sup>Biology Department, Temple University, 1900 North 12th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122, USA

<sup>3</sup>IUEM, UBO, Technopôle Brest-Iroise, Place Nicolas Copernic, 29280 Plouzané, France

<sup>4</sup>CEFREM, CNRS, UMR 5110, Université de Perpignan, 52, avenue Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan, France

**ABSTRACT:** The Mediterranean Sea constitutes a unique environment to study cold-seep ecosystems due to the presence of different geodynamic settings, from an active margin along the Mediterranean Ridge (MR) to a passive margin in the Nile Deep-Sea Fan (NDSF). We attempted to identify the structure of benthic communities associated with the Napoli and Amsterdam mud volcanoes (MVs) located on the MR and to establish the links between faunal distribution and environmental conditions at different spatial scales. Comparison between the 2 MVs revealed that the faunal distribution seemed to be mainly controlled by the characteristics of the microhabitats. On both geological structures, the variability between the different microhabitats was higher than the variability observed between replicates of the same microhabitat, and the distribution of macrofauna was apparently linked to gradients in physico-chemical conditions. The peripheral sites from Napoli were generally more oxygenated and harboured lower species richness than the active sites. The reduced sediment microhabitat from Amsterdam presented the highest methane concentrations and was mainly colonised by symbiont-bearing vesicomid bivalves and heterotrophic dorvilleid polychaetes. Overall, a higher taxonomic diversity was observed on Napoli. Substratum type was hypothesised to be the second factor influencing faunal distribution. The results of this study highlight the high heterogeneity of faunal communities associated with seep ecosystems within this region and the need to pursue investigations at various spatial and temporal scales.

**KEY WORDS:** Mediterranean Ridge · Cold seeps · Benthic fauna · Environmental conditions · Diversity indices · Microhabitats

*Resale or republication not permitted without written consent of the publisher*

## INTRODUCTION

Cold seeps are geological features generated by mud, water and gas emissions occurring on the seafloor (Kvenvolden & Rogers 2005, Judd & Hovland 2007). Since their discovery on the Florida Escarpment in the Gulf of Mexico (Paull et al. 1984), more than 40 seep sites with chemosynthesis-based communities have been reported throughout the

world's oceans, at different depths (Sibuet & Olu 1998, Levin 2005, Campbell 2006). These sites are characterised by the formation of peculiar geological structures such as pockmarks, brine lakes, mud volcanoes or gas flares (Milkov 2000, Hovland et al. 2002, Levin 2005, Judd & Hovland 2007).

The Mediterranean Sea constitutes a unique environment to study cold-seep ecosystems due to the presence of different geodynamic settings, from an

\*Email: benedicte.ritt@gmail.com

active margin along the Mediterranean Ridge (MR) to a passive margin in the Nile Deep-Sea Fan (NDSF). The eastern Mediterranean Sea is presently undergoing complex evolution due to the subduction of the African plate beneath the southern Eurasian plate. The MR is a particularly prominent morpho-structural feature along this major converging system. This large arc-shaped, accretionary wedge extends for more than 1500 km in length and 200 to 250 km in width (Limonov et al. 1996). The presence of fluid seepages related to seabed structures along this ridge was first discovered south of Crete (Cita et al. 1981). Since then, mud diapirs and mud volcanoes (MVs) have been identified at several localities in the central MR (Cita & Camerlenghi 1990, Ivanov et al. 1996, Huguen et al. 2004), on the Anaximander Mountains (Woodside et al. 1998, Zitter et al. 2003), along the Florence Rise (Woodside et al. 2002, Zitter 2004) and in the Levant Basin (Coleman & Ballard 2001). The most recent investigations were focused on the NDSF, off the coast of Egypt, an area characterised by the presence of a heavily sedimented margin (Bellaiche et al. 2001, Mascle et al. 2001, 2006, Loncke et al. 2004). However, the first evidence of benthic communities based on chemosynthetic processes was found on the Napoli MV (Corselli & Basso 1996). It is now known that several of the MR sites (Salas & Woodside 2002, Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004, Werne et al. 2004) as well as those in the NDSF are colonised by various chemosynthesis-based faunal assemblages (Huguen et al. 2005, Zitter et al. 2005, Dupré et al. 2007, Ritt et al. 2011).

The first data on Mediterranean cold-seep communities were acquired during the French-Dutch MEDINAUT cruise (1998) in 2 regions: the Olimpi mud field and the Anaximander Mountains (Salas & Woodside 2002, Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004, Werne et al. 2004). These communities are dominated by small bivalves from 2 families (Mytilidae and Vesicomysiidae) common to cold-seep environments (Duperron et al. 2009, Krylova & Sahling 2010, Oliver et al. 2011) and 2 others (Lucinidae and Thyasiridae) known from shallower sulphide-rich habitats including seeps (Dando & Southward 1986, Cary et al. 1989a, Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004, Brissac et al. 2011). Siboglinid polychaetes belonging to the clades Obturata (*Lamellibrachia anaximandri*, Southward et al. 2011) and Frenulata (*Siboglinum* sp.) as well as heterotrophic mega- and macro-faunal species have also been observed. The fauna seems to be concentrated at the summit of the MVs, where the seepage activity is usually the greatest, even in the brine lake zone on Napoli (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004). Typical deep-sea

taxa (fish, urchins, sponges) benefit from the increased biomass found near the seeps (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004). The species richness of the symbiont-bearing fauna observed during the MEDINAUT cruise was much higher than that found in similar communities at comparable depths in other oceans (Sibuet & Olu-Le Roy 2002). While environmental factors such as methane, sulphide or oxygen concentrations have been suggested as structuring factors in seep communities worldwide (Barry et al. 1997, Olu et al. 1997, Levin & Gage 1998, Sahling et al. 2002, Levin et al. 2003, 2010, Niemann et al. 2006, Olu-Le Roy et al. 2007, Cordes et al. 2010), those from the eastern Mediterranean Sea have been studied only recently (Ritt et al. 2010, 2011).

In 2007, various cold-seep ecosystems in the Mediterranean Sea were investigated during the MEDECO cruise. The present study aims to describe the structure of benthic communities associated with the Napoli and Amsterdam MVs located between 1940 and 2025 m depth on the MR and to establish the links between faunal distributions and environmental conditions at the microhabitat (several meters) to MV scale (several hundred meters). We aimed to understand the factors that influence the structure and diversity of seep communities in the Mediterranean Sea, using a mostly family-level taxonomic resolution.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The first studies concerning the regions of interest were done during the MEDINAUT (1998), NAUTINIL (2003) and M70/3 (2006) cruises. Bathymetric maps and observations made during these cruises were used to decide on the dive strategy for the MEDECO cruise. The first leg of MEDECO took place from 7 to 31 October 2007 on the RV 'Pourquoi Pas?' with the remotely operated vehicle (ROV) 'Victor6000'. During this cruise, different deep-sea ecosystems of the Mediterranean Sea were visited, including the Napoli and Amsterdam MVs (Fig. 1). Three dives, totalling 87 h of bottom time, were dedicated to sampling these 2 MVs.

### Study areas

The Olimpi field, located south of Crete (Fig. 1a–c), is the largest known MV field on the MR. Its geomorphology has been extensively studied since its discovery in 1988 (Camerlenghi et al. 1992, Ivanov et al. 1996, Huguen et al. 2004). Most of the MVs found in




Fig. 1. (a) Eastern Mediterranean Sea, showing the location of the sampling sites and the position of the Mediterranean Ridge (black lines). Bathymetric maps, tracks of the remotely operated vehicle dives, location of the microhabitats and the reference sites on (b,c) the Napoli mud volcano (MV), located in the Olimpi field at 33°43.75'N, 24°40.95'E and (d, e) the Amsterdam MV, located in the Anaximander Mountains at 35°20.10'N, 30°16.09'E. Both MVs were sampled during the MEDECO cruise (Leg 1) in 2007

this field are dome-shaped. Located at 1950 m depth, Napoli is among the largest MVs on Olimpi, reaching 1000 m across and 200 m high. It is surrounded by irregular mud flows and circular depressions (Fig. 1b) and is characterised by the occurrence of fresh mud flows, brine pools, authigenic carbonate

crusts (Huguenot et al. 2005) and by intense degassing on its summit (Charlou et al. 2003). Two dives were entirely dedicated to sampling the macro- and meiofauna and characterising the environmental conditions at the immediate periphery of the centre (33°43.75'N, 24°40.95'E) at 1940 m depth.

Located south of Turkey, the Anaximander Mountains are shaped by a complex of 3 MVs, rising more than 100 m above the seafloor, generally identified as conical topographic features to which the Amsterdam MV belongs (Zitter et al. 2005; Fig. 1a,d,e). This MV, located at a maximum depth of 2040 m, is ellipse-shaped and reaches 3 km across and 100 m high. It has a very uneven terrain with depressions and abrupt scarps, particularly on its summit, probably caused by an erupted mud flow of 300 m thickness (Woodside et al. 1998). The surface of Amsterdam is characterised by the presence of carbonate crusts, muddy areas and large rock clasts (i.e. rock fragments coming from deeper layers and expelled to the seafloor with fluid and gas emissions). In contrast to the Napoli MV, no brine pools have ever been observed on this MV (Zitter et al. 2005), whereas carbonate crust-associated benthic communities have been reported (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004). Faunal sampling and environmental characterisation were performed during 1 long dive at 2 different sites located at the immediate periphery of the centre (35° 20.10' N, 30° 16.09' E; Fig. 1d) at 2020 m depth.

### Sampling sites

The 2 MVs harboured a mosaic of visually distinguishable microhabitats. Two of the sampled microhabitats were common to both MVs: (1) brown sediments with high density of bivalve shells on the chaotic sediment surface (Biv, Fig. 2a,g); and (2) carbonate crusts (CCs, Fig. 2c,i). The CCs presented different morphologies; they formed large and thick pavements on the sediment surface and also within sediments on Napoli, while they were more superficial and fragile on Amsterdam. The *Lamellibrachia* microhabitat (Lam) was only sampled on Napoli (Fig. 2b). These Siboglinidae polychaetes were also observed on Amsterdam but they were located under CCs and were therefore difficult to sample. Bubble emissions were only observed on Amsterdam reduced sediments, constituting the reduced sediment microhabitat (Red, Fig. 2h) located in a depression. On Napoli, additional samples were taken in the periphery of the *Lamellibrachia* microhabitat (Plam, Fig. 2d) as well as at the periphery of the CC microhabitat (Pcc, Fig. 2e) in settings resembling the Biv microhabitat. These sites were sampled to determine possible gradients between active (Lam, CC) and peripheral (Plam, Pcc) sites. These additional microhabitats were located from 2 to 22 m away from the active microhabitats (Table 1, Fig. 1c). The so-called

'active' microhabitats were those characterised by the presence of visible chemosynthetic fauna (e.g. *L. anaximandri*), specific geological features (e.g. CCs) or seepage activity (e.g. bubble emissions or black sediments). The peripheral samples were taken on the edge of the active areas on the MVs and were distinct from the reference samples. On Napoli, the 'active' sites and their peripheries were included within a 30 m diameter circle localised approximately 250 m away from brine evidences and 500 m from the central brine lake. On Amsterdam, Biv and Red were very close to each other (~17 m) and separated by 300 m from the CC microhabitat (Fig. 1e). Finally, the reference samples *sensu stricto* (Ref) were collected outside of each MV, a few thousand metres from the centre, and away from any seepage activity (Fig. 1b,d). Contrary to the microhabitats on the MVs, 1 unique sample was sampled at each reference site using a box-corer launched from the surface.

### Sampling procedures

Environmental characterisation of each microhabitat was conducted before faunal sampling to avoid any disturbance that could be caused by the latter. *In situ* measurements of total sulphides ( $H_2S + HS^- + S^{2-}$ ) were performed with CHEMINI, a miniaturised deep-sea chemical analyser (Vuillemin et al. 2009). CHEMINI's sample inlet was deployed for 20 min above the organisms and as close as possible (1 to 2 cm) to the seafloor using the ROV manipulator arm. Water and sediment samples were then taken for chemical analyses using the PEPITO water sampler and tube corers (30 cm long; 5.4 cm inner diameter), respectively. PEPITO collects water in 200 ml titanium bottles and sampled at the same locations as those chosen for the CHEMINI measurements. Sediment tube cores were split horizontally on board, and porewater was extracted by centrifugation of each sediment layer (every 0.5 cm for the first 2 cm, then every cm until 10 cm and every 2 cm until the end of the core) to measure chloride and sulphate concentrations. Sampling details for each microhabitat, periphery and reference site are given in Table 1. Unfortunately, due to technical and time constraints, the CHEMINI chemical sampling could not be completed on Amsterdam (no sulphide analysis was made).

The epi- and endofauna were sampled with blade corers (200 cm<sup>2</sup>) at all soft sediment sites (Fig. 2a,b,d,e,g,h). The number of samples for each




Fig. 2. Photographs of the typical microhabitats sampled on (a–e) Napoli and (g–i) Amsterdam mud volcanoes (MVs): (a) bivalve shells (Biv), (b) *Lamellibrachia* (Lam), (c) carbonate crusts (CC), brown sediments from (d) the Lam periphery (Plam), and (e) the CC periphery (Pcc) on the Napoli MV. (f) The CALMAR benthic chamber was deployed above 1 *Lamellibrachia* patch on Napoli. (g) Biv, (h) reduced sediments (Red) and (i) CC on the Amsterdam MV. Blade corers were used to sample fauna in soft sediments (a,d,e,g,h) while the remotely operated vehicle suction sampler was used to sample the epifauna on the carbonate crusts (i). All microhabitats were sampled during the MEDECO cruise (2007)

microhabitat varied, depending on the time and number of corers available during a particular dive (Table 1). Due to these limitations, endofauna in each reference site was only sampled with a single box core, triggered from the surface. On carbonate crusts, the ROV suction sampler was used to collect the epifauna (Fig. 2i) before collecting pieces of carbonate with its manipulator arm (Fig. 2c,i). Each crust sample was put in an individual sampling box (Table 1).

In addition to the systematic sampling within the microhabitats, 2 CALMAR benthic chambers (Caprais et al. 2010) were deployed on Napoli by the ROV manipulator arm; the first was deployed above live *Lamellibrachia anaximandri* (CALMAR A, Fig. 2f) and the second was deployed a few metres away on

reduced sediments devoid of siboglinids (CALMAR B, not shown), identified as a peripheral site. These benthic chambers were equipped with 2 independent oxygen probes (Aanderaa optodes) and 6 water sampling units. The probes were used to measure *in situ* dissolved oxygen consumption by the fauna while the water samples were used to validate the oxygen data and to calculate carbon dioxide and methane emission fluxes.

#### Physico-chemical analyses

The 200 ml water samples from PEPITO were used to determine pH as well as methane, oxygen, sulphate and chloride concentrations (Table 1). Measurements

Table 1. Sample location, depth and gear used to perform physico-chemical and faunal sampling on different microhabitats from the Napoli and Amsterdam mud volcanoes (MVs) in the eastern Mediterranean Sea explored during the MEDECO cruise (2007). Sampling effort, length of each sediment core and estimated surface of each carbonate crust are also reported.  $\Sigma S$ :  $H_2S + HS^- + S^{2-}$ ; OC: organic carbon; TN: total nitrogen; OM: organic matter

Microhabitat type	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)	Depth (m)	Sediment–water interface		Physico-chemical sampling		Faunal sampling
							Porewater in sediments	
<b>Olimpi mud field – Napoli MV</b>								
Bivalve shells (Biv)	33° 43.75'	24° 40.95'	1942	3 measures of $\Sigma S$ with CHEMINI 3×2 water samples with PEPITO: CH <sub>4</sub> , pH, O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup>	3×2 push corers: O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> profiles % OC, TN, total lipids, total amino acids, total carbohydrates, % bio-available OM and % carbonates		3 blade corers (200 cm <sup>2</sup> ) Biv1 (21 cm) Biv2 (15 cm) Biv3 (21 cm)	
<i>Lamellibrachia</i> (Lam)	33° 43.75'	24° 40.94'	1942	3 measures of $\Sigma S$ with CHEMINI 3×2 water samples with PEPITO: CH <sub>4</sub> , pH, O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> 1 CALMAR	3×2 push corers: O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> profiles % OC, TN, total lipids, total amino acids, total carbohydrates, % bio-available OM and % carbonates		3 blade corers (200 cm <sup>2</sup> ) Lam1 (12 cm) Lam2 (16 cm) Lam3 (12 cm)	
Carbonate crusts (CC)	33° 43.78'	24° 40.95'	1941	3 measures of $\Sigma S$ with CHEMINI 3×2 water samples with PEPITO: CH <sub>4</sub> , pH, O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup>	None		3 suction samplers 3 pieces of crust CC1 (425 cm <sup>2</sup> ) CC2 (641 cm <sup>2</sup> ) CC3 (277 cm <sup>2</sup> )	
Lam periphery 2 m away (Plam-A)	33° 43.75'	24° 40.94'	1943	2 water samples with PEPITO: CH <sub>4</sub> , pH, O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup>	2 push corers: O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> profiles % OC <sup>a</sup>		2 blade corers (200 cm <sup>2</sup> ) Plam1 (15 cm) Plam2 (15 cm)	
Lam periphery 5 m away (Plam-B)	33° 43.75'	24° 40.94'	1942	2 water samples with PEPITO: CH <sub>4</sub> , pH, O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup>	2 push corers: O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> profiles % OC <sup>a</sup>		2 blade corers (200 cm <sup>2</sup> ) Plam3 (10 cm) Plam4 (11 cm)	
CC periphery 6 m away (Pcc-A)	33° 43.78'	24° 40.95'	1941	2 water samples with PEPITO: CH <sub>4</sub> , pH, O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup>	2 push corers: O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> profiles % OC <sup>a</sup>		2 blade corers (200 cm <sup>2</sup> ) Pcc1 (10 cm) Pcc2 (17 cm)	
CC periphery 22 m away (Pcc-B)	33° 43.77'	24° 40.93'	1941	2 water samples with PEPITO: CH <sub>4</sub> , pH, O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup>	2 push corers: O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup> profiles % OC <sup>a</sup>		2 blade corers (200 cm <sup>2</sup> ) Pcc3 (15 cm) Pcc4 (11 cm)	
Reference site (Ref)	33° 43.31'	24° 38.60'	2010	None	% OC, TN, % carbonate		1 box core (0.25 m <sup>2</sup> , 10 cm)	

Table 1. (continued)

Microhabitat type	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)	Depth (m)	Physico-chemical sampling		Faunal sampling
				Sediment–water interface	Porewater in sediments	
<b>Anaximander Mountains – Amsterdam MV</b>						
Bivalve shells (Biv)	35° 20.08'	30° 16.12'	2024	3×2 water samples with PEPITO: CH <sub>4</sub> , pH, O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup>	1 push corer <sup>b</sup> : % OC, TN, total lipids, total amino acids, total carbohydrates, % bio-available OM and % carbonates	2 blade corers (200 cm <sup>2</sup> ) Biv1 (19 cm) Biv2 (14 cm)
Reduced sediments (Red)	35° 20.10'	30° 16.09'	2023	2×2 water samples with PEPITO: CH <sub>4</sub> , pH, O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup>	No exploitable push corer Red1 (15 cm)	4 blade corers (200 cm <sup>2</sup> ) Red2 (13 cm) Red3 (15 cm) Red4 (12 cm)
Carbonate crusts (CC)	35° 20.08'	30° 16.30'	2025	2 water samples with PEPITO: CH <sub>4</sub> , pH, O <sub>2</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , Cl <sup>-</sup>	No exploitable push corer	1 suction sampler 3 pieces of crust CC1 (162 cm <sup>2</sup> ) CC2 (162 cm <sup>2</sup> ) CC3 (125 cm <sup>2</sup> )
Reference site (Ref)	35° 20.99'	30° 18.00'	2152	None	% OC, TN, % carbonate	1 box core (0.25 m <sup>2</sup> , 10 cm)

<sup>a</sup>%OC performed independently to the organic matter characterisation; <sup>b</sup>because of the presence of clasts in the push cores, only one could be used for chemical analyses

of pH were performed on board with a pH meter linked to a glass electrode (Metrohm) after calibration performed at 25°C using NBS standard solutions at pH ~7 and ~9 (Metrohm refs. 6.230.110 and 6.307.120, respectively). Reproducibility of the method was ±0.01 pH units. Methane concentrations were measured in the laboratory using the headspace technique coupled with a gas chromatograph equipped with a flame-ionisation detector (error of 4 %, see method in Sarradin & Caprais 1996). Dissolved oxygen concentrations (in water samples) were determined using the Winkler method (Winkler 1888) adapted for small volumes, with an error of 4 %. Sulphate and chloride concentrations (in water samples as well as in porewater extracted from sediment slices) were measured using an ionic chromatograph on an isocratic system (DX 120, DIONEX). Intact cores with supernatant water were used for oxygen measurements. Chemical differences in average surface dissolved oxygen, methane, sulphate and chloride concentrations as well as pH between the 2 MVs were evaluated with Mann-Whitney tests. However, due to the small number of samples (n = 3 for each microhabitat), statistical comparison at a smaller scale, i.e. between the 2 common microhabitat types (Biv and CC) was not performed because of insufficient power.

The water sampled by CALMAR was immediately collected upon recovery. Dissolved oxygen consumption was calculated as explained above for the PEPITO samples. Total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) was determined using infrared (NDIR) gas analyses (Kaltin et al. 2005), and methane was analysed using headspace gas chromatography (Sarradin & Caprais 1996). Fluxes were calculated from the slopes of the linear regressions obtained with oxygen, methane and carbon dioxide concentrations versus time (Caprais et al. 2010).

Oxygen profiles within interstitial water (tube cores) were obtained with a microsensor (OX 100) coupled to a picoammeter (PA 2000, Unisense) and a micro-manipulator using profix data acquisition software (Unisense). The resolution of the sensor was <200 µm. Kendall rank correlations (τ) were computed between mean sulphate and chlorinity profiles within Lam, Biv and P(lam+cc) microhabitats from Napoli. The characterisation of the chemistry within the sediments is detailed in 'Supplement 1: Results' at [www.int-res.com/articles/supp/m466p093\\_supp.pdf](http://www.int-res.com/articles/supp/m466p093_supp.pdf).

### Descriptors of the organic matter in sediments

Total nitrogen, carbon and organic carbon concentrations (TN, TC and TOC, respectively) were measured using freeze-dried, milled sediment subsamples. Homogenised, weighed samples were analysed in an automatic CN-analyser (LECO 2000), after acidification with 2 N HCl (overnight at 50°C) to remove carbonates prior to organic carbon analyses (Cauwet et al. 1990). Precision was about 2% for TOC and TN measurements, and 0.3% for TC. Calcium carbonate content was calculated from mineral carbon (TC-OC) using the molecular mass ratio ( $\text{CaCO}_3:\text{C} = 100:12$ ). Percentages of organic carbon from additional samples (footnote 'a' in Tables 1 & 3) were analysed with a LECO WR 12 auto-analyser after sediment decarbonation with 6 N HCl. These analyses were performed independently from organic matter (OM) characterisation.

The C:N ratio was estimated to determine the origin and degradation stage of OM. Total hydrolysable amino acids were assayed by a colorimetric method on the fraction hydrolysed by 6 N HCl for 16 h at 110°C. Absorption of the products resulting from the amino acid-ninhydrin reaction was measured at 570 nm using a Beckman spectrophotometer (precision of 15%; Stevenson & Cheng 1970). Total lipids were measured by a colorimetric method after extraction with a 2:1 (v:v) chloroform:methanol mixture. Absorption of the products was measured at 520 nm with a Beckman spectrophotometer (precision of 10%; Barnes & Blackstock 1973). Total carbohydrates were measured by a colorimetric method on the fraction hydrolysed by 3 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Absorption of the products resulting from the anthrone-sulphuric acid reaction was measured at 625 nm using a Beckman spectrophotometer (precision of 8%; Brink et al. 1960). The biopolymeric fraction of OM calculated as the sum of carbohydrate, protein and lipid contents was used as an index of the potentially available fraction for benthic consumers (Fichez 1991). This fraction is hereafter called 'bio-available OM'.

### Faunal sorting and identification

Sediments from blade corers were processed as described by Ritt et al. (2010, 2011), except for the Lam cores that could not be sliced due to the presence of *Lamellibrachia* tubes on the whole length of the cores and were thus considered a single slice. Furthermore, cores from the reference sites were split by sections to 10 cm depth. It would have been

preferable to analyse the distribution up to ~20 cm to be comparable to the maximum depths obtained with the blade corers and also to get the best representation of the vertical distribution at these sites, but due to different sampling strategies, we only had access to the first 10 cm, leading to a probable underestimation. A great proportion of the endofaunal biomass in background sediments can be found below 20 cm depth at certain deep-sea sites (Jensen 1992, Dando et al. 2008). In addition, because the 250  $\mu\text{m}$  mesh used here is inadequate for meiofaunal sampling (which usually requires 32 to 62  $\mu\text{m}$  mesh; Hessler & Jumars 1974, Thistle 2003, Van Gaever et al. 2006), we focused on macrofauna sensu stricto (>250  $\mu\text{m}$ , Hessler & Jumars 1974). Any meiofaunal taxa such as Nematoda, Copepoda and Ostracoda were treated separately and are detailed in 'Supplement 1: Materials and methods'. Taxonomic determination was achieved at the family level for most of the taxa except for Demospongia, Hexactinellida, Scyphozoa, Polyplacophora, Nemerta, Leptostraca, Echinoida, Terebellida and Nematoda.

The CC samples were processed as described by Ritt et al. (2011) to assess surface area and area-related indicators such as density (estimation of the number of ind.  $\text{m}^{-2}$ ) and biomass. However, because it does not take relief into account, this method may underestimate total surface area and in turn, overestimate density and biomass. On the other hand, carbonates were not broken to collect potential endofauna, leading to a possible underestimation of faunal abundance.

### Measurement of taxonomic diversity at different spatial scales

Within-microhabitat ( $\alpha$ ) and between-microhabitat ( $\beta$ ) diversity were estimated for all microhabitats as previously described by Ritt et al. (2011). Organisms from the whole cores and from the carbonate crusts (i.e. endo- and epifauna) were considered for these community analyses.

#### $\alpha$ -diversity

The  $\alpha$ -diversity analyses were performed at the family level; undetermined families, individuals or larvae were removed from the dataset because of the probability that they belonged to a family already listed. This may have resulted in an underestimation of the taxonomic richness. However, there were

3 exceptions, including the 'undetermined Gastropoda' found at the periphery of the *Lamellibrachia* microhabitat from Napoli and at the reference site from Amsterdam, for which no other Gastropoda families were observed. There were also 'undetermined Sipuncula' and 'undetermined Tanaidacea', both observed in the reference site of Napoli with no other families. We chose to slightly under-estimate the taxonomic richness rather than to over-estimate it.

Individual-based and sample-based rarefaction curves were computed as in Ritt et al. (2011): first with macrofaunal datasets for each microhabitat at both MVs and then with pooled data excluding reference sites away from each MV, and separating the 'active' from the peripheral sites on Napoli. Expected richness for an effort of 50 individuals (ES50) was used to compare microhabitat richness at a constant sampling effort. Commonly used diversity indices (e.g. richness, Shannon's entropy, Gini-Simpson, Pielou's evenness) as well as a more robust intrinsic diversity-based ordering method were also used as described by Ritt et al. (2011) to evaluate the taxonomic (family) diversity.

### $\beta$ -diversity

Despite the modest number of samples, multivariate analyses were conducted to better illustrate the similarities and differences among faunal samples. The lowest available taxonomic level was used (mostly family level) to perform principal component analysis (PCA) and to calculate the Jaccard similarity (Jaccard 1901, Koleff et al. 2003) coefficient as described by Ritt et al. (2010, 2011). A Procrustean randomization test (Jackson 1995, Peres-Neto & Jackson 2001) was performed to compare the PCA results of the macro- and meiofaunal datasets. Canonical redundancy analyses (RDA) were then performed on macrofaunal abundance data at both MVs with an environmental matrix that included pH, oxygen, methane, sulphate and chloride concentrations at the sediment–water interface, as well as the presence or absence of carbonate concretions. Faunal data were Hellinger-transformed prior to PCA and RDA (Legendre & Gallagher 2001).

All analyses were performed in the R environment (R Development Core Team 2012). Rarefaction curves, diversity indices and diversity profiles were computed both with the BiodiversityR package (Kindt & Coe 2005) and functions in Gauthier et al. (2010). Multivariate analyses were carried out using the vegan package (Oksanen et al. 2012).

## RESULTS

### Physico-chemical characterisation of microhabitats

#### Sediment appearance

Soft sediments sampled at both MVs ranged from muddy to sandy in appearance. The lengths of the blade cores varied from 10 to 21 cm depending on the nature of the substratum (Table 1). Biv, Plam and Pcc from Napoli and Biv from Amsterdam were characterised by a layer of brown sediments, clearly distinct from the black sediment layer below (Table 2).

#### Chemical characterisation of the sediment–water interface

Sulphide concentrations measured at the water–sediment interface were lower than the limit of detection ( $<0.1 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) at every microhabitat on Napoli; sulphide was not measured on Amsterdam. Values of pH in water samples varied from 7.99 to 8.08 on both MVs (Table 2).

Oxygen data at the sediment–water interface were available for both MVs. On Napoli, oxygen varied from  $196.4 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  above CC to  $222.5 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  above Lam, whereas it ranged from  $186.8 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  above Biv to  $202.2 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  above CC on Amsterdam (Table 2).

The highest methane concentration at Napoli was found in the CC microhabitat, where it peaked at  $4.29 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ , whereas it reached only  $0.76 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  in the soft sediment microhabitat (Lam3; Table 2). In contrast, the highest methane value on the Amsterdam MV was observed over soft sediments, reaching  $12.45 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  above Red1/Red2 and only  $0.60 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  on the CC microhabitat. The value measured on Red1/Red2 was the highest measured at either MV (Table 2).

Sulphate and chloride concentrations at the sediment–water interface from Napoli and Amsterdam varied from  $27.6$  to  $31.0 \text{ mmol l}^{-1}$  and from  $530$  to  $591 \text{ mmol l}^{-1}$ , respectively. The lowest values for both chemicals were observed in the Amsterdam Red microhabitat (Table 2).

No significant differences in pH or chloride concentrations were detected using Mann-Whitney  $U$ -tests between the 2 MVs ( $W = 87$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ;  $W = 115$ ,  $p = 0.19$ , respectively), but differences in oxygen, methane and sulphate concentrations were significant ( $W = 156$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ;  $W = 925$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; and  $W = 162$ ,  $p < 0.01$ , respectively).

Table 2. Chemical characteristics of microhabitats on each mud volcano (MV). Visual observations of sediment colour at each microhabitat as well as pH measurements, dissolved oxygen, methane (mean  $\pm$  SD, no. of replicates in parentheses), sulphate and chloride concentrations at the sediment–water interface in the replicates of each microhabitat sampled at the Napoli and Amsterdam MVs during the MEDECO cruise (2007). The highest values at each MV are highlighted in **bold**. Microhabitat codes as in Table 1; –: not measured

Microhabitat	Visual observations	pH	[O <sub>2</sub> ] ( $\mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ )	[CH <sub>4</sub> ] ( $\mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ )	[SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ] ( $\text{mmol l}^{-1}$ )	[Cl <sup>-</sup> ] ( $\text{mmol l}^{-1}$ )
<b>Napoli MV</b>						
Biv1	Brown sediments	<b>8.03</b>	212.6	0.29 $\pm$ 0.00 (3)	30.0	571 <sup>a</sup>
Biv2		–	199.1	0.51 $\pm$ 0.03 (3)	29.5 <sup>a</sup>	582 <sup>a</sup>
Biv3		8.00	215.8	0.44 $\pm$ 0.02 (3)	30.8	585
Lam1	Black sediments with sulphide	8.02	<b>222.5</b>	0.35 $\pm$ 0.05 (6)	<b>31.0</b>	588
Lam2	smell	8.02	205.8	0.36 $\pm$ 0.05 (5)	30.9	586
Lam3		7.99	212.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.76 $\pm$ 0.12 (4)	30.8	584
CC1	Dark crusts with cemented fragments	8.00	196.4	<b>4.29 <math>\pm</math> 2.19</b> (5)	30.8 <sup>a</sup>	587
CC2	of bivalve shells and tubes	8.01	199.4	2.87 $\pm$ 1.22 (5)	<b>31.0</b>	<b>591</b>
CC3		<b>8.03</b>	196.9	2.27 $\pm$ 0.09 (7)	30.8	585
Plam-A	Brown sediments	8.01	207.3	0.35 $\pm$ 0.06 (5)	30.9	585
Plam-B		8.02	221.4	0.56 $\pm$ 0.02 (2)	30.9	584
Pcc-A	Brown sediments	8.02	210.7	0.46 $\pm$ 0.06 (6)	<b>31.0</b>	589
Pcc-B		8.02	199.5	0.50 $\pm$ 0.04 (9)	30.9	587
<b>Amsterdam MV</b>						
Biv1	Brown sediments	8.02	195.5	0.70 $\pm$ 0.02 (5)	30.7	586
Biv2		8.02	186.8	0.41 $\pm$ 0.05 (6)	<b>30.8</b>	587
Red1/Red2	Black sediments with sulphide	8.03 <sup>b</sup>	188.2 <sup>b</sup>	<b>12.45 <math>\pm</math> 2.10<sup>b</sup></b> (5)	27.6 <sup>b</sup>	530 <sup>b</sup>
Red3/Red4	smell and gas bubbles	<b>8.08<sup>b</sup></b>	191.7 <sup>b</sup>	2.37 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>b</sup> (6)	30.7 <sup>b</sup>	585 <sup>b</sup>
CC1	Grey crusts with cemented frag-	8.02	194.2	0.60 $\pm$ 0.04 (3)	30.6	583
CC2	ments of bivalve shells	8.03	198.2	0.56 $\pm$ 0.02 (2)	<b>30.8</b>	<b>588</b>
CC3		8.02	<b>202.2</b>	0.25 $\pm$ 0.12 (2)	30.6	581

<sup>a</sup>O<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup> concentrations measured in supernatant water at the top of push corers instead of PEPITO sampling  
<sup>b</sup>Unique values assessed from the unique water sample taken between Red1 and Red2, and between Red3 and Red4

### Flux measurements

On Napoli, the results obtained on colonised sediments after 14 h incubation with the benthic chamber CALMAR A above *Lamellibrachia anaximandri* siboglinids ( $n > 25$  ind.) indicated an oxygen uptake of 35  $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$  and a carbon dioxide flux of 34  $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ . A methane flux of 3  $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$  was also observed. In contrast, under CALMAR B, deployed on peripheral sediments (Plam; no visible fauna), the oxygen uptake was 13.5  $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$  with a carbon dioxide flux of 11.2  $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ . The methane flux never exceeded 0.05  $\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ .

### Distribution of organic matter in sediments

The percentages of organic carbon in the sediments varied from 0.27% in the Napoli bivalve microhabitat to over 1.82% in the Lam microhabitat, where it reached its highest values (Table 3). A similar trend was observed for TN, with concentrations at least 3 times higher in the Lam microhabitats; the

lowest values were found in the Napoli Ref site. Similarly, the concentrations of lipids, carbohydrates and amino acids were highest on Lam. On the other hand, the C:N ratio was higher at the Napoli Ref site and lowest in the Lam microhabitats (Table 3). On Amsterdam, the C:N ratio was only slightly higher in the Biv microhabitat compared to the Ref site (Table 3).

Overall, the Lam microhabitat from Napoli was the most enriched in organic matter with a bio-available proportion >37.7%, which is nearly twice that observed on Biv at both MVs (23.7% at Napoli and 26.9% at Amsterdam; Table 3). In general, most organic compounds decreased with sediment depth (0 to 10 cm). The C:N ratios in surface sediments varied from 8.6 (Lam 1; Napoli) to 12.7 (Biv1; Amsterdam), with a maximum of 17.5 on the Napoli reference site (Table 3). They were relatively constant with depth, with the exception of a sharp decrease in the 1 to 3 cm slice due to the presence of a black layer of fine sediments enriched in nitrogenous compounds.

The Biv microhabitat from Amsterdam was more enriched in amino acids and lipids, but poorer in carbohydrates than the same microhabitat on Napoli.

Table 3. Percentage of organic carbon and composition of organic matter (OM) in surface sediments of the different microhabitats from Napoli and Amsterdam mud volcanoes (MVs): total nitrogen (TN), C:N molar ratio, total lipids (lipids), total carbohydrates (CarbH), total hydrolysable amino acids (THAA), proportion of bio-available OM (bio-av. OM) and percentages of carbonates; –: not measured; DW: dry weight. Microhabitat codes as in Table 1

Micro-habitat	Organic C (%DW)	TN (%DW)	C:N (molar)	Lipids (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	CarbH (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	THAA (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Bio-av. OM (% total OM)	Carbonate (% DW)
<b>Napoli MV</b>								
Biv1	0.27 <sup>a</sup>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Biv2	0.53 <sup>b</sup>	0.054	11.3	0.171	1.253	0.821	23.7	29.1
Lam1	1.82 <sup>b</sup>	0.247	8.6	0.900	3.006	8.440	37.7	–
Lam2	1.67 <sup>b</sup>	0.218	9.0	0.846	3.288	8.169	40.8	24.5
Lam3	1.70 <sup>b</sup>	0.180	11.0	0.891	3.011	8.731	41.4	–
Plam-A	0.41 <sup>a</sup>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Plam-B	0.82 <sup>a</sup>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Pcc-A	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Pcc-B	0.47 <sup>a</sup>	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Ref	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.038	17.5	–	–	–	–	47
<b>Amsterdam MV</b>								
Biv1	0.60 <sup>b</sup>	0.055	12.7	0.219	0.846	1.848	26.9	23.3
Ref	0.62 <sup>b</sup>	0.066	11.0	–	–	–	–	39

<sup>a</sup>Auto-analyser (LECO WR 12) after sediment decarbonation with 6 N HCl  
<sup>b</sup>Automatic CN-analyser (LECO 2000) after acidification with 2 N HCl

While the TN was in the same range, the C:N ratio and the proportion of bio-available OM were slightly higher on Amsterdam than on Napoli (Table 3). The proportion of carbonates in the sediments was higher on Napoli. Regarding the Ref sites, organic carbon content was low (<0.65 %) at both MVs, and OM in sediments from the Ref site of Napoli were extremely depleted, with the highest C:N ratio associated with a low TN and the highest proportion of carbonates (47%; Table 3).

### Macrofaunal community description

Overall, a total of 3167 (Biv, Lam, Pcc, Plam; Table 4, and see Tables S4, S5, S7 & S8 in Supplement 2 at [www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m466p093\\_supp.pdf](http://www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m466p093_supp.pdf)) and 4841 (Red, Biv; Table 4, and see Tables S6 & S9 in Supplement 2) individuals were sorted in soft sediment microhabitats from Napoli and Amsterdam, respectively (Ref sites excluded). Among these, the proportion of macrofauna sensu stricto was approximately 46% for both. In the CC microhabitats, a total of 286 and 111 individuals were sorted, with a respective proportion of 65 and 51 % of macrofauna sensu stricto (Table S1 in Supplement 1 and Tables S4 to S9 in Supplement 2). Relative macrofaunal abundances varied between microhabitats and between replicates of the same microhabitat on both MVs.

### Abundance, density, composition and $\alpha$ -diversity

On Napoli active sites, the mean macrofaunal densities varied from 1607 ind. m<sup>-2</sup> on CC to 3733 ind. m<sup>-2</sup> in Lam sediments. Densities reached 2925 and 10688 ind. m<sup>-2</sup> at the periphery of the Lam patches and CCs, respectively. Density was very low at the Ref site, with 104 ind. m<sup>-2</sup> (Table 5). Overall, on Napoli active seep sites (Biv, Lam, CC), the highest macrofaunal densities were found on Lam (Table 5).

On Amsterdam active sites, the mean macrofaunal densities varied from 1222 ind. m<sup>-2</sup> in CC to 24700 ind. m<sup>-2</sup> in Red. The density was very low at the Ref site, reaching 232 ind. m<sup>-2</sup> (Table 6). Overall, on Amsterdam active seep sites (Biv, Red, CC), the highest macrofaunal density was found in Red (Table 6).

Disregarding the Ref sites, the lowest macrofaunal densities were observed in CC on both MVs, while the highest was observed in the Red microhabitat on Amsterdam, with a density representing up to 6 times the highest density on Napoli active sites (24700 versus 3733 ind. m<sup>-2</sup> in Lam; Tables 5 & 6). Macrofaunal densities were much higher within soft sediment microhabitats on Amsterdam than on Napoli (Tables 5 & 6).

The rarefaction curves on macrofaunal data for each microhabitat on both MVs showed high variability in terms of diversity and adequacy of sampling effort (Fig. 3). The fact that the curves do not level off indicates that, with the exception of

Table 4. Macrofaunal (>250 µm) relative abundances (%) of each taxon in the active microhabitats studied on the Napoli mud volcano (MV): sediments with bivalve shells (Biv, n = 3), *Lamellibrachia* (Lam, n = 3), carbonate crusts (CC, n = 3), sediments from the periphery of the Lam microhabitat (Plam, n = 4), sediments from the periphery of the CC microhabitat (Pcc, n = 4) and reference site (Ref, n = 1); and on the Amsterdam MV: Biv (n = 2), reduced sediments (Red, n = 4), CC (n = 3) and Ref (n = 1). Total relative abundances for each taxonomic group are highlighted in **bold**. \*: taxonomic level used for  $\alpha$ -diversity analyses (mostly family level); \*\*: values used for  $\alpha$ -diversity for Plam and Ref only; juv.: juvenile; undet: undetermined. All samples were taken during the MEDECO cruise (2007)

Taxonomic group	Napoli						Amsterdam			
	Biv	Lam	CC	Plam	Pcc	Ref	Biv	Red	CC	Ref
<b>Porifera (Total)</b>	<b>8.39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27.03</b>	<b>0</b>						
Demospongia*	6.99	0	18.38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hexactinellida*	1.40	0	8.65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Cnidaria (Total)</b>	<b>20.28</b>	<b>0.89</b>	<b>13.51</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3.85</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Anthozoa – Zoantharia</b>										
Parazoanthidae*										
<i>Isozoanthus</i> sp. 1	0	0	5.41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Anthozoa - Actiniaria</b>										
Sargatiidae*										
<i>Sagartiogeton</i> sp.	0	0.45	0.54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. Sargatiidae	3.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. Actiniaria*	0	0	0	0.43	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Medusozoa – Scyphozoa</b>										
Undet. Scyphozoa*	16.78	0.45	7.57	0	0	3.85	0	0	0	0
<b>Polychaeta (Total)</b>	<b>49.65</b>	<b>47.32</b>	<b>24.86</b>	<b>44.02</b>	<b>15.91</b>	<b>61.54</b>	<b>51.82</b>	<b>38.21</b>	<b>80.70</b>	<b>67.24</b>
Capitellidae*	0	0	2.70	1.71	0	7.69	5.26	0.05	0	13.79
Chrysopetalidae*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34.48
Cirratulidae*	1.40	0	0	15.81	0.94	7.69	16.60	0	8.77	0
Dorvilleidae*	0.70	1.34	0.54	0.43	0.47	0	4.05	23.53	0	0
Flabelligeridae*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.72
Glyceridae*	0	0	0	0.85	0	3.85	0.40	0	0	0
Hesionidae*	0.70	7.14	0.54	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.45
Lumbrineridae*	0	0	0	0	0.35	0	0.81	0	0	0
Opheliidae*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.17
Orbiniidae*	1.40	0	0	0	0	7.69	0	0	0	0
Paraonidae*	24.48	0	0	17.09	11.00	0	22.27	0.05	12.28	1.72
Phyllodocidae*	0	5.36	2.70	0.43	0	0	0.81	0	0	0
Pilargidae*	0.70	0	1.62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sabellidae*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.72
Scalibregmatidae*	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	0	0	0	0
Serpulidae*	0	0	8.11	0	0	0	0	0	52.63	0
Siboglinidae-Frenulata*										
<i>Siboglinum</i> sp.	11.89	0	0	0.85	0.23	0	0.40	0	1.75	0
Siboglinidae-Obturatora*										
<i>Lamellibrachia anaximandri</i>	0	25.00	1.08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spionidae*	1.40	1.79	2.70	0.43	2.69	19.23	1.21	4.66	5.26	0
Syllidae*	0.70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terebellida*	6.29	6.70	4.32	6.41	0.23	3.85	0	9.92	0	5.17
Undet. larvae	0	0	0.54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. Polychaeta	0	0	0	0	0	7.69	0	0	0	0
<b>Bivalvia (Total)</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0.89</b>	<b>2.70</b>	<b>18.38</b>	<b>2.92</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9.31</b>	<b>61.29</b>	<b>3.51</b>	<b>0</b>
Lucinidae*										
<i>Myrtea amorpha</i>	0	0	0	2.56	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Lucinoma kazani</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.40	0	0	0
Undet. Lucinidae	0	0	0	1.28	0					
Thyasiridae*										
<i>Thyasiria striata</i>	0	0	0	0	0.58	1.62	0.15	1.75	1.75	0
<i>Axinulus croulinensis</i>	0	0	0	3.85	0.12	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. Thyasiridae	0	0	0	8.55	0.58	0	0	0	0	0
Vesicomidae*										
<i>Isorropodon perplexum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.05	0	0
Undet. Vesicomidae	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.86	61.03	1.75	0

Table 4 (continued)

Taxonomic group	Napoli						Amsterdam			
	Biv	Lam	CC	Plam	Pcc	Ref	Biv	Red	CC	Ref
Mytilidae*										
<i>Idas</i> aff. <i>modiolaeformis</i>	0.70	0.89	1.08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. Bivalvia	0	0	1.62	2.14	1.64	0	2.43	0.05	0	0
<b>Gastropoda (Total)</b>	<b>11.19</b>	<b>5.36</b>	<b>22.70</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12.28</b>	<b>24.14</b>
Calliotropidae*										
<i>Putzeysia wiseri</i>	1.40	0	3.24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orbitestellidae*										
<i>Lurifax vitreus</i>	4.90	0	12.43	0	0	0	0.40	0	10.53	0
Skeneidae*										
Undet. Skeneidae	0	3.57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conidae*										
<i>Taranis moerchi</i>	0.70	0	1.62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cocculinidae*										
<i>Coccapigyga</i> sp.	0	0	0.54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cuspidariidae*										
<i>Cuspidaria</i> sp.	1.40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. Gastropoda**	2.80	1.79	4.86	0.43**	0	0	0	0	1.75	24.14**
<b>Aplacophora (Total)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>7.69</b>	<b>2.83</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Chaetodermatidae*										
<i>Falcidens guttuosus</i>	0	0	0	0.85	0.12	7.69	2.83	0	0	0
<b>Polyplacophora (Total)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3.45</b>						
Undet. Polyplacophora*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.45
<b>Sipuncula (Total)</b>	<b>1.40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2.16</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11.54</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Phascolosomatidae*										
<i>Apionsoma murina</i>	1.40	0	0	0.85	0	0				
<i>Phascolosoma</i> aff. <i>granulatum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.40	0	0	0
Golfingiidae*										
<i>Nephasoma</i> sp. juv.	0	0	0.54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Nephasoma liljeborgi</i>	0	0	1.62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. Sipuncula*	0	0	0	0	0	11.54	0	0	0	0
<b>Echiuria (Total)</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Echiuridae*										
<i>Echiurus abyssalis</i>	0.70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Nemerta (Total)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7.69</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3.45</b>
Undet. Nemerta*	0	0	0	0	0	7.69	0	0	0	3.45
<b>Crustacea (Total)</b>	<b>7.69</b>	<b>45.54</b>	<b>7.03</b>	<b>35.04</b>	<b>81.05</b>	<b>7.69</b>	<b>35.22</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>3.51</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Amphipoda-Gammaridae</b>										
Sebidae*										
<i>Seba</i> sp.	0	0	1.62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lysianasidae*										
<i>Orchomene grimaldii</i>	0	5.80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calliopiidae*										
<i>Bouvierella carcinophila</i>	0	23.66	0	0.85	0.23	0	0	0	0	0
Oedicerotidae*										
<i>Monoculodes</i> sp.	0	0	0	1.28	0.12	0	1.21	0	0	0
<i>Oedicerotidae</i> gen. sp.	0	0	0	0.43	0	0				
<i>Periculodes</i> aff. <i>longimanus</i>	0	0	0	0.85	0	0	0	0.25	0	0
Phoxocephalidae*										
<i>Harpiniopsis</i> sp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.40	0	1.75	0
Stegocephalidae*										
<i>Stegocephaloides christianiensis</i>	0	0	1.62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. Gammaridae	0	6.70	0	0	0	0	0.40	0	0	0
<b>Cumacea</b>										
Diastylidae*										
<i>Diastylodes serrata</i>	2.80	0	0	6.84	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Diastylis</i> sp.	0	0	0	0	0.35	0	0	0.15	0	0

Table 4 (continued)

Taxonomic group	Napoli						Amsterdam			
	Biv	Lam	CC	Plam	Pcc	Ref	Biv	Red	CC	Ref
<b>Isopoda-Asellota</b>										
Munnopsidae*										
<i>Disconectes</i> sp. 1	0.70	0	0	0	0.12	0	2.02	0	0	0
<i>Disconectes</i> sp. 2	0.70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ilyarachna</i> sp. 1	0	0	0	0	0.23	0	1.62	0	0	0
<i>Ilyarachna</i> sp. 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.05	0	0
<i>Ilyarachna</i> sp. juv.	0	0	0	0	0.12	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. <i>Ilyarachna</i> sp.	0	0	0	0	0.12	0	0	0	0	0
Desmosomatidae*										
<i>Chelator</i> sp.	0	0	1.62	0	7.37	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Eugerdia</i> sp. 1	0	0	0.54	10.26	4.68	0	1.21	0	0	0
<i>Eugerdia</i> sp. 2	0	0	0	2.99	1.05	0	4.45	0	0	0
<i>Eugerdia</i> sp. 3	0	0	0	0	0.12	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Eugerdia filipes</i>	0	0	0	0.43	0.12	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. <i>Eugerdia</i> sp.	0	0	0	0.43	0.23	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Eugerdia</i> sp. juv.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.40	0	0	0
<i>Pseudogerdia atypicum</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.62	0	0	0
<i>Whoia</i> sp.	0	0	0	0	0.12	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Desmosoma</i> aff. <i>tyrrhencium</i>	0	0	0	2.99	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Desmosoma</i> aff. <i>elegans</i>	0	0	0	0	0.35	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Desmosoma elegans</i>	0	0	0	1.28	0	0	0	0	0	0
Desmosomatidae juv.	0	0	0	0	0.12	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. Desmosomatidae	0	0	0.54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Isopoda-Epicaridae</b>										
Undet. Epicaridae*	0	0.45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Undet. Isopoda	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.21	0	0	0
<b>Leptostraca</b>										
Undet. Leptostraca*	0	8.93	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Tanaidacea</b>										
Pseudotanaididae*										
<i>Pseudotanais</i> sp.	0	0	0	3.85	0.12	0	0.40	0	0	0
Agathotanaididae*	0	0	0	1.28	65.49	0	18.22	0	0	0
Anarthruridae*	0	0	0	0.43	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paragnathotanaididae*	0.70	0	0	0.85	0	0	0.40	0	0	0
Leptognathiellidae*										
Leptognathiellidae sp. 1	2.10	0	1.08	0	0	0	0.81	0	0	0
Leptognathiellidae sp. 2	0.70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.75	0
Tanallidae*										
<i>Tanaella</i> sp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.40	0	0	0
Undet. Tanaidacea**	0	0	0	0	0	7.69**	0.40	0	0	0
<b>Decapoda</b>										
Undet. Decapoda*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.05	0	0
<b>Echinodermata (Total)</b>										
Undet. Echinoida*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.72
<b>Total abundance (no. of ind.)</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>855</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>1976</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>58</b>

Pcc, most Napoli microhabitats were under-sampled (Fig. 3a). Nonetheless, the Biv microhabitat appeared to have the richest, and the Pcc habitat the poorest communities sampled on Napoli (Fig. 3a, Table 5). It should be noted that additional sampling could change the ranking of the curves (Fig. 3a). Most communities, and in particular the Ref and CC microhabitats, had high evenness, while the periphery of the CC was notably uneven ( $J'$ ; Table 5). Moreover,

the CC microhabitat was almost 4.5 times more diverse than its periphery ( $\text{Exp}[H_e']$ ; Table 5).

On Amsterdam, and because of the high density, Red was the only habitat that showed a leveled-off curve (Fig. 3b). The curves and the low numbers of captured individuals for Biv, CC and Ref indicate that these microhabitats were under-sampled, especially the CC microhabitat that had the lowest observed taxonomic richness ( $S_{\text{obs}}$ ). The observed macrofaunal

Table 5. Biological descriptors of the studied microhabitats for the Napoli mud volcano sampled in the Mediterranean Sea. The observed taxonomic richness is given at the family level. The number equivalents of the Shannon and Simpson indices are given in *italics*. The highest values are highlighted in **bold**.  $n = 3$  for all microhabitats + periphery, except for the reference site where  $n = 1$ . ES50: expected richness for a sample of 50 individuals;  $S_{\text{obs}}$ : observed taxonomic richness; -: not measured. Microhabitat codes as in Table 1

Biological descriptor	Bivalve shells	<i>Lamellibrachia</i>	Carbonate crusts	Periphery		Reference site
				Plam	Pcc	
Mean ( $\pm$ SD) macrofaunal densities (ind. $\text{m}^{-2}$ )	2383 $\pm$ 289	3733 $\pm$ 3523	1619 $\pm$ 1147	2925 $\pm$ 1577	<b>10688 <math>\pm</math> 12825</b>	104
Mean Jaccard's similarity	<b>0.39</b>	0.36	0.38	0.33	0.27	–
Symbiont-bearing fauna (%)	7.8–17.7	<b>14.2–75.0</b>	0–3.7	1–6.3	0–3.5	0
Total biomass (kg preserved wet weight $\text{m}^{-2}$ )	0.0033 $\pm$ 0.004	<b>4.73 <math>\pm</math> 1.05</b>	0.019 $\pm$ 0.03	0.0005 $\pm$ 0.0007	0.00032 $\pm$ 0.0003	–
Richness ( $S_{\text{obs}}$ )	<b>25</b>	14	24	22	17	12
ES50	16	10	<b>17</b>	13	6	12
Shannon ( $H_e$ )	2.52	2.11	<b>2.70</b>	2.40	1.18	2.36
Exp ( $H_e$ )	<i>12.43</i>	<i>7.77</i>	<b>14.88</b>	<i>11.02</i>	<i>3.25</i>	<i>10.59</i>
Simpson ( $D_{\text{GS}}$ )	0.87	0.83	<b>0.91</b>	0.88	0.53	0.89
1 / (1 - $D_{\text{GS}}$ )	<i>7.99</i>	<i>5.81</i>	<b>10.65</b>	<i>8.24</i>	<i>2.11</i>	<i>9.29</i>
Evenness ( $J$ )	0.78	0.78	0.85	0.78	0.42	<b>0.95</b>

Table 6. Biological descriptors of the studied microhabitats for the Amsterdam mud volcano sampled in the Mediterranean Sea. The observed taxonomic richness is given at the family level. The number equivalents of the Shannon and Simpson indices are given in *italics*. Only the large meiofauna  $>250 \mu\text{m}$  was considered in this study. The highest values are highlighted in **bold**.  $n = 2$  for the bivalve shell microhabitat,  $n = 4$  for the reduced sediments,  $n = 3$  for the carbonate crusts and  $n = 1$  for the reference site. ES50: expected richness for a sample of 50 individuals;  $S_{\text{obs}}$ : observed taxonomic richness; -: not measured

Biological descriptor	Bivalve shells	Reduced sediments	Carbonate crusts	Reference site
Mean ( $\pm$ SD) macrofaunal densities (ind. $\text{m}^{-2}$ )	6175 $\pm$ 3712	<b>24700 <math>\pm</math> 7477</b>	1222 $\pm$ 727	232
Mean Jaccard's similarity	0.43	<b>0.55</b>	0.48	–
Symbiont-bearing fauna (%)	2.8–9.0	<b>41.7–77.2</b>	0–9.1	0
Total biomass (kg preserved wet weight $\text{m}^{-2}$ )	0.021 $\pm$ 0.02	<b>0.049 <math>\pm</math> 0.02</b>	0.0012 $\pm$ 0.002	–
Richness ( $S_{\text{obs}}$ )	<b>25</b>	11	10	12
ES50	<b>13</b>	4	9	11
Shannon ( $H_e$ )	<b>2.36</b>	1.06	1.57	1.92
Exp ( $H_e$ )	<b>10.70</b>	<i>2.89</i>	<i>4.81</i>	<i>6.82</i>
Simpson ( $D_{\text{GS}}$ )	<b>0.86</b>	0.56	0.67	0.79
1 / (1 - $D_{\text{GS}}$ )	<b>7.28</b>	<i>2.27</i>	<i>3.06</i>	<i>4.85</i>
Evenness ( $J$ )	0.73	0.44	0.68	<b>0.77</b>

family richness ( $S_{\text{obs}}$ ) in Red, CC and Ref was between 10 and 12, although it reached 25 in the Biv microhabitat, but ES50 in Red was much lower (13; Table 6) and additional samples should confirm this ranking (Fig. 3b). As on Napoli, the highest richness was observed in the Biv microhabitat (Fig. 3b). The evenness ( $J$ ) was higher at Ref and in Biv and lowest in Red. The Shannon and Simpson indices gave the exact same ranking: they were highest in Biv, intermediate in Ref and CC and lowest in Red (Biv > Ref > CC > Red; Table 6), and according to the number equivalents of the Shannon index, Biv was al-

most 4 times more diverse than Red (Table 6). At both MVs, the evenness was higher at the Ref sites (Tables 5 & 6).

To compare the 2 MVs (Napoli and Amsterdam), we pooled the data from all microhabitat samples (Ref sites excluded). The sample-based rarefaction curves on macrofaunal data from pooled microhabitats clearly showed that the active sites of Napoli exhibited the highest diversity while the picture was less clear for the peripheral sites and Amsterdam active sites (Fig. 4a). The curves for the Amsterdam active sites and the peripheral sites on Napoli pro-




Fig. 3. (a,b) Rarefaction curves and (c,d) the right tail-sum (RTS) intrinsic diversity profiles performed with macrofaunal abundances at the family level, for all the microhabitats, including the reference sites, sampled on the (a,c) Napoli and (b,d) Amsterdam mud volcanoes

vide a relatively good picture of their macrofaunal taxonomic richness, but it is unclear which of the 2 harbours higher taxonomic richness (Fig. 4a). Despite a lower sampling effort (9 versus 17 sampling units), higher macrofaunal densities led to more individuals observed on Amsterdam than on Napoli.

Of the taxa present, different morphologies may be distinguished among Demospongia, Terebellida, Leptostraca and Nematoda, whereas a single morphology was observed for Hexactinellida, Scyphozoa, Polyplacophora, Nemerta and Echinoida, suggesting the presence of a single family for these taxa. On Napoli, Biv was dominated by Paraonidae polychaetes (24.5% of the total abundance; Table 4), Demospongia (~7%) and Orbitestilidae gastropods (4.9%). The Obturata polychaetes dominated in Lam microhabitat (25%), while CC was dominated by Porifera (~27%; Table 4). Macrofaunal communities

at the periphery of Lam and CC were mainly represented by Paraonidae polychaetes (17.1%) and Agathotanaidae (65.5%; Table 4). The Napoli Ref site was dominated by Spionidae polychaetes (19.2%) and several other families in equal proportion (7.7%; Table 4). It is interesting to note that most polychaete families observed in Biv were absent in Lam and vice versa. Indeed, the 2 siboglinid species never co-occurred in a given microhabitat (Table 4). For the peripheral samples, replicates showed higher heterogeneity between replicates taken close to the active sites (Plam-A and Pcc-A) than between those taken farther away (Plam-B and Pcc-B; Table 4). Overall, most of the families were common (8) to both active and peripheral sites from Napoli (Table 4). Five families were common to both active sites and the Ref site, and a single family was exclusively observed at the latter (Scalibregmatidae; Table 4).




Fig. 4. (a) Rarefaction curves and (b) the right tail-sum (RTS) intrinsic diversity profiles performed on macrofaunal abundances at the family level on the Napoli and Amsterdam mud volcanoes (MVs). Data from the active sites as well as the peripheral sites were pooled. The reference sites at each MV were not included. Overall, Napoli,  $n = 17$ ; Amsterdam,  $n = 9$

On Amsterdam, Paraonidae polychaetes dominated Biv (22.3% of the total abundance; Table 4), while Serpulidae represented 52.6% of the total macrofauna in CC. Red was dominated by undetermined Vesicomidae bivalves with a proportion close to 61.3% (Table 4), while Chrysopetalidae polychaetes dominated the Ref site (51.8%, Table 4). Similar to what was observed on Napoli, the 2 siboglinid species appeared to segregate according to microhabitat, with *Siboglinum* sp. being present exclusively in the Biv microhabitat and *Lamellibrachia anaximandri* only in CC (Table 4). Interestingly, 5 of the 8 polychaete families present at the Ref site were not observed at any of the active sites (Table 4).

When comparing the 2 MVs, it can be noted that poriferans and cnidarians were exclusively observed on Napoli, gastropods had higher occurrences on Napoli, and bivalves were more represented on Amsterdam. The microhabitats Lam on Napoli and Red on Amsterdam were both characterised by the presence of reduced sediments from the surface to the deeper layers, by low oxygen penetration in Lam and one of the lowest oxygen concentrations in Biv, and by the presence of dorvilleid and terebellid polychaetes (Table 4).

The right-tail sum intrinsic diversity profiles for the pooled samples confirmed that Napoli had the highest  $\alpha$ -diversity (Fig. 4b). On the Amsterdam MV, the most abundant taxa represented 50% of the total macrofaunal abundance, but only 10% on Napoli (Fig. 4b). Assuming homogenous spatial distributions on each MV and that all available microhabitats

were sampled, additional sampling would probably not change the diversity ordering of these MVs. However, we know that all microhabitats were not sampled and that seep communities tend to have heterogeneous spatial distributions; it is thus likely that our estimates do not give a complete picture of the diversity of these 2 MVs.

#### Macrofaunal biomass

The highest biomass was observed on Napoli, in the Lam microhabitat (up to 4 kg wet weight [ww]  $m^{-2}$ ; Table 5) due to the presence of long (up to 1 m) Siboglinidae polychaetes. In the other Napoli microhabitats, biomass was low, not exceeding 0.019 kg  $ww\ m^{-2}$  (Table 5). On Amsterdam, the mean biomass was more homogeneous throughout the microhabitats, ranging from 0.0012 to 0.049 kg  $ww\ m^{-2}$  (Table 6). Apart from the high values observed in the Napoli Lam microhabitat, the mean biomasses observed in the Amsterdam MV microhabitats were generally higher than those observed on Napoli. The vertical distribution of the biomass is presented in Section 2 and Fig. S2 of Supplement 1.

#### Symbiont-bearing fauna versus heterotrophic fauna

The symbiont-bearing fauna (SBF) represented from 0 to 77% of the total macrofaunal abundance sampled on the 2 MVs (Tables 5 & 6; Fig. 5). The SBF observed




Fig. 5. Density (ind. m<sup>-2</sup>) of symbiont-bearing and heterotrophic macrofauna in each replicate of the microhabitats sampled on the Napoli and Amsterdam mud volcanoes (MVs). Biv: sediments with bivalve shells; Lam: *Lamellibrachia*; CC: carbonate crust; Plam: periphery of the Lam; Pcc: periphery of the CC. Methane concentrations (black diamonds) for each replicate are given. Reduced microhabitats are shaded in grey (Lam and Red)

in the microhabitats sampled on Napoli was dominated by siboglinid polychaetes, whereas on Amsterdam, it was dominated by various bivalve families, especially Vesicomidae (Table 4). On Napoli, Siboglinidae were represented by 2 main groups: the vestimentiferans or obturates (*Lamellibrachia anaximandri*) that were exclusively observed in the Lam microhabitat and the frenulates (*Siboglinum* sp.), that were exclusively found in the Biv microhabitat (Table 4). Live *L. anaximandri* were observed on Amsterdam, often associated with CCs, but were not sampled (Table 4).

On both MVs, the CC microhabitat exhibited the lowest proportion of SBF (up to 3.7% on Napoli and up to 9.1% on Amsterdam; Tables 5 & 6), with only two-thirds of the samples containing SBF. Densities of SBF were higher in Lam on Napoli and in Red on Amsterdam, with a dominance of siboglinid polychaetes in the former and vesicomiid bivalves in the latter (Fig. 5). Although the highest SBF densities were associated with the highest methane concentrations on Amsterdam (Red), the opposite pattern was observed on Napoli, where the highest concentrations above CC were rather associated with the lowest SBF densities (Fig. 5).

#### β-diversity patterns

Macro- and meiofauna from both MVs did not exhibit the same β-diversity patterns (Procrustes test  $m_{12} = 0.274$ ,  $p = 0.538$  for Napoli and  $m_{12} = 0.459$ ,  $p = 0.201$  for Amsterdam and 1000 permutations). It can-

not be ruled out that these differences are attributable to the sampling strategy; thus we decided to focus on macrofauna sensu stricto thereafter.

On Napoli, mean Jaccard's similarities for macrofauna were systematically lower than 40% within the same microhabitats (Table 6), implying a certain degree of heterogeneity at the microhabitat scale. PCA and clustering of macrofaunal abundance data allowed the distinction of 3 groups: Biv and CC microhabitats; Plam and Pcc microhabitats; and the Lam microhabitat. Overall, variability was lower within than among microhabitats (Fig. 6a). Of the taxa that contributed to the dispersion of samples in the PCA, Demospongia, Orbitestellidae and Scyphozoa were more abundant in Biv and CC, Obturata in Lam, and

Agathotanaidae and Paraonidae in the peripheral microhabitats (Fig. 6a).

Similarly, variability was higher among microhabitats on Amsterdam (Fig. 6c). PCA and clustering allowed the identification of 3 groups (Fig. 6d). Within microhabitats, variability was lower than at Napoli, with a mean of up to 55% shared species in the Red microhabitat (Table 6). Of the taxa that contributed to the dispersion of samples in the PCA, Serpulidae polychaetes dominated CC samples, while Vesicomidae bivalves were relatively more numerous in Red (Fig. 6c).

When considering samples from both MVs, Red from Amsterdam appeared clearly distinct from all others and showed high homogeneity between replicates (Fig. 6e,f). Among the remaining microhabitats, CC from both MVs made up a second group with Lam and Biv from Napoli, whereas the peripheral microhabitats from Napoli and Biv from Amsterdam constituted a third group that also included the Ref sites (Fig. 6e,f). Of the taxa that contributed to the dispersion of samples in the PCA, Vesicomidae and Dorvilleidae had high relative abundances in the Red microhabitat from Amsterdam but were nearly absent from all other samples (Fig. 6e, Table 4). Serpulidae, Orbitestellidae and Demospongia were most represented in the microhabitats of the second group (Fig. 6e). Finally, Paraonidae, Cirratulidae and Agathotanaidea had higher relative abundances in the microhabitats of the third group (Fig. 6e).

The RDA performed on macrofaunal abundances of all microhabitats from both MVs explained 56.4%




Fig. 6. Principal component analysis (PCA, scaling type 1) of Hellinger-transformed macrofaunal abundances with mixed taxonomic levels, on (a) Napoli, (c) Amsterdam and (e) both mud volcanoes (MVs) together (Mediterranean Ridge). The circle of equilibrium contribution indicates the significant contribution of the taxa with arrows longer than the radius. Ward's hierarchical clustering was performed on Hellinger-transformed macrofaunal abundances for each microhabitat type on (b) Napoli, (d) Amsterdam and (f) both MVs (Mediterranean Ridge). Biv: bivalve shells; Lam: *Lamellibrachia*; CC: carbonate crusts; Plam: peripheral sediments in the vicinity of Lam; Pcc: peripheral sediments in the vicinity of CC; Red: reduced sediments; Ref: reference site

of the variance in taxonomic distribution (pseudo- $F = 6.26$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; Fig. 7). With the exception of pH, the other quantitative explanatory variables (oxygen, sulphate, methane and chloride) were mostly related to the first axis. Methane and pH were negatively correlated to oxygen, chloride and sulphate concentrations. pH and chloride explained some of the variations on the second axis, as did the presence/absence of carbonates, underlining the important distinction between hard and soft substrata. The Red microhabitat on Amsterdam, with a high contribution of Dorvilleidae and Vesicomidae, was the most methane-rich and was differentiated from all other microhabitats. On the other hand, the Napoli peripheral sites as well as the Amsterdam Biv microhabitat were associated with high oxygen/high sulphate concentrations. The distribution along the second axis shows that the CC microhabitats on both MVs were close to Biv on Napoli and also to Lam. Taxa related to the lower part of the second axis, such as Demospongia and Serpulidae, are typical sessile fauna observed on hard substrata. Peripheral microhabitats as well as the Biv microhabitat on Amsterdam were grouped along the second axis, primarily under the influence of the presence of soft substrata (Fig. 7).

## DISCUSSION

The cold seeps located along the MR are characterised by a high number of MVs and spectacular fluid emissions on the seafloor. Napoli and Amsterdam are 2 examples of active MVs that can be distinguished by the presence of brine lakes, mud flows, chaotic centres and visible fauna at their peripheries. Both MVs are located on an active margin, in the same range of depths at ~2000 m and exhibit similar types of microhabitats, such as sediments covered by bivalve shells, reduced sediments and carbonate crusts.

In this study, most of the investigations focused on the centre of both Napoli and Amsterdam MVs. The variety of visually distinguishable habitats reflects the high spatial variability of fluid intensity on their surfaces. These visual features represent potentially different microhabitats in terms of chemical environment and faunal composition. The Napoli MV is characterised by the presence of brines due to the upward migration of fluids enriched by Messinian salt deposits (Charlou et al. 2003). Amsterdam, however, is characterised by the presence of gas hydrates (Lykousis et al. 2008). Based on the temperature gra-




Fig. 7. Canonical redundancy analysis (RDA, scaling type 2) performed on the matrix of macrofaunal abundances with mixed taxonomic levels sampled on Napoli (black) and on Amsterdam (grey) mud volcanoes and the matrix of environmental factors (black). The 2 first axes explain 56.4% of the total variance. Vectors are represented by arrows and centroids by dots. Sed: soft sediments; Co: concretions

dients measured within the sediments in their centre, Amsterdam (36°C at 6 m below the seafloor) has a higher activity than Napoli (14.9°C measured at 10 m below the seafloor; J. P. Foucher pers. comm.). This is also supported by the highest methane concentrations that reach up to  $12.45 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  (Red) at Amsterdam's surface. Brine fluids also represent a major source of methane on Napoli's surface. Methane concentrations found in both MVs reach at least 3 orders of magnitude higher than in the background ( $0.4 \times 10^{-3} \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ; Charlou et al. 2003) and sustain faunal communities having densities 2 orders of magnitude higher than the reference sites. Chemical and sediment instability induced by brines on Napoli prevent the establishment of faunal communities throughout this active region, therefore restricting them to peripheral areas.

### Community structure at the MV scale: Napoli

The first structuring factor of the faunal distribution among microhabitats on Napoli appears to be the chemical gradients (methane, oxygen, sulphate and chloride), which are themselves related to the fluid intensity. The second factor appears to be the type of substratum (hard or soft).

Above the CC microhabitat, which appeared to be the most constraining microhabitat on Napoli, the high methane concentration ( $4.3 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) was associated with the lowest oxygen concentration ( $196.4 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) encountered. Despite the lack of flux rate measurement above CC, methane concentrations at the interface suggest an active area of fluid emissions in spite of the thick carbonate pavement. The Lam microhabitat had methane concentrations 5.6 times lower ( $0.76 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) than CC, but estimated flux rates measured in Lam (from  $9.3$  to  $63 \text{ cm yr}^{-1}$ ) confirmed that Lam undergoes high upward fluid fluxes. In terms of fauna, different communities inhabited CC and Lam, partly related to the type of substratum. Indeed, sessile heterotrophic taxa, such as Porifera, Actiniaria and Gastropoda dominated the CC community, while *Lamellibrachia anaximandri* living with thiotrophic bacteria were the most represented taxa in Lam in terms of density and biomass. Only the first centimetres of *L. anaximandri* were visible on the seafloor, while their tubes were deeply anchored within reduced sediments and may reach at least 20 cm in depth for the longest individuals (B. Ritt pers. obs.). They probably have access to sulphides by their posterior end (Julian et al. 1999) to fuel their endosymbionts as observed in Siboglinidae bushes in the Gulf of Mexico (Bergquist et al. 2002). Therefore, the non-detection of sulphides at the sediment surface does not preclude their production within sediments. Furthermore, the presence of *L. anaximandri* corroborates the hypothesis of the sulphide production within sediments to sustain its symbionts. This species is ubiquitous in Mediterranean seeps and relies on sulphides for its survival (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004, Southward et al. 2011).

Despite a potential bias induced by the sampling strategy used on CC (i.e. small surface sampled, under-representation of the total fauna), diversity (richness and evenness combined) and family richness (ES50: 17 in CC versus 10 in Lam) were higher at CC than Lam. These results contradict our expectations, since we had assumed we would observe lower diversity in environments with higher constraints. Indeed, CC seems to provide various ecological niches for both symbiotic and heterotrophic fauna. Likewise, it appears that the environmental conditions in Lam do not enhance its faunal diversity, but contrarily, favour colonisation by a few specialised symbiotic and heterotrophic species adapted to high amounts of OM, low oxygen penetration (few millimetres) and high upward fluxes. The Lam microhabitat may constitute a complex system, associating chemical and biological reactions with advection–

diffusion processes highly variable between replicates (located 1 to 2 m away), and as constraining as CC. This is exemplified by the wide range of estimated flux intensity (from  $9.3$  to  $63 \text{ cm yr}^{-1}$ ) and the heterogeneity of sulphate and chloride profiles that reveal multiple fluid origins (i.e. seawater, fresh water, brine). The high concentrations of organic carbon (up to 1.82%), which are higher than those recorded in sites without seepage and at the same depth in the Mediterranean Sea (from 0.30 to 0.69% of organic carbon; Buscail & Germain 1997, Danovaro et al. 1999), corroborate the hypothesis of high local production and turnover of OM.

The Biv microhabitat appears to have weaker fluid intensity with methane concentrations 1.5 times lower than Lam. The seepage activity at the microhabitat is also indirectly evident by the presence of *Siboglinum* sp. frenulates. This dominant Siboglinidae sub-family lives with sulphur-oxidising bacteria and probably also methane-oxidising bacteria (Bright & Giere 2005, Dubilier et al. 2008, Rodrigues et al. 2011). At our study sites, the siboglinid sub-families Frenulata and Obturata did not co-occur in the same microhabitat, suggesting that they may require distinct environmental conditions. In fact, *Lamellibrachia anaximandri* appears to tolerate higher fluid fluxes than *Siboglinum* sp. However, Southward et al. (2011) observed co-occurrence at both MVs. This may suggest that the environmental conditions of our sampling sites may be more contrasted than those sampled previously by Southward et al. (2011). In addition to the presence of SBF, stable isotope signatures of the sedimented OM sampled at the summit of the Napoli MV confirm the presence of methane-influenced sediments ( $\sim 36\%$ ; Carlier et al. 2010). These signatures also suggest the influence of phytoplankton in the food web ( $\sim 22\%$ ; Carlier et al. 2010). In terms of environmental conditions, Biv may be considered an intermediate microhabitat. For the fauna, Biv is close to CC due to common taxa, such as Porifera, Actiniaria and Scyphozoa, which may use the empty bivalve shells as hard substrata to colonise.

The peripheral microhabitats of Lam and CC were characterised by some of the highest oxygen concentrations (up to  $221.4 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  in Plam). Peripheral microhabitats were sampled to determine possible gradients between active (Lam, CC) and peripheral (Plam, Pcc) sites on Napoli. Only slight differences in terms of methane and oxygen concentrations were observed between Lam and Plam, with values respectively reaching  $0.76$  and  $222.5 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  in the former and up to  $0.56$  and  $221.4 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  in the latter. However, they present important differences in

advection rates, which were close to 0 in Plam, suggesting a lower input of methane and sulphides. As a result, both Lam and Plam were located at the opposite side of the chemical gradient and were inhabited by distinct communities. Indeed, Plam was dominated by Paraonidae polychaetes, a dominant family in benthic communities of deep, sandy or silty areas (Rouse & Pleijel 2001), while Lam was dominated by the symbiont-bearing *Lamellibrachia anaximandri*. Concerning CC and Pcc, their spatial proximity was apparently their only common feature, since there was an obvious heterogeneity in methane concentrations that were up to 8 times higher above CC ( $4.29 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) than above Pcc ( $0.50 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ). To summarize, on Napoli the peripheral sites appeared to be distinct from the active sites with their relatively mild abiotic conditions that have favored high macrofaunal densities, particularly in Pcc (up to  $10\,688 \text{ ind. m}^{-2}$ ). Considering all microhabitats from the 2 MVs, the peripheral sites from Napoli appeared to present the mildest environmental conditions. Additional sampling may be useful to acquire better knowledge of the chemical gradients occurring between active and peripheral sites and to assess the relevance of the 'active' and 'peripheral' labels, which were primarily based on visual observations.

#### Community structure at the MV scale: Amsterdam

Similarly to Napoli, faunal distribution among microhabitats on Amsterdam appeared to be related to the chemical gradients (methane, oxygen, sulphate and chloride). The influence of the type of substratum also seemed to be the second structuring factor.

The Red microhabitat was by far the most constraining microhabitat on Amsterdam, with low oxygen concentrations ( $188.2 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) and methane concentrations reaching  $12.45 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ . These values were the highest measured in this study, reaching nearly 3 times the concentrations observed on Napoli (CC). Red presented a wide range of methane concentrations, highlighting the heterogeneity of the fluid intensity at a small spatial scale (metres). As expected, faunal richness was lower in Red than in Biv (ES50: 4 versus 13, respectively). The highly constraining conditions (i.e. low oxygen) favour the establishment of high densities of symbiont-bearing vesicomids, probably juveniles of *Isorropodon perplexum*. This species lives with sulphur-oxidising bacteria and is ubiquitous in both eastern Mediterranean Sea seep sites and the Marmara Sea (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004, Ritt et al. 2010).

In terms of environmental conditions, the CC and Biv microhabitats presented slight differences. CC appeared more oxygenated (up to  $202.2$  versus  $195.5 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  in Biv) and less enriched in methane than Biv (up to  $0.60 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  in CC compared to  $0.70 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  in Biv). Nevertheless, despite their mild environmental conditions, the presence of SBF (*Siboglinum* sp., *Lucinoma kazani*, *Thyasira striata* and undetermined vesicomids) in CC and Biv suggests the presence of seepage activities in both microhabitats. The family richness on CC was lower than expected considering that the environmental conditions are less constraining than in Red. CC, however, presented a family richness higher than that of Red (ES50: 9 in CC versus 4 in Red). Here again, the bias introduced by the sampling strategy may explain these unexpected results and limit our conclusions about the CC microhabitat. Regardless of the possible under-estimation of the faunal community on CC, some trends can still be observed. There, the fauna is mainly represented by the Serpulidae polychaetes. Biv appears to encompass abiotic conditions that favour relatively high densities and a slightly higher richness (ES50: 13) and an observed family richness twice as important as that from other Amsterdam microhabitats ( $S_{\text{obs}}$ : 25). Additional investigations are needed to understand which parameters drive this relatively high diversity in Biv.

#### Comparison at the scale of the Mediterranean Ridge

In terms of global activity, the Amsterdam MV appears to be more active and unstable (Charlou et al. 2003) and constitutes a more constraining environment for faunal colonisation than the Napoli MV. At both MVs, high heterogeneity was observed not only between the different microhabitats but also between replicates of the same microhabitat. The distribution of microhabitats on these 2 MR MVs, however, suggests that the type of habitat controls the structure of seep communities, rather than the geographical location as observed at the NDSF sites (Ritt et al. 2011). In terms of fauna, Napoli appeared to harbour higher taxonomic richness at the family level than Amsterdam. Nevertheless, faunal densities on Amsterdam were 2.3 times higher than on Napoli. This suggests more stringent environmental constraints in Amsterdam microhabitats, characterised by high methane and low oxygen concentrations.

This study also suggests that the substratum type is the second structuring factor of the faunal composition and distribution. The CC microhabitat, however, appeared highly heterogeneous within and between sites. Indeed, CCs at both MVs presented different morphologies (Aloisi et al. 2000, 2002) and variable proportions of aragonite, dolomite and calcite (Pierre et al. 2008, 2010). Furthermore, results from CC microhabitats at both MVs must be interpreted in consideration of (1) an insufficient sampling effort to get a complete picture of the community, and (2) no direct sampling of the crust endofauna. Despite these caveats, the CC microhabitats presented unexpectedly high taxonomic richness for harsh environmental conditions, and additional samples would probably not change this trend. The study of the CC communities appears to be complex due to the difficulties encountered during sampling and the inherent characteristics of the carbonates. Indeed, the different morphologies of CCs may be considered as different stages of formation that are related to variations in seepage activity and fluid composition. It could be interesting to further investigate the faunal composition on these different carbonate morphologies to determine whether the carbonate composition influences the faunal composition.

### Comparison at larger scales

Overall, among the seep sites studied in the Mediterranean Sea, the Red and CC microhabitats are commonly found (Ritt et al. 2010, 2011). Each microhabitat, however, seems unique with regard to its faunal composition, the proportion of SBF and the taxonomic richness at the family level. Similar *Lamellibrachia* aggregates anchored in reduced sediments have been observed in the NDSF (B. Ritt pers. obs.), but no Siboglinidae polychaetes have been observed in the Marmara Sea so far. Lam from Napoli presented similarities with the reduced sediments from the Amon MV in the eastern Province of the NDSF (1000 m depth), where the SBF is represented by Siboglinidae from both sub-families as well as by Mytilidae (Ritt et al. 2011). Similarly, the reduced sediments from Amsterdam were dominated by the vesicomid *Isorropodon perplexum* as observed in the reduced sediments from the Pockmark area in the central province of the NDSF. Sulphide concentrations within Napoli and Amsterdam sediments were not available in this study, but when considering the SBF, we can expect that (1) as in the NDSF sites, sulphides are produced within Lam and Red

from Napoli and Amsterdam, respectively, and (2) the fluid flow on Amsterdam may be higher than on Napoli. Indeed, sulphide concentrations reached  $2.5 \text{ mmol l}^{-1}$  at 5 cm depth at Amon, while  $25 \text{ mmol l}^{-1}$  was recorded at the Pockmark area where the fluid flow intensity was assumed to be higher (Ritt et al. 2011).

Once more considering the SBF, the CCs from Napoli and the Marmara Sea present the same dominant taxa, including Mytilidae and some sessile taxa such as Porifera and Cnidaria. The carbonates from the Marmara Sea also form thick pavements, which do not appear to prevent methane fluid and sulphide diffusion to fuel the dense community of sulphur-oxidising Mytilidae (Ritt et al. 2012). This observation suggests that the presence of a thick pavement is not necessarily associated with past activity. The high methane concentrations above CC on Napoli corroborate this theory. However, the CCs from Napoli and the Marmara Sea differ from those from Amon, which are devoid of SBF and are likely located in an area of low fluid influence (Dupré et al. 2007, Ritt et al. 2011). As stated earlier, the carbonate composition related to the seepage activity seems to be a potential structuring factor that needs further investigation.

In terms of chemistry, methane and oxygen gradients showed opposite trends and appeared to be important structuring factors. This was also the case for symbiont-bearing species (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2007) and associated heterotrophic polychaetes (Menot et al. 2010) in the giant REGAB pockmark in the Gulf of Guinea. Substratum type is also an important parameter observed at REGAB, influencing the spatial distribution of siboglinids and vesicomids (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2007). Napoli MV shares similarities with cold seeps of the Gulf of Mexico (Cary et al. 1989b, MacDonald et al. 1990) since it is under the influence of salt-saturated brine lakes and upward brine migration fuelled by salt deposits (Cita & Ryan 1973, Hsu et al. 1977). However, estimated advection rates of upward fluxes on Napoli (from  $9.3$  to  $63 \text{ cm yr}^{-1}$ ) are much higher than those estimated for the Gulf of Mexico ( $0.2$  and  $3.2 \text{ cm yr}^{-1}$ ; MacDonald et al. 1993, 2000). The brine lakes of Napoli, located at the centre of the MV where the activity appears high, are characterised by high salinity (82‰) and elevated chloride concentration ( $1380 \text{ mmol l}^{-1}$ ; Charlou et al. 2003). Similar brine lakes have been observed in the western province of the NDSF, especially on Cheops and Chefren MVs at 3000 m depth. These MVs are characterised by the presence of high methane concentrations and salinity above the brines that are higher than on Napoli (Huguenot et al. 2009). Brine seepage

appears to induce sedimentary instability and to create local spots of activity, similar to what is observed in the Lam microhabitat on Napoli. No brines were observed on Amsterdam, but instability may be created by mud flows (Zitter et al. 2005) such as those detected on the Håkon Mosby MV on the Norwegian margin (Feseker et al. 2008). This well-studied MV undergoes episodic mud eruptions that generate sedimentary and chemical instability, influencing the distribution of the benthic fauna in concentric belts around the summit (Jerosch et al. 2007). At the Napoli and Amsterdam MVs, the visible fauna is located on particular microhabitats on their active summits but not necessarily associated with dynamic structures such as brines or mud flows (Olu-Le Roy et al. 2004, Zitter et al. 2005). Further investigations are needed to enhance our understanding of the faunal distribution on Napoli and Amsterdam MVs. Extending the sampling protocol to other MVs in the Olimpi Field and the Anaximander Mountains should further increase our understanding of regional distribution patterns and how they are linked with larger-scale processes. Furthermore, meio- and macrofauna appear to be differently influenced by the nature of the microhabitats and underlying physico-chemical factors, but this may be attributable to the incomplete sampling of meiofauna during this study. Further investigations, focusing on meiofauna with an appropriate sampling strategy, may determine whether this faunal compartment behaves in the same way in relation to the conditions of the environment as the macrofauna.

*Acknowledgements.* The captain and crew of the RV 'Pourquoi pas?' as well as the pilots of the ROV 'Victor6000' are acknowledged for their dedicated assistance and for contributing to the success of the MEDECO cruise. The chief scientist of this cruise leg 1 (2007) was J.S. The faunal samples were identified by an international network of taxonomists: R. von Cosel (Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris); S. Gofas (Department of Animal Biology of Málaga University); C. Plum (German Centre for Marine Biodiversity Research); M. Blazewicz-Paszkwycz (University of Lodz); S. Vasilenko (Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow); A. Mironov and G. M. Vinogradov (P. P. Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Moscow); D. Ivanov (Zoological Museum of Moscow State University); N. Sanamyan (Kamchatka Branch of the Pacific Institute of Geography, Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky); E. Shornikov and M. Maljutina (Institute of Marine Biology, Vladivostok); and G. Vantsetti Murina (Institute of Biology of the Southern Seas National Academy of Sciences, Ukraine). We also thank P. Pignet for valuable help with ion analyses. The bathymetric maps were made with the data acquired and processed by B. Loubrieu (Ifremer). We also thank the anonymous reviewers for their comments that improved the manuscript. The first version of the manuscript was professionally edited by C. Engel-Gautier. B.R.'s thesis, supervised by J.S. and D.D., was entirely funded by the French In-

stitute for the Exploitation of the Sea (Ifremer). This research project benefited from funds from the HERMES and HERMIONE European projects (contract nos. 511234 and 226354) as well as from the ANR DEEP-OASES (ANR06BDV005) and support from the GDR ECCHIS.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Aloisi G, Pierre C, Rouchy JM, Foucher JP, Woodside J (2000) Methane-related authigenic carbonates of eastern Mediterranean Sea mud volcanoes and their possible relation to gas hydrate destabilisation. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 184:321–338
- Aloisi G, Bouloubassi I, Heijs SK, Pancost RD and others (2002) CH<sub>4</sub>-consuming microorganisms and the formation of carbonate crusts at cold seeps. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 203:195–203
- Barnes H, Blackstock J (1973) Estimation of lipids in marine animals and tissues: detailed investigation of the sulphophosphovanilun method for 'total' lipids. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 12:103–118
- Barry JP, Kochevar RE, Baxter CH (1997) The influence of pore-water chemistry and physiology in the distribution of vesicomyid clams at cold seeps in Monterey Bay: implications for patterns of chemosynthetic community organization. *Limnol Oceanogr* 42:318–328
- Bellaiche G, Loncke L, Gaullier V, Mascle J and others (2001) The Nile Cone and its channel system: new results after the Fanil cruise. *C R Acad Sci Série II Fascic Sci Terre Planètes* 333:399–404
- Bergquist DC, Urcuyo IA, Fisher CR (2002) Establishment and persistence of seep vestimentiferan aggregations on the upper Louisiana slope of the Gulf of Mexico. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 241:89–98
- Bright M, Giere O (2005) Microbial symbiosis in Annelida. *Symbiosis* 38:1–45
- Brink RHJ, Dubach P, Lynch DL (1960) Measurement of carbohydrates in soil hydrolyzates with anthrone. *Soil Sci* 89:157–166
- Brissac T, Rodrigues CF, Gros O, Duperron S (2011) Characterization of bacterial symbioses in *Myrtea* sp. (Bivalvia: Lucinidae) and *Thyasira* sp. (Bivalvia: Thyasiridae) from a cold seep in the Eastern Mediterranean. *Mar Ecol* 32: 198–210
- Buscail R, Germain C (1997) Present-day organic matter sedimentation on the NW Mediterranean margin: importance of off-shelf export. *Limnol Oceanogr* 42:217–229
- Camerlenghi A, Cita MB, Hieke W, Ricchiuto TS (1992) Geological evidence for mud diapirism on the Mediterranean Ridge accretionary complex. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 109:493–506
- Campbell KA (2006) Hydrocarbon seep and hydrothermal vent paleoenvironments and paleontology: past developments and future research directions. *Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol* 232:362–407
- Caprais JC, Lanteri N, Crassous P, Noël P and others (2010) CALMAR—a new submersible-operated benthic chamber: first application in the cold-seep environment of the Napoli mud volcano (Mediterranean Sea). *Limnol Oceanogr Methods* 8:304–312
- Carlier A, Ritt B, Rodrigues C, Sarrazin J, Olu K, Grall J, Clavier J (2010) Heterogeneous energetic pathway and carbon sources for deep eastern Mediterranean cold-seep communities. *Mar Biol* 157:2545–2565

- Cary SC, Vetter RD, Felbeck H (1989a) Habitat characterization and nutritional strategies of the endosymbiont-bearing bivalve *Lucinoma aequizonata*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 55:31–45
- Cary C, Fry B, Felbeck H, Vetter RD (1989b) Multiple trophic resources for a chemoautotrophic community at a cold water brine seep at the base of the Florida Escarpment. *Mar Biol* 100:411–418
- Cauwet G, Gadel F, Sierra MMD, Donard O, Ewald M (1990) Contribution of the Rhône River to organic carbon inputs to the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. *Cont Shelf Res* 10:1025–1037
- Charlou JL, Donval JP, Zitter T, Roy N, Jean-Baptiste P, Foucher JP, Woodside J (2003) Evidence of methane venting and geochemistry of brines on mud volcanoes of the eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Deep-Sea Res I* 50:941–958
- Cita MB, Camerlenghi A (1990) The Mediterranean Ridge as an accretionary prism in collisional context. *Mem Soc Geol Ital* 45:463–480
- Cita MB, Ryan WBF (1973) Time scale and general synthesis. US Govt Printing Office, Washington, DC
- Cita MB, Ryan WBF, Paggi L (1981) Prometheus mud breccia. An example of shale diapirism in the western Mediterranean Ridge. *Ann Geol Pays Hell* 13:37–49
- Coleman DF, Ballard RD (2001) A highly concentrated region of cold hydrocarbon seeps in the southeastern Mediterranean Sea. *Geo-Mar Lett* 21:162–167
- Cordes EE, Becker EL, Hourdez S, Fisher CR (2010) Influence of foundation species, depth, and location on diversity and community composition at Gulf of Mexico lower-slope cold seeps. *Deep-Sea Res II* 57:1870–1881
- Corselli C, Basso D (1996) First evidence of benthic communities based on chemosynthesis on the Napoli mud volcano (eastern Mediterranean). *Mar Geol* 132:227–239
- Dando PR, Southward AJ (1986) Chemoautotrophy in bivalve mollusks of the genus *Thyasira*. *J Mar Biol Assoc UK* 66:915–929
- Dando PR, Southward AJ, Southward EC, Lamont P, Harvey R (2008) Interactions between sediment chemistry and frenulate pogonophores (Annelida) in the north-east Atlantic. *Deep-Sea Res I* 55:966–996
- Danovaro R, Marralle D, Della Croce N, Parodi P, Fabiano M (1999) Biochemical composition of sedimentary organic matter and bacterial distribution in the Aegean Sea: trophic state and pelagic-benthic coupling. *J Sea Res* 42: 117–129
- Dubilier N, Bergin C, Lott C (2008) Symbiotic diversity in marine animals: the art of harnessing chemosynthesis. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 6:725–740
- Duperron S, Lorion J, Samadi S, Gros O, Gaill F (2009) Symbioses between deep-sea mussels (Mytilidae: Bathymodiolinea) and chemosynthetic bacteria: diversity, function and evolution. *C R Biol* 332:298–310
- Dupré S, Woodside J, Foucher JP, de Lange G and others (2007) Seafloor geological studies above active gas chimneys off Egypt (Central Nile deep sea fan). *Deep-Sea Res I* 54:1146–1172
- Feseker T, Foucher JP, Harmegnies F (2008) Fluid flow or mud eruptions? Sediment temperature distributions on Håkon Mosby mud volcano, SW Barents Sea slope. *Mar Geol* 247:194–207
- Fichez R (1991) Composition and fate of organic matter in submarine cave sediments - implications for the biogeochemical cycle of organic carbon. *Oceanol Acta* 14:369–377
- Gauthier O, Sarrazin J, Desbruyères D (2010) Measure and mis-measure of species diversity in deep-sea chemosynthetic communities. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 402:285–302
- Hessler RR, Jumars PA (1974) Abyssal community analysis from replicate box cores in the central North Pacific. *Deep-Sea Res* 21:185–209
- Hovland M, Gardner JV, Judd AG (2002) The significance of pockmarks to understanding fluid flow processes and geohazards. *Geofluids* 2:127–136
- Hsu KJ, Montadert L, Bernoulli D, Cita MB and others (1977) History of the Mediterranean salinity crisis. *Nature* 267: 399–403
- Huguen C, Mascle J, Chaumillon E, Kopf A, Woodside J, Zitter T (2004) Structural setting and tectonic control of mud volcanoes from the Central Mediterranean Ridge (Eastern Mediterranean). *Mar Geol* 209:245–263
- Huguen C, Mascle J, Woodside J, Zitter T, Foucher JP (2005) Mud volcanoes and mud domes of the Central Mediterranean Ridge: near-bottom and in situ observations. *Deep-Sea Res I* 52:1911–1931
- Huguen C, Foucher JP, Mascle J, Ondreas H and others (2009) Menes Caldera, a highly active site of brine seepage in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea: 'in situ' observations from the NAUTINIL expedition (2003). *Mar Geol* 261:138–152
- Ivanov MK, Limonov AF, vanWeering TCE (1996) Comparative characteristics of the Black Sea and Mediterranean Ridge mud volcanoes. *Mar Geol* 132:253–271
- Jaccard P (1901) Distribution de la flore alpine dans le Bassin des Dranses et dans quelques régions voisines. *Bull Soc Vaud Sci Nat* 37:241–272
- Jackson DA (1995) PORTEST: a PROCUSTEAN randomization TEST of community environment concordance. *Ecosciences* 2:297–303
- Jensen P (1992) 'An enteropneusts nest' — results of the burrowing traits by the deep-sea acorn worm *Stereobalanus canadensis* (Spengel). *Sarsia* 77:125–129
- Jerosch K, Schluter M, Foucher JP, Allais AG, Klages M, Edy C (2007) Spatial distribution of mud flows, chemoautotrophic communities, and biogeochemical habitats at Håkon Mosby Mud Volcano. *Mar Geol* 243:1–17
- Judd AG, Hovland M (2007) Seabed fluid flow — the impact on geology, biology and the marine environment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Julian D, Gaill F, Wood E, Arp AJ, Fisher CR (1999) Roots as a site of hydrogen sulfide uptake in the hydrocarbon seep vestimentiferan *Lamellibrachia* sp. *J Exp Biol* 202: 2245–2257
- Kaltin S, Haraldsson C, Anderson LG (2005) A rapid method for determination of total dissolved inorganic carbon in seawater with high accuracy and precision. *Mar Chem* 96:53–60
- Kindt R, Coe R (2005) Tree diversity analysis. A manual and software for common statistical methods for ecological and biodiversity studies. World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), Nairobi
- Koleff P, Gaston KJ, Lennon JJ (2003) Measuring beta diversity for presence-absence data. *J Anim Ecol* 72: 367–382
- Krylova EM, Sahling H (2010) Vesicomidae (Bivalvia): current taxonomy and distribution. *PLoS ONE* 5:e9957
- Kvenvolden KA, Rogers BW (2005) Gaia's breath — global methane exhalations. *Mar Pet Geol* 22:579–590
- Legendre P, Gallagher ED (2001) Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of species data. *Oecologia* 129:271–280

- Levin LA (2005) Ecology of cold seep sediments: interactions of fauna with flow, chemistry and microbes. *Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev* 43:1–46
- Levin LA, Gage JD (1998) Relationships between oxygen, organic matter and the diversity of bathyal macrofauna. *Deep-Sea Res II* 45:129–163
- Levin LA, Ziebis W, Mendoza GF, Growney VA and others (2003) Spatial heterogeneity of macrofauna at northern California methane seeps: influence of sulfide concentration and fluid flow. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 265:123–139
- Levin LA, Mendoza GF, Gonzalez JP, Thurber AR, Cordes EE (2010) Diversity of bathyal macrofauna on the north-eastern Pacific margin: the influence of methane seeps and oxygen minimum zones. *Mar Ecol* 31:94–110
- Limonov AF, Woodside JM, Cita MB, Ivanov MK (1996) The Mediterranean Ridge and related mud diapirism: a background. *Mar Geol* 132:7–19
- Loncke L, Masclé J, Fanil Scientific Parties (2004) Mud volcanoes, gas chimneys, pockmarks and mounds in the Nile deep-sea fan (Eastern Mediterranean): geophysical evidences. *Mar Pet Geol* 21:669–689
- Lykousis V, Alexandri S, Woodside J, De Lange G and others (2008) Mud volcanoes and gas hydrates in the Anaximander Mountains (Eastern Mediterranean Sea). *Mar Pet Geol* 26:1–19
- MacDonald IR, Reilly JF, Guinasso NL, Brooks JM, Carney RS, Bryant WA, Bright TJ (1990) Chemosynthetic mussels at a brine-filled pockmark in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. *Science* 248:1096–1099
- MacDonald IR, Guinasso NL Jr, Ackleson SG, Amos JF, Duckworth R, Sassen R, Brooks JM (1993) Natural oil slicks in the Gulf of Mexico visible from space. *J Geophys Res* 98:16351–16364
- MacDonald IR, Buthman DB, Sager WW, Peccini MB, Guinasso NL Jr (2000) Pulsed oil discharge from a mud volcano. *Geology* 28:907–910
- Masclé J, Zitter T, Bellaiche G, Droz L, Gaullier V, Loncke L (2001) The Nile deep sea fan: preliminary results from a swath bathymetry survey. *Mar Pet Geol* 18:471–477
- Masclé J, Sardou O, Loncke L, Migeon S, Camera L, Gaullier V (2006) Morphostructure of the Egyptian continental margin: insights from swath bathymetry surveys. *Mar Geophys Res* 27:49–59
- Menot L, Galéron J, Olu K, Caprais JC, Crassous P, Khripounoff A, Sibuet M (2010) Spatial heterogeneity of macrofaunal communities in and near a giant pockmark area in the deep Gulf of Guinea. *Mar Ecol* 31:78–93
- Milkov AV (2000) Worldwide distribution of submarine mud volcanoes and associated gas hydrates. *Mar Geol* 167:29–42
- Niemann H, Losekann T, de Beer D, Elvert M and others (2006) Novel microbial communities of the Haakon Mosby mud volcano and their role as a methane sink. *Nature* 443:854–858
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P and others (2012) vegan: community ecology package—ordination methods, diversity analysis and other functions for community and vegetation ecologists. Available at <http://cran.r-project.org/>, <http://vegan.r-forge.r-project.org/>
- Oliver G, Rodrigues C, Cunha MR (2011) Chemosymbiotic bivalves from the mud volcanoes of the Gulf of Cadiz. *ZooKeys* 113:1–38
- Olu K, Lance S, Sibuet M, Henry P, Fiala-Medioni A, Dinét A (1997) Cold seep communities as indicators of fluid expulsion patterns through mud volcanoes seaward of the Barbados accretionary prism. *Deep-Sea Res I* 44:811–819
- Olu-Le Roy K, Sibuet M, Fiala-Médioni A, Gofas S and others (2004) Cold seep communities in the deep eastern Mediterranean Sea: composition, symbiosis and spatial distribution on mud volcanoes. *Deep-Sea Res I* 51:1915–1936
- Olu-Le Roy K, Caprais JC, Fifis A, Fabri MC and others (2007) Cold-seep assemblages on a giant pockmark off West Africa: spatial patterns and environmental control. *Mar Ecol* 28:115–130
- Paull CK, Hecker B, Commeau R, Freeman-Lynde RP and others (1984) Biological communities at the Florida Escarpment resemble hydrothermal vent taxa. *Science* 226:965–967
- Peres-Neto PR, Jackson DA (2001) How well do multivariate data sets match? The advantages of a Procrustean superimposition approach over the Mantel test. *Oecologia* 129:169–178
- Pierre C, Bayon G, Blanc-Valleron MM, Rouchy JM and others (2008) Authigenic carbonate crusts from active cold seep sites in the Eastern Mediterranean. New results from the MEDECO cruise (HERMES Project). In: 9th International Conference on Gas in Marine Sediments, Bremen: Book of Abstracts. University of Bremen, p 50
- Pierre C, Blanc-Valleron MM, Bouloubassi I, Cagatay MN and others (2010) Methane-related carbonates from cold seeps of eastern Mediterranean and Marmara Sea. *Rapp Comm Int Mer Mediterr* 39:57
- R Development Core Team (2012) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna
- Ritt B, Sarrazin J, Caprais JC, Noël P and others (2010) First insights into the structure and environmental setting of cold-seep communities in the Marmara Sea. *Deep-Sea Res I* 57:1120–1136
- Ritt B, Pierre C, Gauthier O, Wenzhoefer F, Boetius A, Sarrazin J (2011) Diversity and distribution of cold-seep fauna associated with different geological and environmental settings at mud volcanoes and pockmarks of the Nile Deep-Sea Fan. *Mar Biol* 158:1187–1210
- Ritt B, Duperron S, Lorion J, Lazar CS, Sarrazin J (2012) Integrative study of a new cold-seep mussel (Mollusca: Bivalvia) associated with chemosynthetic symbionts in the Marmara Sea. *Deep-Sea Res I* 67:121–132
- Rodrigues CF, Hilario A, Cunha MR, Weightman AJ, Webster G (2011) Microbial diversity in Frenulata (Siboglinidae, Polychaeta) species from mud volcanoes in the Gulf of Cadiz (NE Atlantic). *Antonie Leeuwenhoek* 100:83–98
- Rouse GW, Pleijel F (2001) Polychaetes. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Sahling H, Rickert D, Lee RW, Linke P, Suess E (2002) Macrofaunal community structure and sulfide flux at gas hydrate deposits from the Cascadia convergent margin, NE Pacific. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 231:121–138
- Salas C, Woodside J (2002) *Lucinoma kazani* n. sp. (Mollusca: Bivalvia): evidence of a living benthic community associated with a cold seep in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Deep-Sea Res I* 49:991–1005
- Sarradin PM, Caprais JC (1996) Analysis of dissolved gases by headspace sampling gas chromatography with column and detector switching. Preliminary results. *Anal Commun* 33:371–373
- Sibuet M, Olu K (1998) Biogeography, biodiversity and fluid dependence of deep-sea cold-seep communities at active

- and passive margins. *Deep-Sea Res II* 45:517–567
- Sibuet M, Olu-Le Roy K (2002) Cold seep communities on continental margins: structure and quantitative distribution relative to geological and fluid venting patterns. In: Wefer G, Billett D, Hebbeln D, Jørgensen BB, Schlüter M, Van Weering TJ (ed) *Ocean margin systems*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, p 235–251
- Southward EC, Andersen AC, Hourdez S (2011) *Lamelli-brachia anaximandri* n. sp., a new vestimentiferan tube-worm (Annelida) from the Mediterranean, with notes on frenulate tubeworms from the same habitat. *Zoosystema* 33:245–279
- Stevenson FJ, Cheng CN (1970) Amino acids in sediments: recovery by acid hydrolysis and quantitative estimation by a colorimetric procedure. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 34:77–88
- Thistle D (2003) The deep-sea floor: an overview. In: Tyler PA (ed) *Ecosystems of the deep oceans. Ecosystems of the world*, Vol 28. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, p 5–37
- Van Gaever S, Moodley L, de Beer D, Vanreusel A (2006) Meiobenthos at the Arctic Håkon Mosby Mud Volcano, with a parental-caring nematode thriving in sulphide-rich sediments. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 321:143–155
- Vuillemin R, Le Roux D, Dorval P, Bucas K and others (2009) CHEMINI: a new *in situ* CHEmical MINIaturized analyzer. *Deep-Sea Res I* 56:1391–1399
- Werne JP, Haese RR, Zitter T, Aloisi G and others (2004) Life at cold seeps: a synthesis of biogeochemical and ecological data from Kazan mud volcano, eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Chem Geol* 205:367–390
- Winkler LW (1888) Die Bestimmung des im Wasser gelösten Sauerstoffes. *Ber Dtsch Chem Ges* 21:2843–2854
- Woodside JM, Ivanov MK, Limonov AF (1998) Shallow gas and gas hydrates in the Anaximander Mountains regions, eastern Mediterranean Sea. In: Henriot JP, Mienert J (eds) *Gas hydrates: relevance to world margin stability and climate change*. Geological Society, London, p 177–193
- Woodside JM, Mascle J, Zitter TAC, Limonov AF, Ergun M, Volkonskaia A (2002) The Florence Rise, the Western Bend of the Cyprus Arc. *Mar Geol* 185:177–194
- Zitter T (2004) Mud volcanism and fluid emissions in Eastern Mediterranean neotectonic zones. Faculty of Earth and Life Science, Free University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam
- Zitter TAC, Woodside JM, Mascle J (2003) The Anaximander Mountains: a clue to the tectonics of southwest Anatolia. *Geol J* 38:375–394
- Zitter TAC, Huguen C, Woodside JM (2005) Geology of mud volcanoes in the eastern Mediterranean from combined sidescan sonar and submersible surveys. *Deep-Sea Res I* 52:457–475

*Editorial responsibility: Matthias Seaman, Oldendorf/Luhe, Germany*

*Submitted: October 10, 2011; Accepted: June 22, 2012  
Proofs received from author(s): October 1, 2012*