Appendix S10. Effects of sampling design (A: sample size; B: sex ratio; C: timing of sampling) on the estimation of observed heterozygosity ($H_o$) using simulated data under four scenarios (1: drift dominance; 2: dispersal-drift equilibrium; 3: drift dominance; 4: parameter stabilization). Each point represents the raw value calculated for each subsamples (“NoDiff”), the raw difference from reference value (computed – reference; “Normal”), and the absolute difference from reference value (|computed – reference|; “abs(Normal)”) for each subsampled dataset. The reference value is the parameter value calculated for the full dataset. Results are presented for the five simulated populations; the three graphs from the same column (for example a, f and k for sample size and sex ratio, and a, e and i for timing of sampling) pertaining to the same simulated population.

A.1. Sample size, scenario of drift dominance
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[Graphs showing sex ratio distributions across different scenarios]
B.2. Sex ratio, scenario of dispersal-genetic drift equilibrium
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B.4. Sex ratio, scenario of parameter stabilization
C.1. Timing of sampling, scenario of drift dominance
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