Rapport interne LPO/15-20

UMR 6523 **Laboratoire de** **Physique des Océans**	**DELAYED MODE QUALITY CONTROL** **OF OVIDE ARGO DATA** **FLOAT WMO 6900495**	
Date : **26 octobre 2015**	Auteurs : **Lagadec Catherine** **Thierry Virginie** **Cabanes Cécile**	Archivage : **LPO**

Département Océanographie et Dynamique des Ecosystèmes (ODE)

DELAYED MODE QUALITY CONTROL OF OVIDE ARGO DATA FLOAT WMO 6900495

Internal Report LPO 15-20

C. Lagadec - V. Thierry - C. Cabanes

February 5, 2016

Float WMO 6900495

1 Presentation and DMQC summary

Warning : Note that all the figures are plotted with the latest QC flag values (the modifications mentionned table 2 are taken into account).

1.1 QC flag checks and interesting profiles

Warning : the resolution is equal to 10 dbar from the surface to 800 dbar, then 25 dbar from 500 to 2000 dbar. Salinity data between 0 and 5 dbar are suspicious because they are acquired when the pump of the CTD is turned off.

1.2 Salinity correction from the OW method

We cannot see any evidence of a drift or bias in the salinity measurement. We thus conclude that it is not necessary to correct the salinity data. Errors bars are maximum value between 0.01 and those determined from the OW method with parameters from the OW configuration 129.

2 Data

Number	Deployment (cycle OD) cycle OD	Last cycle 214
Provor WMO 6900495	20/06/2008 5h30	
CTS3 07-S3-11	N 46.17 W 19.386	
Date of control	Float status	Last cycle
June 2011	Active	109
Coriolis transmission		27/06/2011
Date of last control	Float status	Last cycle
September 2015	DEAD	02/05/2014
Coriolis transmission		26/10/15

Table 1: Status of the float

Cycle	Para-meter	Vertical level	Old flag	New flag	Comments	Coriolis transmission
40	PSAL	levels 81 to 84 levels 96 to 99	4	1		21/06/11
61	TEMP,PSAL	level 70	3	1		21/06/11
102	TEMP	levels 85,86	3	1		21/06/11
102	PSAL	levels 41 to 46,	3	1		21/06/11
		57,58, 67,68,	3	1		21/06/11
		82 to 87,100,101	3	1		21/06/11
107	TEMP,PSAL	900 dbar(1 val)	3	1		21/06/11
		1100 dbar(2 val)	3	1		21/06/11
109	TEMP,PSAL	1100 dbar(1 val)	3	1		21/06/11
all cycles (except 0D,86A)	PSAL	level 1	1 1	4 4	untrustable data	21/06/11
all cycles (except 0D,11A,86A)	PSAL	level 2	1 1	4 4	untrustable data	21/06/11
164	TEMP	9,10,11	4	1		26/10/15
	PSAL	9	4	1		26/10/15
	PSAL	12 to 42	1	4		26/10/15

Table 2: Float 6900495. Summary of the modifications of the real-time QC flags and of the interesting or suspicous data.

OW CONFIGURATION	129
CONFIG_MAX_CASTS	250
MAP_USE_PV	1
MAP_USE_PV_ELLIPSE	1
MAP_USE_FACTEUR	1
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_LARGE	3.2
MAPSCALE_LONGITUDE_SMALL	0.8
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_LARGE	2
MAPSCALE_LATITUDE_SMALL	0.5
MAPSCALE_PHI_LARGE	0.1
MAPSCALE_PHI_SMALL	0.02
MAPSCALE_AGE	0.69
MAP_P_EXCLUDE	500
MAP_P_DELTA	250
Reference data base	CTD and ARGO

Table 3: Parameters of the OW method.

Figure 1: Profiles position and relationship between cycle number, date and color.

Figure 2: Battery Voltage and Surface Pressure

Figure 3: θ/S diagrams. (Left panel) Flags are not taken into account. (Right panel) Quality flags are taken into account.

Figure 4: Temperature section along the float trajectory. Quality flags are not taken into account.

Figure 5: Salinity section along the float trajectory. Quality flags are not taken into account.

Figure 6: Pression as fonction of cycle number and vertical level index along the float trajectory. Quality flags are taken into account.

Figure 7: Potential temperature, salinity and potential density sections along the float trajectory (interpolated on standard levels). Quality flags are taken into account.

Figure 8: Salinity, Potential Temperature and Potential Density profiles. Quality flags are taken into account.

# 3 Comparison to the OVIDE 2008 nearest CTD profile

Figure 9: Comparison of the cycle 0A with the nearest CTD profile done after the float deployment.

# 4 Cycle 40 - Comparison to the nearest historical CTD profiles

Figure 10: Flotteur 6900495, cycle 40. Upper panel: Position of the analysed CTD profile (red) and of the nearest CTD profiles (black). The nearest CTD profile in time is in magenta while the nearest CTD profile in space is in blue. Lower panels: Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the analysed CTD profile (stars) and for the nearest CTD profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest CTD profile in space (blue line). The color of the analysed CTD profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).

Figure 11: Float 6900495, cycle 40. The analysed CTD profile (stars) is compared to the nearest CTD profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles: the nearest CTD profile in time (magenta) and the nearest CTD profile in space (blue). The color of the analysed CTD profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

# 5   Cycle 40A - Comparison to the nearest ARGO profiles

Figure 12: Flotteur 6900495, cycle 40A. Upper panel: Position of the analysed Argo profile (red) and of the nearest Argo profiles (black). The nearest Argo profile in time is in magenta while the nearest CTD profile in space is in blue. Lower panels: Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the analysed Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest Argo profile in space (blue line). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).

Figure 13: Float 6900495, cycle 40A. The analysed Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest Argo profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles: the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta) and the nearest Argo profile in space (blue). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

6 Cycle 102 - Comparison to the nearest historical CTD profiles

6900495 – Cycle 102A – Date Argo profile 08–Apr–2011
Dates historicals profiles 08–Nov–1997 (blue) and 09–Nov–1997 (magenta)

Figure 14: Flotteur 6900495, cycle 102. Upper panel: Position of the analysed CTD profile (red) and of the nearest CTD profiles (black). The nearest CTD profile in time is in magenta while the nearest CTD profile in space is in blue. Lower panels: Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the analysed CTD profile (stars) and for the nearest CTD profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest CTD profile in space (blue line). The color of the analysed CTD profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).

Figure 15: Float 6900495, cycle 102. The analysed CTD profile (stars) is compared to the nearest CTD profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles: the nearest CTD profile in time (magenta) and the nearest CTD profile in space (blue). The color of the analysed CTD profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

# 7 Cycle 102A - Comparison to the nearest ARGO profiles

Figure 16: Flotteur 6900495, cycle 102A. Upper panel: Position of the analysed Argo profile (red) and of the nearest Argo profiles (black). The nearest Argo profile in time is in magenta while the nearest CTD profile in space is in blue. Lower panels: Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the analysed Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest Argo profile in space (blue line). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).

Figure 17: Float 6900495, cycle 102A. The analysed Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest Argo profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles: the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta) and the nearest Argo profile in space (blue). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

# 8 Cycle 164 - Comparison to the nearest historical CTD profiles

Figure 18: Flotteur 6900495, cycle 164. Upper panel: Position of the analysed CTD profile (red) and of the nearest CTD profiles (black). The nearest CTD profile in time is in magenta while the nearest CTD profile in space is in blue. Lower panels: Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the analysed CTD profile (stars) and for the nearest CTD profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest CTD profile in space (blue line). The color of the analysed CTD profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).

6900495 – Cycle 164A

6900495 – Cycle 164A – Date Argo profile 18–Dec–2012
Dates historicals profiles 27–Sep–1982 (blue) and 27–Jun–1997 (magenta)

Figure 19: Float 6900495, cycle 164. The analysed CTD profile (stars) is compared to the nearest CTD profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles: the nearest CTD profile in time (magenta) and the nearest CTD profile in space (blue). The color of the analysed CTD profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

# 9 Cycle 164A - Comparison to the nearest ARGO profiles

Figure 20: Flotteur 6900495, cycle 164A. Upper panel: Position of the analysed Argo profile (red) and of the nearest Argo profiles (black). The nearest Argo profile in time is in magenta while the nearest CTD profile in space is in blue. Lower panels: Temperature, salinity and potential density as function of pressure for the analysed Argo profile (stars) and for the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta line) and for the nearest Argo profile in space (blue line). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4).

Figure 21: Float 6900495, cycle 164A. The analysed Argo profile (stars) is compared to the nearest Argo profiles (black line) and to two specific profiles: the nearest Argo profile in time (magenta) and the nearest Argo profile in space (blue). The color of the analysed Argo profile represents the QC flag (green for a QC=1; blue for a QC=2; orange for a QC=3 and red for a QC=4). (Upper panels) Temperature (left panel), salinity (middle panel) and potential density (right panel) as function of pressure. (Lower panels) θ/S diagrams.

10   OW method, CONFIGURATION # 129

Figure 22: Figures from the OW method. (Left) Position of the historical and float data. (Right) Comparison, on various θ levels, between the float data and the historical data interpolated at the float position.


Figure 23: Figures from the OW method. Compararison of the θ/S diagram of the float with the historial database. (left) raw data; (right) corrected data using the OW correction.

Figure 24: Figures from the OW method. Salinity anomaly:(left) raw data; (right) corrected data using the OW correction.


Figure 25: Correction proposed by the OW method.

Figure 26: Chosed levels by the OW method.