| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  | Glycine betaine as a direct substrate for methanogens   |
| 4  | (Methanococcoides spp.)   |
| 5  |   |
| 6  | Running title: Betaine utilization by methanogens   |
| 7  |   |
| 8  | Andrew J. Watkins <sup>†</sup> , Erwan G. Roussel <sup>†</sup> , R. John Parkes, Henrik Sass* |
| 9  | School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff Cf10 3AT, United Kingdom      |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 | <sup>†</sup> AJW and EGR contributed equally to this article.                                 |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 | Keywords  |
| 16 | Tertiary amine, glycine betaine, N,N,N-trimethylglycine, N,N-dimethylglycine,                 |
| 17 | Methanococcoides.   |
| 18 | * Corresponding author. Mailing address: School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Cardiff          |
| 19 | University, Park Place, Main Building, Cardiff CF10 3AT, Wales, U.K. Phone: +44-29-208-       |

- 20 76001, FAX +44-29-2087-4329, email: sassh@cardiff.ac.uk
- 21
- 22

# 23 Abstract

Nine marine methanogenic Methanococcoides strains, including the type strains of M. 24 methylutens, M. burtonii and M. alaskense, were tested for the utilization of N-methylated 25 glycines. Three strains (NM1, PM2 and MKM1) used glycine betaine (N,N,N-26 trimethylglycine) as a substrate for methanogenesis, partially demethylating it to N,N-27 dimethylglycine, whereas none of the strains used N,N-dimethylglycine or sarcosine (N-28 methylglycine). Growth rates and growth yields per mol of substrate with glycine betaine 29 (3.96 g dw per mol) were similar to those with trimethylamine (4.11 g dw per mol). However, 30 31 as glycine betaine is only partially demethylated, the yield per methyl group was significantly higher than with trimethylamine. If glycine betaine and trimethylamine are provided together, 32 33 trimethylamine is demethylated to dimethyl- and methylamine with limited glycine betaine utilization. After trimethylamine is depleted, dimethylamine and glycine betaine are 34 consumed rapidly, before methylamine. Glycine betaine extends the range of substrates that 35 can be directly utilized by some methanogens allowing them to gain energy from this 36 substrate without the need for syntrophic partners. 37

38

39

# 41 Introduction

Glycine betaine (*N*,*N*,*N*-trimethylglycine) is one of the most common compatible solutes in nature and found in all three domains of life (1-3). In addition to its role in osmoadaptation, glycine betaine has been suggested to play a role in microbial cryoprotection and barotolerance (4-5). Considering that intracellular glycine betaine concentrations can be some hundred millimoles per litre depending on the salinity of the medium (6) it is clear that it must be very abundant in saline environments. For example, in hypersaline mats total glycine betaine contents of up to 0.1 mmol per gram of sediment dry weight have been found (7).

49 In anoxic sediments the addition of glycine betaine leads to methanogenic activity but also to a simultaneous stimulation of sulphate reduction (8). However, the transient formation 50 51 of similar amounts of trimethylamine and acetate indicates that the reduction of betaine, as found in members of the genera *Clostridium* and *Halanaerobacter* (9-10), is the first step 52 during degradation. While acetate is utilized mainly by sulphate reducers, trimethylamine is a 53 well known non-competitive substrate for methanogens (8, 11), allowing them to thrive 54 within the sulphate reduction zone. This degradation pattern involving three different 55 metabolic groups is quite complex and it could be argued that it would be advantageous for 56 the methanogens if they could demethylate glycine betaine directly, similar to direct choline 57 (N,N,N-trimethylethanolamine) utilization, which has recently been documented (12). 58 Although a number of methanogens have been tested, no glycine betaine consumption by 59 60 methanogens has been reported so far (e.g. 13-15).

In the present study we demonstrate the partial demethylation of glycine betaine (*N*,*N*,*N*-trimethylglycine) to *N*,*N*-dimethylglycine by members of the genus *Methanococcoides*. The potential implications of this novel methanogenic pathway are discussed.

# 66 Materials and Methods

67

### 68 Source of organisms

In total, nine *Methanococcoides* strains were investigated. These included the three type 69 DSM 2657<sup>T</sup>, *M. burtonii* DSM  $6242^{T}$ strains Methanococcoides methylutens and 70 M. alaskense DSM 17273<sup>T</sup> obtained from the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen 71 und Zellkulturen (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany), and five new Methanococcoides strains 72 (AM1, DM1, NM1, PM1, PM2) obtained from a range of marine habitats (12). Their 16S 73 rRNA genes (GenBank numbers HE862406 to HE862410) share >99% similarity with that of 74 *M. methylutens* DSM 2657<sup>T</sup>. One additional strain, MKM1, was isolated from an enrichment 75 76 inoculated with sediment from the Meknes mud volcano of the Gulf of Cadiz with methylamine as substrate using agar shake tubes (16). All cultures were incubated at 25°C. 77

78

#### 79 Cultivation and media

A bicarbonate-buffered and FeS-reduced artificial seawater medium (12, 17) was used for isolation, strain maintenance and physiological experiments. The pH of the reduced medium was adjusted to 7.2 - 7.4 with sterile HCl or Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> if necessary. For enrichment and isolation 10 mmol methylamine per litre was added.

For growth experiments 150 mL serum bottles filled with 30 mL medium under a N<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> (80/20, v/v) headspace and with 5 mmol of substrate per litre were used. Growth was monitored by increase in headspace methane and the specific growth rate ( $\mu$ ) calculated from plots of the total accumulated methane against time (12, 18-19). Growth yield was estimated from the increase in protein contents analysed by the method of Bradford (20).

89

#### 90 Analytical techniques

Headspace gas was measured by gas chromatography (Perkin Elmer/Arnel Clarus 500
Natural Gas Analyser, Sheldon, CT) and methane contents in headspace and medium
calculated as described previously (12). Anions (including the organic acids acetate, lactate
and formate) were analysed on a Dionex ICS-2000 Ion Chromatography System equipped
with an AS50 autosampler (Dionex, Camberley, UK) (21).

96 Prior to ion chromatographic analysis 1 mL of culture was centrifuged (15 min at 16000 g at 10 °C) and the supernatant diluted (1:10, v/v) in ultrapure water (>18.2 MOhm; 97 Milli-Q system<sup>®</sup>, Millipore<sup>™</sup>). Cations (including ammonium, methylamines, betaine, and 98 dimethylglycine) were analysed using ion chromatography with non-suppressed conductivity 99 detection (22) on a Dionex ICS-2000 Ion Chromatograph equipped with a DS6 heated 100 101 conductivity cell (45°C) and an AS50 autosampler (Dionex, Camberley, UK). Chromatographic separation was conducted on an Ionpac CS16 column at 50°C using 102 methanesulphonic acid eluent (3 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>) and acetonitrile (10 %) at a flow rate of 1.30 mL 103  $\min^{-1}$ . 104

105

## 107 **Results**

108

#### 109 Utilisation of *N*-methylated glycines by *Methanococcoides* spp.

All Methanococcoides strains tested grew well with mono-, di- and trimethylamine, and fresh 110 methylamine-grown cultures were used to inoculate media with glycine betaine (N,N,N)111 trimethylglycine), N,N-dimethylglycine (DMG) or N-monomethylglycine (sarcosine) as 112 substrate. While none of the strains formed methane from DMG or sarcosine, three strains 113 (NM1, PM2, MKM1) produced methane from glycine betaine within one to two weeks. 114 These positive results were confirmed by subcultivation on the same substrate. Negative 115 cultures were incubated for at least three months and regularly measured for methane 116 117 production, since methanogenic cultures sometimes show very long lag phases (12).

When the three strains were grown with glycine betaine there was only a relatively 118 small amount of methane formed, with a methane to glycine betaine ratio of around 0.7. This 119 suggested that glycine betaine was only partly demethylated. Since the three strains showed 120 similar lag phases and growth rates, only one strain, NM1, was investigated in more detail. 121 Ion chromatographic analysis identified DMG as the end product of methanogenesis from 122 glycine betaine by strain NM1 (Fig. 1). At the end of the growth experiment, residual betaine 123 concentrations were below the detection limit (130 µmol l<sup>-1</sup>). After glycine betaine was 124 consumed cultures were further incubated for a number of weeks but showed no decrease in 125 126 DMG concentrations.

The maximum growth rate of strain NM1 with glycine betaine was  $0.93 \pm 0.01 \text{ d}^{-1}$  (n = 3). This is a growth rate comparable to cultures with methylamine (0.96 d<sup>-1</sup>) but slightly slower than cultures with di- (1.05 d<sup>-1</sup>) or trimethylamine (1.24 d<sup>-1</sup>) and faster than with methanol (0.64 d<sup>-1</sup>). On average, 0.97 moles of DMG and 0.67 moles of methane were formed per mole of betaine. The amount of protein formed in cultures with trimethylamine and glycine betaine was similar. However, as glycine betaine is only partially demethylated the growth yield per methyl group is 3.96 g dw mol (methyl group)<sup>-1</sup> and significantly higher than with mono-, di- or trimethylamine (Table 1). Acetate, formate and other organic acids were found only at minor concentrations (< 0.04 mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>).

136

## 137 Impact of trimethylamine on methanogenesis from glycine betaine by strain NM1

Cultures of strain NM1 with trimethylamine and glycine betaine showed no clear diauxic 138 substrate utilization (Fig. 2). Like in previous studies (12-13), TMA was first partially 139 140 demethylated to dimethylamine (DMA) and methylamine (MMA). However, although TMA was utilized first, there was some simultaneous decrease in glycine betaine in the presence of 141 142 TMA. The fastest rate of glycine betaine consumption occurred immediately after TMA was depleted and this was simultaneous with DMA consumption. Strain NM1 utilized MMA only 143 when glycine betaine and DMA were almost depleted. This pattern differs significantly from 144 that found for *Methanococcoides* sp. AM1 in the presence of choline and TMA, where a 145 significant lag occurred between the consumption of TMA and its intermediates and the start 146 147 of choline utilization (12).

148

#### 149 Glycine betaine content in cells of *Methanococcoides* sp. NM1

At the end of the growth experiment shown in Fig. 1, 1.5 mL of culture was washed in artificial seawater and the cell pellet resuspended in 1.5 mL of deionized water to lyse the cells. Cation analysis of three parallel cultures revealed the presence of *N*,*N*-dimethylglycine ( $353\pm140 \mu mol \cdot L^{-1}$ ), Na<sup>+</sup> ( $34\pm10 mmol \cdot L^{-1}$ ) and K<sup>+</sup> ( $0.69\pm0.29 mmol \cdot L^{-1}$ ), but no glycine betaine, methylamines or ammonium in the cell pellets. In contrast, cells grown with trimethylamine (10 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>) contained significant concentrations of ammonium (53

- 156  $\mu$ mol·L<sup>-1</sup>), MMA (294  $\mu$ mol·L<sup>-1</sup>), DMA (41  $\mu$ mol·L<sup>-1</sup>), Na<sup>+</sup> (5.3 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>), and K<sup>+</sup> (5.3 mmol
- **157**  $L^{-1}$ ), but no detectable glycine betaine or DMG.
- 158
- 159
- 160 Discussion
- 161

### 162 Glycine betaine - a new substrate for methanogenic pure cultures

In this study we have shown the direct use of glycine betaine by pure cultures of 163 methanogens. Previously, methanogenic degradation of glycine betaine was thought to 164 require syntrophic interaction between a fermenter (or sulphate reducer) producing 165 166 trimethylamine which was then used by the methanogen (8, 13). However, like choline and N,N-dimethylethanolamine that have recently been reported as novel direct substrates for 167 methanogens (12), glycine betaine can also be directly demethylated by methanogens. The 168 presence of a syntrophic partner in our cultures can be ruled out as no intermediates, TMA or 169 acetate, were detected, which would have accumulated if glycine betaine was degraded by 170 171 co-culture.

At present we can only speculate how widespread the capacity to use glycine betaine is 172 among methanogens. Like choline and N,N-dimethylethanolamine, glycine betaine is an N-173 methylated amine bearing a C2 side chain, and belongs to a group of compounds that was 174 175 thought not to support the growth of methanogenic pure cultures. Therefore, only a limited 176 number of pure cultures belonging to the genera Methanococcoides, Methanosarcina, 177 Methanohalophilus and Methanomicrococcus (13-15, 23-24) has been tested with glycine betaine or choline. However, choline and glycine betaine are not the only  $C_2$  methylated 178 amine utilized by methanogens. Methanosarcina barkeri was shown to grow with N-179 ethyldimethylamine but not with choline, glycine betaine or  $N_N$ -diethylmethylamine (13). 180

181 However, since N-ethyldimethylamine was considered of little biological significance, later studies neglected this substrate. Glycine betaine, in contrast, is a common osmolyte in saline 182 environments (1, 3) and choline and N,N-dimethylethanolamine are headgroups of 183 phospholipids present in anoxic sediments (25). Considering that three of the nine strains 184 tested used glycine betaine and five out of fifteen Methanococcoides spp. have been recently 185 186 shown to utilize choline or  $N_{N}$ -dimethylethanolamine (12) it is clear that methanogens are more versatile than previously thought. Therefore, this physiological diversity, particularly 187 with respect to N-methylated amines bearing a larger side chain, has been largely overlooked. 188 189 Whether glycine betaine is a direct substrate for methanogens in the marine environment needs to be investigated, although it is unlikely that they can compete with 190 191 sulphate reducers for this substrate. Several sulphate reducers can utilize glycine betaine as an electron donor (26-27) and it was shown that in intertidal sediments sulfate reduction was 192 strongly stimulated by the addition of glycine betaine (8). In sulphate-free layers, however, 193 being able to use glycine betaine directly would make the methanogens independent from 194 syntrophic interaction with fermenters, some of which may not release trimethylamine that 195 could then be used by the methanogens, and therefore, would restrict methanogenesis. For 196 example, in the presence of glycine betaine when methanogens were inhibited in intertidal 197 sediments by the addition of BES, less than 60% of theoretically possible TMA were formed 198 (8). This indicates that either not all of the betaine is degraded via trimethylamine or that 199 some of the TMA is used by other processes such as homoacetogenesis. 200

201

# 202 Incomplete degradation of glycine betaine

All three strains utilizing glycine betaine only partially demethylated their substrate to *N*,*N*dimethylglycine. This may be surprising, particularly considering that the *Methanococcoides* spp. using choline demethylated their substrate completely to ethanolamine (12). However, a range of organisms also produce DMG from glycine betaine, including several *Desulfobacterium* spp. and *Acetobacterium* spp. (26, 28). In addition, *Eubacterium limosum* converts glycine betaine and  $CO_2$  into DMG, acetate and butyrate (29), while some homoacetogens like *Sporomusa* spp. ferment glycine betaine into acetate, trimethylamine, and DMG (30).

211 The demethylation of glycine betaine to DMG or glycine produces -183.1 and -248.2 kJ per mol of glycine betaine, respectively (Table 2). This means that the first methyl group 212 yields more than five times more energy than the other two. This high energy yield may also 213 214 explain the relatively high growth yield observed for growth on glycine betaine (Table 1). However, the  $\Delta G_0$ ' for the demethylation of DMG to glycine is still -67.8 kJ per mol DMG 215 216 and, considering that DMG has two methyl groups, the  $\Delta G_0$  per methyl group is comparable 217 to the value for methylamine (-43.0 kJ per mol). However, although it seems a potential waste of energy, the cultures investigated here did not utilize the DMG produced even after 218 prolonged incubation of several weeks. 219

220

#### 221 Glycine betaine as a compatible solute in Methanococcoides sp. NM1?

Both, glycine betaine and DMG have been documented as compatible solutes in halotolerant 222 and halophilic methanogenic archaea (31-33). However, cells of strain NM1 grown in 223 artificial seawater with trimethylamine as substrate did not contain any detectable amounts of 224 glycine betaine but showed a slight accumulation of K<sup>+</sup> plus significant amounts of 225 226 methylamine. This is similar to other methanogens like Methanosarcina spp. that can accumulate K<sup>+</sup> for osmoregulation and synthesize the amino acids  $\alpha$ -glutamate and N<sup>c</sup>-acetyl-227  $\beta$ -lysine as osmolytes, but can take up glycine betaine if present in the medium (33). 228 However, the uptake and accumulation of glycine betaine in Methanosarcina spp. suppresses 229 230 the formation of other osmolytes, which is thought to save significant energy. Cells of strain

NM1 might not only save energy by taking up glycine betaine instead of synthesizing other
osmolytes, they also can use glycine betaine as a metabolic substrate. Since DMG acts as a
compatible solute as well, this means that the partial demethylation of glycine betaine allows
energy generation and energy saving by the metabolic end product being an osmoregulant.

235 236

# 237 Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Natural Environmental Research Council, UK (NE/F00477X/1 and NE/F018983/1) and the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under the HERMIONE project, grant agreement n° 226354. The authors wish to thank Laurent Toffin for providing sediment samples from the Napoli mud volcano, from which strain NM1 was isolated, Bettina Buchmann for helping with protein analysis, Detlef Jensen (DIONEX) for his expertise, and three anonymous reviewers for their support.

245

246

## 247 **References**

- 249 1. Galinski EA. 1995. Osmoadaptation in bacteria. Adv. Microb. Physiol. 37:273-328.
- 250 2. Roeßler M, Müller V. 2001. Osmoadaptation in bacteria and archaea: common
- 251 principles and differences. Environ. Microbiol. **3:**743-754.
- 252 3. Ventosa A Nieto JJ Oren A. 1998. Biology of moderately halophilic aerobic bacteria.
- 253 Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 62:504-544.

- psychrophily: the impact of 'omic' technologies. Trends Microbiol. 18:374-381. 255 Smiddy M, Sleator RD, Patterson MF, Hill C, Kelly AL. 2004. Role for compatible 5. 256 solutes glycine betaine and L-carnithine in Listerial barotolerance. Appl. Environ. 257 Microbiol. 70:7555-7557. 258 259 6. Imhoff JH, Rodriguez-Valera F. 1984. Betaine is the main compatible solute of halophilic eubacteria. J. Bacteriol. 160:478-479. 260 King GM. 1988. Methanogenesis from methylated amines in a hypersaline algal mat 261 7. 262 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54:130-136. 263 8. 264 reducing and methanogenic bacteria in marine sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **48:**719-725. 265 Mouné S, Manac'h N, Hirschler A, Caumette P, Willison JC, Matheron R. 1999. 266 9. Haloanaerobacter salinarius sp. nov., a novel halophilic fermentative bacterium that 267 reduces glycine-betaine to trimethylamine with hydrogen or serine as electron donors; 268 269 emendation of the genus Haloanaerobacter. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 49:103-112. 10. Naumann E, Hippe H, Gottschalk G. 1983. Betaine: New oxidant in the Stickland 270 reaction and methanogenesis from betaine and L-alanine by a Clostridium sporogenes-271 Methanosarcina barkeri coculture. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 45:474-483. 272 11. Oremland RS, Marsh LM, Polcin S. 1982. Methane production and simultaneous 273 274 sulfate reduction in anoxic, salt marsh sediments. Nature 296:143-145. 275 12. Watkins AJ, Roussel EG, Webster G, Parkes RJ, Sass H. 2012. Choline and N,Ndimethylethanolamine as direct substrates for methanogens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 276 277 78:8298-8303.
- <u>AEM</u> Accepts published online ahead of print

King GM. 1984. Metabolism of trimethylamine, choline, and glycine betaine by sulfate-

| 278 | 13. Hip         | ppe H, Caspari D, Fiebig K, Gottschalk G. 1979. Utilization of trimethylamine and    |
|-----|-----------------|--|
| 279 | oth             | er N-methyl compounds for growth and methane formation in Methanosarcina             |
| 280 | bar             | <i>keri</i> . Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. <b>76:</b> 494-498.                      |
| 281 | 14. Spi         | renger WW, van Belzen MC, Rosenberg J, Hackstein JHP, Keltjens JT. 2000.             |
| 282 | Me              | thanomicrococcus blatticola gen. nov., sp nov., a methanol- and methylamine-         |
| 283 | red             | ucing methanogen from the hindgut of the cockroach Periplaneta americana. Int. J.    |
| 284 | Sys             | st. Evol. Microbiol. <b>50:</b> 1989-1999.   |
| 285 | 15. <b>Ta</b> i | naka K. 1994. Anaerobic degradation of tetramethylammonium by a newly isolated       |
| 286 | ma              | rine methanogen. J. Ferment. Bioeng. 78:386-388.                                     |
| 287 | 16. <b>Pa</b> i | rkes RJ, Sass H, Webster G, Watkins AJ, Weightman AJ, O'Sullivan LA, Cragg           |
| 288 | BA              | . 2010. Methods for studying methanogens and methanogenesis in marine sediments,     |
| 289 | p 3             | 799-3827. In Timmis KN (ed), Handbook of hydrocarbon and lipid microbiology,         |
| 290 | Vo              | l. 5. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.  |
| 291 | 17. Sül         | ß J, Engelen B, Cypionka H, Sass H. 2004. Quantitative analysis of bacterial         |
| 292 | con             | nmunities from Mediterranean sapropels based on cultivation-dependent methods.       |
| 293 | FE              | MS Microbiol. Ecol. <b>51:</b> 109-121.  |
| 294 | 18. <b>Ly</b> i | imo TJ, Pol A, Jetten MSM, op den Camp HJM. 2009. Diversity of methanogenic          |
| 295 | arc             | haea in a mangrove sediment and isolation of a new Methanococcoides strain. FEMS     |
| 296 | Mie             | crobiol. Lett. <b>291:</b> 247-253.  |
| 297 | 19. <b>Po</b>   | well GE. 1983. Interpreting gas kinetics of batch cultures. Biotechnol. Lett. 5:437- |
| 298 | 440             | ).   |
| 299 | 20. Bra         | adford MM. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram      |
| 300 | qua             | antities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye-binding. Anal. Biochem.   |
| 301 | 72:             | 248-254.   |

AEM Accepts published online ahead of print

| 302 | 21. Webster G, Diazejak A, Cragg DA, Scinppers A, Sass H, Kinna J, Tang A, Matnes       |
|-----|---|
| 303 | F, Ferdelman T, Fry JC, Weightman AJ, Parkes RJ. 2009. Subsurface microbiology          |
| 304 | and biogeochemistry of a deep, cold-water carbonate mound from the Porcupine            |
| 305 | Seabight (IODP Expedition 307). Environ. Microbiol. 11:239-257.                         |
| 306 | 22. Zhang JJ, Zhu Y. 2007. Determination of betaine, choline and trimethylamine in feed |
| 307 | additive by ion-exchange liquid chromatography/non-suppressed conductivity detection.   |
| 308 | J. Chromatogr. A. 1170:114-117.   |
| 309 | 23. Heijthuijsen JHFG, Hansen TA. 1989. Betaine fermentation and oxidation by marine    |
| 310 | Desulfuromonas strains. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55:965-969.                           |
| 311 | 24. Paterek JR, Smith PH. 1988. Methanohalophilus mahii gen. nov., sp. nov., a          |
| 312 | methylotrophic halophilic methanogen. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 38:122-123.              |
| 313 | 25. Seidel M, Graue J, Engelen B, Köster J, Sass H, Rullkötter J. 2012. Advection and   |
| 314 | diffusion determine the vertical distribution of microbial communities in intertidal    |
| 315 | sediments as revealed by combined biogeochemical and molecular biological analysis.     |
| 316 | Org. Geochem. <b>52:</b> 114-129.   |
| 317 | 26. Heijthuijsen JHFG, Hansen TA. 1989. Anaerobic degradation of betaine by marine      |
| 318 | Desulfobacterium strains. Arch. Microbiol. 152:393-396.                                 |
| 319 | 27. Schink B, Thiemann V, Laue H, Friedrich MW. 2002. Desulfotignum                     |
| 320 | phosphitoxidans sp. nov., a new marine sulfate reducer that oxidizes phosphite to       |
| 321 | phosphate. Arch. Microbiol. 177:381-391.  |
| 322 | 28. Van der Maarel MJEC, Jansen M, Haanstra R, Meijer WG, Hansen TA. 1996.              |
| 323 | Demethylation of dimethylsulfoniopropionate to 3-S-methylmercaptopropionate by          |

DA C-1

C

marine sulfate-reducing bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **62:**3978-3984.

- 325 29. Müller E, Fahlbusch K, Walther R, Gottschalk G. 1981. Formation of N,N-
- 326 dimethylglycine, acetic acid, and butyric acid from betaine by *Eubacterium limosum*.
- 327 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **42:**439–445.
- 328 30. Möller B, Oßmer R, Howard BH, Gottschalk G, Hippe H. 1984. Sporomusa, a new
- 329 genus of Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria including *Sporomusa sphaeroides* spec. nov.
- and *Sporomusa ovata* spec. nov. Arch. Microbiol. **139:**388-396.
- 331 31. Menaia JAGF, Duarte JC, Boone DR. 1993. Osmotic adaptation of moderately
- halophilic methanogenic archaeobacteria, and detection of cytosolic *N*,*N*-
- dimethylglycine. Experientia **49**:1047-1054.
- 32. Robertson DE, Noll D, Roberts MF, Menaia JAGF, Boone DR. 1990. Detection of
- the osmoregulator betaine in methanogens. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **56**:563-565.
- 33. Sowers KR, Gunsalus RP. 1995. Halotolerance in *Methanosarcina* spp.: Role of  $N^{e}$ -
- 337 acetyl- $\beta$ -lysine,  $\alpha$ -glutamate, glycine betaine and K<sup>+</sup> as compatible solutes for osmotic
- adaptation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **61:**4382-4388.
- 339 34. Archer DB. 1984. Detection and quantitation of methanogens by enzyme-linked
- immunosorbent assay. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 48:797-801.
- 341 35. Jankowski MD, Henry CS, Broadbelt LJ, Hatzimanikatis V. 2008. Group
- 342 contribution method for thermodynamic analysis of complex metabolic networks.
- Biophys. J. **95:**1487-1499.
- 344
- 345

# 346 Figure legends

347

| 348 | Figure 1 | Metabolism of glycine | betaine by Methano | ococcoides sp. NM1. | All values are the |
|-----|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|-----|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|

349 average of three replicates with the error bars indicating one standard deviation. Symbols:  $\Box$ ,

350 methane;  $\bullet$ , glycine betaine; O, *N*,*N*-dimethylglycine.

351

352 Figure 2 Successive metabolism of trimethylamine, its intermediates and glycine betaine by

353 Methanococcoides sp. NM1. Both substrates were present in the medium from day 0. Note

354 the different scale in (B) showing the concentrations of intermediates of trimethylamine

355 consumption. Only the first ten days of the experiment shown. Cultures were monitored for

another three weeks but did not show any significant concentration changes. All values are

357 the average of three replicates with the error bars indicating one standard deviation. Symbols:

358  $\Box$ , methane; •, glycine betaine; O, *N*,*N*-dimethylglycine;  $\nabla$ , trimethylamine;  $\mathbf{\nabla}$ ,

- ammonium;  $\blacklozenge$  dimethylamine;  $\diamondsuit$ , methylamine.
- 360

361

Table 1. Metabolic products and growth yields of Methanococcoides sp. NM1 grown on methylamine, dimethylamine, trimethylamine and

364 glycine betaine. All data are average of triplicate cultures. Protein formed was converted into dry mass assuming that protein represents 50% of

365 dry weight (34).

|                | Substrate consumed | Product formed<br>[mM] |     |         | Protein formed             | Growth yield                                |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----|---------|----------------------------|---|
| Substrate      | [mM]               | Ammonium               | DMG | Methane | -<br>[mg l <sup>-1</sup> ] | [g dw (mol<br>methyl group) <sup>-1</sup> ] |
| Methylamine    | 5.4                | 5.4                    |     | 3.1     | 5.81                       | 2.15  |
| Dimethylamine  | 5.1                | 5.1                    |     | 6.7     | 8.95                       | 1.75  |
| Trimethylamine | 4.9                | 4.9                    |     | 10.1    | 10.1                       | 1.37  |
| Betaine        | 5.4                |                        | 5.2 | 3.6     | 10.7                       | 3.96  |

| 68 | Table 2. Equations and free energies of reaction for the methanogenic degradation of glycine betaine to <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> -dimethylglycine (eq. 1), glycine                                 |
|----|---|
| 69 | betaine to glycine (eq. 2), N,N-dimethylglycine to glycine (eq. 3), sarcosine to glycine (eq. 4) and methanogenesis from methylamine (eq. 5).   |
| 70 | $\Delta G_{f}^{o}$ values for the single compounds were taken from Jankowski et al. (35, supplementary material). $\Delta G_{f}^{o}$ for glycine betaine (-129.8 kJ mol <sup>-</sup>          |
| 71 | <sup>1</sup> ), <i>N</i> , <i>N</i> -dimethylglycine (-306.6 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ) and sarcosine (-331.3 kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ) were estimated using the group contribution method described |
| 72 | Jankowski et al. (35). All values are calculated for standard conditions (298 K, pH 7, 1 atm) in aqueous systems and for the predominant ions at  |
| 73 | neutral pH.   |

| Eq. | Reaction  | $\Delta G_{o}$ '   |
|-----|---|--------------------|
| 1   | $4 (CH_3)_3 N^+ CH_2 COO^- + 2 H_2 O \rightarrow 4 (CH_3)_2 NH^+ CH_2 COO^- + 3 CH_4 + CO_2$  | -721.7 kJ/reaction |
| 2   | $4 (CH_3)_3N^+CH_2COO^- + 6 H_2O \rightarrow 4 H_3N^+CH_2COO^- + 9 CH_4 + 3 CO_2$   | -992.8 kJ/reaction |
| 3   | $2 (CH_3)_2 NH^+ CH_2 COO^- + 2 H_2 O \rightarrow 2 H_3 N^+ CH_2 COO^- + 3 CH_4 + CO_2$   | -135.5 kJ/reaction |
| 4   | 4 (CH <sub>3</sub> )NH <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> CH <sub>2</sub> COO <sup>-</sup> + 2 H <sub>2</sub> O $\rightarrow$ 4 H <sub>3</sub> N <sup>+</sup> CH <sub>2</sub> COO <sup>-</sup> + 3 CH <sub>4</sub> + CO <sub>2</sub> | -157.7 kJ/reaction |
| 5   | $4 (CH_3)NH_3^+ + 2 H_2O \rightarrow 4 NH_4^+ + 3 CH_4 + CO_2$  | -172.1 kJ/reaction |



