
Evaluation of the spoilage potential of bacteria isolated from spoiled cooked whole tropical shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) stored under modified atmosphere packaging

Sabrina Macé^{a, b, c}, Mireille Cardinal^c, Emmanuel Jaffrès^{a, b}, Josiane Cornet^c, Valérie Lalanne^{d, e},
Frédérique Chevalier^c, Thierry Sérot^{d, e}, Marie-France Pilet^{a, b}, Xavier Dousset^{a, b},
Jean-Jacques Joffraud^{c, *}

^a LUNAM Université, ONIRIS, Université Nantes, UMR1014 Secalim, Nantes, F-44307, France

^b INRA, Nantes, F-44307, France

^c Ifremer, Laboratoire Science et Technologie de la Biomasse Marine, BP 21105, 44311, Nantes Cedex 3, France

^d LUNAM Université, ONIRIS, Université Nantes, UMR 6144 GEPEA, Flavor Unit, F-44307, France

^e CNRS, Nantes, F-44307, France

*: Corresponding author : Sabrina Macé, tel.: +33 2 40374284 ; fax: +33 2 40374071 ;
email address : jean.jacques.joffraud@ifremer.fr

Abstract:

The spoilage potential of isolates belonging to five bacterial groups/species (*Shewanella baltica*, *Carnobacterium maltaromaticum*, *Aeromonas salmonicida*, *Vibrio* sp., "other *Gamma-Proteobacteria*" [containing one strain of *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. and one strain of *Psychrobacter* sp.]) isolated from spoiled cooked and whole tropical shrimp stored under modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) was evaluated by inoculation into ionized cooked and peeled tropical shrimp followed by storage for 32 days at 8°C. Microbial growth and sensory changes were monitored during the storage period. The major spoilage bacterial isolate groups were *C. maltaromaticum* and *S. baltica*. In order to characterize their spoilage potential further and to study the effect of their interactions, each of these two specific spoilage organisms (SSO) and one mixed-culture, *C. maltaromaticum*/*S. baltica*, were tested using a combination of complementary methods: molecular (PCR-TTGE), sensory, chemical, and conventional microbiological analyses. It was concluded that, in the mixed-culture-inoculated samples, both species groups imposed their spoilage characteristics.

Highlights

► Spoilage potential of 5 bacterial groups isolated from spoiled cooked whole shrimp. ► Specific spoilage organisms were identified among these bacterial groups. ► Characterization of spoilage potential and bacterial interactions of 2 dominant spoilers. ► In co-culture, each bacterial group imposed its spoilage characteristics.

Keywords : Spoilage potential ; bacterial interaction ; Specific Spoilage Organism ; cooked tropical shrimp ; volatile compounds

49 1. Introduction

50 Prawn and shrimp are the most important products from aquaculture: more than 3.7
51 million tons were produced in 2010 with a value of more than 16 billion US dollars.
52 Moreover, *Penaeus vannamei*, also called white leg shrimp, is the species most reared with
53 about 2.7 million tons in 2010 representing 11 billion US dollars on its own (FAO Fisheries
54 Statistics 2012). The current market trend is for the processing of value-added products like
55 cooked shrimp, which is very popular and widely sold in supermarkets as a chilled ready-to-
56 eat product under modified atmosphere packaging (MAP). Shrimp quality is essential to
57 maintain not only product value but also the reputation of the farmer, processor and country
58 (Bari *et al.*, 2011).

59 The spoilage bacterial species of a packaged product depend on its endogenous
60 microbiota, the processing undergone, the type of packaging (MAP, vacuum, aerobic, etc.)
61 and storage temperature.

62 While Nordic shrimp (*Pandalus borealis*) are cooked directly after catching and then
63 frozen (sometimes after being peeled), tropical shrimp (*Penaeus* sp.) are generally frozen
64 immediately after catching and sold to the processor (Leroi and Joffraud, 2011). It has been
65 known for a long time that processing practices influence microbial count and shrimp
66 microbiota composition. Cooking is the major step to reduce shrimp microbial load but
67 peeling and brining lead to a recontamination dominated by Gram-positive bacteria (Harrison
68 and Lee, 1968). Gram-positive bacteria such as lactic acid bacteria (*Carnobacterium* sp,
69 *Enterococcus* sp., etc.) and *Brochothrix thermosphacta* have been identified as the major
70 spoilage flora of MAP brined and drained cooked shrimp and non-drained MAP cooked
71 peeled shrimp (Dalgaard *et al.*, 2003; Mejlholm *et al.*, 2005; Jaffrès *et al.*, 2009).

72 At the onset of spoilage, the product contains some bacteria that are involved in
73 spoilage, the so-called specific spoilage organisms (SSO), and others that grow without

74 causing unpleasant changes. To identify the SSO among the bacterial groups present at the
75 time of spoilage, the impact of bacterial isolates on the chemical and sensory characteristics
76 obtained on inoculated products should be compared to those of naturally spoiled products.
77 These experiments enable the spoilage potential of microorganisms to be determined, i.e. their
78 ability to produce metabolites resulting in off-odours or off-flavours (Gram *et al.*, 2002;
79 Dalgaard, 2006).

80 Enhancing our knowledge of the SSO for specific seafood products will lead to the
81 development of better detection methods, shelf-life predictions and preservation techniques,
82 thereby reducing losses due to spoilage and improving seafood quality (Dalgaard, 2000).

83 Several studies have focused on MAP cooked and peeled tropical shrimp spoilage or
84 MAP brined and drained shrimp spoilage (Dalgaard, 1995; Dalgaard *et al.*, 2003; Mejlholm *et*
85 *al.*, 2005; Laursen *et al.*, 2006; Jaffrès *et al.*, 2009; Nosedá *et al.*, 2010; Jaffrès *et al.*, 2011;
86 Nosedá *et al.*, 2012) but this present study is the first to investigate the spoilage potential of
87 bacteria isolated from whole tropical shrimp.

88 Our aim was to identify the SSO dominating MAP stored cooked whole tropical
89 shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) and to highlight the interactions between these species. The
90 ability to spoil was investigated for different bacterial groups previously isolated from whole
91 spoiled cooked tropical shrimp; and then the spoilage potential of the strongest spoiling
92 bacterial isolate groups was further characterized.

93

94

95 **2. Materials and Methods**

96

97 This study was conducted in two steps. In the first step, five different bacterial groups
98 previously isolated from spoiled whole tropical shrimp were inoculated in a shrimp model

99 system by monitoring bacterial and sensory changes throughout the storage period. In the
100 second step, to investigate the interaction among spoilage bacteria, binary cultures combining
101 the two main spoilage bacterial isolates were inoculated into the shrimp model system and
102 characterized by molecular (PCR-TTGE), sensory, chemical, and conventional
103 microbiological analyses.

104

105 **2.1. Bacterial isolates**

106 All isolates tested were previously isolated from four different batches of spoiled
107 cooked whole tropical shrimp obtained from a local plant and packaged under MAP (50%
108 CO₂/50% N₂) and stored according to the shelf-life validation protocol adopted by the
109 company (8 days at 2°C then 6-8 days at 8°C). All isolates were identified by 16S rRNA gene
110 partial sequencing (700 bp) and found to belong to different genera or species distributed in
111 five different bacterial groups: *Shewanella baltica*, *C. maltaromaticum*, *Aeromonas*
112 *salmonicida*, *Vibrio* sp., “other *Gamma-Proteobacteria*” (containing one strain of
113 *Pseudoalteromonas* sp. and one strain of *Psychrobacter* sp.). Each group was represented by
114 2 to 6 isolates of the same species depending on the group (except for the “other *Gamma-*
115 *Proteobacteria*” group which was composed of two different species) (Table 1).

116

117 **2.2. Challenge tests**

118 Cooked and peeled tropical shrimp from Colombia (South America) (about 7 kg) were
119 cooked by the manufacturer and directly transported to the laboratory. They were packaged
120 under vacuum in 1 kg bags, frozen at -80°C then sterilized and thawed before the experiment
121 as described by Macé *et al* (2013). Isolates were pre-cultured individually in brain heart
122 infusion broth (BHI) (Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) at 20°C until their maximal
123 concentration (7-9 log (CFU g⁻¹)) was reached (1-3 d). Cultures of several isolates belonging

124 to the same species were pooled in a sterile vial and diluted in sterile peptone water (0.85%
125 NaCl and 0.1% peptone), in order to achieve an inoculation mixture containing 4 log
126 (CFU.ml⁻¹). Each inoculation mixture (36 mL) was sprayed onto a batch of approximately 1.2
127 kg of the shrimp model system placed in a laminar flow hood to reach an inoculated level of
128 about 3 log (CFU.g⁻¹). A control was prepared by inoculating the ionized shrimp matrix with
129 sterile water. Each batch of inoculated shrimp and the non-inoculated control were placed in 5
130 plastic trays, each containing ~240 g portions (one for each analysis date), and packaged
131 under modified atmosphere (50% CO₂ and 50% N₂) using a Multivac T 200 machine
132 (Hagenmüller, Wolfertschwenden, Germany) and a low gas permeability film (low density
133 polyethylene, LDPE, LINPAC Plastics, permeability: O₂< 5 cm³/m².24 h.bar, CO₂< 25
134 cm³/m².24 h.bar). All batches of inoculated shrimp and the control were stored at 8°C for 32
135 days. Sensory and chemical analyses were carried out after 1, 8, 15, 26 and 32 days.
136 Microbiological analyses were performed for up to 15 days to verify that all the groups were
137 well implanted on the matrix.

138 For the next stage (named further characterization of spoilage potential), two isolate
139 groups determined as being the main spoilers by the preliminary characterization step were
140 inoculated singly or in co-cultures. Cultures were inoculated as previously described on the
141 pauci-microbial shrimp model system to achieve initial levels of 3 log (CFU.g⁻¹). A non-
142 inoculated control was also prepared. Each different batch of shrimp and the control were
143 divided into 18 plastic trays (around 200 g portions) and packaged as described before. All
144 batches were stored at 8°C for 18 days. After 1, 4, 8, 11, 15 and 18 days, samples were
145 subjected to sensory, chemical and microbiological analyses.

146

147 **2.3. Enumeration of inoculated isolates**

148 At each sampling date of the preliminary characterization, one tray of the different
149 batches was used for microbiological count using Brain Heart Infusion Agar medium (BHI) as
150 described by Macé *et al* (2013).

151 For further characterization of the spoilage potential, three trays of the different
152 batches were used for microbiological analysis at each sampling date. From each package, a
153 10 g portion was aseptically weighed and the three portions were pooled, treated and
154 enumerated as described previously on Brain Heart Infusion Agar medium and pour-plated on
155 Iron Agar (IA). For co-cultured groups, the species were distinguished on IA plates by their
156 morphological and coloration differences: *S. baltica*, which is an H₂S-producing bacterium,
157 produces black colonies on IA.

158

159 **2.4. Sensory analysis**

160 Sessions were performed in individual partitioned booths, as described in the
161 procedure NF V-09-105 (AFNOR, 1987), equipped with a computerized system (Fizz,
162 Biosystèmes, Couternon, France). All the samples were frozen after microbiological analysis
163 and kept frozen at -80°C until sensory evaluation. An experimental design was constructed
164 for the sensory sessions in order to balance for contrast effects (bacterial groups and time of
165 storage). Principal component analysis (PCA) with standardization was performed on the
166 means of the scores for each sensory descriptor and spoilage intensity for further
167 characterization. Multivariate data processing was carried out with Uniwin Plus 6.1 software
168 (Sigma Plus).

169 Sensory analysis was carried out by an internal panel of IFREMER, experienced in the
170 evaluation of seafood, especially of tropical shrimp (Jaffrès *et al.*, 2009 and 2011). For each
171 assessor, portions of 3-4 shrimp were placed in plastic containers with lids to keep the odours
172 intact.

173 Nine trained panellists, selected according to their sensory capacities, participated in
174 the preliminary characterization of spoilage potential. One tray per batch was opened.
175 Panellists scored the spoilage level on a continuous scale from 0 to 10 and chose two main
176 characteristic odours from the following descriptors selected during preliminary sessions: rice,
177 crustacean, surimi, marine/iodine, milky, butter/caramel, floor cloth, pyrrolidine, dirt/mould,
178 amine, acid, sour/fermented, feet/cheese, cabbage/sulphur, nothing. The products were
179 considered strongly spoiled when they reached a score of 6.

180 For further characterization of the spoilage potential, three trays per batch were used
181 and 12 trained panellists participated in this experiment. A quantitative descriptive analysis
182 (QDA) described by (Stone and Sidel, 2004) was used to determine the sensory profile (ISO,
183 2003) of each batch inoculated with each species and co-cultured species. First, panellists had
184 to score the spoilage level on a continuous scale from 0 to 10 and then using the following
185 appropriate odour descriptors considered pertinent during the previous step: overall intensity,
186 rice, crustacean, milky, butter/caramel, pyrrolidine, amine, acid/ vinegar, sour/fermented,
187 feet/cheese, cabbage/sulphur.

188 A two-way analysis of variance was applied to the panellists' scores for spoilage
189 intensity with products (strain) and panellists as independent factors. Significant differences
190 between means were determined using Duncan's multiple range test ($p < 0.05$) (Fizz
191 software).

192

193 **2.5. Chemical analysis**

194 Total Volatile Basic Nitrogen (TVBN) measurements were only performed in the
195 further characterization stage. At each sampling date, 150 g of inoculated cooked and peeled
196 tropical shrimp were analysed as described by Macé *et al* (2013).

197

198 **2.6. Analysis of volatile compounds**

199 During further characterization of spoilage potential, at day 1 and day 18, volatile
200 compounds produced by the non-inoculated control sample and the *S. baltica*-inoculated
201 sample were analysed using a gas chromatography device, model GC 7890A, Agilent
202 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA) coupled with a mass spectrometer 5975 C VL
203 (Agilent) and flame ionization detector after solid-phase microextraction (SPME/GC–MS–
204 FID). The extraction and injection processes were performed automatically using an
205 autosampler MPS 2 (Gerstel, Mülheim, Germany). Briefly, volatile compounds of 5-g
206 portions of shrimp sample were analysed as described by Jaffrès *et al* (2011) with some
207 adjustments: vial heating for 40 min (instead of 50 min), Stable Flex™ exposure in the
208 headspace of the vial for 30 min (instead of 25 min) and maximum oven temperature of
209 240°C (instead of 280°C).

210 Compounds were identified by comparison of mass spectra with a reference database
211 (Wiley 6.0), and by comparison of mass spectra and linear retention indexes (LRI) with those
212 of standards injected in the same conditions.
213 Mean values of sample peak areas were compared by analysis of variance to identify specific
214 spoilage volatile compounds. ANOVA processing was performed with Statgraphics Plus 5.1
215 software (Statistical Graphics Corp.). Data were reported as log (peak area/g) for each specific
216 compound detected.

217

218 **2.7. Temporal Temperature Gel Electrophoresis (TTGE) analysis on co-cultured** 219 **inoculated samples**

220 The suspension prepared for bacteriological analysis was used to obtain molecular
221 fingerprints from the shrimp matrix inoculated with co-culture isolate groups. Bacterial DNA
222 extraction was performed as described previously by Jaffrès *et al.*, 2009.

223 Bacterial DNA from the inoculated shrimp matrix was analysed by PCR-TTGE as
224 described previously by Jaffrès *et al.*, 2009. Standardization, analysis and comparison of
225 TTGE fingerprints were monitored using BioNumerics Software, version 6.0 (Applied Maths
226 NV, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium) as described by Macé *et al.*, 2012.

227

228 **3. Results**

229 **3.1 Preliminary characterization of spoilage potential**

230 **3.1.1. Enumeration of the different bacterial groups**

231 Figure 1 shows the mean growth pattern of the five different bacterial groups. The
232 ionized control was little contaminated, about 0.7 log CFU.g⁻¹ at inoculation time, and thus
233 considered a pauci-microbial matrix. The initial counts of the inoculated samples were
234 between 2.6 to 4.2 (CFU.g⁻¹). Growth of bacterial groups reached between 5.4 and 8.5 log
235 (CFU.g⁻¹) after 8 days of storage. At 15 days of storage, all the bacterial groups rose to levels
236 ranging between 6.3 (“other *Gamma-Proteobacteria*” group) and 9.9 log (CFU.g⁻¹) (*C.*
237 *maltaromaticum*) and were considered well implanted.

238

239 **3.1.2 Sensory characteristics of inoculated cooked tropical shrimp**

240 Figure 2 presents the spoilage kinetics of the different inoculated samples. Although
241 the results are not always significantly different due to differences between panellist
242 responses, a trend can be observed for each sample. After 1 day of storage, all samples were
243 considered non-spoiled, with a score of about 2 out of 10 (Figure 2). At day 8, the spoilage
244 samples had increased and samples inoculated with two bacterial groups, *C. maltaromaticum*
245 or *A. salmonicida*, were considered strongly spoiled with a grade above 6.

246 After 15 days, two samples inoculated with *C. maltaromaticum* or *S. baltica* were
247 assessed as strongly spoiled with a score of around 7 or 8, respectively. Those inoculated with

248 *A. salmonicida* appeared less spoiled until the end of storage with scores decreasing from 5.5
249 (15 days) to 4.6 (26 days) and finally to 4.3 (32 days). *Vibrio* sp.- or “other *Gamma-*
250 *Proteobacteria*”-inoculated samples remained lightly spoiled with a score between 4 and 5.
251 The ionized control sample was not considered spoiled throughout the storage period. Its
252 spoilage score reached 3 at the end of storage, probably due to the remaining endogenous
253 bacteria.

254 After 8 days of storage, the inoculated batches exhibited different typical characteristic
255 odours. For each bacterial group, the main sample off-odours, as quoted by at least 3
256 panellists, are listed in Table 2. Sour and cabbage/sulphur were determined as typical odours
257 for *S. baltica*-inoculated samples during all the storage, while sour and feet/cheese
258 characterized *C. maltaromaticum*-inoculated spoiled samples. The main odours of *A.*
259 *salmonicida*-inoculated samples changed from butter and pyrrolidine during the first 8 days of
260 storage to a mix of several odours like sour, cabbage/sulphur, butter, amine, feet/cheese at the
261 end. Crustacean odour, linked to fresh shrimp, was found at first on *Vibrio* sp.-inoculated
262 samples but then sour, acid and cabbage/sulphur odours prevailed. After 15 days of storage,
263 “other *Gamma-Proteobacteria*”-inoculated samples displayed feet/cheese odours, which were
264 replaced by a sour odour at the end. Throughout storage, non-inoculated sample displayed a
265 mix of typical fresh (crustacean, rice, nothing) and spoiled shrimp odours (cabbage/sulphur,
266 sour/fermented, acid, feet/cheese).

267 Bacterial isolates belonging to *C. maltaromaticum* and *S. baltica* were responsible for
268 the strongest spoilage odours detected and were considered to be the main spoilage bacteria in
269 the product throughout storage. Hence, their spoilage potential was subjected to further
270 characterization. It can be noticed that, despite reaching grade 6 at day 8, *A. salmonicida* was
271 not considered a strong spoiler because its spoilage intensity decreased after 8 days of storage.

272

273 3.2 Further characterization of spoilage potential

274 3.2.1. Spoilage potential of single-species groups

275 Figure 4 shows the spoilage kinetic trends of the inoculated samples. The ionized
276 control presented weak bacterial counts, from 2.3 to 3.7 log (CFU.g⁻¹), throughout the storage
277 (Figure 3) and was thus considered a pauci-microbial matrix. The control sample was scored
278 “non-spoiled” during the entire storage period (18 days) with scores between 0 and 2 (Figure
279 4).

280 The principal component analysis (PCA) presented in Figure 5 was performed on the
281 mean scores of profiling tests and summarizes the evolution of the main odour characteristics.
282 The simultaneous projection of samples and sensory descriptors is shown on the first 1-2
283 plane. The first axis (64.4% of the information) enables the spoilage level of each sample to
284 be visualized. It divides the typical odours of freshness of non-spoiled products, on the left,
285 from the spoiled samples, on the right, around typical off-odours of cooked tropical shrimp
286 with sour, feet/cheese, etc. The second axis, representing 16.5% of the information, is mainly
287 created by butter, sulphur and pyrrolidine descriptors and enables the visualization of samples
288 presenting the same spoilage characteristics. All the control samples, from day 1 to day 18,
289 are on the left part of this Figure, surrounding the unspoiled inoculated samples and
290 presenting crustacean and rice odours characteristic of freshness.

291 The results of viable counts of samples inoculated with the two different bacterial
292 isolate groups and their co-culture are shown in Figure 3. *C. maltaromaticum* counts were
293 initially 3.4 log (CFU.g⁻¹) then rapid growth was observed reaching more than 6.5 log
294 (CFU.g⁻¹) after 4 days of storage. At 8 days of storage, *C. maltaromaticum* concentration was
295 8.9 log (CFU.g⁻¹) and increased to 9.6 log (CFU.g⁻¹) at the end of storage. The sample
296 spoilage score rose to 5.7 after 8 days of storage to reach a maximum of about 6.6 after 11
297 days before decreasing slowly to 5.4 at the end of storage (Figure 4). These samples exhibited

298 mainly sour, acid and feet/cheese odours after 11, 15 and 18 days of storage (Figure 5) while
299 the sample at 8 days displayed a butter odour. TVBN production was induced by *C.*
300 *maltaromaticum* and reached 60 mg-N 100 g⁻¹ at the end of storage (Figure 6).

301 *S. baltica* were inoculated at around 2 log (CFU.g⁻¹) (Figure 3). A slow growth was
302 observed, reaching about 5.6 log (CFU.g⁻¹) after 8 days of storage and achieved progressively
303 a maximum of 8.7 (CFU.g⁻¹) at the end of storage. The samples were considered unspoiled
304 until the 8th day of storage, lightly spoiled after the 11th day with a score of 4.3 and almost
305 strongly spoiled with a score of 5.9 after 18 days of storage (Figure 4). After 11 days of
306 storage, these samples displayed sulphur, pyrrolidine and amine spoilage odours (Figure 5).
307 An increase in TVBN production was observed with a maximal level of 50 mg-N 100 g⁻¹ at
308 the end of storage (Figure 6).

309 The *S. baltica* group, considered one of the spoiling groups tested here, was included
310 in the volatile compound study using SPME/GC-MS-FID. Approximately 40 compounds
311 were identified in the sample tested. Statistical treatment (p value < 0.05) was used to
312 compare the non-inoculated control sample stored for 1 and 18 days, the newly *S. baltica*-
313 inoculated sample (1 day of storage) and spoiled sample (18 days). Hence, 18 compounds
314 exhibiting a specific behaviour on the spoiled sample tested were determined (Table 3).
315 Among them, 14 were only detected in samples spoiled by *S. baltica*: butanol,
316 isoamylalcohol, 1-hexanol, 2-hexenal, acetic acid, isovaleric acid, isobutyric acid, 2-
317 propanone (acetone), 2-heptanone, 3-hydroxybutanone (acetoin), 2-nonanone, 2-decanone,
318 methyl-P-tert-butyl phenyl acetate and p-menthane. 1-pentanol (amylalcohol), 1-penten-3-ol
319 and methyl mercaptan production increased slightly in *S. baltica*-inoculated samples after 18
320 days of storage compared to the three other samples (p < 0.05). Ethanol presented a small
321 decrease in the *S. baltica* spoiled samples (p < 0.05).

322

323 3.2.2 Spoilage potential of mixed-species group

324 In the presence of *S. baltica*, *C. maltaromaticum* presented quite similar bacterial
325 growth compared to the monoculture (except that its inoculation level was lower). In the
326 presence of *C. maltaromaticum*, *S. baltica* growth was faster and reached about 7.4 log
327 (CFU.g⁻¹) after 8 days of storage (Figure 3).

328 Co-culture samples gave the maximum production of TVBN with about 63 mg-N 100
329 g⁻¹ observed among all the samples tested in this study (Figure 6).

330 These samples presented the same spoilage behaviour as *C. maltaromaticum* single-
331 species samples and were strongly spoiled at the same time (Figure 4). However, their
332 characteristic odours were closer to those of *S. baltica*-inoculated samples with sulphur,
333 amine and a stronger pyrrolidine odour, except for the co-inoculated sample at day 8 which
334 presented a butter odour (Figure 5).

335 TTGE analysis enabled microbiota dynamics to be visualized by examining
336 fingerprints of the dominant bacterial groups evolving during storage in co-culture-inoculated
337 samples. In order to analyse the TTGE patterns, fingerprints of the different samples were
338 compared with those of pure isolates involved in each bacterial group: *C. maltaromaticum*,
339 and *S. baltica*. In fact, these species are present in different seafood products and some of
340 them have already been studied by PCR-TTGE in previous studies (Macé *et al.*, 2012; Macé
341 *et al.*, 2013). Concerning *S. baltica*, two pure isolates were used for assignation because their
342 TTGE profiles present several different bands.

343 *C. maltaromaticum* and *S. baltica* co-culture TTGE patterns are shown in Figure 7.
344 Patterns of isolates visualized in any of the samples after 1 and 4 days of storage did not
345 correspond to pure profiles of isolates. They are assumed to be endogenous bacteria present
346 on the ionized sample (data not shown). By comparing band migration positions, *C.*
347 *maltaromaticum* was assigned in 5 samples after 4, 8, 11, 15 and 18 days of storage. Typical

348 *S. baltica* bands were visualized in 4 samples, from 8 days until the end of storage but
349 presented only faint bands

350

351 **4. Discussion**

352 Contrary to the description of Gram-positive bacteria as the main spoilage organisms
353 in previous studies, here, cooked whole tropical shrimp spoilage microbiota were found to be
354 dominated by *S. baltica* in association with *C. maltaromaticum* (data not shown). It has
355 already been demonstrated that Gram-negative bacteria dominate shrimp microbiota after
356 cooking but before peeling (Harrison and Lee, 1968). Gram-negative bacteria are also a major
357 part of raw shrimp spoilage bacteria stored in ice (Jeyasekaran *et al.*, 2006). A recent study
358 concerning MAP cooked and peeled North Atlantic grey shrimp (*Crangon crangon*) also
359 described H₂S-producing bacteria and LAB as dominant flora at the end of storage (Nosedá *et*
360 *al.*, 2012).

361 All bacterial groups present on the spoiled product were not involved in spoilage
362 (Dalgaard, 2000; Gram *et al.*, 2002). For example, in the preliminary characterization of
363 spoilage potential, only two of the five bacterial groups tested were considered to be strong
364 spoilers, namely: *C. maltaromaticum* and *S. baltica* after 8 and 15 days of storage,
365 respectively, at 8°C. A mix of several isolates per species was used to avoid an isolate effect
366 commonly described for spoilage bacteria (Joffraud *et al.*, 2006; Laursen *et al.*, 2006).

367 For each single-species group tested in the preliminary and further characterization
368 steps, the spoilage of the inoculated sample started after a certain bacterial concentration level
369 corresponding to the stationary phase according to the SSO concept (Dalgaard, 2000). *C.*
370 *maltaromaticum*-inoculated samples were spoiled when bacterial counts reached between 8
371 and 9 log (CFU.g⁻¹). The same bacterial level was observed for cooked and peeled tropical
372 shrimp and salmon spoilage by *C. maltaromaticum* (Jaffrès *et al.*, 2011; Macé *et al.*, 2013). It

373 was determined that high concentrations of *S. putrefaciens* (8-9 log (CFU.g⁻¹)) are required to
374 produce off-odours in seafood (Dalgaard, 1995). In the present study, the same bacterial level
375 was observed in samples spoiled by *S. baltica*.

376 Some *C. maltaromaticum* strains present spoilage ability on several seafood products,
377 notably cooked shrimp (Laursen *et al.*, 2005; Mejlholm *et al.*, 2005; Laursen *et al.*, 2006;
378 Leisner *et al.*, 2007; Jaffrès *et al.*, 2011). Sour, feet/cheese, milky, and/or butter odour were
379 noticed in this study for *C. maltaromaticum*-inoculated spoiled samples but these off-odours
380 were also associated with this species during characterization of cooked and peeled tropical
381 shrimp, raw salmon and cold-smoked salmon spoilage (Joffraud *et al.*, 2001; Jaffrès *et al.*,
382 2011; Macé *et al.*, 2013). Laursen *et al.* (2006) linked *C. maltaromaticum* off-odours to malty,
383 nutty, nauseous sweet odours but also to sour odour on an inoculated cooked shrimp sample.

384 *S. putrefaciens* and *S. putrefaciens*-like bacteria, such as *S. baltica*, play an important
385 role in seafood spoilage and produce spoilage compounds like TMA and sulphur compounds
386 like H₂S which lead to fishy and sulphur odours. They are able to develop on ice-stored
387 products like seafood (Papadopoulos *et al.*, 2003; Vogel *et al.*, 2005; Dalgaard, 2006) and
388 have also been identified as part of the spoilage microbiota of MAP seafood (Hovda *et al.*,
389 2007; Tryfinopoulou *et al.*, 2007). The production of sulphur compounds could be linked to
390 the typical cabbage/sulphur odours of *S. baltica* spoiled samples.

391 The spoilage potential of these two bacterial isolate groups was thus studied singly or
392 in combination using a multi-parameter approach to investigate any interaction between the
393 species in terms of growth, chemical changes and sensory evolution. This type of approach
394 has been used in several works on raw and cold-smoked salmon (Jorgensen *et al.*, 2000;
395 Joffraud *et al.*, 2006; Macé *et al.*, 2013) and on shrimp (Mejlholm *et al.*, 2005; Laursen *et al.*,
396 2006).

397 Few growth differences were found between bacteria in co-culture and alone in the
398 matrix. In the presence of *S. baltica*, *C. maltaromaticum* was not inhibited and still grew quite
399 quickly on cooked tropical shrimp, reaching about 9 log (CFU.g⁻¹) in 8 days. In other cooked
400 shrimp challenge tests, it has already been observed that *C. maltaromaticum* grows to high
401 concentrations after about 10 days of storage, alone or in co-culture with *Brochothrix*
402 *thermosphacta* (Mejlholm *et al.*, 2005; Laursen *et al.*, 2006; Jaffrès *et al.*, 2011). TTGE
403 analyses enabled the dominant group evolution to be visualized during storage. Fingerprints
404 of co-inoculated samples displayed the dominance of the *C. maltaromaticum* isolate group
405 and its faster implantation in the matrix.

406 *S. baltica* growth seemed to be accelerated by the presence of *C. maltaromaticum* with
407 a bacterial concentration of about 7.4 log (CFU.g⁻¹) in co-culture compared to 5.6 log (CFU.g⁻¹)
408 in mono-culture after 8 days. This could be due to metabiosis behaviour between the two
409 species favouring *S. baltica* growth (Gram *et al.*, 2002).

410 The spoilage kinetics of co-inoculated samples corresponded to *C. maltaromaticum*
411 samples behaviour whereas their off-odours were closer to those of *S. baltica* samples with a
412 stronger pyrrolidine odour. The increase in intensity of this odour was perhaps due to an
413 interaction between metabolites produced by the two bacterial groups. The same kind of
414 observation appeared for *C. maltaromaticum*- and *B. thermosphacta*-inoculated shrimp
415 samples, where a particular odour was formed only when they were co-cultured (Mejlholm *et*
416 *al.*, 2005; Laursen *et al.*, 2006). The modification of bacterial metabolism in co-cultures
417 (metabiosis) is frequently involved in spoilage mechanisms (Jorgensen *et al.*, 2000; Gram *et*
418 *al.*, 2002). For example, Jorgensen *et al.*, (2000) demonstrated that the spoilage activity and
419 biogenic amine production of *H. alvei* was enhanced in the presence of LAB.

420 During the last few years, several studies have shown a correlation between the release
421 of spoilage volatile compounds and the development of specific microbial species during

422 storage of seafood products (Jorgensen *et al.*, 2001; Joffraud *et al.*, 2001; Wierda *et al.*, 2006)
423 and notably of shrimp (Laursen *et al.*, 2006; Jaffrès *et al.*, 2011; Nosedá *et al.*, 2012). Volatile
424 compounds produced by one of the dominant spoilers, *S. baltica*, were investigated in this
425 study. We chose to focus on isolates of this species because volatile compound production by
426 *C. maltaromaticum* isolates on cooked tropical shrimp product has already been studied
427 recently (Jaffrès *et al.*, 2011). As several origins are possible for these volatile metabolites, it
428 is difficult to attribute them to a specific pathway but some hypotheses can be made. 3-
429 hydroxybutanone is an interesting compound resulting from microorganism glycogen
430 catabolism (Joffraud *et al.*, 2001; Laursen *et al.*, 2006). Acetic acid production during
431 spoilage of shrimp has already been related to microbial growth (Nosedá *et al.*, 2012) and it
432 can be produced in different metabolic pathways (Joffraud *et al.*, 2001). *Shewanella* has been
433 assumed to be involved in acetone production on spoiled shrimp (Nosedá *et al.*, 2012).
434 Sulphur components, such as methyl mercaptan, play an important role in shrimp spoilage
435 (Nosedá *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, methyl mercaptan is produced by *Shewanella* species and
436 results from the breakdown of methionine but it can also be an intermediate product of the
437 metabiosis of dimethylsulphide and dimethyldisulphide (Nosedá *et al.*, 2012). In this study,
438 methyl mercaptan production is described in *S. baltica* spoiled sample and it is the only
439 compound which can be linked to the sulphur/cabbage odour of this sample (Fenaroli, 2001).
440 Methyl mercaptan could be an interesting spoilage marker for MAP cooked whole tropical
441 shrimp, even though the sensory profile of seafood products depends on a combination of
442 different compounds rather than a single one (Laursen *et al.*, 2006; Jaffrès *et al.*, 2011).

443

444 **Conclusion**

445 The results of these challenge-test studies show that two isolate groups from five
446 bacterial groups associated with cooked whole tropical shrimp stored under MAP were

447 determined as fast and strong spoilers: *C. maltaromaticum* and *S. baltica*. Their assessment
448 alone or in co-culture, using a multi-parametric approach, demonstrated that *C.*
449 *maltaromaticum* is one of the SSO of cooked whole tropical shrimp. In fact, this bacterial
450 spoiler was able to cause rapid and strong spoilage. Therefore, this work has also contributed
451 to characterizing the spoilage potential of bacterial species isolates in association and to
452 highlight that interaction between *C. maltaromaticum* and *S. baltica* can enhance the intensity
453 of the pyrrolidine odour of a cooked shrimp sample. Moreover, in co-inoculated samples,
454 each species group imposes its characteristics: the spoilage kinetics of *C. maltaromaticum* and
455 the odours of *S. baltica*. In order to understand the mechanism involved in these interactions,
456 more detailed investigations are required. However, this work is a first step towards a better
457 comprehension of the natural spoilage of cooked whole tropical shrimp.

458

459 **Acknowledgements**

460 This study was carried out as part of the MIPROMER programme, managed by Pôle
461 Agronomique Ouest (PAO). Sabrina Macé's PhD thesis is financially supported by the
462 regions of Bretagne and Pays de la Loire and by Oniris (Nantes). We thank STBM department
463 member Sandrine Bruzac for chemical analyses and the sensory panel for their contribution.

464

465 **References**

466

467 AFNOR. (1987). Normalisation française. Analyse sensorielle. Directives générales pour
468 l'implantation de locaux destinés à l'analyse sensorielle V09-105.

469

- 470 Bari, L., Yeasmin, S., Kawamoto, S., & Isshiki, K. (2011). Microbiological safety and quality
471 of processed shrimp and fishes In: R., M.D.R., (Ed.), Aquaculture microbiology and
472 biotechnology, vol. 2. Science Publisher, New Hampshire. 74-100.
- 473
- 474 Dalgaard, P. (1995). Qualitative and quantitative characterization of spoilage bacteria from
475 packed fish. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 26, 319-333.
- 476
- 477 Dalgaard, P. (2000). Fresh and lightly preserved seafood In: Man, C.D., Jones, A.A., (Eds.),
478 Shelf life Evaluation of Foods (2nd edition). Aspen Publishing Inc, Maryland, USA. 110-139.
- 479
- 480 Dalgaard, P., Vancanneyt, M., Euras Vilalta, N., Swings, J., Fruekilde, P., Leisner, J.J. 2003.
481 Identification of lactic acid bacteria from spoilage associations of cooked and brined shrimps
482 stored under modified atmosphere between 0°C and 25°C. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*
483 94, 80-89
- 484
- 485 Dalgaard, P. (2006). Microbiology of marine muscle foods. In: Hui, Y.H., (Ed.), Handbook of
486 Food Science, Technology and Engineering, vol. 1. Taylor & Francis CRC Press, USA. 53-51
- 487
- 488 FAO Fisheries Statistics (2012). Global Aquaculture Production 1950-2010 (online query :
489 <http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/global-aquaculture-production/query/en>).
- 490 Fenaroli. 2001. Fenaroli's Handbook of Flavor Ingredients 4th Edition. CRC Press, Orlando,
491 Florida, USA ed.
- 492

- 493 Gram, L., Ravn, L., Rasch, M., Bruhn, J.B., Christensen, A.B., & Givskov, M. (2002). Food
494 spoilage-interactions between food spoilage bacteria. *International Journal of Food*
495 *Microbiology* 78, 79-97.
- 496
- 497 Harrison, J.M. & Lee, J.S. (1968). Microbiological evaluation of pacific shrimp processing.
498 *Applied Microbiology* 18, 188-192.
- 499
- 500 Hovda, M.B., Sivertsvik, M., Lunestad, B.T., Lorentzen, G., & Rosnes, J.T. (2007).
501 Characterisation of the dominant bacterial population in modified atmosphere packaged
502 farmed halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*) based on 16S rDNA-DGGE. *Food Microbiology*
503 24, 362-371.
- 504
- 505 ISO, I.O.f.S. (2003). Sensory analysis, methodology. General guidance for establishing a
506 sensory profile, ISO 13299, Geneva, Switzerland
- 507
- 508 Jaffrès, E., Lalanne, V., Macé, S., Cornet, J., Cardinal, M., Sérot, T., Dousset, X., & Joffraud,
509 J.J. (2011). Sensory characteristics of spoilage and volatile compounds associated with
510 bacteria isolated from cooked and peeled tropical shrimps using SPME-GC-MS analysis.
511 *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 147, 195-202.
- 512
- 513 Jaffrès, E., Sohier, D., Leroi, F., Pilet, M.F., Prévost, H., Joffraud, J.J., & Dousset, X. (2009).
514 Study of the bacterial ecosystem in tropical cooked and peeled shrimps using a polyphasic
515 approach. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 131, 20-29.
- 516

- 517 Jeyasekaran, G., Ganesan, P., Anandaraj, R., Jeya Shakila, R., & Sukumar, D. (2006).
518 Quantitative and qualitative studies on the bacteriological quality of Indian white shrimp
519 (*Penaeus indicus*) stored in dry ice. *Food Microbiology* 23, 526-533.
520
- 521 Joffraud, J.J., Cardinal, M., Cornet, J., Chasles, J.S., Leon, S., Gigout, F., & Leroi, F. (2006).
522 Effect of bacterial interactions on the spoilage of cold-smoked salmon. *International Journal*
523 *of Food Microbiology* 112, 51-61.
524
- 525 Joffraud, J.J., Leroi, F., Roy, C., & Berdagué, J.L. (2001). Characterisation of volatile
526 compounds produced by bacteria isolated from the spoilage flora of cold-smoked salmon.
527 *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 66, 175-184.
528
- 529 Jorgensen, L.V., Huss, H.H., & Dalgaard, P. (2000). The effect of biogenic amine production
530 by single bacterial cultures and metabiosis on cold-smoked salmon. *Journal of Applied*
531 *Microbiology* 89, 920-934.
532
- 533 Jorgensen, L.V., Huss, H.H., & Dalgaard, P. (2001). Significance of volatils coumpound
534 produced by spoilage bacteria in vacuum-packed cold smoked salmon (*Salmo salar*) analyzed
535 by GC-MS and multivariate regression. *Journal of Food Chemistry* 49, 2376-2381.
536
- 537
- 538 Laursen, B.G., Bay, L., Cleenwerck, I., Vancanneyt, M., Swings, J., Dalgaard, P., & Leisner,
539 J.J. (2005). *Carnobacterium divergens* and *Carnobacterium maltaromaticum* as spoilers or
540 protective cultures in meat and seafood: phenotypic and genotypic characterization.
541 *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 28, 151-164.

542

543 Laursen, B.G., Leisner, J.J., & Dalgaard, P. (2006). *Carnobacterium* species: effect of
544 metabolic activity and interaction with *Brochothrix thermosphacta* on sensory characteristics
545 of modified atmosphere packed shrimp. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 54,
546 3604-3611.

547

548 Leisner, J.J., Laursen, B.G., Prévost, H., Drider, D., & Dalgaard, P. (2007). *Carnobacterium*:
549 positive and negative effects in the environment and in foods. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*
550 31, 592-613.

551

552 Leroi, F. & Joffraud, J.-J. (2011). Microbial degradation of seafood. In: R., M.D.R., (Ed.),
553 *Aquaculture microbiology and biotechnology*, vol. 2. Science Publisher, New Hampshire. 47-
554 72.

555

556 Macé, S., Cornet, J., Chevalier, F., Cardinal, M., Pilet, M.-F., Dousset, X., & Joffraud, J.-J.
557 (2012). Characterisation of the spoilage microbiota in raw salmon (*Salmo salar*) steaks stored
558 under vacuum or modified atmosphere packaging combining conventional methods and PCR-
559 TTGE. *Food Microbiology* 30, 164-172.

560

561 Macé, S., Joffraud, J.-J., Cardinal, M., Malcheva, M., Cornet, J., Lalanne, V., Chevalier, F.,
562 Sérot, T., Pilet, M.-F., & Dousset, X. (2013). Evaluation of the spoilage potential of bacteria
563 isolated from spoiled raw salmon (*Salmo salar*) fillets stored under modified atmosphere
564 packaging. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 160, 227-238.

565

- 566 Mejlholm, O., Bøknæs, N., & Dalgaard, P. (2005). Shelf life and safety aspects of chilled
567 cooked and peeled shrimps (*Pandalus borealis*) in modified atmosphere packaging. *Journal of*
568 *Applied Microbiology* 99, 66-76.
- 569
- 570 Nosedá, B., Dewulf, J., Goethals, J., Ragaert, P., Van Bree, I., Pauwels, D., Van Langenhove,
571 H., & Devlieghere, F. (2010). Effect of Food Matrix and pH on the Volatilization of Bases
572 (TVB) in Packed North Atlantic Gray Shrimp (*Crangon crangon*): Volatile Bases in MAP
573 Fishery Products. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 58, 11864-11869.
- 574
- 575 Nosedá, B., Goethals, J., De Smedt, L., Dewulf, J., Samapundo, S., Van Langenhove, H.,
576 & Devlieghere, F. (2012). Effect of O₂-CO₂ enriched atmospheres on microbiological growth
577 and volatile metabolite production in packaged cooked peeled gray shrimp (*Crangon*
578 *crangon*). *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 160, 65-75.
- 579
- 580 Papadopoulos, V., Chouliara, I., Badeka, A., Savvaídis, I.N., & Kontominas, M.G. (2003).
581 Effect of gutting on microbiological, chemical, and sensory properties of aquacultured sea
582 bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) stored in ice. *Food Microbiology* 20, 411-420.
- 583
- 584 Stone, H. & Sidel, J.L. (2004). *Sensory evaluation practices* 3rd edition Elsevier Academic
585 Press, Amsterdam.
- 586
- 587 Tryfinopoulou, P., Tsakalidou, E., Vancanneyt, M., Hoste, B., Swings, J., & Nychas, G. J. E.
588 (2007). Diversity of *Shewanella* population in fish *Sparus aurata* harvested in the Aegean
589 Sea. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 103, 711-721.
- 590

591 Vogel, B.F., Venkateswaran, K., Satomi, M., & Gram, L. (2005). Identification of *Shewanella*
592 *baltica* as the most important H₂S-producing species during iced storage of danish marine
593 fish. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 71, 6689-6697.

594

595 Wierda, R.L., Fletcher, G., Xu, L., & Dufour, J.P. (2006). Analysis of volatile compounds as
596 spoilage indicators in fresh king salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) during storage using
597 SPME-GC-MS. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 54, 8480-8490.

598

599

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Figure 1: Growth of five bacterial groups in MAP cooked tropical shrimp during storage at 8°C.

Figure 2: Spoilage scores of cooked tropical shrimp inoculated with 5 bacterial groups stored under MAP at 8°C for 32 days determined by the sensory panel (9 panellists). For each day of storage, analysis of variance with samples and panellists as independent factors, and Duncan's multiple comparison test ($p < 0.05$) were performed. Different letters indicate significant differences between samples.

Figure 3: Growth of each bacterial group in cooked tropical shrimp during MAP storage at 8°C for 18 days: enumeration of *C. maltaromaticum* and *S. baltica* group alone or in co-culture (*C. maltaromaticum* in the presence of *S. baltica* or *S. baltica* in the presence of *C. maltaromaticum*)

Figure 4: Spoilage level mean scores as determined by the sensory panel (12 panellists) for each of the inoculated cooked shrimp samples (continuous scale from 0 to 10) during storage at 8°C for 18 days. For each day of storage, analysis of variance, with samples and panellists as independent factors, and Duncan's multiple comparison test ($p < 0.05$) were performed. Different letters indicate significant differences between samples.

Figure 5: Simultaneous representation of inoculated cooked shrimp samples and odour descriptors on planes 1-2 of principal component analysis. Sample nomenclature: C, control samples (non-inoculated); carno, *Carnobacterium maltaromaticum*; shew, *Shewanella baltica*; msc, co-culture of *S. baltica* and *C. maltaromaticum*. Numbers in labels of samples represent time of storage (in days).

Figure 6: Development of total volatile basic nitrogen (TVBN, mg-N 100 g⁻¹) in cooked tropical shrimps inoculated with different bacterial groups during MAP storage for 18 days at 8°C.

Figure 7: Fingerprints and dynamics of co-cultures inoculated on cooked tropical shrimp during storage at 8°C for 18 days. Digitized TTGE profiles of 16S rRNA gene V3 regions obtained by PCR amplification from bacterial DNA of 6 samples inoculated with one mixed culture: *C. maltaromaticum* with *S. baltica* stored at 8°C for 18 days. Lanes D1 to D18 corresponded to samples: day 1, day 4, day 8, day 11, day 15, day 18. Bands “c” were assigned as *C. maltaromaticum* and bands “s1-s3” as *S. baltica* by comparison with pure isolate profiles. Bands * are assumed to be endogenous bacteria.

Table 1. List of bacterial isolates inoculated on ionized cooked tropical shrimp during challenge tests

Bacterial Identity/Group	Ifremer/Oniris Strain Library Code	Species
<i>Shewanella baltica</i>	MIP 2649	<i>S. baltica</i>
	MIP 2641	<i>S. baltica</i>
	MIP 2666	<i>S. baltica</i>
	MIP 2520	<i>S. baltica</i>
<i>Carnobacterium maltaromaticum</i>	MIP 2505	<i>C. maltaromaticum</i>
	MIP 2636	<i>C. maltaromaticum</i>
	MIP 2654	<i>C. maltaromaticum</i>
	MIP 2504	<i>C. maltaromaticum</i>
	MIP 2526	<i>C. maltaromaticum</i>
	MIP 2631	<i>C. maltaromaticum</i>
<i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i>	MIP 2644	<i>A. salmonicida</i>
	MIP 2646	<i>A. salmonicida</i>
<i>Vibrio</i> sp.	MIP 2657	<i>Vibrio</i> sp.
	MIP 2648	<i>Vibrio</i> sp.
"Other Gamma-Proteobacteria"	MIP 2626	<i>Pseudoalteromonas</i> sp.
	MIP 2537	<i>Psychrobacter</i> sp.

Table 2: Main odours in cooked tropical shrimp inoculated with 5 bacterial groups followed by storage under MAP at 8°C for 32 days.

Percentage of panellists (n=9) noting specific odour characteristics is indicated in brackets. Main odours presented were noted by at least 3 panellists.

	Non-inoculated control	<i>Shewanella baltica</i>	<i>Carnobacterium maltaromaticum</i>	<i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i>	<i>Vibrio</i> sp.	“Other Gamma-Proteobacteria”
Day 8	Cabbage/sulphur (44.4)	Sour (44.4)	Sour (66.7)	Butter (77.8)	Crustacean (55.6)	Crustacean (33.3)
	Crustacean (33.3)	Cabbage/sulphur (33.3)	Butter (33.3)	Pyrrolidine (44.4)	Sour (44.4)	Sour (33.3)
Day 15		Pyrrolidine (33.3)	Milky (33.3)		Cabbage/sulphur (33.3)	Feet/cheese (33.3)
	Crustacean (55.6)	Cabbage/sulphur (66.7)	Sour (66.7)	Pyrrolidine (44.4)	Crustacean (44.4)	Feet/cheese (55.6)
	Rice (33.3)	Sour (44.4)	Feet/Cheese (33.3)	Amine (33.3)	Floorcloth (33.3)	Butter (33.3)
Day 26		Amine (33.3)	Butter (33.3)			
	Crustacean (33.3)	Cabbage/sulphur (66.7)	Sour (55.6)	Sour (44.4)	Sour (33.3)	Sour (66.7)
	Rice (33.3)	Sour (44.4)	Feet/cheese (44.4)	Cabbage/sulphur (33.3)	Acid (33.3)	Feet/cheese (55.6)
	Sour/fermented (33.3)		Acid (33.3)	Butter (33.3)		
Day 32	Acid (33.3)			Feet/Cheese (33.3)		
	Nothing (33.3)	Sour (66.7)	Sour (77.8)	Sour (44.4)	Sour (44.4)	Sour (44.4)
	Feet/cheese (33.3)	Cabbage/sulphur (55.5)	Feet/Cheese (44.4)	Cabbage/sulphur (44.4)	Cabbage/sulphur(33.3)	Nothing (33.3)
	Acid (33.3)		Acid (44.4)	Amine (33.3)	Crustacean (33.3)	

Table 3: Specific volatile compounds identified in spoiled *S. baltica*-inoculated cooked tropical shrimp after 18 days of storage at 8°C

Volatile compound	Non-inoculated (control) Day 1	Non-inoculated (control) Day 18	<i>S. baltica</i> Day 1	<i>S. baltica</i> Day 18
<i>Alcohols</i>				
Butanol	ND	ND	ND	4.99 ±0.09
1-pentanol (amyl alcohol)	5.48 ±0.16	5.73± 0.01	5.77 ±0.17	6.37 ±0.04
Isoamyl alcohol	ND	ND	ND	5.54 ±0.11
Ethanol	7.38 ±0.01	7.44 ± 0.01	7.28 ±0.03	6.86 ±0.23
1-penten-3-ol	5.39 ±0.02	6.00 ±0.02	5.36 ±0.03	6.14 ±0.14
1-hexanol	ND	ND	ND	6.14 ±0.15
<i>Aldehydes</i>				
2-hexenal	ND	ND	ND	5.52 ±0.03
<i>Acid</i>				
Acetic acid	ND	ND	ND	6.27 ±0.15
Isovaleric acid	ND	ND	ND	4.53 ±1.41
Isobutyric acid	ND	ND	ND	4.39*
<i>Ketones</i>				
2-propanone (acetone)	ND	ND	ND	6.81 ±0.15
2-heptanone	ND	ND	ND	5.34 ±0.13
3-hydroxybutanone (acetoin)	ND	ND	ND	5.28*
2-nonanone	ND	ND	ND	5.52 ±0.24
2-decanone	ND	ND	ND	4.72*
<i>Other</i>				
Methyl mercaptan	5.24 ±0.37	ND	5.04 ±0.18	6.34 ±0.10
Methyl-P-tert-butyl phenyl acetate	ND	ND	ND	4.57 ±0.10
p-Menthane	ND	ND	ND	5.32 ±0.19

ND: Not detected

Values are averages ± standard deviation of log (peak area/g). n=3

* n=1













