# Supporting information: Recreational sea fishing in Europe in a global context – selection of data by country

Kieran Hyder<sup>1</sup>\*, Marc Simon Weltersbach<sup>2</sup>, Mike Armstrong<sup>1</sup>, Keno Ferter<sup>3</sup>, Bryony Townhill<sup>1</sup>, 3 4 Anssi Ahvonen<sup>4</sup>, Robert Arlinghaus<sup>5,6</sup>, Andrei Baikov<sup>7</sup>, Manuel Bellanger<sup>8</sup>, Janis Birzaks<sup>9</sup>, Trude Borch<sup>10</sup>, Giulia Cambie<sup>1,11</sup>, Martin de Graaf<sup>12</sup>, Hugo M.C. Diogo<sup>13</sup>, Łukasz Dziemian<sup>14</sup>, Ana 5 Gordoa<sup>15</sup>, Ryszard Grzebielec<sup>14</sup>, Bruce Hartill<sup>16</sup>, Anders Kagervall<sup>17</sup>, Kostas Kapiris<sup>18</sup>, Martin 6 Karlsson<sup>19</sup>, Alf Ring Kleiven<sup>20</sup>, Adam M. Lejk<sup>14</sup>, Harold Levrel<sup>21</sup>, Sabrina Lovell<sup>22</sup>, Jeremy Lyle<sup>23</sup>, 7 Pentti Moilanen<sup>4</sup>, Graham Monkman<sup>11</sup>, Beatriz Morales-Nin<sup>24</sup>, Estanis Mugerza<sup>25</sup>, Roi Martinez<sup>1</sup>, 8 Paul O'Reilly<sup>26</sup>, Hans Jakob Olesen<sup>27</sup>, Anastasios Papadopoulos<sup>28</sup>, Pablo Pita<sup>29</sup>, Zachary Radford<sup>1</sup>, 9 10 Krzysztof Radtke<sup>14</sup>, William Roche<sup>26</sup>, Delphine Rocklin<sup>30</sup>, Jon Ruiz<sup>25</sup>, Callum Scougal<sup>1</sup>, Roberto Silvestri<sup>31</sup>, Christian Skov<sup>32</sup>, Scott Steinback<sup>33</sup>, Andreas Sundelöf<sup>34</sup>, Arvydas Svagzdys<sup>35</sup>, David 11 Turnbull<sup>36</sup>, Tessa van der Hammen<sup>12</sup>, David van Voorhees<sup>22</sup>, Frankwin van Winsen<sup>37</sup>, Thomas 12 Verleye<sup>38</sup>, Pedro Veiga<sup>39</sup>, Jon-Helge Vølstad<sup>3</sup>, Lucia Zarauz<sup>24</sup>, Tomas Zolubas<sup>35</sup>, and Harry V. 13 Strehlow<sup>2</sup> 14

- Centre for Environment, Fisheries & Aquaculture Science, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, Suffolk
   NR33 0HT, UK
- 17 2. Thünen Institute of Baltic Sea Fisheries, Alter Hafen Süd 2, 18069 Rostock, Germany
- 18 3. Institute of Marine Research, PO Box 1870 Nordnes, 5817 Bergen, Norway
- 19 4. Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Viikinkaari 4, 00790 Helsinki, Finland
- 20 5. Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Department of Biology and
- 21 Ecology of Fishes, Müggelseedamm 310, 12587 Berlin, Germany
- 22 6. Division of Integrative Fisheries Management, Faculty of Life Sciences, Albrecht-Daniel-Thaer-
- 23 Institute of Agriculture and Horticulture, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany
- 24 7. Fisheries Resources Department, Ministry of Environment, Narva mnt 7a, 15172 Tallinn, Estonia

- 25 8. Ifremer, UMR AMURE, Unité d'Economie Maritime, BP 70, 29280 Plouzané, France
- 9. Institute of Food Safety, Animal Health and Environment, Lejupes Street 3, Riga, LV-1076,
  Latvia
- 28 10. Akvaplan-niva AS, Fram Centre, PO Box 6606, 9296 Tromsø, Norway
- 29 11. School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey, LL59 5AB, UK
- 30 12. Wageningen Marine Research, PO Box 68, 1970 AB IJmuiden, The Netherlands
- 31 13. Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, Universidade dos Açores, Departamento de
   32 Oceanografia e Pescas, 9901–862 Horta, Açores, Portugal
- 33 14. National Marine Fisheries Research Institute (NMFRI), ul. Kollataja 1, 81-332 Gdynia, Poland
- 34 15. Department of Marine Ecology, Centre d'Estudis Avançats de Blanes (CEAB-CSIC), Carrer
   35 Accés Cala St. Francesc 14. 17300 Blanes, Spain
- 36 16. NIWA, 41 Market Place Viaduct Harbour, Auckland Central 1010, New Zealand
- 37 17. Institute of Freshwater Research, Swedish University for Agricultural Sciences,
  38 Stångholmsvägen 2, 17893 Drottningholm, Sweden
- 39 18. Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, Institute of Marine Biological Resources and Inland
  40 Waters, Athens-Sounio Av., 19013, Anavissos, Greece
- 41 19. Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of Coastal Research, Skolgatan 6, SE-742 42
  42 Öregrund, Sweden
- 43 20. Institute of Marine Research, Flødevigen Marine Research Station, Nye Flødevigveien 20, 4817
  44 His, Norway
- 45 21. UMR CIRED, Campus du Jardin Tropical, 45 bis, avenue de la Belle Gabrielle, 94736 Nogent46 sur-Marne Cedex, Paris, France
- 47 22. NOAA Fisheries, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, United States
- 48 23. Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 49, Hobart TAS
- 49 7001, Australia

- 50 24. Mediterranean Institute of Advanced Studies (CSIC/UIB), C/ Miquel Marquès 21, 07190
- 51 Esporles, Mallorca, Iles Balears, Spain
- 52 25. AZTI-Tecnalia, Txatxarramendi ugartea z/g, 48395 Sukarrieta (Bizkaia), Spain
- 53 26. Inland Fisheries Ireland, 3044 Lake Drive, Citywest Business Campus, Dublin 24, Ireland
- 54 27. Technical University of Denmark, National Institute of Aquatic Resources (DTU Aqua),
  55 Kemitorvet, building 202, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
- 56 28. Fisheries Research Institute, Nea Peramos, 64007, Greece
- 57 29. Department of Applied Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration,
- 58 University of Santiago de Compostela, Av. Burgo das Nacións s/n,15782 Santiago de
  59 Compostela, Spain
- 30. Department of Geography, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, A1B 3X9,
  Canada
- 62 31. C.I.B.M. Centro Interuniversitario di Biologia Marina ed Ecologia Applicata, Viale N. Sauro 4,
   63 57128, Livorno, Italy
- 64 32. DTU AQUA, Section of Inland Fisheries and Ecology, Technical University of Denmark,
  65 Vejlsøvej 39, 8600 Silkeborg, Denmark
- 66 33. NOAA Fisheries, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543, United States
- 67 34. Institute of Marine Research, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Turistgatan 5, 453 30
  68 Lysekil, Sweden
- 69 35. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries Service, Nanjoji Uosto 8a, 92119 Klaipeda, Lithuania
- 70 36. Marine Scotland, Scottish Government, 1B-South Victoria Quay, Edinburgh EH6 6QQ, UK
- 71 37. Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research (ILVO), Ankerstraat 1, 8400 Oostende, Belgium
- 72 38. Vlaams Instituut voor de Zee (VLIZ), Flanders Marine Institute, Wandelaarkaai 7, 8400
- 73 Oostende, Belgium

- 39. Centre of Marine Sciences (CCMAR), FCT-7, University of Algarve, Campus de Gambelas,
  8005-139 Faro, Portugal
- 76 \* Corresponding author: Kieran Hyder, Centre for Environment, Fisheries & Aquaculture Science
- 77 (Cefas), Lowestoft Laboratory, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, NR330HT, UK. Tel: +44 (0)1502
- 78 524501, e-mail: <u>kieran.hyder@cefas.co.uk</u>
- 79 **Running title:** Marine recreational fishing in Europe

80

# 81 TABLE OF CONTENTS

82

- 83 Introduction
- 84 Albania, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Malta, Montenegro & Romania
- 85 Belgium
- 86 Denmark
- 87 Estonia
- 88 Finland
- 89 France
- 90 Germany
- 91 Greece
- 92 Iceland
- 93 Ireland
- 94 Italy
- 95 Latvia
- 96 Lithuania
- 97 Netherlands
- 98 Norway
- 99 Poland
- 100 Portugal
- 101 Slovenia
- 102 Spain
- 103 Sweden
- 104 uk
- 105 References
- 106
- 107

## 108 Introduction

109 This Supporting Information contains details of the underlying data provided for each country on 110 marine recreational fishing (MRF) participation, effort and expenditure, the reasoning for the 111 selection of data, and the assessment of the quality of the survey data. Where data were not available 112 for a country (hereafter termed "recipient country"), an extrapolation was conducted from a country 113 with data (hereafter termed "donor country"), and justification is provided for the extrapolation in 114 terms of the donor country selected and caveats surrounding the use of these data. MRF in Europe is 115 managed under the European Union (EU) Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) (Council Regulation 116 (EU) 2015/812) and reporting of MRF catches is required under the European Data Collection 117 Framework (DCF) (EU, 2001, 2008, 2010, 2016) and Control Regulations (Council Regulation (EC) 118 No 1224/2009; EU, 2010). The data used in this study represent the highest quality, latest, and most 119 relevant data selected by national experts that are responsible for development and delivery of EU 120 statutory data on MRF that are compiled annually by the ICES Working Group on Recreational 121 Fisheries Surveys (http://www.ices.dk/community/groups/Pages/WGRFS.aspx; ICES, 2010, 2011, 122 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2017). A summary of the derivation of the semi-quantitative assessment of 123 bias for number of fishers, total fishing effort and expenditure on MRF in each country is provided 124 (Table S1).

# 125 Albania, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Malta, Montenegro & Romania

There were no studies of MRF available in Albania, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, or Romania, so extrapolation was needed for these countries (Table 2). The MRF target species and composition of the sector were assumed to be most similar to Greece and Italy, therefore data from Greece was used for the extrapolation of participation rates, and data from Italy was used for extrapolation of fishing effort and expenditure (Table 2). The bias associated with these estimates was assumed to be the same as for the donor country (Table S1). More information on the surveys in Greece and Italy is provided in the relevant country-specific sections.

# 133 Belgium

## 134 Recreational fishing sector

135 The MRF sector in Belgium is relatively small compared to neighbouring countries, but catches of 136 some target species can be significant at a national level. MRF in Belgium includes: boat angling 137 (private and charter boats), beam trawling, bottom otter trawling, longline fishing, beach fishing with 138 static gear, shore angling, and wading with small towed nets in the surf zone. The use of trammel and 139 gillnets by recreational fishers is banned. The main MRF target species in Belgium are Atlantic cod 140 (Gadus morhua, Gadidae), European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax, Moronidae), whiting 141 (Merlangius merlangus, Gadidae), common dab (Limanda limanda, Pleuronectidae), common sole 142 (Solea solea, Soleidae) and Atlantic mackerel (Scomber scombrus, Scombridae) (van den Stein, 143 2010). No licence is required for MRF in Belgium.

#### 144 National Survey Data

145 Few estimates of MRF catches in Belgium exist (ILVO, 2007; van den Stein, 2010; Lescrauwaet et 146 al., 2013). Most studies were based on small samples of few participants and it was not possible to 147 raise estimates to the whole population as the numbers of participants was not known. In 2006, a 148 pilot study was conducted to estimate MRF catches of Atlantic cod in Belgian waters. A 149 questionnaire was sent to 50 recreational fishers and 15 responses received, that led to an estimate of 150 MRF cod landings between 100 and 200 tonnes each year by about 2,000 fishers over 40,000 trips 151 (ILVO, 2007). Another study was done on MRF at events organised by the national angling 152 association, and 224 recreational fishers completed the survey (Persoon, 2015). Coastal and boat 153 fishers were targeted and asked about fishing locations, catches, releases and expenditures, and the 154 study revealed an estimated annual expenditure of  $\notin 1,372$  per fisher (Persoon, 2015).

Verleye et al. (2015) used an on-site survey to map the MRF sector in Belgium, that included individual vessel characteristics, estimation of fishing effort, and identification of fishing locations at sea. A total of 631 boats were identified that were mostly located in four coastal marinas, and effort was estimated using on-site observations of numbers of boats. On-site observation showed that most boats did not operate during high winds (>  $5.5 \text{ m.s}^{-1}$ ), resulting in 171 days with outgoing boats and an average of 63 boats active each day. This gave a total of 10,735 boat days with on average 2.4 fishers aboard giving a total of 25,765 individual trips (Verleye et al., 2015). Some boats were observed more than once, so the total number of fishers was 24,409 after correction for multiple trips (T. Verleye, pers. comm.).

# 164 Data used in this study

Total number of fishers and fishing effort were taken from Verleye et al. (2015) (Table 2). Participation and effort were likely to be a high underestimate, as only boat fishers were included (Table S1). Expenditure by individual fishers was not collected using a probability-based sampling scheme (Persoon, 2015), so was likely to be subject to large bias (ICES, 2010). Total expenditure was calculated by multiplying average expenditure (Persoon, 2015) by the number of boat fishers (Verleye et al. 2015), so was likely to be a small underestimate.

# 171 Denmark

# 172 Recreational fishing sector

173 MRF is a popular leisure activity in Denmark, with two main approaches: passive gear fishing using 174 stationary gear (e.g. gill and fyke nets); and angling (rod and line). Spear fishing is also practiced and 175 is becoming more popular. Passive gear fishing is done from small boats targeting European eel 176 (Anguilla anguilla, Anguillidae), European flounder (Platichthys flesus, Pleuronectidae), Atlantic 177 cod, and sea trout (Salmo trutta, Salmonidae) (Sparrevohn et al., 2010). Angling is done from the 178 shore and boats targeting sea trout, garfish (Belone belone, Belonidae), Atlantic cod, various flatfish, 179 and Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar, Salmonidae) (Rasmussen & Geertz-Hansen, 2001; Ministeriet for 180 Fødevarer Landbrug og Fiskeri, 2010). All anglers, including tourists, between 18 and 65 years and passive gear fishers over 12 must purchase a license, with on average 33,433 passive gear and
195,361 angling licences sold annually (Danish Agrifish Agency, 2015).

#### 183 National Survey Data

184 The numbers and effort of the Danish population participating in MRF both with and without a 185 licence was estimated using a national omnibus survey in 2009 and 2010 (Sparrevohn et al., 2010). 186 Catches of European eel, Atlantic cod and sea trout, were estimated every 2 years using a 187 combination of telephone and internet recall surveys. This targeted fishers with a licence, collecting 188 fishing effort in the last six months and numbers of fish kept and released for each species, and was 189 repeated every quarter for individual ICES management areas (Sparrevohn et al., 2010; Sparrevohn 190 & Storr-Paulsen, 2012; Olesen & Storr-Paulsen, 2015). The demographics of anglers have been 191 investigated (Bohn & Roth, 1997) and further surveys were done on demographics, economic 192 impact, willingness to pay, recreational fisher motivations, and recreational fishing tourism (see e.g. 193 Ministeriet for Fødevarer Landbrug og Fiskeri, 2010). The number of fishers was estimated to be 194 425,000 in 1997 (Bohn & Roth, 1997), 616,000 in 2009 (Ministeriet for Fødevarer Landbrug og 195 Fiskeri, 2010), and 442,000 in 2010 (Sparrevohn & Storr-Paulsen, 2012). The proportion of fishers 196 that fish in the sea was estimated to be 73% (Bohn & Roth, 1997). The numbers of licences 197 purchased each year between 2004 and 2015 was 154,520 year, 17,778 week, and 23,063 day 198 licences (Danish Agrifish Agency, 2015). This was much lower than estimates of numbers of fishers, 199 indicating that many people fish without licences both legally (e.g. under 18 or over 65 years old) or 200 illegally (23% angling and 28% passive fishing - Sparrevohn & Storr-Paulsen, 2012). The number of 201 trips by each fisher each year was 9.4 and 3.6 days for legal and illegal fishers, respectively 202 (Sparrevohn & Storr-Paulsen, 2012). The proportion of sea fishing trips was estimated to be between 203 54% (Bohn & Roth, 1997) and 56% (Ministeriet for Fødevarer Landbrug og Fiskeri, 2010). Hence, 204 the number of MRF trips each year was 2,369,771 based on 416,926 legal anglers fishing for 9.4 205 days and 112,074 illegal anglers fishing for 3.6 days, and 55% of trips took place in the sea.

206 An economic evaluation of the recreational fishery emphasised the importance in Denmark (Roth et 207 al., 2001; Toivonen et al., 2004). A panel of 1,500 respondents was used to estimate the direct and 208 indirect economic impact of angling which was €389 million or €147 million excluding taxes and 209 imports, and supporting 2,473 Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) (Ministeriet for Fødevarer Landbrug og 210 Fiskeri, 2010). Danish recreational fishers spent on average €543 each year, with large variations 211 between fisher types and fishing locations. Sea fishers generally spent more than freshwater fishers, 212 especially those specialised in trolling for salmon (Ministeriet for Fødevarer Landbrug og Fiskeri, 213 2010).

214 Data used in this study

215 The number of fishers used was derived from the average of the two most recent surveys and was 216 529,000 (Ministeriet for Fødevarer Landbrug og Fiskeri, 2010; Sparrevohn & Storr-Paulsen, 2012). 217 The proportion of sea anglers was assumed to be 0.73 (Bohn & Roth, 1997) giving a total of 386,000 218 sea fishers in Denmark (Table 2). The number of fishing trips per angler was taken from the omnibus 219 survey as it separated legal and illegal fishers (Sparrevohn & Storr-Paulsen, 2012), giving on average 220 6.15 days per year and total effort of 2,369,771 days (Table 2). The economic impact of MRF cannot 221 be separated from freshwater fishing, so the average expenditure estimate of €543 each year 222 (Ministeriet for Fødevarer Landbrug og Fiskeri, 2010) was used (Table 2). Country level data was 223 high quality (negligible bias), but some avidity bias exists in the expenditure (small overestimate) 224 and uncertainties in proportion of sea fishers (Table S1).

## 225 Estonia

226 Recreational fishing sector

MRF in Estonia is comprised of three sectors: (1) licenced fishers mainly using passive gears with mandatory logbooks (e.g. gill nets, longlines, crayfish traps); (2) licenced anglers and spear fishers that purchase fishing rights; and (3) non-licenced fishery using one hand line or rod with a single hook without a reel. The most popular species caught by MRF are European flounder, Eurasian
perch (*Perca fluviatilis*, Percidae) and northern pike (*Esox lucius*, Esocidae).

# 232 National Survey Data

233 The licenced recreational fisheries (e.g. gillnet, longline, salmon fishery in rivers etc.) have 234 mandatory logbooks for catches. There were 3,615 individual fishers that purchased a monthly gill 235 net licence and 13,934 monthly licences were issued in 2014, with on average 115 days fished each 236 year and 123 tonnes of catch reported. The number of fishers that purchased fishing rights was 237 46,346, and 8,563 people that bought a fishing licence. Some fishers bought both, so there were 238 51,092 recreational fishers paying for fishing in 2014. There were an additional 14,000 recreational 239 fishers that did not have to purchase fishing rights, including children under 16 years of age, 240 pensioners, people with disabilities, and fishers using a hand line or a single rod without a reel 241 (Rakko, 2014). Thus, there were approximately 65,000 recreational fishers or a 5% participation rate 242 in recreational fisheries. The length of the shoreline and regional distribution of the population meant 243 that around 30% of these fished in the sea resulting in a total number of 19,500 sea fishers. A recent 244 survey of recreational fishing estimated that the average annual expenditure per fisher was €275 in 245 2013 (Ender et al., 2013).

# 246 Data used in this study

The number of fishers used in this study was derived assuming that 30% of all recreational fishers or 19,500 individuals fished in the sea (Table 2), and average annual expenditure per fisher was  $\notin$ 275 (Ender et al, 2013). The biases associated were a small underestimate for participation and a small overestimate for expenditure (Table S1).The recreational sea fishing effort data was extrapolated from Latvia (see Table 2 and country-specific section for details) as the target species and the composition of the MRF sector are similar to Estonia and the bias associated with this estimate was assumed to be the same as for the donor country (Table S1).

# 254 **Finland**

## 255 Recreational fishing sector

256 In 2012, there were 1.5 million recreational fishers in about 850,000 households in Finland, 257 representing a participation rate of 28%. The catch was 24,500 tonnes from both freshwater and 258 marine waters, with the majority in freshwater and only 300,000 marine fishers in the Baltic Sea. The 259 most important MRF species are Eurasian perch, northern pike, Baltic herring (Clupea harengus 260 membras, Clupeidae), roach (Rutilus rutilus, Cyprinidae) and pikeperch (Sander lucioperca, 261 Percidae). MRF is mostly done from small, private boats using gill nets, fish traps and trap nets 262 (http://stat.luke.fi/en/recreational-fishing). In 2012, the MRF catch from the Baltic Sea was 6,000 263 tonnes with a first sale value of €11 million (http://stat.luke.fi/en/producer-prices-fish). The most economically important species were pikeperch, Eurasian perch, whitefish (Coregonus lavaretus, 264 265 Salmonidae), and northern pike (http://stat.luke.fi/en/recreational-fishing). No licence is needed for 266 angling (i.e. bait fishing, ice fishing and herring fishing with a rig), but a governmental management 267 fee must be paid by individuals aged between 18 and 64 years for all other types of fishing including 268 lure fishing.

## 269 National Survey Data

Biannual surveys are conducted to estimate participation, fishing effort and catches of the recreational fishery in Finland (<u>http://stat.luke.fi/en/recreational-fishing</u>). In the national household surveys, probability-based samples of 7,500 households are drawn from the Finish population register and the number of fishers, demographics, fishing activity by fishing area, and catches are collected by the Natural Resources Institute Finland (<u>www.luke.fi</u>).

## 275 Data used in this study

The numbers of fishers and fishing effort derived from the latest household survey were used in this study (Table 2). The biases associated with Finish participation and fishing effort estimates were assumed to be negligible (Table S1). There were no estimates of expenditure for MRF in Finland, as
the only study that exists includes both marine and freshwater fishing (Toivonen et al., 2004).
Therefore, the average expenditure per recreational fisher per year was extrapolated from Sweden
(see Table 2 and country-specific section for details). The bias associated with this estimate was
assumed to be the same as for the donor country (Table S1).

### 283 France

# 284 Recreational fishing sector

285 MRF in France is practiced with passive gears, rod and line, and spear guns from the shore and boats 286 (Herfaut et al., 2013; Levrel et al., 2013; Rocklin et al., 2014). Rod and line fishing with live bait or 287 lures and spear fishing are the main methods used from shore, with both angling and nets used from 288 boats (Herfaut et al., 2013; Levrel et al., 2013; Rocklin et al., 2014). In 2011, there were 1,319,000 289 fishers in France making around 9,000,000 fishing trips each year, with around 60% and 40% of the 290 effort in Atlantic and Mediterranean waters, respectively. There was an even split of effort between 291 shore and boat fishing, with about 60% of the trips resulting in any catch. Trips from the shore 292 represented 52% of all trips and on average 2.9 fish were caught per trip, whereas 48% were boat 293 fishing trips with 7 fish caught during an average trip duration of 3 hours (Levrel et al., 2013). The 294 main species caught are European sea bass, Atlantic mackerel, pollack (Pollachius pollachius, 295 Gadidae), whiting, pouting (Trisopterus luscus, Gadidae), cuttlefish (Sepia officinalis, Sepiidae), and 296 sea breams (Spondyliosoma cantharus and Sparus aurata, both Sparidae). There is no licencing 297 system or registry of recreational sea fishers in France.

298 National Survey Data

France has conducted three nationwide studies on MRF: a national MRF pilot study in 2006 (Herfaut et al., 2012, 2013); a national study between 2009 and 2011 to assess sea bass catches on the Atlantic coasts (Rocklin et al., 2014); and a national study from 2011 to 2013 estimating catches in both the 302 Atlantic and Mediterranean (Levrel et al., 2013). The pilot study produced a comprehensive 303 assessment of MRF by combining telephone and self-reporting surveys, and assessed a wide variety 304 of gears and methods (Herfaut et al., 2012, 2013). The study produced estimates of the numbers of 305 fishers, fishing effort, and catches (Herfaut et al., 2012, 2013). Catches of European sea bass on the Atlantic coast were assessed using a large-scale telephone survey and fishing diary panel (Rocklin et 306 307 al., 2014). A total of 467 sea bass fishers completed an in-depth telephone interview, and 256 fishers 308 submitted catch diaries covering 1,190 fishing trips and 1,383 catches, along with information on 309 fishing methods (Rocklin et al., 2014). The second national study combined telephone and diary 310 surveys with 16,000 households selected using random digit dialling. A two-step interview process 311 was used: a short screening interview to collect demographic information and numbers of fishers in 312 the household; and a second longer interview including detailed questions for 792 fishers that were 313 identified during the screening interview. Catch diaries were kept by 364 fishers, 213 from the 314 telephone survey and 151 from an association of recreational fishers, providing catch information 315 from 2,836 trips (Levrel et al., 2013).

## 316 Data used in this study

317 The data used in this study was from the 2011-2013 telephone and diary survey after exclusion of 318 diarists recruited from the angling association (Levrel et al., 2013) as the earlier surveys had higher 319 levels of bias. The country level estimates used are shown in Table 2 and were of high quality with 320 negligible bias (Table S1). A relative effort split was assumed to partition the estimates in Atlantic 321 (60%) and Mediterranean (40%) waters (M. Bellanger, pers. comm.). The German expenditure data 322 was used for extrapolation as this was considered to be the most similar (see Table 2 and country-323 specific section for details). The bias associated with this estimate was assumed to be the same as for 324 the donor country (Table S1).

## 325 Germany

## 326 Recreational fishing sector

327 There were 174,000 recreational sea fishers in Germany in 2013/2014, with the majority fishing in 328 the Baltic Sea (163,000) and 32,000 in the North Sea (H.V. Strehlow & M.S. Weltersbach, 329 unpublished data). In the North Sea, shore fishing is restricted to harbours and the north and east 330 Friesian Islands and boat fishing opportunities are limited. The Baltic Sea is popular for shore and 331 boat fishing and most German charter vessels operate in this area (Strehlow et al., 2012). Fishing 332 effort was almost 1.4 million days, with 90% of the effort exerted in the Baltic Sea (H.V. Strehlow & 333 M.S. Weltersbach, unpublished data). Fishing from the shore (surf angling and wading with rod and 334 line) and sea-based fishing methods (boat and charter vessel angling with rod and line) are equally 335 popular with the fishing effort being almost evenly split in the Baltic Sea (Strehlow et al., 2012). 336 Furthermore, 1,684 active, recreational fishers (1,020 in the Baltic Sea and 664 in the North Sea) 337 used passive gear in 2012 (H.V. Strehlow & M.S. Weltersbach, unpublished data). The main species 338 targeted are Atlantic cod, Atlantic herring (Clupea harengus, Clupeidae), Atlantic mackerel, 339 European flounder, European plaice (Pleuronectes platessa, Pleuronectidae), common dab, sea trout 340 and Atlantic salmon. Recreational fishing licences are obligatory in all federal states, apart from 341 Lower Saxony. In addition, to a valid fishing licence, the Baltic Sea states require a coastal fishing 342 permit (Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania) or a federal fishing licence (Schleswig-Holstein).

# 343 National Survey Data

Several surveys have been conducted estimating participation, catch, and effort in Germany (Grosch et al., 1977; Möller & Tiffert, 1988; Hilge 1998; Wedekind et al., 2001; Wolter et al., 2003; Arlinghaus, 2004; Dorow & Arlinghaus, 2011; Strehlow et al., 2012; Ensinger, 2015), but few explicitly collected data for MRF. Möller & Tiffert (1988) counted the numbers of herring anglers, sampled one charter vessel, and conducted interviews with beach anglers to estimate catch rates and total catch of Atlantic herring and Atlantic cod, yearly expenditure for angling equipment, and total
yearly income per charter vessel in Kiel Bight (western Baltic Sea).

A nationwide telephone survey and diary study with 648 panellists was conducted in northern Germany and produced estimates of the number, effort and catch of recreational fishers in Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania (Dorow & Arlinghaus 2011). Recreational landings of Atlantic cod in Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania exceeded 3,000 t in 2007 and were higher than the commercial landings of cod in the same state (Dorow & Arlinghaus 2011).

A nationwide MRF study was done involving a mail-diary survey with 66,000 questionnaires sent to angling clubs. A total of 2,313 responses were used to estimate numbers and effort of anglers, and showed significant catches of Atlantic cod in the western Baltic Sea (Zimmermann et al., 2007). The corresponding catch per unit effort was estimated using a multi-annual on-site access point intercept survey and recreational length distributions were obtained onboard charter vessels (Strehlow et al., 2012). The on-site survey has been done annually since 2005, with over 21,100 anglers interviewed by 2015.

A national telephone-diary survey covering nine out of 16 federal states was done in 2014, with two states far from the sea used as proxies for the seven states not covered by the survey. A random digit dialling telephone survey resulted in a sample of 50,200 valid telephone numbers of private households, 678 anglers were identified, and 348 panellists recruited. In addition, a nonrepresentative sample of coastal fishing permit holders resulted in 582 panellists. During the screening survey respondents were asked to provide an estimate of the number of days fished and expenditure each year (H.V. Strehlow & M.S. Weltersbach, unpublished data).

370 Data used in this study

The number of fishers, fishing effort and expenditure estimates (Table 2) used in this study were derived from a recent national 1-year telephone-diary study from 2014-2015 (H.V. Strehlow & M.S. Weltersbach, unpublished data) as the former mail-diary survey included both coverage and nonresponse biases (Strehlow et al., 2012). Catch estimates for western Baltic Sea cod originated from the on-site access point intercept survey as described in Strehlow et al. (2012). The estimates were considered to contain only negligible bias (Table S1).

377 **Greece** 

## 378 Recreational fishing sector

379 Greek marine fisheries exploit many different species using various gear types, and the reported 380 fisheries landings currently exclude recreational catches (Tsikliras et al., 2007). MRF is done for 381 leisure and consumption, and divided into boat and shore fishing, spear fishing, and shellfish 382 collection. The complexity of the coastline and the variety of different gear types used means that 383 surveying MRF in Greece is a significant challenge (Lloret & Font 2013; Moutopoulos et al., 2013). 384 Shore fishing is a common activity with estimates of catch representing on average 8% of total 385 removals between 1950 and 2010, with a range of 3 to 22% (Moutopoulos & Stergiou, 2012). 386 Between 11 and 48 demersal species are important MRF target species depending on the area, with 387 European sea bass and sea breams (Sparidae) accounting for around 40% of the total recreational 388 catch (Moutopoulos et al., 2013).

## 389 National Survey Data

There were no regular surveys of MRF in Greece, but historical removals have been reconstructed (Moutopoulos et al., 2013) and some data has been collected from the sport fishing community (Anagnopoulos et al., 1998) mainly related to tuna (Scombridae) (HCMR, 2004). All studies used interviews with recreational and commercial fishing associations, coast guard, port offices, fisheries administrations, and retail shops (Anagnopoulos et al., 1998; HCMR, 2004; Moutopoulos et al., 2013). In addition, an independent estimate of the magnitude of MRF was provided by the National Statistical Service of Greece (General Secretary of Fishery, pers. comm.). 397 The legal aspects, magnitude, and the socioeconomic role of MRF in Greece and Italy was reviewed 398 in a study by Anagnopoulos et al. (1998), but the results should be interpreted carefully due to issues 399 with the study design. Another study was carried out to assess the recreational tuna fishery that 400 focussed on the Aegean Sea (HCMR, 2004). Unreported shore-based MRF catches have also been 401 estimated regionally and by species for the period 1950-2010 (Moutopoulos et al., 2013), but are 402 likely to represent a large underestimate of the true values. The most recent estimate of numbers of 403 recreational sea fishers in Greece (2011-2012) was 300,000 boat, shore and spear fishers accounting 404 for 2.75% of the Greek population (General Secretary of Fishery, pers. comm.).

#### 405 Data used in this study

An estimate of the participation in MRF was available for Greece, but there were no studies on fishing effort or expenditure available, and therefore extrapolation was needed (Table 2). The MRF target species and the composition of the sector were thought to be most similar to France and Italy, therefore data from France and Italy was used for fishing effort and expenditure extrapolation, respectively (see Table 2 and country-specific section for details). The biases associated with these estimates were assumed to be the same as for the donor countries (Table S1). The bias associated with the participation estimate was assumed to be a high underestimate (Table S1).

# 413 Iceland

## 414 Recreational fishing sector

The four main MRF target species in Iceland are Atlantic cod, Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*, Pleuronectidae), wolf fish (*Anarhichas spp.*, Anarhichadidae) and haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*, Gadidae) (Solstrand, 2013). In Iceland, catch and release is forbidden by law and all fish must be landed, except for Atlantic halibut, which must be returned alive if viable. Tourists are required by law to use hook and line and cannot use other methods. Quotas are 420 issued to charter vessels, all catches must be reported, and additional quota can be purchased from421 other vessels. No fishing licence is required for MRF in Iceland.

#### 422 National Survey Data

423 MRF statistics and interviews with charter vessel skippers showed that there were 48 charter vessels 424 operating with an average catch of 48 to 61 kg per vessel per day, fishing for 80 days per year, 425 resulting in a total seasonal catch of 232 tonnes (Solstrand, 2015). There were few studies of MRF in 426 Iceland that collected data on all fishing sectors, and only the participation rate was available 427 (Toivonen, 2002).

# 428 Data used in this study

As only information on participation was available (Toivonen, 2002) extrapolation was needed for fishing effort and expenditure. The MRF target species and the composition of the sector were assumed to be most similar to Norway, therefore data from Norway was used for fishing effort, and data from Denmark for expenditure (see Table 2 and country-specific sections for details). The biases associated with these estimates were assumed to be the same as for the donor countries (Table S1). The bias associated with the participation estimate was assumed to be negligible (Table S1).

# 435 Ireland

## 436 Recreational fishing sector

Ireland has an extensive coastline and its recreational fishery is almost exclusively confined to rod and line fishing and limited spear fishing, but participation levels for the latter are unknown. MRF comprised of shore, charter vessel and private boat fishing. Around 120 charter vessels operate mainly on the north-west, west, and south coasts, with a capacity of between 6 and 8 fishers per vessel. Two discrete categories exist: pelagic and demersal fishing; and shark fishing (< 5% of total fishing days) (Wögerbauer et al., 2015). 443 The main species targeted by shore anglers are: European sea bass, Atlantic mackerel, Atlantic cod, 444 pollack, European flounder, whiting, common dab and lesser spotted dogfish (Scyliorhinus canicula, 445 Scyliorhinidae). Other elasmobranchs including tope (Galeorhinus galeus, Triakidae) and rays 446 (Batoidea) are targeted at specific locations. Shore angling is primarily a bait angling fishery targeting all available species, but a sea bass fishery has emerged using artificial lures. Boat fishers 447 448 (charter and private boat fishers) target species including pollack, Atlantic cod, ling (Molva molva, 449 Lotidae), saithe (Pollachius virens, Gadidae), conger eel (Conger conger, Congridae), wrasse 450 (cuckoo - Labrus mixtus and ballan - Labrus bergylta, both Labridae), European sea bass, gurnards 451 (Triglidae spp.), blue shark (Prionace glauca, Carcharhinidae), spurdog (Squalus acanthias, 452 Squalidae), rays, and tope. High levels of catch and release are found for all species except gadoids 453 and mackerel. No MRF licence is required.

# 454 National Survey Data

455 A survey of the socioeconomic impacts of recreational fishing was done with 903 fishers interviewed 456 using face-to-face interviews (692) and online methods (211) (TDI, 2013). The interviews were 457 conducted at randomly selected marine and freshwater locations, and fishers who provided contact 458 details were asked to complete the online survey. The total economic contribution of recreational 459 fishing in Ireland was €755 million with an estimated 252,000 domestic and 154,000 tourist fishers 460 (TDI, 2013). Participation rates were estimated from 4,044 interviews and 7.6% of the population 461 aged over 15 years were recreational fishers, giving 273,600 domestic fishers of which 76,600 were 462 sea fishers. The ratio of shore-based sea fishers to boat fishers was estimated at approximately 3:1 463 giving 57,450 shore fishers and 19,150 boat fishers from current participation rates (Whelan & 464 Marsh, 1988). The average expenditure associated with Irish sea fishers was thought to be in the 465 region of €1,641 per angler per annum giving a total direct expenditure of about €126 million. Sea 466 fishers visiting from outside of the Republic of Ireland are thought to contribute a further €44 million 467 in direct expenditures.

468 Data used in this study

469 The data selected for this study were from the IFI omnibus study in 2015 (Table 2) and were 470 considered to contain negligible bias (Table S1).

471 **Italy** 

# 472 Recreational fishing sector

Italy has between 600,000 and 1,000,000 marine recreational fishers, MRF effort was estimated to be 473 474 4.8 million days, and total expenditure was €240 million. Most fish from shore, but around one third 475 use either private or charter boats. The most commonly used gears are lines (rod and line 50%, 476 longline 18%) and pots (7%); with spear fishing accounting for about 12% of the total effort. 477 Commonly targeted species with significant catches include Sparidae (sea breams), tuna species, 478 European sea bass, common dolphin fish (Coryphaena hippurus, Coryphaenidae), little tunny 479 (Euthynnus alletteratus, Scombridae), cuttlefish (Sepiidae), squid (Loligo vulgaris, Loliginidae), and 480 sharks as bycatch in the tuna fishery (Cingolani et al., 2005; Pranovi et al., 2015). No licence is 481 required for MRF in Italy.

## 482 National Survey Data

Some data on MRF exist for Italy, but the few studies provided varying estimates due to different sampling methods (Cautadella & Spagnolo, 2011). The main sources of data were interviews (AC Nielsen, unpublished data), self-reporting during mandatory registration (MiPAAF, 2010), and follow-up data collection (MiPAAF, 2012). Other partial or anecdotal information also existed, but no reliable effort or catch data was available for the whole country.

488 Data used in this study

489 The survey used in this study (MiPAAF, 2012; AC Nielsen, unpublished data) covered marine 490 recreational boat-fishing activities in Italy, but did not sample shore-based fisheries effectively and underrepresented participants such as occasional fishers, children, and tourists. Thus, the bias in the
number of fishers, fishing effort and expenditure was considered a moderate underestimate (ISMERI,
2015) (Table S1).

# 494 **Latvia**

#### 495 Recreational fishing sector

496 MRF in Latvia is carried out in the Baltic Sea (ICES subdivisions 26 and 28). Recreational fishing comprises of two sectors: registered fishers fishing with passive gears for personal consumption that 497 498 cannot sell catch (e.g. gillnets, fyke nets, longlines); and active methods including angling (rod and 499 line fishing) and spear fishing. Passive gear fishers must report catches and these catches are 500 included in the national catch statistics. Angling is more common from the shore than from boats, 501 and ice fishing is done in the Gulf of Riga. European flounder, Eurasian perch, Atlantic cod, garfish, 502 Atlantic herring and round goby (Neogobius melanostomus, Gobiidae) are the main species targeted 503 by MRF. Recreational fishers between 16 and 65 years must have a licence, but do not need to report 504 catches (Latvijas Nacionālās, 2013) and are not allowed to sell their catch (Anonymous, 2007).

## 505 National survey data

There were no regular surveys of MRF in Latvia, with the only data collected from the logbooks of passive gear fishers by the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries. In 2014, 887 passive gear fishers were registered as consumption fishers, with 24,600 fishing trips reported and total landings of approximately 104 tonnes. The main target species were European flounder (28 tonnes), Atlantic herring (18 tonnes), vimba bream (*Vimba vimba*, Cyprinidae) (17 tonnes) and Eurasian perch (8 tonnes). Between 100,000 and 120,000 licences were purchased by anglers, with about 30% of the 2,200 interviewed anglers being involved in MRF (Birzaks, 2007; Kornilovs, 2013).

## 513 Data used in this study

The data used for Latvia related to the passive gear consumptive fishers (Table 2), and therefore excluded around 40,000 anglers that fish in the Baltic Sea (Birzaks, 2007; Korņilovs, 2013). Thus, the numbers, participation, and fishing effort were likely to significantly underestimate the actual situation in Latvia, but the consumptive fishers were likely to fish more often than anglers, and so the average effort per fisher was likely to be a significant overestimate (Table S1). No expenditure data existed for Latvia, therefore Estonia was used for extrapolation (see Table 2 and country-specific section for details) and the bias was assumed to be the same as for the donor country (Table S1).

# 521 Lithuania

## 522 Recreational fishing sector

523 MRF occurs mainly from the shore and in coastal waters in the Baltic Sea, and targets a range of 524 species including European plaice, Atlantic herring, Atlantic cod, turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*, 525 Scophthalmidae) and salmonids (Salmonidae) (Lithuanian Fishing Services, 2016). Rod and line 526 fishing is the only permitted method, with trawls, nets, pots and traps banned.

#### 527 National survey data

A recent study estimated Baltic cod catches using a recall-based interview survey, where a sample of recreational vessels were interviewed and an on-board survey of smaller vessels was undertaken. Small charter angling boats are licenced, so the numbers of trips and anglers were obtained from census, direct interviews, and questionnaires. However, there was under-coverage of other sectors (A. Svagzdys, pers. comm.). 533 Data used in this study

There was limited data available for Lithuania, so data for participation and effort was extrapolated from Latvia and expenditures from Estonia (see Table 2 and country-specific sections for details). The biases were assumed to be the same as for the donor countries (Table S1).

## 537 Netherlands

#### 538 Recreational fishing sector

In 2013, 3.2% of the Dutch population participated in MRF with the majority taking between one and five fishing trips each year. Most fishing was conducted with rod and line, and occurred from the shore, charter vessels and private boats. The main species caught were flatfish (European plaice, European flounder and common dab), Atlantic mackerel, Atlantic cod and European sea bass. Average release rate was 30%, but varied between 10% (mackerel) and 60% (flounder). MRF occurs also with gill nets, targeting mainly European sea bass, but catches are a very small fraction of the total and a licence is required. No MRF licence is required for rod and line fishing in marine waters.

# 546 National Survey Data

547 The Dutch survey involved a two-phase design: a screening survey and a logbook survey (van der 548 Hammen et al., 2016). The screening survey was part of a marketing survey of households and 549 approximated the ratio of gender, age, completed education, and region of residents in the Dutch 550 population. The screening survey provided the number and demographics of recreational fishers in 551 the Netherlands and the logbook survey collected catches by individual fishers. These surveys were 552 carried out every two years. The screening survey was sent to around 50,000 households in 2011 to 553 collect data on participation in recreational fishing and gears used, and recruiting participants for a 554 logbook survey. Logbooks were completed between March 2012 and February 2013 with 555 participants selected from a representative probability-based sample of respondents. Monthly diaries 556 were completed by 1,800 participants for each fishing trip including: location, start and end times, 557 gear, species caught, and numbers retained or released. The combination of logbooks with regular 558 contacts with participants was used to minimise recall bias and encourage participation (van der 559 Hammen and de Graaf, 2013, 2015; van der Hammen et al., 2016).

560 Data used in this study

The data selected for this study were from the screening survey in December 2011 and the logbook survey from March 2010 to February 2011 for expenditure and March 2012 to February 2013 for fishing effort (Table 2) (van der Hammen and de Graaf 2015). The estimates for participation and fishing effort were considered to contain negligible bias, whereas the expenditure estimate was considered to be a moderate underestimation (Table S1) (van der Hammen et al., 2016).

# 566 Norway

# 567 Recreational fishing sector

568 MRF in Norway is a popular activity with around 33% of the population fishing on average 11.5 569 days each year (Table 2) (based on Vaage, 2015). Domestic recreational fishers can fish with rod and 570 line, jigging machines, traps, pots, gill nets, and longlines (Anonymous, 2006). The main target 571 species are Atlantic cod, ling, tusk (Brosme brosme, Lotidae), saithe, haddock and Atlantic mackerel 572 (ICES, 2010). Fishing tourism is important in Norway (Borch et al., 2011; Vølstad et al., 2011) with 573 foreign tourists allowed to use hand-held tackle and export 15 kg of marine fish or fish products and 574 one trophy fish. Atlantic cod and saithe dominate the tourist catch (Vølstad et al., 2011) and a large 575 proportion of fish are released (Ferter et al., 2013a, 2013b). Boat fishing is the predominant platform 576 used with 63% of over 750,000 private recreational boats used for recreational fishing (KNBF and 577 NORBOAT, 2012). Shore fishing is also popular due to the access to high quality shore fishing. 578 There are many charter fishing companies in Norway, but the magnitude of the activity is unknown. 579 Spearfishing and hand collecting using SCUBA is allowed for most species in Norway. No fishing 580 licence is required for MRF.

## 581 National Survey Data

582 Monitoring of recreational fishing started in the 1970s using a one-year recall survey (Vorkinn et al., 583 1997) and six surveys partitioned recreational fishing into freshwater and marine, with the MRF 584 participation rate varying between 37 and 44% of the population. A large recall survey of MRF 585 activity integrated in an omnibus survey estimated that 43% of the Norwegian population fished and 586 48,000 tonnes fish were caught in marine waters (Hallenstvedt & Wulff, 2003). However, these 587 studies were likely to have significant recall bias, so the validity of estimates of participation and 588 catch is uncertain. Smaller in-depth studies that looked at aspects of MRF have been conducted. A 589 national probability-based survey was conducted to obtain harvest and effort estimates in tourist 590 MRF using weekly catch diaries recorded by a sample of angling tourism businesses (Vølstad et al., 591 2011). Field-based sampling of effort and volunteer catch diaries and interviews were used to collect 592 catch per unit effort of MRF on European lobster (Homarus gammarus, Nephropidae) and showed 593 that MRF was responsible for 65% of the catches in southern Norway (Kleiven et al., 2012).

## 594 Data used in this study

595 The data used for Norwegian participation and fishing effort in this study (Table 2) were derived 596 from Statistics Norway as this was the longest time-series, had the largest sample size, and 597 represented the most recent estimate (Vaage, 2015). The survey covers the population between the 598 ages of 16 and 79 years (in 2014 a population of 3,894,435), which means that the population under 599 16 years and above 79 years are excluded from the estimate (total population of 1,213,535). Thirty-600 three percent of the sample population said that they fished in the sea in 2014. The mean number of 601 annual MRF trips per year was 11.5 trips per year per fisher. The estimates of participation and effort 602 were assumed to be moderate and small underestimates, respectively (Table S1). No national 603 estimates of expenditure by marine recreational fishers exist, so expenditure data from Denmark was 604 used (see Table 2 and country-specific section for details) and the bias was assumed to be the same 605 as for the donor country (Table S1).

# 606 **Poland**

## 607 Recreational fishing sector

608 MRF includes two main fishing methods: angling and spear fishing, that are conducted from shore 609 and boats in the Baltic Sea. An increase in shore angling has been observed over the last decade, 610 mainly targeting European flounder, common bream (Abramis brama, Cyprinidae), sea trout, garfish, 611 Atlantic herring and European eel. Trolling for Atlantic salmon from boats has also increased in 612 popularity in the last five years. Angling in brackish estuaries and lagoons targets mostly freshwater 613 species including Eurasian perch, pikeperch, roach and common bream. No data were available on 614 the numbers, effort, or catches by spear fishers. The number of fishing licences issued by the 615 Regional Maritime Fisheries Inspectorates has increased to almost 37,000 licences in 2014.

## 616 National Survey Data

617 Boat MRF in Poland was monitored using effort information (numbers of trips and fishers per trip) 618 collected by the Harbour Master Offices. Each fishing trip, registered as individual record by a local 619 Maritime Office, included vessel name, the date and hour of departure and return, as well as the 620 number of fishers onboard. Data were available from 1999 onwards, indicating very rapid 621 development of sea fishing in Poland as the number of fishing days had increased in recent years. 622 Catch composition and biological information were collected during onboard sampling by observers 623 selected at random from the charter vessel registry focusing on the recreational cod fishery (Radtke 624 and Dąbrowski, 2007, 2010). Catches were raised by quarter and ICES subdivision using the number 625 of MRF trips and the catch estimates from sampled vessels, and these estimates were summed to 626 produce total annual boat angling catches of cod for Poland.

In 2014, 11,217 boat angling trips were recorded and the total boat angling effort was 142,598 fishing days, although this may represent multiple trips by the same angler as angler details were not recorded (Radtke & Dąbrowski, 2015). Eleven observer trips were conducted on charter vessels in 630 2014 to determine species captured, numbers of harvested and released fish, and biological 631 information (weight, length, sex, maturity and age) in the recreational cod fishery. The vessel 632 selection excluded very small boats potentially leading to bias (underestimation) in the total catch 633 estimates and uncertainty in the biological information. The survey did not cover shore fishing, but 634 this was thought to represent only a small proportion of the total cod catch.

635 Data used in this study

636 The recreational fishing effort from boats in Poland (Radtke & Dabrowski, 2015) was the only 637 information available (Table 2). These data were of good quality, but the total sea angling effort was 638 likely to be a moderate underestimation as shore based MRF was not covered by the survey (Table 639 S1). Data on participation and expenditure were not available (Table S1). Germany was deemed the 640 most reasonable donor country for extrapolation of participation as the platforms, target species, and 641 angling seasons were similar. Expenditure data was extrapolated from Estonia (see Table 2 and 642 country-specific sections for details). The bias associated with these estimates was assumed to be the 643 same as for the donor countries.

#### 644 **Portugal**

# 645 Recreational fishing sector

646 MRF is a very popular leisure activity in Portugal. No recent estimates were available, but the 647 number of fishers was likely to be between 170,000 and 200,000 in recent years based on the number 648 of licences issued (DGRM, 2015b; Regional Fisheries Department of Azores, unpublished data). The 649 most common fishing mode is shore angling, followed by demersal boat fishing, and spear fishing. In 650 some regions such as southern Portugal, Azores, and Madeira, the charter boat angling segment is 651 economically important. MRF is restricted to: hook and line for shore and boat angling; spear 652 fishing; and specific handheld instruments for shellfish and bait collection. Recreational fishers 653 capture many fish species, with targeted and captured species varying by fishing mode and region. 654 On the mainland, important target species are sea breams (Sparidae; particularly of the genus 655 Diplodus spp.), and European and spotted sea bass (Dicentrarchus punctatus, Moronidae). Intertidal 656 collectors target common octopus (Octopus vulgaris, Octopodidae), velvet swimming crab (Necora 657 puber, Macropipidae), bivalves (Ruditapes ssp., Veneridae and Donax spp., Donacidae), and stalked 658 barnacles (Pollicipes pollicipes, Pollicipedidae) (Cruz et al., 2015). In the Azores, important targeted 659 species are sea breams, parrotfish (Sparisoma cretense, Labridae), wrasse (e.g. ballan wrasse), 660 grouper (e.g. Serranus atricauda, Serranidae), jacks (e.g. Seriola spp., Carangidae), and mackerel 661 (e.g. Scombrus colias, Scombridae). Intertidal collectors target mainly limpets (Patella spp., 662 Patellidae), common octopus, and crabs (e.g. Pachygrapsus marmoratus, Grapsidae) (Diogo & 663 Pereira, 2013a, 2013b, 2014). Captured fish are mainly for human consumption, with catch-and-664 release uncommon. Restrictions to control catch and effort in MRF have been in place on the 665 mainland since 2006 and in the Azores since 2008. These include fishing licences, bag limits, 666 minimum landing sizes, and closed areas and periods (Veiga et al., 2013; Diogo & Pereira, 2014). In 667 Madeira, spear fishing is the only regulated activity and subject to fishing licences.

# 668 National Survey Data

Despite European requirements for catch reporting (EU 2008, 2010, 2016), there is no systematic monitoring of MRF in Portugal. The first national survey of MRF started in 2015 and targeted all fishers to collect demographics, participation, effort, expenditure, catch, and attitudes towards current regulations, but results are not available yet (DGRM, 2015a). Participation in the survey was voluntary, with licenced fishers invited to participate via text messages to improve response rates.

The information on MRF came from several surveys covering specific fishing modes and regions of Portugal and the Azores. In 2001, a roving creel survey in northern Portugal (ca.120 km of coastline) was conducted to obtain socioeconomic (expenditure, demographics) and fishing activity related information (e.g. catch, effort, target species) of shore anglers (Rangel & Erzini, 2007). Interviews 678 had a high response rate (90%) and 2,081 were completed. The most targeted species were European 679 sea bass and sea breams (Sparidae), with estimated shore angling catches of 7 and 2 tonnes, 680 respectively. Information was obtained on recreational boat and shore angling activity in the Tagus 681 estuary and Lisbon area (Vale, 2003; Lopes, 2004). A survey was conducted to describe the recreational boat fishing activity in northern Portugal (Lima, 2006). Most boat fishing took place in 682 683 summer months with 27 fishing trips each year. Boat owners spent €2,727 annually, more than half 684 of which was related to boat maintenance ( $\notin$ 1,415). In southern Portugal, several studies have also 685 been conducted (Castro, 2004; Veiga et al., 2010, 2013; Costa, 2012). Mean daily estimated densities 686 of anglers and shellfish collectors on the south-west coast were 2 and 9.4 persons per kilometre of 687 coastline, respectively, and yielded 4.3 tonnes biomass per kilometre (Castro, 2004). Veiga et al. 688 (2010) conducted a large-scale aerial-roving creel survey to estimate socioeconomics, effort, and 689 catch by shore anglers. There were 166,430 fishing trips per year, yielding a total of 147 tonnes 690 biomass. Each angler conducted on average 65 fishing trips and spent €865 each year. White sea 691 bream (Diplodus sargus, Sparidae) was the most targeted and captured species, with 82 tonnes 692 retained. The only spear fishing data for the mainland was from a nationwide online pilot survey 693 (Assis et al., 2012).

Several studies were available on the impact of MRF on the Azores. A small study was carried out to assess the spear fishing activity of São Miguel Island (Diogo & Pereira, 2013a). On-site surveys were conducted on Pico and Faial to collect socioeconomic and fishery related information on the main methods of recreational fishing (Diogo & Pereira 2013b, 2014). Catch composition varied by fishing mode, as well as fishing pressure and expenditures. For Madeira, the only data available was from a small survey on the Big Game fishery (Graça, 2009).

## 700 Data used in this study

701 No country level estimates on MRF participation, effort, or expenditure were available. Thus, the 702 data used in this study was based on the available information, both from fishing licence statistics 703 and the regional studies. The number of fishers was estimated from the number of fishing licences 704 and was reliable as compliance with fishing licences in Portugal was high (Veiga et al., 2010; Costa, 705 2012). For Portugal and the Azores, the number of fishers was estimated directly from the average 706 annual number of licences between 2012 and 2014 (Portugal: 166,041: Azores: 4,413) (DGRM, 707 2015b; Regional Fisheries Department of Azores, unpublished data). For Madeira, the number of 708 fishers (4,413) was estimated assuming the same participation as on the Azores (1.68%). Non-709 licenced fishers (e.g. hand collectors and fishers under the age of 16) were excluded, but non-resident 710 fishers were included in number of licences, so was assumed to be a small underestimate (Table S1). 711 The effort and expenditure estimates for Portugal were based on the data available from the regional 712 surveys currently available (Lima, 2006; Veiga et al., 2010; Assis et al., 2012; Diogo & Pereira 713 2013a, 2013b). A nationwide study was used for effort and expenditure, and the expenditure was 714 corrected to constant 2015 prices using Harmonised Consumer Price Index for Portugal (Eurostat, 715 2016). The main potential source of bias came from the weighted averages used to estimate effort 716 and expenditure that were based on specific areas and fishing modes (which may not be 717 representative for the entire country). The estimates of effort and expenditure were assumed to be a 718 small overestimate and a small underestimate, respectively (Table S1)

# 719 Slovenia

MRF is carried out from the shore and boats in Solvenia, with sea breams being the main target from the shore, and picarels (*Spicara spp.*, Sparidae), sea breams, European sea bass and squid from boats (Gaudin & De Young, 2007). No licence is required for shore fishing (Gaudin & De Young, 2007), but an annual licence is required, and gear restrictions and daily bag limits are in place for boat fishing (Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, 2016). There were no studies of MRF in Slovenia, so extrapolation was needed. Target species and composition were thought to be most similar to Italy, therefore data from Italy was used for extrapolations of participation, effort, and expenditures (see Table 2 and country-specific sections for details). The biases were assumed to be the same as for the donor country (Table S1).

# 729 **Spain**

## 730 Recreational fishing sector

731 MRF management is conducted by the Spanish Autonomous Regions for inshore areas and the 732 Ministry of Fisheries for offshore areas. The fisheries differ considerably between the Atlantic and 733 the Mediterranean, with shore, boat (mainly road and line), and spear fishing occurring. The main 734 target species in the Atlantic are albacore (Thunnus alalunga, Scombridae), ballan wrasse, conger eel, horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus, Carangidae), Atlantic mackerel, common octopus, 735 736 European sea bass, sea breams, and squid; while amberjack (Carangidae), European sea bass and 737 diverse species of Scianenidae, Sparidae, and Serranidae are the main targets in the Mediterranean. 738 An MRF licence is mandatory and is issued by the administrations of the Autonomous Regions.

## 739 National Survey Data

740 There were few studies on MRF in Spain, but some information has been gathered in the Basque 741 Country and Galicia (Pita & Freire, 2011, 2014; Veiga et al., 2013; Zarauz et al., 2013; Pita & 742 Fernández-Márquez, 2014; Ruiz et al., 2014). Estimates of spear fishing expenditure (Pita & 743 Fernández-Márquez, 2014) and effort and catches (Pita & Freire, 2011, 2014) were made for Galicia. 744 In the Basque Country, shore, boat, and spear fishers were interviewed, and catch and effort of 745 recreational fishers were estimated (Ruiz et al., 2014). The performance of e-mail, phone, and off-746 site mail surveys was compared and effort was calculated independently for shore fishing, boat 747 fishing, and spear fishing (Zarauz et al., 2013, 2015). Mean expenditure for the Basque recreational 748 boat fishing sector was available from 555 interviews (Zarauz et al., 2013).

For the Mediterranean, several studies on the impact of recreational fishing from boats were conducted. Questionnaires were done by direct poll (Tragsatec, 2004) or received by mail from a randomly selected subset of licence holders. These studies provided catch composition, catch rates and economic impact of the boat fishery. Detailed studies have been done in smaller geographical areas (Morales-Nin et al., 2005, 2015; Font & Lloret 2011; Lloret & Font 2013).

754 Data used in this study

755 For the Atlantic coast, the number of fishers was calculated using the number of licences when 756 available (Galicia, Basque Country and Canary Islands). The participation rate in these regions was 757 extrapolated to the regions where the number of licences was not available (Asturias and Cantabria). 758 Fishers without licences and fishers under the age of 16 were not considered, which may result in a 759 small underestimation of the actual number. Effort estimates were calculated independently for shore 760 fishing, boat fishing, and spear fishing using the data collected in the Basque Country (Ruiz et al., 761 2014), and then weighted by the total number of fishers using each fishing method. Mean effort was 762 30 days per fisher per year. Mean expenditure estimates were available for Basque boat fishing 763 (Zarauz et al., 2013) and for spear fishing in Galicia (Pita & Fernández-Márquez, 2014), and 764 expenditure data for shore fishers was estimated from spend on baits by boat fishers. These estimates 765 were extrapolated to the whole Atlantic coast (Table 2) which was reasonable because fisheries in 766 the Cantabrian Sea are very similar. Fisheries in the Canary Islands are different, so the estimation 767 may be biased (Table S1). The population of Spain fishing in the north and south was estimated from 768 the relative numbers of days fished and participation rates calculated (Table 2).

For the Mediterranean, the numbers of fishers were obtained from the number of licences (Franquesa et al., 2004). The number of boat fishing licences was estimated to be around 93,168 for the Spanish Mediterranean and an average boat angler fished 33 days each year (Tragsatec, 2004). The expenditure of boat fishers was available (Gordoa et al., 2004; Tragsatec, 2004), but because the expenditure of other fishing methods was unknown, the estimates of expenditure for the Atlantic were used to estimate the total expenditure in the Mediterranean and the bias was assumed to be the same as for the Atlantic (Table S1). Estimates for participation and fishing effort in the Mediterranean were a moderate underestimate due to the non-coverage of some sectors (Table S1).

# 777 Sweden

## 778 Recreational fishing sector

779 Sweden has a long coastline on the North Sea in the west and Baltic Sea in the east. A range of 780 fishing methods and opportunities exist, including passive and active gear, shore and boat (private 781 and charter) fishing. Recreational fishing is a popular activity in Sweden, with over 1.7 million 782 recreational fishers (both marine and freshwater) (Svergies Officiella Statistik, 2013) making it one 783 of the most common recreational pursuits. Recreational fishing includes all fishing activities by those 784 without a commercial fishing licence, both using passive gears such as gill nets and fyke nets, and 785 active methods like angling. Few surveys have been carried out evaluating recreational catches, so 786 there was limited knowledge of catches, but some local scale information exist. The main marine 787 species targeted are Atlantic cod, Atlantic mackerel, flatfish species, Atlantic herring, sea trout, 788 crabs, and European lobster (Karlsson et al., 2014). A fishing license is not generally needed in 789 Sweden, but there are some exceptions (e.g. coastal trolling and net fishing).

# 790 National Survey Data

The Swedish national survey of recreational fishing was done in 2013. A postal questionnaire was sent to 10,000 randomly selected permanent residents in Sweden (Svergies Officiella Statistik, 2013). Around 1.7 million Swedes aged 16 to 80 went fishing and there were approximately 565,634 individuals fishing for 4.5 million days in the sea (assuming the number of days fished in the sea is proportional to the number of days fished in total). Recreational cod catches in Swedish coastal waters were estimated at 689 tonnes and there were 8,000 tonnes of all marine species retained. 797 Data used in this study

798 The data used in this study were derived from the survey of recreational fishing in Sweden in 2013 799 (Svergies Officiella Statistik, 2013) as this was the most recent, highest quality, and comprehensive 800 dataset available. The average expenditure per fisher was converted to euro using an exchange rate of 801 0.11. The survey did not distinguish between freshwater and marine fishers, so the numbers and 802 expenditure of marine fishers were derived assuming the same ratio as the numbers of days fished 803 (Table 2). The statistics did not include MRF carried out by tourists and so the number of fishers and 804 fishing effort were likely to be moderate underestimates. The expenditure was likely to be higher for marine fishers than for the general fishing population due to higher costs (e.g. boat ownership), so 805 806 represented a moderate underestimate of the true expenditures (Table S1).

807 UK

## 808 Recreational fishing sector

809 MRF in the UK is diverse, with most effort by fishers on the shore and boats (private and charter 810 vessels) (Armstrong et al., 2013). There were 1,080,000 recreational sea fishers in Great Britain, with 811 2.2% of all adults going sea fishing (Armstrong et al., 2013) and an additional 64,800 in Northern 812 Ireland (McMinn, 2013). Annual expenditure was £1.23 billion in England (Armstrong et al., 2013), 813 £140.9 million in Scotland (Radford & Riddington 2009), £87.1 million in Wales (Monkman et al., 814 2015) and a further £54.6 million in Northern Ireland (McMinn, 2013). Sea angling also had 815 important social and well-being benefits including relaxation, physical exercise, and a route for 816 socialising. In England, around 3.8 million sea fishing days were recorded, with shore angling most 817 common, followed by private or rented boats, and charter vessels were the least common. Average 818 catches per trip were highest in England on charter vessels, followed by private boats, and lowest 819 from shore. The most common species caught were Atlantic mackerel, whiting, European sea bass, Atlantic cod, and elasmobranchs. Shore and boat anglers released around 75% and 50% of fish,
respectively (Armstrong et al., 2013). No MRF licence is required in the UK.

# 822 National Survey Data

823 Several different surveys of recreational fishing participation, activity, catch, expenditure, and social 824 benefits have been done that cover different regions of the UK (Drew, 2004; Simpson & Mawle, 825 2005, 2010; Richardson et al., 2006; Radford & Riddington 2009; Brown et al., 2010, 2012; Brown, 826 2012; Armstrong et al., 2013; McMinn 2013; Monkman 2013; Monkman et al., 2015). The most 827 recent and comprehensive survey collected data on activity and catch from shore, private boats and 828 charter vessels using a variety of different survey methods (Armstrong et al., 2013). This comprised 829 of six surveys that included interviewing of over 12,000 households, contributions from 11,000 830 anglers, and visiting of over 2,000 stretches of coastline (Armstrong et al., 2013). Retained catches 831 for European sea bass and Atlantic cod were estimated to be around 30-40% of the reported English 832 commercial fishery landings (Armstrong et al., 2013). In Northern Ireland, questions were added to 833 an economics landscape study to assess participation and an online survey was used to look at areas 834 visited, species targeted, catch rates, and attitudes of sea fishers, but no estimates of catches were 835 made (McMinn, 2013).

# 836 Data used in this study

The data used in this study were derived from recent surveys of MRF in England (Armstrong et al., 2013), Northern Ireland (McMinn, 2013), Scotland (Radford & Riddington, 2009), and Wales (Monkman et al., 2015). MRF was mainly angling (rod and line), so the number of fishers were derived from the Great Britain national survey (Armstrong et al., 2013) and the estimates for Northern Ireland (McMinn, 2013), giving a total of 1,149,988 sea fishers (Table 2). Fishing effort estimates were derived from the national surveys in England, Wales, and Scotland (Radford & Riddington, 2009; Armstrong et al., 2013; Monkman et al., 2015) and angling effort in Northern Ireland was calculated from the numbers of anglers (McMinn, 2013) multiplied by the average effort per angler for England. This gave a total MRF effort of 7.1 million days (Table 2). Finally, expenditure was summed from the estimates for the individual countries (Radford & Riddington, 2009; Armstrong et al., 2013; McMinn, 2013; Monkman et al., 2015) and the average expenditure per fisher calculated before conversion to euro using an exchange rate of 1.25 euro to 1 GBP (Table 2). These figures were assumed to be representative of the UK and represent only negligible biased estimates (Table S1).

# 851 **References**

Anagnopoulos, N., Papaconstantinou, K., Oikonomou, A., Fragoudes, K., Papaharisis, L.,
Papachristou, E., Pappa, D., Lousi, M., Panagopoulos, A., Cingolani, N., Belardinelli, A.,
Santojanni, A., Colella, S., Donato, F., Kavadas, S., Penna, R., & Sdogati, C. (1998). Sport
fisheries in the Eastern Mediterranean (Greece and Italy). Anagnopoulos Planning Consultancy
(APC Ltd) and Istituto di Ricerche sulla Pesca Marititima (IRPEM), EU Project 96/018, 234 pp.
Anonymous (2006). Lov om forvaltning av viltlevande marine ressursar (havressurslova). LOV-

- 858 2008-06-06-37. Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries, Norway. Accessed from:
- 859 <u>https://lovdata.no/dokument/NL/lov/2008-06-06-37</u> (last accessed 08.07.2016). [In Norwegian.]
- Anonymous (2007). Noteikumi par rūpniecisko zveju teritoriālajos ūdeņos un ekonomiskās zonas
   ūdeņos. Regulation of the cabinet of ministers, No. 296, Riga, Latvia. Acessed from:
   <a href="http://likumi.lv/doc.php?id=156709">http://likumi.lv/doc.php?id=156709</a> (last accessed 08.07.2016). [In Latvian.]
- Arlinghaus, R. (2004). Recreational fisheries in Germany a social and economic analysis. *Berichte des IGB* 18, 1–168.
- 865 Armstrong, M., Brown, A., Hargreaves, J., Hyder, K., Munday, M., Proctor, S., & Roberts, A.
- 866 (2013). Sea Angling 2012 a survey of recreational sea angling activity and economic value in
  867 England. Crown copyright 2013, London, UK, 16 pp.
- Assis, J., Veiga, P., & Gonçalves, J.M.S. (2012). Pesca submarina em Portugal Análise SWOT.

- 869 Technical Report. Centre of Marine Science, GOBIUS, Faro, Portugal, 16 pp. [In Portuguese.]
- 870 Birzaks, J. (2007). Latvijas iekšējo ūdeņu zivju resursi un to izmantošana. Latvijas zivsaimniecības

871 *gadagrāmata* **11**, 66–82. [In Latvian.]

- 872 Bohn, J., & Roth, E. (1997). Survey on angling in Denmark 1997 Results and Comments. In:
- Socio-Economics of Recreational Fishery. (eds A.L. Toivonen and P. Tuumaimem).
  Copenhagen: Nordic Council of Ministers, Temanord, Vol. 604, pp 79–88.
- Borch, T., Moilanen, M., & Olsen, F. (2011). Marine Fishing Tourism in Norway: Structure and
  Economic Effects. Økonomisk Fiskeriforskning 21, 1–17.
- Brown, A. (2012). The National Angling Survey 2012. Survey report. © substance., Manchester,
  UK, 30 pp.
- Brown, A., Djohari, N., & Stolk, P. (2012). Fishing for answers. The final report of the social and
  community benefits of angling project. © substance., Manchester, UK, 96 pp.
- Brown, A., Stolk, P., & Dojhari, N. (2010). Angling: a social research overview. © substance,
  Manchester, UK, 43 pp.
- 883 Castro, J.J. (2004). Predação humana no litoral rochoso alentejano: caracterização, impacte
- *ecológico e conservação*. PhD Thesis, Universidade de Évora, Évora, Portugal, 348 pp. [In
  Portuguese.]
- Cautadella, S., & Spagnolo, M. (2011). Lo stato della pesca e dell'acquacoltura nei mari italiani. ©
  Ministero della politiche agricola alimentali e forstali, 150 pp. [In Italian.]
- Cingolani, N., Santojanni, A., Colella, S., & Donato, F. (2005). Interactions and conflicts between
   recreational and commercial fisheries. *Biologia Marina Mediterranea* 12, 496–499.
- 890 Costa, A.B. (2012). Fishing on Alentejo rocky shores intensity, yield and protection effects. MSc
- 891 Thesis, Universidade de Évora, Évora, Portugal, 65 pp.
- 892 Cruz, T., Jacinto, D., Sousa, A., Penteado, N., Pereira, D., Fernandes, J.N., Silva, T., & Castro, J.J.
- 893 (2015). The state of the fishery, conservation and management of the stalked barnacle *Pollicipes*

- 894 *pollicipes* in Portugal. *Marine Environmental Research* **112**, 73–80.
- Banish Agrifish Agency (2015). Fisheries statistics 2005 2014. Accessed from:
   http://naturerhverv.dk/fileadmin/user\_upload/NaturErhverv/Filer/Fiskeri/Kort\_statistik/Statistik
- 897 2/Udstedte\_fisketegn\_efter\_type\_og\_omraade\_tabel60.pdf (last accessed 08.07.2016).
- B98 DGRM (2015a). Inquérito sobre a pesca lúdica. Accessed from:
   http://www.dgrm.mam.gov.pt/xportal/xmain (last accessed 08.07.2016). [In Portuguese.]
- 900DGRM (2015b). Licenças de pesca lúdica para Portugal Continental- dados estatísticos 2012-9012014.Accessedfrom:http://www.dgrm.min-
- 902 agricultura.pt/xportal/xmain?xpid=dgrm&xpgid=genericPageV2&conteudoDetalhe\_v2=170345
   903 (last accessed 08.07.2016). [In Portuguese.]
- Diogo, H., & Pereira, J.G. (2014). Assessing the potential biological implications of recreational
  inshore fisheries on sub-tidal fish communities of Azores (north-east Atlantic Ocean) using
  catch and effort data. *Journal of Fish Biology* 84, 952–970.
- Diogo, H., & Pereira, J.G. (2013a). Recreational boat fishing pressure on fish communities of the
  shelf and shelf break of Faial and Pico Islands (Azores Archipelago): implications for coastal
  resource management. *Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria* 43, 267–276.
- 910 Diogo, H., & Pereira, J.G. (2013b). Impact evaluation of spear fishing on fish communities in an
- 911 urban area of São Miguel Island (Azores Archipelago). *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 20,
  912 473–483.
- 913 Dorow, M., & Arlinghaus, R. (2011). A telephone-diary-mail approach to survey recreational 914 fisheries on large geographic scales, with a note on annual landings estimates by anglers in
- 915 northern Germany. In: *The angler in the environment: social, economic, biological and ethical*
- 916 dimensions (eds T.D. Beard, R. Arlinghaus, S.G. Sutton). American Fisheries Society,
- 917 Bethesda, Maryland, U.S., pp 319–344.
- Drew (2004). Research into the economic contribution of sea angling. © Drew Associates Ltd, 71

919 pp.

- EU (2001). Council Regulation (EC) No. 1639/2001 of 25 July 2001 establishing the minimum and
  extended Community programmes for the collection of data in the fisheries sector and laying
  down detailed rules for the application of Council Regulation (EC) No. 1543/20. *Official Journal of the European Union* L222, 53–115.
- EU (2008). Council Regulation (EC) No. 199/2008 concerning the establishment of a Community
  framework for the collection, management and use of data in the fisheries sector and support for
  scientific advice regarding the Common Fisheries Policy. *Official Journal of the European Union* L60, 1–12.
- EU (2009). Council Regulation (EC) No. 1224/ 2009 of 20 November 2009 Establishing a
  Community control system for ensuring compliance with the rules of the Common Fisheries
  Policy, amending Regulations (EC) No. 847/96, (EC) No 2371/2002, (EC) No. 811/2004, (EC)
  No. *Official Journal of the European Union* L343, 1–50.
- EU (2010). Commission Decision of 18 December 2009 adopting a multiannual Community
  programme for the collection, management and use of data in the fisheries sector for the period
  2011-2013. *Official Journal of the European Union* L41, 8–71.
- EU (2016). Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2016/1251 of 12. July 2016 adopting a
  multiannual Union programme for the collection, management and use of data in the fisheries
  and aquaculture sectors for the period 2017-2019. 2016/4329.
- Ender, J., Trubetskoi, E., & Peil, N. (2013). Eesti harrastuspüügi kvantitatiivuuring. Eesti
  Uuringukeskus OÜ, 43 pp. Accessed from:
  <u>http://www.envir.ee/sites/default/files/harrastuskalapyyk\_2012.pdf</u> (last accessed 08.07.2016).
  [In Estonian].
- 942 Ensinger, J. (2015). Nordostdeutsche Angler im Vergleich sozioökonomische Charakteristika,
  943 Einstellungen, Wahrnehmungen und Verhaltensweisen der Angler in Berlin, Brandenburg und

- 944 Mecklenburg-Vorpommern. MSc Thesis, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany, 945 415 pp. [In German].
- 946 Indices of Consumer Prices (HICP). Eurostat (2016). Harmonised Accessed from 947 http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/hicp/data (last accessed on 05.05.2016).
- 948 Ferter, K., Borch, T., Kolding, J., & Vølstad, J.H. (2013a). Angler behaviour and implications for
- 949 management - catch-and-release among marine angling tourists in Norway. Fisheries 950 Management and Ecology 20, 137–147.
- 951 Ferter, K., Weltersbach, M.S., Strehlow, H.V., Volstad, J.H., Alos, J., Arlinghaus, R., Armstrong,
- 952 M., Dorow, M., de Graaf, M., van der Hammen, T., Hyder, K., Levrel, H., Paulrud, A., Radtke,
- 953 K., Rocklin, D., Sparrevohn, C.R., & Veiga, P. (2013b). Unexpectedly high catch-and-release
- 954 rates in European marine recreational fisheries: implications for science and management. ICES 955 Journal of Marine Science 70, 1439–1450.
- 956 Font, T., & Lloret, J. (2011). Biological implications of recreational shore angling and harvest in a 957 marine reserve: the case of Cape Creus. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater 958 *Ecosystems* **21**, 210–217.
- 959 Franquesa, R., Gordoa, A., Mina, T., Nuss, S., & Borrego, J.R. (2004). The recreational fishing in 960
- the Central and Western European Mediterranean frame. FAO Fisheries Report No. 739
- 961 FIPP/R739, Rome, Italy, 15 pp.
- 962 Gaudin, C., & De Young, C. (2007). Recreational fisheries in the Mediterranean countries: a review 963 of existing legal frameworks. Studies and Reviews Number 81, General Fisheries Commission 964 for the Mediterranean, Rome, Italy, 104 pp.
- 965 Gordoa, A., Borrego, J.R., Caillart, B., De La Serna, J.M., Di Natale, A., Franqueza, R., & Ordan, M.
- 966 (2004). Sport fishing: an informative and economic alternative for tuna fishing in the 967 Mediterranean (SFITUM). Final Report. EC PR/98/0034, 242 pp.
- 968 Graca, M. (2009). Caracterização da pesca grossa na Ilha da Madeira. MSc Thesis, Universidade

- do Algarve, Faro, Portugal, 60 pp. [In Portuguese].
- Grosch, U.A., Buchin, A.H.E., & Brandt, G. (1977). Zusammensetzung, Fangaufwand, -ziel und ertrag der Berliner Sportfischerei. *Arbeiten des Deutschen Fischereiverbandes* 22, 129–145. [In
  German].
- Hallenstvedt, A., & Wulff, I. (2003). Fritidsfiske I sjøen 2003. Norwegian College of Fisheries
  Science/University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway, 66 pp. [In Norwegian].
- 975 HCMR (2004). Tac-Regulated tuna fishing by recreational fishermen in Greece. Pilot Study.
  976 National Program for the collection of fisheries data. Hellenic Centre for Marine Research,
  977 Greece, 41 pp.
- Herfaut, J., Levrel, H., Drogou, M., Thébaud, O., & Véron, G. (2012). Ecological and economic
  impacts of marine recreational fishing in France. Amure Publications, Working Papers Series
  D-33-2012, 26 pp.
- Herfaut, J., Levrel, H., Thébaud, O., & Véron, G. (2013). The nationwide assessment of marine
  recreational fishing: A French example. *Ocean and Coastal Management* 78, 121–131.

Hilge, V. (1998). Data on recreational fisheries in the Federal Republic of Germany. In: *Recreational* 

984 Fisheries: Social, Economic and Management Aspects. (eds P. Hickley and H. Tompkins).

- 985 Fishing news Books, Oxford, EIFAC Symposium Dublin, Ireland, 11 14 June 1997, pp 10–
  986 14.
- 987 ICES (2010). Report of the Planning Group on Recreational Fisheries (PGRFS). ICES CM
  988 2010/ACOM:34, 162 pp.
- ICES (2012). Report of the Working Group on Recreational Fisheries Surveys (WGRFS). ICES CM
   2012/ACOM:23, 51 pp.
- ICES (2013). Report of the Working Group on Recreational Fisheries Surveys (WGRFS). ICES CM
   2013/ACOM:23, 45 pp.
- 993 ICES (2014). Report of the Working Group on Recreational Fisheries Surveys (WGRFS). ICES CM

- 994 2014/ACOM:37, 66 pp.
- ICES (2015). Report of the Working Group on Recreational Fisheries Surveys (WGRFS). ICES CM
   2015/SSGIEOM:10, 111 pp.
- 997 ICES (2017). Report of the Working Group on Recreational Fisheries Surveys (WGRFS). ICES CM
  998 2016/SSGIEOM:10, 76 pp.
- 999 ILVO (2007). Resultaten van een pilootstudie over de recreatieve visserij op kabeljauw in de wateren
  1000 onder Belgische jurisdictie. ILVO. IVLO-Fisheries, Oostende, Belgium, 9 pp. [In Belgian].
- 1001 ISMERI (2015). Valutazione ambientale strategica. Rapporto preliminare ambientale. PO FEAMP
- 1002 2014-2020, Ministero delle Politiche Agricole Alimentari e Forestali, 88 pp. [In Italian].
- 1003 Karlsson, M., Stabo, H.R., Petersson, E., Carlstrand, H., & Thörnqvist, S. (2014). Nationell plan för
- 1004 kunskapsförsörjning om fritidsfiske inom fisk-, havs- och vattenförvaltningen. Aqua reports
- 1005 2014:12. Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet, Drottningholm, Sweden, 71 pp. [In Swedish].
- 1006 Kleiven, A.R., Olsen, E.M., & Vølstad, J.H. (2012). Total Catch of a Red-Listed Marine Species Is
  1007 an Order of Magnitude Higher than Official Data. *PLoS ONE* 7, e31216.
- 1008 KNBF & NORBOAT (2012). Båtlivsundersøkelsen fritidsbåtlivet i Norge 2012. © KNBF and
   1009 NORBOAT, Oslo, Norway, 94 pp. [In Norwegian].
- 1010 Korņilovs, G. (2013). Latvian national program for collection of fisheries data 2011-2013. Riga,
  1011 Latvia, 62 pp.
- 1012 Latvijas Nacionālās (2013). Informatīvais ziņojums par Latvijas Nacionālās zivsaimniecības datu
  1013 vākšanas programmas 2011–2013. 6 pp. [In Latvian].
- 1014 Lescrauwaet, A.K., Torreele, E., Vincx, M., Polet, H., & Mees, J. (2013). Invisible catch: A century
- 1015 of bycatch and unreported removals in sea fisheries, Belgium 1929-2010. *Fisheries Research*1016 **147**, 161–174.
- 1017 Levrel, H., Bellanger, M., Le Goff, R., & Drogou, M. (2013). La pêche récréative en mer en France
- 1018 métropolitaine (Atlantique, Manche, Mer du Nord, Méditerranée). Résultats de l'enquête 2011-

- 10192013. Centre Ifremer Bretagne, Plouzané, France, 4 pp. Accessed from:1020http://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00162/27300/25528.pdf (last accessed 08.07.2016). [In French.]
- 1021 Levrel, H., Rocklin, D., Drogou, M., & Veron, G. (2012). La pêche récréative au bar sur les façades
- 1022 Atlantique, Manche et Mer du Nord. Ifremer. Centre Ifremer Bretagne, Plouzané, France, 4 pp.
  1023 [In French].
- 1024 Lima, D.R. (2006). *Caracterização da pesca recreativa de alto mar, a região Norte de Portuga*. BSc
  1025 Thesis, Instituto Politécnico de Leiria, Leiria, Portugal, 57 pp. [In Portuguese].
- 1026 Lithuanian Fishing Services (2016). What and when to fish. Accessed from 1027 <u>http://lithuanianfishing.com/?page\_id=512</u> (last accessed 08.03.2016). [In Lithuanian].
- Lloret, J., & Font, T. (2013). A comparative analysis between recreational and artisanal fisheries in a
   Mediterranean coastal area. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 20, 148–160.
- Lopes, J.N.C.P. (2004). *Characterization of fishing activities in the tagus estuary: Management propositions*. BSc Thesis, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal, 48 pp. [In Portuguese].
- McMinn, C. (2013). Report on the Survey of Recreational Sea Angling in Northern Ireland. © AgriFood and Bioscience Institute, Belfast, Northern Ireland, 60 pp.
- 1034 Ministeriet for Fødevarer Landbrug og Fiskeri (2010). Lystfiskeri i Danmark Hvem? Hvor meget?
- 1035 Hvordan? Ministeriet for Fødevarer, Landbrug og Fiskeri Marts. Ministeriet for Fødevarer,
- 1036 Landbrug og Fiskeri, Copenhagen, Denmark, 28 pp. Accessed
- 1037 from:http://fvm.dk/fileadmin/user\_upload/FVM.dk/Dokumenter/Servicemenu/Publikationer/Ly
- 1038 stfiskeri\_i\_Danmark.pdf (last accessed 08.03.2016). [In Danish].
- 1039 Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (2016). Fisheries. Accessed from: 1040 http://www.mkgp.gov.si/en/areas\_of\_work/fisheries/ (last accessed 18.02.2016).
- MiPAAF (2012). Riepilogo generale Comunicazioni acquisite. Ministero delle Politiche Agricole
  Alimentari e Forestali, Direzione Generale per la pesca marittima e l'acquacoltura, Data
  elaborazione del 20/02/2012, Italy, 2 pp. [In Italian].

- 1044 MiPAAF (2010). *The Italian Ministerial Decree 6/12/2010*. Italian Ministry for Agriculture and 1045 Forestry Policies, MIPAAF, Italy. [In Italian].
- Möller, H., & Tiffert, K. (1988). Preliminary evaluation of recreational angling in Kiel Bight,
  Western Baltic. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 44, 143–147.
- 1048 Monkman, G., Cambie, G., Hyder, K., Armstrong, M., Roberts, A., & Kaiser, M.J. (2015).
- Socioeconomic and Spatial Review of Recreational Sea Angling in Wales. Fisheries and
   Conservation Report No. 52, Bangor University, Bangor, Wales, 176 pp.
- 1051 Monkman, G.G. (2013). Recreational bass angling in Wales: approaches to data collection and the
- 1052 distribution of angling effort in the recreational European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax L.)
- 1053 *fishery*. MSc Thesis, University of Bangor, Bangor, Wales, 104 pp.
- 1054 Morales-Nin, B., Cardona-Pons, F., Maynou, F., & Grau, A.M. (2015). How relevant are recreational
- fisheries? Motivation and activity of resident and tourist anglers in Majorca. *Fisheries Research*1056 164, 45–49.
- 1057 Morales-Nin, B., Moranta, J., García, C., Tugores, M.P., Grau, A.M., Riera, F., & Cerdà, M. (2005).
- 1058 The recreational fishery off Majorca Island (western Mediterranean): Some implications for 1059 coastal resource management. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **62**, 727–739.
- 1060 Moutopoulos, D.K., Katselis, G., Kios, K., Tsotskou, A, Tsikliras, A.C., & Stergiou, K.I. (2013).
- Estimation and reconstruction of shore-based recreational angling fisheries catches in the Greek
  Seas (1950-2010). *Journal of Biological Research- Thessaloniki* 20, 376–381.
- Moutopoulos, D.K., & Stergiou, K.I. (2012). Spatial disentangling of Greek commercial fisheries
  landings by gear between 1928-2007. *Journal of Biological Research- Thessaloniki* 18, 265–
  279.
- Olesen, H.J., & Storr-Paulsen, M. (2015). Eel, cod and seatrout harvest in Danish recreational fishing
   during 2012. DTU Aqua report number 293-2015, Copenhagen, Denmark, 28 pp.
- 1068 Persoon, K. (2015). Who is the recreational fisherman and what does he catch? An overview of

- recreational fisheries at sea in Belgium. MSc Thesis, University of Ghent, Gehnt, Belgium, 76
   pp.
- 1071 Pita, P., & Fernández-Márquez, D. (2014). Spear fishing ban in MPAs: the rational choice? ICES
  1072 CM 2014/ 3623 B: 25, A Coruña, Spain.
- 1073 Pita, P., & Freire, J. (2011). Assessing the impact of spear fishing by using competitions records and
  1074 underwater visual censuses. *Scientia Marina* 80, 1–16.
- 1075 Pita, P., & Freire, J. (2014). The use of spearfishing competition data in fisheries management:
  1076 evidence for a hidden near collapse of a coastal fish community of Galicia (NE Atlantic Ocean).

1077 *Fisheries Management and Ecology* **21**, 454–469.

- 1078 Pranovi, F., Anelli Monti, M., Caccin, A., Colla, S., & Zucchetta, M. (2015). Recreational fishing on
- the West coast of the Northern Adriatic Sea (Western Mediterranean) and its possible ecological
  implications. *Regional Studies in Marine Science* 3, 273–278.
- 1081 Radford, A., & Riddington, G. (2009). Economic Impact of Recreational Sea Angling in Scotland.
  1082 Scottish Government, Edinburgh, UK, 263 pp.
- 1083 Radtke, K., & Dąbrowski, H. (2007). Połowy sportowo-rekreacyjne dorszy [Cod recreational
  1084 fisheries]. *Wiadomości Rybackie* 7-8, 3–7. [In Polish].
- 1085 Radtke, K., & Dąbrowski, H. (2010). Połowy sportowo-rekreacyjne dorszy trzy lata później [Cod
  1086 recreational fisheries three years later]. *Wiadomości Rybackie* 7-8, 8–10. [In Polish].
- 1087 Radtke, K., & Dąbrowski, H. (2015). Nowa ustawao rybołówstwie morskim i jej implikacje dla
- 1088 rybołówstwa rekreacyjnego [New fisheries act and the implications for recreational sea fishing].
- 1089 *Wiadomości Rybackie* **7-8**, 9–12. [In Polish].
- 1090 Rakko, A. (2014). Kui palju on Eestis harrastuskalastajaid? Journal Kalastaja 72, 82. [In Estonian].
- 1091 Rangel, M.O., & Erzini, K. (2007). An assessment of catches and harvest of recreational shore
- angling in the north of Portugal. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* **14**, 343–352.
- 1093 Rasmussen, G., & Geertz-Hansen, P. (2001). Fisheries management in inland and coastal waters in

- 1094 Denmark from 1987 to 1999. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* **8**, 311–322.
- 1095 Richardson, E.A., Kaiser, M.J., Edwards-Jones, G., & Ramsay, K. (2006). Trends in sea anglers'
  1096 catches of trophy fish in relation to stock size. *Fisheries Research* 82, 253–262.
- 1097 Rocklin, D., Levrel, H., Drogou, M., Herfaut, J., & Veron, G. (2014). Combining telephone surveys
  1098 and fishing catches self-report: The French sea bass recreational fishery assessment. *PLoS ONE*
- 1099 **9**, e87271.
- Roth, E., Toivonen, A.L., Navrud, S., Bengtsson, B., Gudbergsson, G., Tuunainen, P., Appelblad, H.,
  & Weissglas, G. (2001) Methodological, conceptual and sampling practices in surveying
  recreational fisheries in the Nordic countries Experiences of a valuation survey. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 8, 355–367.
- 1104 Ruiz, J., Zarauz, L., Urtizberea, A., Andonegi, E., Muerza, E., & Artetxe, I. (2014) Establecimiento
- de un sistema de recogida sistemática de datos sobre pesca recreativa. AZTI-Tecnalia,
  Sukiaretta, Spain, 69 pp. [In Spanish].
- Simpson, D., & Mawle, G.W. (2005). Public Attitudes to Angling 2005. A survey of attitudes and
  participation in England & Wales. Environement Agency, Bristol, UK, 62 pp.
- Simpson, D., Mawle, G.W. (2010). Public Attitudes to Angling 2010. A survey of attitudes and
  participation in England & Wales. Environement Agency, Bristol, UK, 67 pp.
- 1111 Solstrand, M.V. (2013). Marine angling tourism in Norway and Iceland: finding balance in 1112 management policy for sustainability. *Natural Resources Forum* **37**, 113–126.
- Solstrand, M.V. (2015). Institutional challenges for effective governance of consumptive wildlife
  tourism: case studies of marine angling tourism in Iceland and Norway. *Maritime Studies* 14, 4.
- 1115 Sparrevohn, C.R., & Storr-Paulsen, M. (2012). Using interview-based recall surveys to estimate cod
- 1116 *Gadus morhua* and eel *Anguilla anguilla* harvest in Danish recreational fishing. *ICES Journal of*
- 1117 *Marine Science* **69**, 323–330.
- 1118 Sparrevohn, C.R., Storr-Paulsen, M., & Neilsen, J., (2010). Eel and cod catches in Danish

- recreational fishing: survey design and 2010 catches in the Danish waters. DTU Aqua Report
  No 240–2011, 28 pp.
- Strehlow, H. V., Schultz, N., Zimmermann, C., & Hammer, C. (2012). Cod catches taken by the
  German recreational fishery in the western Baltic Sea, 2005–2010: implications for stock
  assessment and management. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 69, 1769–1780.
- Svergies Officiella Statistik (2013). Recreational fishing in Sweden 2013. *Statistiska Meddelanden*JO 57 SM 1, pp 1–18. [In Swedish].
- 1126 TDI (2013). Socio-economic study of recreational angling in Ireland. Tourism Development
  1127 International, Dun Laoghaire, Ireland, 161 pp.
- 1128 Toivonen, A.-L. (2002). A Survey of the economic value of Nordic recreational fishing. In:
- 1129 *Recreational Fisheries: Ecological, Economic and Social Evaluation.* (eds T.J. Pitcher and C.
  1130 Hollingworth). Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, UK, pp 137–143.
- 1131 Toivonen, A.-L., Roth, E., Navrud, S., Gudbergsson, G., Appelblad, H., Bengtsson, B., &
- Tuunainen, P. (2004). The economic value of recreational fisheries in Nordic countries. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 11, 1–14.
- Tragsatec (2004). Estudio del impacto socioeconómico de la pesca recreativa en el Mediterráneo
  español. Secretaría General de Pesca Marítima, Ministerio de Agricultura, Pesca y
  Alimentación, Spain, 113 pp. [In Spanish].
- Tsikliras, A., Moutopoulos, D., & Stergiou, K. (2007). Reconstruction of Greek marine fisheries
  landings: national versus FAO statistics. In: *Reconstruction of marine fisheries catches for key*
- *countries and regions (1950-2005).* (eds D. Zeller and D. Pauly). Fisheries Centre Research
  Reports, 15 (2), pp 121–137.
- 1141 Vaage, O.F. (2015). Fritidsaktiviteter 1997-2014. Barn og voksnes idrettsaktiviteter, friluftsliv og
- 1142 kulturaktivitater. Resultater fra Levekårsundersøkelsen. Statistics Norway Reports 2015/25,
- 1143 Oslo, Norway, 109 pp. [In Norwegian].

- Vale, N.A. (2003). *Abordagem preliminar da caracterização da pesca desportiva de mar em Portugal*. BSc Thesis, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal, 41 pp. [In Portugese].
- 1146 van den Stein, B. (2010). *Eindwerk: inventarisatie van de recreatieve visserij*. BSc Thesis,
  1147 University of Ghent, Gehnt, Belgium, 42 pp. [In Dutch].
- van der Hammen, T., & de Graaf, M. (2013). Recreational fishery in the Netherlands: demographics
  and catch estimates in marine and fresh water. IMARES CVO report: C147/13, Wageningen,
  Netherlands, 33 pp.
- van der Hammen, T., & de Graaf, M. (2015). Recreational fisheries in the Netherlands: analyses of
  the 2012-2013 online logbook survey, 2013 online screening survey and 2013 random digit
  dialling screening survey. IMARES CVO report: C042/15, Wageningen, Netherlands, 55 pp.
- van der Hammen, T., de Graaf, M., & Lyle, J.M. (2016). Estimating catches of marine and
  freshwater recreational fisheries in the Netherlands using an online panel survey. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 73, 441–450.
- Veiga, P., Pita, C., Leite, L., Ribeiro, J., Ditton, R.B., Gonçalves, J.M.S., & Erzini, K. (2013). From
  a traditionally open access fishery to modern restrictions: Portuguese anglers' perceptions about
  newly implemented recreational fishing regulations. *Marine Policy* 40, 53–63.
- Veiga, P., Ribeiro, J., Gonçalves, J.M.S., & Erzini, K. (2010). Quantifying recreational shore angling
  catch and harvest in southern Portugal (north-east Atlantic Ocean): Implications for
  conservation and integrated fisheries management. *Journal of Fish Biology* 76, 2216–2237.
- Verleye, T., Lescrauwaet, A.-K., van Oven, A., Kleppe, R., Roelofs, M., Persoon, K., Polet, H.,
  Torreele, E., & van Winsen, F. (2015). Recreational sea fishing in Belgium: Monitoring the
  capacity, intensity and density at sea (first results). VLIZ Beleidsinformerende Nota's,
  2015\_004. Flanders Marine Institute (VLIZ), Ostend, Belgium, 20 pp.
- 1167 Vølstad, J.H., Korsbrekke, K., Nedreaas, K.H., Nilsen, M., Nilsson, G.N., Pennington, M., Subbey,
- 1168 S., & Wienerroither, R. (2011). Probability-based surveying using self-sampling to estimate

- 1169 catch and effort in Norway's coastal tourist fishery. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 68, 1785–
  1170 1791.
- 1171 Vorkinn, M., Aas, Ø., & Kleiven, J. (1997). Friluftslivutøvelse blant den voksne befolkningen -
- 1172 utviklingstrekk og status i 1996. Østlandsforskning-Rapport number 7/1997, Lillehammer,
  1173 Norway, 113 pp. [In Norwegian.]
- Wedekind, H., Hilge, V., & Steffens, W. (2001). Present status, and social and economic significance
  of inland fisheries in Germany. *Fisheries Management and Ecology* 8, 405–414.
- 1176 Whelan, B.J., & Marsh, G. (1988). An Economic Evaluation of Irish Angling. A report prepared for
- the Central Fisheries Board. The Economic and Social Research Institute, Dublin, Ireland, 84pp.
- 1179 Wögerbauer, C., O'Reilly, S., Doody, C., Green, P., & Roche, W. (2015). Recent data (2007-2013)
- 1180 from the Irish blue shark recreational fishery. *Collective Volume of Scientific Paper ICCAT* 72,
  1181 1150–1166.
- Wolter, C.R., Arlinghaus, R., Grosch, U.A., & Vilcinskas, A. (2003). Fische & Fischerei in Berlin.
  VNW Verlag Natur & Wissenschaft, Solingen, Germany, 164 pp. [In German].
- 1184 Zarauz, L., Prellezo, R., Mugerza, E., Artetxe, I., Roa, R., Ibaibarriaga, L., & Arregi, L. (2013).
- Análisis de la flota recreativa y de su impacto socioeconómico y pesquero en Euskadi. *Revista de Investigación Marina, AZTI-Tecnalia* 20, 37–70. [In Spanish].
- Zarauz, L., Ruiz, J., Urtizberea, A., Andonegi, E., Mugerza, E., & Artetxe, I. (2015). Comparing
  different survey methods to estimate European sea bass recreational catches in the Basque
  Country. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 72, 1181–1191.
- 1190 Zimmermann, C., Schultz, N., Gebel, A., & Hammer, C. (2007). The German recreational fisheries'
- 1191 cod catch in the Baltic and North Seas, 2004-2006. Report of a pilot study in support of the
- 1192 National Fisheries Data Collection Program corresponding to Commission Regulation (EC) No
- 1193 1581/2004, 7. Appendix XI (Section E), para. Federal Fisheries Research Institute, Institute for

1194 Baltic Fisheries, Rostock, Germany. [In German].

1195

Table S1: Semi-quantitative assessment of bias associated with the assessment. A scoring system of + and - was used to represent over- and underestimates, respectively, and the number of each sign represents the magnitude of the bias (--- = high underestimate, -- = moderate underestimate, -= small underestimate, +/- negligible bias, + small overestimate, ++ = moderate overestimate, +++ = high overestimate). Where extrapolation is used the magnitude of the bias is assumed to be the same as in the donor country (indicated in brackets).

Country	Recreational Sea Fishing Information		
	Number of fishers	Total effort (days)	Expenditure (€)
Albania	(Greece)	(Italy)	(Italy)
Belgium			-
Bulgaria	(Greece)	(Italy)	(Italy)
Croatia	(Greece)	(Italy)	(Italy)
Cyprus	(Greece)	(Italy)	(Italy)
Denmark	+/-	+/-	+
Estonia	-	+++ (Latvia)	+
Finland	+/-	+/-	(Sweden)
France	+/-	+/-	+/- (Germany)
Germany	+/-	+/-	+/-
Greece		+/- (France)	(Italy)
Iceland	+/-	- (Norway)	+ (Denmark)
Ireland	+/-	+/-	+/-
Italy			
Latvia		+++	+ (Estonia)
Lithuania	(Latvia)	+++ (Latvia)	+ (Estonia)
Malta	(Greece)	(Italy)	(Italy)
Montenegro	(Greece)	(Italy)	(Italy)
Netherlands	+/-	+/-	
Norway		-	+ (Denmark)
Poland	+/- (Germany)		+ (Estonia)
Portugal	-	+	-
Romania	(Greece)	(Italy)	(Italy)
Slovenia	(Italy)	(Italy)	(Italy)
Spain (AT)		-	-
Spain (MED)			- (Spain (AT))
Sweden			
UK	+/-	+/-	+/-