1 Table S3: Model Use and Trade-Off Summary Table

2

The Model Use and Trade-Off Summary Table compiles the information that model developers provided in the Model Characteristics and Performance Evaluation Matrices and the Model Categorization and Descriptors Summary Table. This table notes the presence or absence of particular model characteristics and qualities in an overview form that facilitates comparison across models. There is a row for each model and with the columns indicating model characteristics according to main cover of use and types of use, as well as major trade offs in relation to the use.

9

The columns of the table categorize each model in terms of six major factors. The main uses and 10 11 focus of the model are identified (main coverage of use). The governance body the model is meant to provide and the degree to which advice from the model has been implemented is specified 12 (management advice). It is indicated whether a paper has been published in a peer reviewed journal 13 on the model or only a report or internal agency/department documents exists and whether it has 14 been frequently cited. The age of the model is shown along with the level of model development. 15 16 The latter covers the level of model development, application and implementation. Finally model 17 trade-offs are noted according to whether the model is simple or complex, whether it is specialized or flexible, and whether the model is highly technical and usable only by model developers or it is 18 19 open access and user friendly.

20

21 The specific categories are detailed according to the following:

• Main coverage of use

23 24 Follow a specific policy or data collection program, evaluation of necessary data as trade-off to data costs (i.e., value of information); Single stock assessment / advice /

1

25 management; Multi-Species assessment / advice / management; Ecosystem (or trophic level) assessment / advice / management; Mixed Fisheries (full fishery, fleet, métier) 26 27 advice / management - TAC, Effort, Profit/Revenue; ITQ / ITE advice / management, Amount / Value; Broader Bio-Economic advice / management; Social aspects 28 evaluation and advice / management (e.g. parameters on employment and/or 29 distribution among crew and fishing fleets as well as how models may be used to 30 evaluate the implications of management changes on broader social concerns such as 31 32 security of resource supply to regional or local community industry. Bio-economic models may also proxy for family status or tradition by modifications to fisher 33 behavior parameters affecting fishing trip duration or, fishing effort allocation, etc.); 34

- 35 *Management advice level*
- 36

National; ICES; EU; North American; Other;

37 • Level of implementation and application

High (several cases of implementation in advice locally, regionally or worldwide); Medium (few cases of implementation in advice); Low (only one case of implementation for which the model was developed); No (no implementation in advice);

42 • Academic

- 43 Internal; Report; Peer Reviewed Published: published in peer reviewed literature;
 44 Frequently cited in peer reviewed literature or reports;
- 45 Level of model development
- 46

Age of model; Advanced; Big Development Group; Manual/Website;

47 • Trade-Offs

There are trade-offs in management of marine resources and provision of ecosystem 48 services such as fishing, aquaculture, renewable energy, shipping, conservation, and 49 recreation, but models capable of evaluating the trade-offs are more complex. There 50 are many trade-offs in model design. Modelers need to make trade-offs to best meet 51 the needs of the intended uses and users for each model. The specific trade-offs 52 included in the table SM Table S3 are the following: Developer / Educated / All; 53 Simple / Complex; Specialized / Flexible; Technical-System / Open Access* / User-54 friendly (*Access: open access, freeware, software implementation vs. closed model, 55 not free-ware, licenses, solvers needed). This means that there are trade offs according 56 to whether the model is simple or complex, whether it is specialized or flexible, and 57 whether the model is usable only by model developers or is open access and user 58 friendly. There are trade-offs between the use and extent of inclusion of ecosystem or 59 economic or social complexity, as well as trade-offs when attempting to limit 60 complexity to make models more tractable and easier for managers and stakeholders 61 and stakeholders to use. The table also shows trade-offs in relation to model 62 63 implementation (experience with the model), model expertise needed to use the model, and the accessibility of the model to users. Because there is correlation 64 between model use and level of implementation with the age of the models, there is a 65 trade-off between introducing a new model, even it is an improvement, and sticking 66 with or adapting an existing model. This is also associated with trade-off between 67 successful implementation of a model and the previous effort put into analysis of the 68 69 context the model should be used in. Finally, there are trade-offs between the model 70 projection period, i.e. the time scale, in the advice or management evaluation it informs and the precision of the model output and advice result. 71

3

Table S3. Model use overview according to main cover of use and types of use, as well as major trade offs in relation to the use
--

	M	del U	se an	d Type	e of L	Use																				
			in Cov					/Jana¤	emen	t Advice		el of li		۵	cade	mic		evel o					Tra	de-Off	s	
	E			EM				N I A C		N O O T		MI				P F F R		I A			DI				•	ТО
MODEL	A T C C C C C C T I I I I C N	N G E S T O C K	L T I S P E C	C I I O X S E Y D S T F E I H E R Y	Q O R I T E	0 0 E 2 O 1 N O M I C		A C T E I S O N A L		O T R H T E H R A M E R I C A	G H			T E R	P O R T	ERQUERNUENTURENT	D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D		E R G R	N U A L O R W E B S	E I V U E (L) P I E I R			IE C IA	E X I B L E	E P C E H N I A C C C C L E S Y S S T E M
Crab ABC Model		1			1			1		1		1			1			1		No				1		1
Crab Ocean Acidification Model		1		1	1	1		1		1			1			1	4			No	1		1	1		1
Multispecies Stock-Production Model (MSPM)		1	1					1				1	L		1	1	10	0 1			1		1		1	1
Van Dijk - MAQ		1			1	1			1			1	1			1	2	1			1		1		1	1
Van Dijk - ADJ		1			1	1			1			:	1			1	2	1			1		1		1	1
EIAA	1	1		1		1		1	1		1				1	1	1	51	1	1	1	L	1			1
BEMEF (Extended EIAA)	1	1		1		1		1 1	1	1		1			1		1	71		1	1	L	1	1	1	1
Integrated model for Tropical Rock Lobster - Australian Torres Strait		1		1	1	1	1 :	1		1	1				1	1 1	5	1			1		1	1		1
ECO ²			1			1		1 1	1	1 1			1			1	2				1		1 1		1	1
Stochastic Age-Structure Optimization Model + ITQ Wealth Model (STOCH HCR)		1	1	1		1		1	1	-	1		-		1						1 :		1		1	1
DISPLACE	1	-	1	1		1		1 1	1		-		1			1	2			1	1		- 1	1	1	1
ISIS-FISH Model		1	1	1	1	1		1 1	1	1	1					1 1	. 13				1		1		1	1
BALTIC FLR-SMS	1		1	1		1		1 1	1			:	1		1		4				1		1	1	1	1
IAM			1	1	1	1	1 :	1 1	1			1			1	1	4	Ļ			1		1	1	1	1
SIMFISH		1	1	1	1	1		1 1	1			:	1		1	1	3	1			1		1		1	1
-ISHRENT_IFRO		1	1	1				1 1	1				1			1	4				1		1		1	1
ISHRENT_TI-LEI		1	1	1				1 1	1				1		1		3				1		1		1	1
SRRMCF		1		1	1			1				1			1			1 1			1		1		1	
Coupled Lobster-Herring Model (NECLH)			1			1			1	1		1	1			1	4				1		1	1		1
Baltic Economic-Ecological Model		1	1			1			1	1			1		1		4				1		1		1	1
ELFSim GBR Australia			1		1			1		1	-				1						1		1		1	1
Australia NPF_TigerPrawnModel, NPFTPBEM	1		1	1	1			1			1					1 1					1		1	1		1
Australia NPF Simplified Bio-Economic Model			1	1		1		1					1			1		1			1	-	1		1	1
MEFISTO			1	1		1		1	1	1		1			1			2 1			1		1			1 1
LBEIA		1	1	1				1 1	1		1			1	1		3			1	1		1	1		1 1
cube	1	1		1		1		1 1	1		1					1 1	-				1	L	1 1		1	1
Georges Bank Food Web-CGE Model				1		1		1		1			1			1	4				1		1		1	
Baltic ATLANTIS				1 1		1		1	1				1	1	1		1		1		1		1		1	
California Current ATLANTIS	Ι.			1 1		1				1		1				1	6				1		1			1
South East Australia Atlantis	1			1 1	1		1 :				1				1		1(1	1 :	L	1		1	1
S-DBEM-IOT	1		1				1	1 1	1	1 1	1	1				1 1					1		1	1	1	
Generic Ecosystem model			1			1						1	L			1	3				1		1		1	1
Peru Ecopath with Ecosim				1 1			1 :		1		1					1	3				1		1		1	1
Baltic sea Ecopath with Ecosim				1 1		1		1			1					1 1					1		1			1
North sea Ecopath with Ecosim			1	1 1		1		1 1	1		1				1	1 1	. 8	1		1	1 :	L	1	. 1	1	1