Non-additive effects of ocean acidification in combination with warming on the larval proteome of the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*
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Abstract:

Increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide results in ocean acidification and warming, significantly impacting marine invertebrate larvae development. We investigated how ocean acidification in combination with warming affected D-veliger larvae of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. Larvae were reared for 40 h under either control (pH 8.1, 20 °C), acidified (pH 7.9, 20 °C), warm (pH 8.1, 22 °C) or warm acidified (pH 7.9, 20 °C) conditions. Larvae in acidified conditions were significantly smaller than in the control, but warm acidified conditions mitigated negative effects on size, and increased calcification. A proteomic approach employing two-dimensional electrophoresis (2-DE) was used to quantify proteins and relate their abundance to phenotypic traits. In total 12 differentially abundant spots were identified by nano-liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. These proteins had roles in metabolism, intra- and extra-cellular matrix formations, stress response, and as molecular chaperones. Seven spots responded to reduced pH, four to increased temperature, and six to acidification and warming. Reduced abundance of proteins such as ATP synthase, GAPDH and increase of superoxide dismutase occurred when both pH and temperature changes were imposed, suggesting altered metabolism and enhanced oxidative stress. These results identify key proteins that may be involved in the acclimation of *C. gigas* larvae to ocean acidification and warming.

Significance:

Increasing atmospheric CO₂ raises sea surface temperatures and results in ocean acidification, two climatic variables known to impact marine organisms. Larvae of calcifying species may be particularly at risk to such changing environmental conditions. The Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* is ecologically and commercially important, and understanding its ability to acclimate to climate change will help to predict how aquaculture of this species is likely to be impacted. Modest, yet realistic changes in pH and/or temperature may be more informative of how populations will respond to contemporary climate change. We showed that concurrent acidification and warming mitigates the negative effects of pH alone on size
of larvae, but proteomic analysis reveals altered patterns of metabolism and an increase in oxidative stress suggesting non-additive effects of the interaction between pH and temperature on protein abundance. Thus, even small changes in climate may influence development, with potential consequences later in life.

Graphical abstract

Highlights
- High temperature offsets negative phenotypic consequences of acidification.
- Twelve protein spots were associated with response to pH and/or temperature.
- Metabolic, matrix forming, stress, and molecular chaperone proteins were identified.
- At low pH and high temperature ATP-synthase and GAPDH were reduced, SOD increased.
- Changes suggest metabolic shift from catabolism to anabolism and response to ROS.
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Introduction

Today’s oceans are undergoing widespread alteration as a result of the changing global climate [1]. Elevated atmospheric CO2 leads to increasing sea surface temperatures [2] and acidification of marine environments [3,4], with the oceans acting as a sink for more than a third of all anthropogenic carbon emissions [5]. End-of-the-century projections for atmospheric pCO2 range from 600 to 1000 ppm (corresponding roughly to a decrease of 0.2 - 0.5 pH units) and an increase in sea surface temperatures of 0.6 °C to 2.0 °C, depending on the scenario adopted [1]. A direct consequence of this ocean acidification (OA) will be a reduction in the saturation state for carbonate ions [6], which will make the calcification mechanisms employed by many marine organisms more energetically costly [7], potentially putting them at a disadvantage compared to organisms that do not produce calcified structures [8]. Concurrent warming and acidification can result in synergistic negative effects on calcification [9] and other biological processes, although responses are likely to vary between taxa.
Larval stages of molluscs may be particularly at risk of acidification in warming marine environments [10], as their shells are extremely fragile [11], and the high rate of biocalcification required to form early skeletal structures makes them highly sensitive to reductions in the saturation state of carbonate ions [12]. The effects of changing environmental conditions on larvae are important to understand as these early life stages often represent a bottleneck for populations struggling to survive in the changing marine environment [13,14]. Reductions of 0.5 pH units often result in reduced survival of marine molluscs, as shown for *Mercenaria mercenaria*, *Argopecten irradians* [15] and *Crassostrea gigas* [16], while a pH decrease of 0.7 units can lead to abnormal development and high levels of mortality, as shown in *C. gigas* [17] and *Mytilus galloprovincialis* [18]. Increasing temperatures compound problems caused by acidification, increasing mortality and rates of abnormality and reducing size when both are considered concurrently, as shown in both the Sydney rock oyster *Saccostrea glomerata* [19] and *C. gigas* [20] during the first 48 hours of development.

Prolonged exposure to pH reductions of 0.5-0.7 pH units will likely elicit strong stress responses in many organisms [for a review, see 20], but reductions in pH by 0.2-0.3 pH units (corresponding to future $pCO_2$ levels of 600-750 ppm) are considered more useful for understanding how organisms will respond to future changes in pH, according to the European Commission’s *Guide for best practices for ocean acidification research* [22]. Although populations will typically have many generations to adapt to these average changes in pH and temperature [23], coastal ecosystems also demonstrate temporal and regional variation in pH and temperature meaning many populations already face conditions predicted for the end-of-the-century at certain points in time or in parts of their range [24]. Therefore identifying how organisms acclimate to these changes is a crucial step in understanding how populations might respond to global climate change. Even small changes in pH can influence
growth and development: a reduction of 0.2 pH units resulted in significantly slower growth in both *C. gigas* and the Sydney rock oyster *Saccostrea glomerata* during the first 48 hours of development [20], while a reduction of 0.3 pH units reduced growth and calcification in *C. gigas* during the first three days of development [25]. On the other hand, small increases in temperature may result in faster rates of growth and development, offsetting negative effects of acidification [26–28]. Differences in growth and the timing of development may have important consequences for population dynamics and demography [29], and developmental plasticity is a key source of variation in adult phenotypes, directly influencing evolution of populations and species [30,31]. For these reasons, investigation of smaller changes in pH over single generations may provide a more realistic indication of how organisms will respond to ocean acidification.

As well as directly investigating the effects of environmental change on the developmental plasticity of phenotypes, it is now possible to use molecular ‘-omics’ approaches to reveal the molecular signals underlying the response of development traits to small changes in pH and temperature, even when there are few outward signs of phenotypic change [32]. Proteomic techniques such as two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) can help to identify the mechanisms underlying developmental plasticity [33] without *a priori* expectations. Identification of differentially accumulated proteins highlights potential candidates involved in acclimatory or adaptive responses. In this study we characterise the effects of acidification and warming, both separately and together, on protein abundance in larvae of the Pacific oyster *C. gigas* 40 hours post-fertilisation, at which point the vast majority of larvae have reached veliger stage and are calcifying [25]. *C. gigas* is a bivalve of commercial significance and is also an important ecosystem engineer [34]. The 2-DE methodology presented has already been validated in organs [35,36] as well as in oocytes of this species [37], and is used here to identify major changes in abundant and soluble larval proteins in response to near
future change in pH and temperature, in order to identify key proteins involved in developmentally plastic phenotypes.

**Materials and methods**

*Conditioning of adults and larval rearing*

Thirty mature *Crassostrea gigas* aged two years were transferred to the Ifremer laboratory in Plouzané, France, in July 2013 and were kept, unfed, in constantly flowing filtered sterile sea water (FSSW) from the bay of Brest at 19.7 ± 0.3°C and pH 8.07 ± 0.04 units (reflective of natural conditions for the time of year) for 5 weeks prior to the spawning. Sex was determined under a light microscope and fertilisation was carried out with oocytes from five females combined and fertilized with the sperm from four males, and following a standard protocol [38]. Oocytes and sperm were periodically mixed, and after 90 minutes eggs were split equally among 12 open-flow 5L tanks in one of four treatments (3 replicates per treatment): ‘control’ (median temperature and median pH ± upper/lower bound = 19.9 ± 0.4°C, pH 8.06 ± 0.06), ‘acidified’ (19.8 ± 0.3°C, pH 7.87 ± 0.05), ‘warm’ (21.7 ± 0.5°C, pH 8.09 ± 0.05) and ‘warm acidified’ (21.8 ± 0.5°C, pH 7.87 ± 0.03). To create these conditions, a large header tank (100L) was filled with filtered and UV-sterilised sea water that had been warmed to 22°C. This water was then pumped directly to the three ‘warm’ treatment tanks and to two other header tanks (50L), one in which water was cooled to 20°C via heat exchange, the other in which pH was reduced by bubbling CO₂ through a CO₂ reactor (JBL GmbH & Co. KG, Neuhofen, Germany), maintained by negative feedback. These header tanks pumped water to the three ‘control’ and three ‘warm acidified’ treatments tanks respectively. Finally water from the ‘warm acidified’ header tank was also pumped to a fourth header tank (50L) where the water was cooled to 20°C by a second heat exchange,
before being pumped to the three ‘acidified’ treatment tanks. Flow rate to experimental tanks was maintained at 80 ml min\(^{-1}\), and the outflow passed through a 20 µm mesh filter to keep larvae in tanks. Larvae were kept in suspension and free from outflow filters by constantly bubbling air from the bottom of the tanks over the filters. An overview of the installation is shown in Supplementary Figure 1. Temperature and pH in each treatment tank were measured at four times during the 40-hour experiment using a WTW pH 340i fitted with a WTW SenTix 41 pH electrode (WTW GmbH, Weilheim, Germany); total alkalinity was determined from bicarbonate titration of triplicated samples collected at three times from a large header tank (analyses performed by Laboceca laboratories, Brest, France); and salinity measurements were taken before and after the experiment using a WTW LF 340-B conductivity salinometer (WTW GmbH, Weilheim, Germany). Values for dissolved inorganic carbon, partial pressure of carbon dioxide, concentrations of bicarbonate and carbonate ions, and saturation states of calcite and aragonite were calculated using the CO\(_2\)SYS program [39] based on constants from Cai and Wang [40] fitted to the Total pH scale; mean conditions during the experiment are presented in Supplementary table 1.

Larvae were allowed to develop for 40 hours post-fertilisation before counts and sampling, because preliminary experiments showed that in all treatments, only D-larvae were present in experimental tanks at this time. For morphological measurements, approximately 10000 individuals were collected by passing FSSW through a 40µm mesh, and aspirating the larvae into a tube containing 1 ml of absolute ethanol:phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution 1:1 (v/v). After four days at 4°C, samples were transferred to a 70% ethanol solution and stored at 4°C. For protein analysis, approximately 4 litres of water from each tank were passed through a 40 µm mesh, and the remaining larvae (mean ± SE estimated at 160000 ± 16000 per tank) were recovered into 2 ml tubes with the minimal amount of sea water possible (<1 ml) to
minimise salting-out of proteins during lysis [37]. One ml of lysis buffer [41] was added to the tube and samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until extraction.

**Phenotypic measurements**

A small volume of larvae from the 70% ethanol solution was added to a glass slide and immersed in glycerol. Larvae were viewed on an Olympus BX60 microscope fitted with an Olympus U-CMAD3 camera. For each flute, 30-50 D-larvae were photographed under a fixed illumination of both white and polarising light at 20 x magnification, and images were captured with analySIS start software (Olympus). Polarised light is refracted twice when passing through calcium carbonate, and the intensity of birefringence following double refraction provides a proxy for calcification. Size and birefringence measurements were assessed using imageJ software [42]. Size was calculated as area in µm², while birefringence was quantified as a greyscale score for polarised light images on a scale of 0-255 [43]. Checks for normality and homoscedasticity using Shapiro and Levene tests revealed that size data were non-normally distributed, and birefringence data were non-normally distributed and heteroscedastic. Therefore non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Bonferroni-corrected Dunn post-hoc tests were carried out to analyse differences between treatment groups. Dunn tests assume that cumulative distribution functions of treatment groups do not cross [44]. In order to meet this assumption, outlying birefringence samples (greyscale scores > 1.5 interquartile ranges beyond the 1st or 3rd quartile) were removed. All analyses were carried out using the dunn.test package [44] in R [45].

**Protein extraction and analysis by 2-dimensional electrophoresis**
Total protein extraction was performed on samples of larvae homogenized with a Polytron® PT 2500 E (Kinemetica, Lucerne, Switzerland) at 4°C in 2 mL of lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, and 0.5% Igepal; pH 8.8 at 4°C) containing phosphatase and protease inhibitors (1% of Phosphatase inhibitor cocktail II [Sigma-Aldrich], 2% of NaPPi 250 mM, and 1 tablet of complete EDTA free protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche] in 25 mL of lysis buffer)\cite{41}. Solubilized proteins were extracted by centrifugations at 4,000 g for 1 h at 4°C. The lipid layer was in the upper phase and was easily eliminated by pipetting. The interphase (around 1.5 ml) containing the proteins was collected and the small pellet of cell debris was left. The phase containing proteins was then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 45 min at 4°C to ensure total lipid depletion. The resulting lysates were aliquoted and stored at -80°C for further analysis. Protein lysates were quantified using a DC protein assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) with 96-well micro-plates (Nunc™) in a micro-plate reader (Bio-Tek® Synergy™ HT) and KC4 v3 software to compare results with a calibration curve of standard proteins (Bovine Serum Albumin) provided with the DC protein assay kit. For each protein sample a Bradford assay was performed to make 500 μg of protein deposition. For each of the 12 samples, precipitation and desalting were performed by adding one volume of a mixture of TCA/acetone (20% TCA) and precipitating the proteins overnight at -20°C. Precipitated proteins were centrifuged at 20,000 g at 4°C for 10 minutes. After removing the supernatant, the protein pellet was neutralized 2-3 times by adding 150 μl of a solution of Tris-HCl pH 7.4 (50 mM)/80% acetone containing traces of bromophenol blue, used herein as a pH indicator, followed by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 10 minutes. The pellets were dried using a rotary evaporator (Eppendorf concentrator 5301) at 30 °C for 10 minutes and resuspended in 250 μl of Destreak rehydration solution (GE Healthcare), containing 1 % IPG buffer (pH 3-10) before being placed at room temperature for 1 h before isoelectric focusing (IEF). The IEF dimension was conducted on a IPGphor3 system (GE
Healthcare) using the following protocol: 250V for 15 minutes, 500V for 2 hours, gradient voltage increase to 1000V for 1 hour, gradient voltage increase to 8000V for 2 hours and 30 minutes, and 8000V for 3 hours, all carried out at 20°C. Before the second dimension, the IPG strip was rehydrated in a solution containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.8, 6 M urea, 30% glycerol, 2% SDS and 0.002% Bromophenol Blue for two 15 minute periods, first with 10 mg/ml dithiothreitol, and then in the same solution containing 48 mg/ml iodoacetamide [46].

For the second dimension, each strip was deposited on the surface of a lab-cast 15cm x 15cm SDS-PAGE gel containing 12% acrylamide, and allowed to migrate in a thermoregulated device at 10 °C, as described in Artigaud et al [47]. After migration, protein spots were stained with Coomassie Blue (PhastGel, GE Healthcare) overnight, and then bleached by successive baths in a H₂O/methanol/acetic acid: 70/30/7 solution. The resulting gels were photographed and observed using G:BOX (SynGene). For image analysis, 16-bit level images of the gels were captured in .tif format. Three samples per condition were analysed. Images were aligned and spots detected using Progenesis SameSpots v3.3 software (Nonlinear Dynamics, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK). All analysed protein spots were manually checked and artifact spots removed. ANOVA statistical analyses considering: i) the effect of reduced pH at each temperature; ii) increased temperature at each pH; and iii) coincident reduced pH and increased temperature, were performed using the statistics module of SameSpot, with a P value of 0.02 used to limit the number of false positives identified [48]. Significantly differentially expressed proteins at P < 0.02 were excised and sequenced.

**Mass spectrometry**

The excised spots were washed with milliQ water, destained in 100 mM \( \text{NH}_4\text{HCO}_3/\text{acetonitrile (ACN)} (1:1) \), and dehydrated in 100% ACN. After rehydration in 100
mM NH₄HCO₃ and dehydration in 100% ACN, excised spots were air-dried and incubated overnight at 37 °C in a solution of 12.5 ng/μL modified trypsin (Promega) in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃. The resulting tryptic peptides were extracted from the gel spots by several washes in formic acid/ACN/water as previously described [36]. The tryptic digests were then concentrated by vacuum centrifugation to reach a final volume of 30 μL. Mobile A (H₂O/formic acid, 100:0.1) and B (ACN/formic acid, 100:0.1) phases for HPLC were delivered by the Ultimate 3000 nanoflow LC system (Dionex, LC Packings). 10 μL of peptide mixture was loaded on a trapping precolumn (5 mm × 300 μm i.d., 300 Å pore size, Pepmap C18, 5 μm) for 3 min in 2% buffer B at a flow rate of 25 μL/min. This step was followed by reverse-phase separations at a flow rate of 0.250 μL/min using an analytical column (15 cm × 300 μm i.d., 300 Å pore size, Pepmap C18, 5 μm, Dionex, LC Packings). A gradient ranging from 2% to 35% of buffer B was run for the first 60 minutes, 35% to 60% of buffer B from 60-85 minutes, and 60% to 90% of buffer B from 85-105 minutes. Finally, the column was washed with 90% of B for 16 minutes, and with 2% of B for 19 minutes prior to loading of the next sample. The peptides were detected by directly eluting them from the HPLC column into the nanoelectrospray ion source of the LTQ-Orbitrap XL (ThermoScientific) mass spectrometer. An ESI voltage of 1.4 kV was applied to the HPLC buffer using the liquid junction provided by the nanoelectrospray ion source and the ion transfer tube temperature was set to 200°C. The LTQ-Orbitrap XL instrument was operated in its data-dependent mode by automatically switching between full survey scan MS and consecutive MS/MS acquisition. Survey full scan MS spectra (m/z 400–2000) were acquired in the OrbiTrap with a resolution of r = 60000 at m/z 400; ion injection times are calculated for each spectrum to allow for accumulation of 106 ions in the OrbiTrap. The 10 most intense peptide ions in each survey scan with intensity above 2000 counts and a charge state ≥2 were sequentially isolated and fragmented in the ion trap by collision induced dissociation.
Normalized collision energy was set to 35% with an activation time of 30 ms. Peaks selected for fragmentation were automatically put on a dynamic exclusion list for 60 s with a mass tolerance of ±10 ppm.

Data processing

Mass spectrometry data were saved in RAW file format (ThermoScientific) using XCalibur 2.0.7 with tune 2.4. The data analysis was performed with the Proteome Discoverer 1.2 software supported by Mascot (Mascot server v2.2.07; http://www.matrixscience.com) database search engines for peptide and protein identification. MS/MS spectra were compared to the Crassostrea gigas Uniprot Reference Proteome database (UP000005408, July 2014, 25978 sequences, 11649621 residues). Mass tolerance for MS and MS/MS was set at 10 ppm and 0.5 Da, respectively. Trypsin was selected as enzyme with one miscleavage allowed. Protein modifications were fixed carbamidomethylation of Cys and variable oxidation of Met. Identified peptides were filtered based on the Mascot score to obtain a false discovery rate of 1%. Only rank 1 peptides were considered. In the case of peptides shared by different proteins, proteins were automatically grouped. The proteins within a group were ranked according to their protein score. The proteins reported carried the highest scores. Data are available via ProteomeXchange with identifier PXD002316. Homology searches obtained from the Crassostrea gigas Uniprot Reference Proteome database were validated or sometimes modified by carrying out a protein blast of the amino acid sequence in UniProt (http://www.uniprot.org/blast/) using standard parameters to search for the highest scoring characterised mollusc protein.
Results

Larval size and birefringence

Temperature and pH treatments resulted in significant differences in size for D-larvae 40 hours post-fertilisation (Kruskal-Wallis $\chi^2 = 158.01$, d.f. = 3, $P < 0.001$; Figs. 1 and 2). Specifically, those in the acidified treatment were significantly smaller than in the control (Dunn $z = -8.58$, $P < 0.001$), those in the warm treatment were significantly larger than in the control ($z = 3.15$, $P < 0.001$), and those in the warm acidified treatment did not differ significantly from those in the control ($z = 1.05$, $P = 0.932$). Temperature and pH treatments also influenced birefringence scores in larvae aged 40 hours (Kruskal-Wallis $\chi^2 = 137.05$, d.f. = 3, $P < 0.001$; Figs. 1 and 2). Here, larvae from acidified treatments were not significantly less calcified than those in the control ($z = -1.31$, $P = 0.566$); however, those in the warm treatment were significantly more calcified than in the control ($z = 8.61$, $P < 0.001$), as were those in the warm acidified treatment ($z = 6.59$, $P < 0.001$). Furthermore, larvae from warm acidified treatments were significantly less calcified than those from the warm treatment ($z = -2.44$, $P = 0.044$).

Differential expression of proteins and protein identification

Total proteins from oyster larvae from the four conditions (control, acidified, warm and warm acidified) were extracted and separated by 2-DE. After Coomassie blue staining, an average of 650 spots were detected on each gel (Fig. 3). In total 12 spots were found to be differentially expressed at our significance level of $P < 0.02$ (Fig. 3) in at least one condition. All of these 12 protein spots were excised from gels, trypsinized and identified by LC-MS/MS (Table 1). Two spots that were both identified as severin (5 and 6) appeared to have
similar molecular weights but different isoelectric points, suggesting post-translational modification of this protein, such as phosphorylation. Two proteins (spots 7 and 12) were assigned *C. gigas* UniProt IDs corresponding to uncharacterised proteins. A protein blast of K1QWT8_CRAGI yielded G9MBW9_PINMA (Shell matrix protein in *Pinctada maxima*) as the nearest characterised protein (e-value = 16 e-9; score = 161; identity = 32.0%), while a protein blast of K1QVF8_CRAGI returned H9LJ92_CRAAR (USP-like protein isoform 2 in *Crassostrea ariakensis*) as the nearest characterised protein (e-value = 3.0 e-18; score = 194; identity = 58.0%). The direction and fold change in expression of all proteins are shown in Figure 4 and summarised in Table 2. Seven of the proteins associated with these spots responded to reduced pH (the metabolic enzyme ATP synthase; intra-cellular-matrix proteins severin spots 5 and 6, and actin-2; extra-cellular matrix proteins matrilin-2 and shell matrix protein, and the glycolipoprotein vitellogenin-6), four responded to increased temperature (molecular chaperones GRP78 and PDI 2; severin spot 5; and the stress response protein USP-like protein isoform 2), and six responded to concurrent pH reduction and warming in the control vs warm acidified comparison (matrilin-2, ATP synthase, vitellogenin-6, USP-like protein isoform 2; the metabolic enzyme GAPDH, and the stress response protein SOD). Most proteins that responded to pH were less abundant when pH was reduced (five of seven: matrilin-2, ATP synthase, severin spots 5 and 6 and actin-2), while most proteins that responded to temperature were more abundant when temperature increased (three of four: GRP78, PDI 2, severin spot 5). Four of the six spots which responded to concurrent pH reduction and warming were less abundant (matrilin-2, ATP synthase, GAPDH, USP-like protein isoform 1). Furthermore, as Fig. 4 demonstrates, although the combined effects of reduced pH and warming were in some cases additive and synergistic (e.g. matrilin-2 and SOD), the combination of the two environmental variables also resulted in unexpected non-additive effects on metabolism, such as the larger fold changes in ATP synthase and
vitellogenin that occurred when both pH and temperature were considered together, despite the variables seeming to act in the opposite directions when considered singly.

Discussion

Phenotypic effects of pH and temperature treatments

Larvae reared in the acidified treatment were significantly smaller in size than those in any of the other treatments, suggesting that a reduction in pH may lead either to reduced growth or retarded development [49]. Although larvae reared in the warm treatment were larger than control animals, those reared in the warm acidified treatment did not differ significantly in size from control animals, suggesting that concomitant warming may mitigate the negative effect of acidification on size [26]. In contrast, the acidified treatment did not result in a significant reduction in birefringence versus the control. However, birefringence scores were higher in the warm and warm acidified treatment than in the control, and larvae from the warm acidified treatment had lower birefringence scores than those from the warm treatment. This suggests that at higher temperatures, effects of acidification on calcification in C. gigas larvae may become more visible, and that size and calcification are influenced by temperature and pH in different ways.

Proteomic response to pH

One of the largest fold changes to occur in the C. gigas larval proteome was the reduction in ATP synthase subunit b in acidification comparisons at both temperatures; a 2-fold reduction at 20°C, and a 5-fold reduction at 22°C. ATP synthase is a protein complex located in the mitochondrial inner membrane that plays a fundamental role in ATP synthesis in all living
organisms [50], and is constitutively expressed at high levels in adult *C. gigas* [51]. ATP synthase gene expression was reduced in two studies of sea urchin larvae exposed to weak acidification (pH reduction of 0.05-0.15 units) [52,53], and protein abundance was also reduced when larvae of the polychaete *Hydroides elegans* were exposed to a pH reduction of 0.4 units [54]. A reduction in the abundance of ATP synthase at low pH points to a reduced ability to produce energy via oxidative metabolism. This may result from changes to the energy budget in response to reduced pH, and may also arise due to retarded development. ATP synthase increases in abundance during larval development of *C. gigas* [55], so the reduction we observed could be the result of a developmental delay. The presence of 3.5-fold higher levels of vitellogenin following pH reduction at 22°C may corroborate this fact: vitellogenins are glycolipoproteins that act as yolk proteins for developing embryos in oviparous species [56]. Transcript [57] and protein [58] abundance of vitellogenin has been shown to decline during larval development of marine invertebrates as these maternally provisioned resources are consumed for nourishment. Therefore increased levels of vitellogenin in the warm acidified treatment could suggest that these resources are being consumed at a slower rate, which together with reduced ATP synthase abundance may point towards developmental retardation. Reduced development or growth as a result of reduced ATP synthase abundance could also help to explain the smaller size of animals in the acidified treatment compared to animals in the control.

Aside from vitellogenin, the only protein to show a positive change when pH was reduced was an initially uncharacterised protein, which showed increased accumulation in the warm acidified treatment versus the warm treatment. Blasting the amino acid sequence against the UniProt database found the nearest homolog to be a shell matrix protein from the Silver-lipped pearl oyster *Pinctada maxima* (e-value = 16 e-9) This protein is itself a homolog of the better characterised aspein, as identified in the Akoya pearl oyster, *Pinctada fucata* [59].
Expression of the \textit{aspein} gene occurs in the prismatic layer of the shell matrix in \textit{Pinctada} species \cite{59,60}, suggesting a role in biomineralisation. Our identification of increased shell matrix protein abundance in warm acidified versus warm conditions suggest that its accumulation may be a compensatory response to multiple environmental drivers, although in contrast to our results, Liu et al \cite{61} found that gene expression of \textit{aspein} was down-regulated in adult \textit{P. fucata} exposed to acidification and warming, and it is unclear if such differences arise due to species, life stage or expression/translation differences. Conversely, a different extra-cellular matrix protein, matrilin-2, accumulated less in the acidified compared to the control treatments. Matrilins are involved in vertebrate biomineralisation processes \cite{62} facilitating the deposition of collagen \cite{63}, and may serve a similar function in molluscs, as similar proteins have been found have a role in collagen binding-matrix protein in the byssus (attachment structure) of \textit{M. galloprovincialis} \cite{64}. However, matrilins have also been associated with \textit{Mytilus} immune responses \cite{65} and neural stimulation in \textit{Aplysia} \cite{66}, making it difficult to speculate on its role here in response to warming and acidification.

Comparing animals from the warm acidified treatment with animals from the warm treatment revealed reduced abundance of the cytoskeletal protein actin and the actin-binding protein severin (spot 5) as pH declined: an alternative isoform of severin (spot 6) was also less abundant in acidified versus control animals. Actins are highly abundant proteins within eukaryotic cells, while severins are part of the gelsolin family of capping proteins which regulate actin length \cite{67}. Changes in structural protein abundance could be a sign of cytoskeletal remodeling, as structural proteins are key regulators of many cellular processes relating to cell division and shape \cite{68}, However, given their ubiquity of these proteins in eukaryotic cells, reduced accumulation of actin and severin at lower pHs may simply reflect fewer cells in these individuals, which again may be the result of a developmental delay. Other studies on marine invertebrates have shown reduced abundance of cytoskeletal proteins
in response to OA. Beta-tubulin was down-regulated in *C. gigas* larvae reared under acidification [69], and both actin and tubulin were down-regulated in larvae of the tubeworm *Hydroides elegans* reared at pH 7.6 versus pH 8.1 [54].

**Proteomic response to temperature**

Rising temperatures resulted in the increased abundance of three proteins: two molecular chaperones (PDI 2 and GRP78) and severin (spot 5), and the reduced abundance of a single stress-protein (USP-like protein isoform 2). PDI (Protein disulphide isomerase) 2 responded positively to warming at pH 7.9, while GRP78, belonging to the heat-shock70 family of proteins, responded positively to warming at pH 8.1. The formation of disulphide bonds, as facilitated by PDIs, is a key step in protein folding for many extracellular proteins [70], ensuring their stability under variable environmental conditions, and PDI has been demonstrated to be a marker of quality in *C. gigas* oocytes [37]. PDIs may sequester reactive oxygen species (ROS) [71], and both PDIs and GRP78 help to prevent oxidative stress damage [72], often acting in concert with one another [73]. The expression of GRP78 following long-term thermal stress has been previously demonstrated in adult *Mytilus* species [74]; our results suggest that increased production of molecular chaperones may be a normal acclimatory response by *C. gigas* larvae even when temperature differences are only slight.

The only protein to show decreased abundance in response to warming was universal stress protein (USP) like protein isoform 2, which accumulated less in larvae from the warm acidified treatment compared to the acidified treatment. USPs are a diverse family of proteins that, although initially characterised in bacteria, are also present in plants, fungi and metazoans. Although their functions are often linked to physiological stress responses [75], USP-like proteins have been suggested to facilitate calcification in the coral *Acropora*.
millepora [76], and to regulate growth in the bacterium *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* via an ATP binding function [77]. A pH sensitive isoform of USP was identified in larvae of the closely-related Hong Kong oyster *Crassostrea hongkongensis* [78] and further research into expression and function of this protein family may improve our understanding of its role in larval responses to pH and temperature change.

*The interaction of pH and temperature on the proteome*

Sea surface temperature increases and ocean acidification are two inextricably linked changes associated with climate change. The choice of the assayed temperature (+2°C) and pH (-0.2 pH units, or pCO₂ of 650 µatm) in this study correspond to the predicted end-of-the-century conditions attained under the intermediate-high CO₂ emissions scenario RCP6.0 [IPCC RCP6.0; 1]. Therefore, as well as identifying proteins that respond to changes in pH and temperature as single environmental variables, it is critical to consider how multiple concurrent changes to the environment will alter protein abundance. In larvae reared in the warm acidified treatment, six spots were identified as differentially abundant when compared to the control. Four of these were differentially abundant in either acidified (ATP synthase, matrilin-2, vitellogenin-6) or warm (USP-like protein isoform 2) treatments. Two proteins, however, were identified only when the comparison between control and warm acidified was considered: the metabolic enzyme GAPDH showed reduced abundance, while the stress-response enzyme superoxide dismutase (SOD) displayed increased abundance. Both the increase in SOD and decrease in GAPDH could indicate a response to oxidative stress under warming and acidification. SOD is a key stress response of bivalves to warming [79] that is highly abundant in adult *C. gigas* [51] and actively expressed during metabolically active periods of development in marine invertebrates [80]. More abundant SOD in the warm
acidified versus the control treatment suggests that the effect of these two environmental
changes together results in more oxidative stress than when they are considered individually,
possibly as a result of a metabolic shift. Such a shift may arise due to GAPDH. This protein
alters carbohydrate flux, with reduced expression switching metabolism from glycolysis to
pentose phosphate synthesis [33,81], allowing the maintenance of sufficient reducing
equivalents to counteract oxidative stress, as has been shown in cold-stressed fish [82].
Furthermore, GAPDH was recently identified to be down-regulated in a 2-DE based study on
thermal acclimation in the scallop Pecten maximus [83]. As well as its established role in the
glycolytic pathway, GAPDH also appears to be involved in a diverse range of cellular
processes, including as a transcription factor interacting with RNA polymerase II [84], a
signal protein via the mTOR pathway [85] and binding to various nucleic acids [86],
suggesting that it is a central node in a network of thermo-regulated genes and proteins and
may play a pivotal role in thermal acclimation [83].

Proteomic mechanisms underlying phenotypic changes

Proteomic responses to acidification and warming can provide insight into the observed
differences in phenotypic traits such as size and biomineralisation. One compelling result is
the general decline in protein abundance when comparing acidified or warm acidified
treatments with the control and/or warm treatments, which may be an underlying factor of
reduced size in the acidified treatment, and reduced calcification in the warm acidified versus
the warm treatment. A previous study of acidification on C. gigas larvae found a similar
decline in protein abundance in lower pH treatments [69], which may arise because marine
invertebrates are often unable to effectively regulate the pH of their extracellular spaces
[87,88], leading to reduced protein biosynthesis in acidified conditions [89] and
developmental delay. Development may also be slowed by lower levels of ATP synthase reducing energy availability, and increased vitellogenin could indicate less consumption of maternal resources and therefore slower development. Acidification-induced delays have previously been observed to result in reduced size at a given age [90,91], in line with our own observations of reduced size. Conversely, increased temperature typically results in faster development and growth for ectotherms [92]. Increased protein metabolism is an underlying factor in faster rates of growth and development [93], and accumulation of protein chaperones such as GRP78 and PDI 2 may ensure the correct folding of proteins [33] as protein turnover increases, thus permitting larvae in the warm treatment to reach a larger size and to calcify to a greater extent than control animals.

The opposite actions of acidification and warming on rate of development may explain why larvae exposed to both simultaneously do not differ significantly in size from those in the control group, and supports the idea that increased temperature appears to mitigate some negative effects of acidification on larval development [26,27]. Certain changes to the proteome could underlie this compensatory effect: reduced ATP synthase and GAPDH are evidence of a shift away from catabolism, towards anabolism and biomass accumulation, or growth [94]. However, this metabolic strategy is unlikely to be sustainable in the long term as energy resources are likely to be depleted. Indeed, a recent study by Ko et al found that even though temperature compensated for the developmental delay induced by acidification, physiological quality was compromised [28].

**Conclusion**

Our results add to growing body of work investigating the proteomic and phenotypic responses of marine invertebrate larvae in response to multiple climatic drivers [28,54]. In
agreement with other studies, warming was able to mitigate some of the phenotypic negative effects of acidification on larval development [26–28]. However, our results also show that even if phenotypic differences are minor, protein accumulation is modified when considering the combination of reduced pH and increased temperature. Such differential accumulation produced additive responses, as was the case with SOD in which pH and temperature acted in the same direction individually as when combined, but also non-additive responses, as was the case for ATP synthase where pH and temperature acted in opposite directions but together resulted in a magnified response to pH. These changes to the proteome underlie developmental plasticity and, to a certain extent, permit larval acclimation to changing environments. However, acclimation may not be sustainable over long periods and could carry later-life costs [95]. The identification of differential abundance of key proteins such as ATP synthase, GAPDH and SOD during early development is an important step in understanding molecular mechanisms underpinning developmental plasticity of C. gigas larvae in environments with reduced pH and increased temperature. However, further proteomic studies are required to validate these results and investigate acclimatory protein responses over longer developmental periods and adaptive ones across generations. Moreover, information about protein abundance must be integrated with both preceding patterns of gene expression and subsequent measures of physiological quality such as lipid metabolism to better understand the mechanisms that might permit marine invertebrate larvae to cope with future climate change.
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Table captions

Table 1. Differentially accumulated protein spots in *Crassostrea gigas* larvae under reduced pH, increased temperature, or a combination of both, as identified by LC-MS/MS.

Table 2. Larval proteins significantly differentially accumulated in response to acidification at both temperatures, warming at both pHs, and the interaction of acidification and warming. Significantly different comparisons with a p-value of < 0.02 are highlighted.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Demonstration of size and calcification differences in *C. gigas* D-larvae under polarized light. Larva A was reared at 20°C pH 8.1 (size = 4140 µm$^2$, greyscale = 98.4); B at 20°C pH 7.9 (size = 3546 µm$^2$, greyscale = 98.2); C at 22°C pH 8.1 (size = 4202 µm$^2$, greyscale = 110.2); and D at 22°C pH 7.9 (size = 3937 µm$^2$, greyscale = 106.2).

Figure 2. Boxplots showing *C. gigas* larval size as measured by area in µm$^2$ (A) and birefringence, as measured by greyscale score (B) after 40 hours, in two pH (7.9 and 8.1 units) and two temperature (20 and 22 °C) treatments. Boxes encompass the interquartile range (IQR: 1$^{st}$ to 3$^{rd}$ quartile), dashed lines cover data within 1.5 x IQR, and outliers are represented by circles.
Figure 3. Representative annotated 2-DE gel images of larval proteome at 20°C/pH 8.1 (A) and 22°C/pH 7.9 (B). Protein spots displaying significant changes are arrowed. The name of identified proteins is shown in Table 1 along with details of the corresponding mass spectrometry data.

Figure 4. Normalised expression values for 12 differentially accumulated proteins in the C. gigas larval proteome under four conditions: control (C: pH 8.1, 20 °C), acidified (A: pH 7.9, 20 °C), warm (W: pH 8.1, 22°C) and warm acidified (WA: pH 7.9, 22°C). Corresponding fold changes and P values are displayed in Table 2.
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Table 1. Differentially accumulated protein spots in *Crassostrea gigas* larvae under reduced pH, increased temperature, or a combination of both, as identified by LC-MS/MS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot number</th>
<th>Protein annotation</th>
<th>UniProt ID</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>MW (kDa)/pI theoretical</th>
<th>MW (kDa)/pI Observed</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Seq. coverage (%)</th>
<th>Unique peptide matches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Matrilin-2</td>
<td>K1QD</td>
<td><em>Crassostrea gigas</em></td>
<td>81.2 / 6.61</td>
<td>86.0 / 7.46</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>78 kDa glucose-regulated protein (GRP78)</td>
<td>K1QIR 8</td>
<td>C. gigas</td>
<td>73.0 / 5.14</td>
<td>66.1 / 4.97</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Protein disulfide-isomerase 2 (PDI 2)</td>
<td>K1Q6X 5</td>
<td>C. gigas</td>
<td>55.5 / 4.72</td>
<td>54.4 / 4.51</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ATP synthase subunit b</td>
<td>K1RW W5</td>
<td>C. gigas</td>
<td>44.9 / 5.06</td>
<td>51.7 / 4.92</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Severin</td>
<td>K1PE5 7</td>
<td>C. gigas</td>
<td>37.2 / 4.88</td>
<td>46.9 / 4.76</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Severin</td>
<td>K1PE5 7</td>
<td>C. gigas</td>
<td>37.2 / 4.88</td>
<td>45.7 / 4.63</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shell matrix protein</td>
<td>G9MB W9</td>
<td><em>Pinctada maxima</em></td>
<td>45.1 / 4.5</td>
<td>46.0 / 4.45</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Actin-2</td>
<td>K1QW P8</td>
<td>C. gigas</td>
<td>41.8 / 5.48</td>
<td>43.7 / 5.51</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)</td>
<td>K1Q35 0</td>
<td>C. gigas</td>
<td>36.1 / 7.34</td>
<td>36.6 / 9.81</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Superoxide dismutase (SOD)</td>
<td>K1QG Q5</td>
<td>C. gigas</td>
<td>25.1 / 7.06</td>
<td>19.9 / 6.48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Vitellogenin-6</td>
<td>K1QN A2</td>
<td>C. gigas</td>
<td>273.1 / 8.97</td>
<td>15.1 / 8.19</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>USP-like protein isoform 2</td>
<td>H9LJ92</td>
<td><em>Crassostrea ariakensis</em></td>
<td>6.9 / 9.70</td>
<td>10.1 / 7.97</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Larval proteins significantly differentially accumulated in response to acidification at both temperatures, warming at both pHs, and the interaction of acidification and warming. Significantly different comparisons with a p-value of < 0.02 are highlighted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot num.</th>
<th>Putative protein</th>
<th>Effect of reducing pH</th>
<th>Effect of increasing temperature</th>
<th>Future scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20°C f.e.</td>
<td>20°C p-val.</td>
<td>22°C f.e.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Matrilin-2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GRP78</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PDI 2/ATP synthase subunit b</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Severin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Severin</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Severin</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shell matrix protein</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Actin-2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SOD</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Vitellogenin-6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>USP-like protein isoform 2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>