Molecular Ecology Resources May 2009, Volume 9 Issue 3, Pages 960 - 963 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02515.x © 2009 Wiley Blackwell Publishing, Inc.

The definitive version is available at www.blackwell-synergy.com

Characterization of 27 microsatellite loci in the European flat oyster Ostrea edulis

Delphine Lallias¹, Ruth Stockdale¹, Pierre Boudry², Andy R. Beaumont¹ and Sylvie Lapègue^{3,*}

¹ School of Ocean Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, LL59 5AB, United Kingdom

² Ifremer, UMR M100 Physiologie et Ecophysiologie des Mollusques Marins, Plouzané, France

³ Ifremer, Laboratoire Génétique et Pathologie, Ronce-les-bains, 17390 La Tremblade, France

*: Corresponding author : Dr Delphine Lallias, Fax: (+44) (0) 1248 716367, email: d.lallias@bangor.ac.uk

Abstract:

The flat oyster Ostrea edulis is native to Europe and populations have been severely depleted by the parasite Bonamia ostreae since the 1980s. Additional genetic markers are required to improve population genetics study and linkage map development for selection for B. ostrea-resistance in this species. Here, we characterized 27 novel microsatellite loci for O. edulis. Number of alleles per locus ranged from 6 to 25 and observed heterozygosity between 0.375 and 1. Null alleles were suggested at a few loci but most loci were in Hardy–Weinberg agreement enabling their reliable use in further population and mapping genetics approaches.

Keywords: microsatellites • Ostrea edulis • oysters • universal tailed-primer labelling

The flat oyster *Ostrea edulis* is native to Europe and is distributed from Norway to Morocco, and in the Mediterranean and Black Seas. The nuclear genetic diversity and geographical structure of wild populations were investigated using allozymes (e.g. Saavedra *et al.* 1995), microsatellites (Launey *et al.* 2002) and 12S-rDNA mitochondrial gene (Diaz-Almela *et al.* 2004). Because of the aquacultural importance of the species, selective breeding (Naciri-Graven *et al.* 1998) and genetic mapping of Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) of resistance to bonamiosis, a parasitic disease that decimated the flat oysters populations in Europe since the 1980's, have been initiated (Lallias *et al.* 2007). A total of 22 microsatellites have previously been published for this species (Naciri *et al.* 1995; Morgan *et al.* 2000; Morgan & Rogers 2001; Sobolewska *et al.* 2001; Launey *et al.* 2002). More microsatellites are required to improve the accuracy of the genetic map and to enhance stock structure studies. Here we report 27 new microsatellites in *O. edulis*.

Genomic DNA was extracted from gill tissue by the chloroform/ isoamylalcohol method and purified with the DNA Clean Up System (Promega). An enriched library was made by ecogenics GmbH (Zurich, Switzerland) from size selected genomic DNA ligated into SAULA/SAULB-linker (Armour et al. 1994) and enriched by magnetic bead selection with biotin-labelled (GT)₁₃ and (CT)₁₃ oligonucleotide repeats (Gautschi et al. 2000). The enriched fragments were ligated into pUC19 cloning vector from Fermentas. Of 758 recombinant colonies screened with fluorescent probes, 179 gave a positive signal after hybridization (58 GT, 121 CT). Plasmids from 133 positive clones were sequenced. We designed primers for 94 microsatellite sequences (PRIMER3; www.genome.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer/primer3 www.cgi). Using 4 ovsters, PCR was firstly optimized for 76 primer pairs. Further optimization was done on 8 oysters. PCR amplifications were conducted in Mastercycle thermal cyclers (Eppendorf) using universal fluorescent-labeled tailed primers (Schuelke 2000, Table 1). Optimized microsatellites were genotyped in 32 O. edulis, 16 from Loch Ryan (Scotland) and 16 from Grevelingen (the Netherlands). Table 1 shows the 27 new polymorphic microsatellites developed using two different protocols. For both protocols, PCR reactions contained 100 ng genomic DNA, 1X GoTaq[®] Flexi Buffer (Promega), 80 µM of dNTP, 0.1 µM of unlabeled reverse primer and 1 U of GoTaq[®] Flexi DNA Polymerase (Promega) in a 15 µI final volume. For Protocol A, PCR reactions contained 2mM MgCl₂, 0.04 µM of unlabeled forward primer with a tail at the 5' end and 0.17 µM of labeled tail. Initial denaturation at 96 °C for 5 min was followed by 30 cycles of 96 °C for 30 s, T_a (Table 1) for 45 s, 72 °C for 45 s; followed by 8 cycles of 96 °C for 30 s, 50 °C (annealing temperature of the universal tailed primer) for 45 s, 72 °C for 45 s; final elongation at 72 °C for 30 min. For Protocol B, PCR reactions contained 1mM MgCl₂, 0.02 µM of unlabeled forward primer with a tail at the 5' end and 0.1 µM of labeled tail. An initial denaturation at 96 °C for 5 min was followed by 12 cycles of 96 °C for 30 s, T_a (Table 1) for 1 min 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min; followed by 30 cycles of 96 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 1 min 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min; final elongation at 72 °C for 30 min. Products were visualized on an ABI 3130x/ Genetic Analyser using 36 cm capillary arrays, with POP7 polymer and GeneScan 500 LIZ size standard (Applied Biosystems).

The number of alleles ranged from 6 to 25, and observed heterozygosity from 0.375 to 1. Exact tests of Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (GENEPOP, Rousset & Raymond 1995) revealed significant heterozygote deficiencies at four microsatellites in the Loch Ryan population and two microsatellites in the Grevelingen population after Bonferroni correction (Narum 2006) (Table 1). MICRO-CHECKER (Van Oosterhout *et al.* 2004) analysis suggested null alleles at seven loci in the Loch Ryan population and three loci in the Grevelingen population (Table 2). Therefore the occurrence of null alleles is the most likely explanation for the heterozygote deficiencies observed in the dataset. Significant linkage disequilibrium was detected for three pairs of loci: *Oed* 177a / *Oed* 315 (P<0.01); *Oed* 199 / *Oed* 331 (P<0.05) and *Oed* 144 / *Oed* 268 (P<0.05) (GENEPOP software).

These new microsatellites will strengthen the genetic linkage map (Lallias et al., 2007) that can facilitate the search for QTL of resistance to bonamiosis, leading to marker assisted selection. They will also have value for population genetics studies, parentage analysis and assessment of genetic variability of wild or farmed populations.

References

Armour JA, Neumann R, Gobert S, Jeffreys AJ (1994) Isolation of human simple repeat loci by hybridization selection. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 3, 599-565.

Diaz-Almela E, Boudry P, Launey S, Bonhomme F, Lapègue S (2004) Reduced female gene flow in the European flat oyster *Ostrea edulis*. *Journal of Heredity*, 95, 510-516.

Gautschi B, Widmer A, Koella J (2000b) Isolation and characterization of microsatellite loci in the dice snake (*Natrix tessellata*). *Molecular Ecology*, 9, 2191-2193.

Lallias D, Beaumont AR, Haley CS *et al.* (2007) A first-generation genetic linkage map of the European flat oyster *Ostrea edulis* (L.) based on AFLP and microsatellite markers. *Animal Genetics*, 38, 560-568.

Launey S, Ledu C, Boudry P, Bonhomme F, Naciri-Graven Y (2002) Geographic structure in the European flat oyster (*Ostrea edulis* L.) as revealed by Microsatellite polymorphism. *Journal of Heredity*, 93, 331-351.

Morgan TS, Rogers AD (2001) Specificity and sensitivity of microsatellite markers for the identification of larvae. *Marine Biology*, 139, 967-973.

Morgan TS, Rogers AD, Iyengar A (2000) Novel microsatellite markers for the European oyster *Ostrea edulis. Molecular Ecology*, 9, 495-497.

Naciri Y, Vigouroux Y, Dallas J *et al.* (1995) Identification and inheritance of (GA/TC)n and (AC/GT)n repeats in the European flat oyster *Ostrea edulis* (L.). *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology*, 4, 83-89.

Naciri-Graven Y, Martin AG, Baud JP, Renault T, Gérard A (1998) Selecting the flat oyster *Ostrea edulis* (L) for survival when infected with the parasite *Bonamia ostreae*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 224, 91-107.

Narum SR (2006) Beyond Bonferroni: Less conservative analyses for conservation genetics. *Conservation Genetics*, 7, 783-787.

Rousset F, Raymond M (1995) Testing heterozygote excess and deficiency. *Genetics*, 140, 1413-1419.

Saavedra C, Zapata C, Alvarez G (1995) Geographical patterns of variability at allozyme loci in the European oyster *Ostrea edulis*. *Marine Biology*, 122, 95-104.

Schuelke M (2000) An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. *Nature Biotechnology*, 18, 233-234.

Sobolewska H, Beaumont AR, Hamilton A (2001) Dinucleotide microsatellites isolated from the European flat oyster, *Ostrea edulis. Molecular Ecology Notes*, 1, 79-80.

Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 4, 535-538.

Acknowledgements

We thank the European Regional Development Fund INTERREG IIIB Priority C, project 201 – AAAG2 (Atlantic Arc Aquaculture Group 2) for funding and Niklas Tysklind for technical advice.

Tables

Table 1. Repeat structure, primer sequences, amplification conditions and summary statistics for microsatellite loci developed for *Ostrea edulis*. Four labeled universal tailed primers (Schuelke 2000) were used: FAM (5'-TGT AAA ACG ACG GCC AGT), VIC (5'-GCC GCT CTA GAA CTA GTG), NED (5'-TAG AAG GCA CAG TCG AGG) and PET (5'-GCA GGA AAC AGC TAT GAC). n: number of successfully genotyped samples; T_a : annealing temperature; Protocol: see text; n_a : number of alleles; H_o and H_e : observed and expected heterozygosity; P: P-values of fit to Hardy-Weinberg , Bonferroni adjusted significant P-values (<0.01285) in bold. 32 oysters were scored: 16 from Loch Ryan (upper row), 16 from Grevelingen (lower row).

Locus (n)	GenBank	Repeat array	Label	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Size	Ta	Protoc	n _a	H₀	H _e	Р
	Accession				range	(°C)	ol				
	no.				(bp)						
Oed 144	JF236811	(GT) ₅ (AT) ₆ (GT) ₂₂	FAM	F: GTCGTTGAAAGTGCCTGGAT	126-	60	^	20	0.875	0.950	0.105
(32)				R: ACAATAAATCTGTAGCAAATTTAGT	180	63	A	20	1.000	0.936	0.882
Oed 149	JF236812	(GT) ₃ GC(GT) ₂ T	PET	F: CCATGAACAGCTAAAAAGTGATG	138-	65		8	0.563	0.790	0.068
(32)		$(GT)_9(GC)_4(GT)_3$		R: TTGGTCTCTACCCAGAGTTATCG	154	60	D		0.875	0.845	0.940
Oed 165	JF236813	(AG) ₂₉	VIC	F: CCGTGTTGGTCCAAACTCTT	171-	65	р	12	0.571	0.852	0.017
(30)				R: GCGCGCATCAATTCTTTAT	207	05	D	13	0.438	0.825	0.000
Oed 174	JF236814	(CT) ₁₄	VIC	F: AAGGAGACGAAATTTTAAAGCA	241-	60	^	10	0.533	0.876	0.005
(29)				R: GCAGGGAATTATTTTGAAAGCA	273	60	A	13	0.429	0.839	0.006
Oed	JF236815	(AG) ₁₇	FAM	F: TGCAAGATTAAAAGGCAGCA	170-	<u></u>	^	13	1.000	0.879	0.930
177a (32)		· · ·		R: TCTGCACCTAATAGACTGTTCTGA	194	60	А		0.875	0.887	0.682
Oed 180	JF236816	(AG) ₂₂	NED	F: GCGACTGTTAAAAGCCACAT	186-	EO	А	17	0.875	0.909	0.664
(32)		· · ·		R: TGATGAATCGATTAAGAAGTAAAAACA	230	90			0.938	0.927	0.688
Oed 181	JF236817	(AT) ₆ (AG) ₃₁	NED	F: TGGTCAGCTGAAACTGTTCAA	176-	<u></u>	^	17	0.938	0.936	0.268
(32)				R: CAAGGCCTTTTCAATAATGTACTGT	222	63	A		0.750	0.917	0.032
Oed 199	JF236818	(CT) ₂₈	FAM	F: TTCGGGTCAAATAACGCAAG	184-	<u></u>		04	1.000	0.947	0.847
(31)				R: TGCCCGACTATGTCTTAGCA	250	60	A	21	0.875	0.913	0.624
Oed	JF236819	(AG) ₂₇	VIC	F: AAATTCAAATCACCGGAGGA	233-	62	Б	16	0.812	0.921	0.147
202a (32)		· · ·		R: TCCTCCCTGAATATCTGTCCA	269	03	D		0.938	0.837	0.908
Oed	JF236819	(AG) ₂₂	FAM	F: GCGGGTATTACATTAGCAATCC	232-	<u></u>	^	45	0.875	0.913	0.860
202b (32)		· · ·		R: TTGTACATGGAAGTAGGACAGTCA	270	63	A	15	0.875	0.893	0.551
Oed	JF236820	(CT) ₁₉ TT(CT) ₆	NED	F: TCTACAGCCAGGCACATCAG	188-	<u></u>	^	10	0.875	0.919	0.446
212a (31)				R: CGTCCAGTCCTCCAGAGAAT	246	60	А	19	0.800	0.821	0.297
Oed	JF236820	(GA) ₂₁	VIC	F: TTGAAATGCCGATGTCTGTC	206-	FF	А	15	0.875	0.925	0.063
212b (32)		· · · ·		R: TGCCTCTTTGTAAAGTCTTTGTATATT	244	55			0.938	0.887	0.967
Oed 219	JF236821	(TC) ₁₀ T(TC) ₁₅	PET	F: CTCCACATTCCTCAGCAAGAG	187-	<u></u>	В	47	1.000	0.923	0.465
(32)				R: CAAAAGCAAAAGTTTGAAAAACAA	239	60		17	0.688	0.825	0.040
Oed 234	JF236822	(CA) ₁₈	NED	F: GTTGAAACTTTAACTTCCGATTATTT	221-	05		10	0.938	0.923	0.965
(30)		()		R: TCAAACGAGACGTTAAGCAAGA	279	65	А	19	0.786	0.773	0.484
Oed 240	JF236823	(GA) ₃₀	NED	F: GACTTACATAAGCAAACTCTT	137-	<u></u>	_	13	0.933	0.926	0.657
(31)		()==		R: ACTGGGCGGTCACCACCTTGGGCC	165	63	A		0.750	0.810	0.743
Oed 243	JF236824	(AG) ₂₁	PET	F: GCCGCGAGCTGTAATCATA	243-	00			0.750	0.887	0.075
(29)		× ,21		R: CGGCTGACCGCTATATTTGT	273	60	A	14	0.692	0.861	0.046
Oed 258	JF236825	(AC) ₁₁	PET	F: AGTCTGCGTTGCAGATTAGTG	222-	00	63 A	7	0.688	0.792	0.499
(30)				R: TAGGGTGTGGTTGGGTTTTC	240	63			0.500	0.688	0.019

Oed 268	JF236826	(AG) ₁₈ (AG) ₁₅	VIC	F: TGACGCAAGGTTACCATTCA	134-	<u></u>	•	25	0.938	0.948	0.770
(32)				R: ATTCACGCATGAGAGTCGTG	260	63	А	25	0.938	0.958	0.260
Oed 269	JF236827	(TC) ₅ (TG) ₁₄ G(TG)	FAM	F: GGGATTGAGCGCAGTAAAGA	190-	60		0	0.375	0.617	0.009
(32)		5		R: ATTTTCGGACGGAACGTTTA	226	00	A	9	0.750	0.748	0.449
Oed 273	JF236828	(GA) ₁₃	NED	F: CGCCTAACGTCTAGGTTTGC	205-	60		6	0.688	0.736	0.014
(32)				R: TGCATCTGGAATAAACTTGTCA	223	00	A	0	0.500	0.613	0.693
Oed 315	JF236829	(CT) ₂₁	NED	F: TCTAACCTTCAATTGCTTGCTG	209-	62	^	16	0.688	0.897	0.082
(32)				R: TGGTTGGCGTAGGTTTGAAT	255	03	A		0.937	0.881	0.925
Oed 319	JF236830	(AG) ₂₁	VIC	F: CAAGTAGTTGCGGCCAGATT	209-	65	D	10	0.875	0.933	0.584
(32)				R: TTCATCGTTGTACACGTAGAATAAA	259	05	Б 19	19	0.938	0.952	0.721
Oed 321	JF236831	(GA) ₂₃	FAM	F: GGACGAGAAATGGTGCTTTC	195-	60	10	16	0.875	0.929	0.673
(32)				R: CGAAATTCGGAATGTGGATAA	235	00	A 10		0.875	0.929	0.026
Oed 325	JF236832	(CT) ₂₇	VIC	F: GAGACCTTGATTCGAAACTTCTTT	154-	62	٨	16	0.750	0.919	0.052
(30)				R: CACGACATATCTAGCACTTTTCA	188	03	A		1.000	0.923	0.678
Oed 327	JF236833	(TC) ₂₆	FAM	F: CCGTTAGCCCCATCAGATAA	165-	63	Δ	15	0.687	0.881	0.006
(32)				R: TGGGGTGTAAAGTAATCTTCCAG	195	03	A 15		0.938	0.929	0.441
Oed	JF236834	(GA) ₁₁ GC(GA) ₇	NED	F: AGAGATTTAGGGGCCACACC	210-	62	^	15	0.937	0.917	0.583
328b (32)				R: CACTTTGGGATGTTGAGTGTTG	240	03	A 15		0.813	0.921	0.085
Oed 331	JF236835	(GA) ₂₇	VIC	F: TTGCATTTTAGCCGCGTTAT	224-	65	В	15	0.467	0.926	0.000
(31)				R: GCCAGGGCTAGTAGGAATGC	268	00		15	0.563	0.859	0.025

Table 2. Estimations of null allele frequencies (MICRO-CHECKER, Van Oosterhout *et al.* 2004) at microsatellite loci in *O. edulis* from Loch Ryan and Grevelingen.

Locus	Loch Ryan	Grevelingen
Oed 149	0.133	-
<i>Oed</i> 165	0.147	0.224
Oed 174	0.192	0.221
<i>Oed</i> 269	0.195	-
Oed 315	0.104	-
Oed 327	0.101	-
Oed 331	0.234	0.161