ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil
June 2016, Volume 73, Issue 6, Pages 1474-1484
http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsw023
http://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00319/43001/

The fisheries history of small pelagics in the Northern Mediterranean

Van Beveren Elisabeth [1], Fromentin Jean-Marc [1], Rouyer Tristan [1], Bonhommeau Sylvain [1], Brosset Pablo [1, 2], Saraux Claire [1, *]

[1] IFREMER (Institut Français de Recherche pour l'Exploitation de la MER), UMR MARBEC, Avenue Jean Monnet, BP171, 34203 Sète Cedex, France
[2] Université Montpellier II, UMR MARBEC, Avenue Jean Monnet, BP171, 34203 Sète cedex, France

* Corresponding author : Claire Saraux, tel: +33 4 99 57 32 00; fax: +33 4 99 57 32 95 ;
email address : claire.saraux@ifremer.fr

Abstract :

Since 2007, the biomass of sardine and anchovy in the NW Mediterranean has remained persistently low, whereas the biomass of the commercially low-valued sprat has exploded. Also, simultaneous decreases in condition, size, and/or age of these populations were observed. Altogether, this resulted in a drop in landings of small pelagics. To understand the amplitude of these events and to provide a baseline scenario against which current changes can be compared, we compiled exceptionally long landing series (1865–2013) of sardine, anchovy, and mackerel for different subregions of the southern French coast. We characterized the fluctuations of these landings and compared these with environmental drivers (sea surface temperature, Rhône river discharge, North Atlantic Oscillation, Western Mediterranean Oscillation—WeMO, and Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation—AMO), using different time-series analyses. We also collated historical data to infer qualitative changes in fishing effort over time. A fishing effort related increase in landings was observed around 1962 for all three species, although current sardine landings have dropped below levels observed before this period. Sardine and anchovy landings were, respectively, positively and negatively related to the AMO index and anchovy landings were also positively related to the WeMO. We finished by discussing the potential role of the environmental variables and fishing on long-term fishery landings trends.

Keywords : anchovy, fish landings, Gulf of Lions, historical time series, mackerel, sardin

Introduction

Small pelagic fish stocks are known to fluctuate substantially worldwide. These fluctuations are often attributed to climate variability, and sometimes to predator abundance (Checkley *et al.*, 2009). Additionally, these effects can be contingent on or amplified by fishing pressure (Deyle *et al.*, 2013). Here, we focus on the fluctuations of the small pelagic fish stocks of the Gulf of Lions, a bay spanning a substantial part of the French Mediterranean coast. In this area, a recent change in the pelagic ecosystem has been observed, as the biomass of sardine and anchovy has remained persistently low while the sprat biomass has increased considerably since 2007. Van Beveren *et al.* (2014) documented simultaneous changes in length and age structure of the populations as well as a decline in fish condition and postulated a bottom-up control as one of the possible main drivers of such changes. After this initial study small pelagic fish condition in the NW Mediterranean was related to the environment (Brosset *et al.*, 2015) and the last GFCM report (General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean) indicated that those populations are not overfished (i.e., anchovy stock biomass and fishing mortality was considered low, whereas sardine was judged ecologically unbalanced; both based on harvest rates comparing landings with direct acoustic biomass estimates, as well as biological information, GFCM, 2014). However, the specific drivers of the population changes are still not completely unravelled, and it is unknown if a similar situation occurred in the past.

When looking at population dynamics, there is a need to consider the longest time span possible (Jackson *et al.*, 2001), such as was for example done by Ravier and Fromentin (2001), Grbec (2002) and Thurstan *et al.* (2010). That is, relatively short time series might not be enough to depict substantial changes in the population's biomass, abundance or their landings. For example, major environmentally driven population fluctuations can occur with an extended periodicity (e.g. Ravier and Fromentin, 2001), processes can be slow and the effects of fisheries can be long-lasting (possibly creating a "shifting baseline syndrome", Pauly, 1995). Unfortunately, no sufficiently long time series of population biomass and/or abundance exist for the small pelagic stocks from the NW Mediterranean. However, an extraordinary long series of historical landings was compiled from French national statistics over the period 1865-2013.

Before 1940, the pelagic fisheries of the Gulf of Lions only used traditional techniques (e.g., the sardinal, a Catalan vessel targeting sardine), albeit with increasing motorization after World War I. After the beginning of the Second World War, several improvements allowed the fishery to develop, such as the expansion and innovation of the train network, the use of freezing and the modification of fishing techniques (Doumenge, 1952). Importantly, in 1941 "lamparo's", i.e. fishing vessels that use light to attract pelagic species, were introduced (Doumenge, 1952). However, they were quickly banned in some areas and were only legalised in 1960-1961 (Bailly and Le Grel, 1996). Almost simultaneously, the Algerian independence (1962) led to the repatriation of several fishing units. In addition, ultrasound sonars were implemented concurrently, larger nets were employed, fishing power increased significantly and gas was replaced by butane or propane for lamparo lamps. All of this coincided with a sudden increase in landings (Bailly and Le Grel, 1996; Maurin, 1965). Modern trawls were only employed from around 1975 onwards, as a reaction to a foregoing decrease in pelagic landings. Successively, trawling methods diversified and the number of lamparo's diminished. However, in 1977 regulations were already in place to limit the numbers of trawling vessels, their fishing zones and their days at sea (Pichot and Dremière, 1978). Anchovy landings increased after 1986 to supply the surge in demand after Spain became a member of the European Union. Around 2007 however, the biomass of sardine and anchovy decreased, leading to the current low level of exploitation (GFCM, 2014; Van Beveren *et al.*, 2014). However, sardine landings had already shown a decline from 1980 onwards (Farrugio and Marin, 1999).

Although such basic prior knowledge was available, the causes for the fluctuations of landings were unknown. Specifically, these potential sources of variations were mostly qualitative and reported landings were annual or of very limited extent. Here, we compiled the longest possible landings series from the French Mediterranean fisheries for three species (sardine, anchovy and mackerel). We first characterised the landings of these three species in terms of patterns, variability, cyclicity and breakpoints. Then, we tested the relation between landings and SST (Sea Surface Temperature), river runoff (Rhône) and climate indices such as the NAO (North Atlantic Oscillation), WeMO (Western Mediterranean Oscillation) and AMO (Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation), as these parameters have previously been shown to have an influence on small pelagic biomasses and/or landings (Alheit *et al.*, 2014; Checkley *et al.*, 2009; Grbec *et al.*, 2002; Lloret *et al.*, 2004; Martín *et al.*, 2008, 2012). We compared the environmental patterns, cycles and breakpoints with landings and assessed potential relationships using Generalised Additive Models (GAMs). Although the use of landings instead of abundance or biomass can be misleading (Pauly *et al.*, 2013), it is the only long-term information available for these species and, with care, we can interpret results, given for example the available qualitative knowledge on effort. However, for these reasons we do not use these results to infer changes in stock size or status. To conclude, we discuss the results in view of the recently observed unbeneficial changes in the pelagic ecosystem.

Material and methods

Data

Landings

For sardine, anchovy and mackerel, annual landings from the French Mediterranean fisheries in terms of total weight and market value (if available) were obtained from three principal sources: 1. the « Statistique des pêches maritimes » (SPM, http://archimer.ifremer.fr/statistique-peches-maritimes.htm), 2. statam (Le Corre, 1971) and 3. France Agrimer (www.franceagrimer.fr). We only considered the French part of the Mediterranean (almost equivalent to the Gulf of Lions) because this corresponds highly with the area used for stock assessments and this delineates a fishing fleet. However, this zone might perhaps not correspond to the biological limits of the stock, as these remain largely uncertain because research only started to approach the question recently (e.g. Fiorentino *et al.*, 2014). Data were aggregated into different areas (which will be referred to as subregions) in correspondence with current administrative units (such as Port-Vendres, Sète and Marseille) or according to historical grouping of several administrative units (such as Martigues, Nice and Toulon that were pooled together, see Fig. 1). Landing series from the different chief data sources were verified against each other (as there were periods of overlap) and against literature and other data sources (correlation, plotting), such as Pêche sardinière en Mediterranée (Marine marchande, 1964), La pêcherie des petits pélagiques (Bailly and Le Grel, 1996), Monographie des pêches maritimes (Gouvernement français, 1975), Statistique des régions de pêches (l'Institut Scientifique et Technique des Pêches Maritimes, 1924), Système d'Informations Halieutiques (SIH, http://sih.ifremer.fr) and IFREMER files. For the overlapping periods, we selected only the most consistent data (showing maximal correlation with other information sources). Therefore, the final landing series were composed out of data from different origins: 1865-1970 (SPM), 1971-1993 (statam) and 1994-2013 (France Agrimer).

Over the recent period (1950 until recent), the sum of the landings of all subregions is consistent with FAO data (Fig. S1).

From these series, small pelagic fish that were caught outside the Gulf of Lions were excluded. Also, we did not include catches taken inside the Gulf but landed by foreign vessels (Spanish or Italian) because of a lack of data. Given the local scale of these fisheries and the prevailing restrictions (both practical and lawful), this biomass is however limited (e.g. less than 5% of total landings for sardine during recent years, STECF 2013).

Unfortunately, no information on fishing effort was available to confront landings with (e.g. such as in Thurstan *et al.* 2010). Therefore the following analyses were conducted on catch time series (see Ravier and Fromentin 2001; Grbec *et al.* 2002).

Environment

Environmental time series (see Appendix S1 for details) were collected from online databases (Sea Surface Temperature; SST, North Atlantic Oscillation; NAO, Western Mediterranean Oscillation; WeMO, Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation; AMO) or communicated by specialised companies (Rhône river flow). They all spanned the period of the landings (1865-2013), except for the Rhône river flow for which data were only available from 1920. We used three global indices (NAO, WeMO and AMO). However, only two local climate variables (river discharge and SST) were used as on such a long-term basis local variables were only sporadically available. Also, these holistic indices might be more strongly related to biological effects than any single variable and can provide at least an initial robust and integrated idea of the ecological effect of climate variability (Stenseth *et al.*, 2003).

Statistical analyses

Landings

As some selected statistical analyses require contiguity and our series included some missing values, these were estimated using additional information present for those years or time series modelling. Sardine landings between 1874 and 1890 were recorded in numbers, which were converted to biomass with the help of the earliest data available; the mean size of sardine caught in Marseille, Port-Vendres and Sète during 1972-1977 and the weight-length relationship calculated from Lee (1961). Since landings and total market value were always highly correlated for all three species between 1874-1890 and 1894-1914 ($p<0.01$ and R^2 between 0.92-0.97), we estimated missing values for the periods 1865-1873 and 1891-1893 from market values using a linear regression. Only data up to 1914 were used for this, because afterwards the linear relation changes because of a war associated price increase. Also, data were missing for 1894 and incomplete between 1994 and 1996 (and 1997 to a lesser extent) because of a change in the administrative data system. These years were filled per species and per subregion with an ARIMA (autoregressive integrated moving average) model based on the preceding series, i.e. respectively 1865-1893 and 1970-1993 (so the 1960s increase was excluded). Furthermore, no data were available during the Second World War (WWII). This five year gap was deleted or filled up depending on the analysis (see further). When filled, this was done by taking the mean of the two adjacent values. Also, from 1994 onwards no data were available for Marseille. Although caused by administrative changes, landings are thought to have become extremely low due to closure of the fish auction and few active vessels remaining in that region (internal information from IFREMER, French Research Institute for the Exploitation of the Sea). Because this gap was fairly large and significant changes in exploitation took place between 1994 and 2013, we considered two types of total landing time series for the following analyses: 1. the sum of the landings of all the subregions from 1865 to 1993 and 2. the sum of the landings from 1865 to 2013 of all the subregions except Marseille.

The population variability (PV, Heath, 2006) of all 12 time series (3 species x 4 subregions) was calculated to examine the difference between species and subregions in terms of temporal variations. PV is a more recent metric than the more commonly used coefficient of variation (CV) and is less seriously influenced by rare events and zeros. Also, PV measures variability on a proportional scale, and should therefore be especially appropriate to compare populations experiencing different dynamics. Namely, it quantifies the average percentage of the absolute differences between all combinations of the time series:

$$D_{(z)} = \frac{|(z_i - z_j)|}{MAX(z_i, z_j)} \quad \text{if } z_i \neq z_j \text{ and } D(z) = 0 \text{ otherwise,}$$

$$PV = \frac{\sum_{z=1}^{C} D(z)}{C} \quad \text{with } C = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

Where C is the number of all possible combinations of landing values at two given time steps (z_i and z_j) of the time series of length n. PV fluctuates between 0 and 1, with 0 being complete stability.

Patterns, periodicity and breakpoints

The environmental and landings series were analysed together so that the main characteristics of the time series could be identified, as well as compared. Correspondence in terms of patterns, periodicity or breakpoints could indicate if the environment might be influencing small pelagic landings. Excluding potential resonant effects for small pelagics (see Bjørnstad *et al.*, 2004), the forcing and the response variable might be quasi-linearly related, in which case the patterns of both tracks might be similar. However, while a small time-lag and/or a strongly non-linear relationship could conceal such an interaction, the patterns of cyclicity should remain analogous (on the condition that exploitation does not change the cyclic dynamics over time). Also, a discontinuity in the landings might be caused by an abrupt change (regime shift) in the environment and/or fishing effort. Hence, verifying the occurrence of such discontinuities is of interest and was done through a breakpoint analysis (see below). For all analyses (except the link with the environment and the breakpoint analysis), annual landings (without Marseille) were log-transformed (natural logarithm) to stabilize the variance (Sen and Srivastava, 1990), whereby 1 was added due to the occurrence of zeros.

To estimate the general trend of each time series, data were analysed with Eigen Vector Filtering (EVF, Colebrook, 1978), as this technique has the advantage that the importance of the calculated trend is quantified (%) and the smoothed series is not shortened. For each time series, an autocovariance matrix is constructed by shifting the series between one and 5 years (this lag allows for retention only of medium- to long-term fluctuations, i.e. >15-20 years, Ravier and Fromentin, 2001). The series' trend is then given by the first axis of a PCA (Principal Component Analysis) performed on this matrix. Because the percentage of variance explained can be calculated, this enables us to quantify the importance of the main trend (Ravier and Fromentin, 2001). EVF was done for all environmental series and the overall landings per species (without Marseille) and with the WWII period filled.

Given that non-stationarity was most often the rule in our series (e.g. because of the known drop in landings during the last years) and that the removal of the main pattern would discard part of the information, we used wavelet (Daubechies, 1992) rather than Fourrier analyses to inspect the periodicity of the landings (without Marseille) and environmental series. This methodology has the intrinsic property to not only decompose the variance of a time series over frequencies, but also over time domains (hence tolerating non-stationary data). The wavelet transform (W) is done by decomposing a signal over functions called 'mother wavelets' $\Psi(t)$, which can be dilated (related to the frequency, a) and translated (related to the time position, τ):

$$W_x(a,\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t)\Psi \quad \left(\frac{t-\tau}{a}\right) dt$$

where the asterisk indicates the complex conjugate form and $x(t)$ the signal. In this study, we selected the Morlet mother wavelet, a continuous and complex wavelet with a simple link to frequencies and adapted to wave-like signals (Mallat *et al.*, 1998). Also, we used beta-surrogates to test for significance, as they take both low and high frequencies into account and have been proved to be well-suited to ecological time-series (Cazelles *et al.*, 2014; Rouyer *et al.*, 2008). Beta was calculated as the slope of the spectrum in log-coordinates. The final wavelet power spectrum is presented as a time/frequency plot, with the intensity of the match between the time series and the wavelet represented on a colour scale range (blue=low variance and grey=high variance). Further details on the methodology can be found in Rouyer *et al.* (2008). When considering periodicity, continuous time series need to be used, so we filled the gap of the Second World War for this analysis.

For the environmental and all 12 landing series, a breakpoint analysis (R package strucchange, Zeileis *et al.*, 2013) was performed to compare the periods of major changes between time series. This method performs an ordinary least squares (OLS) for every segment of the time series, and puts the resulting residual sum of squares (RSS) in a matrix, with rows being the starting point of the OLS and columns being the end points. Then, the optimal combination of segments is found by minimizing the RSS. This method has the advantage that the number of breakpoints is automatically determined and a confidence interval can be given. The WWII period was deleted for this analysis.

Relationship with the environment

We modelled the effect of multiple environmental factors (SST, NAO, WeMO, AMO and river outflow) on the landings of each species separately, using GAMs (Generalized Additive Models). This technique extends the linear models by handling multiple error types (i.e. not only Gaussian) and by allowing the effect of the predictor(s) to be non-linear, as it can be estimated as a smooth function (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990). Here, a smoother (cubic spline) was only applied on the year effect (except for mackerel) to capture the nonlinear trend in landings that is likely mostly due to changes in fishing effort (see below). As this approach did not remove all autocorrelation, landings at t-1 were also added as explanatory variable (note that different intervals were tried, but a one year delay proved to be optimal). This removed residual autocorrelation successfully from each model. Environmental explanatory variables were included as linear effects. Model selection was based on AIC (Aikake Information Criterion). When models were within 2 AIC units of the lowest AIC value, the most parsimonious one was selected (Arnold, 2010). We repeated each model for two types of time series, i.e. including (1865-1993) or excluding (1865-2013) Marseille. Similarly, data for the Rhône was only available from 1920 onwards. Hence, the models were run first including this factor, but for a shorter period. Only when river flow was shown insignificant were the models run for the complete period. The WWII period was deleted from all the time series. The correlation between all environmental variables (Table S1) was, although sometimes significant ($p<0.05$), never strong ($r<0.45$). For most variables, this linear relationship has already been discussed, albeit for a shorter time series (Martín *et al.*, 2012). The mgcv R package (Wood, 2014) was used, and a gamma distribution with log link was selected, as the estimates of this model performed best.

Results

Landings description

Over the investigated period, sardine was the most important of the three small pelagic species in terms of landings, followed in order by anchovy and mackerel (Fig. 2). Maximal reported landings in the total region were 22,090t for sardine (1970), 9,593t for anchovy (1988) and 1,693t for mackerel (2007). Over the studied period (1865-2013), sardine was the most landed species in every subregion. The relative importance of anchovy and mackerel depended on the subregion and the period, except from the late 1980s onwards, when anchovy landings usually exceeded those of mackerel in every subregion.

Generally, sardine and anchovy landings increased sharply in the early 1960s, but strongly declined during the most recent years, eventually reaching a level similar as 60 years earlier. This pattern however differed for the grouped subregion (Nice, Toulon and Martigues, NI/TL/MT), for which a sharp rise only took place around 1986, following a more gradual intensification. Also, the timing of the last main decrease in landings was irregular as this already occurred earlier for eastern subregions (Marseille and NI/TL/MT). For anchovy, the 1960s increase in landings was not as abrupt as for sardine. The most prominent rise in the anchovy time series actually took place around 1987. Furthermore, when compared to the earliest years (1865-1914), total anchovy landings were relatively low between the First World War and the 1960s rise.

We also noticed that anchovy landings fluctuated on average more heavily than sardine and mackerel landings ($PV_{anchovy}$ between 0.67-0.75, $PV_{sardine}$ between 0.52-0.68 and $PV_{mackerel}$ between 0.51-0.64 depending on the subregions). Species landings in NI/TL/MT were usually the most stable (except for anchovy, $PV_{NI/TL/MT}$ for sardine, anchovy and mackerel respectively 0.52, 0.67 and 0.51), followed by the subregion of Marseille (also except for anchovy, $PV_{Marseille}$ respectively

0.55, 0.74 and 0.54) and then by Port-Vendres and Sète ($PV_{Port\text{-}Vendres}$ respectively 0.60, 0.75 and 0.65; $PV_{Sète}$ respectively 0.69, 0.72 and 0.61). For these 2 last subregions, landings in Port-Vendres were always fluctuating most, except for sardine, for which landings were more variable in Sète.

Patterns, periodicity and breakpoints

Environmental factors and landings fluctuated differently in terms of pattern and periodicity (Fig.3). Log transformed total landings (without Marseille) showed a clear long-term pattern. Sardine was characterised by the 1960s increase and smaller heights around the beginning of the time series and between the two World Wars. This trend explained 90% of the variance of the series. Anchovy landings were intermediate before 1914, low between the wars and elevated after them (explained variance by the trend: 87%, Fig. 3). Mackerel showed an uneven gradual increase, but this trend is not as important as for sardine and anchovy (explained variance: 61%). The landings also showed a generally red-shifted spectrum (i.e. a spectrum dominated by periods > 10 yr). Periodicities were however still moderately different for the three species. Sardine only had low frequency oscillations (around 35 years) during the whole period. Mackerel had before 1910 intermediate frequency oscillations (around 16 years) and during the complete period also low frequency oscillations (around 32 years). Anchovy had significant patterns around 11 years (during the 1920s) and 30 years (±1945-2000). This corresponds with the PV values per species, as one could expect a higher PV when high frequencies are relatively more important.

SST and AMO showed a clear pseudo-cyclic long-term trend together with year-to-year fluctuations (Fig. 3). SST displayed three periods of higher values (around 1860s, 1950s and 2000s) and two periods of lower values (around the 1910-1920s and the 1970s). The AMO had maximal values around 1884, 1948 and 2008 and minimal ones around 1915 and 1980 (i.e. quite similar to those of the SST). Both series had significant low-frequency patterns (period>40 years) and the trends explained 46% and 68% of the variance of the SST and AMO time series, respectively.

Additionally, SST had also had some high power values at higher frequencies (Fig.3). Consequently, no common pattern emerged with the landing time series.

The Rhône debit and the NAO and WeMO indices mostly displayed year-to-year fluctuations without any clear trend, although the WeMO index decreased slightly during the most recent years (Fig. 3). Therefore, the percentage of variance explained by the EVF-trend was rather low for each of these three time series (respectively 24%, 21% and 28%). All three series were characterised by mainly high to intermediate frequencies (periods up to 10 years for NAO and up to about 20 for the Rhône debit). Only the WeMO had also high power values for low frequencies during certain periods, which mainly fell out of the cone marking the influence of the edge effect. A 40 years oscillation pattern around 1910 and 1980 was also observed in sardine, but despite this small similarity, these three series also differ from the landings' ones in both the frequency (wavelet) and the time (EVF) domain (Fig. 3).

For each of the 12 landings series, the breakpoint analyses detected several discontinuities (Fig. 4, top). A breakpoint was identified for most of the series (8 out of 12) around 1962 because of the steep increase in the landings (except for all species in the subregion of NI/TL/MT and in Marseille for mackerel). Two periods around which breakpoints were also common (although to a lesser extent) were near the beginning of the First World War (5/12) and 1985-1992 (5/12), caused by upsurges in Sète and NI/TL/MT. Also, breakpoints appeared to be more similar between subregions than between species. Breakpoints were not always detected for each environmental time series (Fig. 4, bottom). The NAO index and the Rhône debit did not display any discontinuity, while the WeMO index showed only one breakpoint (1988). Although the AMO index and SST displayed several breakpoints, it is interesting to note that most breakpoints from environmental series had a large uncertainty and did not clearly co-occur with most breakpoints of landings series. In general, the breakpoint analysis was quite consistent with the EVF: time series displaying significant long-term fluctuations also displayed several breakpoints, while time series without long-term fluctuations had no or little breakpoints.

Relationship with the environment

As EVF and wavelet analyses showed that long-term trends as well as periodicity were different between landings and environmental series, we included a smoother on the year effect in the GAM models (except for mackerel) to account for the long-term trend that should be related to another external factor (probably to fishing effort, as indicated by the co-occurrence of the breakpoints in the early 1960s in the landings series and expert knowledge, see also the Discussion). The outputs of the GAM analyses with (1865-1993) or without (1865-2013) Marseille were always highly similar and led to the same conclusion (Table 1 and Table S2). Finally, the Rhône river runoff (1920-2013) was never significant (Table S2), so the model could be run on the complete datasets. Residuals were always normally distributed and no outliers were present when plotting the predicted versus the residuals or fitted values (Fig. S2). The deviance explained (respectively for models with and without Marseille) was highest for sardine (93.3% and 88.8%), followed by anchovy (79.6% and 79.0%) and mackerel (43.1% and 46.2%). This was mainly due to the high deviance explained by the smoothed predictor (year), which was, in general, very similar as the trends extracted by the EVF analyses (Fig. S3). Therefore, the actual environmental factors (i.e. leaving out this year effect and the landings at t-1) explained respectively only 5.80 and 1.60% of the deviance for sardine, and 12.2% and 2.4% for anchovy. For sardine, the AMO was the only significant (positively related) environmental variable ($p<0.05$). Anchovy landings were significantly and positively influenced by the WeMO index and the AMO exerted a negative effect. Mackerel on the other hand did not show any significant relationship with an environmental factor.

Because of the important changes around 1960, the GAMs were also run for the previously identified periods of low and high landings (1865-1960 and 1963-2013). For sardine, no environmental variables were significant for either of the periods, whereas for anchovy only the AMO or WeMO was significant, in respectively the pre- and post-60s. As the use of these shorter time series thus resulted mainly in a loss of information, results are not presented here in more detail (Table S3).

Discussion

In this study we investigated the characteristics and potential causes of fluctuations in the landings of sardine, anchovy and mackerel from the French part of the Mediterranean Sea. Therefore, we compiled several exceptionally long time series. Such series are hard to obtain, as long-term data are often inexistent or (partially) lost, fragmented, hardly accessible, geographically scattered, not digitized, etc. Here, we managed to obtain 12 extended time series, allowing us not only to consider a multispecies fishery, but also to account in part for its geographical aspect. Such data are extremely rare for most species in the Mediterranean (except for bluefin tuna, Ravier and Fromentin, 2001), and especially pelagics, as for example a single long-term series for sardine was only known from the Adriatic (Grbec *et al.*, 2002). Even globally, long-term information on small pelagic landings is uncommon for the fisheries that have a long history, the longest being from Japan, California and the Canary Current, starting between around 1890 and 1915 (Lluch-Belda *et al.*, 1989; Schwartzlose *et al.*, 1999).

However, when considering the results, one should keep in mind the usual issues of under- and misreporting, black landings, discards, etc., which might be associated with any landing data. Unfortunately, when reading through the historical literature, no information on these was found. However, concerning the issue of discards, we might think that they remained at all times reasonably limited for sardine, as this species was always of commercial . Also, management is and was relatively weak, so that species were not discarded because of quota or a minimum landing size (which exists, but is small). However, we know that during the last years when a mixture of anchovy and sprat was caught, fishermen often threw back their catch instead of separating it. This might perhaps also have happened in the past (especially before the entry of Spain to the European Union), when anchovy was less targeted. Finally, the absence of quota and the small minimum landing size might have also limited the under- and misreportings.

In this study, the two most striking events were the rapid and considerable (i.e. at least five-fold) increase in the landings of sardine and anchovy around 1962 and their recently observed decrease. During 2010-2013, sardine landings became historically low, i.e., recent landings became even lower than during the investigated period prior to the 1960s. Even during the 1800s, at least twice as much sardine was fished. This stresses the exceptionality of the current situation. Present anchovy landings still remain at rather high levels, but landings were extremely low before the 1960s, in contrast to sardine. Mackerel landings did not show as prominent fluctuations as sardine and anchovy (beside a relatively small increase around the 1960s). This might be because mackerel is less targeted.

Generally, landings of sardine and anchovy elsewhere in the Mediterranean have not shown similar fluctuations since 1950 (Fig. 5). However, in most locations landings of small pelagics generally increase in the 1960s or 1970s. For example, in the Eastern Adriatic landings started rising around 1960 too, also claimed to be caused by the installation of echo-sounders (Grbec *et al.*, 2002). However, these increases appear usually to be more gradual (Fig. 5). Particularly the concurrent addition of multiple Algerian vessels triggered by the Algerian independence is likely to have caused a steeper increase in the French landings.

Often, catch or landings per unit of effort are used as a proxy for abundance. In this study, no proper long-term information was available on the effort directed to each of the considered species, as the best information available was the total number and capacity of boats per subregion (which included for example boats directed to the collection of mussels and oysters, as well as those used to fish benthic and demersal species). Furthermore, quantifying fishing effort with the number or capacity of fishing boats can be misleading, especially over long periods of time, as there is little in common between a small wooden boat using nets or lines from the beginning of the 20th century and modern pelagic trawlers or lamparos from the 1980s. Also, information on market demand should be available to better assess changes in the targets of the fisheries. Because of the clear impossibility to consider stock status over time, we aimed instead at characterising the landing fluctuations of sardine, anchovy and mackerel. Analyses were carefully selected so they were complementary and underpinned the use of a GAM to relate landings directly to the environment. Specifically, we used a smoother on the year effect because the breakpoint and the wavelet analyses, as well as the general qualitatively known trends in effort in the area (see upper panel Fig. 2 and introduction) clearly indicated that the major change in the time series during the 1960s (which explains the bulk of the long-term trend) was probably due to major changes in fishing effort. Doing so, we indirectly took into consideration major changes in fishing effort in the GAM analysis.

Although it is very likely that the major changes in the landings time series (which translate mostly into long-term trends) were due to major shifts in fishing effort, changes in fishing effort do not always result in a visible change in catch. This was the case for e.g. the adoption of more developed Italian fishing techniques, motorisation and freezing, that did not translate in higher landings even some years after they were implemented. Further, not all major variations in landings could be explained by changes in effort or market demand. Hence, these fluctuations might be related to a changed availability of the species (resulting from a higher biomass, potentially partially produced by immigration, or capturability caused by e.g. more near-shore located or denser schools). In particular, variations in landings can also be environmentally-driven (Cushing, 1995). From all the environmental factors considered, the AMO appeared to be the most important, for both anchovy and sardine (but with opposite effects). In some cases, its positive phase is known to be associated with a population increase (reviewed by Nye *et al.*, 2014), through intensified recruitment and growth resulting from an increased temperature. This hypothesis would fit the positive effect of the AMO on sardine landings that we found in this study. However, other mechanisms might be important for anchovy landings in the NW Mediterranean, that are negatively related to the AMO (as was already noted by Alheit *et al.* (2014) and by Friedland *et al.* (2014) for other species elsewhere). For our study, one possible explanation could be based on different trophic niches. Anchovy generally feed on larger zooplankton than do sardine. The AMO, having the capacity to indirectly affect plankton communities (Edwards *et al.*, 2013; Harris *et al.*, 2014) can thereby alternatively favour sardine or anchovy. A positive AMO phase can have a negative effect on large copepods (Harris *et al.*, 2014), resulting in an equally negative effect on anchovy. Also, anchovy was positively related to the WeMO index, which supports Martín (2012) who postulated that the regional WeMO index can provide a more accurate representation of the environmental conditions affecting anchovy biomass in the NW Mediterranean than the NAO.

Additionally, the effects of river discharge, the NAO and SST on sardine and anchovy landings might have been too small to be detected or work at different time scales. For example, a relationship between small pelagic population size (LPUE or CPUE) and the Rhône outflow was already established twice for the NW Mediterranean (Lloret *et al.*, 2001; Martín *et al.*, 2008). However, these studies used relatively shorter time series and more importantly, monthly data. When for example both landings and an environmental factor have a strong seasonal cycle, a relationship between them could be unambiguous, but might be undetectable on a yearly basis. Furthermore, the functional response of the populations to the environment might change over time (Schmidt *et al.*, 2014), so that looking at small and long time-series might produce different results.

Overfishing was so far not considered to be the chief driver of the recent changes (GFCM, 2014). This idea was mainly based on the magnitude and timing of maximal exploitation levels, as well as the nature of the observed changes (Van Beveren *et al.*, 2014). However, these two components were only considered for the last two decades. Our analyses clearly show that the bulk of the variance in landings time series is due to long-term trends that are very likely related to abrupt changes in fishing effort in the early 1960s. Therefore, fishing-induced mortality on small pelagic fish in the Gulf of Lions had to increase greatly since the 1960s, its effect perhaps echoing in what we observe today. But although the presence of it is undeniable, the resulting effect should be put into perspective. Specifically, the biomass peaks of sardine and anchovy in the ecosystem during some of the most recent years, estimated from scientific acoustic surveys (sardine 2004 and 2005: respectively 216×10^3 t and 264×10^3 t; anchovy 2001: 112×10^3 t; GFCM, 2014), attained levels around ten times higher than the earlier historical peak of landings (sardine: 22×10^3 t, anchovy: 10×10^3 t). When considering the period 1993-2014 (PELMED), on average annual July biomass of both species was still four times higher than their respective historical landing peaks. Even if some uncertainty is present on the landings and estimated biomass values (both potentially rather underestimated, see e.g. Brehmer *et al.*, 2006), this shows that both populations can still attain considerable biomasses in regard to the preceding fishing pressure. Therefore, this study does not contradict the previously stated idea that overfishing alone is an improbable cause of the recent changes (GFCM, 2014; Van Beveren *et al.*, 2014).

To conclude, the compilation and investigation of historical landings of sardine, anchovy and mackerel in the French part of the Mediterranean Sea 1) shed light on environmental landing drivers, although not being conclusive about the causes of the recent changes and 2) also place into perspective the history of the fisheries. This could both benefit to the understanding of the pelagic ecosystem and the management of the stocks. Specifically, the new extended time series will be included in future stock assessments as to 1) get a historical perspective of the catches and

2) use it through the development of catch only methods. Significant environmental parameters might also be incorporated in a stock recruitment function.

Supplementary material

The following supplementary material is available at ICESJMS online:

Appendix S1: Description of the environmental time series.

Table S1: Linear correlation between annual environmental values (1865-2013).

Table S2: Selection details for candidate GAMs for all three species.

Table S3: Results of the GAMS per species performed for the period before and after the 1960s increase.

Fig. S1: Per species comparison of the landings data from FAO and this study.

Fig. S2: Residual plots of the GAM models for sardine and anchovy.

Fig. S3: Year smoothers of the GAMs for sardine and anchovy.

Fig. S4: Mediterranean landings of sardine, anchovy and mackerel by country.

Acknowledgments

This work is a part of the program EcoPelGol (Study of the Pelagic ecosystem in the Gulf of Lions), financed by France Filière Pêche (FFP). We thank the four anonymous reviewers and the editor for their excellent suggestions.

References

Alheit, J., Licandro, P., Coombs, S., Garcia, A., Giráldez, A., Santamaría, M. T. G., Slotte, A., *et al.* 2014. Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) modulates dynamics of small pelagic fishes and ecosystem regime shifts in the eastern North and Central Atlantic. Journal of Marine Systems, 131: 21–35.

Arnold, T. W. 2010. Uninformative Parameters and Model Selection Using Akaike's Information Criterion. The Journal of Wildlife Management, 74: 1175–1178.

Bailly, D., and Le Grel, L. 1996. La pêcherie de petits pélagiques dans le Golfe du Lion: éléments pour une analyse quantitative. Oïkos environment-ressources.

Bjørnstad, O. N., Nisbet, R. M., and Fromentin, J.-M. 2004. Trends and cohort resonant effects in age-structured populations. Journal of Animal Ecology, 73: 1157–1167.

Brehmer, P., Guillard, J., Guennegan, Y., Bigot, J. L., and Liorzou, B. 2006. Evidence of a variable 'unsampled' pelagic fish biomass in shallow water (<20m): the case of the Gulf of Lion. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 63: 444–451.

Brosset, P., Ménard, F., Fromentin, J.-M., Bonhommeau, S., Ulses, C., Bourdeix, J.-H., Bigot, J.-L., *et al.* 2015. Influence of environmental variability and age on the body condition of small pelagic fish in the Gulf of Lions. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 529: 219–231.

Cazelles, B., Cazelles, K., and Chavez, M. 2014. Wavelet analysis in ecology and epidemiology: impact of statistical tests. Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 11: 20130585.

Checkley, D., Alheit, J., Oozeki, Y., and Roy, C. 2009. Climate Change and Small Pelagic Fish. Cambridge University Press, New York, US. 393 pp.

Colebrook, J. 1978. Continuous plankton records: zooplankton and environment, North-East Atlantic and North Sea, 1948-1975. Oceanologica Acta, 1: 9–23.

Cushing, D. H. 1995. Population Production and Regulation in the Sea: A Fisheries Perspective. Cambridge University Press. 374 pp.

Daubechies, I. 1992. Ten Lectures on Wavelets. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics. SIAM, Philadelphia, US. 369 pp. http://epubs.siam.org/doi/book/10.1137/1.9781611970104 (Accessed 27 February 2015).

Deyle, E. R., Fogarty, M., Hsieh, C., Kaufman, L., MacCall, A. D., Munch, S. B., Perretti, C. T., *et al.* 2013. Predicting climate effects on Pacific sardine. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110: 6430–6435.

Doumenge, F. 1952. La pêche et le commerce du 'poisson bleu' en Roussillon. Bulletin de la société Languedocienne de Géographie. Ch. Déhan, Montpellier, France. 19 pp.

Edwards, M., Beaugrand, G., Helaouët, P., Alheit, J., and Coombs, S. 2013. Marine Ecosystem Response to the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. PLoS ONE, 8: e57212.

FAO-FIGIS. 2015, April 23. FAO - Fisheries and Aquaculture Information and Statistics Service, Global Capture Production dataset. http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/global-capture-production/en.

Farrugio, H., and Marin, J. 1999. état des pêcheries et des stocks de poissons démersaux et de petits pélagiques du Golfe du Lion (unité de gestion CGPM 37 1.2): travaux du laboratoire Ressources Halieutiques de l'IFREMER pour la prériode 1983-1999. IFREMER, Sète, France.

Fiorentino, F., Massutì, E., Tinti, F., Somarakis, S., Garofalo, T., Russo, T., Facchini, M. T., *et al.* 2014. STOCKMED. Stock units: Identification of distinct biological units (stock units) for different fish and shellfish species and among different GFCM-GSA. STOCKMED Deliverable 03: FINAL REPORT. COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES.

Friedland, K. D., Shank, B. V., Todd, C. D., McGinnity, P., and Nye, J. A. 2014. Differential response of continental stock complexes of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) to the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. Journal of Marine Systems, 133: 77–87.

GFCM. 2014. Report of the working group on stock assessment of small pelagic species. Bar, Montenegro.

Gouvernement français. 1975, 1993. Monographie des pêches maritimes. Ministere de la mer, Affaires maritimes.

Grbec, B., Dulcic, J., and Morovic, M. 2002. Long-term changes in landings of small pelagic fish in the eastern Adriatic - possible influence of climate oscillations over the Northern Hemisphere. Climate Research, 20: 241–252.

Harris, V., Edwards, M., and Olhede, S. C. 2014. Multidecadal Atlantic climate variability and its impact on marine pelagic communities. Journal of Marine Systems, 133: 55–69.

Hastie, T. J., and Tibshirani, R. J. 1990. Generalized Additive Models. CRC Press. 356 pp.

Heath, J. P. 2006. Quantifying temporal variability in population abundances. Oikos, 115: 573–581.

Jackson, J. B. C., Kirby, M. X., Berger, W. H., Bjorndal, K. A., Botsford, L. W., Bourque, B. J., Bradbury, R. H., *et al.* 2001. Historical Overfishing and the Recent Collapse of Coastal Ecosystems. Science, 293: 629–637.

Le Corre, G. 1971. Statam. Logiciel pour la gestion des statistiques de pêche produites par les Affaires Maritimes, Etats A.3. IFREMER, Sète, France.

Lee, J. Y. 1961. La sardine du golfe du lion (*Sardina pilchardus sardina* Regan). Revue des Travaux de l'Institut des Pêches Maritimes, 25: 418–513.

l'Institut Scientifique et Technique des Pêches Maritimes. 1924, 1971. Statistique des régions de pêches.

Lloret, J., Lleonart, J., Solé, I., and Fromentin, J.-M. 2001. Fluctuations of landings and environmental conditions in the north-western Mediterranean Sea. Fisheries Oceanography, 10: 33–50.

Lloret, J., Palomera, I., Salat, J., and Sole, I. 2004. Impact of freshwater input and wind on landings of anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) and sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*) in shelf waters surrounding the Ebre (Ebro) River delta (north-western Mediterranean). Fisheries Oceanography, 13: 102–110.

Lluch-Belda, D., Crawford, R. J. M., Kawasaki, T., MacCall, A. D., Parrish, R. H., Schwartzlose, R. A., and Smith, P. E. 1989. World-wide fluctuations of sardine and anchovy stocks: the regime problem. South African Journal of Marine Science, 8: 195–205.

Mallat, S., Papanicolaou, G., and Zhang, Z. 1998. Adaptive covariance estimation of locally stationary processes. The Annals of Statistics, 26: 1–47.

Marine marchande. 1964, 1981. La pêche sardinière en Méditerranée. direction des affaires maritimes en méditerranée.

Martín, P., Bahamon, N., Sabatés, A., Maynou, F., Sánchez, P., and Demestre, M. 2008. European anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) landings and environmental conditions on the Catalan Coast (NW Mediterranean) during 2000–2005. *In* Essential Fish Habitat Mapping in the Mediterranean, pp. 185–199. Ed. by V. D. Valavanis. Springer Netherlands.

http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4020-9141-4_14 (Accessed 31 March 2015).

Martín, P., Sabatés, A., Lloret, J., and Martin-Vide, J. 2012. Climate modulation of fish populations: the role of the Western Mediterranean Oscillation (WeMO) in sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*) and anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) production in the north-western Mediterranean. Climatic Change, 110: 925–939.

Maurin, C. 1965. La pêche française de 1964 en Méditerrannée. Science et Pêche, 135: 1–4.

Nye, J. A., Baker, M. R., Bell, R., Kenny, A., Kilbourne, K. H., Friedland, K. D., Martino, E., *et al.* 2014. Ecosystem effects of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. Journal of Marine Systems, 133: 103–116.

Pauly, D. 1995. Anecdotes and the Shifting Base-Line Syndrome of Fisheries. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 10: 430–430.

Pauly, D., Hilborn, R., and Branch, T. A. 2013. Fisheries: Does catch reflect abundance? Nature, 494: 303–306.

Pichot, P., and Dremière, P.-Y. 1978. Resultats de la campagne de pêche au chalut pélagique realisée à Sète durant l'année 1977. Science et pêche, 286. L'institut scientifique et technique des pêches maritimes, Paris, France.

Ravier, C., and Fromentin, J. M. 2001. Long-term fluctuations in the eastern Atlantic and Mediterranean bluefin tuna population. Ices Journal of Marine Science, 58: 1299–1317.

Rouyer, T., Fromentin, J., Stenseth, N. C., and Cazelles, B. 2008. Analysing multiple time series and extending significance testing in wavelet analysis. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 359: 11–23.

Schmidt, A. E., Botsford, L. W., Eadie, J. M., Bradley, R. W., Lorenzo, E. D., and Jahncke, J. 2014. Non-stationary seabird responses reveal shifting ENSO dynamics in the northeast Pacific. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 499: 249–258.

Schwartzlose, R. A., Alheit, J., Bakun, A., Baumgartner, T. R., Cloete, R., Crawford, R. J. M., Fletcher, W. J., *et al.* 1999. Worldwide large-scale fluctuations of sardine and anchovy populations. South African Journal of Marine Science, 21: 289–347.

Sen, A., and Srivastava, M. 1990. Regression Analysis: theory, methods and applications. Springer-Verlag, New York, US. 347 pp. http://www.springer.com/medicine/book/978-0-387-97211-4 (Accessed 25 February 2015).

STECF. 2013. Assessment of Mediterranean Sea stocks part II (STECF-14-08). Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

Stenseth, N. C., Ottersen, G., Hurrell, J. W., Mysterud, A., Lima, M., Chan, K.-S., Yoccoz, N. G., *et al.* 2003. Review article. Studying climate effects on ecology through the use of climate indices: the North Atlantic Oscillation, El Niño Southern Oscillation and beyond. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 270: 2087–2096.

Thurstan, R. H., Brockington, S., and Roberts, C. M. 2010. The effects of 118 years of industrial fishing on UK bottom trawl fisheries. Nature Communications, 1: 15.

Van Beveren, E., Bonhommeau, S., Fromentin, J.-M., Bigot, J.-L., Bourdeix, J.-H., Brosset, P., Roos, D., *et al.* 2014. Rapid changes in growth, condition, size and age of small pelagic fish in the Mediterranean. Marine Biology, 161: 1809–1822.

Wood, S. 2014. mgcv: Mixed GAM Computation Vehicle with GCV/AIC/REML smoothness estimation. http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mgcv/index.html (Accessed 25 February 2015).

Zeileis, A., Leisch, F., Hornik, K., Kleiber, C., and Hansen, B. 2013. strucchange: Testing, Monitoring, and Dating Structural Changes. http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/strucchange/index.html (Accessed 25 February 2015).

Tables

Table 1. Results of the GAM models, per species and for two series (with and without Marseille). For every retained linear term, the estimate (mean) is given with its standard error (s.e.) and the corresponding t- and p-value. For the smoothed (s) year effect, the effective degrees of freedom (edf), the estimated smoothing parameter (λ), the F-statistic and the p-value are shown. P-values were categorised into high (p<0.001,***), intermediate (0.01<p<0.001,**) or low significance (0.05<p<0.01,*).

Sardine								
	With Marseille				*Without Marseille*			
	Estimate	s.e.	t	p	Estimate	s.e.	t	p
Intercept	7.98	7.09×10^{-2}	112.45	***	7.56	7.31×10^{-2}	103.44	***
AMO	0.13	5.28×10^{-2}	2.46	*	0.14	6.35×10^{-2}	2.19	*
$Sard_{(t-1)}$	4.74×10^{-5}	1.19×10^{-5}	3.98	***	1.02×10^{-4}	1.45×10^{-5}	7.05	***
	edf	λ	F	p	edf	λ	F	p
s(year)	8.23	8.84	8.84	***	8.23	8.84	8.84	***
Anchovy								
	With Marseille				*Without Marseille*			
	Estimate	s.e.	t	p	Estimate	s.e.	t	p
Intercept	5.79	1.05×10^{-1}	56.02	***	5.97	1.14×10^{-1}	56.017	***
WeMO	7.77×10^{-2}	3.06×10^{-2}	2.54	*	6.21×10^{-2}	3.04×10^{-2}	2.54	*
AMO	-4.24×10^{-1}	1.24×10^{-1}	-3.41	***	-3.60×10^{-1}	1.22×10^{-1}	-3.41	***
$Anch_{(t-1)}$	2.25×10^{-4}	7.23×10^{-5}	3.11	**	2.35×10^{-4}	6.37×10^{-5}	3.11	**
	edf	λ	F	p	edf	λ	F	p
s(year)	6.09	7.28	13.49	***	6.35	7.52	14.57	***
Mackerel								
	With Marseille				*Without Marseille*			
	Estimate	s.e.	t	p	Estimate	s.e.	t-value	p
Intercept	5.55	2.09	0.27	***	-0.77	1.89×10^{-2}	-0.41	***
$Mack_{(t-1)}$	8.69×10^{-4}	1.21×10^{-4}	7.19	***	9.44×10^{-4}	1.30×10^{-4}	7.28	***
year	2.75×10^{-3}	1.10×10^{-3}	2.50	*	3.35×10^{-3}	9.96×10^{-4}	3.37	***

Figures



Fig. 1



anchovy
mackerel
sardine
1871: end Italian fishing vessels
1900: adopt Italian techniques
1914-1918: WWI
1918-1939: motorisation
after WWII: freezing
1941: lamparo's
1962: ultrasound sonars
1970: start decrease n° lamparo's
1971: n° fish licenses decreased
1974: trawling diversification
1975: twin trawl
1977: trawling regulations
1986: entry Spain EU
1989: max n° spanish lamparo's
Events
MA
NA
NI/TL/MT
P-V
ST
Total
Landings (tonnes)
Year

Fig. 2


anchovy
87%
mackerel
61%
sardine
90%
SST
46%
NAO
21%
WeMO
28%
debit
24%
AMO
68%
Period (years)
Year
Power
0.05

Fig. 3



Sète
Port−Vendres
NI/TL/MT
Marseille
anchovy
mackerel
sardine
Subregion
1900
1950
2000
Year
Environmental factor
WeMO
SST
NAO
debit
AMO
Year

Fig. 4

Legends

Fig. 1 France's Southern coast, subdivided into the subregions applied in this study, named after their chief port. Borders are indicated by pale lines.

Fig. 2 Landings of anchovy, mackerel and sardine per subregion. No data was available for the Second World War (1939-1944) and from 1994 onwards for Marseille. The total series (including Marseille) thus underestimates landings slightly during this period (see text). The upper facet indicates several events that impact fisheries effort. The dotted vertical line indicates 1960, the moment around which an effort increase occurred.

Fig. 3 Wavelet and EVF analyses for each of the normalised landings and environmental time-series. The main panels are the wavelet power spectrum. Power values range from blue (low) to grey (high). In the printed black and white version of this paper the color range goes from dark grey (low), to white and grey again (high). The black striped line forming a cone delimits the region not influenced by edge effects. Continuous black lines show 5% significant areas. The top panel represents the standardised data time series (in red, or grey in the printed black and white version) as well as the EVF analysis of this series (in black). The right panel is the global spectrum. The percentage on the top right represents the percentage of deviance explained by the EVF. All series begin in 1865, except the Rhône (1920).

Fig. 4 Breakpoint analyses of the 12 landings series (per subregion and per species, top) and the 5 environmental factors (bottom). Horizontal lines indicate the confidence interval around the detected breakpoint.

ICES JOURNAL OF MARINE SCIENCE

The fisheries history of small pelagics in the Northern Mediterranean.

Elisabeth Van Beveren, Jean-Marc Fromentin, Tristan Rouyer, Sylvain Bonhommeau, Pablo Brosset, Claire Saraux

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: APPENDIX S1

Appendix S1 Description of the environmental time series.

The time series of the winter (December-March) North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index was downloaded from the NCAR (climatedataguide.ucar.edu). This annual station-based index is based on the difference of normalized sea level pressure between Lisbon (Portugal) and Reykjavik (Iceland), whereby the positive phase corresponds to a wetter and milder weather over Western Europe. The winter instead of summer NAO was used, because of its stronger control on the climate of the Northern Hemisphere and its more intense interdecadal variability. The more regional Western Mediterranean Oscillation (WeMO) was obtained from the Group of Climatology from the University of Barcelona (www.ub.edu/gc/English/wemo.htm). This index is defined as the standardized sea level pressure difference between the North of Italy and the Southwest of Spain (Martin-Vide and Lopez-Bustins, 2006). When negative, humid easterly winds cause heavy rainfall on the eastern coast of the Iberian Peninsula. When positive, dry winds are blowing from the West. Monthly values were averaged only for the winter months (December to March to match with the NAO index) to obtain the winter WeMO index, which should for example reflect more pronouncedly the Mediterranean rainfall than the annual index. The WeMO could also reflect local conditions in the NW Mediterranean (SST, salinity, etc.) better than the NAO index (Martín et al., 2012; Martin-Vide and Lopez-Bustins, 2006). A third index is the AMO (Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation), which is the detrended weighted average of the SST over the North Atlantic (0-70°N). It exhibits positive (warm) and negative (cool) phases, with a difference around 0.5°C (Alexander et al., 2014). We extracted the data from the Earth System Research Laboratory (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/timeseries/AMO/). For SST, multiple long-term datasets are available, that are based on the ICOADS (International Comprehensive Ocean-Atmosphere Data Set) v2.5 data set (HADISST, HADSST3 and ERSST.v3b). We selected ERSST.v3b, as complete data was present for the region from 1865 onwards on a 2°x2° grid. The Northwest Mediterranean (40-44°N, 1-7°W) was selected rather than the Gulf of Lions, to avoid errors due to the statistical interpolation of the temperature data. The series showed good correspondence with satellite data for the Gulf of Lions available during the last years (R^2=0.72, $p<0.01$). Monthly data was downloaded from NOAA (http://www1.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/cmb/ersst/v3b/netcdf/) and averaged per year. Although multiple rivers discharge into the Gulf of Lions, the Rhône is by far the most important one, as its flow is more than 10 times higher than the one of the other foremost rivers together (Aude, Hérault, Orb). Its yearly flow rate was received from the CNR (Compagnie Nationale du Rhône, www.en.cnr.tm.fr). Measurements are from Beaucaire, a station close to the river mouth.

Martín, P., Sabatés, A., Lloret, J., and Martin-Vide, J. 2012. Climate modulation of fish populations: the role of the Western Mediterranean Oscillation (WeMO) in sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*) and anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) production in the north-western Mediterranean. Climatic Change, 110: 925–939.

Martin-Vide, J., and Lopez-Bustins, J.-A. 2006. The Western Mediterranean Oscillation and rainfall in the

Iberian Peninsula. International Journal of Climatology, 26: 1455–1475.

ICES JOURNAL OF MARINE SCIENCE

The fisheries history of small pelagics in the Northern Mediterranean.

Elisabeth Van Beveren, Jean-Marc Fromentin, Tristan Rouyer, Sylvain Bonhommeau, Pablo Brosset, Claire Saraux

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: TABLE S1

Table S1 Linear correlation between annual environmental values (1865-2013).

	SST	NAO	WeMO	AMO	debit
SST	1.00				
NAO	-0.04	1.00			
WeMO	-0.10	-0.01	1.00		
AMO	0.21*	-0.28**	0.08	1.00	
debit	-0.31**	-0.33**	0.44**	0.14	1.00

*Significant at $p < 0.05$; **significant at $p < 0.01$

ICES JOURNAL OF MARINE SCIENCE

The fisheries history of small pelagics in the Northern Mediterranean.

Elisabeth Van Beveren, Jean-Marc Fromentin, Tristan Rouyer, Sylvain Bonhommeau, Pablo Brosset, Claire Saraux

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: TABLE S2

Table S2 The candidate models (GAMs) for each response variable (landings of sardine, anchovy and mackerel), with both the results for the time series with and without Marseille (ending respectively in 1993 and 2013) and including or excluding the Rhône debit (beginning respectively in 1920 and 1865). The table shows the effective degrees of freedom (edf), deviance (dev.), AIC (Aikake Information Criterion) and ΔAIC (the difference between the AIC of the corresponding model and the AIC of the best model). The variable "landings$_{t-1}$" is the one year lagged response variable, which was included to remove residual autocorrelation. For mackerel, no edf is given because no smoother was used on the year effect. The best model for each case is indicated in red.

Without the Rhône outflow (1865-...)

y	Model	edf	dev.	AIC	ΔAIC
		With Marseille			
Sard.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	8.30	7.41	2017.62	3.49
	WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	8.32	7.40	2015.57	1.44
	AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	8.33	7.47	2014.80	0.67
	AMO+landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	8.35	7.55	2014.13	0
Anch.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	6.12	44.01	1672.96	0.54
	NAO+WeMO+AMO+ landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	6.00	44.83	1673.02	0.60
	WeMO+AMO+ landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	6.09	45.26	1672.42	0
Mack.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + year		20.45	1676.80	6.43
	WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + year		20.48	1674.96	4.59
	WeMO+ SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + year		20.50	1673.07	2.70
	SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + year		20.57	1671.54	1.17
	landings$_{t-1}$ + year		20.71	1670.37	0
		Without Marseille			
Sard.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	8.16	15.08	2363.87	5.95
	WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	8.19	15.08	2361.83	3.91
	AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	8.21	15.07	2359.83	1.91
	AMO+landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	8.23	15.08	2357.92	0
Anch.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	6.34	62.92	2049.97	3.49
	NAO+WeMO+AMO+ landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	6.32	63.08	2048.29	1.81
	WeMO+AMO+ landings$_{t-1}$ + s(year)	6.36	63.12	2046.48	0
Mack.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + year		26.66	1922.17	3.17
	WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + year		26.79	1920.88	1.88
	WeMO+ SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + year		26.90	1919.46	0.46
	SST+landings$_{t-1}$ + year		27.25	1919.33	0.33
	landings$_{t-1}$ + year		27.56	1919.00	0

y	Model	edf	dev.	AIC	ΔAIC
		With Marseille			
Sard.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	6.96	3.26	1177.84	2.41
	WeMO+AMO+SST+Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.12	3.22	1175.43	0
	WeMO+AMO +Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.03	3.33	1175.39	-0.04
	AMO+ Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.00	3.47	1176.24	0.81
	AMO+ landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.06	3.53	1175.43	0
Anch.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.91	21.58	951.89	5.27
	WeMO+AMO+SST+Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.92	21.58	949.91	3.29
	WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.89	22.05	949.34	2.72
	WeMO+AMO+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.00	22.00	947.42	0.80
	WeMO+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.09	22.33	946.62	0
	landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.06	23.76	948.99	2.37
	s(year)	8.08	24.42	948.98	2.36
Mack.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		12.57	964.17	9.18
	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+ landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		12.57	962.17	7.18
	NAO+WeMO+SST+ landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		12.58	960.18	5.19
	WeMO+ SST+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		12.59	958.27	3.28
	WeMO+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		12.62	956.43	1.44
	landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		12.73	954.99	0
		Without Marseille			
Sard.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.88	7.75	1532.43	9.04
	NAO+WeMO+AMO+Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.90	7.75	1530.48	7.09
	NAO+ AMO+Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.91	7.76	1528.67	5.28
	NAO+ AMO+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	7.86	7.85	1527.58	4.19
	NAO+ landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.01	7.78	1525.19	1.80
	landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.16	7.78	1523.39	0
Anch.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.35	33.31	1310.74	7.07
	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+ landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.35	33.31	1308.74	5.07
	NAO+WeMO+AMO+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.38	33.33	1306.85	3.18
	WeMO+AMO+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.38	33.34	1304.89	1.22
	WeMO+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.47	33.48	1303.46	-0.21
	landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)	8.45	34.51	1304.23	0.56
	s(year)	8.52	35.00	1303.67	0
Mack.	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+Rhône+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		15.50	1225.09	8.00
	NAO+WeMO+AMO+SST+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		15.50	1223.10	6.01
	NAO+WeMO+AMO+ landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		15.50	1221.50	4.41
	WeMO+AMO+ landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		15.50	1219.13	2.04
	AMO+landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		15.52	1217.20	0.11
	landings$_{t-1}$+s(year)		15.85	1217.09	0

With the Rhône outflow (1920-...)

ICES JOURNAL OF MARINE SCIENCE

The fisheries history of small pelagics in the Northern Mediterranean.

Elisabeth Van Beveren, Jean-Marc Fromentin, Tristan Rouyer, Sylvain Bonhommeau, Pablo Brosset, Claire Saraux

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: TABLE S2

Table S2 Results of the GAM models, per species and for two periods; before (1865-1960) and after (1963-2013) the 1960s landings increase. Models for the last period were run on both the dataset with and without Marseille. For every retained linear term, the estimate (mean) is given with its standard error (s.e.) and the corresponding t- and p-value. For the smoothed (s) year effect, the effective degrees of freedom (edf), the estimated smoothing parameter (λ), the F-statistic and the p-value are shown. P-values were categorised into high (p<0.001,***), intermediate (0.01<p<0.001,**), low (0.05<p<0.01,*) or close to (0.05<p<0.10, .) significance.

Early (1865-1960)								
Sardine								
	Estimate	s.e.	t	p				
Intercept	7.31	$8.63x10^{-2}$	84.65	***				
Sard$_{(t-1)}$	$1.81x10^{-4}$	$3.52x10^{-5}$	5.13	***				
	edf	λ	F	p				
s(year)	8.47	6.59	2.26	*				
Anchovy								
	Estimate	s.e.	t	p				
Intercept	4.79	0.16	30.47	***				
AMO	-0.45	0.16	-8.90	**				
Anch$_{(t-1)}$	$2.05x10^{-3}$	$4.69x10^{-4}$	4.36	***				
	edf	λ	F	p				
s(year)	2.65	3.32	4.80	**				
Mackerel								
	Estimate	s.e.	t	p				
Intercept	5.54	0.11	51.53	***				
Mack$_{(t-1)}$	$8.69x10^{-4}$	$1.21x10^{-4}$	7.19	***				
Late (1963-2013)								
Sardine								
	With Marseille				*Without Marseille*			
	Estimate	s.e.	t	p	Estimate	s.e.	t	p
Intercept	9.51	$1.93x10^{-1}$	49.32	***	8.50	$1.72x10^{-1}$	49.30	***
Sard$_{(t-1)}$	$7.32x10^{-6}$	$1.25x10^{-5}$	0.58		$5.48x10^{-5}$	$1.71x10^{-5}$	3.20	**
	edf	λ	F	p	edf	λ	F	p
s(year)	5.25	6.42	1.73		7.19	8.23	14.71	***
Anchovy								
	With Marseille				*Without Marseille*			
	Estimate	s.e.	t	p	Estimate	s.e.	t	p
Intercept	7.42	$2.13x10^{-1}$	34.79	***	7.43	$1.63x10^{-1}$	45.68	***
WeMO	$1.08x10^{-1}$	$4.00x10^{-2}$	2.54	*	$6.62x10^{-2}$	$3.01x10^{-2}$	2.20	*
AMO	$3.24x10^{-1}$	$1.85x10^{-1}$	1.76	.	/	/	/	/
Anch$_{(t-1)}$	$1.69x10^{-4}$	$5.01x10^{-5}$	3.38	**	$1.42x10^{-4}$	$4.53x10^{-5}$	3.13	**
	edf	λ	F	p	edf	λ	F	p
s(year)	4.48	5.43	4.52	**	5.20	6.33	3.95	**
Mackerel								
	With Marseille				*Without Marseille*			
	Estimate	s.e.	t	p	Estimate	s.e.	t-value	p
Intercept	6.33	0.18	34.29	***	6.15	$1.40x10^{-1}$	43.82	***
Mack$_{(t-1)}$	$5.57x10^{-4}$	$1.80x10^{-4}$	3.10	**	$6.64x10^{-4}$	$1.55x10^{-4}$	4.82	***

ICES JOURNAL OF MARINE SCIENCE

The fisheries history of small pelagics in the Northern Mediterranean.

Elisabeth Van Beveren, Jean-Marc Fromentin, Tristan Rouyer, Sylvain Bonhommeau, Pablo Brosset, Claire Saraux

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: FIGURE S1



Fig. S1 Per species comparison of the landings data (1950-2013) from FAO and this study (summing all subregions and putting zero for Marseille after 1993). The striped line indicates 1993, after which the data from this study was expected to be slightly underestimated. The Pearson correlation coefficient for the whole series is indicated.

ICES JOURNAL OF MARINE SCIENCE

The fisheries history of small pelagics in the Northern Mediterranean.

Elisabeth Van Beveren, Jean-Marc Fromentin, Tristan Rouyer, Sylvain Bonhommeau, Pablo Brosset, Claire Saraux

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: FIGURE S2



Fig. S2 Residual and observed vs. predicted plots of the GAM models for sardine and anchovy, with and without Marseille.

ICES JOURNAL OF MARINE SCIENCE

The fisheries history of small pelagics in the Northern Mediterranean.

Elisabeth Van Beveren, Jean-Marc Fromentin, Tristan Rouyer, Sylvain Bonhommeau, Pablo Brosset, Claire Saraux

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: FIGURE S3



Fig. S3 Effect of year on sardine and anchovy landings, with or without Marseille in a GAM model. The cubic smoother (solid line) is given with its 95% CI (shaded area).

ICES JOURNAL OF MARINE SCIENCE

The fisheries history of small pelagics in the Northern Mediterranean.

Elisabeth Van Beveren, Jean-Marc Fromentin, Tristan Rouyer, Sylvain Bonhommeau, Pablo Brosset, Claire Saraux

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL: FIGURE S4



Fig. S4 Mediterranean landings of European sardine, European anchovy and Atlantic mackerel by country (only the 9 most important were considered, that had the highest landings and a complete time series). Data are from the FAO (FAO-FIGIS, 2015), even for France. Black dots indicate FAO-estimated values. Former Yugoslavia is the sum of the landings from Croatia, Slovenia, Montenegro, Serbia and Montenegro (1992-2013) and Yugoslavia SFR (1950-1991).

FAO-FIGIS. 2015, April 23. FAO - Fisheries and Aquaculture Information and Statistics Service, Global Capture Production dataset. http://www.fao.org/fishery/statistics/global-capture-production/en.