
Small-scale variability of the current in the Strait of Bonifacio

Gérigny Olivia^{1,2,*}, Coudray Sylvain², Lapucci Chiara^{3,4}, Tomasino Corinne²,
Bisgambiglia Paul-Antoine¹, Galgani Francois²

¹ Univ Cors Pasquale Paoli, CNRS UMR SPE 6134, UMS Stella Mare Lieu dit U CASONE 3514, F-20620 Biguglia, France.

² IFREMER, Dept Oceanog & Dynam Ecosyst, Lab Environm Ressources Provence Azur Corse, RST ODE LERPAC, F-33083507 La Seyne Sur Mer, France.

³ Natl Council Res IBIMET CNR, Inst BioMeteorol, I-50145 Florence, Italy.

⁴ Environm Modelling & Monitoring Lab Sustainable D, I-50019 Florence, Italy.

* Corresponding author email address : gerigny@univ-corse.fr

Abstract :

Current dynamics in the Strait of Bonifacio (south Corsica) were investigated at a small scale during the STELLAMARE1 multidisciplinary cruise in summer 2012, using in situ measurements and modeling data. The Strait of Bonifacio is a particularly sensitive marine area in which specific conservation measures have been taken to preserve the natural environment and wild species. Good knowledge of the hydrodynamics in this area is essential to optimize the Marine Protected Area's management rules. Therefore, we used a high-resolution model (400 m) based on the MARS3D code to investigate the main flux exchanges and to formulate certain hypotheses about the formation of possible eddy structures. The aim of the present paper is first to synthesize the results obtained by combining Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler data, hydrological parameters, Lagrangian drifter data, and satellite observations such as MODIS OC5 chlorophyll a data or Metop-A AVHRR Sea Surface Temperature (SST) data. These elements are then used to validate the presence of the mesoscale eddies simulated by the model and their recurrence outside the cruise period. To complete the analysis, the response of the 3D hydrodynamical model was evaluated under two opposing wind systems and certain biases were detected. Strong velocities up to 1 m s⁻¹ were recorded in the east part due to the Venturi effect; a complementary system of vortices governed by Coriolis effect and west wind was observed in the west part, and horizontal stratification in the central part has been identified under typical wind condition.

Keywords : ADCP profiles, Regional model, Hydrodynamic, Corsica current, Strait circulation, North-west Mediterranean basin

1. Introduction

Lying between Corsica and Sardinia islands, the Strait of Bonifacio (SoB) is of significant economic interest linked to many human activities: shipping routes with thousands of ships, sometimes carrying dangerous or pollutant materials, fishing and tourism (Sorgente et al. 2012). It is also an area with a strong ecological heritage due to the presence of numerous emblematic species and has thus been declared a "Particularly Sensitive Sea Area" (PSSA) (International Maritime Organization 2011). In 2012, an International Marine Park (PMIBB, <http://www.oec.fr/>) was created by merging two national reserves: the French marine reserve (RNBB, <http://www.rnbb.fr/>) and the Italian national reserve (Archipelago of La Maddalena, Sardinia, <http://www.lamaddalenapark.it>). In this context, the environmental stakes involved are fundamental for preserving its biodiversity with both flora and fauna resources. Due to the richness of this area and the presence of PMIBB, many studies are currently being conducted in various fields (biology, pollution, population dynamics, etc). To maintain the ecological status of the site's coastal waters for future years, good knowledge of currents in the channels at local scale is required. Straits and channels in the Mediterranean Sea are key regions because they help to understand the role of water mass transport (inflow and outflow) from basin to basin (Béranger et al. 2005). Obtaining better understanding of the hydrodynamics in these areas is a complex task requiring that all the processes involved are taken into account.

The essential features of the hydrodynamic circulation in the North-Western Mediterranean Sea have been described and discussed in previous studies at large scales of space and time. The present state of knowledge about currents in the Tyrrhenian basin is described on figure 1, according to the previous studies (Iacono et al. 2013; Millot and Taupier-Letage 2005). This general circulation involves the main water mass named Atlantic Water (AW), entering through the Gibraltar Strait with colder characteristics, flowing along the North-African coast and gyrating around the Tyrrhenian basin. North Balearic Front, whose position varies seasonally, represents the northern limit of the Algerian Basin which acts for Atlantic Water reserve. When blowing on Corsica island, the northwest wind (named mistral) is channeled and accelerated by the orography in the Strait of Bonifacio, generating by divergence a cyclonic circulation, at very east of the Strait. Under the Coriolis effect, the flow tends to enter from west to east. The water balance between the two basins through the SoB was first studied by (Millot 1987). In addition, marks in the sediment on the sea-floor along the main path of the current have been identified by sonar imagery in the SoB (Pluquet 2006). To the authors' knowledge, there are as yet few studies describing the variability of the current around the SoB at a smaller scale of space and time: we can mention a study of oil spill dispersion forecasting system using a barotropic model as well as a short study in the middle of the Strait using moored instruments (Cucco et al. 2012; Gerigny et al. 2011b). To go further, it was then necessary to conduct a study in each compartment of the strait to investigate more precisely the local variability of the currents.

For this, an initial research program called MOMAR (Sistema integrato per il MONitoraggio e il controllo dell'ambiente MARino, <http://www.mo-mar.net/>), was funded in 2009 by the European Inter-regional project INTERREGIV, to prepare the environmental monitoring of sensitive areas between Corsica, Sardinia and Tuscany. In this project, a numerical model of the coastal current has been built and validated. In 2012, at the end of the MOMAR program, IFREMER (Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation durable de la Mer),

1 the UCPP (Université de Corse Pasquale Paoli) and CNRS (Centre National de Recherche Scientifique – UMR
2 6134) organized a measurement cruise named *STELLAMAREI* in order to collect data on planktonic larvae,
3 quantify the presence of micro-waste in water and study the hydrodynamics in the SoB. In this context, we first
4 made use of our high resolution regional model named CORSE400m to identify the main hydrodynamic
5 processes and localize the presence of the main eddy structures before the cruise. To confirm these hypotheses,
6 we then made use of in-situ data: Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP) and Conductivity Temperature
7 Depth (CTD) across a grid covering the whole area. Using these measurement data as feedback, we were able to
8 validate certain processes and obtain more precise information on water stratification. In parallel, satellite data
9 such as MODIS OC5 chlorophyll *a* data and Metop-A AVHRR Sea Surface Temperature (SST) data were taken
10 into account to estimate the recurrence of the structures over longer periods. Finally, by launching Lagrangian
11 SVP-Argos drifters during the cruise, it was possible to confirm with a good precision the location of these wind-
12 induced vortexes.
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 A description of the methods and data is given in section 2 of this paper. In the results and discussion,
20 section 3, we first present an analysis of wind conditions after which we provide a comparison between the
21 hydrological data with the model results. This is followed by an analysis of the ADCP profiles in conjunction
22 with cross-sections of the model to study the current and hydrodynamics in the different compartments of the
23 Strait. Then, we use additional data, namely satellite chlorophyll *a*, SST and drifters, to validate and support the
24 results obtained. Finally, in this part, we discuss all the results and propose summaries on both the small scale
25 variability of the current observed during a short period in the SoB and model reliability based on quantitative
26 analysis. In section 4, we announce which hypotheses should be validated by the new measurements, as well as
27 the usefulness of this work (including both the knowledge obtained and the model as a tool) for applications in
28 various fields.
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **2. Methods and data**

36 **2.1 Methodology used to conduct the sea cruise**

37
38
39 As mentioned previously, the *STELLAMAREI* cruise was a multidisciplinary campaign and
40 compromises were necessary to perform the physical, chemical and biological measurements. The CORSE400m
41 model, validated at large scale in 2012 (<http://www.mo-mar.net>), was primarily used in forecast mode to identify
42 potentially interesting mesoscale eddy structures. ADCP transects were then optimized to obtain vertical cross
43 sections of these potential vortex structures and take water samples at the same time. After the cruise, the model
44 was rerun with reanalyzed meteorological forcing variables and the simulation results were compared to
45 measured data. After that, satellite images were used to make observations on the recurrence of the mesoscale
46 structures throughout the summer period.
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **2.2 Study area: The Strait of Bonifacio (SoB)**

54
55 The SoB is located in the North-Western Mediterranean Sea and separated into two distinct sub-basins:
56 the Tyrrhenian Sea and the Ligurian-Provencal basin (Fig 1). Narrow in its middle (14 km large), the SoB covers
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 80,000 ha (Mouillot et al. 2008) and is dotted with many islands and islets. Along the Corsican west coast, the
2 continental shelf is relatively narrow with an average of 12 km between the - 20 m and -100 m isobaths (Fig 2).
3 On the opposite side, the Corsican east coast has a wider continental shelf and the -100 m isobath is currently
4 located 25 km off the coast. In the western section of the SoB, three bathymetric basins are clearly identified at
5 the bottom. These small basins are indicated by circles and letters A, B and C (Fig 2). The east-west channel has
6 an average depth of approximately 70 m. It is delimited on the east by small archipelagos (Lavezzi and La
7 Maddalena) with shallow beds and on the west by the Moines Islets. The water depth in the eastern and western
8 openings slowly increases down to 90-100 m before approaching the continental slope, where strong bathymetric
9 gradients are observed (Cucco et al. 2012).

10
11
12
13
14
15 The SoB is a particularly windy region where winds blow 328 days a year (171 days with a wind >16 m
16 s⁻¹ (Mouillot et al. 2008; Office de l'Environnement de la Corse 2007). This region of South Corsica is primarily
17 dominated by westerly winds (about 50 percent throughout the year) blowing between 260° and 300°, and with
18 speeds generally higher than 8 m s⁻¹ (called Libeccio). The second mode is represented by easterly winds (about
19 30 percent of the year) blowing during winter between 60° and 100°, with speeds mostly ranging from 5 to 8 m
20 s⁻¹ (called Gregale). Water circulation is strongly regulated by this regime with often violent winds (only 2
21 percent are slower than 2 m s⁻¹) generating very strong currents in the area (Gerigny et al. 2011b).

22
23
24
25
26
27 Seawater temperatures correspond to what is typically encountered in the north-western Mediterranean
28 with winter temperature values not falling below 12°C and with average summer temperatures around 22°C.
29 Warmer values can occur in the surface layer (24 to 27°C) and tides have a very slight influence on current
30 amplitude, with a maximum displacement of the water level of about 0.25 m (Gérigny 2010; Gerigny et al.
31 2011a). Salinity is relatively constant between 37.7 and 38.8, due to small freshwater input (Artale et al. 1994).
32 The runoffs coming from rivers are low (572 mm / year) which is characteristic of this western Mediterranean
33 region (Cucco et al. 2012). Some studies have pointed out the existence of strong current intensities drained by a
34 Venturi effect, particularly in the middle of the Strait of Bonifacio.

35 36 37 38 39 40 41 **2.3 Data acquisition at sea: ADCP, CTD and drifters**

42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Currents in the water column were measured throughout the SoB along a predefined grid, giving
priority to north-south transects. For this paper, we selected five transects (P2, P4, P12, P14, P23, Fig 2) which
gave the best acoustic images of the currents.

Two ADCP profilers were used for the *STELLAMAREI* cruise. The first one, provided by IFREMER,
was mounted on a towed fish alongside the ship at 2 meters depth to avoid boat movements and obtain more
precise data. The configuration was designed for shallow waters with 100 vertical cells of 1 meter resolution in
bottom-track mode and average processing of 30 seconds. The second one was provided onboard by the INSU
(Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers, <http://www.insu.cnrs-dir.fr/>) and fixed on the hull of the OV-Tethys
II ship. Its generic configuration was fixed at 60 vertical cells of 4 meters with a real-time averaging process run
every minute and bottom tracking when possible (Leredde et al. 2007; Petrenko et al. 2005). The precision of
both RDI 300kHz ADCP data is given at 0.02 ms⁻¹.

1 Towed ADCP data processing was performed in real-time by the RDI VmDas software and then
2 treated offline with the RDI WinADCP software providing automatic data smoothing. Shipboard raw-data
3 analysis was performed after the cruise by the INSU's Technical Division and the resulting data set is used in
4 this paper to check and confirm the validity of the main current directions given by the towed ADCP.
5

6 Hydrological parameters (temperature and salinity) were measured with the CTD probe Seabird-
7 SBE19-V2 (precision of 0.005°C for temperature and 0.0005 S/m for conductivity) only four times: three
8 stations near the coasts and one station more off-shore (Fig 2).
9

10 To complete these measurements and obtain Lagrangian trajectories, three drifters (SVP-GPS
11 WoceArgo) were launched in each compartment of the SoB (middle, east and west). They were dimensioned to
12 follow the currents 15 meters under the surface. Each drifter was composed of a surface buoy linked to a
13 cylindrical drogue and equipped with an ARGOS beacon to transmit its location via the NOAA satellite (Poulain
14 et al. 2012).
15
16
17
18

19 **2.4 Oceanic Modelling: MARS3D**

20 Modelling was performed using the MARS-3D code (3D hydrodynamic Model for Applications at
21 Regional Scale) developed at IFREMER and described in detail by Lazure and Dumas (2008). This code is
22 based on 3D primitive equations with a classical Boussinesq approximation and hydrostatic assumption. Both 2D
23 barotrope and 3D barocline calculation modes were distinct and spatial discretization was done using a staggered
24 "C" grid (Arakawa and Lamb 1977) with a vertical sigma-coordinates system. Hydrodynamic boundary
25 conditions were given by the MARS3D-MENOR model covering the northwest Mediterranean sea (Andre et al.
26 2005); its horizontal resolution was about 1.2 km with 30 sigma layers (Rubio et al. 2009). Atmospheric forcing
27 was obtained from an MM5 model (Mediterranean Acri-ST model, resolution 3 km) with a recording frequency
28 of 3 hours. The MENOR configuration was also used to study the variability of the Liguro-Provençal north
29 current (Andre et al. 2009) and the associated cross-shelf exchanges (Andre et al. 2009). The downsized coastal
30 model CORSE400m, nested in the previous one, was run before the *STELLAMARE1* cruise in previsual mode
31 and after the cruise for reanalysis. It offers a finer grid resolution of about 400 meters and covers the whole of
32 Corsica region (40.72° - 43.32°N; 8.15° - 9.95°E) including the SoB until the Sardinian coast to the south. In
33 this regional configuration, the vertical scheme was based on the Pacanovski & Philander formulation (1981)
34 and horizontal dissipation was based on the Smagorinsky formula with a mean coefficient of 0.2 for viscosity.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 In this paper, the model results were first used to give a general view of the current dynamics at the
45 surface during the cruise period. Water column currents have been then investigated along few ADCP profiles
46 and the method chosen was to quantify the main currents by analysing the best matching cross-sections in a
47 spatio-temporal window corresponding to model uncertainties.
48
49
50

51 **2.5 Chlorophyll *a* data by MODIS OC5 algorithm and SST from Metop-A AVHRR**

52 Satellite-derived chlorophyll *a* concentrations [CHL] were estimated by OC5, an empirical ocean color
53 algorithm on MODIS AQUA data (<http://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/>). The OC5 algorithm, provided by Ifremer (Gohin
54 et al. 2002), was initially developed to give accurate [CHL] estimations on the Bay of Biscay and the English
55 Channel oceanic and coastal areas. OC5, recently updated by data from the French Mediterranean area, presents
56 intrinsic robustness for both oceanic and coastal waters when compared with other regional and global
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 algorithms used in the Ligurian and North Tyrrhenian sea (Lapucci et al. 2012) and also in other seas such as the
2 Bay of Bengal (India) (Tilstone et al. 2011). All the MODIS-AQUA Level-2 files, containing all or part of the
3 study area in July, August and September 2012, were acquired from the online OBPB Data Processing System.
4 All images had a spatial resolution of about 1 km² at nadir and had been corrected for atmospheric effects.
5

6 SST Metop-A AVHRR Level-2 files, processed by GHRSSST, containing all or part of the study area in
7 July, August and September 2012, were acquired from NOAA. All the images had a spatial resolution of about
8 1.1 km² at nadir and were already corrected from the atmospheric effects.
9

10 Nineteen pairs of chlorophyll a and SST maps were selected, while those with complete or partial cloud
11 coverage of the study area were eliminated, taking into consideration dust concentration (SKIRON/DUST model
12 developed by the University of Athens, AM&WFG, <http://forecast.uoa.gr/dustindx.php>), precipitable water
13 (from ECMWF), and MODIS flags.
14
15
16

17 **3. Results**

21 **3.1 Wind in the SoB: cruise conditions and comparison of wind between MM5 and MétéoFrance 22 (MF) data**

23 During the *STELLAMAREI* cruise period, wind data were recorded by MétéoFrance (MF) at the
24 Pertusato Semaphore (41°22'25.25''N and 9°10'42.33''E) with a mean velocity of 5.7 m s⁻¹ and a standard
25 deviation of 2.6 (min = 0.8 m s⁻¹ and max = 11.8 m s⁻¹, n=168). Westerly wind corresponded to about 56% of the
26 seven-day cruise and easterly wind about 35% (the major directions in this area being west and north-east).
27 Several changes in wind direction were recorded: first the wind was established as westerly since 3 August, then
28 turned east from 4 August to 6 August, and stabilized back to westerly until the end of the cruise.
29
30
31
32

33 The measured data (MF) were compared with the simulated wind data (MM5, Fig 3). Temporal
34 variations of wind amplitude were quite well reproduced and followed the trend shown by the in-situ data.
35 Before 6 August the dynamics of the simulated data were lower than those of the measures because of the
36 smoothing performed by the meteorological model masking very local scale events. Directions for large
37 amplitudes were thus better reproduced and the phase difference between the two sets of data on the first day can
38 be explained by the low wind conditions in addition to a local perturbation near the measurement station on land.
39 After 6 August the rest of the recording reproduced real conditions with quite good dynamics and the binary
40 wind system characterizing this area was clearly visible in the simulated data throughout the period. In brief, on
41 average the MM5 model matched the in-situ data quite well and can be considered realistic enough to be used for
42 atmospheric forcing in our MARS3D ocean circulation model with a reasonable level of confidence.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 **3.2. Comparison of hydrology CTD data with the model results**

51 During the *STELLAMAREI* cruise, four CTD profiles were recorded and then compared with
52 the simulated data. The resulting curve given by the model (full line) and CTD data (dotted lines) are compared
53 for both temperature (Fig 4.a) and salinity (Fig 4.b). The bias between the two sets of data (measure - model)
54 was then calculated and plotted for temperature (Fig 4.c) and salinity (Fig 4.d).
55
56

57 Regarding temperature in the water column, the model reproduced the variations recorded in-situ
58 reasonably well. The values displayed corresponded to those usually encountered during the summer season in
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 the northwestern Mediterranean sea, i.e. between 25°C and 27°C at the surface and about 14°C at the bottom.
2 However, at this scale, the model had difficulties in reproducing the surface stratification, except for the CTD12
3 station located on the Sardinian coast but with a homogeneous layer less thick of few meters. In the deep layer,
4 for the stations located around Corsica (CTD11, CTD13 and CTD14), the bias was varying from 1°C to 2°C.
5 Finally, the intercomparison between the four stations showed that temperatures were better estimated along the
6 coast than in the channel. In fact, a general underestimation of the heat flow at the air-sea interface induced by
7 solar radiation has been noticed previously in our model (Faure et al. 2012). Despite this, for deeper layers, the
8 model was able to give a representative temperature gradient. Regarding salinity, for all the measure points, the
9 model curves were smoothed throughout the water column but the halocline was detected at about 15 meters
10 even if less visible in the surface layer. In the second part of the curve, the model showed a consistent
11 overestimation of salinity values with a constant average bias from 0.2 to 0.3 psu. This gap was already observed
12 in the MENOR configuration used to force our model throughout the watercolumn at this time (Faure et al.
13 2012) and has been corrected after that in most recent version of the MENOR model.

14
15
16
17
18
19 For the three coastal stations located on the 50 meters isobath (CTD11, 12 and 13) we assume that the
20 model can produce acceptable hydrological information at this scale, after systematic correction of the salinity
21 bias. Due to its position along the continental slope where vortex activity was greater, the last station CTD14
22 located near the 100 meters isobath, presented a less significant simulated profile. Whatever the case, at this
23 resolution, the model was declared good enough to represent the general dynamics of the Strait, essentially
24 constrained by wind and bathymetry. Better modeling of the heat flow in the regional air-sea model may
25 improve the slope of the curves and give a more homogeneous surface layer. In addition, by modifying the
26 numeric vertical scheme we should be able to better estimate temperatures for the surface layer in this area.

33 **3.3 Current profile analysis**

34 To characterize the hydrodynamics observed in the SoB, a quantitative analysis of the main currents
35 measured by ADCP has been done on the selected profiles and compared to model results. Then, for each
36 compartment of the Strait (east, west and middle) and for different wind configurations, the orientation and
37 amplitude of these local currents are described in details. All the data were used for this analysis: both ADCPs
38 data set (shipboard one and a towed one), model results at the surface and in the water column (cross-sections),
39 MODIS OC5 chlorophyll *a* data, Metop-A AVHRR SST data and drifter trajectories. Initial analysis of the
40 borders of the Strait (both east and west) helped to explain the origin of the incoming and outgoing flows.

46 **3.3.1 Quantitative analysis of the main currents**

47 The comparison between current's amplitude and directions measured by ADCP profiler and the
48 corresponding cross-sections calculated by the model is presented in Table 1. The method used was first to
49 determine vertical layers and horizontal sections in the ADCP data for each profile, to find the orientation of the
50 most important currents. Comparison is made separately on U and V components to find the occurrence of these
51 oriented currents in the simulation results by using a wide time window of 12 hours, considering the
52 accumulation of uncertainties in both meteorological and hydrodynamical models. In a second time, the best
53 matching profile was selected by using a spatial window of more or less 0.05°.

1 The time gap in models results is of about 9 hours in the western part of the Strait, 3 hours in the middle
2 and 1 hour in the eastern part. This can be explained by an underestimated west wind in the meteorological
3 forcing at the beginning of the cruise and by a lack of energy in the western boundary conditions supplied by the
4 MENOR model, generating slower movements of the water masses.

5 For in-situ data, the mean and standard deviation were calculated on each selected current vein; aberrant
6 values were rejected and a filter was applied to eliminate noisy data in first 10 meters under the surface and last
7 10 meters above the bottom. For model results, the average amplitude of the calculated current was obtained
8 directly by tracking the best 400 meters cells in the same vertical/horizontal section.

9 In a first analysis, the orientation of the components are globally well calculated by the model for each
10 profile, but the meridian component (V) is better represented than the zonal component (U). For each section, the
11 most significant calculated velocities correspond approximatively to the average measured values with an
12 acceptable error of less than 0.1 m s^{-1} except for the profile P14 in the middle of the Strait, where subsurface
13 velocities are underestimated (less than 50%) and canalized currents at the bottom are not represented. In fact,
14 currents in the middle of the Strait are very instable due to the rapid changes in the wind direction at the surface
15 and the presence in the water column of opposite currents entering and exiting of the Strait. The Corse400
16 model is not able to calculate such a precise stratification at this scale and the west side of the Strait, where
17 movements of the water masses are slower, is better represented.

27 **3.3.2 Venturi effect at the east of the strait**

28 In the east part of the Strait, a Venturi effect was observed when the wind blew from the west, as it did
29 on 6 August 2012. It is clearly represented in the fields of currents calculated by the model for both the surface
30 and bottom layers (Figs 5.a and 5.b). This effect corresponded to an increase of current velocity when the
31 pressure decreased, creating an aspiration phenomenon resulting from the horizontal narrowing between the
32 Sardinian and Corsican coasts and a vertical narrowing due to the higher level of the bathymetry in this area. The
33 consecutive acceleration of the flux is evident on the measured ADCP profile as well as on the simulated profile,
34 with a maximum current of 0.5 m s^{-1} , oriented north and east throughout water column and a simulated current
35 less important of about 0.3 m s^{-1} with generally the same orientation (left part of Figs 6.a and 6.b). The entire
36 eastern part of the SoB was mainly driven by this process, typical of strait areas surrounded by hills or cliffs.

37 In the middle of the ADCP profile we observed an inverted current oriented north and west (Fig 6.a),
38 with lower intensities at about 0.2 m s^{-1} , and separated from the former by a small bank at the bottom. The
39 corresponding simulated profile represents this compensation current oriented more westwards and shows
40 slightly lower values (between 0.1 and 0.2 m s^{-1} , Fig 6.b). A small third stratum oriented southwards appears at
41 the end of the ADCP profile and corresponds to residual waters coming from the south part of the Corsica
42 channel. This south current was well reproduced by the model at the bottom but also at the surface at the end of
43 the simulated profile.

54 **3.3.3 Circulation in the western part of the strait.**

55 In the western part of the Strait, along the continental slope and under the Coriolis effect, there is a
56 friction of the water masses along the Corsican coast. This phenomenon is reinforced when the wind is blowing
57 from west at the surface. The model (Fig 7.a) calculated for 3 August clearly shows a system of two
58

1 anticyclonic/cyclonic vortexes on the west boundary (named B and D), at longitude 8.60°. They are forced by a
2 moderate west wind and constrained along the slope, where the seabed rises from -2000 meters up to -200 m. A
3 smaller third vortex (C), linked to the flux leaving the Strait, is visible on the shelf at longitude 9.00°.

4 On the same date, two consecutive ADCP profiles (number 2 and 4, Fig 1) were recorded in this area
5 from north to south, along a single transect between Corsica and Sardinia (dashed line, Fig 7). A time shift of
6 nine hours and a spatial bias of about 0.05° in longitude (plain line, Fig 7), was observed in the simulated data to
7 retrieve the position of the currents visible in the ADCP measurements. This shift, mainly due to the imprecision
8 of the numerical simulation in space and time, can also be explained by the lack of dynamics of the simulated
9 meteorological data at this period (in part 3.1, Fig 3). The profile number 2 (P2 in Fig 1), recorded between the
10 Moine islets and the middle of the Strait for 10 kilometers, indicates the presence of a north-east current mainly
11 at the surface and slightly stratified with a mean velocity of about 0.25 m s⁻¹ (on the left in Fig 8.a). In the profile
12 number 4 (P4 in Fig 1), a south-east current expands throughout the water column along the Italian coast, with a
13 mean velocity of about 0.20 m s⁻¹ (on the right in Fig 8.a). According to the MARS3D model, these two
14 divergent directions correspond to an intermediate situation with a first branch of the current flowing along the
15 Corsican coast and a second branch, taken in the small vortex C along the Italian coast. The analysis of the main
16 current performed on the simulated data gave relatively good results but the mean velocity of the first branch
17 tended to be underestimated by the calculus (half the measured value: about 0.15 m s⁻¹), underlining the lack of
18 energy in the simulated data. At the beginning in the first part of the profile (P2), the component tended thus to
19 be more northerly with a less active U component (Fig. 8b). After 18 km in the second part of profile (P4), the
20 estimated velocity was still half the measured value (approximately 0.1 m s⁻¹) and the direction was more south.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **3.3.4 Water stratification in the middle of the strait**

32 In this transition area the situation is much more complex, and can vary according to the direction of the
33 wind. Nevertheless, two linked main phenomena are well identified: first, in the middle of the water column, we
34 can note the presence of a strong eastward current introducing water from the Provencal basin into the
35 Tyrrhenian sea, reinforced when the wind blows from the west as it was between 2 and 4 August (Fig 9.a); and
36 secondly, in compensation, a water flux entering at the bottom from the eastern Corsican coast and moving
37 westwards, following the coastline, when the wind blows eastwards, as shown by the model after 4 August at
38 12h00 (Fig 9.b).
39
40
41
42
43

44 Two ADCP profiles at two different longitudes were measured in the middle of the Strait during this
45 changing configuration (wind turning from west to east) to characterize the water exchange. On the profile P12
46 (longitude 9.10° west of Pertusato), taken from Corsica to Sardinia (i.e. north to south), the whole seabed was
47 filled by a relatively slow moderate south-west current, with a maximum velocity of 0.2 m s⁻¹ (Fig 10.a). In the
48 middle, in the deep layer between 20 and 40 meters, we observed a north-west current only 3 km wide, but twice
49 as strong, entering the Strait from the east with an average velocity of 0.4 m s⁻¹ (Fig 10.a). The whole center of
50 the surface layer was spread by the north-east current coming from the west. On the profile P14 (longitude 9.15°,
51 east of Pertusato), this time taken from Sardinia to Corsica (i.e. south to north), the stratification was more
52 complex horizontally and vertically both. During the first 3 kilometers, we observed a fairly weak north-west
53 current in the surface layer with a velocity less than 0.2 m s⁻¹ (Fig 11.a) entering the Strait along the Italian
54 coastline. After 3 kilometers, in the deep layer, the orientation of the inverted current was south-east, with a
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 slightly stronger velocity of about 0.3 m s^{-1} , corresponding to the flux exiting the Strait and moving toward the
2 Tyrrhenian Sea near the Maddelana islands. A second branch of this exiting flux could be observed as two strong
3 internal channels concentrated at the bottom and regulated by the presence of the Lavezzi isles slightly farther in
4 the bathymetry. Oriented north-east, velocity of the second branch was higher than 0.6 m s^{-1} . Finally near the
5 Corsican coastline during the last 3 kilometers, intermediate water, oriented south-east, appeared in the water
6 column near the Corsican coastline. Although the CORSE400m model was unable to reproduce this complex
7 stratification exactly with precise orientations, both main entering (north & east) and exiting (south & west)
8 currents were clearly visible with velocities between 0.2 m s^{-1} and 0.4 m s^{-1} (Fig 9, Fig 10.b and 11.b) quasi
9 similar to the measured values. However as shown in figure 11.b, the model was not able to reproduce the strong
10 currents represented by internal channels on the ADCP profiles (in red, Fig 11.a) mainly due to the lack of
11 precision of the bathymetry at this resolution (400 m).
12
13
14
15
16

17 **3.3.5 Téthys ADCP data**

18 As announced in the paragraph on the methodology (part 2.1), we made use of the shipboard ADCP
19 dataset to give a more synthetic view of the current for the whole area and confirm the results of the towed
20 ADCP profiles. Despite the fact that the vertical fixed configuration was poorer in precision for the small depths,
21 we were able to use this second dataset to draw field currents along the ship path for 3 layers: subsurface layer
22 (Fig 12.a), middle layer (Fig 12.b) and bottom layer (Fig 12.c). When comparing them, we observed that the
23 water column in the west compartment along the continental slope (isobaths 50 m) was oriented northwest and
24 very homogeneous down to the bottom, confirming the towed ADCP profile P2 (Fig 8). Conversely, the water
25 column in the middle compartment (underlined with red boxes, Fig 12) was clearly stratified, with the same
26 westward current, but confined in the deep layer along the Italian coast. There was also a strong eastward current
27 exiting from the Strait of Bonifacio at the bottom, as already shown by the towed ADCP profile P14 (Fig 11).
28 Finally, the current near the Corsican coast (isobath 20m) was also exiting from the Strait. However, it occupied
29 the entire water column. The amplitude and direction currents given by the Tethys' ADCP are consistent with the
30 data provided by the towed ADCP. So, the instrument does not introduce additional bias and we can consider that
31 the data obtained are reliable.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **3.4. Process validation by drifter trajectories**

43 Three drifters were launched to characterize the dynamics of the main vortex activities along the
44 Corsican coasts (Fig 13). The first drifter launched in the south part of the Strait near the geostrophic flux clearly
45 shows the cyclonic-anticyclonic system (B and D) previously identified along the continental slope with both
46 ADCP measurements and model results. Then it was drawn by a very local current along the shore before
47 entering the Strait, and driven by the small vortex (A) near Bonifacio. The second drifter, launched in the west
48 part of the channel, was carried by the northwest current before returning to the SoB, where it was trapped in an
49 anticyclonic (D) structure. Its position thus exactly overlapped the center of the vortex simulated by the
50 MARS3D model. The path of the third and last drifter, launched in the east compartment of the Strait, shows a
51 small vortex (named E) directly linked to the Venturi effect along the east coast. It is also visible on the
52 MARS3D-CORSE400m simulations discussed previously (in part 3.3.2, Figs 5.a and 5.b). It was then driven by
53 the classical main circulation until the Tyrrhenian basin. After observing these drifters for a period of 20 days,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 we were able to validate the existence of the four mesoscale structures suggested by the model over a longer
2 period.
3

4 **3.5 Identification of the model hydrodynamic structures by satellite data: chlorophyll-a and SST**

5 Mesoscale eddies can be observed from satellites, either via SST or by biogeochemical parameters such
6 as chlorophyll *a*. In fact, under certain conditions, the color of the sea (ocean color) provides an estimate of the
7 typical mesoscale motion that can be clearly observed from space, as well as information on biological activity
8 by the detection of algal blooms (Robinson 2010). In the Western Mediterranean area, summer is the most
9 oligotrophic period of the year, when it is easy to detect relatively low concentrations of chlorophyll *a* that can
10 clearly trace the dynamics of surface structures as they are easily detectable against a background of very low
11 chlorophyll *a* values. The satellite OC5 [CHL] range in August in this area is 0.10 – 0.21 mg/m³, but it should be
12 noted that OC5 chlorophyll *a* algorithm does not retrieve values lower than 0.1 for chlorophyll *a*.
13

14 The first step in hydrodynamic structure identification by satellite data was to compare the MODIS OC5
15 chlorophyll *a* and Metop-A AVHRR SST maps with the current maps obtained by the MARS3D-CORSE400m
16 model during the days of the *STELLAMARE1* cruise. The second step was to extend those observations to July,
17 August and September 2012. As expected, SST data showed quite homogeneous values for that time of the year,
18 so they were used as additional information to structure detection on chlorophyll *a* maps. Mesoscale eddies can
19 be identified on the satellite images by an increase of the chlorophyll *a* surface concentration, shown in the maps
20 as a spot of green color in contrast to the surrounding blue water (corresponding to the lowest chlorophyll *a*
21 values). It is important to note that under the summer oligotrophic conditions it is difficult to detect a decrease in
22 chlorophyll concentration that can trace as well the presence of an eddy. 19 matchups were found (Table 2), this
23 low number was of course strongly affected by the need to analyze satellite images almost completely free of
24 cloud cover.
25

26 Of the 19 matchups found, 15 showed agreement between the satellite maps and those coming from
27 hydrodynamic model: examples are shown on Fig 14 a, b, c, where surface structures are pointed out with
28 circles. 5 of the satellite–model matchups detected a double system structure (Fig 14 a), composed by the B and
29 D structures previously described (Paragraph 3.3.3 and Fig 7).
30

31 The double structure (B and D) and the eddy C could be clearly identified on satellite maps of July (Fig
32 14.a), both for chlorophyll *a* and SST parameters, the latter map showing an upwelling along the west coast
33 Corsica. In August (Fig 14.b), chlorophyll *a* satellite data only shows B structure, when compared to the model,
34 while the SST map shows the presence of another structure (F) on the Italian side; this is going to be analyzed in
35 further studies. In September (Fig 14.c), under a strong east wind, the system of structures A, B, C, D are
36 destroyed by a strong flux of water coming from the East and generating the structure A', at the same position of
37 the A structure but inverted.
38

39 We compared then data from the MM5 wind model with the selected satellite date and surface currents
40 given by the model. This comparison confirm the existence of the mesoscale eddy structures in the western part
41 of the SoB, and in some cases the existence of a double system structure, when strong wind is blowing from west
42 in the SoB.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

4. Discussion

4.1 Circulation summary

The objective of this paper was to improve our knowledge about small scale hydrodynamics in the SoB by interpreting measured data and model simulation on a short period representative of a characteristic wind transition. We obtained a general overview of the variability with a spatial repartition from west to east (Fig 15). In the west part, a system of complementary eddies (anticyclonic B and cyclonic D) was identified by the model and confirmed by drifters as well as satellite data over longer periods. The main structure B was more persistent and present until the bottom, with a diameter at the surface of about 20 kilometers. The recurrent nature of this structure B was also confirmed by a statistical study of marine litter concentrations in the SoB (Arcangeli et al. 2014) which shows that the highest concentration was located approximately in the center of the same mesoscale eddy. Already identified in several studies as Western Corsican Current (Astraldi and Gasparini 1992), the circulation at west creates an accumulation of waters along the slope which tends, under the Coriolis effect to be evacuated along the meridional Corsican coast towards the east. We can hypothesise that the flow of eddy B impacts against the bedrock formed by the vertical narrowing of the bathymetry and is amplified by the flow passing in the Tyrrhenian Sea, influencing the secondary vortex C. Using the model, we were able to further analyze the positions of these different structures. However, these interpretations will require new records to back them up. Further east, after the Lavezzi and Maddalena islands, the SoB is characterized by a Venturi effect, causing an acceleration of the outgoing flow until the Tyrrhenian Sea. The interaction with the southern current in Tyrrhenian basin, under appropriate wind conditions, generates a secondary vortex E along the oriental coast of Corsica.

This is the first time that the flows exchanged between the Tyrrhenian and Ligurian-Provencal basins have been mesured at such a local scale and throughout the water column after performing almost complete ADCP transects between Corsica and Sardinia. These first results indicate that the system is unsteady at the surface, but with a more consistent pattern just in the deep layer. Effectively, although the surface layer depends on the strong winds blowing east or west, a more stable current exists in the sub-surface, oriented from west to east, thus forming the main outgoing flux, further channeled at the bottom into two branches around the Lavezzi islands. In the deep layer, an inverted current flows from the south-east and enters the Strait heading north-west, thus creating a compensation flux, crossing the previous one and flowing along the Corsican coast until the Moines islets. However, this flow diagram cannot be taken for granted permanently in this part of the SoB. Indeed, the profiles in the middle of the Strait were recorded under low westerly wind velocities (August 4) with wind conditions not yet completely established. Since the currents in the Strait are strongly linked to winds (Cucco et al. 2012), the Venturi effect would certainly be amplified by a well-established westerly wind. Conversely, the contributions of the Tyrrhenian basin would probably be more considerable with a well-established easterly wind. However, in this study the main flow was clearly identified by the current measurements and the model showed that this flow tended to flow outwards to the east in the Tyrrhenian basin and join the geostrophic current. Based on these results, and through the accumulation of simulated data, new scenari could be hypothesize in the next future about wind-driven effects for both basins over longer periods, as well as on the origin of the vortex (regional circulation, obstacles, wind). In a further study, reusing annual simulation databases coupled with another marine mission based on moored instruments and the use of an

1 autonomous Waveglider vehicle equipped with an ADCP, CTD and fluorimeter, will lead to a more detailed
2 interpretation of the phenomena identified for the first time in this paper.
3

4 **4.2 Model reliability**

5 The atmospheric model MM5 used at this period lacks of energy, but reproduced the phenomena
6 observed correctly and allowed to force the oceanic model with a good level of confidence.
7

8 In general, the oceanic model MARS3D-CORSE400m provided a range of relatively consistent
9 estimations of the hydrological parameters, except for the systematic salinity bias of about 0.3 psu. This bias will
10 be corrected by providing a new version of the MENOR model on the boundary conditions. Regarding the
11 temperature, the bias observed under 15 m is about +/- 2°C and will be considered acceptable taking into account
12 the resolution of model. The break steepening of the temperature curve over 15 m could be corrected by better
13 modeling of the air-sea heat exchange induced by sunlight, while a new vertical scheme could be implemented
14 by an AGRIF nested model for example. On average, the model underestimates the current's amplitude of about
15 0.1 m s⁻¹. Despite this bias, the simulations were able to represent correctly centered structures, as demonstrated
16 by lagrangian drifters and chlorophyll a observations with a space/time shift less than 1/10° and a delay of few
17 hours as explain in part 3.3.1. The spatial gap is less visible at the bottom and is inherent to this kind of regional
18 model (Andre et al. 2009). The time shift does not seem linked to tide effects because their influence is not
19 significant as cited in the part 2.2. New versions of the MENOR model should reduce this time shift by
20 providing better adjusted boundary conditions, and this work is planned for the coming year. The MARS3D-
21 CORSE400m model was thus declared sufficient for this first interpretation of the small-scale variability in the
22 SoB, and could further be used for statistical estimations of seasonal variations. Future studies, aimed at
23 answering the specific needs of the marine park, could use a higher resolution model (100 or 200 meters) forced
24 by this CORSE400m model and centered on the coastal region.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **4.3 Model validation with drifters and satellite data – Chlorophyll a and SST**

37 The use of drifters to detect surface structures gives interesting results because of the very good
38 agreement between the structures previously detected by the MARS3D-CORSE400m model and the ones
39 observed and confirmed by the ADCP data. In addition, satellite data can also be a powerful tool for tracking
40 mesoscale structures. For this, physical parameter SST (Metop-A AVHRR) was observed together with the
41 biological parameter chlorophyll *a* (MODIS OC5). Although cloud cover caused the elimination of a large
42 number of satellite images, good matching between satellite maps and hydrodynamic model results was found
43 for the sunny days. On the basis of these encouraging results, the study of the hydrodynamics in the SoB could
44 be extended by using regional model simulations over a larger number of years. This work should be conducted
45 in parallel with satellite images possibly coupled with small-scale in-situ observations realized by autonomous
46 vehicles such as gliders in the water column or wave-glider at the surface.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 **5. Conclusion:**

55 The study we developed here is part of a longer-term research program aimed at analyzing the hydrodynamics of
56 an important economic area and prepares other studies directly linked to the Natural Park's activities. The study
57 was based on a high resolution regional hydrodynamic model that was validated in the south Corsica zone. This
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 model allowed us to make a better interpretation of observed and assumed phenomena in the Strait of Bonifacio,
2 involving high intensity currents sometime up to one meter/second. Working with complementary measures such
3 as chlorophyll *a* provides more consistency to the results obtained, particularly regarding (i) the presence of a set
4 of complementary mesoscale structures in the west part of the Strait; (ii) the existence of a strong Venturi effect
5 in the east and its interactions with neighboring water masses; (iii) the identification of the main exchange
6 between both oceanic basins taking the form of intersecting fluxes in the water column. The hydrodynamic study
7 of the SoB is only the first stage of more applied research work on larvae dispersal and the "connectivity"
8 between the various protected areas of the Marine Park and dedicated to environmental and biological studies
9 targeting priority zones. They will probably require the implementation of hydrodynamic zooms with finer
10 resolutions, nested in the existing simulations and coupled with specific ecological models.
11
12
13
14
15

16 **Acknowledgements**

17 We thank MeteoFrance and INSU for providing the in-situ measures and ship-mounted ADCP data, as
18 well as all the crew of the R/V Tethys II for their valuable support. This work was performed in the framework
19 of cooperation between IFREMER, the LaMMA Consortium and the University of Corsica (UMS 3514 Stella
20 Mare). It was funded by Interreg IV Project MOMAR/SICOMAR and CPER contract of Corsica (CTC/DRRT).
21 We also thank David Le Berre for his technical assistance during the sea cruise.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- 1
2
3
4 Andre G, Garreau P, Fraunie P (2009) Mesoscale slope current variability in the Gulf of Lions. Interpretation of
5 in-situ measurements using a three-dimensional model *Continental Shelf Research* 29:407-423
6 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2008.10.004
- 7 Andre G, Garreau P, Garnier V, Fraunie P (2005) Modelled variability of the sea surface circulation in the
8 North-western Mediterranean Sea and in the Gulf of Lions *Ocean Dynamics* 55:294-308
9 doi:10.1007/s10236-005-0013-6
- 10 Arakawa A, Lamb VR (1977) Computational design of the basic dynamical processes of the UCLA general
11 circulation model. General circulation models of the atmosphere. In: General circulation models of the
12 atmosphere. (A78-10662 01-47) New York, Academic Press, Inc., 1977 edn.,
- 13 Arcangeli A et al. (2014) Floating plastic: a monitoring model in the Western Mediterranean Sea Region.
14 Density, composition and impact on the biota. Paper presented at the Workshop Rifiuti marini (Marine
15 Litter). Una minaccia per gli ecosistemi marini e costieri. Corso teorico-pratico per la gestione
16 sostenibile del detrito antropogenico sulle spiagge, Roma-Università degli studi Roma 3,
- 17 Artale V, Astraldi M, Buffoni G, Gasparini GP (1994) Seasonal variability of gyre-scale circulation in the
18 northern Tyrrhenian Sea *Journal of Geophysical Research* 99 doi:10.1029/94JC00284
- 19 Astraldi M, Gasparini GP (1992) The seasonal characteristics of the circulation in the north mediterranean basin
20 and their relationship with the atmospheric-climatic conditions *J Geophys Res-Oceans* 97:9531-9540
21 doi:10.1029/92jc00114
- 22 Béranger K, Mortier L, Crépon M (2005) Seasonal variability of water transport through the Straits of Gibraltar,
23 Sicily and Corsica, derived from a high-resolution model of the Mediterranean circulation *Progress in*
24 *Oceanography* 66:341-364 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2004.07.013
- 25 Cucco A et al. (2012) A high-resolution real-time forecasting system for predicting the fate of oil spills in the
26 Strait of Bonifacio (western Mediterranean Sea) *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 64:1186-1200
27 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.03.019
- 28 Faure V, Gatti J, Bensoussan N (2012) Analyse de la campagne MELBA et évaluation du modèle CORSE 400
29 m. Rapport Final.
- 30 Gérygny O (2010) Hydrologie et hydrodynamisme dans les bouches de Bonifacio : mesures in-situ, modélisation,
31 influence sur la biomasse. Biologie des population et écologie, spécialité océanographie, Université de
32 Corse - Pascal Paoli
- 33 Gérygny O, Di Martino B, Romano J-C, Ulses C (2011a) A one-year (2005) comparison of seawater temperature
34 series between in situ and modelling data: Application to the Strait of Bonifacio (South Corsica)
35 *Comptes Rendus Geoscience* 343:278-283 doi:10.1016/j.crte.2011.01.002
- 36 Gérygny O, Di Martino B, Romano JC (2011b) The current dynamics inside the Strait of Bonifacio: Impact of
37 the wind effect in a little coastal strait *Continental Shelf Research* 31:1-8 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2010.11.005
- 38 Gohin F, Druon JN, Lampert L (2002) A five channel chlorophyll concentration algorithm applied to SeaWiFS
39 data processed by SeaDAS in coastal waters *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 23:1639-1661
40 doi:10.1080/01431160110071879
- 41 Iacono R, Napolitano E, Marullo S, Artale V, Vetrano A (2013) Seasonal Variability of the Tyrrhenian Sea
42 Surface Geostrophic Circulation as Assessed by Altimeter Data *Journal of Physical Oceanography*
43 43:1710-1732 doi:10.1175/JPO-D-12-0112.1
- 44 International Maritime Organization (2011) Designation of the Strait of Bonifacio as a particularly sensitive sea
45 area. Resolution MEPC.204 (62), annexe 22
- 46 Lapucci C et al. (2012) Evaluation of empirical and semi-analytical chlorophyll algorithms in the Ligurian and
47 North Tyrrhenian Seas *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing* 6:063565-063561
48 doi:10.1117/1.JRS.6.063565
- 49 Lazure P, Dumas F (2008) An external–internal mode coupling for a 3D hydrodynamical model for applications
50 at regional scale (MARS) *Advances in Water Resources* 31:233-250
51 doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2007.06.010
- 52 Leredde Y, Denamiel C, Brambilla E, Lauer-Leredde C, Bouchette F, Marsaleix P (2007) Hydrodynamics in the
53 gulf of Aigues-Mortes, NW Mediterranean sea: In situ and modelling data *Continental Shelf Research*
54 27:2389-2406 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2007.06.006
- 55 Millot C (1987) Circulation in the western Mediterranean-Sea *Oceanologica Acta* 10:143-149
- 56 Millot C, Taupier-Letage I (2005) Circulation in the Mediterranean sea *The Handbook of Environmental*
57 *Chemistry Series k*:29-66 doi:10.1007/b107143.
- 58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 Mouillot D, Culioli JM, Pelletier D, Tomasini JA (2008) Do we protect biological originality in protected areas?
2 A new index and an application to the Bonifacio Strait Natural Reserve Biological Conservation
3 141:1569-1580 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2008.04.002
4 Office de l'Environnement de la Corse (2007) Plan de gestion de la Reserve naturelle des Bouches de Bonifacio,
5 2007-2011.
6 Petrenko A, Leredde Y, Marsaleix P (2005) Circulation in a stratified and wind-forced Gulf of Lions, NW
7 Mediterranean Sea: in situ and modeling data Continental Shelf Research 25:7-27
8 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2004.09.004
9 Pluquet (2006) Recent evolution and sedimentation of the Corsican continental shelves. University of Corsica -
10 Pasquale Paoli
11 Poulain PM, Menna M, Mauri E (2012) Surface Geostrophic Circulation of the Mediterranean Sea Derived from
12 Drifter and Satellite Altimeter Data Journal of Physical Oceanography 42:973-990 doi:10.1175/jpo-d-
13 11-0159.1
14 Robinson IS (2010) Discovering the Ocean from Space. In: Springer (ed). pp 78-80
15 Rubio A, Taillandier V, Garreau P (2009) Reconstruction of the Mediterranean northern current variability and
16 associated cross-shelf transport in the Gulf of Lions from satellite-tracked drifters and model outputs
17 Journal of Marine Systems 78:S63-S78 doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.01.011
18 Sorgente B et al. (2012) Effects of protection rules and measures in an important international strait area: the
19 Bonifacio Strait JOURNAL OF OPERATIONAL OCEANOGRAPHY 5:35-44
20 Tilstone GH, Angel-Benavides IM, Pradhan Y, Shutler JD, Groom S, Sathyendranath S (2011) An assessment of
21 chlorophyll-a algorithms available for SeaWiFS in coastal and open areas of the Bay of Bengal and
22 Arabian Sea Remote Sensing of Environment 115:2277-2291 doi:10.1016/j.rse.2011.04.028
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure captions:

Fig 1 Circulation around Corsica from G rigny, et al, 2011; Millot, 1987, Millot and taupier-Letage, 2005

Fig 2 Map of the SoB in the Western Mediterranean Sea, between Corsica and Sardinia, with ADCP transects and CTD stations. Three main basins (A, B, C) are identified in the bathymetry. They correspond to the location of hypothetical vortex activities provided by the model before the cruise

Fig 3 Comparison of wind amplitude (m/s) and direction ( ) between in-situ data M t oFrance (MF) in black and modelling data (MM5) in gray

Fig 4 CTD measurements and model results for (a) temperature and (b) salinity; bias of (c) temperature and (d) salinity between the measurement and model results. A negative bias corresponds to an overestimation of the data by the model and conversely, a positive bias corresponds to an underestimation

Fig 5 Surface (a) and bottom (b) current fields given by the MARS3D-CORSE model at a resolution of 400 meters. Intensity is of about 0.5 m s^{-1} few miles north of the isles (Venturi effect). The blue dash line represents the initial ADCP profiles P23 and the blue plain line the best matching model cross-section

Fig 6 (a) ADCP profiles measured at the end of the SoB between the Maddalena and Lavezzi islands, at longitude 9.30°E . (b) Simulated profiles given by the MARS3D-CORSE model at a resolution of 400 meters

Fig 7 Surface current field given by the MARS3D-CORSE model, at a resolution of 400 meters. The mean intensity of the vortex is about 0.4 m/s . (a) for 3 August 2012 at 18:00; (b) 9 hours later. The vertical transect corresponding to the ADCP profile is indicated in blue (dash-line represents the initial longitude of the ADCP profiles P2-P4, plain-line represents simulated profile). Eddies are shown schematically by a circle and identified by a letter

Fig 8 (a) ADCP profiles recorded along the P2 and P4 transects at longitude 9°E , with a vertical resolution of 3 meters, between the Moines islets and the Italian maritime border. (b) Simulated cross-section given by the MARS3D-CORSE model at longitude 9°E on 30 vertical levels

Fig 9 Surface current fields given by the MARS3D-CORSE model, resolution 400 meters, (a) in the sub-surface (b) at the bottom. The selected ADCP transect is indicated by a blue

Fig 10 ADCP (a) and simulated (b) profiles along the P12 transect (west of Pertusato) at longitude 9.10°E with a vertical resolution of 1 meter, between the Moines islets and the Italian maritime border. (1 ping = 3 meters)

Fig 11 ADCP (a) and simulated (b) profiles along the P14 transect (east of Pertusato) at longitude 9.20°E with a vertical resolution of 1 meter, between the Moines islets and the Italian maritime border. (1 ping = 3 meters)

Fig 12 Current profile recorded by the hull-mounted ADCP of T thys II – INSU for the 3 and 4 August 2012. (a) 8 m, (b) 16 m, (c) 36 m depth. In red, stratification of the water column output, eastern Strait

Fig 13 Map of the drifter trajectories where eddy structures are represented by circles

Fig 14 Comparison for (a) 31 July 2012, (b) 9 August 2012, and (c) 16 September 2012 between (1) MODIS OC5 chlorophyll a, (2) Metop-A AVHRR SST and (3) MARS3D-CORSE400m model maps

Fig 15 Summary map of small-scale variability of the current in the strait of Bonifacio during *STELLAMARE1* cruise

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65


Table captions

Table 1 Comparison between measured and simulated data for Zonal (U) and Meridional (V) components. For each profile, the calculated mean on measured data for the specific water layer are compared with the most representative value of the simulated main current vein

Table 2 Comparison between chlorophyll *a*, SST, surface current model and wind data from the model in July, August and September 2012

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49




Fig_2

[Click here to download Manuscript: fig_2_map_bonifacio.tif](#)

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49





Fig_3

[Click here to download Manuscript: fig_3_vent_MF_MM5.tif](#)

[Click here to view linked References](#)





1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49



Fig_4

[Click here to download Manuscript: fig_4_CTD.tif](#)
[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49





figure_5

[Click here to download Manuscript: fig_5_model_venturi_vrs3.TIF](#)

[Click here to view linked References](#)


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

(a) Time 06-aug-2012 11:00

ADCP Profile 23 - Longitude = 9.30°



(b) Time 06-aug-2012 12:00 Model Corse400m - Longitude = 9.30°





1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49


figure_7

[Click here to download Manuscript: fig_7_model_ouest_vrs4.tif](#)

[Click here to view linked References](#)


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49**(a) Time 03-aug-2012 17:30****ADCP Profiles 2 and 4 - Longitude = 9.00°**

(b) Time 04-aug-2012 03:00

Model Corse400m - Longitude = 8.97°





1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

figure_9

[Click here to download Manuscript: fig_9_model_milieu_SoB_vrs7.TIF](#)


[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

(a) Time 04-aug-2012 02:00

ADCP Profile 12 - Longitude = 9.10° 

(b) Time 04-aug-2012 11:00

Model Corse400m - Longitude = 9.12 °




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

(a) Time 04-aug-2012 06:00

ADCP Profile 14 - Longitude = 9.20°



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

(b) Time 04-aug-2012 12:00

Model Corse400m - Longitude = 9.22°







figure 12

[Click here to download Manuscript: fig_12_tethys_vrsC.tif](#)

[Click here to view linked References](#)




Fig_13


[Click here to download Manuscript: fig_13_drifters.tif](#)

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49




figure_14b

[Click here to download Manuscript: Fig_14.b_vrs3.tif](#)

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49





figure 15

[Click here to download Manuscript: figure_15_vrs1.tif](#)

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49



Profile	Depth	Section of the profile (km)	Zonal U component (m s ⁻¹)			Meridian V component (m s ⁻¹)				
			ADCP		Model	ADCP		Model	Main current vein	Model time shift
			Mean	Std	Mean	Std				
P 2 - P 4	water column (10-50 m)	0 - 10 km	+ 0.25	0.03	+ 0.07	+ 0.24	0.03	+ 0.13	+ 9 h	
	water column (10-50 m)	14 - 20 km	+ 0.09	0.05	+ 0.11	- 0.20	0.07	- 0.10	+ 9 h	
P 12	subsurface (10-30 m)	4 - 6 km	+ 0.11	0.01	+ 0.16	+ 0.10	0.01	+ 0.11	+ 9 h	
	bottom (40-60 m)	4 - 6 km	- 0.05	0.04	+ 0.003	- 0.09	0.03	+ 0.006	+ 9 h	
P 14	subsurface (10-40 m)	4 - 7 km	+ 0.44	0.02	+ 0.23	- 0.31	0.04	- 0.14	+ 6 h	
	bottom (40-60 m)	5 - 6 km	+ 0.35	0.17	+ 0.01	+ 0.27	0.33	+ 0.16	+ 6 h	
P23	water column (10-50 m)	0 - 4 km	+ 0.23	0.05	+ 0.27	+ 0.40	0.06	+ 0.35	+ 1 h	
	water column (10-50 m)	4 - 6 km	- 0.20	0.08	- 0.15	+ 0.25	0.04	- 0.06	+ 1 h	
	water column (10-50 m)	6 - 7 km	- 0.09	0.03	- 0.19	- 0.12	0.05	- 0.22	+ 1 h	

AGREEMENT BETWEEN SATELLITE DATA AND MODEL	NUMBER OF IMAGES	WIND FROM MODEL
July 2012		
No vortex identified	1	South East to West wind
One vortex identified	4	West wind
Double vortex system identified	1	West wind
August 2012		
No vortex identified	1	West wind
One vortex identified	4	West wind
Double vortex system identified	4	West wind
September 2012		
No vortex identified	2	South East to South West Wind
One vortex identified	1	South East to South West Wind
Double vortex system identified	1	South East to South West Wind

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65