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#### Abstract

The objective of this study was to develop an effective biological sampling programme for fish species in the English Channel (ICES Divisions VIId and VПe) which are not subject to quota management, and to prepare these data for use in multispecies, multi-fleet models being developed by the Channel Fisheries Study Group. Length and age data were collected for six non-quota species, and length data for a further five species. The samples were collected over a period of two years from important ports on the French and UK coasts. The exploitation patterns of each species were described by allocating catches from similar catching gears, regions, seasons and target species into common units referred to as métiers. The most important métiers in terms of landed weight for each species were sampled, and a description of the seasonality and regionality of the fishery was prepared. The results and conclusions of the sampling for each species were presented by métier, and showed that the fisheries for all the species studied were to some extent seasonal and exploited regionally within VIId and VIIe. The data were also assessed in terms of the suitability for use in fisheries models. The data collected were adequate for simple modelling studies for the more abundant species. Data for those species which exhibited high seasonality in their fisheries were adequate whilst the fishery was in season, but poor for the remainder of the year. In all cases aggregation of the data on a six monthly or annual basis has been recommended.


KEYWORDS: Biological sampling, Commercial species, Exploitation, Fishery management.

## SUMMARY FOR NON SPECIALISTS

As the numbers of fishing boats have increased in the English Channel the abundance of the main commercial fish species have declined. In an attempt to protect the fisheries from over exploitation and return them to their most productive level, control of the numbers of fishing boats, the types of fish they land, and the fishing gear that they use, has become necessary. One method of management is to control the total weight of fish removed from the stock, and this has been done for the main commercial species by introducing a Total Allowable Catch (TAC). Those species not managed in this way are referred to as non-quota species, and often the knowledge of the biology and fisheries of these species is poor. Over exploitation of the TAC species and consequent limits on their landings has caused fishing effort to be diverted towards non-quota species. As a consequence the potential for conflict between different groups of fishermen competing for the same species or fishing grounds has increased. It is some of these non-quota species that are the subject of this report.

Effective fish stock management requires information about the population biology of each species, and normally this information is collected by recording the lengths and ages of fish landed at ports by commercial fishing boats. These data, which are routinely collected for those species under TAC management, have now been collected in a similar way for a number of non-quota species in the English Channel. Length data were collected by measuring samples of the fish caught by commercial fishing boats, and age data were collected by removing ear stones (otoliths) or scales from fish, both of which have visible rings that can be used for ageing. These data were used to provide estimates of the size and age range of fish caught by particular
fisheries. For most of the species studied in this sampling programme, this represents the first attempt to collect length or age data. In addition, information on the fisheries catching each species was collected to allow more detailed description of the fishery as a whole. The project enables guidelines for further sampling of these species to be laid out, should it become necessary in the future.

Conclusions were made about the suitability of the data for use in mathematical models. For most species it was not possible to collect enough data for the populations to be assessed on a quarterly basis, for some species the data collected were adequate when combined on a six monthly assessment, but for most species the combination of data on an annual basis has been recommended.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The majority of the fisheries in the English Channel (ICES divisions VIId and VIIe, hereafter referred to as the Channel) are subject to high levels of exploitation. The potential yield of many of these stocks is not realised, as the catch has become dominated by young fish which have not had a chance to grow and contribute more to the fishery. Annual assessments of the most important stocks are co-ordinated by The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES), which are undertaken using commercial catch and fishing effort data and fisheries-independent data arising from research vessel surveys, to estimate trends in abundance and mortality due to fishing. These assessments are used when considering management measures designed to protect the stocks from over exploitation, and return them to their most productive level.

Management of fisheries in the Channel is established under the EU's Common Fisheries Policy (CFP), in which quotas of an annual Total Allowable Catch (TAC) of each fish stock are allocated to member states. Fisheries in the Channel are dependent on a wide range of demersal, pelagic and shellfish species, and TAC's have been set for species which dominate the landings, including herring, mackerel, plaice, sole, cod, whiting, hake and anglerfish. As these species have become fully exploited, and because fisheries in the Channel are multi-specific, fishing effort has been increasing directly and indirectly towards other species for which no TAC has been allocated (referred to as non-quota species). For some of these non-quota species relatively little information is available. As a consequence, fisheries management has become
more difficult and the potential for conflict between different fleets competing for the same species or fishing grounds has increased.

The biogeographical distribution of most of the commercial fish and shellfish stocks in the Channel has recently been described in the EC Report Biogeographical Identification of English Channel Fish and Shellfish Stocks (Anon, 1993). This report has provided us with a better understanding of stock identity and the distribution of twenty five species in the region, as an important step towards developing a rational strategy for the management of their fisheries. The present study extends our knowledge of the biology and fisheries of the non-quota species covered in this report, and also includes some additional species. A list of the species included in the present study is given in Table 1.

In order to use existing models to predict the effects of different management measures, it is necessary to extend the collection of length and age data to cover all exploited species within their geographic range. Length and age data are collected for all the TAC species in the Channel, but little or no data have been collected for the non-quota species.

The broad aim of this study was to assess the importance of these non-quota species to fisheries in the Channel, and to collect basic biological data for use in models of multi-species and multi-fleet interactions. The specific objectives were:

TABLE 1 English, French and Latin names of species studied.

| English Name | French Name | Latin Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Brill | Barbue | Scophthalmus rhombus (Linnaeus) |
| Turbot | Turbot | Scophthalmus maximus (Linnaeus) |
| Red Gurnard | Grondin Rouge | Aspitrigla cuculus (Linnaeus) |
| Lemon Sole | Limande-Sole | Microstomus kitt (Walbaum) |
| Bass | Bar | Dicentrarchus labrax (Linnaeus) |
| Black Bream | Dorade Grise | Spondyliosoma cantharus (Linnaeus) |
| Red Mullet | Surmulet | Mullus surmuletus (Linnaeus) |
| John Dory | Saint-Pierre | Zeus faber (Linnaeus) |
| Cuttlefish | Seiche | Sepia officinalis (Linnaeus) |
| Spider Crab | Araignée de Mer | Maia squinado (Herbst) |
| Scallop | Coquille Saint-Jacques | Pecten maximus (Linnaeus) |

a) To develop an effective sampling programme to collect biological data for the main non-quota species within the Channel over a period of two years.
b) To prepare the data for incorporation into an age/length model to enable fleet and species interactions to be studied.

A database suitable for storage of the international multi-species and multi-fleet data collected in this study has been described in the EC report; Base de Donnes Internationales en vue de L'Evaluation Biologique et Economique des Stocks de la Manche, (Dintheer et al., 1995). Data can be output in a format suitable for inclusion into mathematical models of biological and technical interactions. A multi-species, multi-fleet, bio-economic model, capable of predicting the effects of biological and technical changes, is the long-term objective for the programme of study in the Channel.

## 2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 Physical and Biological Characteristics of the Channel

The Channel is a generally shallow area of the north-east Atlantic continental shelf. It has a maximum depth of approximately 100 m in the west, decreasing to a depth of approximately 40 m at the Dover Strait. The Channel also narrows from approximately 150 km wide at the western entrance to 30 km wide in the Dover Strait.

The coasts of both England and France feature several large inlets and bays, which support a variety of sandy and rocky shore communities, and some of which provide shelter from the prevailing south-westerly winds. A map of the Channel including locations mentioned in the text is given in Figure 1.

The Channel is subject to strong tidal currents, with the residual flow from the Atlantic to the North Sea, though gyres and boundaries also exist which may have roles in containing planktonic fish eggs and larvae within the Channel (Anon, 1993). The water column in the eastern Channel remains relatively homogenous throughout the year, but in the western Channel a thermocline develops during the spring and summer months (Anon, 1993).

The Atlantic has relatively stable physical conditions, but despite this stabilising influence relatively large changes in the physical and biological conditions are known to occur in the Channel, (Russell et al., 1971). The eastern Channel is thought to act as a northern boundary for the common occurrence of several warmer water fish species which have their centre of abundance further to the south or west, and some of these species are included in this study. Seasonal changes in environmental conditions will have considerable affect on the spawning success, growth rate and distribution of these species within the Channel. These changes are reflected in the seasonal and annual patterns of exploitation of the fisheries, which presents complex problems for fisheries management.


FIGURE 1 The English Channel (ICES Divisions VIId and VIIe)

### 2.2 The Métier Concept

The métier concept is a useful tool for describing fishing activity and for planning a biological sampling programme, and has been used throughout this report. A métier is defined as a fishing activity which is characterised by one catching gear and a group of target species, operating in a given area during a given season (Tétard et al., 1995). Within the métier the catches taken by any unit of fishing effort account for the same pattern of exploitation by species and size group. Targeting sampling effort by métier is more efficient than random sampling of the different ports, gears and areas at different times of year. Sampling by métier helps to structure sampling effort and is also more effective at providing a lower bias estimate of the length composition of each species in the fishery as a whole. However, flexibility of the métier sampling targets was maintained in order to allow sampling of any important métiers that had not originally been identified. A study of the métiers in the Channel has been presented in the Report International Catalogue of Fishing Fleet Activity in the English Channel (Tétard et al., 1995). This publication gives a list of all the métiers known to be operating in the Channel during 1991 and 1992, giving a summary description of each métier and allocating it a code. The total fishing fleet in the Channel is thought to involve approximately 4000 boats, of which approximately $90 \%$ are restricted to working in the Channel, and the other $10 \%$ are larger boats which also fish outside of the Channel. Approximately $50 \%$ of the total landings from the Channel are accounted for by these larger vessels (Tétard et al., 1995). It is important to recognise that the distribution of fishing activity between métiers is dynamic and constantly responding to the changing fishing opportunities in the region. A list of the
métiers and codes along with a brief description of the fishing activity they represent is given in Appendix I.

## 3. METHODS FOR COLLECTING LENGTH SAMPLES

Of the eleven species chosen for sampling during this project, five species were considered more important and accordingly given higher length sampling targets; these were bass, lemon sole, red gurnard, turbot and brill. In order to achieve an effective sampling programme for collecting length composition data, most of the important métiers for each species were identified before the sampling work began. These métiers, and the corresponding quarterly sampling targets for each species, are identified in Appendix II.

### 3.1 Timing

Length samples were collected on a quarterly basis for the two years between January 1994 and December 1995. Because of a delay in implementing the sampling programme, some species were not sampled until April 1994. However sampling of these species continued into the first quarter of 1996 in order to provide two full years of data.

### 3.2 Location

Length samples were only taken from fish for which there was evidence that they had been caught in the Channel. Length samples were taken from the landings of commercial fishing vessels available at wholesale fish auctions, on the fishing vessel, or from wholesale fish merchants. Some métiers were sampled at sea if the landings were not easily accessible at the auctions, or if the fish were caught in different métiers but mixed together when landed, for example the English cuttlefish pots and fixed nets, the French bass long liners, black bream long liners and large mesh nets for turbot. Although sampling at sea produced good quality data it was too time consuming to adopt this method for all métiers. Sampling at the merchants was often difficult as the source of the fish was uncertain unless the samples were taken before the fish were sorted. Sampling at merchants also relied heavily on their compliance, however, as there were no auctions on the south coast of the UK between Hastings and Brixham, this form of sampling was essential. Most sampling was carried out at wholesale auctions, before the sale of the fish. At the auctions the fish were removed almost immediately after sale, so it was often necessary to start taking samples as far from the starting point of the auction as possible, to allow time for the samples to be completed. This is not likely to introduce any bias as the position of landings on the market was determined by the order of arrival, which followed no set pattern. Sampling at auctions was simple, and was used as the preferred method of data collection.

### 3.3 Measuring

Measurements of size were taken in the most appropriate way, the finfish species were sampled for total length, the cuttlefish for dorsal mantle length, the spider crab for carapace length, and the scallop for shell height. Measurements of finfish and cuttlefish were made to the nearest centimetre below. Scallops were measured to the nearest half centimetre (France) or millimetre (England) below, and spider crab were measured to the nearest millimetre below.

The standard sample unit was a minimum of 50 fish, often equivalent to one box of fish at the auction (see Appendix II). When the individual fish were large, more than one box of fish would be measured. When the fish were small a subsample would be taken by measuring every second or third fish in one box, ensuring that the number of fish measured was greater than fifty. If the landings by individual boats were small, it was necessary to measure fish from several boats to obtain the minimum of 50 fish. Each length sample was recorded with the sample weight, total weight (kg), vessel registration, date and place of landing.

There were differences in the French and English sampling strategies as a result of different market practices for sorting fish in England and France. In France, the species studied in this project were sorted into defined commercial categories. French sampling work has confirmed that the length composition of each species in each commercial category is not dependant on the métier (Tétard, pers. comm.). Therefore, to provide comprehensive length frequency data, it was necessary to collect adequate
samples from each commercial category, which could be from any métier, and then obtain information on landings to each category by each métier. However, when differences in size grading of commercial categories were observed between markets (e.g. red gurnard), length data were raised separately for each different market.

In England the only species in this project sorted into the well defined commercial categories were those specified by the EU, i.e. red gurnard and lemon sole (Anon, 1990a). Although the other species were also sorted into commercial categories, the length composition of these categories varied between métiers and between markets, often depending on the length composition of the catch. Although theoretically sampling of lemon sole and red gurnard could be undertaken in the UK, samples were not taken by commercial category but were instead based on collecting length samples from each métier separately. Because separate samples are required from each métier, the overall number of samples required to describe a fishery in the UK is relatively high.

In England, lemon sole, spider crab and bass were also sampled as part of the Biological Sampling Programme carried out by MAFF port staff. These samples were included in the study in addition to those measurements taken directly as part of this contract.

In France some existing data on length compositions was included for John dory, cuttlefish, spider crab, brill, turbot and scallop.

## 4. METHODS FOR COLLECTING AGE SAMPLES

Age data were collected for brill, turbot, lemon sole, bass, black bream and red gurnard according to the targets shown in Appendix II. The methods for collecting materials for age determination (scales and otoliths), and the technique used for reading these materials, varied between species. For every aged fish details of total length $(\mathrm{cm})$, weight $(\mathrm{g})$, date of landing and area caught were recorded.

### 4.1 Turbot, brill and lemon sole

Turbot, brill and lemon sole are all flatfish species, and thus similar techniques were used for their age determination. For turbot and brill the technique of removing otoliths through the gill opening was used to minimise damage to the external appearance. As a result otoliths could be taken directly from the market or at wholesale fish merchants without having to purchase the fish. Lemon sole otoliths were also removed through the gill opening of English samples, whilst in France the lemon sole were purchased and then the otoliths removed from a dorsal cut across the head. The sex and maturity stage of these species were also recorded (mature/not mature, with maturity stage if possible), as the gonads remained after the fish had been gutted by the fishermen.

The individual techniques for otolith reading were similar between each of these species. Brill otoliths were read whole for young fish up to an age of approximately three to four years. Whole otoliths were viewed under water in a black dish using
reflected light and a microscope with low magnification. The otoliths of older brill were also read whole, but as these were more difficult to read accurately one of two additional methods were then used, and thus the otoliths were read twice. The older brill otoliths were either mounted in a polyester resin, sectioned through the nucleus, treated with acid and then stained with toluene blue, with the cut surface of the otolith then viewed under a microscope with transmitted light, or alternatively the otolith was broken through the nucleus by hand and then burned over a methanol flame, and the cut surface viewed with reflected light. The staining method made the otoliths slightly easier to read, but the otoliths were more time-consuming to prepare. Most English brill otoliths were read using the 'break and burn' technique, and in France all brill otoliths were mounted in clear resin then sectioned and stained.

When the flatfish otoliths were viewed whole with reflected light the winter rings appeared as white hyaline bands against darker opaque summer annuli. When stained or burned the winter rings would appear as blue stained or black/brown burnt rings respectively on the cut surface of the otolith.

Turbot otoliths were read whole for young fish up to an age of three to four years. In France older otoliths were also read whole, and then either treated like brill otoliths, some broken and then mounted, and some broken and burned. In England the otoliths of older turbot were read whole, and also after being broken and burned.

All lemon sole otoliths were read in Lowestoft. The lemon sole otoliths were all read twice, they were first read whole, and then after being broken and burned.

### 4.2 Black bream and bass

Both black bream and bass were aged using scales. Scale removal resulted in minimal external damage and they were easily removed from fish without the need for them to be purchased. A minimum of five scales were removed from each fish from a position posterior and ventral of the pectoral fin. Individual scales were viewed under a microscope using transmitted light. Scales which had been regenerated after the original scale was lost were removed from the sample as they would underestimate the true age of the fish. On the remaining scales circuli were visible, and the distance between these circuli decreased as the growth rate decreased or ceased during winter (Perodou and Nedelec, 1980; Pickett and Pawson, 1994). Winter annuli were visible as dark rings where the circuli appeared relatively close together or appeared broken. As bass and bream were not gutted before being sold it was not possible to record the sex and maturity.

### 4.3 Red Gurnard

Red gurnard otoliths were all removed after a dorsal cut to the head of the fish, and in France this was after a ventral break of the skull. This resulted in external damage to the fish, and it was therefore necessary to purchase the fish for the otolith samples. As the whole fish had been purchased it was also possible to record the sex and stage of maturity (mature/not mature with maturity stage if possible).

Red gurnard otoliths were all read in Port en Bessin. The otoliths were initially cleaned with a $3 \%$ hydrogen peroxide solution, then burned whole on a heated plate for 1-2 minutes until dark brown/light brown rings became clear. The otoliths were then read whole with transmitted light viewed on a television screen, where the winter rings appeared as the darker rings.

## 5. DATA RAISING

Raising sample data to the total landings is necessary for estimating the exploitation pattern of fisheries or for carrying out assessments. Fisheries models used for assessments can use the raised length distributions (in length-based models) or the raised age-length distributions (in age-based models).

### 5.1 Methodology

Length samples were first raised to the total landings for the corresponding métier, to give a métier length distribution. The age-length key (ALK) obtained from the sampling was then applied to this length distribution to produce an age-length distribution (ALD) for the métier. The ALD for the stock can be produced by combining the ALD's from all métiers.

In France the preferred method of sampling was to sample by commercial category, when it was possible. To produce a length frequency distribution for a métier, the
sample length distributions for each category are raised to the total landings made in each category, then the resulting raised category length distributions are summed together. The collection of the landings information is the responsibility of the "Affaires Maritimes", and the data are collected by the "Centre Régional de Traitement des Statistiques" (CRTS). The CRTS network includes four regional centres based in Boulogne sur Mer, Saint Malo, La Rochelle and Lorient, with several staff located on the coast near main auctions. The CRTS provided all the detailed information on species, gear and area fished, for landings made at auctions. These data allowed a simple automatic allocation of each landing to a métier, using the same methodology as outlined in the métier catalogue (Tétard et al., 1995). Away from the auctions, other information is collected mainly by the "Syndics des Affaires Maritimes". This information is less detailed and does not permit allocation to a métier. All the French data raising for the eastern Channel used the CRTS database, which covered an estimated $80 \%$ of the landings for this division. Not all the markets in the western Channel are covered by the CRTS, and additional methods were included when collecting commercial category data for the French VIIe fisheries. In the absence of commercial category data the samples can be raised to the total landings for the boat trip. Accurate details of landings are only available from the western Channel for trawling métiers and some netting métiers, consequently estimates were used for the landings from other métiers.

In England, because few non-quota species are sorted into well defined commercial categories, the length composition in each category may vary between landings. The length sample is therefore raised to the total landing for the boat trip. Details of
landings are collected by the MAFF Sea Fisheries Inspectorate (SFI) staff and entered onto the MAFF Fishing Activity Database (FAD). The SFI staff are located at most of the important ports on the south coast of England, with main offices located at Hastings, Poole, Brixham, Plymouth and Newlyn. A length frequency distribution for a métier is calculated by combining all the relevant boat-raised length samples, and then multiplying the resulting numbers at length by the raising factor (total métier landings/weight sampled). Sufficient information is present in the FAD for métier allocation to be carried out for boats $>10 \mathrm{~m}$ registered length. However métier allocation is difficult for $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ vessels (which do not fill in $\log$ books) as their landings data are often integrated with those of other $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ boats before they are entered into the FAD. The boat identity and métier is therefore lost although a summary of area fished and gear type used are recorded; this usually represents the dominant fishing activity for that landing. In the Channel, $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ boats make a major contribution to the landings of some non-quota species, and consequently they cannot be ignored.
5.2 Problems associated with data raising

A number of problems were encountered when determining the exploitation pattern for the fishery as a whole. These fall into two categories: the estimates of landings and the quality of the samples.

Problems associated with estimates of landings:

- Poor estimates of landings do not allow the sampled data to be raised with any confidence.
- There is no legal requirement for any vessel to record landings of non-quota species. Landings which do not pass through monitored auctions or merchants may not be recorded, and other merchants may chose not to record landings of nonquota species. In France (western Channel) these landings can be estimated, but the allocation to a métier is not possible. When these landings cannot be estimated, as is the case in the UK, this leads to an underestimation of landings.
- Mis-reporting of landings on log books, both in weight landed and area fished. This is most often a side effect of mobile offshore vessels mis-reporting landings of TAC species to avoid quota restrictions.
- In log-books or landings declarations, non-quota species may be recorded as mixed species, not identified correctly, or occasionally TAC species may be recorded as a non-quota species to avoid the TAC restrictions.
- Sometimes the métier corresponding to a landing is not known with certainty and this leads to poor métier allocation.

Problems associated with samples:

- Small length samples do not allow data to be raised with any confidence. If the length samples are too small, gaps will occur in the length distribution.
- Small age samples result in poor ALK's with lengths groups for which no age data are available, and this presents problems when combining the length distribution and the ALK. Guidelines have been suggested for the quality of ALD's for stock assessment work, and the sample numbers required to satisfy these may be large (Flatman, 1990).

The problems associated with poor sampling can be overcome by combining data, this may mean combining quarters to give half year, or annual data, combining data from different areas, or grouping together data from similar métiers. The method of combination will depend on the quality of the data, but information could be lost if they are aggregated on a large scale.

## 6. SPECIES REVIEW

For each species, a brief description of the published biology is followed by a summary of the fishery using the data collected during the study, and a review of the sampling programme. A summary of the length and age data collected is presented, and selected age-length keys and length distributions are shown.

### 6.1 Results of length sampling

A total of 103,662 fish have been measured. A summary of the total number of length samples collected by species, quarter and country is given in Table 6.1.

The number of fish measured by species and métier, for the first full year of sampling, is given in the Métier Sampling Summary tables in each species section. For French data the year January 1994 to December 1994 was used, and for the UK data the year April 1994 to March 1995. The samples taken by each laboratory are presented separately and in the same order in each table; Port en Bessin; Brest; and then Lowestoft. The sampled weight is the total weight of the sample, but this is not always the same as the weight of the measured fish, as subsamples may have been taken. When sampling has taken place by commercial category, the sampled weight, number of fish sampled and number of vessels sampled, will be the same for each métier and for the total (this applies to all data from Port en Bessin). When sampling was not based on commercial categories, or where commercial category data were poor, each table gives the number and weight of fish measured from each métier, after
being raised to the boat trip. A guide to the ease of sampling by métier is given by several factors: a) the mean number of fish measured per boat (the average size of the landings); b) the weight sampled expressed as a percentage of the landings (the availability of the landings); c) the total landings of all the sampled métiers compared to the total landings (the overall coverage of the fishery by sampling). Where possible for each species and métier, details are given of the most important sampled ports and the time of peak fishing activity.
6.2 Results of age sampling

A total of 9139 otoliths and 9148 scales were taken. A summary of the number of otoliths or scales collected during each quarter of sampling is given in the Otolith or Scale Collection Summary tables. They are separated by country of origin, quarter, ICES division and sex. When the precise ICES division of origin was not known for a fish, but it was known to come from the Channel, it has been recorded under 'VПd or VIIe', otherwise the data have been provided separately.

### 6.3 Quality of age and length data

If the length and age data collected for a métier are scarce, then doubts will be raised about the ability of these data to accurately represent the true exploitation pattern of the métier. Because of the considerable costs involved in biological sampling for stock assessment, there has been much research into the effective sampling of large scale commercial landings of fish (Gulland, 1955; Pope and Knights, 1975;

Southward, 1976; Kimura, 1977; Jinn et al., 1987; Flatman, 1990; Lai, 1993; Cotter, in press). A number of methods are available to assess the sampling strategy, these may be either simulation procedures (e.g. Nicolajsen and Grástein, 1993) or use a statistical approach (e.g. Kimura, 1977; Lai, 1993). One method used to analyse the sample data has been to use the coefficient of variation of the estimate of numbers at age (CV) (Gulland, 1955; Burd and Gulland, 1956; Johnston et al., 1974; Johnston et al., 1975; Flatman, 1990). The CV is made up of two components, the variance due to length sampling and the variance due to age sampling. Generally, for well sampled commercial stocks the variance due to age sampling is the major component, and the variance due to length sampling is negligible (Pope and Knights, 1975; Flatman, 1990).

In this study a basic appraisal of the data collected was undertaken using the CV as a guide to the data quality. A CV of less than $10 \%$ across all ages has been adopted as an international target for stock assessment work (Flatman, 1990). The CV is useful as it allows comparisons with data collected for assessed species (Burd and Gulland, 1956; Flatman, 1990), but it is only intended as a rough guide to the quality of the sample data, as it does not include the additional variances associated with the raising factors. The calculations for the component variances and the CV are shown in Appendix III.

In general, it is desirable to raise data in the most disaggregated form. However it may be necessary to aggregate data in order to achieve an acceptable data quality for raising. It is not normally desirable to aggregate age data from separate years
(Westerheim and Ricker, 1978; Hoenig et al., 1993), however, it is possible to aggregate data from quarters within the same year. Aggregating age data from separate quarters will generally improve the ALK and reduce the variance due to age sampling, and therefore reduce the CV. Aggregating quarterly age data will introduce bias due to plus growth unless the number of age samples taken in each quarter is proportional to the landings made in each quarter. The bias will therefore be greater when a non-random sampling strategy has been employed (Cochran, 1977; Kimura, 1977), which would apply to age samples taken during this study. However, the scarcity of some non-quota species has meant that the age sampling was effectively random, and these species are detailed in the following species sections. The age data may also be improved by combining the sexes, although ideally the sexes should remain separate as the biological parameters for each are likely to be different. There will be no bias incurred when aggregating age data by combining sexes, because the sampling by sex was random. It is possible to combine data from different regions, i.e. by combining VId and VIIe, or French and UK data, but in doing so stock integrity must be assumed (Kimura, 1977). For all the species in this report there is either no information on stock identity, or evidence that separate stocks do exist in the Channel. It is also possible to combine data from similar métiers, for example by combining data for all trawls together, or all nets together, but the more detailed information about the exploitation pattern will be lost. In addition, the data may be aggregated by increasing the size of the length groups, for example from 1 cm to 5 cm classes. This is common for species which occur over a large size range, but although the CV will be reduced, more detailed information about the exploitation pattern may then be lost.

The fisheries for many of the species in this study were seasonal, and this caused difficulty in collecting adequate length samples throughout the year. The calculations of the variance due to length sampling assume that all the samples are equally representative of the catch, regardless of the sample size (see Appendix III). Thus, having seasonal data aggregated annually introduces large differences in sample size for many non-quota species, and can therefore produce a relatively high variance due to length sampling (Johnston et al., 1975; Flatman, 1990). Despite this problem, the calculations have been used in the following species section.

In the following species sections the CV is first described for age data alone by assuming the variance due to length sampling is negligible and equal to zero (hereafter described as CVa). The CVa is shown for quarterly data, and then the effect of aggregating data (by combining quarters, sexes) on the CVa is described. On the basis of these descriptions conclusions are made about the quality of the age data. The length data are described separately, and are described as adequate when there are data available for all length groups within the bulk of the distribution. Finally, an example is given of the true CV with both the variances due to age and length sampling (except for French data where variances of numbers at length are not available).

TABLE 6.1. Quarterly Length Sampling Summary
FRANCE - IFREMER Port en Bessin and Brest

|  | 1994 |  |  |  | 1995 |  |  |  | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Quarter 1 |
| Brill | 10 | 170 | 342 | 159 | 301 | 307 | 13. | 123 | 0 |
| Turbot | 16 | 78 | 8 | 45 | 64 | 77 | 26 | 45 | 0 |
| Red Gurnard | 1325 | 2828 | 2043 | 1733 | 3142 | 2594 | 1799 | 2087 | 0 |
| Lemon Sole | 524 | 1047 | 62 | 140 | 719 | 808 | 351 | 153 | 0 |
| Bass | 108 | 280 | 330 | 492 | 319 | 634 | 111 | 23 | 0 |
| Black Bream | 149 | 605 | 534 | 727 | 229 | 493 | 502 | 181 | 0 |
| Red Mullet | 405 | 8 | 367 | 283 | 652 | 0 | 331 | 592 | 0 |
| John Dory | 0 | 175 | 600 | 107 | 123 | 691 | 107 | 175 | 0 |
| Cuttlefish | 0 | 0 | 111 | 483 | 0 | 260 | 222 | 435 | 0 |
| Spider Crab | 0 | 112 | 0 | 665 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scallop | 3716 | 3000 | 0 | 4074 | 445 | 2000 | 0 | 2579 | 0 |

## UK - MAFF Lowestoft

|  | 1994 |  |  |  | 1995 |  |  |  | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Quarter 1 |
| Brill | 0 | 431 | 427 | 737 | 303 | 230 | 426 | 444 | 425 |
| Turbot | 0 | 308 | 257 | 386 | 251 | 134 | 163 | 187 | 221 |
| Red Gurnard | 0 | 769 | 691 | 1049 | 582 | 543 | 483 | 786 | 535 |
| Lemon Sole | 2952 | 3599 | 2322 | 1653 | 2793 | 3268 | 2479 | $1235{ }^{1}$ | $0^{1}$ |
| Bass | 953 | 2937 | 1849 | 2134 | 1371 | 899 | 1243 | $513^{1}$ | $0^{1}$ |
| Black Bream | 0 | 204 | 127 | 193 | 193 | 3128 | 109 | 45 | 305 |
| Red Mullet | 0 | 374 | 543 | 775 | 498 | 341 | 481 | 696 | 848 |
| John Dory | 0 | 109 | 641 | 683 | 269 | 305 | 198 | 215 | 163 |
| Cuttlefish | 0 | 152 | 265 | 760 | 302 | 219 | 481 | 456 | 129 |
| Spider Crab | 657 | 1292 | 446 | 407 | 532 | 1071 | 291 | 583 | $0^{1}$ |
| Scallop | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 120 | 240 | 0 | 120 | 120 |

1 Data incomplete: samples taken but not processed.

### 6.4 BASS

The bass, Dicentrarchus labrax, is distributed in north-east Atlantic shelf waters from southern Norway and Scotland south to the Mediterranean, the Black Sea and northwest Africa (Pickett and Pawson, 1994). Bass attain maturity at $31-35 \mathrm{~cm}$ for males and $40-45 \mathrm{~cm}$ for females and spawning starts in the western Channel in February. By May there are additional areas of spawning around the Channel Islands and Beachy Head, and by June spawning is completed (Kennedy and Fitzmaurice, 1972; Thompson and Harrop, 1987) The juveniles remain in estuary nursery areas through the first and second years after which they migrate to deeper overwintering areas, but may return to an estuary in the summer until their fifth year (Kelley, 1988; Pawson et al., 1987). At maturity the bass assume the migratory patterns of the adults, which have been indicated in the Channel by tagging juvenile and adult fish (Pawson et al., 1987). Bass that spend the summer in areas on the south coast of England and the Thames estuary start to migrate to overwintering areas in the western Channel as the water temperature begins to drop in October (Pawson and Pickett, 1996). After spawning adults move to feeding grounds in the eastern Channel and southern North Sea. Growth rates and length-weight parameters are available throughout the range of the bass (Pickett and Pawson, 1994). There is a minimum landing size of 36 cm and protection of nursery areas on the UK coast (Anon, 1990b).

## THE FISHERY

The fishery for bass was well developed and there were a large number of métiers that either targeted bass, or for which bass was an important by-catch. In the eastern Channel, the fishery lasted from spring until autumn, and in the western Channel peak landings occurred overwinter. The most important métiers in the eastern Channel were otter trawls (F1.2, F1.4, and U1.2), nets (F5.5, U5.3 and U5.8), and lines (U8.1), (Table 6.4.1). In the western Channel the most important métiers were otter trawls (F1.1, F1.3 and U1.2), midwater trawls (F3.1), nets (F5.2), and lines (F7.2) (Table 6.4.1).

The bass has been the subject of continued study by MAFF for over ten years, and regular biological samples, along with research into the biology and UK fisheries for bass, has allowed assessments to be made of the UK stocks. This level of knowledge is not typical of the species studied for this report. In France however, the two years sampling carried out for this programme represent the first regular biological samples taken.

The French length samples were not adequate on a quarterly basis for all métiers in the eastern Channel, but adequate length distributions were produced after aggregating the data from both years by commercial category. In the western Channel French length data were only adequate for the pelagic trawl métier F3.1 in quarter 2. The métier F3.1 was opportunistic and sampling was only possible for quarters 1 and 2 (not shown in Table 6.4.1 as this métier was only sampled in 1995). For the
remainder of the year bass could be sampled from longline métiers at sea (F7.2), but although relatively well sampled (shown as a high percentage of landings sampled in Table 6.4.1), quarterly samples from this métier were not adequate. When aggregated annually the length data are adequate for F7.2, but the length data for F5.2, F1.1 and F1.3 are not adequate quarterly or annually.

French age data were not collected for all quarters (Table 6.4.2). The French age data for the eastern Channel were not adequate quarterly, but when aggregated annually achieved the required CVa for the more abundant ages 5 and 6 (e.g. F1.2, Table 6.4.3; Table 6.4.5). The French age data for the western Channel were also not adequate by quarter, but after annual aggregation the required CVa was nearly achieved for ages 5 and 6 (e.g. F3.1, Table 6.4.3).

In the UK, length and age samples were collected by staff from the SFI, and the sampling levels were good (Table 6.4.1; Table 6.4.2; Table 6.4.6). The MAFF study has shown that the UK landings of bass were in fact much higher than the FAD figures suggested. The UK landings for the Channel were estimated from a voluntary logbook scheme at 1500 tonnes for 1994 (G. Pickett, pers. comm.), against 302.6 tonnes from the FAD, although the order of importance of the métiers was roughly the same. The landings and percentage sampled given in Table 6.4.1 use the FAD data.

For this report, the UK data provides a useful guide to the levels of CVa and CV produced by data which have been used in a stock assessment. For the UK assessment the length and age data from the Channel were combined on an annual basis and into
four gear groups and two regions (Pickett et al., 1995). The gear groups were all trawls, all nets, longlines and pots, and handlines, and the regions were VIId with IVc, and VIle with VIlh. The regions were based on stock identity, as there appear to be separate stocks in the eastern Channel and North Sea, and the western Channel and Irish Sea (Pickett et al., 1995). The age data were combined annually and so some bias may occur as the age sample targets were not random (Appendix II). The resulting CVa is well below the required level for most age groups (Table 6.4.3), however the CV (including variances due to age and length sampling) is above the required level, as a result of the high variances due to length sampling (Table 6.4.4).

In order to collect enough French age samples for an annual assessment comparable to the UK data, approximately 4 times as many fish would need to be sampled, (approximately 1000 samples per annum; Appendix III). This would require additional sampling effort, or some remanagement of sampling effort.

TABLE 6.4.1 Métier Sampling Summary - BASS

| Sampled <br> Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled first (tonnes) | eight <br> (\%) | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1.2 | 162.7 | 0.519 | 0.32 |  |  |  | Jun \& Nov | Port en Bessin |
| F1.4 | - 29.7 | 0.519 | 1.75 |  |  |  | Mar \& Oct | Port en Bessin |
| F3.2 | 10.9 | 0.519 | 4.76 |  |  |  | Apr-May | Port en Bessin |
| F5.5 | 9.9 | 0.519 | 5.24 |  |  |  | Oct-Dec | Port en Bessin |
| F.other | 10.3 | 0.519 | 5.04 |  |  |  | N/A | Port en Bessin |
| Total | 223.5 | 0.519 | 0.23 | 588 | 5 | 117 |  |  |
| F3.1 | 100.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Feb-Apr | Lorient |
| F5. 2 | 80.0 | 0.0150 | 0.02 | 24 | 1 | 24 | Jun-Sep | Roscoff |
| F1.1 | 60.0 | 0.195 | 0.32 | 115 | 6 | 19 | Feb-Apr | Saint-Malo; Saint-Quay |
| F7.2 | 35.0 | 0.6006 | 1.72 | 277 | 17 | 16 | May-Nov | Roscoff |
| F1. 3 | 30.0 | 0.086 | 0.28 | 89 | 14 | 6 | Jul-Aug | Saint-Quay |
| Total | 305.0 | 0.8966 | 0.29 | 505 | 38 | 13 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(cont.)

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)

TABLE 6.4.1 (cont.) Métier Sampling Summary - BASS

| Sampled Métier | Total landings (tonnes) first year | Sampled Weight first year (tonnes) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U8.1 | 86.0 | 1.715 | 1.99 | 1029 | 51 | 20 | Apr-Dec | Portsmouth; Selsey |
| U5.3 | 80.0 | 1.811 | 2.26 | 1865 | 93 | 20 | May-Oct | Hastings; Littlehampton; Selsey |
| U1.2 | 70.0 | 1.093 | 1.56 | 1366 | 36 | 38 | May-Nov | Newhaven; Shoreham |
| U1.1 | 24.5 | 1.674 | 6.83 | 1221 | 28 | 44 | Aug-Mar | Brixham; Plymouth; Weymouth |
| U5.8 | 17.7 | 0.620 | 3.50 | 871 | 22 | 40 | Jun-Dec | Worthing; Littlehampton |
| U5.2 | 6.4 | 0.482 | 7.53 | 470 | 16 | 29 | Aug-Dec | Brixham |
| U2.1 | 2.0 | 0.290 | 14.5 | 205 | 2 | 102 | Unknown | Brixham; Portsmouth |
| U2.3 | 2.0 | 0.165 | 8.25 | 106 | 4 | 27 | Nov-Mar | Brixham; Plymouth |
| U2.2 | 1.7 | 0.378 | 22.23 | 339 | 14 | 24 | Jan-Mar | Brixham; Newlyn |
| U6.2 | 1.6 | 0.029 | 1.81 | 21 | 10 | 2 | Unknown | Selsey; Littlehampton |
| U3.1 | 0.04 | 0.156 | (390) | 154 | 3 | 51 | Unknown | Looe |
| U7.1 | 0.4 | 0.135 | 33.75 | 105 | 5 | 21 | Jul-Aug | Selsey; Portsmouth |
| U7.2 | 0.03 | 0.103 | (343) | 80 | 3 | 27 | Sep-Nov ${ }^{2}$ | Brixham |
| U3.2 | 0 | 0.390 | - | 464 | 9 | 52 | Unknown | Shoreham |
| U.other | 10.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 302.6 | 9.041 | 2.98 | 6316 | 296 | 21 |  |  |
| Total Landings all Métiers | 831.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)
2. Approximate data.

TABLE 6.4.2 Scale Collection Summary - BASS
IFREMER Port en Bessin

|  |  | VIId | VIIe | VIId or VIle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Unsexed | Unsexed | Unsexed | Total |
| 1994 | 1 | 67 |  |  | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |
| 1994 | 2 | 91 |  |  | $\mathbf{9 1}$ |
| 1994 | 3 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1994 | 4 | 98 |  |  | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |
| 1995 | 1 | 125 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ |
| 1995 | 2 | 45 |  |  | $\mathbf{4 5}$ |
| 1995 | 3 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1995 | 4 | 93 |  |  | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

## IFREMER Brest

|  |  | VIId | VIIe | VIId or VII |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Unsexed | Unsexed | Unsexed | Total |
| 1994 | 1 |  | 37 |  | $\mathbf{3 7}$ |
| 1994 | 2 |  | 101 |  | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ |
| 1994 | 3 |  | 30 |  | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| 1994 | 4 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1995 | 1 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1995 | 2 |  | 207 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 7}$ |
| 1995 | 3 |  | 15 |  | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
| 1995 | 4 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 0}$ |

## MAFF Lowestoft

|  |  | VIId | VIle | VIId or VIIe |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Unsexed | Unsexed | Unsexed | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 1 | 364 | 206 |  | $\mathbf{5 7 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 2 | 1360 | 181 |  | $\mathbf{3 4 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 3 | 1503 | 144 |  | $\mathbf{1 6 4 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 4 | 931 | 430 |  | $\mathbf{1 3 6 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1 | 888 | 93 |  | $\mathbf{9 8 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 2 | $396^{1}$ | $49^{1}$ |  | $\mathbf{4 4 5}^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 3 | $373^{1}$ | $291^{1}$ |  | $\mathbf{6 6 4}^{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 4 | $104^{1}$ | $42^{1}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 4 6}^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 5 5}^{1}$ |

1 Data incomplete: samples collected but not processed.

TABLE 6.4.3 CVa for UK bass samples

| Age | F <br> Annual <br> 1994 <br> F1.2 | F <br> Annual <br> 1994 <br> F3.1 | UK <br> Annual <br> 1994 <br> All nets <br> VId/IVc | UK <br> Annual <br> 1994 <br> Longlines \& pots <br> VIId/IVc | UK <br> Annual <br> 1994 <br> Handlines <br> VIId/IVc | UK <br> Annual <br> 1994 <br> All trawl <br> VIId/IVc |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | - | - | 46 | - | - | 98 |
| 3 | 55 | - | 47 | 59 | 49 | 21 |
| 4 | 19 | 95 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{6}$ | 11 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 11 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 7 | 27 | 30 | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 8 | 54 | 22 | 48 | 26 | 25 | 33 |
| 9 | 67 | 18 | 69 | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | 71 |
| 10 | 53 | 25 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 40 |
| 11 | 53 | 15 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | 14 |

TABLE 6.4.4 CV for bass: annual 1994; UK all trawls; VIId and IVc

| Age | Catch at <br> age | Variance due to age <br> sampling | Variance due to length <br> sampling | CVa | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 19 | $3.49 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $9.53 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 98 | 111 |
| 3 | 1963 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.43 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 21 | 29 |
| 4 | 23858 | $1.92 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 6 | 16 |
| 5 | 203421 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $9.86 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 16 |
| 6 | 13316 | $4.71 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.26 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 27 |
| 7 | 6330 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.89 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | 35 |
| 8 | 522 | $2.94 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $3.70 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 33 | 49 |
| 9 | 17 | $1.45 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.97 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 71 | 137 |
| 10 | 384 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 40 | 58 |
| 11 | 2024 | $8.30 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 14 | 68 |

TABLE 6.4.5 Bass: ALK; French; annual 1994; VIId; unsexed.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 24 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  | 4 | 9 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  | 5 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  | 4 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  | 1 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  | 1 | 16 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  | 2 | 8 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  | 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  | 1 | 11 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  | 7 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  | 2 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

TABLE 6.4.6 Bass: ALK; UK; annual 1994; VIId and IVc; all trawl; unsexed.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 7 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 2 | 3 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | 11 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | 10 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | 5 | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  | 11 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  | 4 | 13 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | 1 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | 19 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  | 1 | 16 | 11 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  | 20 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  | 14 | 13 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  | 8 | 22 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  | 3 | 20 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  | 1 | 12 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  | 17 | 10 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  | 1 | 13 | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  | 11 | 9 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  | 6 | 16 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  | 1 | 21 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  | 2 | 20 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 111 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 91 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 61 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 35 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 13 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |

### 6.5 BLACK BREAM

The black bream, Spondyliosoma cantharus, is the commonest of the sea breams to be found in the Channel (Wheeler, 1969). Black bream mature as females at approximately 20 cm but change sex with increasing length, so that above 40 cm the majority are male (Soletchnik, 1983; Perodou and Nedelec, 1980). In the Channel bream start to spawn in April, and spawning areas have been recorded around the Isle of Wight and the Sussex coast, the Channel Islands, Poole Bay, the coast of Contentin and in the Baie de Seine (Soletchnik, 1983). Black bream are demersal spawners, and the male digs a nest in gravel where he defends and cares for the eggs (Wilson, 1958). During the winter months concentrations of prespawning adults are found in the south-western Channel in waters from $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$ depth. In the spring these fish migrate eastwards and disperse in shallow coastal waters, where they are caught from April until late summer. In autumn there is a return migration by the new recruits and adults to the overwintering areas (Soletchnik, 1983). Length-weight parameters and von Bertalanffy growth parameters are available for bream from the western Channel and the northern Bay of Biscay in quarters 1 and 4, with growth being consistently faster in the Bay of Biscay than in the Channel (Perodou and Nedelec, 1982). Current management consists of a minimum landing size of 23 cm .

## THE FISHERY

The UK and French métiers for black bream were similar in terms of their seasonality and gear. Most bream were caught in the eastern Channel and around the Channel

Islands during the spring and summer, mainly by trawl métiers U1.2 and F1.2, and in the western Channel during the winter almost entirely by the pelagic trawl métier F3.1 (Table 6.5.1) The landings of bream recorded in U1.2 were almost entirely from demersal pair trawlers, and this fishery appeared to exploit the spring spawning concentrations found in the eastern Channel. French pelagic trawl métiers (F3.1 and F3.2) also appeared to exploit spring spawning concentrations.

In the eastern Channel, the French length data were not adequate for data raising by quarter, but were adequate when both years data were aggregated by quarter and commercial category. In the western Channel length data were adequate for the pelagic trawl (F3.1, 1995 only) and longline métiers (F7.2) in the second quarter (Table 6.5.2), and annually for F1.1 and F1.3. Length samples were adequate for data raising from U1.2 in quarters 2 and 3, and U2.2 in quarter 1 (Table 6.5.2), but UK landings for the remainder of the year were so small that collecting adequate length samples was not possible (e.g. U1.1, Table 6.5.2).

Age samples from fish larger than 34 cm (UK) or 38 cm (France) were difficult to obtain, but this reflected the length distribution of the samples from the fishery. The larger fish were caught seasonally at the start of the pair trawl (U1.2) fishery and by the French longline fishery (F7.2). Bream landed by the UK gill nets were not identified at the ports sampled, so no sampling was possible, however anecdotal evidence suggests many larger bream are caught by this métier.

The UK fishery for black bream was dominated by the presence of strong year classes, particularly 1989 and 1991. In the French fisheries, these year classes were also large, but not so pronounced. Consequently, sampling of these year classes was better than the other year classes, (Table 6.5.3).

The age data collected (Table 6.5.4) were not adequate to produce quarterly age data for any of the métiers under study. On a quarterly basis, the CVa's were adequate for the more abundant year classes, but poor for the others, (Table 6.5.3). When aggregated six monthly the overall CVa's for the UK data were better. When aggregated annually the overall CVa was worse, and did not reach the desired level except for the 1989 year class. On the basis of the CVa the UK quarterly or sixmonthly data are better than the annual data, although the small number of samples in the quarterly data may prohibit confident data raising (Table 6.5.5-6.5.6).

The UK age samples were not random but maintained the target level of 3 fish per 1 cm length group (Appendix II), and ideally the quarterly data should therefore not be aggregated. Annual aggregation of the UK age data has been carried out to produce ALK's, despite the bias that is introduced (Table 6.5.5-6.5.6). Annual aggregation of data is also recommended for the French data (Table 6.5.3; Table 6.5.7), where the sampling has approximated to random sampling. To decrease the French annual CVa across all ages to acceptable levels would require an approximately four fold increase in the number of samples achieved (approximately 800 samples per annum), and to decrease the UK annual CVa to acceptable levels would require a nine-fold increase in samples (approximately 900 samples per annum; Appendix III).

When UK data are aggregated annually the variance of the estimates of numbers at age due to length sampling is equal or greater than the variance due to age sampling, and as a result the CV is higher than the CVa (Table 6.5.8). From these data, in order to lower the CV to acceptable levels would require a sixteen-fold increase in age samples (approximately 1600 samples per annum).

The bream fishery is very seasonal, and so it was not possible to collect adequate data during all quarters. Combining the French and UK data is not recommended, as the stock integrity in the Channel has yet to be established (Anon, 1993). However, in the future it may be possible to collect adequate length and age data for every quarter from French pelagic trawl métiers (F3.1, F3.2) as new data suggests that these métiers are less seasonal and catch bream all year (Y. Morizur, pers. comm.). As the bream are abundant in the UK fishery when in season, it would be easy to improve age data with an increase in the age sample targets.

TABLE 6.5.1 Métier Sampling Summary - BLACK BREAM

| Sampled Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ <br> (tonnes) (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1. 2 | 543.8 | 0.698 | 0.13 |  |  |  | Jan-Feb \& Jul-Aug | Port en Bessin |
| F3.2 | 156.8 | 0.698 | 0.44 |  |  |  | Apr \& Aug-Sep | Port en Bessin |
| F.other | 9.0 | 0.698 | 7.75 |  |  |  | N/A | Port en Bessin |
| Total | 709.6 | 0.698 | 0.01 | 1688 | 10 | 169 |  |  |
| F3.1 | 1000.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Dec-Jan \& Jun-Jul | Lorient |
| F1.1 | 30.0 | 0.2417 | 0.81 | 442 | 6 | 74 | Dec-Jan | Saint-Malo; Saint-Quay |
| F1.3 | 30.0 | 0.0467 | 0.16 | 567 | 13 | 44 | Unknown | Saint-Quay |
| F7.2 | 20.0 | 0.1836 | 0.92 | 4 \% | 1 | 4 | Jun-Oct | Roscoff |
| F5. 2 | 10.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Unknown | Roscoff |
| F.other | 10.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 1100.0 | 2.6473 | 0.24 | 1009 | 20 | 50 |  |  |
| U1.2 | 247.5 | 0.969 | 0.39 | 392 | 8 | 49 | Apr-Jun | Shoreham; Newhaven |
| U5.3 ${ }^{2}$ | 7.4 | $<0.001$ | $<0.001$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathrm{Mar}^{2}$ | Newhaven; Littlehampton |
| U2.2 | 2.6 | 0.114 | 4.38 | 274 | 10 | 27 | Jan-Apr | Brixham |
| U1.1 | 1.2 | 0.005 | 0.42 | 16 | 6 | 3 | Jan-Mar | Brixham |
| U2.1 | 0.8 | 0.001 | 0.125 | 4 | 1 | 4 | Feb-Mar | Brixham; Shoreham |
| U2.3 | 0.6 | 0.011 | 1.83 | 33 | 2 | 16 | Feb-Mar | Brixham |
| U.other | 1.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 261.5 | 0.726 | 0.28 | 720 | 28 | 26 |  |  |
| Total Landings all Métiers | 2071.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)
2. Approximate data.

TABLE 6.5.2 Black bream: Example length distributions.

|  | U1.2pair (Q2 1995) ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ | U1.1 (Q4 1995) | F7.2 (Q3 1995)2 | F7.2 (Q2 1995)3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 |  | 24 |  |  |
| 21 |  | 8 |  |  |
| 22 | 3 | 0 |  |  |
| 23 | 39 | 102 | 2 |  |
| 24 | 187 | 63 | 1 |  |
| 25 | 259 | 31 | 0 | 2 |
| 26 | 144 | 8 | 1 | 3 |
| 27 | 261 | 16 | 2 | 7 |
| 28 | 279 | 31 | 0 | 17 |
| 29 | 206 | 8 | 3 | 19 |
| 30 | 214 |  | 3 | 23 |
| 31 | 297 |  | 1 | 20 |
| 32 | 270 |  | 1 | 30 |
| 33 | 324 |  | 1 | 17 |
| 34 | 162 |  | 1 | 15 |
| 35 | 84 |  | 0 | 18 |
| 36 | 51 |  | 1 | 22 |
| 37 | 23 |  | 1 | 24 |
| 38 | 14 |  | 0 | 19 |
| 39 | 4 |  | 0 | 8 |
| 40 | 3 |  | 0 | 7 |
| 41 | 12 |  | 1 | 5 |
| 42 | 6 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 43 | 4 |  | 1 | 0 |
| 44 | 6 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 45 |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 46 |  |  | 1 |  |

1. Raised to trip Sample weight 1242 kg .
2. Raised to trip. Sample weight 12.4 kg .
3. Raised to trip. Sample weight 163.5 kg .

TABLE 6.5.3 CVa for black bream samples

| Age | F | F | F | UK | UK | UK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Q2 | Q4 | Annual | Q2 | Q2+3 | Annual |
|  | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 |
|  | F3.1 | F1.2 | F1.2 | U1.2 | U1.2 | U1.2 |
| 1 | 0 | 71 | 71 | - | - | - |
| 2 | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 13 | - | - | 30 |
| 3 | 14 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 11 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 18 |
| 4 | 20 | 63 | 18 | 36 | 35 | 32 |
| 5 | 21 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 6 | 21 | 58 | 30 | 47 | 36 | 43 |
| 7 | 53 | 87 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 49 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | 45 | - | 96 | - | - | - |
| 10 | 35 | - | - | - | 20 | 29 |
| 11 | - | - | - | 32 | 81 | 82 |

TABLE 6.5.8 CV for black bream; annual 1994; U1.2

| Age | Catch | Variance due to <br> age sampling | Variance due to <br> length sampling | CVa | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 17300 | $2.26 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.63 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 30 | 40 |
| 3 | 27700 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $6.40 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 18 | 34 |
| 4 | 2820 | $1.03 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 32 | 52 |
| 5 | 4550 | $7.44 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.51 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 39 |
| 6 | 268 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 43 | 1472 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 10 | 186 | $1.72 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $1.55 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 29 | 2125 |

TABLE 6.5.4 Scale Collection Summary - BLACK BREAM
IFREMER - Port en Bessin

|  |  | VIId | VIIe | VПd or VПe |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Unsexed | Unsexed | Unsexed | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 1 | 40 |  |  | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 2 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 3 | 51 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 4 | 117 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 1 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 2 | 82 |  |  | $\mathbf{8 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 3 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 | 4 | 146 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{4 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 6}$ |

IFREMER Brest

|  |  | VIId | VII | VПd or VПe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Unsexed | Unsexed | Unsexed | Total |
| 1994 | 1 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1994 | 2 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1994 | 3 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1994 | 4 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1995 | 1 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1995 | 2 |  | 166 |  | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ |
| 1995 | 3 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1995 | 4 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ |

MAFF - Lowestoft

|  |  | VIId | VIe | VMd or VПe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Unsexed | Unsexed | Unsexed | Total |
| 1994 | 2 | 36 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |
| 1994 | 3 | 32 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
| 1994 | 4 | 24 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| 1995 | 1 |  | 35 |  | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |
| 1995 | 2 | 58 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |
| 1995 | 3 | 31 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| 1995 | 4 | 3 |  |  | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| 1996 | 1 |  | 57 |  | $\mathbf{5 7}$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 6}$ |

TABLE 6.5.5 Black bream: ALK; UK; quarter 2 1994; VIId; unsexed.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |

TABLE 6.5.6 Black bream: ALK; UK; annual 1994 (quarters 2-4); VIId; unsexed.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  | 3 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  | 3 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |

TABLE 6.5.7 Black bream: ALK; French; annual 1994 (quarters 1,3,4); VIId; unsexed.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | 8 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  | 3 | 8 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  | 11 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  | 5 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  | 1 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  | 4 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |

### 6.6 BRILL

The brill, Scophthalmus rhombus, is distributed in north-east Atlantic shelf waters from Norway and the Orkney Islands south to the Mediterranean (Wheeler, 1969) Sexual maturity is reached at approximately 25 cm for males and $33-41 \mathrm{~cm}$ for females (Wheeler, 1969). Brill spawn between April and July in the Channel, at this time most brill are caught in the western Channel, but no precise information is available on spawning areas. Brill eggs have not been recorded in ichthyoplankton trawls, but brill postlarvae have been recorded in surface and midwater trawls off Plymouth from May to August (Clark, 1914). There are no records of brill being tagged in the Channel, and there has been no attempt to infer migration patterns from commercial catch data. Length-weight parameters and von Bertalanffy growth parameters exist for unsexed brill from the Golfe de Lion (Robert and Vianet, 1988), and from the Baie de Dournanez (Mtimet, 1993, using data from Deniel, 1981). There is no detailed information published on growth rates in northern waters, however growth rates appear to be higher in the Atlantic and the North Sea than in the Mediterranean. Females grow faster and to a larger size than males (Deniel, 1981 cited in Robert and Vianet, 1988). There is a minimum landing size of 30 cm .

## THE FISHERY

The greatest landings of brill came from the western Channel, as landings by both UK and French fleets in the eastern Channel were small (Table 6.6.1). Highest landings of brill were taken by the trawl métiers F1.1, F1.2, F1.3, U2.1, U2.2 and U2.3, and the
tangle net métier F5.3. The western trawl métiers (F1.1, F1.3, U2.2 and U2.3), and the tangle net métier in the western Channel (F5.3), were seasonal, with the greatest landings taken during the winter and spring. In the eastern trawl métiers (F1.2 and U2.1), and the net métier in the eastern Channel (F5.3), the greatest landings were taken during the summer (Table 6.6.1).

The UK length data were adequate on a quarterly basis for U2.2 (but best for quarters 3 and 4) and also adequate for U2.3 if aggregated annually. If the length data are combined from both years, length distributions are also adequate for trawl métiers U2.1, U1.2 and U1.1.

French length data are adequate when aggregated annually for trawl métiers F1.1 and F1.3, and the net métier F5.3 (including existing data from 1991 for F1.1 and F5.3). The French data from the eastern Channel were not adequate to use the commercial category method of raising landings, and must therefore be raised by boat (as for the UK data). These data are not adequate even after aggregating all data from the two years together.

Most age samples were collected from the western Channel (Table 6.6.2). Age samples were not collected in the French eastern Channel, where the fishery is relatively small, although it was possible to collect samples in the UK eastern Channel (Table 6.6.2). None of the quarterly ALK's were adequate for data raising. The best estimates of numbers at age were given on an annual basis, with males and females combined together (Table 6.6.3-6.6.4). Although there were length stratified age
sample targets in the UK (Appendix II), these targets were not always achieved, and the bias incurred by aggregating the data should be minimal. Even with both sexes combined on an annual basis the CVa's should ideally be reduced by approximately $50 \%$, i.e. a four-fold increase in samples would be required (approximately 450 otoliths per annum; Appendix III). If stock identity could be established it may also be possible to improve the quality of the age-length data by combining French and UK samples.

The ageing of brill is a new skill for staff at both IFREMER and MAFF, and as such requires verification and checking before this species can be aged with confidence. It was also difficult to obtain sufficiently small fish ( $<41 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) in France, and to a lesser extend in the UK, and the large fish ( $>56 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were hard to obtain in both France and England (Table 6.6.5-6.6.10). This did not present a problem when calculating the ALD's, because the length distribution of the age samples reflected the length distribution in the fishery.

In both the UK and France it would be difficult to improve the sampling with the available resources as the most important métiers and ports were covered, so improvement of the data would require extra sampling effort.

TABLE 6.6.1 Métier Sampling Summary - BRILL

| Sampled <br> Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1. 2 | 33.8 | 0.061 | 0.18\% | 65 | 6 | 11 | Jun-Aug | Port en Bessin |
| F2.1 | 18.2 | 0.073 | 0.4\% | 69 | 1 | 69 | Aug-Sep | Port en Bessin |
| F4.2 | 15.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Nov-Mar | Port en Bessin |
| F4.4 | 11.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Sep-Nov | Port en Bessin |
| F1.4 | 7.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Aug-Nov | Port en Bessin |
| F5.3 | 6.6 | 0.007 | 0.1\% | 9 | 1 | 9 | Jul-Oct | Port en Bessin |
| F.other | 3.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A | Port en Bessin |
| Total | 96.9 | 141 | 0.14\% | 143 | 8 | 18 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F5.3 | 50.0 | 0.6411 | 1.28 | 89 | 23 | 4 | Feb-Jun | Roscoff |
| F1.1 | 40.0 | 0.4556 | 1.14 | 245 | 15 | 16 | Feb-Jun | Saint-Malo; Saint-Quay |
| F1.3 | 30.0 | 0.3505 | 1.17 | 269 | 35 | 8 | Feb-Jun | Saint-Quay |
| F.other | 8.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 128.9 | 1.4472 | 1.12 | 603 | 73 | 8 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(cont.)

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)

TABLE 6.6.1 (cont.) Métier Sampling Summary - BRILL

| Sampled <br> Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{\text {' }}$ (tonnes) <br> (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U2.2 | 48.2 | 2.496 | 5.18 | 979 | 14 | 51 | Aug-Apr | Brixham |
| U2.1 | 38.5 | 0.217 | 0.56 | 143 | 2 | 70 | Jul-Apr | Brixham; Shoreham |
| U2.3 | 35.2 | 0.693 | 1.97 | 359 | 7 | 71 | Aug-Mar | Brixham |
| U1.1 | 22.1 | 0.117 | 0.53 | 152 | 35 | 4 | Unknown | Brixham |
| U1.2 | 9.9 | 0.163 | 1.65 | 176 | 17 | 10 | Unknown | Newhaven; Shoreham |
| U4.1 | 5.3 | 0.111 | 2.09 | 70 | 3 | 23 | Jun-Jan | Brixham |
| U5.4 | 1.6 | 0.012 | 0.75 | 19 | 11 | 2 | Mar-Nov | Hastings; Rye |
| U.other | 11.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 172.6 | 3.809 | 2.21 | 1898 | 89 | 21 |  |  |
| Total Landings all Métiers | 398.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)

TABLE 6.6.2 Otolith Collection Summary - BRILL

IFREMER Port en Bessin - none

IFREMER Brest

|  |  | VId |  | VIIe |  | VIId or VПe |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Total |
| 1994 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| 1994 | 2 |  |  | 16 | 10 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |
| 1994 | 3 |  |  | 2 | 20 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| 1994 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1995 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1995 | 2 |  |  | 41 | 15 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 6}$ |
| 1995 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 7 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |
| 1995 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## MAFF Lowestoft

|  |  | VIId |  | VПe |  | VIId or VIe |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Total |
| 1994 | 2 |  |  | 32 | 22 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 4}$ |
| 1994 | 3 |  |  | 27 | 32 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 9}$ |
| 1994 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 45 | $\mathbf{7 6}$ |
| 1995 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 23 | 9 | $\mathbf{6 3}$ |
| 1995 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 25 | 13 | 22 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ |
| 1995 | 3 | 24 | 36 | 16 | 16 |  |  | $\mathbf{9 2}$ |
| 1995 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 23 | 34 |  |  | $\mathbf{8 2}$ |
| 1996 | 1 |  |  | 18 | 25 |  |  | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.6.3 CVa for brill samples

| Age | F | UK | UK | UK | UK | UK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 |
|  | Annual | Q1 | Q1+2 | Annual | Annual | Annual |
|  | F1.3 | U2.2 | U2.2 | U2.2 | U2.2 | U2.2 |
|  | F+M | F+M | F+M | F | M | F+M |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | 60 | 15 | 14 | 16 | 22 | 15 |
| 3 | 3 | 6 | 22 | 9 | 10 | 7 |
| 4 | 22 | 50 | 29 | 17 | 28 | 16 |
| 5 | 27 | 27 | 34 | 23 | 33 | 20 |
| 6 | 28 | 95 | 97 | 25 | 47 | 22 |
| 7 | 23 | 65 | 44 | 37 | 70 | 33 |
| 8 | 32 | 24 | 35 | 31 | 51 | 28 |
| 9 | 40 | 89 | 96 | 50 | 43 | 36 |
| 10 | 49 | 95 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 72 |

TABLE 6.6.4 CV for brill; annual 1994; U2.2; females + males

| Age | Catch | Variance due to <br> age sampling | Variance due to <br> length sampling | CVa | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 807 | $6.30 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.46 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 31 | 40 |
| 2 | 7330 | $7.87 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.35 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 12 | 17 |
| 3 | 11300 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $8.03 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 11 | 13 |
| 4 | 5630 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.34 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 19 | 21 |
| 5 | 3750 | $9.25 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $7.14 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 25 | 27 |
| 6 | 5130 | $9.91 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.22 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 19 | 22 |
| 7 | 1380 | $3.93 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.91 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 45 | 46 |
| 8 | 1200 | $3.56 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 50 | 50 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 10 | 365 | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $5.63 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 91 | 93 |

TABLE 6.6.5 Brill: ALK; UK; annual 1994; VIIe; male+female.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 10 | 23 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 3 | 12 | 13 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | 19 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  | 2 | 16 | 6 | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  | 6 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.6.6 Brill: ALK; UK; annual 1995; VIIe; female.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 14 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 10 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | 23 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  | 6 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  | 6 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.6.7 Brill: ALK; UK; annual 1995; VIIe; male.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | 12 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  | 21 | 6 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 51 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.6.8 Brill: ALK; French; annual 1994; VIIe; female.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.6.9 Brill: ALK; French; annual 1994; VIIe; male.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 61 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.6.10 Brill: ALK; French; annual 1994; VIIe; unsexed.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  | 4 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 61 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |

### 6.7 CUTTLEFISH

The cuttlefish, Sepia officinalis, is the only species of cuttlefish commonly found in the Channel, (von Boletzky, 1983). Sexual maturity is generally completed by the winter of the second year, but under good growth conditions it may be possible for some males to reach maturity after the first winter resulting in two breeding cohorts being present in the following year (Jéon, 1982 cited in Anon 1993; von Boletzky, 1983; Le Goff and Daguzan, 1991). Cuttlefish spawn in inshore waters from early April through until July, with the peak of spawning during May. The main spawning concentrations are in waters less than $30-40 \mathrm{~m}$ deep in Lyme Bay, the Solent, and the Baie du Mont St Michel, although spawning also occurs in the Baie de Seine, Baie de St Brieuc, Baie de Somme and less intensively along almost all of the south-east coast of England and north coast of France (Anon, 1993). Tagging experiments in the Channel suggest that juvenile cuttlefish leave the inshore nursery areas in October and migrate westward towards overwintering areas where the water depth exceeds 70 m and mean water temperature exceeds $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Boucaud-Camou and Biosmery, 1991). The return migration into the shallow waters is rapid; the cuttlefish begin to arrive inshore by late March, most arriving in April (Boucaud-Camou and Biosmery, 1991). Growth rates for cuttlefish are high compared to finfish species (Clarke et al., 1989). Males maintain a higher growth rate in the adult phase than females, and as a consequence reach larger sizes (von Boletzky, 1983). Growth parameters exist for cuttlefish from the Bay of Biscay and the Channel (Jéon, 1982 cited in Anon, 1993; Medhioub, 1986). The adults suffer complete mortality after spawning, and the life cycle is completed after 2 years. There are no management measures for cuttlefish.

## THE FISHERY

The fishery for cuttlefish was highly seasonal, there was an offshore fishery in the western Channel during the winter, followed by an inshore fishery in both the eastern and western Channel during the spring and summer. The most important métiers were the spring and autumn inshore trawl fisheries (F1.2, F1.3, U1.1 and U1.2), the winter trawl fisheries in the western Channel (U2.2, U2.3 and F1.1), and the spring potting métier (F6.5), (Arkley et al., 1996), (Table 6.7.1).

Both UK and French length data were adequate for each métier whilst in season. For example, data were adequate for F6.5 and F1.3 in quarters 2 and 3, and for UK trammel nets in quarter 2 (U5.4), and also for the trawl fisheries (U2.2, U2.3 and F2.1) for quarters 4 and 1 (Table 6.7.2). There are relatively few, or no length data for each métier outside of the seasons mentioned, as none of the métiers caught significant quantities throughout all of the year. The numbers of large adult cuttlefish declined towards the end of June and July, and as a result the cuttlefish measured were larger in quarter 2 (spawning adults) than quarter 3 (juveniles only). During quarter 3 cuttlefish as small as 3 cm or 5 cm mantle length were caught by inshore trawl métiers F1.3 and U1.2 respectively (Table 6.7.2).

Although the data are adequate, it should be noted that it would also be easy to improve the samples, as cuttlefish are abundant in the landings whilst each fishery is in season.

TABLE 6.7.1 Métier Sampling Summary - CUTTLEFISH

| Sampled Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ <br> (tonnes) (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1.2 | 1187.0 | 0.194 | 0.01 |  |  |  | May \& Sep-Nov | Port en Bessin |
| F6.5 | 152.8 | 0.194 | 0.13 |  |  |  | May | Port en Bessin |
| F4.2 | 122.5 | 0.194 | 0.16 |  |  |  | Oct-Dec | Port en Bessin |
| F1.4 | 75.5 | 0.194 | 0.26 |  |  |  | Apr-May | Port en Bessin |
| F4.4 | 26.5 | 0.194 | 0.73 |  |  |  | Apr \& Sep | Port en Bessin |
| F5.4 | 29.9 | 0.194 | 0.65 |  |  |  | Apr-May | Port en Bessin |
| F.other | 111.7 | 0.194 | 0.17 |  |  |  | N/A | Port en Bessin |
| Total | 1705.9 | 0.194 | 0.01 | 483 | 1 | 483 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1.1 | 2400 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Sep-Dec | Existing data |
| F1.3 | 1300 | 0.1897 | 0.01 | 111 | 1 | 111 | Jun-Aug | Existing data |
| F6.5 | $500^{2}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Apr-May | Existing data |
| Total | 4204 | 0.1897 | 0.01 | 111 | 1 | 111 |  |  |

(cont.)

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)
2. Accurate data unavailable

TABLE 6.7.1 (cont.) Métier Sampling Summary - CUTTLEFISH

| Sampled <br> Métier | Total landings <br> first year <br> (tonnes) | Sampled Weight <br> first year <br> (tonnes) <br> $(\%)$ |  | Number of <br> Fish Sampled | Number of <br> Vessels <br> Sampled | Mean number of <br> Fish Sampled <br> per Boat | Months of peak <br> fishing activity | Most important <br> sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U2.3 | 446.9 | 0.061 | 0.01 | 55 | 1 | 55 | Oct-Mar |  |
| U2.2 | 491.6 | 14.099 | 2.87 | 496 | 8 | 62 | Brixham |  |
| U1.1 | 284.6 | 0.282 | 0.10 | 352 | 14 | 25 | Nov-Mar |  |
| U1.2 | 205.0 | 0.187 | 0.09 | 289 | 6 | 48 | Oct-Mar | Brixham |
| U2.1 | 110.7 | 3.274 | 2.96 | 174 | 2 | 87 | Brixham |  |
| U5.4 ${ }^{3}$ | 104.1 | 0.516 | 0.49 | 113 | 3 | 38 | Nov-Feb |  |
| U.other | 1.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Apr-Jun | Newhaven; Shoreham |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 4 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 4 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | Nrixham; Shoreham |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hastings; Newhaven |  |
| Total <br> Landings all <br> Métiers | 7554.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)
2. Accurate data unavailable
3. Also includes small contribution by U5.9

TABLE 6.7.2 Cuttlefish: Example length distributions

|  | F6.5 (Q2, 1987) | F1.3 (Q2,1987) | U1.2 (Q3, 1994) | U2.3 (Q4, 1994) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 |  |  | 1242 |  |
| 6 |  |  | 3727 |  |
| 7 |  |  | 11181 |  |
| 8 |  |  | 17289 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 26295 |  |
| 10 |  |  | 20912 |  |
| 11 |  | 761 | 9628 | 1463 |
| 12 | 209 | 1677 | 621 | 2048 |
| 13 | 1678 | 12149 | 0 | 6874 |
| 14 | 5242 | 26055 | 0 | 17727 |
| 15 | 11416 | 39394 | 0 | 20740 |
| 16 | 20213 | 64096 | 1242 | 41334 |
| 17 | 27585 | 107178 | 0 | 49056 |
| 18 | 33223 | 132836 | 1242 | 36419 |
| 19 | 31242 | 109723 | 104 | 19219 |
| 20 | 35650 | 79740 | 0 | 13807 |
| 21 | 34427 | 45038 | 0 | 3423 |
| 22 | 32965 | 27960 | 0 | 1667 |
| 23 | 34351 | 13068 | 0 |  |
| 24 | 26408 | 4019 | 0 |  |
| 25 | 22255 | 2377 | 1242 |  |
| 26 | 13695 | 1260 |  |  |
| 27 | 6478 |  |  |  |
| 28 | 3839 |  |  |  |
| 29 | 406 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 52 |  |  |  |

### 6.8 JOHN DORY

The John dory, Zeus faber, is widely distributed in shelf waters of the Atlantic and Pacific, around the UK it is most abundant in waters to the south-west, and rare in the North Sea (Wheeler, 1969). The records of John dory in more northern waters are almost entirely of juvenile fish (Janssen, 1979; Gibson and Ezzi, 1987), the larger adult fish are rarely found in inshore waters, being most abundant at depths of 100 200 m (Silva, 1992). Sexual maturity of the females is achieved at $34-38 \mathrm{~cm}$, the males at $25-28 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Janssen, 1979). Spawning is thought to occur offshore from June to August in the Bay of Biscay, western Channel and in the Irish Sea (Wheeler, 1969). The eggs are large and pelagic, and although no eggs have been recorded in the Channel, post larval stages of John dory have been found in the Channel from August to October (Clark, 1914). The movements of John dory in the Channel inferred from commercial catches have not been studied. There has been no full description of ageing John dory using methods other than length frequency analysis (Silva, 1992). The opercular and hyomandibular bones have been suggested for ageing fish from the western Channel (without verification), and they suggest a length at the first winter of $9-13 \mathrm{~cm}$, and at the second winter $24-27 \mathrm{~cm}$, (Cunningham, 1892). Females grow larger than males, but no von Bertalanffy growth parameters are available for the waters in the north-east Atlantic. There are no management measures for John dory.

## THE FISHERY

The John dory was found in greatest abundance in the south and west of the Channel, and was landed only during the summer and autumn months from the eastern Channel. The largest landings were made by trawl métiers in the western Channel from summer until winter (F1.1 and U1.1), and in the eastern Channel small numbers were caught in the trawl métier F1.2 during the summer and autumn (Table 6.8.1). John dory were not caught in significant numbers by any Channel métier during the spring.

Adequate quarterly length samples were collected for U1.1, and for F1.1 in quarters 2 and 3 (Table 6.8.2). Length samples from commercial categories were combined from both years to produce an adequate quarterly length distribution for F1.2 and F3.2 (Table 6.8.2). Length samples were adequate when combined annually for U2.2 and U2.3.

The John dory is relatively scarce in the Channel. In both the UK and France it would be difficult to improve the sampling with the available resources as the most important métiers and ports were covered. Any improvement of the data would require extra sampling effort, or more focusing of sampling effort on boats targeting this species.

TABLE 6.8.1 Métier Sampling Summary - JOHN DORY

| Sampled Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ <br> (tonnes) (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1.2 | 30.0 | 0.425 | 1.42 |  |  |  | Jun-Aug | Port en Bessin |
| F3.2 | 0.5 | 0.425 | 85.0 |  |  |  | Jun-Sep | Port en Bessin |
| F.other | 0.3 | 0.425 | 141.7 |  |  |  | N/A | Port en Bessin |
| Total | 30.8 | 0.425 | 1.4 | 479 | 11 | 43 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1.1 | 200.0 | 0.36576 | 0.18 | 293 | 2 | 146 | Unknown | Saint-Malo; Saint-Quay |
| F1.3 | 40.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Unknown | Saint-Quay |
| F.other | 2.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 242 | 0.36576 | 0.18 | 293 | 2 | 146 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U1.1 | 120.8 | 0.672 | 0.56 | 1094 | 35 | 31 | Jun-Feb | Brixham; Looe |
| U2.2 | 14.5 | 0.404 | 2.78 | 537 | 15 | 36 | Aug-Dec | Brixham |
| U2.3 | 2.8 | 0.136 | 4.86 | 168 | 6 | 28 | Unknown | Brixham |
| U2.1 | 2.5 | 0.087 | 3.48 | 58 | 2 | 29 | Jun-Dec | Brixham; Shoreham |
| U1.2 | 0.7 | 0.048 | 6.8 | 116 | 12 | 10 | Jun-Nov | Shoreham; Newhaven |
| U4.1 | 0.7 | 0.007 | 1.0 | 27 | 1 | 27 | Unknown | Brixham |
| U5.4 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 10.0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | Jul-Nov | Hastings; Newhaven |
| U.other | 10.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 152.8 | 1.355 | 0.89 | 2002 | 73 | 27 |  |  |
| Total Landings all Métiers | 425.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]TABLE 6.8.2 John Dory: Example length distributions.

|  | F1.2 (Q2 1994) | F1.1 (Q2 1995) ${ }^{1}$ | U1.1 (Q2 1994) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 |  | 5 |  |
| 23 | 8 | 2 | 429 |
| 24 | 31 | 2 | 674 |
| 25 | 54 | 9 | 1707 |
| 26 | 276 | 29 | 3108 |
| 27 | 526 | 35 | 4291 |
| 28 | 362 | 33 | 4763 |
| 29 | 775 | 47 | 4719 |
| 30 | 736 | 45 | 6557 |
| 31 | 662 | 37 | 4965 |
| 32 | 381 | 21 | 3248 |
| 33 | 428 | 46 | 2171 |
| 34 | 303 | 36 | 1357 |
| 35 | 311 | 50 | 1664 |
| 36 | 358 | 60 | 2382 |
| 37 | 295 | 53 | 1542 |
| 38 | 187 | 52 | 1909 |
| 39 | 342 | 54 | 1401 |
| 40 | 226 | 26 | 368 |
| 41 | 185 | 7 | 823 |
| 42 | 195 | 12 | 700 |
| 43 | 137 | 4 | 123 |
| 44 | 150 | 20 | 0 |
| 45 | 84 | 27 | 123 |
| 46 | 112 | 11 | 123 |
| 47 | 150 | 3 |  |
| 48 | 56 | 3 |  |
| 49 | 122 | 11 |  |
| 50 | 76 | 3 |  |
| 51 | 87 | 2 |  |
| 52 | 39 | 6 |  |
| 53 | 69 | 1 |  |
| 54 | 39 |  |  |
| 55 | 69 |  |  |
| 56 | 59 |  |  |
| 57 | 79 |  |  |
| 58 | 20 |  |  |
| 59 | 39 |  |  |
| 60 | 29 |  |  |
| 61 | 39 |  |  |
| 62 | 10 |  |  |
| 63 | 10 |  |  |

1. Unraised data. Sample weight 631.6 Kg .

### 6.9 LEMON SOLE

The lemon sole, Microstomus kitt, is common in the North Sea and the western Channel (Wheeler 1969). In the western Channel lemon sole spawn from March to August, peaking during April, May and June (Anon, 1993). Ichthyoplankton samples suggest that most of the spawning in the Channel takes place in the west, as lemon sole eggs were most abundant in April in the area south and west of Cornwall (Riley et al., 1986). To study migrations, ripe and running lemon sole were tagged off south Devon in 1970 (Jennings et al., cited in Anon 1993). Virtually all were recaptured in areas adjacent to the release site, thus it appears that lemon sole in the western Channel undertake no extensive migrations. MAFF DFR has collected data on maturity, growth rates and mortality for lemon sole from the western Channel, but no similar data are currently available for adjacent sea areas. There is a minimum landing size of 25 cm .

## THE FISHERY

The main fisheries for lemon sole occurred in the western Channel during the winter and spring, and in the eastern Channel during the summer and autumn. The most important métiers in the western Channel were the winter trawl métiers F1.1, U1.1 and U2.2 (Table 6.9.1), and in the eastern Channel the summer trawl métier F1.2, with smaller landings by the trawl métiers F2.1, U1.2 and U2.1.

The French length data for the eastern Channel are not adequate by quarter, but are adequate after length data are combined by commercial category for both years. These data are adequate for all métiers with the exception of dredging (F4.2), where no data are available for quarter 3 (as there is no fishery at this time of year). The French length data for the western Channel are adequate on a quarterly basis for F 1.1 , while data from F 1.3 would be adequate when aggregated annually.

The UK length data are adequate for quarterly distributions to be produced for the métiers U1.1, U2.2 and U2.3. Length data are not adequate for any of the other métiers, even when all the data are aggregated.

The French age data were not adequate on a quarterly or six-monthly basis. When aggregated annually, and when both sexes are combined, the age data approached the required level of CVa (Table 6.9.2; Table 6.9.3). In order to bring the CVa for most of the age groups under the $10 \%$ level, the CVa would need to be halved, which would require a four fold increase in samples (approximately 1500 samples per annum; Appendix III).

The UK age data are also not adequate by quarter. When both sexes are aggregated on a six monthly basis, the CVa reaches, or approaches, the desired level for the first 5 age groups in the fishery (Table 6.9.2; Table 6.9.4-6.9.5). Although complete annual data are not available, the CVa for annual data should be below the required level for most age groups, and therefore annual data are considered adequate for the modelling purposes. However, annual aggregation of the data will introduce bias as the length
stratified age sample targets were often achieved for the bulk of the length distribution (Appendix II). Aggregating the French and UK data is not recommended as there is some evidence that separate stocks may exist in the Channel (Anon, 1993).

The UK data are collected largely by the SFI, however no targets for sampling lemon sole have been set for the eastern Channel, although landings data suggests that this fishery may be significant. It was necessary to purchase lemon sole in France to collect age samples, and an expenditure of approximately 2000 FF per quarter has been necessary to achieve what was done for this study.

TABLE 6.9.1 Métier Sampling Summary - LEMON SOLE

| Sampled Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1.2 | 423.6 | 0.147 | 0.03 |  |  |  | Jun-Sep | Boulogne sur Mer |
| F2.1 | 16.7 | 0.147 | 0.88 |  |  |  | Aug-Oct | Boulogne sur Mer |
| F5.4 | 9.1 | 0.147 | 1.61 |  |  |  | Jul-Aug | Boulogne sur Mer |
| F4.4 | 8.6 | 0.147 | 1.71 |  |  |  | Aug-Sep | Boulogne sur Mer |
| F4. 2 | 6.9 | 0.147 | 2.13 |  |  |  | Jan \& Dec | Boulogne sur Mer |
| F.other | 7.2 | 0.147 | 2.04 |  |  |  | Unknown | Boulogne sur Mer |
| Total | 472.1 | 0.147 | 0.03 | 309 | 2 | 154 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1.1 | 190.0 | 2.081 | 1.09 | 1344 | 12 | 112 | Unknown | Saint-Malo; Saint-Quay |
| F1.3 | 10.0 | 0.0307 | 0.31 | 67 | 10 | 7 | Unknown | Saint-Quay |
| Total | 200.0 | 2.1117 | 1.06 | 1411 | 22 | 64 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U1.1 | 389.3 | 8.637 | 2.22 | 7914 | 61 | 130 | Jan-Jul | Brixham; Plymouth; Newlyn |
| U2.2 | 97.3 | 2.431 | 2.49 | 1876 | 17 | 110 | Sep-Apr | Brixham; Plymouth; Newlyn |
| U2.3 | 40.6 | 0.886 | 2.18 | 583 | 5 | 117 | Aug-Mar | Brixham; Plymouth; Newlyn |
| U.other | 102.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Unknown |  |
| Total | 629.8 | 11.954 | 1.89 | 10373 | 83 | 125 |  |  |
| Total Landings all Métiers | 1301.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)

TABLE 6.9.2 CVa for Lemon sole samples

| Age | F | UK | UK | UK | UK | UK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Annual | Quarter 1 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 1+2 | Quarter 1+2 | Quarter 1+2 |
|  | 1995 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 |
|  | F1.1 | U1.1 | U1.1 | U1.1 | U1.1 | U1.1 |
|  | F+M | F | M | F | M | F+M |
| 2 | 62 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | 15 | 21 | 11 | 16 | 94 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 4 | 9 | 25 | 25 | 14 | 12 | 13 |
| 5 | 17 | 15 | 25 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 23 | 10 |
| 6 | 18 | 16 | 29 | 12 | 18 | 11 |
| 7 | 20 | 25 | 47 | 15 | 21 | 16 |
| 8 | 19 | 28 | 95 | 23 | 42 | 27 |
| 9 | 23 | 39 | 64 | 30 | 50 | 29 |
| 10 | 24 | 42 | 95 | 22 | 65 | 29 |
| 11 | 24 | 35 | - | 24 | 69 | 37 |
| 12 | - | 54 | - | 45 | 97 | 61 |

TABLE 6.9.3 Lemon sole; ALK; France; Annual 1995; VIIe; male+female

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | $17+$ |
| 23 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  | 7 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  | 4 | 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 |  | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | 2 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | 3 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  | 9 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 38 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| 40 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.9.4 Lemon sole: ALK; UK; Quarter $1+2$ 1994; VIIe; male

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | $17+$ |  |
| 24 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  | 6 |  | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | 14 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  | 6 | 7 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 34 |  | 1 | 1 | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  | 5 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.9.5 Lemon sole; ALK; UK; Quarter 1+2 1994; VIIe; female

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | $17+$ |
| 24 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  | 2 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  | 3 | 1 | 8 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | 8 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | 9 |  | 13 | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  | 4 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  | 1 | 3 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 35 |  |  |  | 20 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  | 1 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  | 15 | 12 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 41 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

TABLE 6.9.6 Otolith Collection Summary - LEMON SOLE
IFREMER Port en Bessin

|  |  | VIId |  | VПe |  | VIId or Vחe |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Total |
| 1994 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1994 | 2 | 28 | 48 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{7 6}$ |
| 1994 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1994 | 4 | 18 | 73 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{9 1}$ |
| 1995 | 1 | 49 | 71 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ |
| 1995 | 2 | 35 | 54 |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{8 9}$ |
| 1995 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| 1995 | 4 | $134^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 3 4}$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 0}$ |

IFREMER Brest ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | VIId |  | VПe |  | VIId or V\#e |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Total |
| 1994 | 1 |  |  | 94 |  |  | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |  |
| 1994 | 2 |  |  | 116 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ |  |
| 1994 | 3 |  |  | 69 |  |  | $\mathbf{6 9}$ |  |
| 1994 | 4 |  |  | 141 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ |  |
| 1995 | 1 |  |  | 136 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ |  |
| 1995 | 2 |  |  | 109 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ |  |
| 1995 | 3 |  |  | 93 |  |  | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |  |
| 1995 | 4 |  |  | $90^{2}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{9 0}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MAFF Lowestoft ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | VUd |  | VПe |  | VId or VMe |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Male | Female | Male Female | Male | Female | Total |  |
| 1994 | 1 |  |  | 351 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 5 1}$ |  |
| 1994 | 2 |  |  | 337 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 3 7}$ |  |
| 1994 | 3 |  |  | 324 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 2 4}$ |  |
| 1994 | 4 |  |  | 240 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ |  |
| 1995 | 1 |  |  | 501 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 0 1}$ |  |
| 1995 | 2 |  |  | 370 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 7 0}$ |  |
| 1995 | 3 |  |  | 352 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 5 2}^{2}$ |  |
| 1995 | 4 |  |  | $166^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 6 6}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 4 1}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 4 1}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |

1 Breakdown by sex not available.
2 Data incomplete: some samples collected but not processed.

### 6.10 RED GURNARD

The red gurnard, Aspitrigla cuculus, is the most abundant of four species of gurnard found in the Channel (Wheeler, 1969). The red gurnard is principally found in waters of $20-250 \mathrm{~m}$ depth from the Orkney Islands and southern Norway south to the Mediterranean and North Africa (Wheeler, 1969). First sexual maturity occurs at approximately 25 cm , with approximately $50 \%$ mature at $26-29 \mathrm{~cm}$ at an age of three (Theret 1983, cited in Anon, 1993). Ripe and running red gurnard have been caught in the area between north Contentin and the Isle of Wight from the end of February until June, but spawning may continue later than June further east in the Channel (Theret, 1983, cited in Anon 1993; MAFF, unpublished data). Gurnard eggs and postlarvae have been collected on several occasions from the Channel, however identification to species level has not been possible as the eggs and developmental stages are difficult to separate from other gurnard species (Clark, 1914; Russell, 1976). There has been no recorded tagging exercises with red gurnard in the Channel. Red gurnards are identified in French landings data, and this information has been used to infer migrations of red gurnard (Theret, 1983, cited in Anon, 1993). Fisheries data shows that red gurnard appear in the central and western Channel during September, and in an area between Ouessant on the French coast and the Isle of Wight, particularly around the Hurd Deep, from November to January. Spawning commences in February and the spent fish appear to move west, so that by July and August most fish were caught in the western Channel. Length-weight relationships and von Bertalanffy growth parameters exist for red gurnard from the Channel (Fontaine and Theret, 1982), southern Brittany (Baron, 1985), and the Saronikos Gulf
(Greece) (Papaconstantinou, 1983), with females growing faster than males and reaching a larger maximum length. Mortality rates have only been estimated in the Saronikos Gulf (Papaconstantinou, 1983). There are no current management measures for red gurnard.

## THE FISHERY

The most important fisheries for red gurnard occurred in the eastern Channel over winter, and in the western Channel from summer, through winter, until early spring. In France the red gurnard fishery was larger in the eastern Channel, where the market was more developed, but in the UK the red gurnard was generally not considered important. The most important métiers in the eastern Channel were the trawl métiers F1.1, F1.2 and U1.2, and in the western Channel the trawl métiers F1.3, U1.1 and U2.2 (Table 6.10.1).

In the UK, it was more difficult to collect samples of red gurnard in the eastern Channel (Table 6.10.2), as they were less abundant in the landings and were often out numbered by other gurnard species. The UK quarterly length data were adequate for the métiers U1.1, U2.2 and U2.3, but aggregation of quarterly data on an annual basis is recommended to produce adequate length data for U1.2.

French length data were adequate for all métiers and quarters, except $F 1.3$ where annual data are adequate after annual aggregation. In the French eastern Channel métiers there were some regional differences in the length distributions of the
commercial categories, as a result the data were raised for each area separately (the area east of, and including Dieppe; and the area west of, and including, Fécamp).

In France, it was possible to obtain age samples without difficulty throughout the year (Table 6.10.2). The CVa for the French age data for females was not adequate quarterly (Table 6.10.3; Table 6.10.5), but approached the desired level when data were aggregated on a six monthly basis, and was best when aggregated annually (Table 6.10.3; Table 6.10.6). The data for males needed to be aggregated on an annual basis to achieve the desired CVa (Table 6.10.3; Table 6.10.7).

The UK age data were not adequate on a quarterly or six monthly basis, except for the age 2 samples (Table 6.10.4). The age data were aggregated annually (Table 6.10.8; Table 6.10.9), and the sexes were combined to achieve the best overall CVa (Table 6.10.4). Even at this level of aggregation the CVa for the UK data did not achieve the acceptable level for fish aged 3 years and older, but these fish were relatively scarce in the UK fishery. However, combining the UK data introduces bias, as the specified sample targets were often achieved for the bulk of the length distribution (Appendix II).

For red gurnard, the variance due to length sampling at each age is generally greater than the variance due to age sampling, contrary to what has been suggested for other assessed species (Pope and Knights 1975; Flatman 1990; Table 6.10.10. On an annual basis the CV (with variances due to age and length sampling) approaches the desired level for UK data when aggregated annually and by sex, but only for the age groups 2
and 3 (Table 6.10.10). In order to achieve the desired level of CV for the first three age groups it would be necessary to reduce the CV by a factor of 2 , i.e. a four-fold increase in age samples (approximately 2300 samples per annum; Appendix III). The stock integrity in the Channel has yet to be established, and therefore it is not recommended that the different regions are aggregated.

It is important to note that the UK landings estimates were for all species of gurnards combined, as the different species are not separated in the MAFF FAD landings statistics. Also, as red gurnard was a common pot bait species, considerable amounts did not pass through markets or auctions but were sold directly between fishermen, and these landings were not recorded. Improving UK length samples would require extra sampling effort, but better age samples could have been collected, with minimal extra effort and cost, by increasing the age sample targets (Appendix III).

TABLE 6.10.1 Métier Sampling Summary - RED GURNARD

| Sampled Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1.2 | 1101.8 | 0.816 | 0.07 |  |  |  | Oct-Jan | Port en Bessin; Dieppe |
| F1.4 | 17.3 | 0.816 | 4.72 |  |  |  | Sep-Oct | Port en Bessin; Dieppe |
| F.other | 15.1 | 0.816 | 5.40 |  |  |  | N/A | Port en Bessin; Dieppe |
| Total | 1134.2 | 0.816 | 0.07 | 3029 | 7 | 433 |  |  |
| F1.1 | 1152.0 | 0.691 | 0.06 |  |  |  | Jan-Mar | Port en Bessin; Cherbourg |
| F.other | 13.1 | 0.691 | 5.27 |  |  |  | N/A | Port en Bessin; Cherbourg |
| Total | 1165.1 | 0.691 | 0.06 | 2442 | 5 | 488 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1.1 | 1500.0 | 5.7417 | 0.38 | 1984 | 10 | 198 | Jan-Mar | Saint-Malo; Saint-Quay |
| F1.3 | 500.0 | 0.2889 | 0.06 | 567 | 20 | 28 | Jun-Sep | Saint-Quay |
| F.other | 140.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 2140.0 | 6.0306 | 0.28 | 2511 | 30 | 84 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U1.1 | $167.4^{2}$ | 0.649 | 0.38 | 1536 | 21 | 73 | May-Nov | Brixham |
| U2.2 | $67.5^{2}$ | 2.488 | 3.68 | 737 | 10 | 74 | Jan-Mar | Brixham |
| U1.2 | $45.9{ }^{2}$ | 0.167 | 0.36 | 563 | 7 | 80 | Jul-Nov | Newhaven; Shoreham |
| U2.3 | $36.4{ }^{2}$ | 0.822 | 2.26 | 601 | 7 | 86 | Oct-Mar | Brixham |
| U.other | $32.6{ }^{2}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | $349.8{ }^{2}$ | 4.126 | 1.17 | 3437 | 45 | 76 |  |  |
| Total Landings all Métiers | $3654.9^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)
2. Data for UK landings includes all gurnard species

TABLE 6.10.2 Otolith Collection Summary - RED GURNARD
IFREMER Port en Bessin

|  |  | VIId |  | VIIe |  | VIId or VIle |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Total |
| 1994 | 1 | 67 | 137 | 107 | 173 |  |  | $\mathbf{4 8 4}$ |
| 1994 | 2 | 66 | 156 | 67 | 176 |  |  | $\mathbf{4 6 5}$ |
| 1994 | 3 | 32 | 101 | 29 | 117 |  |  | $\mathbf{2 7 9}$ |
| 1994 | 4 | 48 | 137 | 68 | 136 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 8 9}$ |
| 1995 | 1 | 56 | 125 | 59 | 137 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 7 7}$ |
| 1995 | 2 | 44 | 137 | 40 | 138 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 5 9}$ |
| 1995 | 3 | 49 | 177 | 64 | 128 |  |  | $\mathbf{4 1 8}$ |
| 1995 | 4 | 91 | 154 | 29 | 140 |  |  | $\mathbf{4 1 4}$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{4 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 8 5}$ |

IFREMER Brest - none

MAFF Lowestoft

|  |  | VId |  | VIIe |  | VId or VПe |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Total |
| 1994 | 2 |  |  | 24 | 56 |  |  | $\mathbf{8 0}$ |
| 1994 | 3 |  |  | 13 | 39 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |
| 1994 | 4 |  |  | 18 | 33 |  |  | $\mathbf{5 1}$ |
| 1995 | 1 |  |  | 19 | 60 |  |  | $\mathbf{7 9}$ |
| 1995 | 2 |  |  | 4 | 40 |  |  | $\mathbf{4 4}$ |
| 1995 | 3 | 32 | 77 | 3 | 29 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ |
| 1995 | 4 |  |  | 18 | 71 |  |  | $\mathbf{8 9}$ |
| 1996 | 1 |  |  | 11 | 31 |  |  | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 8}$ |

TABLE 6.10.3 CVa for French red gurnard samples

| Age | F | F | F | F | F | F | F | F | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 |
|  | Q1 | Q1 | Q1 | Q1 | Q1+2 | Q1+2 | Annual | Annual | Annual |
|  | F1.1 | F1.1 | F1.2 | F1.2 | F1.2 | F1.2 | F1.2 | F1.2 <br> M <br> F | F |
|  | M | F | M | F | M | F | M+F |  |  |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| 2 | 29 | 25 | - | 97 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 7 |
| 3 | 11 | $\mathbf{6}$ | 49 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 18 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 18 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| 4 | 24 | 14 | 24 | 16 | 21 | 14 | 20 | 13 | 12 |
| 5 | 21 | 13 | 35 | 14 | 22 | 11 | 17 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| 6 | 25 | 20 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 21 | 40 | 15 | 36 | 14 | 17 |
| 7 | 27 | 39 | 32 | 34 | 35 | 19 | 38 | 36 | 22 |
| 8 | 42 | 41 | 64 | 64 | 73 | 42 | 65 | 38 | 36 |
| 9 | 53 | 59 | 59 | 48 | 63 | 38 | 59 | 53 | 37 |
| 10 | 86 | 95 | 66 | 55 | 74 | 57 | 74 | 66 | 57 |
| 11 | - | - | - | 89 | - | 60 | - | - | - |

TABLE 6.10.4 CVa for UK red gurnard samples

| Age | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UK } \\ & 1994 \\ & \text { Q2 } \\ & \text { U1.1 } \\ & \text { F } \end{aligned}$ | UK <br> 1994 <br> Q2 <br> U1.1 <br> M | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{UK} \\ & 1994 \\ & \text { Q2 } \\ & \text { U1.1 } \\ & \text { F+M } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UK } \\ & 1994 \\ & \text { Q2+3 } \\ & \text { U1.1 } \\ & \text { F+M } \end{aligned}$ | UK <br> 1994 <br> Annual <br> U1.1 <br> F | UK <br> 1994 <br> Annual <br> U1.1 <br> M | UK <br> 1994 <br> Annual <br> U1.1 <br> F+M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | - | - | 26 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 3 | 27 | 35 | 24 | 17 | 14 | 32 | 18 |
| 4 | 45 | 0 | 38 | 40 | 35 | 54 | 30 |
| 5 | 33 | - | 31 | 44 | 36 | - | 32 |
| 6 | 35 | 0 | 44 | 25 | 48 | 71 | 52 |
| 7 | - | - | 89 | 71 | 95 | - | 86 |
| 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | - | - | 89 | - | 95 | - | 86 |

TABLE 6. 10.10 CV for red gurnard: annual 1994; U1.1; male + female.

| Age | Catch | Variance due to <br> age sampling | Variance due to <br> length sampling | CVa | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 365000 | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $2.10 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 9 | 15 |
| 2 | 518000 | $1.47 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $1.67 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 7 | 11 |
| 3 | 101000 | $3.41 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 18 | 22 |
| 4 | 12500 | $1.46 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 30 | 45 |
| 5 | 9240 | $8.81 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.71 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 32 | 55 |
| 6 | 1850 | $9.44 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.95 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 52 | 107 |
| 7 | 120 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 86 | 165 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 9 | 120 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 86 | 165 |

TABLE 6.10.5 Red Gurnard: ALK; French; Quarter 1 1994; VIId; Female

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  | 7 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  | 1 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  | 1 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.10.6 Red Gurnard: ALK; French; Annual 1994; VIId; Female

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  | 9 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | 8 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  | 14 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  | 13 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  | 8 | 7 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  | 12 | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  | 17 | 15 | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  | 18 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  | 11 | 9 | 9 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  | 19 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  | 7 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  | 2 | 4 | 8 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  | 3 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.10.7 Red Gurnard; ALK; French; Annual 1994; VIId; male

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 2 | 10 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 6 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 1 | 6 | 5 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  | 11 | 4 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  | 5 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 32 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 5 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 34 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 37 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |

TABLE 6.10.8 Red Gurnard: ALK; UK; 1994 annual; VIIe; female.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 3 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  | 8 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 41 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |

TABLE 6.10.9 Red Gurnard: ALK; UK; 1994 annual; VIIe; male.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

### 6.11 RED MULLET

The red mullet, Mullus surmuletus, is distributed from the Canaries in the south, throughout the Mediterranean Sea to Norway and the Orkney Islands in the north, where they occur most abundantly in depths less than 50 m (Wheeler, 1969). In the Mediterranean first sexual maturity is attained at a length of $13-15 \mathrm{~cm}$, at age $1-2$ years, and males may mature earlier than females (Sanchez et al., 1983). Spawning areas have not been described for the Channel, but both pelagic and demersal stages have been recorded in the waters around Plymouth in August (Clark, 1914), and in September demersal 0-group red mullet have been recorded in coastal waters at depths of $5-15 \mathrm{~m}$, in the Solent and Poole Bay (MAFF, unpublished data). In October and November both juveniles and adults move offshore into deeper waters of $46-55 \mathrm{~m}$, and a return migration occurs in June (Wheeler, 1969). Red mullet have been aged using otoliths, and on the Catalan coast (Mediterranean) red mullet reach 23 cm after a minimum of 5 years, (Sanchez et al., 1983). Von Bertalanffy growth parameters and length-weight parameters exist for red mullet from the Catalan coast (Sanchez et al., 1983), but not for the Channel. There is a minimum landing size for red mullet of 15 cm .

## THE FISHERY

The most important métiers were the trawl métiers F1.1, F1.2, F1.3 and U1.1, and the French fishery was also considerably larger than the UK fishery (Table 6.11.1). Red mullet were landed in the eastern Channel during the winter by the trawl métier F1.2,
and in the autumn and summer by U1.1. The greatest landings in the western Channel were over winter by the trawl métiers F1.1 and U2.2. However, it was difficult to obtain accurate landings from F1.1 as red mullet was often mixed with other species.

In the UK adequate length data for VIId métiers, (almost entirely from the trawl métier U1.2) could only be produced by aggregating data from both years. Data were also adequate quarterly for the less seasonal métiers U1.1 and U2.2.

Although the fisheries in the eastern Channel were seasonal, the data collected were adequate to produce length distributions on a quarterly basis for all the French VIId métiers after aggregating data from equivalent quarters from the two years (Table 6.11.2). The fisheries in the western Channel were less seasonal and adequate quarterly length data were provided for F1.1 (Table 6.11.2).

In both the UK and France it would be difficult to improve the sampling with the available resources as the most important métiers and ports have been covered, so improvement of the data would require extra sampling effort.

TABLE 6.11.1 Métier Sampling Summary - RED MULLET

| Sampled Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ <br> (tonnes) (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1.2 | 227.6 | 0.291 | 0.13 |  |  |  | Nov-Jan | Port en Bessin |
| F3.2 | 5.5 | 0.291 | 5.29 |  |  |  | Nov-Dec | Port en Bessin |
| F.other | 5.8 | 0.291 | 5.02 |  |  |  | N/A | Port en Bessin |
| Total | 238.9 | 0.291 | 0.12 | 801 | 8 | 100 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1.1 | 170.0 | 0.2034 | 0.12 | 208 | 3 | 69 | Oct-Mar | Saint-Malo; Saint-Quay |
| F1.3 | 30.0 | 0.002 | $<0.01$ | 10 | 1 | 10 | Unknown | Saint-Quay |
| F5. 2 | 10.0 | $<0.01$ | <0.01 | 13 | 1 | 13 | Unknown | Roscoff |
| Total | 210.0 | 0.2054 | 0.10 | 231 | 4 | 58 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U1.1 | 23.4 | 0.280 | 1.19 | 581 | 16 | 36 | Jul-Nov | Brixham; Looe |
| U2.2 | 14.1 | 0.605 | 4.29 | 1080 | 14 | 77 | Sep-Mar | Brixham |
| U2.3 | 6.2 | 0.112 | 1.81 | 365 | 6 | 61 | Oct-Mar | Brixham |
| U1.2 | 5.6 | 0.076 | 1.35 | 162 | 13 | 12 | Sep-Nov | Newhaven; Shoreham |
| U5.4 | 0.06 | $<0.001$ | 0.3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Unknown | Hastings |
| U.other | 11.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 60.7 | 1.073 | 1.77 | 2139 | 50 | 43 |  |  |
| Total Landings all Métiers | 503.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)

TABLE 6.11.2 Red Mullet: Example length distributions

|  | U 1.1 (Q3 1994) | U 2.2 (Q3 1994) | F 1.2 (Q3 1994) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 |  |  | 463 |
| 19 | 218 |  | 4735 |
| 20 | 53 |  | 4479 |
| 21 | 1344 |  | 3553 |
| 22 | 2056 |  | 1840 |
| 23 | 3191 | 204 | 5189 |
| 24 | 3834 | 266 | 5812 |
| 25 | 3739 | 674 | 1260 |
| 26 | 1957 | 633 | 6575 |
| 27 | 951 | 776 | 2532 |
| 28 | 233 | 1011 | 7374 |
| 29 | 233 | 705 | 9299 |
| 30 | 154 | 1062 | 8725 |
| 31 | 0 | 786 | 6542 |
| 32 | 0 | 327 | 4405 |
| 33 | 0 | 225 | 3783 |
| 34 | 26 | 286 | 1703 |
| 35 |  | 204 | 1943 |
| 36 |  | 102 | 966 |
| 37 |  | 102 | 804 |
| 38 |  | 20 | 660 |
| 39 |  | 0 | 201 |
| 40 |  | 0 | 86 |
| 41 |  | 0 | 86 |
| 42 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 43 |  | 20 | 29 |
| 44 |  |  | 0 |
| 45 |  |  | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |

### 6.12 SCALLOP

The scallop, Pecten maximus, is widely distributed in north-east Atlantic shelf waters from Iceland and Norway south to the Iberian peninsula and the Canaries, where they are most abundant at depths of 15-75 metres (Mason, 1983). Scallops are fully mature at approximately 3 years old ( 85 mm ) (Franklin et al., 1980). The spawning period lasts from April until September, but with considerable variation in timing, and as they are sedentary the spawning areas correspond to the adult distribution (Paulet and Fifas, 1989). The appearance of 0 -group scallops, called 'spat', is unpredictable and some year classes are often absent from a population (Franklin et al., 1980). It also appears that whilst in some areas scallops are self-recruiting, in others they rely on passive immigration of larvae (Darby and Durance, 1989). There is considerable variation in growth rates of scallops between different areas and within those areas between years (Anon, 1993). The von Bertalanffy growth parameters exist for scallops from a range of grounds in the Channel (Dare and Deith, 1991; Paulet and Fifas, 1989). There is a minimum landing shell width of 10 cm (biological samples measure shell height).

## THE FISHERY

The only important métiers for scallop are the dredging métiers, U4.2 and F4.2 in the eastern Channel during the winter, and U4.1 and F4.1 in the western Channel during the summer (Table 6.12.1).

The length data from the French landings of métiers F4.1 and F4.2 were adequate by quarter whilst the fishery is in season (Quarters 1,2 and 4 - no data for quarter 3; e.g. F4.2, Table 6.12.1). In France some existing length data were also used. The UK length data are poor, and not suitable for raising, although better in the second year of sampling (Table 6.12.2). There is evidence for separate stocks existing in the Channel (Anon, 1993), and therefore combining data from different regions is not recommended.

It was difficult to get scallop samples in the UK, as almost all scallop landings go directly to merchants and are not available for sampling at the markets. The scallops are sold rapidly at the merchants, so it was also difficult to find samples that were complete. Some sampling of scallops was possible at the auction in Brixham, but in the eastern Channel all scallops passed directly to the merchants. Sampling of the UK scallop métiers is therefore best carried out at sea but this technique was considered too time consuming with the manpower available to this project.

TABLE 6.12.1 Métier Sampling Summary - SCALLOPS

| Sampled Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4. 2 | 4785.7 | 0.410 | 0.008 |  |  |  | Nov-Mar | Port en Bessin \& Grancamp |
| F.other | 42.9 | 0.410 | 0.96 |  |  |  | N/A | Port en Bessin \& Grancamp |
| Total | 4828.6 | 0.410 | 0.008 | 1796 | 14 | 128 |  |  |
|  |  | (*) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F4.1 | 6750 | 47.873 | 0.71 | 6000 | 90 | . $67 \%$ | Nov-Mar | St Quay, Paimpol |
| Total | 6750 | 47.873 | 0.71 | 6000 | 90 | $67 \%$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U4.1 | 3482.0 | 2.060 | 0.06 | 120 | 1 | 120 | May-Aug | Brixham |
| U4.2 | 780.0 | 0.060 | 0.01 | 49 | 1 | 49 | Jan-May | Portsmouth |
| U.other | 298.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 4560.0 | 2.120 | 0.05 | 169 | 2 | 84 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Landings all Métiers | 16138.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)


TABLE 6.12.2 Scallop: Example length distributions; UK

| mm | U4.1 (Q4 1994) | U4.1 (Q2 1995) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 85 |  | 7781 |
| 86 |  | 2594 |
| 87 |  | 5187 |
| 88 |  | 10375 |
| 89 |  | 2594 |
| 90 |  | 7904 |
| 91 |  | 7904 |
| 92 |  | 28653 |
| 93 |  | 12968 |
| 94 |  | 15685 |
| 95 |  | 14449 |
| 96 |  | 27417 |
| 97 |  | 10743 |
| 98 | 1494 | 17166 |
| 99 | 4482 | 22353 |
| 100 | 1494 | 18278 |
| 101 | 2988 | 23588 |
| 102 | 1494 | 11979 |
| 103 | 8964 | 22476 |
| 104 | 0 | 13583 |
| 105 | 10459 | 11979 |
| 106 | 5976 | 22353 |
| 107 | 7470 | 18401 |
| 108 | 4482 | 14572 |
| 109 | 1494 | 14449 |
| 110 | 4482 | 11979 |
| 111 | 1494 | 11979 |
| 112 | 2988 | 10620 |
| 113 | 5976 | 9262 |
| 114 | 1494 | 18524 |
| 115 | 1494 | 2717 |
| 116 | 0 | 13214 |
| 117 | 1494 | 6668 |
| 118 | 0 | 5310 |
| 119 | 1494 | 1358 |
| 120 | 1494 | 5310 |
| 121 |  | 5310 |
| 122 |  | 3952 |
| 123 |  | 2594 |

TABLE 6.12.3 Scallop: Example length distributions; French

| cm | $\mathrm{F} 4.2(\mathrm{Q} 21995)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 9 | 21046 |
| 9.5 | 105232 |
| 10 | 512127 |
| 10.5 | 659452 |
| 11 | 961116 |
| 11.5 | 470035 |
| 12 | 336741 |
| 12.5 | 28062 |
| 13 | 28062 |

### 6.13 SPIDER CRAB

The spider crab, Maia squinado, is distributed from Scotland and the southern North Sea south to the Mediterranean, where it is most abundant at depths of $0-70 \mathrm{~m}$ (Anon, 1993). In the Channel, mature females are all carrying eggs by June, the eggs begin to hatch in July and have all hatched by November (Anon, 1993). Some females may produce two batches of eggs in a season (de Kergariou, 1975). Hatching takes place in inshore waters generally less than 20 m deep, and the larvae are then pelagic for 2-3 weeks (de Kergariou, 1983). The juveniles remain in the nursery areas until they reach maturity at the end of their second year, and after maturity tagging exercises have shown that adult spider crabs leave the inshore waters from September to January and migrate to overwintering areas in waters deeper than 50 m (Latrouite and Le Foll, 1989). In April and June the mature spider crabs return inshore, although not necessarily to their original nursery areas. There are also some longer-term migrations westwards in the Channel (Edwards, 1980). Males grow larger and faster than females, although maturity occurs at the same age (Anon, 1993). Growth parameters for the Channel have not yet been published. However, adults size is reached after the terminal moult, at approximately 2 years of age and 8 to 20 cm carapace length (Le Foll, 1993), after which no further growth occurs. In the Channel spider crabs have a minimum landing size of 12 cm carapace height.

## THE FISHERY

The most important métiers were the net métier, F5.6, during winter and spring, and the pot métiers U6.1, U6.2, F6.1 and F6.2 (Table 6.13.1). The pot métiers landed spider crabs throughout the year, but the greatest landings were during spring and summer.

The French quarterly length data were only adequate for F5.6 (Table 6.13.2), and F6.2 in quarters 2 and 4 . However, the relatively poor sampling is not a serious problem for métiers which exploit the adult crabs, which have reached their terminal size. The UK length data were adequate for all quarters and métiers (e.g. U6.2, Table 6.13.2), except for the netting métier U5.4.

The sampling of spider crab in France was effort intensive, because the data had to be collected at sea. In the UK, in addition to length samples collected by staff directly involved with this project, samples were also collected by the SFI staff. It is not recommended that the data for different regions are combined, as there is evidence that separate stocks exist for the French and UK sides of the Channel (Anon, 1993). In both the UK and France it was difficult to improve the sampling with the available resources as the most important métiers and ports were covered, so improvement of the data would require extra sampling effort.

TABLE 6.13.1 Métier Sampling Summary - SPIDER CRAB

| Sampled Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ <br> (tonnes) (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4.2 | 11.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Feb-Mar | None |
| F1.2 | 4.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Mar-Aug | None |
| F1.4 | 3.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Jul-Aug | None |
| F5.5 | 1.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Jan-May | None |
| F6.2 | 0.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | May \& Oct | None |
| F.other | 1.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A | None |
| Total | 22.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| F1.3 | 100.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Nov-Aug | Sampled At Sea |
| F5.6 | 2000.0 | 1.721 | 0.08 | 432 | 1 | 432 | Nov-May | Sampled At Sea |
| F6.1 | 300.0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Nov-Aug | Sampled At Sea |
| F6.2 | 1400.0 | 0.309 | 0.02 | 345 | 2 | 172 | Nov-Aug | Sampled At Sea |
| Total | $3800.0{ }^{2}$ | 2.03 | 0.05 | 777 | 3 | 259 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U6.1 | 714.0 | 1.726 | 0.24 | 754 | 6 | 126 | Apr-Dec ${ }^{2}$ | Salcombe; Coverak |
| U6.2 | 360.4 | 5.028 | 1.39 | 1993 | 26 | 77 | Mar-Dec ${ }^{2}$ | Eastbourne; Shoreham |
| U5.4 | 0.04 | 0.066 | (165) | 77 | 1 | 77 | Unknown | Worthing |
| U5.7 | 3.2 | 1.431 | 44.7 | 409 | 4 | 102 | Dec-May | Coverak; Helford River |
| U.other | 22.4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 1100.0 | 8.251 | 0.75 | 3233 | 37 | 87 |  |  |
| Total Landings all Métiers | 5122.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)
2. Approximate data.

TABLE 6.13.2 Spider Crab: Example length distributions (raised to trip); France

| cm | F5.6 (Q4 1994) Male | F5.6 (Q4 1994) Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 41 | 48 |
| 12.5 | 136 | 95 |
| 13 | 170 | 82 |
| 13.5 | 142 | 110 |
| 14 | 171 | 147 |
| 14.5 | 181 | 72 |
| 15 | 107 | 22 |
| 15.5 | 90 | 1 |
| 16 | 32 | 0 |
| 16.5 | 41 | 1 |
| 17 | 25 |  |
| 17.5 | 8 |  |
| 18 | 0 |  |
| 18.5 | 0 |  |
| 19 | 8 |  |
| Weight | 1189 |  |

1. Weight sampled (kg).

TABLE 6.13.3 Spider Crab: Example length distributions (raised to trip); UK

| cm | $\mathrm{U} 6.2(\mathrm{Q} 41994)_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 11 | 13 |
| 12 | 117 |
| 13 | 143 |
| 14 | 116 |
| 15 | 53 |
| 16 | 29 |
| 17 | 6 |
| Weight $_{1}$ | 394 |

1. Weight sampled (kg).

### 6.14 TURBOT

The turbot, Scophthalmus maximus, is distributed from the Mediterranean northwards to the British Isles and Norway and as far north as Faroe, Iceland and Rockall (Wheeler, 1969). There are no detailed maturity data for the Channel, but in the North Sea the average size at first maturity for females is 46 cm , and males appear to be mature by 30 cm (Jones, 1974). Spawning lasts from April until September in the Channel, and turbot eggs have been found in ichthyoplankton trawls in the eastern Channel, particularly the Baie de Seine, from April to June reaching a peak in June (MAFF, unpublished data). In June, larvae have been found west of a line drawn from the Isle of Wight to Cherbourg, and post-larval turbot have also been recorded in the waters around Plymouth in July (Clark, 1914). There have been no tagging exercises using turbot in the Channel, and the migration patterns inferred by commercial catch data have not been studied. There are considerable data on growth rates of turbot as a result of interest in the aquaculture of this species (Weber, 1979; Fernandez-Pato et al., 1990; Paulsen 1989). Length-weight parameters and von Bertalanffy growth parameters exist for the North Sea and the Golfe de Lion, where females grow faster than males and achieve a greater maximum size. Estimates of total mortality exist for the North Sea (Weber, 1979; Robert and Vianet, 1988). There is a minimum landing size of 30 cm .

## THE FISHERY

The fishery for turbot was concentrated in the western Channel, where the largest landings occurred in the winter and summer. In the eastern Channel small landings occurred throughout the year, with no clear seasonality. The most important métiers were the tangle nets (F5.3 and U5.7) and offshore trawls (U2.2, F1.1, F1.2 and F2.1) (Table 6.14.1). The tangle net métiers F5.3 and U5.7 and the trawl métiers F1.1, F1.2 and F2.1 were all summer fisheries, and the trawl métier U2.2 was a winter fishery.

Length data were adequate quarterly for the métiers U 2.2 and U 2.3 (Table 6.14.2). Length data were also adequate for U5.7 and F5.3, during the periods of highest landings (Table 6.14.2). It was not possible to collect adequate quarterly data for any of the other métiers in the western Channel, (e.g. F1.3; Table 6.14.2), as only small numbers of turbot were landed per trip (Table 6.14.1). The length data collected for all eastern Channel métiers were not adequate quarterly or annually (e.g. U1.2, Table 6.14.2). Some data from 1991 were also provided for F5.3 and F1.3, and similar data exists for 1993, but needs to be reworked by quarter.

It was difficult to collect adequate numbers of turbot for age samples (Table 6.14.3). ALK's were not adequate for quarterly use (Table 6.14.4-6.14.6). The French ALK's were missing samples from the small length groups, ( $<41 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) (Table 6.14.5), as these fish were landed mostly by the inshore trawl métiers, but only in small numbers. In the UK it was easier to find these smaller fish, but conversely it was harder to find large fish ( $>61 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) (Table 6.14.8). However, the size range of age samples reflected
the length distribution in the main fishery in each country, i.e. métiers F5.3 in France and U2.2 in the UK. The ageing of turbot represents a new skill for staff at MAFF and IFREMER, and as such requires verification and checking before this species can be aged with confidence.

It was not possible to raise the French age data with any confidence, even on an annual basis (Table 6.14.9). With male and female age data combined annually the ALK may be used, but the length data are insufficient for confident raising for all métiers except F5.3 (Table 6.14.2). In order to reduce the CVa to acceptable levels across most age groups would require a nine-fold increase in age samples, (approximately 1500 samples per annum, Appendix III).

The UK age data were not adequate quarterly, when aggregated annually and when sexes were combined the target CVa is nearly achieved for ages 2,3 and 4 (Table 6.14.9). As the UK sample targets by length group were not achieved, the sampling approximated to random sampling, eliminating some of the bias that would be incurred when aggregating the data. In order to lower the CV (including the variances due to age and length sampling) to acceptable levels across the first 3 year classes in the fishery, would require decreasing the CV by a factor of 2 (Table 6.14.10). This would require a four-fold increase in age samples, (approximately 1600 samples per annum, Appendix III).

The stock integrity in the Channel is unknown, so aggregating the data from different regions is not recommended. In France it was difficult to improve the sampling with
the available resources as the most important métiers and ports have been covered, so improvement of the data would require extra sampling effort. However, a remanagement of the sampling effort may be sufficient to improve data for F5.3, where the landings are concentrated in quarters 2 and 3. In the UK, sampling of métier U5.7 could be increased and may help to provide the larger fish missing in the UK ALK's. To improve the sampling of the other métiers would require extra effort.

TABLE 6.14.1 Métier Sampling Summary - TURBOT

| Sampled Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1.2 | 33.6 | 0.138 | 0.41 | 53 | 6 | 9 | May-Aug | Port en Bessin |
| F5.3 | 32.0 | 0.045 | 0.14 | 32 | 2 | 16 | Jun-Sep | Port en Bessin |
| F2.1 | 31.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Aug-Oct | Port en Bessin |
| F4.4 | 23.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Apr-Jun | Port en Bessin |
| F4. 2 | 23.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Nov-Feb | Port en Bessin |
| F1.4 | 9.2 | 0.030 | 0.32 | 11 | 1 | 11 | Jun-Jul | Port en Bessin |
| F5.4 | 6.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | Oct-Nov | Port en Bessin |
| F other | 0.4 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A | Port en Bessin |
| Total | 160.4 | 0.213 | 0.13 | 96 | 9 | 11 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F1.1 | 30.0 | 0.0957 | 0.32 | 39 | 6 | 6 | May-Jul | Saint-Malo; Saint-Quay |
| F1.3 | 10.0 | 0.1276 | 1.3 | 50 | 22 | 2 | May-Jul | Saint-Quay |
| F5.3 | 80.0 | 0.416 | 0.52 | 17 | 20 | 1 | May-Jul | Roscoff |
| Total | 120.0 | 0.6393 | 0.5 | 106 | 48 | 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(cont.)

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)

TABLE 6.14.1 (cont.) Métier Sampling Summary - TURBOT

| Sampled Métier | Total landings first year ${ }^{1}$ (tonnes) | Sampled Weight first year ${ }^{1}$ <br> (tonnes) (\%) |  | Number of Fish Sampled | Number of Vessels Sampled | Mean number of Fish Sampled per Boat | Months of peak fishing activity | Most important sampled ports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U2.2 | 51.5 | 1.455 | 2.8 | 543 | 20 | 27 | Jan-Apr | Brixham |
| U2.3 | 18.9 | 1.235 | 6.5 | 387 | 11 | 35 | Oct-Mar | Brixham |
| U5.7 | 16.8 | 0.207 | 1.2 | 60 | 1 | 60 | Apr-Jul | Looe |
| U2.1 | 16.3 | 0.302 | 1.8 | 91 | 3 | 30 | Sep-Feb | Brixham; Shoreham |
| U4.1 | 14.7 | 0.093 | 0.6 | 27 | 2 | 13 | Dec-May ${ }^{2}$ | Brixham |
| U1.1 | 11.4 | 0.045 | 0.4 | 33 | 19 | 2 | May-Nov | Brixham; Looe |
| U1.2 | 6.5 | 0.068 | 1.0 | 51 | 19 | 3 | Jan-Dec ${ }^{2}$ | Newhaven; Shoreham |
| U5.4 | 1.2 | 0.016 | 1.3 | 10 | 10 | 1 | Sep-Dec ${ }^{2}$ | Hastings; Rye |
| U.other | 23.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | N/A |  |
| Total | 160.4 | 3.421 | 2.13 | 1202 | 85 | 14 |  |  |
| Total Landings all Métiers | 443.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)
2. Approximate data.

TABLE 6.14.2 Turbot: Example length distributions.

|  | U 2.3 (Q1 1995) | F 1.3 (Annual 1995) | $\mathrm{F} 5.3(\mathrm{Q} 2$ 1994) 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 |  | 3 |  |
| 31 | 13 | 9 | 1 |
| 36 | 169 | 13 | 11 |
| 41 | 313 | 3 | 12 |
| 46 | 247 | 5 | 2 |
| 51 | 273 | 4 | 0 |
| 56 | 234 | 2 | 1 |
| 61 | 169 | 1 |  |
| 66 | 65 | 1 |  |
| 71 | 52 | 2 |  |
| 76 | 13 |  |  |

1. Raised to trip. Sample weight 50.3 kg .
2. Sample weight 45.0 kg .

TABLE 6.14.4 Turbot: ALK; French; Q2 1995; VIIe; male+female.

|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  | 5 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  | 2 | 11 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.14.5 Turbot: ALK; French; Annual 1995; male+female.

|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  | 6 | 16 | 15 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  | 3 | 16 | 15 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  | 6 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 5 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |

TABLE 6.14.3 Otolith Collection Summary - TURBOT

IFREMER Port en Bessin - none

IFREMER Brest

|  |  | VIId |  | VMe |  | VIId or VIIe |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Total |  |
| 1994 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| 1994 | 2 |  |  | 10 | 2 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |  |
| 1994 | 3 |  |  | 8 | 28 |  |  | $\mathbf{3 6}$ |  |
| 1994 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| 1995 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| 1995 | 2 |  |  | 75 | 27 |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ |  |
| 1995 | 3 |  |  | 23 | 44 |  |  | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |  |
| 1995 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |

## MAFF Lowestoft

|  |  | VIId |  | VIIe |  | VIId or VMe |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Quarter | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Total |
| 1994 | 2 |  |  | 29 | 30 |  |  | 59 |
| 1994 | 3 |  |  | 30 | 32 |  |  | 62 |
| 1994 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 19 | 20 |  |  | 47 |
| 1995 | 1 |  |  | 9 | 20 |  |  | 29 |
| 1995 | 2 |  |  | 21 | 28 |  |  | 49 |
| 1995 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 31 | 37 | 68 |
| 1995 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 21 | 17 |  |  | 57 |
| 1996 | 1 |  |  | $17^{1}$ | $11^{1}$ |  |  | $28^{1}$ |
|  | tal | 12 | 15 | $146^{1}$ | $158{ }^{1}$ | 31 | 37 | $399^{1}$ |

1 Data incomplete: samples collected but not processed.

TABLE 6.14.6 Turbot: ALK; UK; Quarter 1 1995; male+female.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  |  | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.14.7 Turbot: ALK; UK; Annual 1995; male.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  | 10 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | 6 | 8 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  | 2 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 6.14.8 Turbot: ALK; UK; Annual 1995; male+female.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | 13 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  | 17 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | 9 | 17 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 |  | 5 | 15 | 10 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  | 6 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 |  |  |  | 12 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |

TABLE 6.14.9 CVa for turbot samples

| Age | F | UK | UK | UK | UK | UK | UK | UK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 | 1995 |
|  | Annual | Q1 | Q1 | Q1 | Q1+2 | Annual | Annual | Annual |
|  | F5.3 | U2.2 | U2.2 | U2.2 | U2.2 | U2.2 | U2.2 | U2.2 |
|  | F+M | F | M | F+M | F+M | F | M | F+M |
| 2 | - | - | 0 | 71 | 26 | 23 | 20 | 15 |
| 3 | 34 | 15 | 26 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 11 |
| 4 | 14 | 39 | 54 | 36 | 19 | 15 | 23 | 13 |
| 5 | 16 | 62 | - | 65 | 36 | 22 | 41 | 20 |
| 6 | 24 | 57 | 0 | 53 | 44 | 43 | 35 | 30 |
| 7 | 32 | 50 | - | 50 | 62 | 67 | 42 | 39 |
| 8 | 48 | 71 | - | 71 | 49 | 43 | 65 | 37 |
| 9 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | 82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE 6.14.10 CV for turbot: annual 1994; U2.2; female + male

| Age | Catch at <br> age | Variance due to age <br> sampling | Variance due to <br> length sampling | CVa | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2230 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.07 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 19 | 23 |
| 3 | 7070 | $4.22 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.65 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 9 | 15 |
| 4 | 7990 | $9.11 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $4.97 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 12 | 15 |
| 5 | 2170 | $5.49 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.98 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 34 | 35 |
| 6 | 1230 | $2.71 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.84 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 42 | 45 |
| 7 | 1020 | $1.69 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2.68 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 40 | 43 |
| 8 | 247 | $4.06 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $4.48 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 81 | 86 |

## 7. SAMPLING EFFORT

It is difficult to estimate the total time spent on each stage of the project because of the variety of tasks involved. Estimates of the total time taken for collecting length and age samples by country and quarter have been prepared (Tables 7.1-7.3). This effort summary is intended to help estimate the time needed to collect length samples and age samples for future work, although future work may be more efficient as a result of this report.

An estimated total of 327 man-days has been spent collecting length and age data, equally divided between the three participating laboratories. The time spent collecting samples depended on the method used. For example, samples collected at sea were of good quality but data collection was usually slow. Length samples taken at merchants could be incomplete if the fish were sold before measurement, and métier allocation of samples was sometimes difficult as landings from different vessels were mixed together, but age samples were generally easy to collect provided the origin of the fish was known. In all cases sampling at merchants required the consent of the merchant. Auctions allowed easy access for sampling before the fish were sold, and the landings were generally complete. However, not all samples passed through auctions, and no auctions exist in the UK between Hastings in the east and Brixham in the west. In this region sampling could only be carried out at sea or at merchants. The time available to work on a species at an auction was also limited by the sale and removal of the fish, but this varied depending on the species and the size of the market, and only affected the total numbers of fish sampled.

In addition to length and age sampling at ports and at sea, a considerable amount of time was spent collecting age samples from fish that had been purchased and returned to the laboratory (e.g. 67 days at Port en Bessin). The time spent ageing otoliths and scales was variable and difficult to estimate, and is not included in Tables 7.1-7.3. Turbot and brill have not been aged regularly by staff at IFREMER or MAFF and as a result extra time was spent on these species. As the techniques and experience of the readers increased, the time spent reading correspondingly decreased. The time spent reading any scale or otolith will depend on the species, the technique, the age of the fish and also the individual person reading, so between 2 and 25 otoliths were prepared and read per hour.

An important consideration when designing a biological sampling programme is the time taken in travelling to the sample sites. From Lowestoft the travelling time to the main ports serving the south-western Channel was approximately seven hours in each direction. Thus sampling could not be carried out on a daily basis but had to be planned in terms of several consecutive days of sampling. This travelling time is not included in the summary table.

All sampling work was determined by the pattern of landings, and so was affected by the same factors influencing fishing activity. Weather was important as it greatly influenced the activity of the fishing boats, particularly the smaller inshore boats. On several occasions bad weather and the corresponding lack of fish curtailed sampling trips. The seasonal abundance of target species also affected the sampling success,
although considerable effort was initially spent attempting to take non-seasonal samples from seasonal landings.

Data were collected from the most important métiers for all of the species in the study, so that the data covered more than $75 \%$ of the landings of any species (Table 7.4). The samples taken of bass and lemon sole were broadly comparable with the samples taken for some TAC species (Table 7.4), but largely by virtue of the good sampling in the UK. The number of length samples taken (as number measured per 1000 tonnes landed), was affected not only by the availability of the landings and abundance of the fish, but also the number of métiers to be sampled and the sample targets themselves. Additional sampling effort would be required for most species to make the sampling comparable to the levels required for TAC species.

TABLE 7.1 Sampling Effort Summary - PORT EN BESSIN
Table 7.1.1 Port en Bessin. Time spent taking age and length samples at markets.

| Year | Quarter | Number of Man-Days | Total number of fish Measured | Mean fish measured per man - day | Total number of scales taken | Mean number of scales taken per man - day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 1 | 5 | 2414 | 483 | 107 | 21 |
| 1994 | 2 | 8 | 2333 | 292 | 91 | 11 |
| 1994 | 3 | 4 | 3312 | 828 | 51 | 13 |
| 1994 | 4 | 9 | 4172 | 463 | 215 | 24 |
| 1995 | 1 | 5 | 4596 | 919 | 125 | 125 |
| 1995 | 2 | 5 | 3871 | 774 | 127 | 63 |
| 1995 | 3 | 4 | 3079 | 770 | 0 | 0 |
| 1995 | 4 | 7 | 2922 | 417 | 239 | 34 |
| Total |  | 47 | 26699 | 568 | 955 | 20 |

Table 7.1.2 Port en Bessin. Time spend taking otoliths in laboratory (from purchased fish).

| Year | Quarter | Number of Man-Days | Total number of otoliths <br> taken | Mean number of otoliths <br> taken per man -day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 1 | 8 | 484 | 60 |
| 1994 | 2 | 9 | 541 | 60 |
| 1994 | 3 | 6 | 279 | 46 |
| 1994 | 4 | 9 | 480 | 53 |
| 1995 | 1 | 9 | 497 | 55 |
| 1995 | 2 | 9 | 448 | 50 |
| 1995 | 3 | 8 | 418 | 52 |
| 1995 | 4 | 9 | 548 | 61 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 7.2 Sampling Effort Summary - BREST
Time spent collecting length and age samples at markets and at sea.

| Year | Quarter | Number of Man-Days | Total number of fish Measured | Mean fish measured per man - day | Total number of otoliths taken | Mean number of otoliths taken per man - day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 1 | 7 | 3894 | 556 | 131 | 19 |
| 1994 | 2 | 19 | 5970 | 314 | 241 | 13 |
| 1994 | 3 | 21 | 1112 | 53 | 171 | 8 |
| 1994 | 4 | 15 | 5051 | 337 | 141 | 9 |
| 1995 | 1 | 12 | 1398 | 116 | 136 | 11 |
| 1995 | 2 | 22 | 435 | 20 | 686 | 31 |
| 1995 | 3 | 11 | 365 | 33 | 242 | 22 |
| 1995 | 4 | 12 | 3473 | 289 | 90 | 7 |
| Total |  | 119 | 21698 | 182 | 1838 | 15 |

TABLE 7.3 Sampling Effort Summary - LOWESTOFT
Time spent collecting length and age samples at markets and at sea (does not include SFI staff samples or effort).

| Year | Quarter | Number of Man-Days | Total number of fish Measured | Fish measured per man - day | Total number of otoliths taken | Number of scales taken per man - day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 2 | 14 | 2854 | 204 | 229 | 16 |
| 1994 | 3 | 14 | 3213 | 229 | 205 | 15 |
| 1994 | 4 | 17 | 5148 | 303 | 224 | 13 |
| 1995 | 1 | 13 | 2763 | 212 | 223 | 17 |
| 1995 | 2 | 14 | 5236 | 374 | 229 | 16 |
| 1995 | 3 | 12 | 2586 | 215 | 332 | 28 |
| 1995 | 4 | 10 | 3148 | 315 | 233 | 23 |
| 1996 | 1 | 7 | 2769 | 395 | 168 | 24 |
| Total |  | 101 | 27717 | 274 | 1798 | 18 |

TABLE 7.4 Sampling efficiency for the first full year of sampling (France, Jan 1994-Dec 1994; UK, Apr 1994-Mar 1995). Estimates for nonquota species are UK and France combined; estimates for TAC species are UK samples only.

| Species | Estimated landings (tonnes) | Numbers of métiers sampled | Percentage of landings covered by sampling | Important métiers not sampled | Number measured per 1000 tonnes landed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bass | 831.1 | 23 | 87 |  | 8914 |
| Black bream | 2071.1 | 12 | 50 | F3.1 (100t) ${ }^{1}$ | 1649 |
| Brill | 398.4 | 13 | 85 |  | 6636 |
| Cuttlefish | 7554.3 | 13 | 62 |  | 274 |
| John dory | 425.6 | 11 | 88 | F1.1, F6.5 (2900t) ${ }^{2}$ | 6517 |
| Lemon sole | 1301.9 | 11 | 92 |  | 9289 |
| Red gurnard | 3654.9 | 10 | 95 |  | 3124 |
| Red mullet | 503.8 | 11 | 98 |  | 6294 |
| Scallop | 16138.6 | 5 | 98 |  | 493 |
| Spider crab | 5122.2 | 6 | 91 |  | 783 |
| Turbot | 443.8 | 14 | 75 | U.other, F2.1, F4.4, F4.2 (101.6t) | 3163 |
| UK cod | 618.1 | 11 | 97 |  | 5412 |
| UK plaice | 1986.3 | 9 | 97 |  | 8898 |
| UK sole | 1245.1 | 13 | 97 |  | 21534 |

1. Successfully sampled during 1995 ( $98 \%$ coverage in 1995).
2. Existing data available for these métiers from 1987 ( $99.9 \%$ coverage when including these data).

## 8. CONCLUSION

Each species has been described in terms of the seasonality and regionality of the most important métiers. All of the non-quota species sampled during this project have seasonal fisheries, and this is largely the result of seasonal changes in their distribution. The extent of this movement is variable between species, and has affected the sampling success. For example, all the métiers for lemon sole in the western Channel can be sampled easily throughout the year, because the fish remain in the region throughout the year. Conversely, the sampling of black bream is made difficult by their seasonal migrations, and as a result it is not possible to get adequate samples from any one métier for the whole of the year, as samples are only available during the seasonal 'windows'. When a fishery is seasonal to such a degree that adequate data cannot be collected throughout the year, annual aggregation of data seems more realistic. This is recommended for most of the species in this study, despite the bias that can be introduced. With hindsight it is clear that the targets for length-stratified age sampling were largely inappropriate, but with the new data collected during this project more suitable targets could be created.

Métiers in the UK and France may exploit one species in a similar pattern, for example the tangle nets métiers for turbot, F5.3 and U5.7 or the inshore trawl métiers for John dory, F1.3 and U1.1. Other species are exploited by different métiers, for example there is currently no equivalent established UK métier for the French cuttlefish pot métier, F6.5 (Anon, 1996b; Arkley et al., 1996). The samples taken in this study have illustrated the different exploitation patterns imposed on a single
species by the different métiers. Thus, a true picture of the exploitation pattern in the Channel would require samples from both countries.

The quality of the data after raising depends largely on the estimates of total landings by each métier. The data are better for species which are landed by vessels which land through monitored auctions and merchants, but for métiers whose landings do not pass through monitored auctions or merchants the recorded landings data do not give a true estimate. For example, the independent estimate of total UK landings of bass from the Channel in 1994 was approximately 1500 tonnes (Pickett, pers comm), although the MAFF FAD estimate was approximately 300 tonnes. This level of underreporting has overestimated the degree to which many of the bass métiers have been sampled. This may also be true for other species and métiers in the Channel.

Another problem to be considered before any stock assessment is carried out is estimating the number of fish discarded at sea (Armstrong et al., 1995). There are no published detailed studies on discards of non-quota species, however, it is known that there are high discarding rates for red gurnard, and in France for black bream (Y. Morizur, pers. comm.). Conversely the discard rate for turbot and brill in the UK appears to be low. In the UK the discard rate for species such as cuttlefish appears to be highly variable depending on the métier considered (Arkley et al., 1996). The problem of discards needs to be considered before any rational management measures can be considered.

For most of the species in this study it was not possible to improve the sampling within the effort constraints. However, for some species sampling could be improved considerably with minimal additional effort, for example the red mullet, black bream and cuttlefish, because these species are landed in abundance when in season. For other species greater sampling effort would not have been able to significantly improve the data, for example John dory, and most turbot and brill métiers. These species are not landed in sufficient quantities at any time of year to significantly improve the length or age data through additional sampling effort. As a result of this report, all species could now be more efficiently sampled, largely by taking into account the seasonal patterns of the fishery, and targeting samples accordingly.

Although the data collected during this study are adequate for tentative conclusions to be made about the stocks, extra biological work is needed for many of the species before any management is imposed. In particular, knowledge of the biology of John dory and red mullet in the Channel is poor, and the reading of the turbot and brill otoliths also needs to be validated and checked. Before management based on analytical assessments is undertaken, continued sampling is normally required to produce a long time series of biological data, and thus establish base line information on stock characteristics and status. For most species, if continued sampling is intended, it would be desirable either to modify the sampling strategy from that described in this programme, and/or to increase the sampling targets.

## REFERENCES

Anon (1990a). Community Grading Rules: A guidance note for the industry. MAFF, London, 15 pp .

Anon (1990b). Bass nursery areas and other conservation measures. MAFF, London, 15 pp.

Anon (1993). Biogeographical Identification of English Channel Fish and Shellfish Stocks. IFREMER, Brest, 191 pp.

Arkley, K., Jacklin, M.S., Boulter, M. and Tower, J. (1996). The cuttlefish (Sepia officinalis): a guide to its exploitation in UK waters. Seafish Report No. SR467.

Armstrong, M., Augustin, N., Briggs, R., et al. (1995). Assessment of discard rates for commercial species of fish. Final Report for EC study contract BIOECO/93/003. 39 pp.

Baron, J. (1985). Les triglides (Teleosteens, Scorpaeniformes) de la Baie de Douarnenez. Cybium, 9(2) : 127-144.

Baucaud-Camou, E. and Boismery, J. (1991). The migrations of the cuttlefish (Sepia officinalis L.) in the English Channel. In: Boucaud-Camou, E. (Ed.) 'Actes du 1 er Symposium international sur la Seiche. Caen, 1-3 juin 1989'. Centre de Publications de L'Université de Caen, 11 pp .

Boletzky, S. von (1983). Sepia officinalis. pp. 31-52, In 'Cephalopod Life Cycles' vol. I, P.R. Boyle (Ed.), Academic Press, London.

Burd, A.C. and Gulland, J.A. (1956). The English fish sampling programme. Rapp. et Proc. -Verb. Vol. 140(1) : 102-106.

Clarke, A., Rodhouse, P.G., Holmes, L.J. and Pascoe, P.L. (1989). Growth rate and nucleic acid ration in cultured cuttlefish Sepia officinalis (Mollusca: Cephalopoda). J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 133 : 229-240.

Clark, M.A. (1914). General Report on the Larval and Post-Larval Teleosteans in Plymouth Waters. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK, $10: 327$ 394.

Cochran, W.G. (1977). Sampling Techniques. John Wiley \& Sons. New York. 428 pp.

Cotter, A.J.R. (in press). Analysis of catch sampling procedures on the English ground fish survey of the North Sea.

Cunningham, J.T. (1892). The rate of growth of some sea fishes and their distribution at different ages. J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K., 2 : 95-140.

Darby, C.D. and Durance, J.A. (1989). Use of the North Sea water parcel following a model (Norswap) to investigate the relationship of larval source to recruitment for scallop (Pecten maximus) stocks of England and Wales. ICES CM 1989/K:28.

Dare, P.J. and Deith, M.R. (1991). Age determination for scallops, Pecten Maximus (L.), using stable oxygen isotope analysis, with some implications for fisheries management in British waters. pp 118-113, In: Shumway, S.E. and Sandifer, P.A. (Eds.) 'An international compendium of scallop biology and culture'. World Aquaculture Workshop No. 1, The World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge.

De Kergariou, G. (1975). L'araignée de Mer, Maia squinado, sur le littoral de Bretagne. Sci. Pêche Bull. Pêch. marit., 205 : 11-19.

Dintheer, C., Smith, M.T., De Clerk, R., and Coppin, F. (1995). Base de Donnes Internationales en vue de L'Evaluation Biologique et Economique des Stocks de la Manche. EU Rapport final du Projet BIOECO 93.018.

Edwards, E. (1980). Preliminary results of a tagging experiment on the spider crab (Maia squinado) in the English Channel. ICES CM 1980/K:12.

Fernandez-Pato, C.A., Martinez-Tapia, C., Chereguini, O. and Sola, E. (1990). Growth of turbot (Scophthalmus maximus L.) from 1 g to 3000 g in the north of Spain. ICES CM 1990/F:29.

Flatman, S. (1990). The evolution of biological sampling targets and the precision of estimated age compositions with reference to demersal fish sampling in ICES Divisions VIIa, VIIe and VIIf and g. MAFF, Internal Report No. 21, 65 pp.

Fontaine, B. and Theret, F. (1982). Donees preliminaires sur la biologie des grondins de la Manche orientale (secteur VII, part.). ICES CM 1982/G:24

Franklin, A., Pickett, G.D. and Connor, P.M. (1980). The scallop and its fishery in England and Wales. MAFF Direct. Fish. Res., Lab. Leaflet 51, 19 pp.

Gibson, R.N. and Ezzi, I.A. (1987). Feeding relationships of a demersal fish assemblage on the west coast of Scotland. J. Fish Biol., 31: 55-69.

Gulland, J.A. (1955). Estimation of growth and mortality in commercial fish populations. Fish. Inv. Ser. 2, 18(9) : 3-46.

Hoenig, J.M., Heisey, D.M. and Hanumara, R.C. (1993). Using prior and current information to estimate age composition: a new kind of age-length key. ICES CM 1993/D:52.

Janssen, G.M. (1979). The occurrence of Zeus faber (L.) in the coastal waters of the Netherlands (Pisces, Zeiformes). Bull. Zool. Mus. Uni. van Amsterdam, 6(20) : 153158.

Johnston, C., Nicholson, M.D. and Pope, J.A. (1974). Fish market sampling in Scotland. ICES CM 1974/F:39.

Johnston, C., Nicholson, M.D. and Pope, J.A. (1975). The precision of estimated age compositions. ICES CM 1975/F:31.

Jinn, J.H., Sedransk, J. and Smith, P. (1987). Optimal two-phase sampling for estimation of the age composition of a fish population. Biometrics, $43: 343-353$.

Jones, A. (1974). Sexual maturity, fecundity and growth of the turbot Scophthalmus maximus (L.). J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K., 54: 109-125.

Kelley, D.F. (1988). The importance of estuaries for sea-bass Dicentrarchus labrax (L.). J. Fish Biol., 33(A) : 221-222.

Kennedy, M. and Fitzmaurice, P. (1972). The biology of the bass, Dicentrarchus labrax, in Irish waters. J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K., 52 : 557-596.

Kimura, D.K. (1977). Statistical assessment of the age-length key. J. Fish. Res. Board Can., 34(3) : 317-324.

Lai, Han-Lin (1993). Optimal sampling design for using age length keys to estimate age composition of a fish population. Fish. Bull., 92 : 382-388.

Latrouite, D. and Le Foll, D. (1989). Données sur les migrations des crabes tourteau Cancer pagurus et araignée de mer Maia squinado. Oceanis, $15: 133-142$.

Le Foll, D. (1993). Biologie et exploitation de l'araignée de mer (Maja squinado, Herbst), en Manche ouest. Thèse Doctorat Université, UBO, Brest. IFREMER RI.RDV-93-030- RH/Brest.

Le Goff, R. and Daguzan, J. (1991). Etude des déplacements de la Seiche commune Sepia officinalis L. dans le Golfe du Morbihan au cours de la période de la reproduction. Premiers résultats. In: Boucaud-Camou, E. (Ed.) 'Actes du 1 er Symposium international sur la Seiche. Caen, 1-3 juin 1989'. Centre de Publications de L'Université de Caen, 11 pp .

Mason, J. (1983). 'Scallop and Queen Fisheries in the British Isles'. Fishing News Books, Farnham, Surrey, England.

Medhioub, A. (1986). Etude de la croissance et du cycle sexuel de la Seiche (Sepia officinalis L.) des côtes Normandes. Thèse de Doctorat 3ème cycle. Univ. Caen, 417 pp.

Mtimet, M. (1993). Analyse de la pecherie de baudroies blanches (Lophius piscatorius) en Manche ouest. Etude préliminaire des ressources associées: le turbot (Psetta maxima, L.) et la barbue (Scophthalmus rhombus, L.). Mémoire ISPA, ENSAR, Rennes.

Nicolajsen, Á. and Grástein, J.M. (1993). What is the most cost effective procedure for sampling landings from a commercial fishery?. ICES CM 1993/D:41.

Papaconstantinou, C.A. (1983). Biology of Aspitrigla cuculus (Linnaeus, 1758) in the Saronikos Gulf. Thallasographica, 6 : 49-75.

Paulet, Y.M. and Fifas, S. (1989). Etude de la fécondité potentielle se la coquille St Jacques en Baie de St Brieuc. Haliotis, 19 : 275-285.

Paulsen, H. (1989). Current status of turbot culture. pp. 49-57 In 'Aquaculture: a review of recent experience'.

Pawson, M.G. and Pickett, G.D. (1996). The annual pattern of condition and maturity in bass, Dicentrarchus labrax, in waters around England and Wales. J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K., 76 : 107-125.

Pawson, M.G., Kelley, D.F. and Pickett, G.D. (1987). The distributions and migrations of bass Dicentrarchus labrax L., in waters around England and Wales as shown by tagging. J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K., 67 : 183-217.

Perodou, J.B. and Nedelec, D. (1980). Bilan d'Exploitation du Stock de Dorade Grise. Science et Peche, Bull. Inst. Pech. Marit., 308: 1-7.

Perodou, J.B. and Nedelec, D. (1982). Croissance des Dorades Grise. ICES CM 1982/G: 27.

Pickett, G.D. and Pawson, M.G. (1994). 'Sea Bass, Biology, Exploitation and Conservation'. Chapman and Hall, London, 352 pp.

Pickett, G.D., Eaton, D.R., Cunningham, S., Dunn, M.R., Potten, S.D. and Whitmarsh, D. (1995). An appraisal of the UK bass fishery and it's management. MAFF Laboratory Leaflet No. 75. Lowestoft. 47 pp.

Pope, J.G. and Knights, B. (1975). Sources of variation in catch at age data and the optimal use of age reading effort. ICES CM 1975/F: 20.

Riley, J.D., Symonds, D.J. and Woolner, L.E. (1986). Determination of the distribution of the planktonic and small demersal stages of fish in the coastal waters of England, Wales and adjacent areas between 1970 and 1984. Fish. Res. Tech. Rep., MAFF, Direct. Fish. Res., Lowestoft 84, 23 pp.

Robert, F. and Vianet, R. (1988). Age and Growth of Psetta maxima (Linnaeus 1758) and Scophthalmus rhombus (Linnaeus 1758) in the Gulf of Lion (Mediterranean). Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 4:111-120.

Russell, F.S. (1976). 'The Eggs and Planktonic Stages of British Marine Fishes'. Academic Press, London.

Russell, F .S., Southward, A.J., Boalach, G.T. and Butler, E.I. (1971). Changes in the Biological Conditions in the English Channel off Plymouth during the Last Half Century. Nature, 234 : 468-470.

Sanchez, P., Morales, B. and Martin, P. (1983). The mullets (Mullus surmuletus L. 1758, Mullus barbatus, L. 1758) of the Catalan coast: biological and fishing aspects. ICES CM 1983/G:27.

Silva, A. (1992). Distribution and abundance of John dory (Zeus faber, L. 1758) in the Portuguese continental waters (ICES Div. IXa) during 1986-1991. ICES CM 1992/ G:68.

Soletchnik, P. (1983). Gestion de la Dorade Grise, Elements de Biologie. Oceanis 9/1: 23-32.

Southward, G.M. (1976). Sampling landings of halibut for age composition. International Pacific Halibut Commission Scientific Report, 58:31pp.

Tétard, A., Boon, M. et al., (1995). Catalogue international des activitiés des flottiles de la Manche, approche des interactions techniques. Brest, IFREMER, 337 pp.

Thompson, B.M. and Harrop, R.T. (1987). The distribution and abundance of bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) eggs and larvae in the English Channel and Southern North Sea. J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K., 67 : 263-274.

Weber, W. (1979). On the turbot stock in the North Sea. ICES CM 1979/G:12.

Westerheim, S.J. and Ricker, W.E. (1978). Bias in using age-length keys to estimate age-length distributions. J. Fish. Res. Board Can., 35 : 184-189.

Wheeler, A. (1969). 'The Fishes of the British Isles and north-west Europe'. MacMillan, London, 613 pp.

Wilson, P (1958). Notes from the Plymouth Aquarium. J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K., 37 : 299-307.

## APPENDIX I

## Métier Codes and Descriptions

This tables presents a brief summary of the métiers described in the text and tables. A total of 74 métiers have recently been described in the EC report International Catalogue of Fishing Fleet Activity in the English Channel (Tétard et al.,1995); a more detailed description of the métiers involved in this study is available in this report.
Métier Code Gear Region Main Target Species

## UK

| U1.1 | Otter trawl | 7e | Gadoids; flatfish; angler; skate; cuttle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| U1.2 | Otter trawl | 7d | Gadoids; flatfish; cuttle; pelagics; bass |
| U2.1 | Beam trawl | 7d offshore | Flatfish; cuttle; angler; skate; gurnards; gadoids |
| U2.2 | Beam trawl | 7e offshore | Flatfish; angler; cuttle; skate; gurnards; gadoids |
| U2.3 | Beam trawl | 7e inshore | Flatfish; angler; cuttle; skate; gadoids; scallop |
| U3.1 | Midwater trawl | 7e | Pelagic species |
| U3.2 | Midwater trawl | 7d | Pelagic species; bass |
| U4.1 | Scallop dredge | 7e | Scallop; flatfish; angler |
| U4.2 | Scallop dredge | 7d | Scallop; flatfish |
| U5.2 | Gill net | 7 e | Bass; grey mullet |
| U5.3 | Gill/trammel net | 7d | Bass; grey mullet |
| U5.4 | Trammel net | 7d | Sole; plaice; cod; cuttle |
| U5.7 | Tangle net | 7d and 7e | Skate; angler; turbot |
| U5.8 | Drift net | 7d and 7e | Bass; cod; grey mullet |
| U5.9 | Gill net | 7d | Sole; plaice |
| U6.1 | Mixed pots | 7d and 7e offshore | Brown crab; spider crab;lobster |
| U6.2 | Mixed pots | 7d and 7d inshore | Brown crab; spider crab;lobster |
| U7.1 | Longline | 7d inshore | Cod; dogfish; bass |
| U7.2 | Longline | 7e | Conger; hake |
| U8.1 | Handline | 7d and 7e | Bass; mackerel; pollock |

## France

| F1.1 | Otter trawl | 7e offshore | Flatfish; cuttle; angler; gurnards; skate; gadoids |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F1.2 | Otter trawl | 7d offshore | Gadoids; mackerel; plaice; gurnards; cuttle |
| F1.3 | Otter trawl | 7e inshore | Cuttle; skate; angler; gurnards; flatfish; gadoids |
| F1.4 | Otter trawl | 7d inshore | Cuttle; mackerel; cod; whiting |
| F2.1 | Beam trawl | 7d and 7e offshore | Sole; plaice; turbot; dab; scallop |
| F3.1 | Midwater trawl | 7e | Bass; mackerel; bream |
| F3.2 | Midwater trawl | 7d | Pelagic species |
| F4.1 | Dredge | 7e | Scallop; flatfish; spider crab |
| F4.2 | Dredge | 7d | Scallop; flatfish |
| F4.4 | Dredge | 7d and 7e | Sole; flatfish |
| F5.2 | Gill/trammel net | 7e | Pollock; sole; bass; red mullet; bib; plaice |
| F5.3 | Tangle net | 7d and 7e | Angler; turbot; rays |
| F5.4 | Trammel net | 7d and 7e | Sole; plaice; cuttle |
| F5.5 | Gill net | 7d | Cod; whiting; plaice; brown crab; spider crab |
| F5.6 | Tangle net | 7e | Spider crab; brown crab |
| F6.2 | Mixed pots | 7d and 7e inshore | Brown crab; spider crab; lobster |
| F6.5 | Cuttle pots | 7d and 7e | Cuttle |
| F7.2 | Longline | 7d and 7e | Bass; gadoids; mackerel; skate; ling; dogfish |

## APPENDIX II

## Bass

UK quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Ports |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Multimethod <br> inshore (Trawls, <br> lines and nets) <br> Me <br> Offshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 2 | Ports from Brixham <br> to Newlyn. |
| Offshore trawl 7 d n Boats 2 | Brixham, Plymouth, <br> Looe. |  |  |  |
| Multimethod <br> inshore | 7 d | n Boats | 2 | Ports from Poole to <br> Rye. |

## Scale specification:

Existing data plus some new data. 25 aged fish per 5 cm length class (total of 200 fish). No specification on sex. Only sample scales from fish caught by unknown gear if the overall quarterly target might otherwise not be reached.

## French quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples Port <br> per quarter |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl <br> (inshore trawl) | 7 d | 1 box in each <br> commercial categories | 2 | Port-en-Bessin |
| Pelagic trawl | 7 e | 1 box in each <br> commercial category | 1 at quarter 1-2 | Granville |
| Longlines, <br> inshore trawl | 7 e | 1 boat | 2 in quarters $2,3,4$ <br> 0 in quarter 1 | Brest, Paimpol |

## Scale specification:

Constant allocation of 3 fish every 1 cm (total of 130 fish) by division. No specification on sex.

## Black Bream

## UK quarterly targets for length samples and scales

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples Port <br> per quarter |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl | 7 d | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Poole to Rye. |
| Offshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Weymouth <br> to Newlyn. |

## Scale specification:

Fixed allocation (3 fish per 1 cm ). No sex differentiation.

French quarterly targets for length samples and scales

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl | 7 d | One box in each <br> market category | 1 | Port-en-Bessin |
| Pelagic trawl | 7 e | One box in each <br> commercial category | quarter 1 or 2 only | Granville |

## Scale specification:

Fixed allocation ( 3 fish per 1 cm ). No sex differentiation.

## Length sample:

Quarterly length compositions can be obtained with annual length samples in each market category by using landings in each market category.

## Brill

UK quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Ports |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 2 | Ports from Weymouth <br> to Newlyn. |
| Offshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 2 | Ports from Weymouth. <br> to Newlyn. |
| Large mesh net | 7 e | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Weymouth to <br> Looe. |
| Offshore trawl <br> (inshore trawl) | 7 d | n Boats |  | As available |

Otolith specification:
Specification per length required from landings. Sex and where possible maturity recorded. Otoliths removed where possible from under gill covers hence no need to purchase fish. Initial specification per sex for each length class have been combined.

| Length group | Number required |
| :---: | :---: |
| 26-30 | 10 |
| 31-35 | 12 |
| 36-40 | 12 |
| 41-45 | 10 |
| 46-50 | 7 |
| 51-55 | 5 |
| 56-60 | 5 |
| 61-65 | 2 |
| $66+$ | 2 |
| Total | 65 |

French quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Large mesh net | $7 \mathrm{e}(+7 \mathrm{~h})$ | 1 boat | 2 | Le Conquet, Roscoff |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | n boats | 2 | Saint-Brieuc |
| Offshore trawl | $7 \mathrm{e}(+7 \mathrm{f})$ | Commercial categories | $<=2$ | Saint-Malo |
| $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 7 d |  | nothing |  |

## Otolith specification:

Sampling anywhere and anytime. No specification per sex per length. Several samples will be requested. Buying fish is too expensive. Otoliths can be taken under the gill cover for small fish.

## Cuttlefish

## UK quarterly targets for length samples and ageing

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Brixham <br> to Looe |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Brixham <br> to Looe |
| Offshore trawl <br> (inshore trawl) | 7 d | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Rye to <br> Poole |
| All nets | 7 d | n Boats |  | 1 in quarter 2 only |

## Ageing specification:

None

## French quarterly targets for length samples and ageing

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl | 7 e | Existing data |  | Saint-Brieuc |
| Offshore trawl | 7d | Nothing, only <br> market categories | Nothing | All |

## Ageing specification:

None

## Length sample:

Market categories would allow us to separate in weight small and larger cuttlefish (7d).
Quarterly length compositions can be obtained with existing data (annual length sample in each market category) by using landings in each market category.

## John Dory

UK quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Weymouth <br> to Newlyn. |
| Offshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Weymouth <br> to Newlyn. |
| Inshore trawl | 7 d | n Boats |  | As available |

Otolith specification:
None.

French quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | Existing data |  | Saint-Brieuc |
|  | 7 d | None | None | None |

## Otolith specification:

None.

## Length sample:

Nothing in 7d. Existing and maybe new data on market categories would allow us to know the quarterly length compositions in 7 e .

## Lemon Sole

## UK quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths*

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl <br> (inshore trawl) | 7 d | n Boats | None | All |
| Trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 18 | All |

## Length and Otolith samples*:

Sex and, where possible, maturity recorded. Otoliths removed from under gill cover so no need to purchase fish. No specification by sex.

| Length group | Number required |
| :---: | :---: |
| 23-24 | 9 |
| 25-26 | 15 |
| 27-28 | 15 |
| 29-30 | 24 |
| 31-32 | 27 |
| 33-34 | 27 |
| 35-36 | 30 |
| 37-38 | 30 |
| 39-40 | 27 |
| 41-42 | 15 |
| 43-44 | 15 |
| 45+ | 6 |
| Total | 240 |

* SFI targets. Additional sampling in 7d when available.

French quarterly targets for samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples in <br> the quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl <br> (inshore trawl) | 7 d | 2 boxes for cat $10 / 1$ for <br> cat $20 / 1$ for cat 30 | 2 | Boulogne |
| Offshore trawl | $7 \mathrm{e}(+7 \mathrm{f})$ | 3 commercial categories | 2 | Saint-Malo |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | n boats | 2 | Saint-Brieuc |

## Otolith specification:

Buying of fish. Fixed maximum allocation (10/cm). Sex determined on aged fish. If possible maturity (mature or immature) for each aged fish. No ageing of fish from 7e inshore trawl. DFR to read all otoliths.

## Red Gurnard

UK quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Ports |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl | 7 d | n Boats | 2 | Ports from Poole <br> to Rye. |
| Inshore trawl | 7 d | n Boats | 2 | Ports from Poole <br> to Rye. |
| Offshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 2 | Ports from Weymouth <br> to Newlyn. |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 2 | Ports from Weymouth <br> to Newlyn. |

## Otolith specification:

Buying of fish to satisfy length specification. Two otoliths taken from each fish. Record total length, weight, and if possible maturity (mature or immature) for each fish. IFREMER to read otoliths.

| Length group | No. required per sample |
| :---: | :---: |
| 15-16 | 2 |
| 17-18 | 3 |
| 19-20 | 5 |
| 21-22 | 5 |
| 23-24 | 6 |
| 25-26 | 6 |
| 27-28 | 6 |
| 29-30 | 6 |
| 31-32 | 5 |
| 33-34 | 3 |
| 35-36 | 2 |
| 37+ | 1 |
| Total | 50 |

French quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl <br> (inshore trawl) | 7 d | Boxes in each <br> commercial category | 2 | Boulogne, <br> Port-en-Bessin <br> Dieppe |
| Offshore trawl | 7 e | Boxes in each <br> commercial category | 2 | Port-en-Bessin <br> Cherbourg |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | Boats | 2 | Saint-Brieuc |

Otolith specification:
Buying of fish at Port-en-Bessin separately from 7 d and 7 e , ( 15 to 40 kg per commercial category). Two otoliths per fish, record length, weight and if possible maturity (mature or immature) for each fish.

## Red Mullet

UK quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl <br> (inshore trawl) | 7 d | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Poole to Rye. |
| Offshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Weymouth <br> to Newlyn. |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 1 | Ports from Weymouth <br> to Newlyn. |

## Otolith specification:

No otoliths to be taken.

French targets for quarterly length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl | 7d | One box in each <br> market category | 1 | Port-en-Bessin |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | One box in each <br> commercial category | 1 in the year | Saint-Brieuc |
| Offshore trawl | 7 e | Boxes in each <br> commercial category | Existing annual data <br> +new data? | Saint-Malo |

## Otolith specification:

No otoliths taken.

## Length sample:

Quarterly length compositions can be obtained with annual length samples in each market category by using the landings for each commercial category (7e).

## Scallop

UK quarterly targets for length samples and ageing

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dredge | 7 e | n Boats | 2 in quarters 2 and 3 | Brixham, Plymouth <br> Looe |
| Dredge | 7d | n Boats | 2 in quarters 1 and 4 | Newhaven |

## Ageing specification:

None.

French quarterly targets for length samples and ageing
Existing data only.

## Spider Crab

UK quarterly targets for length samples and ageing

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samples <br> per quarter | Port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pots | 7 e | n Boats | 2 | All |
| Pots | 7 d | n Boats | 2 | All |

## Ageing specification:

None

French quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths
Existing data only

## Turbot

UK quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No. length samplesPort <br> per quarter |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Offshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 2 | Weymouth to <br> Newlyn |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | n Boats | 2 | Weymouth to <br> Newlyn |
| Large mesh net | 7 e | n Boats | n Boats | 1 |

Otolith specification:
Specification by length but not sex. Both otoliths taken where possible from underneath the gill cover hence no purchase of fish is required. Sex and maturity also recorded for each fish. Initial specification per sex for each length class length have been combined.

| Length group | No. required per sample |
| :---: | :---: |
| $<30$ | 7 |
| 31-35 | 10 |
| 36-40 | 12 |
| 41-45 | 10 |
| 46-50 | 7 |
| 51-55 | 5 |
| 56-60 | 5 |
| 61-65 | 3 |
| 66-70 | 2 |
| 71-75 | 2 |
| $76+$ | 2 |
| Total | 65 |

French quarterly targets for length samples and otoliths

| Métier/gear | Division | Length sample unit | No length samples Port <br> per quarter |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Large mesh net | $7 \mathrm{e}(+7 \mathrm{~h})$ | 1 boat | 2 | Le Conquet, Roscoff |
| Inshore trawl | 7 e | n boats | 2 | Saint-Brieuc |
| Offshore trawl | $7 \mathrm{e}(+7 \mathrm{f})$ | Commercial categories | $<=2$ | Saint-Malo |
| $\ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 7 d |  | nothing |  |

## Otolith specification:

Sampling anywhere and anytime. No specification per sex per length. Several samples will be requested. Buying fish is too expensive. Otoliths can be taken under the gill cover for small fish.

## APPENDIX III

## Estimate and variance of numbers at age

Estimated number at age:

$$
N_{i}=\sum_{j} N_{j} P_{i j}
$$

Variance of the estimate:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)+\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\mathrm{j}} 2 \operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j=\text { length group } \\
& N_{j}=\text { total number of fish in length group ' } j \text { ' } \\
& P_{i j}=\text { proportion of fish age ' } a \text { ' in length group ' } j \text { ' }
\end{aligned}
$$

The final covariance factor is ignored and an approximation is therefore given by:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)+\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)^{2}
$$

| Variance due to | Variance due to |
| :--- | :--- |
| age sampling | length sampling |

Variance of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) / n_{\mathrm{j}}
$$

$$
\text { where } n_{j}=\text { number of otoliths in length group } j
$$

Variance of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j}}$ :
$\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ is independent of the number of otoliths:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right) *\left(\sum_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{v}}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)^{2} /\left(\sum_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)^{2}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{v}} & =\text { weight landed by sampled vessel ' } \mathrm{v} \text { ' } \\
\mathrm{b} & =\text { number of vessels sampled }
\end{aligned}
$$

## $B=$ number of vessels in the fleet

and $\operatorname{Var}\left(n_{j}^{\prime}\right)=$ variance of the estimated mean number per unit weight

To calculate $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ :
Let $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{vj}}=$ estimated number of fish per unit weight in length group ' j ' for vessel ' v ':
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{vj}}=1 / \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{v}}\left[\sum_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{cvj}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{cv}} / \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{cv}}\right)\right]$
Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=\text { category } \\
& \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{cv}}=\text { weight landed in category ' } c \text { ' for vessel ' } \mathrm{v} \text { ' } \\
& \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{cv}}=\text { weight sampled in category ' } c \text { ' for vessel ' } v \text { ' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the estimated mean number per unit weight ( $\mathrm{n}^{\prime}$ ) :

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}=\sum_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{vj}}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{v}} / \sum_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)
$$

And the variance of the estimate:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right)=1 / \mathrm{b}-1 \sum_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{vj}}-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}
$$

## The coefficient of variation of the numbers at age ( $\mathrm{CV}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )

$\mathrm{CV}_{\mathrm{i}}=\sqrt{\left[\operatorname{Var}(\text { due to age sampling })_{i}+\operatorname{Var}(\text { due to length sampling })_{i}\right] / \text { number at age }{ }_{i}}$

## Relationship of $\mathrm{CV}_{\mathrm{i}}$ with the number of otoliths sampled

In order to determine the approximate effect of the numbers of otoliths on the $\mathrm{CV}_{\mathrm{i}}$ we need to examine the component of the covariance due to age sampling, (after Flatman 1990). If we assume, for one age, that the total numbers of fish in a length group $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$ is similar for each length group, and so $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{N}$ :

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{N}^{2} \sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}
$$

If we also assume that for all length groups the probability that [ fish in group $\mathrm{j}=$ age i ] is the same, then:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(1-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \sum_{\mathrm{j}} 1 / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}
$$

If the number of otoliths in each length group is the same then:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(N_{i}\right)=N^{2} P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right) J / n_{j}
$$

Where:

$$
\mathrm{J}=\text { number of length groups, and } \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}} \text { is now a constant. }
$$

So: $\quad \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{CONSTANT} * 1 / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}$

This means, within the assumptions, that the component of the $\mathrm{CV}_{\mathrm{i}}$ due to age sampling (referred to as CVa in the text) is proportional to $1 / n_{j}$, and therefore the $\mathrm{CV}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is proportional to $1 / V_{n_{j}}$.

This can be used as a basic guide to the relative level of sampling required to bring the $\mathrm{CV}_{\mathrm{i}}$ below the agreed level of $10 \%$ (Flatman, 1990). However, in practice it will be difficult to bring the $\mathrm{CV}_{\mathrm{i}}$ below $10 \%$ across all cohorts, as the less abundant or partially recruited year classes will always be sampled less completely.


[^0]:    1. Source: IFREMER (Jan - Dec 1994); MAFF (Apr 1994 - Mar 1995)
