Estimation of age, growth and fishing season of a Paleolithic population of grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*) using scale analysis
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Abstract :

The fish remains sampled from archaeological sites are generally the result of human food refuse; therefore, the study of retrieved fish scales may provide reliable information on the season of capture and on paleoclimate and paleoenvironmental changes. Among Western European freshwater fishes, the grayling, *Thymallus thymallus*, has the most easily recognizable scales and is a commonly recovered species from Paleolithic contexts. This study, therefore, is based on the growth pattern analysis of modern and archaeological scales from grayling specimens. The modern specimens were collected monthly in France (n = 22), Switzerland (n = 16), Finland (n = 20) and Sweden (n = 10). Scale growth patterns were measured using numerical analysis to a high accuracy. The results showed that the archaeological population of grayling is closer to the present Finnish population. Furthermore, the comparison of seasonal growth patterns between current and archaeological European populations, demonstrated that graylings were normally captured during the spring at Le Taillis des Coteaux Magdalenian site. This type of approach can be used to understand environmental conditions at a low spatial scale, and also to help identify fishing seasons during archaeological periods.
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Highlights
Sclerochronological analysis of fish scales.
We used a modern referential alongside archaeological scales from the Magdalenian period.
We demonstrated the usefulness of using scales.
We developed a model enabling the estimation of the seasonality of grayling capture.
We evidenced that spring was the most productive period for catching grayling at Le Taillis des Coteaux cave.
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1. Introduction
In Western European Late Pleistocene sites fish remains are abundant, especially from the Magdalenian period (e.g. Cleyet-Merle, 1990; Le Gall, 1999), and they have proved to be extremely useful in the study of human occupation seasonality. These archaeological studies use the growth patterns of calcified structures (vertebrae, scales and otoliths) to estimate the season of capture and infer sequential or seasonal activities (Van Neer *et al*., 1999). The growth increment studies of fish remains from archaeological sites are usually based on vertebrae (Desse & Desse-Berset, 1993) or otoliths (Smith, 1983; Hales & Reitz, 1992; Bolle *et al*., 2004), and generally focus on seasonality estimation (Desse & Desse-Berset, 1993; Van Neer *et al*., 1993) to provide an overview of subsistence resources throughout the yearly occupation of prehistoric sites (Casteel, 1972; Wheeler & Jones, 1989; Van Neer *et al*., 1999). Growth rate analysis is less frequently performed (Hales & Reitz, 1992). The use of these calcified structures to estimate the period of the archaeological fish catch raises significant conservation issues related to global warming because temperature is a crucial parameter for fish survival (Wood & McDonald, 1997; Buisson *et al*., 2008). They raise also methodological difficulties such as the representativeness of samples, the challenges related to growth pattern identification and the absence of a complete baseline and individual variability (Wheeler & Jones, 1989; Van Neer *et al*., 2004). For the Magdalenian period, salmonids are the most frequently recorded species from archaeological assemblages (Le Gall, 1999, 2008). Today, salmonids are an important group of both freshwater and anadromous fish distributed throughout the Holarctic region (Sanford, 2000). One of the lesser-studied species is the European grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*). For this reason, we
chose to use it as the focus of our study, using scalimetry, in order to address important questions in both archaeology and biogeography. European graylings are distributed throughout a large part of the European continent, and are naturally present along the coast of western Wales and throughout Europe to the White Sea, Russia (Broughton, 1989; Kottelat & Freyhof, 2007; Persat, 2011). However, during the last few decades, many factors such as water-flow regulation, pollution, destruction of natural habitats, predation and overfishing have contributed to a decrease in local population sizes throughout the European distribution range (Persat, 1996). This species is restricted to freshwater, unlike the arctic grayling, *Thymallus arcticus*, which can develop an anadromous strategy (Northcote, 1995). The ecological needs for grayling include good quality, cool, well-oxygenated water with a maximum temperature of 20°C (Kraiem & Pattee, 1980); it also prefers to inhabit riffles in large rivers (Persat, 2011). Unlike other salmonids, graylings spawn in rivers at the beginning of spring, have a high growth rate (Persat, 2011), mature sexually in their third spring (3 years old) and are iteroparous (Persat, 2011). This study is the first sclerochronological analysis of grayling archaeological scales using scale reading, or scalimetry, which is a reliable tool for estimating age and growth in grayling (Baglinière & Le Louarn, 1987). It aims to better define the fishing season of the grayling and consequently the period of human activities, and to obtain data of grayling growth during the Magdalenian by comparing archaeological and modern samples of grayling scales.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Modern reference data

Most of the material studied came from collections gathered between 1996 and 2008, across various geographical areas. A total of 68 wild grayling were collected from four European countries representing distinct climatic conditions (cold and temperate zones): France (*n* = 22), Switzerland (*n* = 16), Finland (*n* = 20) and Sweden (*n* = 10). The fish were caught in twelve localities (Pranlac in France; Saint-Bartélemy, Vallée de Joux and Eclagnens in Switzerland; Vaskojoki, Rommaeno, Näättämö and Juutua in Finland; and Kaitum, Vindelälven, Tjulander, Märsängen in Sweden) (fig.1). All individuals were measured according to their total length (TL ± 1 mm). The fishing data (sampling date and area) were recorded. The mass (W ± 1 g) was only taken for the Finnish and Swedish specimens. Three scales were selected from each specimen and only the clearest ones were analyzed.
2.2. Archaeological material

Le Taillis des Coteaux (TDC) cave in Antigny (46°37'17"N – 0°46'11"W, France) was discovered in 1998, and has been continuously excavated since 2000 under the direction of Jérôme Primault. This cave is located on the right bank of the Gartempe river, part of the Loire basin. The preserved stratigraphy is exceptional and covers the Upper Paleolithic from the Aurignacian to the Middle Magdalenian (Primault et al., 2007).

The main Magdalenian occupation is evidenced by lithic artefacts and bone remains. Two phases of this culture were documented and dated. For the Lower Magdalenian, radiocarbon ages range from 17 460 ± 110 BP (Ly-6407) to 16 900 ± 100 BP (Ly-6409), and for the Middle Magdalenian between 15 280 ± 90 BP (Ly-6408) and 15140 ± 80 BP (Ly-6410).

During the excavations, the anatomic elements of fish were collected by water-sieving (1.8 mm mesh). Archaeological grayling scales were identified by comparison with the reference collection of the Muséum national d'histoire naturelle (MNHN, Paris). From a total of 9887 fish scales, 5342 (54%) belonged to grayling; however, only complete scales with undamaged margin were selected for further investigation.

All scales came from the Lower Magdalenian levels (IIIa, b, c) of TDC (Guillaud, 2014). Initially, 50 archaeological scales were selected but, after removing incomplete and regenerated scales, only fifteen were considered in a suitable condition for growth rings counting (Fig. 2).

The growth rate of the French Paleolithic grayling was estimated by using back-calculation. Back-calculated lengths to a specific age were obtained, providing the relationship between the fish length and scale size. The length of archaeological grayling was established using the functional regression between total length (TL) and scale radius length.

2.3. Scale images analysis

Growth marks (or annuli) were identified for each scale, and counted to estimate the age of the fish; growth increments were automatically measured continuously from the focus to the distal margin. The scales were then observed under transmitted light with a stereomicroscope equipped with a digital camera. The software program TNPC 7.0 (digital processing of calcified structures, www.TNPC.fr) was used to collect, process and store the
data in a database. Regarding the age of the specimens, scales were independently interpreted by two specialists in order to limit interpretation bias. With regard to the growth rates, back-calculation of fish length was used.

Based on the age estimation and the scale length/fish total length relationship, body sizes at age were back-calculated for archaeological individuals assuming proportional constant growth between the scale and body of the fish (Francis, 1990; Ombredane & Baglinière, 1992; Pierce et al., 1996).

To estimate the periodicity of increment formation, marginal increment analysis (MIA; Beamish & McFarlane, 1983) was carried out on modern scales by calculating the monthly marginal increment (MI) according to the following formula: 

$$MI = (R-r_n)/(r_n-r_{n-1})$$

Where R is the scale radius, \(r_n\) is the distance between the edge and the last growth ring and \(r_{n-1}\) is the distance between the edge and the second last growth ring (Fig 3).

Age and total length data were used to describe the grayling growth using the Von Bertalanffy model (1938, 1960) according to the following formulae (Ricker, 1975):

**Length growth:**

$$TL_t = TL_\infty (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})$$

**Weight growth:**

$$W_t = W_\infty (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)})^b$$

avec \(W_\infty = a TL_\infty^b\)

Where \(TL_t\) and \(W_t\) are the predicted length and weight at age \(t\), \(TL_\infty\) and \(W_\infty\) are asymptotic length and weight respectively. \(K\) is the growth coefficient; \(t_0\) is the theoretical age at zero length, and \(b\) the allometric coefficient.

Due to the limited size of the modern sample, the Von Bertalanffy model was established by combining all the specimens from each of the four countries.

3. Results

3.1. Modern reference samples of scales

The length (TL) of the modern graylings sampled ranged from 145 to 500 mm with a mean length of 360 mm (Standard Deviation = 121). For Finnish specimens, the mean weight was around 849 g (SD = 237) (Tab. 1). The length–weight relationship was described by the equation: 

$$W = 6 \times 10^7 \cdot TL^{3.44}$$

\((n = 20; r^2 = 0.86; p< 0.05)\), showing a significant positive allometric growth \((b = 3.44)\).

Moreover, the total length-scale radius relationship \((TL = 0.061 \cdot R_{143.53})\) showed a significant correlation of the two parameters \((n= 68; r^2= 0.82; p< 0.000)\).
The smallest fish sampled were one year old, from France and Switzerland, while the oldest one (9 years old) came from Finland.

The MIA indicated an active period of growth from March to June. The mean of the distance between the last growth ring and the scale edge increased during the growth season. In June, a slowdown in growth was observed, followed by a new growing phase in July (Fig. 4). The high value in October is explained by the presence of a single 3-year-old Swiss specimen.

The Von Bertalanffy growth model was computed from the lengths and estimated ages of all graylings of each age class of the combined monthly samples. The Von Bertalanffy growth parameters (Fig. 5) were estimated for all 68 modern specimens:

\[ TL_\infty = 544 \text{ mm}; \quad K = 0.19 \text{ yr}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad t_0 = 1.4 \text{ year}. \]

These results were compared to another growth model from Switzerland using 95 modern specimens: \[ TL = 385 \text{ mm}; \quad K = 0.40 \text{ yr}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad t_0 = 21 \text{ hours} \] (Paquet, 2002).

Comparison of the two growth models suggested that graylings from Switzerland (Paquet, 2002) are relatively slow growing. Therefore, because our data came from four European countries, with distinct seasonal variations, the growth of archeological fish was compared to the Swiss model (Paquet, 2002).

### 3.2. Archaeological results

Following the Swiss model, the archaeological grayling population would have grown in a similar way to that of the current cold water population. By comparing our archaeological data with Paquet’s (2002) data, the archaeological grayling showed a growth rate and longevity that corresponded to the modern grayling from cold rivers, such as in Sweden. However, our results remain hypothetical, as they were obtained from a limited number of scales from specimens of disparate ages.

Using the statistical model, the archaeological individuals were calculated as having a TL of between 323 and 405 mm; and were comparable, in size, to the Finnish specimens, with an estimated weight range of between 256 and 558 g. Their age distribution obtained
from the scales, for most of archaeological graylings, ranged from 6 to a maximum of 9 years old. This longevity corresponds with the results of the cold river population.

Comparing the MIA trend of modern scales with the individual MI of the archeological scales, the period of fish death at TDC could be estimated. The majority of scales (n=12) had a relatively large growth zone at the edge, which suggests capture during the first semester of the year (from March to June). However, analysis of three scales showed that some were captured during winter (between September and February). We can conclude, therefore, that at the TDC site, during the Lower Magdalenian, fishing was mainly conducted during the spring (Fig. 6).

This study has generated valuable life history data that informs on the biology of *Thymallus thymallus* by providing validated age and growth parameters. Data suggests that the TDC grayling had a slower growth rate, took longer to reach maturity and had a greater longevity than modern grayling populations currently found in France.

4. Discussion

In agreement with Casteel (1974a, b), it is recognized that numerous elements (mainly scales, otoliths and vertebrae) can be used to reconstruct fish age, size and weight. This study focused solely on the information provided by scales (scalimetry study). The relationship between the scales and the fish’s body size are dependent on a set of factors which include the location of scale removal from the fish’s body, the sex and age of the fish, the environmental conditions and the type of regression model used (Ombredane & Baglinière, 1992). For scalimetric studies, there are two different approaches: the diagonal transect or the anterior transect. Hurley *et al.* (1997) considered that the radius to the edge is easier to interpret than any other radius.

4.1. Methods

Our objective to optimize specific information using the scales was fully achieved using the Von Bertalanffy and marginal increment methods. Our results are extremely encouraging for future studies, and further archaeological applications on TDC material. However, as with all similar approaches, this method is entirely dependent on a sound modern reference collection. Our reference sample needs to be expanded to include more variability and increase its
efficiency and reliability. As a consequence, our results should be analyzed cautiously, as a trend rather than a definitive conclusion.

4.2. Archaeological information

Good preservation of the scales edge allows estimating the season of capture. The analysis of growth rings indicates that graylings were generally captured during the spring period, probably from March to June. This estimate is supported by the study of macro fauna at the TDC site, specifically reindeer. The analysis of incremental growth marks in the cementum of reindeer teeth indicates that they were hunted during the spring/summer season (Gay & Griggo, 2013).

Using back-calculated fish length, the comparison between modern and archaeological grayling showed a contemporary growth reduction that might be related to the effects of human activity (exploitation, habitat and water quality degradation). Analysis of the archaeological samples seems to demonstrate that fishing pressure due to human activity was low during this period.

To compare the development of archaeological and modern graylings, we need to collect more information about the growth seasonal variability during the Magdalenian period, and the thermal evolution of the Gartempe river through time. As cold spells result in the migration of fish to refuge areas (Le Gall, 2008), it is possible that graylings from the Loire basin developed in a specific biotope and did not contribute to the recolonization of north-east Europe. Persat et al. (2016) have already evidenced that the Loire basin graylings all shared a typical genetic profile. One can therefore hypothesize that these archaeological specimens came from a different population than those which recolonized Switzerland and Finland, etc. This may explain the difference in growth patterns between the French archaeological and modern foreign graylings.

The study of archaeological Salmonidae records provided an opportunity to understand climate fluctuations. In north-western Europe, there are several archaeological sites where salmonids have been identified from the Magdalenian period (Fig. 7). Grayling remains are mentioned (Morel & Müller, 1997; Street et al., 2006; Van Neer et al., 2007; Van Neer & Wouters, 2007) from Germany (Andernach 1), Switzerland (Hauterive-Champréveyres 1 & 2, Monruz) and Belgium (Trou de Chaleux, Trou du Frontal, Trou du Sureau, Bois laiterie and Walou cave). In France, this species was discovered at Bois des Brousses (Bazile &
In modern-day France, European graylings have been identified in the Rhine, Allier and Rhône basins (Keith et al., 2011), and have been successfully reintroduced in the Dordogne, Basse-Normandie, Béarn, Côte d’Or and Haute-Marne (Keith et al., 2011). Recently, a population from the Vienne river has been recognized as being potentially native (Persat et al., 2016). Generally, the presence of grayling in the faunal composition, coupled with an absence of cyprinids, indicates that fishing occurred in a specific zone almost exclusively inhabited by salmonids. Since the thermal tolerance of European grayling does not exceed 20°C (Kraiem & Pattee, 1980), cold freshwater rivers offer the most likely picture of central and northern France during the Magdalenian. With regards to the biology of the species identified at TDC, the association of salmonids corresponds to a cool well-oxygenated river with a predominantly gravel-cobble substrate. The fishes’ size, modeled from the archaeological scales, showed individuals which ranged from 323 to 405 mm. Consequently, these results may also be indicative of the fishing techniques employed by local populations: selective line fishing or capture during the spawning season, in shallow waters (Persat, 2011) when the fish were more vulnerable. Consequently, fish scales can also inform as to the technological skills of the Magdalenian groups.

4.3. Evidence for the human use of fish

Fish remains are easily recognizable and have been recovered, often in abundance, from numerous archaeological sites. However, the origins of such assemblages are not always fully understood. The ability to create small fish bone accumulations is restricted to only a small number of predators including raptors, carnivores and humans. In order to recognize the agents responsible for these concentrations, we investigated the taphonomy of fish remains at TDC (Guillaud, 2014). We were able to exclude a contribution by carnivores, but we cannot separate avian from human contributions. During the Paleolithic, fish were caught mainly with harpoons, weirs or nets. Unfortunately, these fishing implements are almost never retrieved due to their organic nature. In the rare cases that they are, they are generally too badly damaged to enable successful interpretation (Cleyet-Merle, 1990). No direct evidence of fishing artefacts was found at the TDC site; though our data, similar to that of Bois-Ragot,
La Garenne and L’Abri Fritsch (Meunier, 2004; Cravinho & Desse-Berset, 2005), reveals that Salmonidae made up the major part of the fish accumulated. Compared to Butler's (1993) studies, we have uneven representations of cranial and post-cranial elements and low skeletal completeness. This feature better corresponds to cultural deposits, but is not sufficient to attest to the human origins of the material. The parietal art also offers evidence that humans interacted with Salmonidae. From among the 89 representations of recognized Salmonidae found throughout the Upper Paleolithic in Europe, two graylings were identified (Citerne, 2003): one at the Chaffaud cave (Savigné, Vienne) and one at the Raymonden rock shelter (Chancelade, Dordogne). Other salmonid representations belonged to salmon (26) and trout (8), with two being clearly attributable to sea trout (Citerne, 2003). These representations, combined with the numerous sites which have produced fish remains, demonstrate that Magdalenians were not only familiar with the aquatic environment, but were also extremely skilled fishermen.

**Conclusion**

Seasonality studies in archaeology also make it possible to obtain information about site occupation. Scale analysis studies allow an overview of the environment to be obtained through the growth rate of fish. However, the use of this method has several limitations; in particular it is affected both by the limited size of the archaeological sample and by the conservation state of the external edge of the scales. In this study, we clearly demonstrated the usefulness of sclerochronology when applied to archaeological fish scales. This method enabled the relationship between the occupancy rate of an archaeological site by humans, and their subsequent fishing activity to be determined. The results of this study can be applied to archaeological investigations of seasonality and fish capture strategies, which can then be used to interpret the role of fish in prehistoric diet and subsistence. In addition, this analysis enables the identification of biological characteristics and the life conditions of fish populations during this period.

As a consequence, we have evidenced that a fine knowledge of the faunal spectrum at TDC Paleolithic site allows to perform better environmental interpretations, specially about fish biology and yearly circle human activity. Hopefully, these results will encourage further studies using sclerochronology on fish scales, when and where they are available, as they have numerous applications and will significantly improve our understanding of fish resource fluctuations through time.
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Figure 1: Site map of sampled current grayling populations: Finland: 1-Näätämö, 2-Vaskojoki, 3-Juutua; Sweden: 4-Rommaeno, 5-Kaitum, 6-Vindelälven, 7-Tjulander, 8-Marsängen; Switzerland: 9-Eclagnens, 10-Vallée de Joux, 11-Saint-Barthélemy; France: 12-Pranlac.
Figure 2: Grayling scale photography: a) modern scale; b) archaeological scale
Figure 3: Description of Thymallus thymallus scale with the localization of the focus, the edge, the growth rings (or annuli) and the scale radii ($r_n$).
Figure 4: Marginal increment analysis and standard deviation performed on all modern specimens.
Figure 5: Von Bertalanffy growth models from the global set and Paquet (2002).
Figure 6: Determination of capture season by comparison with the actual grayling reference model (all countries combined).
Figure 7: Location of sites mentioned in the text. Germany: 1-Andernach 1; Belgium: 2-Walou cave, 3-Trou de Chaleux, 4-Trou du Frontal, 5-Trou du Sureau, 6-Bois laiterie; Switzerland: 7-Hauterive-Champréveyres 1 & 2, 8-Monruz; France: 9-Taillis des Coteaux, 10-Bois Ragot, 11-Bois des brousses; Parietal art: 12-Chaffaud cave, 13-Raymonden rock shelter.