Interactions between a marine dinoflagellate (Alexandrium catenella) and a bacterial community utilizing riverine humic substances
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ABSTRACT: Dissolved organic matter in the form of riverine humic substances stimulated the growth of both axenic nitrogen-limited Alexandrium catenella cultures and nitrogen-limited cultures with a marine bacterial community present. The biomass increase of A. catenella could not be accounted for by utilization of inorganic nitrogen compounds. However, there was a considerable release of dissolved free and combined amino acids from the humic substances that was utilized by A. catenella. About 40% of the nitrogen used by A. catenella in the axenic treatment with humic substances added was taken up as organic nitrogen. Bacterial aminopeptidase and β-glucosidase activity was stimulated by the addition of humic substances and bacterial growth increased several-fold. Bacteria also utilized the released amino acids from the humic substances, but did not remineralize nitrogen, since no increase in ammonium concentrations could be detected in the bacteria treatments with humic substances added. In the axenic A. catenella treatment there was no significant aminopeptidase activity, suggesting that A. catenella was able to utilize the dissolved combined amino acids directly. Moreover, large fluorescently labeled dextran molecules (2000 kDa) were taken up by A. catenella in the humic treatments, showing up in vacuoles inside the cells. These results suggest that A. catenella can grow well utilizing macromolecular organic compounds containing nitrogen, probably by a direct uptake.
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INTRODUCTION

River runoff carries about 2.0 x 10¹⁴ g of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) into the ocean annually (Deuser 1988). Thus, coastal waters adjacent to estuaries receive large quantities of dissolved organic matter (DOM). This riverine DOM is composed of 80 to 95% high-molecular-weight (HMW, >1 kDa) polymeric compounds, such as polypeptides, polysaccharides and polyphenolic humic substances (HS) (Allen 1976, Thurman 1985). The HS also carry organically bound nutrients [nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P)] (Fleischer & Stibe 1989, Thurman 1985) as well as dissolved amino acids and smaller carbohydrates (Münster & Chröst 1990). HS, considered generally, consist of a nonhomogeneous material with polycyclic aromatic nuclei and attached polypeptides, carbohydrates, phenolic acids and metals, but the basic structure is still to a high degree unknown (Ziechmann 1988). The N content of riverine HS is usually 0.5 to 3% (by weight) and the P content is about 0.2% (Thurman 1985, Hedges 1987). Humic substances are usually defined operationally as the fraction of DOM that can be isolated by hydrophobic adsorption chromatography on non-ionic resins, such as XAD-8 (Thurman & Malcolm 1983).

A part of the HMW DOM is available for bacterial utilization (Meyer et al. 1987, Tranvik 1990) due to hydrolyzation of the macromolecules by extracellular enzymes (e.g. Chröst 1991). Degradation of macromolecules with peptide bonds can occur by the action of bacterial leucine aminopeptidase (e.g. cleavage of amino acids from N-terminal polypeptide chains) (Hoppe et al. 1988, Münster 1991). Since the highest...
extracellular enzyme activities usually are found in 0.2 to 2.0 μm filtrates (Hollibaugh & Azam 1983, Christ & Rai 1993) extracellular enzymes are considered to be associated with bacteria (Rosso & Azam 1987), located in the periplasmic space or surface bound to the bacteria (Christ 1991, Martinez & Azam 1993). The products from the extracellular enzymatic activity should therefore be mainly available for the bacteria and not phytoplankton. However, extracellular enzymes can be washed away from the periplasmic space, liberated by lysis or damage of cells by grazers, and intracellular enzymes may also become dissolved by cell lysis or grazing (Christ 1991). Higher aminopeptidase activities (10 to 90% of total activity) have also been measured in 0.2 μm filtrates (Jakobsen & Rai 1991). Bacterial aminopeptidase activity by enzymes no longer associated with the bacteria surface would then produce free amino acids also available for phytoplankton uptake.

Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) is the dominant fraction of the flux of N from the land to the sea, generally making up 60 to 90% of the total N-export (Meybeck 1982). DON is usually not considered as an available nutrient for phytoplankton when management of eutrophic coastal areas is discussed. However, several experiments have shown that DON stimulates phytoplankton production. Price et al. (1985) found that coastal phytoplankton in a stratified system increased more in particulate N than could be explained by the uptake of inorganic N and urea, presumably by utilization of DON. Granéli et al. (1985) suggested that the dinoflagellate Proorocentrum minimum was able to use the N in HS, either directly or after bacterial remineralization, since the biomass yield of P. minimum increased considerably when HS and phosphate were added, and cellular N content of P. minimum was comparable to cells grown with inorganic N. Riverine HS can also stimulate the coastal microbial food web, by increasing bacterial growth and subsequently the activity of bacterial grazers, increasing the regeneration of inorganic N which in turn increases phytoplankton production (Carlsson & Granéli 1993, Carlsson et al. 1995). There are also indications that some property of river water may be important for the development and persistence of blooms of dinoflagellates, since these blooms often start, or occur in coastal waters influenced by river runoff (Franks & Anderson 1992, Fraga 1993, Moita 1993) and toxic blooms composed of Alexandrium tamarense form regularly in the outflow of 2 rivers in the Gulf of Maine (USA) and can persist in the plume for up to a month (Franks & Anderson 1992).

The supply of N available for phytoplankton can also be regulated by bacterial uptake and regeneration of organic and inorganic N. The uptake of dissolved free amino acids (DFAA) by bacteria can exceed 60% of the total N uptake in coastal water and the uptake of DFAA can even exceed the requirement for growth (Hoch & Kirchman 1995). However, when the concentration of DFAA is low, a larger part of the bacterial N demand can be supported by ammonium uptake (Hoch & Kirchman 1995). The quality of the substrate will also direct the bacterial turnover of DOM. Bacteria will regenerate ammonium when the C:N ratio of the substrate is low (Goldman et al. 1987, Kirchman et al. 1989) and utilize ammonium when the C:N ratio of the available substrate is high. When a N-limited system is supplied with riverine DOM with a high C:N ratio it would therefore be expected that the bacteria would take up ammonium (assuming that the C:N ratio of the riverine DOM also reflects the availability of these compounds). However, the C in the riverine DOM might be more resistant to microbial degradation than the N. A large part of the N in the isolated humic DOM can be rather loosely bound as ammonium, amino acids or precipitated polypeptides, easily utilisable for bacteria (Lytle & Perdue 1981, Thurman 1985). Thus it is not easily predicted if bacteria would be assimilating or remineralizing N when supplied with a complex organic substrate with unknown C and N availability, such as riverine HS.

The occurrence of red tide forming Alexandrium spp. in coastal waters influenced by river runoff and the possibility that these dinoflagellates would be able to directly or indirectly utilize HS as an N source was the basis for this experiment. Alexandrium spp. are considered to be mainly autotrophic in their nutrition, but ciliates and phytoplankton cells have been observed in food vacuoles in Alexandrium ostenfeldii (Jacobson & Anderson 1996), showing that this species is also capable of mixotrophy.

We investigated whether Alexandrium catenella was able to grow with riverine HS as the major N source in the medium without the presence of bacteria, by using the macromolecular HS by itself, or if bacterial utilization/mineralization of riverine HS would supply the dinoflagellate with more easily assimilated N compounds such as ammonia and DFAA than the macromolecular HS.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Isolation of humic substances.** HS were isolated from water sampled (10 June 1995) close to the mouth of the river Fylléén in the county of Halland, Sweden. This river flows into the Laholm Bay, Kattegat on the Swedish west coast. The drainage area of the river is mainly covered with coniferous forest and the river water contains about 10 to 14 mg l⁻¹ of DOC (Kullberg...
& Petersen 1987). The river water was sampled using an acid washed polyethylene bucket and the water sample (100 l) was transported to the laboratory in acid washed 25 l polyethylene containers and kept in a refrigerated room (4°C) until processed further. Separation and concentration of HS were done according to Thurman & Malcolm (1981) and Petersen et al. (1987). Within 24 h of sampling, the water was acidified to pH 1.8 with HCl and filtered through 50 and 1 μm cartridge filters (Brüning Technetics Filterite) and passed through a XAD-8 resin (1000 ml, Amberlite) in a glass column at a rate of 100 ml min⁻¹. The humic material was then desorbed by back flushing the column with 2.5 bed volumes of 0.1 mol l⁻¹ NaOH followed by immediate acidification to pH 2.5. The pH was then adjusted to 7.0 with NaOH and the concentrated HS was frozen until use. Part of the humic concentrate was freeze-dried and the N and C content was analyzed using a Fisons NA 1500 CN analyzer. The HS contained 0.30% N and 12.8% C (by weight). The HS we isolated had a low C content compared to that which others have found before (about 50% of HS is usually C according to Thurman (1983) and Hedges (1987)). We therefore reanalyzed the HS for C and N content 3 times and also had a sample analyzed by another laboratory, with the same result.

**Dinoflagellate culture.** An axenic culture of *Alexandrium catenella* (CCMP 1598 from the Provasoli-Guillard culture collection) was cultured in a modified f/2 medium (Guillard & Ryther 1962) as 1/40 of the original composition (the inorganic N:P ratio was adjusted to 16:1). The medium thus contained 29 μmol l⁻¹ of NO₃⁻ and 1.8 μmol l⁻¹ of PO₄³⁻. The salinity was 26%. Cultures were kept at 16°C, light intensity (PAR) 80 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (measured with a flat Li-Cor sensor) and a 12 h light:12 h dark cycle. Axenity of the cultures was checked each week by direct bacteria counting using epifluorescence microscopy (see below).

**Seawater sampling.** Surface seawater (100 l, salinity 22%) was collected using an acid washed polyethylene bucket in Kattegat, Sweden on 9 March 1996. The salinity of the seawater was adjusted to 26% by addition of NaCl. The water was then filtered through Whatman GF/F filters using a Millipore 90 mm filter holder, and through a 0.2 μm Sartorius capsule filter. All water was then mixed in an acid washed 100 l polyethylene cylinder and distributed in acid washed 10 l Pyrex glass flasks and autoclaved (2 h, 121°C).

**Experimental setup.** The water was poured into 2.5 l polycarbonate bottles that had previously been acid washed and autoclaved. The experimental setup was the following: *Alexandrium catenella* (axenic) (A), A. catenella (axenic) + HS (A+HS), A. catenella + bacteria (AB), A. catenella + bacteria + HS (AB+HS), bacteria (B), bacteria + HS (B+HS), control (only seawater) (C), control + HS (seawater + HS) (C+HS). All treatments were in 4 replicates.

For treatments with added bacteria, 2 l of seawater was filtered through a Whatman GF/F glass fibre filter (approximate pore size 0.7 μm) and incubated at the experimental conditions for 3 d. Bacterial numbers then increased to 4 X 10⁶ cells ml⁻¹ and 25 ml of this bacterial suspension was added to the 2.5 l autoclaved seawater for the bacterial treatments (1% inoculum corresponding to 0.04 X 10⁶ bacteria ml⁻¹). Phosphate was added to all treatments (to increase the concentration by 5 μmol l⁻¹) in order to have a surplus of phosphate compared to the nitrogen present. Also trace metals and vitamins were added according to 1/10 of the f/2 medium (Guillard & Ryther 1962). The addition of HS increased the DOC concentration in the seawater from 1.3 to 10.2 mg l⁻¹, which can be considered as a natural increase in estuarine waters on the Swedish west coast, since open waters in the Kattegat/Skagerrak have DOC concentrations of up to 4 mg l⁻¹ (Wedborg et al. 1994), and the concentration in rivers entering the coastal zone can be considerably higher than 10 mg l⁻¹ (Petersen et al. 1987, Andersson et al. 1991).

The cultures were then incubated at 16°C and a 12 h light:12 h dark cycle. The light intensity (PAR) was 80 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

**Dinoflagellate and bacteria enumeration and bacterial cell volumes.** Phytoplankton samples (10 ml) were fixed with acid Lugol’s solution (1% final concentration) and cells were counted using 2.4 ml settling chambers and a Nikon Optiphoto inverted microscope equipped with a 40 X Ph 3 objective. At least 200 *Alexandrium catenella* cells were counted in each sample. Growth rates for A. catenella were calculated using the formula \( \mu = \frac{\ln N_2 - \ln N_1}{t_2 - t_1} \), where \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) are cell numbers initially and finally and \( t_2 - t_1 \) the time interval (in days) between \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \). Bacteria were counted by staining a 2 ml subsample (fixed with formaldehyde, 1% final concentration) of each culture with the DNA-specific fluorochrome DAPI (20 μg ml⁻¹ final concentration) and filtering the bacteria onto 0.2 μm black polycarbonate filters (Poretics Inc.) for direct enumeration of bacteria by epifluorescence microscopy (Porter & Feig 1980). Bacteria were counted using a Nikon Optiphoto-2 epifluorescence microscope with a Nikon Ph 4 DL 100x/1.30 oil immersion objective and the following filter combination: excitation 360 to 370 nm, barrier filter 420 nm and dichroic mirror 490 nm. At least 250 bacteria were counted on each filter. Growth rates were calculated as for A. catenella. Bacterial images were acquired with an Olympus BX50 epifluorescence microscope equipped with Olympus UPlanFI 100x/1.30 oil immersion objective and an Optronics VI-470 CCD video camera system.
(Optronics Engineering). Digital 24-bit color pictures in PICT format were obtained with ImageGrabber-24 (Neotech Ltd) and further processed with IPLab Spectrum 3.1 software (Signal Analytics). The biovolume of at least 200 cells from the initial and final samples was measured. To measure the biovolume of an average bacterial cell, a slightly modified algorithm of Ramsing et al. (1996) was applied. Differences from the original algorithm were: (1) no background subtraction operation was used, (2) only the green color fraction of the image was analyzed as it gave the best separation, (3) cell edges were detected by thresholding the second derivative with the lowest possible value, 1.0, and (4) overlapping cells were not analyzed.

**Chemical analyses.** Inorganic nutrients (NO$_3^-$+NO$_2^-$, NH$_4^+$, PO$_4^{3-}$) were analyzed manually using standard procedures (Valderrama 1995). Absorbance was measured using a Beckman DU 650 spectrophotometer equipped with a 10 mm flow-through quartz cell. Standard curves using 5-point calibration in the concentration interval of the samples were established at each analysis. Nitrate was reduced to nitrite using a micro cadmium column (volume 2 ml) and a peristaltic pump (Ismatec) in order to reduce sample volume to 10 ml. Flow rate of the peristaltic pump was set to 1.0 ml min$^{-1}$ in order to optimize the reduction of nitrate to nitrite.

Samples (200 to 300 ml) for particulate C and N were filtered onto prebaked (450°C, 2 h) Whatman GF/F filters and analyzed in a Fisons NA 1500 CN analyzer.

Samples for DOC, DFAA, dissolved combined amino acids (DCAA) and total dissolved carbohydrates (TDCHO) (30 ml for each analysis) were gravity filtered onto prebaked (450°C, 2 h) Whatman GF/F filters using 50 ml glass syringes and Swinnex filtering holders previously washed in hydrogen peroxide (12 h) to degrade traces of organic matter. The filtrates were distributed in duplicate hydrogen peroxide washed polyethylene scintillation vials for each sample and frozen (-20°C) before analysis.

Dissolved organic carbon concentrations were measured spectrophotometrically according to Pages & Gadel (1990), using a Beckman DU 630 spectrophotometer equipped with a 10 mm quartz flow-through cell. By this method the DOC concentration is calculated using the slope (S') of the absorption between 250 to 360 nm and the absorption at 254 nm.

DFAA were analyzed without sample pretreatment using flow injection sample processing according to Petty et al. (1982), after fluorescence derivatization with a carrier stream of o-phthalaldehyde (OPA) according to Roth (1971) and Lindroth & Mopper (1979). Results are reported in glycine equivalents. Concentrations of DCAA were determined after hydrolysis with 5 to 6 M HCl at 100°C for 20 to 24 h and then measuring the concentration of DFAA in the hydrolysate and subtracting the predetermined concentrations of DFAA.

TDCHO were quantified using the spectrophotometric method of Johnson & Sieburth (1977), in which the reduction of free monosaccharides to sugar alcohols with KBH$_4$, and periodate oxidation to formaldehyde, gives a colored complex with the 3-methyl-2 benzothiazolinone hydradione hydrochloride (MBTH). Results are reported as glucose equivalents after subtraction of a blank value and comparison with a standard curve.

Total dissolved nitrogen was analyzed according to Valderrama (1995) and the DON concentration was calculated as the difference between total dissolved nitrogen and the sum of inorganic nitrogen (NO$_3^-$+NO$_2^-$, NH$_4^+$).

**Enzyme activities.** Total (free and cell associated) extracellular aminopeptidase and β-glucosidase activity were measured by adding L-leucine 7-amido-4-methylcoumarin and 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-glucopyranoside (SIGMA) (200 μmol l$^{-1}$ final concentration), and following the enzymatic release of the fluorescent products 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (AMC) and 4-methylumbelliferone (MUF) (Hoppe 1983, Christ 1991). The samples were incubated for 1 to 2.5 and 14 to 18 h, respectively in the dark at 21°C and produced AMC and MUF were measured at 320 to 390 nm excitation, 430 to 485 nm emission, using a Turner 112 filter fluorometer. Calibration curves were prepared for each treatment (media with or without HS). Preliminary kinetic experiments verified that a final substrate concentration of 150 to 180 μmol l$^{-1}$ was enough to reach enzyme-substrate saturation. Thus, the reported enzyme activities are the $V_{max}$ of the enzymes. Blanks for each treatment were microwaved (3 x 5 min, to boiling point) to coagulate the enzymes before adding the substrate (Mayer 1989).

**RESULTS**

**Growth of Alexandrium catenella**

*Alexandrium catenella* showed the highest growth in the axenic treatment with HS added (A+HS) and in the treatment with bacteria and HS (AB+HS) (Fig. 1). In these treatments the inoculum of 50 cells ml$^{-1}$ of *A. catenella* increased to 504 ± 81 (mean ± SD) and 455 ± 57 cells ml$^{-1}$, respectively at Day 10 of the experiment. This corresponds to a specific growth rate of 0.27 (=0.36 doublings d$^{-1}$). The apparent lower cell number of *A. catenella* in the humic treatments with bacteria (AB+HS) compared with the humic treatment without bacteria (A+HS) at the end of the experiment was not significant (p > 0.05, Mann-Whitney U-test). In the
treatments without extra HS added [the axenic A. catenella treatment (A) and the A. catenella with bacteria (AB)], the final cell numbers were only 31 ± 3 and 112 ± 27 cells ml⁻¹, respectively, i.e. growth rates were much lower [0 and 0.16 (= 0.21 doublings d⁻¹)]. The higher cell numbers of A. catenella in the AB treatment compared with the A treatment was significant (p < 0.05, Mann-Whitney U-test).

The chlorophyll a concentration in the different treatments showed the same pattern as cell numbers (Fig. 1). Chlorophyll a content per cell during the experiment was highest in the A+HS and AB+HS treatments [40 to 60 pg chl a cell⁻¹ compared to the A and AB treatments (10 to 20 pg chl a cell⁻¹)].

Particulate C concentration on day 10 for the A+HS treatment was 990 μg C 1⁻¹ when the control (C+HS) was subtracted. The carbon content of Alexandrium catenella cells calculated from this value and the final cell number in the A+HS treatment was 1960 pg C cell⁻¹, which is similar to the value obtained (1840 pg C cell⁻¹) using the microscopical measurements of the linear dimensions (diameter 30 μm and assuming the cell being spherical) and the conversion factor (0.13) for thecate dinoflagellate cell volume into cell C (Smetacek 1975).

**Bacterial growth**

The number of bacteria increased rapidly in the treatments with HS (Fig. 2) and reached 2.5 ± 0.3 × 10⁸ cells ml⁻¹ in the AB+HS treatment and 2.0 ± 0.4 × 10⁸ cells ml⁻¹ in the B+HS treatment on Day 9. In the same treatments the bacterial specific growth rates in the exponential phase were 0.83 ± 0.07 d⁻¹ and 1.2 ± 0.06 d⁻¹, respectively. Without HS addition the bacterial cell numbers increased only to 0.7 ± 0.05 × 10⁸ cells ml⁻¹ in the AB treatment and 0.8 ± 0.2 × 10⁸ cells ml⁻¹ in the B treatment. This corresponds to a specific growth rates in the exponential growth phase of 0.31 ± 0.18 and 0.30 ± 0.07 d⁻¹, respectively. In the treatments where bacteria were not supposed to be present (C and C+HS), a maximum of 0.002 × 10⁶ bacteria ml⁻¹ could be detected at the end of the experiment. We therefore assume that these treatments were without influence of bacterial activity during the experimental period. The apparent higher bacterial biomass in the AB+HS treatment than in the B+HS treatment at the end of the experiment was not significant (p > 0.05, Mann-Whitney U-test). Mean bacterial cell volumes were initially 0.25 ± 0.15 μm³ and had not changed significantly in any of the treatments on the last day of the experiment (p > 0.05, Mann-Whitney U-test).
Inorganic nutrients

Initially ammonium concentrations were 0.9 to 1.1 μmol 1⁻¹ in the HS treatments, while they were 0.2 to 0.4 μmol 1⁻¹ in the treatments without HS added (Fig. 3). In the sterile controls with HS added (C+HS), there was a significant net release of ammonium (net concentration increase was 1.8 μmol 1⁻¹ during the experiment). Assuming that this release of ammonium also took place in the treatments with organisms, the released ammonium in these treatments was utilized by *Alexandrium catenella* and bacteria, since the ammonium concentration in these treatments was always below 0.5 μmol 1⁻¹.

Initial nitrate concentrations varied between 1.4 and 2.9 μmol 1⁻¹ for the controls without any addition and the treatments with *Alexandrium catenella*, bacteria and HS added (Fig. 3). Nitrate concentrations decreased during the experiment in all treatments, and were between 0.5 and 1.5 μmol 1⁻¹ at the end of the experiment. The lowest concentration was found in the *A. catenella* treatments with HS. Nitrate concentrations in the treatments without HS added were similar to those in the treatments with HS added and no release of nitrate from the HS was observed in the C+HS treatment.

Initial phosphate concentrations were between 4.5 and 5.8 μmol 1⁻¹ after the extra addition of phosphate.

Phosphate concentrations then stayed above 3 μmol 1⁻¹ during the whole experiment (data not presented), which means that there was always a surplus of phosphate compared to inorganic and organic N (total dissolved N-PO₄³⁻ was always below 16), concerning the requirements of bacteria and *Alexandrium catenella*.

**Particulate C and N**

The addition of HS increased the particulate C and N of the initial seawater (from 3.1 ± 1.6 to 10.9 ± 1.3 μmol C 1⁻¹ and from 0.4 ± 0.2 to 0.8 ± 0.2 μmol N 1⁻¹, respectively) (Table 1). This increase was very small, compared to the increase in bacteria and dinoflagellate particulate C and N during the experiment. In the axenic *Alexandrium catenella* + HS treatment (A+HS), the particulate N increased from 2.8 ± 0.3 to 10.1 ± 1.1 μmol 1⁻¹ during the experiment. This corresponds to an algal uptake of about 7.3 μmol N 1⁻¹. Matsuda et al. (1996) found that the N content in *A. catenella* cells varied with growth rate. At a growth rate of 0.3 d⁻¹ (as the growth rate of *A. catenella* in our A+HS treatment), Matsuda et al. (1996) found that the cells had an N quota of 13 pmol N cell⁻¹. This would correspond to a concentration of around 6.5 μmol N 1⁻¹ as N in *A. catenella* cells (500 cells ml⁻¹) in the A+HS treatment at the end of the experiment, which is in good agreement with our estimate based on particulate N analysis. The uptake of inorganic N in the A+HS treatment by *A. catenella* was approximately 4.4 μmol 1⁻¹ (the difference between initial concentration of inorganic N and final in the A+HS treatment plus the net release of ammonium in the C+HS treatment). Since the net increase in particulate N concentration of *A. catenella* cells in the A+HS treatment was 7.3 μmol 1⁻¹, *A. catenella* also used DON in this treatment. The DON would then have supplied *A. catenella* with around 7.3 - 4.4 = 2.9 μmol 1⁻¹ of N which is equivalent to 40% of the total N increase in *A. catenella* cells.

In the axenic *Alexandrium catenella* treatment without HS added (A), there was instead a net loss of particulate N during the experiment (from 1.0 ± 0.2 to 0.2 ± 0.1 μmol N 1⁻¹), due to the absence of algal growth in this treatment.

In the B+HS treatment, there were significantly higher particulate C and N concentrations (56.5 ± 5.3 μmol C 1⁻¹ and 6.5 ± 1.3 μmol N 1⁻¹, respectively)
Table 1. Particulate C and N (μmol l⁻¹) at Day 1 and Day 5 for the A treatment, Day 8 for the AB treatment and Day 9 for the other treatments (final). A = Alexandrium catenella (axenic), AB = A. catenella + bacteria, B = bacteria, C = control, HS = humic substances added. (Mean ± SD, n = 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>C (Day 1)</th>
<th>N (Day 1)</th>
<th>C/N (Day 1)</th>
<th>C (final)</th>
<th>N (final)</th>
<th>C/N (final)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+HS</td>
<td>23.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>116.2 ± 11.9</td>
<td>10.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB+HS</td>
<td>23.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>138.8 ± 19.6</td>
<td>15.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>10.2 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+HS</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>56.5 ± 5.3</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.9 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+HS</td>
<td>10.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>14.2 ± 2.7</td>
<td>33.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>33.4 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>11.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>10.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>62.2 ± 27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>13.4 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>36.1 ± 12.7</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9.6 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>12.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dissolved organic nitrogen and dissolved organic carbon

DON concentration increased by 16.9 ± 2.7 μmol l⁻¹ due to the addition of HS (Table 2), which is close to the estimated increase calculated using the analyzed N content in the HS. The calculated DOC concentration in the seawater used for the cultures was around 108 ± 16 μmol l⁻¹ and increased to 808 ± 25 μmol l⁻¹ after the addition of HS (Table 2). The addition of HS thus increased the DOC concentration by 700 μmol l⁻¹ (8.9 mg l⁻¹). Since the content of C in the freeze-dried HS was 12% the total concentration of HS should have been (8.9/12) × 100 = 74 mg l⁻¹. According to the analysis of freeze-dried HS, 0.3% of this was N and then (0.3 × 74)/100 = 0.222 mg N l⁻¹ was added. This is equivalent to 16 μmol N l⁻¹. This calculation assumes that all C added as HS was dissolved. The difference between the calculated particulate C concentration of the C+HS treatment and the C treatment was only 7.8 μmol C l⁻¹. Thus only 1% of the added HS carbon was caught initially on the GF/F filters used for separating dissolved and particulate C, and this assumption can be made.

The DON concentration decreased during the experiment in all treatments with organisms present, and
this decrease in DON concentration roughly corresponds to the increase in particulate N during the experiment.

However, with the high variability of the results from the DON analysis, it is not possible to make an accurate estimate of the uptake of DON by * Alexandrium catenella*. Instead we have used the increase in particulate N to estimate this (see 'Results: Particulate C and N').

DOC concentrations did not decrease as the DON concentrations during the experiment, even if a higher concentration of particulate C could be detected in all treatments (except the A treatment) at the end of the experiment. However, only a small part of the DOC would become particulate C and this was probably undetectable by the method we used. For the C+HS treatment, the increase in particulate C (presumably due to flocculation) was 29 μmol l⁻¹ which is only 3% of the initial DOC concentration (808 μmol l⁻¹). In the treatment with the highest increase in particulate C (and no photosynthetic conversion of dissolved inorganic C to particulate C, i.e. the B+HS treatment), the particulate C concentration increased 48 μmol l⁻¹, which corresponds to 6% of the initial DOC concentration, but still the precision of the method we used for analyzing DOC concentrations (Pages & Gadel 1990) was not good enough to detect the presumed change in DOC concentration. This is in accordance with other studies that have shown that only a few percent of the DOC pool is utilisable by bacteria and can usually not be detected even using the high temperature catalytic analysis of DOC (Coffin et al. 1993). Instead, initial DOC concentration, oxygen consumption by bacteria and bacterial production (e.g. leucine uptake) should preferably be used to calculate bacterial utilization of DOC (Amon & Benner 1996).

**Dissolved free and combined amino acids (DFAA and DCAA, glycine equivalents)**

There was a slight increase in DFAA concentration in all HS treatments containing organisms (from 0.2 μmol l⁻¹ initially to up to 0.4 μmol l⁻¹) during the experiment (Fig. 4). However, the DFAA concentration increased more in the control with HS added (up to 0.8 μmol l⁻¹). We assume that the same amount of DFAA was liberated from the HS in the treatments with organisms, but that the utilization of DFAA by bacteria and * Alexandrium catenella* kept the concentration of DFAA lower in these treatments. In the treatments without HS added, the concentration of DFAA decreased to approximately 0.1 μmol l⁻¹ in the treatments with bacteria (B and AB). In the treatments with * A. catenella* the concentration instead was stable around 0.2 μmol l⁻¹, except for the last day in the A treatment, when the concentration of DFAA increased to 0.6 μmol l⁻¹, probably due to release of DFAA from *A. catenella* cells.

The concentration of DCAA decreased from 2.7–3.0 μmol l⁻¹ to 1.4–1.7 μmol l⁻¹ in the HS treatments with organisms present (Fig. 4). On the last day, however there was a dramatic increase of DCAA concentration in these treatments (6.8 to 7.4 μmol l⁻¹) in the control treatment with HS added there was a large increase in DCAA concentration (from 2.8 to 7.6 μmol l⁻¹) during the experiment. We assume that the difference in DCAA concentration in the sterile control treatment with HS added and the HS treatments with organisms present was either due to bacterial transformation of DCAA to DFAA by extracellular aminopeptidases, or a utilization of DCAA by * Alexandrium catenella*.  

**Total dissolved carbohydrates (TDCHO, glucose equivalents)**

The concentration of TDCHO was 0.2 mg l⁻¹ in the initial seawater used for the experiment. The addi-
tion of HS increased the TDCHO concentration to 0.4–0.6 mg l⁻¹ (Fig. 5). In the control treatment without HS addition, there was a slight increase of TDCHO, while the concentration remained around 0.2 mg l⁻¹ in the other treatments without HS added. In the HS treatments there was a continuous increase in the TDCHO concentration in the control (up to 0.8 mg l⁻¹ at the end of the experiment), while in the treatments with bacteria, there was first an increase of TDCHO concentration to 0.6 mg l⁻¹ during the first 2 d, whereafter the concentration decreased. In the axenic *Alexandrium catenella* treatment with HS addition, the TDCHO concentration continued to increase until Day 5. There was a significantly lower TDCHO concentration in the treatments with bacteria (with or without *A. catenella*) than in the axenic *A. catenella* treatments at Day 7 (p < 0.05, Mann-Whitney U-test).

**Fig. 5. Concentrations of total dissolved carbohydrates. Treatments as in Fig. 2 (n = 4, mean ± SD)**

**Fig. 6. Aminopeptidase and β-glucosidase activity. Treatments as in Fig. 2 (n = 4, mean ± SD)**

**Enzyme activities (aminopeptidase and β-glucosidase)**

Aminopeptidase activity increased during the incubation and reached maximum values in all treatments with bacteria at Day 7 (Fig. 6). Addition of HS stimulated aminopeptidase activity in the B+HS treatment from Days 3 to 7 (between 42 and 156% higher activity compared to the B treatment). On Day 7 the aminopeptidase activity was 4561 ± 1181 nmol AMC l⁻¹ h⁻¹ in the B+HS treatment compared to 3200 ± 478 nmol AMC l⁻¹ h⁻¹ in the B treatment. On Day 7 the aminopeptidase activity in A+HS treatment was only 6% (147 nmol AMC l⁻¹ h⁻¹) of the activity in the AB+HS treatment (2515 ± 589 nmol AMC l⁻¹ h⁻¹). On this day the activity in the control (C+HS) was 14% of the activity in the B+HS treatment. On one occasion (Day 7) a lower aminopeptidase activity in the HS treatments than the non HS treatments was observed. The aminopeptidase activity in the AB+HS treatments was 2515 ± 589 nmol AMC l⁻¹ h⁻¹ compared to 4614 ± 584 nmol AMC l⁻¹ h⁻¹ in the AB treatment. The aminopeptidase activity was also lower in the AB+HS treatment than in the B+HS treatment on Days 7 and 9.

Addition of HS stimulated β-glucosidase activity in the B+HS treatment (between 63 and 137% higher activity than in the B treatment during Days 3 to 7) as well as in the AB+HS treatment (103 to 133% higher
activity than in the AB treatment between Days 3 and 7) (Fig. 6). On Day 7, the activity reached 22.2 ± 2.9 nmol MUF h⁻¹ in the B+HS treatment and 29.1 ± 3.1 nmol MUF h⁻¹ in the AB+HS treatment compared to 9.4 ± 3.1 nmol MUF h⁻¹ in the B treatment and 12.5 ± 3.2 nmol MUF h⁻¹ in the A+B treatment. Activity of β-glucosidase was higher in the treatments with both bacteria and Alexandrium catenella compared to treatments with only bacteria during the whole experimental period and the insignificant β-glucosidase activity in the A+HS and A treatment (3% of the activity in the AB+HS and AB treatments on Day 7) confirms the axenicity of the A. catenella treatments during the experimental period.

**DISCUSSION**

The riverine HS were to some extent available for bacterial degradation, as shown by the increased aminopeptidase and β-glucosidase activity. The enzymes provided the bacteria with easily assimilable C and N compounds, resulting in a significantly higher bacterial biomass in the HS treatments. The growth of Alexandrium catenella was also stimulated by the addition of HS, despite no algal or bacterial associated aminopeptidase activity in the axenic A. catenella treatment. The growth stimulation of A. catenella was partly due to uptake of released ammonium from the HS, but the major N sources for A. catenella were DFAA and DCAA that were released from the HS, and perhaps also other N-containing macromolecules as indicated by the uptake of fluorescently labeled dextrans (Legrand & Carlsson 1998, this issue). The utilization of molecules larger than DFAA indicates a direct uptake by A. catenella, perhaps by pinocytosis.

**Release of amino acids and ammonium from the HS**

Initial concentrations of DFAA and DCAA were comparable to other studies in coastal waters (Dawson & Pritchard 1978, Mopper & Lindroth 1982, Coffin 1989). Later, there was a continuous increase of DFAA, DCAA and ammonium concentrations in the C+HS treatment. Jørgensen et al. (1993) also found a substantial increase (around 1 µmol l⁻¹) of DCAA concentration during 24 h in treatments with added HMW DOM (increasing the DOC concentration from 2.5 to 3.0 mg l⁻¹). The reason for the concentration increase of amino acids in the HS controls was probably not due to photodegradation of the HS. Degradation of DOM subjected to UV light has been described by e.g. Kieber et al. (1989), and Kieber et al. (1990), but since the light intensity was low in our experiment (110 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and we used cool-white fluorescent tubes mainly emitting PAR irradiance, the concentration increase of DFAA and DCAA might instead have been caused by a chemical release of loosely bound/adsorbed amino acids from the HS. According to Thurman (1985), 15 to 20% of the N in aquatic HS is in amino acids that are structurally a part of the HS by amide linkages, but both DFAA and DCAA also associate with the HS through labile hydrogen bonds which can be easily disrupted by changes in pH. The extraction procedure we used to concentrate the HS would have caused a precipitation of the polypeptides in the river water due to the acidification of the sample before eluting it through the XAD column (Thurman 1985). Lytle & Perdue (1981) also found that 97% of the amino acids present in river water would be extracted using the XAD extraction procedure. The release of amino acids during the incubation is in contrast to the observation by Carlson et al. (1985) that amino acid concentrations decreased by amino acids binding abiotically to dissolved macromolecular material in seawater. An explanation could be that our isolated HS had many more amino acids loosely associated than could be bound as described by Carlson et al. (1985) and that these amino acids were released from the HS when added to seawater. Ammonium was also released from the HS in the C+HS treatment. This ammonium was also isolated together with the HS from the river water and was probably also loosely bound to or associated with the HS.

**Bacterial growth and utilization of ammonium, DCAA, DFAA and carbohydrates**

Bacterial growth was clearly stimulated by the addition of HS, since the bacteria immediately started to grow exponentially in the HS treatments. Bacterial utilization of HS is well known (e.g. De Haan 1974, Tranvik & Sieburth 1989, Moran & Hodson 1990), and bacterial utilization of these high-molecular-weight compounds has recently been shown to be higher than for low-molecular-weight compounds (Tranvik 1990, Amon & Benner 1996). After 9 d, the utilizable part of the DOM in the HS treatment with bacteria (B+HS) seems to have been exhausted, since the bacteria had reached stationary phase (probably either the available C or N became limiting). The biomass of bacteria present in this treatment had then increased by 48 µmol C l⁻¹ (as particulate C) which represents 5 to 6% of the initial DOC pool in the HS treatments. This is comparable to other estimates of the utilizable pool of DOC in brackish water with a high DOM concentration (Zweifel et al. 1993), and for river water rich in HMW compounds (Amon & Benner 1996).
Assuming that the observed release of ammonium in the control treatment with HS added also took place in the other treatments, essentially all ammonium released in the B+HS treatment was utilized by bacteria. Ammonium is together with DFAA the most important N source for bacteria (e.g. Kirchman 1994), and assimilation of ammonium can be substantial even when a large pool of assimilable DON is present (Tupas & Koike 1990).

The lower concentration of DCAA in the B+HS treatment compared to the control and the stimulation of aminopeptidase activity in this treatment shows that a transformation of DCAA to DFAA took place. Also, the lower concentration of DFAA in the B+HS treatment compared to the control indicates that bacteria utilized the DFAA. The C and N requirements of bacteria can be sustained by DFAA in priority to DCAA and ammonium, especially when easily assimilable C compounds are available (Jørgensen et al. 1993, Middelboe et al. 1995). However, when the concentrations of DFAA are low, the contribution of DCAA as a C and N source becomes more important (Rosenstock & Simon 1993). The growth phase of the bacteria affects the utilization of compounds. Middelboe et al. (1995) showed that DCAA are more important as a source for N and C in the stationary phase, while the importance of DFAA and ammonium decreases.

There was an increase in the concentration of TDCHO in the control treatments where HS was added during the experiment. Since TDCHO is readily used by planktonic bacteria and can support a substantial fraction of the C requirements for bacterial growth (Hanisch et al. 1996), the difference in TDCHO concentration between the control and the treatments with bacteria probably represents bacterial utilization of the TDCHO. A high β-glucosidase activity in the HS treatments with bacteria present also indicates a utilization of the TDCHO.

There seemed to be a higher bacterial abundance in the AB+HS treatment, compared to the B+HS treatment (though not statistically significant). Assuming that the release of TDCHO by the axenic *Alexandrium catenella* in the A+HS treatment also occurred in the AB+HS treatment, and that the lower concentration of TDCHO in the AB+HS treatment compared to the control represents TDCHO being utilized by bacteria, excretion of TDCHO by *A. catenella* also contributed somewhat to bacterial growth. This suggestion is supported by a higher β-glucosidase activity in the AB+HS treatment compared to the B+HS treatment.

**Enzyme activities**

The addition of HS stimulated bacterial aminopeptidase and β-glucosidase activity. In the treatments without bacteria there were no significant enzyme activities, as would be expected, since the extracellular aminopeptidases and β-glucosidases are associated with bacteria (e.g. Rosso & Azam 1987, Chrost & Rai 1993). The aminopeptidase and β-glucosidase activities in the treatments with bacteria are similar to values recorded during a mesocosm experiment with coastal water (aminopeptidase and β-glucosidase activity 500 and 60 nmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively) (Chrost & Riemann 1994). However, enzyme activities measured in a humic lake were lower (aminopeptidase activity 20 to 30 nmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹ and β-glucosidase activity 70 to 120 nmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹) than in our experiment (Münster et al. 1989). Stimulation of enzyme activity by humic substances has been shown by Hoppe (1983), who measured higher aminopeptidase and β-glucosidase activity in a mangrove belt in the Caribbean coastal zone, compared to lagoon water with a high influence of seawater. However, bacterial extracellular enzymatic activity in the presence of HS can also be inhibited (Wetzel 1991, 1993).

Assuming that DCAA and TDCHO were released from the HS in the treatments with organisms present, as was observed in the C+HS treatment, this release would have stimulated the aminopeptidase and β-glucosidase activity in the treatments with bacteria. This is in accordance with the correlation between aminopeptidase activity and DCAA concentration described by Münster et al. (1992) for a humic lake.

Annual studies often show a maxima of enzyme activities when the phytoplankton bloom breaks down (Chrost & Overbeck 1987), when intracellular enzymes are released from algal cell lysis and excreted products stimulate the bacterial associated enzyme activities. This experiment ended when *Alexandrium catenella* and bacteria had started to reach stationary phase, but before any significant breakdown and release of intracellular aminopeptidases had started, which is indicated by the lack of aminopeptidase activity in the axenic *A. catenella* treatments, and consequently the higher enzyme activities in the AB treatment without HS are probably due to a stimulation of bacterial associated enzymes caused by excretion of DCAA and TDCHO by *A. catenella*.

The stimulation of β-glucosidase activity in the AB+HS treatment as well as the B+HS treatment was probably due to the release of TDCHO from the HS as observed in the control treatment (C+HS). This is in accordance with results showing a positive correlation between the concentration of dissolved combined glucose concentrations and β-glucosidase activity (Münster et al. 1992).
Growth of *Alexandrium catenella* and utilization of inorganic and dissolved organic nitrogen

The specific growth rate of *Alexandrium catenella* in the axenic treatment with HS added (μ = 0.27 d⁻¹) was comparable to reported in situ growth rates for *A. tamarense* at 15°C (0.3 d⁻¹) (Watras et al. 1982) or growth rates obtained at laboratory conditions (t = 15 to 16°C; light intensity: 57 to 170 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) when *A. tamarense* cells were supplied with a surplus of inorganic N in the medium (μ = 0.2 to 0.3 d⁻¹) (Watras et al. 1982, Boczar et al. 1988). The growth rates we obtained are also comparable to growth rates for *A. catenella* in laboratory cultures with a surplus of inorganic N in the medium (μ = 0.2 to 0.4 d⁻¹) (Proctor et al. 1975, Boczar et al. 1988, Matsuda et al. 1996).

The large release of both DFAA and DCAA in the controls where HS was added and no concentration increase of DFAA and DCAA in the treatment with *Alexandrium catenella* and HS indicate that *A. catenella* used the amino acids that were released from the HS. Direct utilization of small organic molecules, such as DFAA, has been shown to be an important mechanism for phytoplankton to obtain macronutrients (Flynn & Butler 1986, Antia et al. 1991). High-molecular-weight polymeric compounds, however, are too large to pass the cytoplasmic membrane (Payne 1980). Therefore, only that part of the DON that occurs in low molecular form (e.g. urea and amino acids) can be taken up directly through the cell membranes. Since there was no aminopeptidase activity in the axenic *A. catenella* treatment with HS added, *A. catenella* probably used the DCAA directly. Ogata et al. (1996) observed growth of *A. tamarense* when N-limited semi-continuous cultures were supplied with yeast extract and suggested that *A. tamarense* was able to utilize organic N substances for growth and toxin production. Kodama et al. (1996) showed the existence of actively growing endocellular bacteria in *A. tamarense* and suggested that these bacteria might have a mutualistic relationship with *A. tamarense* for utilization of organic substances. Jacobson & Anderson (1996) presented evidence that *Alexandrium ostenfeldii* can ingest other organisms (ciliates and other dinoflagellates) using phagocytosis.

The mechanism by which *Alexandrium catenella* could utilize DCAA and perhaps other organic N compounds in the A+HS treatment is unknown. However, a direct uptake of dissolved organic macromolecules was demonstrated in connection to this experiment, using fluorescently labeled dextran molecules with a molecular weight of 2000 kDa (Legrand & Carlsson 1998). One possible mechanism for the uptake of HMW organic molecules is by pinocytosis whereby the plasma membrane from a cell extends and forms a vesicle enclosing liquid containing the HMW compounds. This process has been shown to exist in some phytoplankton species (Kivic & Vesk 1974, Klut et al. 1987). Larger organic molecules can be taken up via the pusule system by some flagellates, although the major function of this organelle is still unknown. *Dunaliella tertiolecta*, *Amphidinium carterae* and *Procentrum micans* have been shown to take up macromolecular markers such as labeled lectins and horseradish peroxidase, presumably by pinocytosis using the pusule system, where the macromolecules accumulated in vesicles inside the cell membrane (Klut et al. 1987). This process has not been studied to any significant extent among phytoplankton however, and it still remains an open question whether or not pinocytosis is of any significance in phytoplankton nutrition. For heterotrophic nanoflagellates, significant uptake of 'colloidal DOM' has been shown (Sherr 1988, Tranvik et al. 1993). In these experiments, fluorescent (FITC-labeled) macromolecules (carbohydrates and proteins) spanning a large range of molecular weights (50 to 2000 kDa) at concentrations similar to the ones occurring in marine waters were ingested by heterotrophic flagellates and supported growth.

**Interactions between *Alexandrium catenella* and bacteria**

Aminopeptidase activity was negligible in the axenic A+HS treatment, but high in the AB+HS treatment. Thus, in the AB+HS treatment, *Alexandrium catenella* might have used DFAA as an N source, helped by the bacterial aminopeptidases. However, since the growth of *A. catenella* was somewhat less in the AB+HS treatment than in the A+HS treatment, *A. catenella* probably did not benefit from the bacterial aminopeptidase activity. Instead, DFAA produced by the aminopeptidase activity in the treatments with both *A. catenella* and bacteria was probably mainly used by the bacteria as suggested by several earlier investigators (Hollibaugh & Azam 1983, Christ 1991, Christ & Rai 1993, Martinez & Azam 1993).

Phytoplankton should potentially compete with bacteria for the utilization of amino acids, as well as for inorganic nutrients, and bacteria are supposed to be better competitors for nutrients at low concentrations because of their larger surface to volume ratio (Bratbak & Thingstad 1983). Since the bacterial half-saturation constants for amino acid uptake are lower (0.01 to 1.0 μmol l⁻¹) (Billen 1984) than the half-saturation constants of phytoplankton amino acid transport proteins (0.4 to 150 μmol l⁻¹) (Flynn & Butler 1986), it has also been assumed that amino acid uptake by phytoplankton is not important in the natural environment (Paul
sequent bacterial degradation of the H$_2$S supports a bacterial extracellular enzymes (aminopeptidase and $\beta$-glucosidase) is stimulated by riverine HS and subsequent bacterial degradation of the HS supports a substantial bacterial growth, without remineralizing inorganic N. *Alexandrium catenella* can utilize organic nitrogen (DFAA, DCAA and perhaps other DON compounds) without bacteria present, and the utilization of larger DON compounds than DFAA by *A. catenella* might involve an uptake mechanism for macromolecules, such as pinocytosis. This ability of *A. catenella* to directly utilize macromolecular N compounds in HS may be an advantage for this species (and perhaps other *Alexandrium* species) in coastal waters influenced by river runoff, and partly explain their occurrence and persistence in these waters.

In our experiment, *Alexandrium catenella* seemed to grow somewhat better in the A+HS treatment than in the AB+HS treatment. Even if this was not statistically significant it indicates that bacteria and *A. catenella* to some extent were competing for the available N. However, the large release of ammonium and dissolved amino acids from the HS probably made this competition very weak during the rather short experimental time.

On the other hand, the bacteria grew better together with *Alexandrium catenella* than alone in the HS treatments, probably because *A. catenella* supplied the bacteria with easily assimilable C, such as carbohydrates (e.g. Myklestad & Haug 1972, Obernosterer & Herndl 1995), which the increasing concentrations of TDCNO in the A+HS treatment also indicate.

In the B+HS treatment there was no net release of ammonia, even if the bacteria apparently were using the large release of DFAA and DCAA from the HS. Bacterial utilization of amino acids usually results in remineralization and excretion of ammonia since the C:N ratio of amino acids is low (e.g. Goldman et al. 1987, Tupas & Koike 1990). However, in the HS treatments the bacteria also had access to a large variety of organic substrates, with a much higher C:N ratio than amino acids. Since there was no net accumulation of ammonia in any treatment with bacteria present, the available C:N ratio of the substrates was probably so high that the bacteria kept the N, instead of remineralizing it, as shown by Goldman et al. (1987).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Both DFAA and DCAA can be released abiotically from riverine HS reaching coastal waters and constitute an additional N source for phytoplankton capable of utilizing dissolved amino acids. Activity of marine bacterial extracellular enzymes (aminopeptidase and $\beta$-glucosidase) is stimulated by riverine HS and subsequent bacterial degradation of the HS supports a substantial bacterial growth, without remineralizing inorganic N. *Alexandrium catenella* can utilize organic nitrogen (DFAA, DCAA and perhaps other DON compounds) without bacteria present, and the utilization of larger DON compounds than DFAA by *A. catenella* might involve an uptake mechanism for macromolecules, such as pinocytosis. This ability of *A. catenella* to directly utilize macromolecular N compounds in HS may be an advantage for this species (and perhaps other *Alexandrium* species) in coastal waters influenced by river runoff, and partly explain their occurrence and persistence in these waters.
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