

Supplement of Biogeosciences, 11, 4393–4406, 2014
<http://www.biogeosciences.net/11/4393/2014/>
doi:10.5194/bg-11-4393-2014-supplement
© Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



Supplement of

Rapid formation of large aggregates during the spring bloom of Kerguelen Island: observations and model comparisons

M.-P. Jouandet et al.

Correspondence to: M.-P. Jouandet (marie-paule.jouandet@univ-amu.fr)

Supplement S1: Model description

The biological model for phytoplankton growth is a modified form of that in Evans and Parslow (1985) and Fasham *et al* (1990). In this case, there is only one nutrient, nitrate, and phytoplankton are lost to coagulation as in Jackson (1995) and Jackson *et al.* (2005). There are no grazing losses. Values for constants are given in Table 1.

Nitrate concentration

Change in nitrate concentration N :

$$\frac{\partial N}{\partial t} = K_z \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial z^2} - G\phi \quad (\text{A1.})$$

where K_z =vertical mixing coefficient, G is the phytoplankton specific growth rate, and ϕ is the phytoplankton concentration.

Phytoplankton growth

Phytoplankton growth rate at any given irradiance and nutrient concentration is given by

$$G = G_{max} \min(r_p, r_n) \quad (\text{A2.})$$

where G_{max} is the maximum specific growth rate, r_p and r_n are the relative growth rates possible growth for photosynthesis and nutrient limitation at I and N . They are calculated by

$$r_p = \frac{\alpha_1 I}{\sqrt{\alpha_1^2 I^2 + G_{max}^2}} - \frac{r}{G_{max}} \quad (\text{A3.})$$

where r is the phytoplankton loss rate and α is the slope of the PI curve.

$$r_n = \frac{N}{K_D + N} \quad (\text{A4.})$$

where K_D is the half saturation constant for nitrate uptake.

Irradiance I given by

$$I = I_0 e^{-\int_0^z k dz} \quad (\text{A5.})$$

where I_0 is the surface irradiance, $k = k_w + \phi k_r$, k_w is the attenuation coefficient for water and 0.04 m^{-1} and k_r is the light absorption coefficient for plants. The value of k_r was chosen so that k equaled the observed attenuation at A3 ($k=0.048 \text{ m}^{-1}$ for $P = 0.6 \text{ } \mu\text{g chl/L}$). Surface irradiance was calculated using the relationships of Evans and Parslow (1985) for a latitude of 50°S and a starting time 120 d after winter solstice.

A single phytoplankton cell was assume to have a diameter of 20 μm and a density of 1.0637 g cm^{-3} (compared to a fluid density of 1.0275 g cm^{-3}), for a resulting settling speed of 1.05 m d^{-1} .

Describing particle size distributions

Standard coagulation theory describes particle size distributions using a number spectrum $n(s)$, where s is particle size, such as mass m or equivalent spherical diameter d . Number spectra in terms of m and d can be related

$$n(d) = n(m) \frac{dm}{dd} \quad (\text{A6.})$$

The total number of particles in a small size interval $d_l < d \leq d + \Delta d$ is approximately $n \Delta d$. For a sufficiently small Δd , all particles have the same individual volume $V(d) = \frac{\pi}{6} d^3$. The total particle volume in the interval is then $V(d) n \Delta d$. The total particle volume for any large particle range $d_l < d \leq d_u$ is

$$V_T = \int_{d_l}^{d_u} V(d) n(d) dd \quad (\text{A7.})$$

which is proportional to the area under the curve when nV is plotted as a function of d . Plotting nV versus the logarithm of d destroys the relationship between the area under the curve and the total particle volume; plotting nVd as a function of $\log d$ restores it. We will discuss particle size distributions in terms of the nVd form of the distribution, but note that it contains the same content as n .

How coagulation changes size distributions

Coagulation destroys small particles and creates new ones in a way that is mathematically expressed as

$$\frac{\partial n(m, t)}{\partial t} = +\alpha \int_0^m n(m_1) n(m - m_1) \beta(m_1, m - m_1) dm - \alpha n(m) \int_0^\infty n(m_1) \beta(m, m_1) dm_1 \quad (\text{A8.})$$

where $\beta(m_1, m_2)$ is the coagulation kernel and describes the collision rate between particles of masses m_1 and m_2 and α is the stickiness, the probability of a collision destroying the two colliding particles and forming a larger particle.

One of the techniques for solving this equation numerically is to break the size distribution n into segments, called sections, each with a fixed shape but a variable magnitude, such that

$$n(m, t) = \frac{Q_i(t)}{\widehat{m}_{l-1} m} \quad (\text{A9.})$$

within a range of $\widehat{m}_l > m \geq \widehat{m}_{l-1}$, where $Q_i(t)$ is the total particulate mass within the bounds (e.g., Jackson 1995) and the range represents the section boundaries. This approximation breaks $n(m, t)$ into separate time and mass varying parts. Gelbard et al. showed that doing so allows Eq. A8 to be transformed from an integer-differential equation to a series of ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{dQ_l}{dt} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} {}^1\bar{\beta}_{i,j,l} Q_i Q_j - \alpha Q_l \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} {}^2\bar{\beta}_{i,l} Q_i - \frac{\alpha}{2} {}^3\bar{\beta}_{l,l} Q_l^2 - \alpha Q_l \sum_{i=l+1}^{l_{\max}} {}^4\bar{\beta}_{i,l} Q_i \quad (\text{A10.})$$

where ${}^1\beta_{i,j,l}$, ${}^2\beta_{i,l}$, ${}^3\beta_{i,l}$, and ${}^4\beta_{i,l}$ are sectional coefficients and l_{max} is the total number of sections. The equations simplify if the mass of the upper boundary of a section is twice that of its lower boundary.

Jackson (1995) added a disaggregation term to Eq. A10 which moved mass from section i to the next lower section $i-1$ at a rate $\lambda_i Q_i$, where λ_i is a size dependent disaggregation coefficient. We used the values for λ_i from Jackson(1995).

The algae were assumed to be occupy the first section: $\varphi=Q_1$. The equation describing their concentration is

$$\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial t} = Q_1 G + v_1 \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial z} - K_z \frac{\partial^2 Q_1}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\alpha}{2} {}^3\bar{\beta}_{1,1} Q_1^2 - \alpha Q_1 \sum_{i=2}^{l_{max}} {}^4\bar{\beta}_{i,1} Q_i + \lambda_2 Q_2 \quad (\text{A11.})$$

For particles in larger sections, the equation describing their concentration becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial t} = v_l \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial z} - K_z \frac{\partial^2 Q_l}{\partial z^2} + \lambda_{l+1} Q_{l+1} - \lambda_l Q_l + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} {}^1\bar{\beta}_{i,j,l} Q_i Q_j \\ - \alpha Q_l \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} {}^2\bar{\beta}_{i,l} Q_i - \frac{\alpha}{2} {}^3\bar{\beta}_{l,l} Q_l^2 - \alpha Q_l \sum_{i=l+1}^{l_{max}} {}^4\bar{\beta}_{i,l} Q_i \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12.})$$

where v_l is the settling rate for particles in the l th section.

Measures of aggregate size.

Because aggregate porosity increases with size, particle density decreases as particle size increases. The relationship between the diameter assigned to a particle from analysis of an image d_a is frequently described using a fractal dimension D_f . $m \propto d_a^{D_f}$. Increasing D_f decreases the porosity of large particles and results in smaller values of d_a for a given m . An apparent volume for a sphere with diameter d_a is then $V_a = \frac{\pi}{6} d_a^3$. A conserved volume V_c can be calculated from a particle's mass and the density of the single cell. Its diameter d_c can be calculated assuming it is a sphere.

Numerical solution of equations

The equations were solved numerically for a 150 m mixed layer using a centered-difference scheme, no-flux boundary conditions at the surface, fixed nitrate concentration= 30 μM and no diffusive particle flux at the bottom boundary (150 m). Equations were solved at a vertical spacing of 2 m. Particle concentrations were calculated in terms of the conserved volume.

Parameter values are given in Table 2.