Tidal downscaling from the open ocean to the coast: a new approach applied to the Bay of Biscay

Toublanc F. ^{1, *}, Ayoub N. K. ¹, Lyard F. ¹, Marsaleix P. ², Allain D. J. ¹

¹ Univ Toulouse, CNRS, LEGOS, CNES, IRD, UPS, F-31400 Toulouse, France. ² Univ Toulouse, CNRS, LA, F-31400 Toulouse, France.

* Corresponding author : F. Toublanc, email address : florence.toublanc@legos.obs-mip.fr

Abstract :

Downscaling physical processes from a large scale to a regional scale 3D model is a recurrent issue in coastal processes studies. The choice of boundary conditions will often greatly influence the solution within the 3D circulation model. In some regions, tides play a key role in coastal dynamics and must be accurately represented.

The Bay of Biscay is one of these regions, with highly energetic tides influencing coastal circulation and river plume dynamics. In this study, three strategies are tested to force with barotropic tides a 3D circulation model with a variable horizontal resolution. The tidal forcings, as well as the tidal elevations and currents resulting from the 3D simulations, are compared to tidal harmonics extracted from satellite altimetry and tidal gauges, and tidal currents harmonics obtained from ADCP data.

The results show a strong improvement of the M2 solution within the 3D model with a "tailored" tidal forcing generated on the same grid and bathymetry as the 3D configuration, compared to a global tidal atlas forcing. Tidal harmonics obtained from satellite altimetry data are particularly valuable to assess the performance of each simulation. Comparisons between sea surface height time series, a sea surface salinity database, and daily averaged 2D currents also show a better agreement with this tailored forcing.

Highlights

A new approach is proposed to tidal downscaling in 3D coastal models.
 The best tidal solution is not necessarily the best forcing for downscaling.
 The error on the M2 elevation in the Bay of Biscay is reduced by 75%.
 The success of the method is also assessed through its impact on circulation.
 Satellite altimetry is a powerful tool for tidal assessment.

Keywords : Tides, Downscaling, 3D coastal modelling, Boundary conditions, Satellite altimetry, Bay of Biscay

1

1 1. Introduction

Increasing efforts are made to improve the accuracy of global circulation models at regional scales, by improving the grid resolution, by taking into account more physical processes or through data assimilation techniques (e.g. Holt et al. (2017)). In spite of significant progresses in the recent years, the global or basin simulations performance generally remains insufficient to accurately study coastal phenomena, and regional models are still the best option, thanks to their higher resolution, tuned parameterizations or parameters, and to the consideration of comprehensive coastal processes such as tides, surface waves, estuarine processes, etc. Since regional physical processes are partly driven by large scale processes (Zheng and Weisberg, 2012), with this limited-area approach comes the issue of

*Corresponding author

Email address: florence.toublanc@legos.obs-mip.fr (F. Toublanc)

Preprint submitted to Ocean Modelling

January 23, 2018

⁹ downscaling and managing open boundary conditions. As first stated by Oliger and Sundström (1978), open boundary ¹⁰ conditions can never be considered as perfect. Several strategies have been developed to deal with this issue, as ¹¹ discussed for instance by Blayo and Debreu (2005) and Herzfeld (2009). More particularly, the nesting of several ¹² grids within each other are often used to gradually increase the resolution near the coast. However, the interpolation ¹³ necessary due to resolution differences and bathymetry inconsistencies may induce errors at the open boundaries.

Modelling the 3D ocean circulation in coastal areas and shelf seas requires an accurate representation of the tidal 14 dynamics, especially near the coast. The tidal solution in a regional circulation model results from the introduction 15 of the astronomical tidal potential in the primitive equations, and from open boundary conditions in sea surface 16 elevation (hereafter SSH) and currents. The accuracy of the tidal forcing at the open boundaries is critical for the 17 representation of tides of course, but also for the simulation of mixing and circulation through different mechanisms: 18 non-linear interactions between tidal currents and the general circulation, mixing induced by internal tides, bottom 19 friction modulation by tidal currents, mixing enhancing by vertical tidal currents shear (Carter and Merrifield, 2007; 20 Herzfeld, 2009; Guarnieri et al., 2013). Guarnieri et al. (2013) show the impact of tides on the Adriatic Sea circulation, 21 with a 3D model. They find that tides influence the circulation by modifying the horizontal advection, especially 22 during periods of weak wind stress. They also assess the impact of tides on mixing, this time for strong wind stress 23 periods. Residual tidal flows due to non-linear interactions with the topography ('topographic rectification') can also 24 be generated (González-Pola et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013). Holt et al. (2017) show that the inclusion of tides in 25 circulation models allows a better representation of seasonal stratification cycles than high resolution models without 26 tides. 27

In principle, the tidal forcing at the open-boundaries is given either as a set of tidal constituents or as time-varying fields of sea surface elevation and horizontal currents. The latter option is for instance tempting when the coastal model is also forced at the open-boundaries by a large-scale circulation model that simulates both the tidal and nontidal circulation. However, such an option requires the availability of the large-scale forcing at very high-frequency (a few minutes) which is, in practice, never (or very rarely) possible. That is why in most cases, the open-boundary conditions for tides and for the non-tidal circulation are prescribed as distinct sources. We have adopted such an approach in the present study.

Downscaling tides in a coastal (child) model is not a trivial issue: as for the general problem of open-boundary 35 conditions, the difficulties come from the numerical scheme or from the prescribed fields (at last for incoming con-36 ditions or 'active boundaries') stemming from the parent model. Another difficulty is introduced if the model is also 37 forced at the open boundaries (hereafter OB) by low frequency motions. For instance, Herzfeld and Gillibrand (2015) 38 discuss the problem of dealing with multiple timescales in a scheme based on local adjustment of the flux at the OB; 39 they propose an approach based on dual relaxation timescales for their scheme. In general, the use of prescribed tidal 40 fields lead to inconsistencies with the interior solution, mainly due to differences in bathymetry between the forcing 41 and forced models. As an example, Wang et al. (2013) note that an adjustment of the prescribed tidal barotropic 42 velocity at the OB is necessary to ensure consistency of the depth integrated barotropic transport with the interior 43

solution. In the case of baroclinic tides, other complications come from possible inconsistencies between the child
 and parent stratification as well as from the non-stationary part of the internal wave fields that requires the availability
 of the parent outputs at very high-frequency. For these reasons, in cases of offline downscaling problems such as the

⁴⁷ one addressed in this paper, only the barotropic tides are taken into account.

To prescribe barotropic tides at the OB, two strategies are usually adopted. The most common one is based on the use of tidal atlases that provide tidal harmonics (amplitude and phase) of sea surface elevation and, in most case, of 49 barotropic velocities for a given tidal spectrum. Several global atlases exist and are regularly updated (for a review see 50 Stammer et al. (2014)): some of them are built from empirical adjustment mostly from satellite altimetry to a prior 51 model, such as the GOT (Ray, 1999), or TPXO (Egbert and Erofeeva, 2002) models. Other atlases are solutions of 52 barotropic hydrodynamical models constrained by satellite and/or in situ observations via data assimilation. Among 53 the latter, FES2012 is the last distributed product from a long series of solutions obtained with the T-UGOm hydrody-54 namical model (Lyard et al., 2006) described in section 2.2. (At the time when we write this paper, the FES2014 atlas 55 is under construction). Several examples of regional or coastal circulation models that prescribe tidal harmonics from 56 global atlases at their open-boundaries are found in the recent literature: Dong et al. (2011) and Wang et al. (2013) 57 use the TPXO.6 solution for their regional models in the Southern California Bight and Prince William Sound respectively; Katavouta and Thompson (2016) use FES2004 over the Nova Scotia Shelf. In coastal/estuarine applications, 59 one or several levels of nesting are often necessary and the open-boundary conditions may be obtained from a larger 60 scale model, as done in Toublanc et al. (2016). 61

Another strategy consists in running the regional or coastal model in a 2D mode without any other forcing than tidal harmonics in sea surface elevation at the OB (the latter provided by an atlas). The solution of this barotropic simulation gives tidal constituents that are then used to force the model in 3D mode. The tidal spectrum that can be estimated from the 2D run depends mainly on the length of the simulation. Such an approach has been used in the North-East Atlantic by Maraldi et al. (2013).

In this paper, we therefore address the issue of downscaling barotropic tides in a circulation model, where an ac-67 curate representation of tides is required either for the tidal signal itself (both barotropic tides and internal tides) or for its impact on the circulation and hydrology. There is a wide literature on open-boundary conditions (hereafter OBC) 69 in regional models, and many variants of the Dirichlet, Flather, radiation and relaxation conditions are developed, 70 based on different implementations on the model grid and different strategies regarding sponge layers. A thorough 71 work with the SYMPHONIE model has been made to implement relevant OBC for coastal applications in presence 72 of strong or weak tides and consideration to fundamental properties (such as conservation of mass, energy) has been 73 given. This is summarized in the paper of Marsaleix et al. (2006). We have not found any drawbacks with this scheme. 74 We do not claim its superiority to alternative schemes either. 75

The two main sources of errors arising with OBC are the errors linked to the equations and numerical implementation of the OBC method and those due to the possible inconsistency between external forcing and interior dynamics; in this study we have made the choice to address the latter only. This is a choice motivated by the need to find a relatively easy and fast-to-implement method, that can be applied in different configurations, as an alternative to the
 revisit or adjustment of the numerical scheme and equations.

Our objective in this paper is to propose a robust and simple approach that allows to improve the downscaling 81 of barotropic tides for any given set of boundary equations and of external forcing, therefore being non-intrusive in 82 the model equations. In other words, given a certain 3D circulation model, with a given grid and bathymetry, how 83 can we improve the tidal forcing to reduce errors on the interior tidal solution? Our new approach is based on the 84 additional use of a tidal model, here the T-UGOm model of Lyard et al. (2006). Our 3D coastal model is SYMPHONIE 85 (Marsaleix et al., 2008, 2009). To avoid inconsistencies between the prescribed tides and the interior solution due to 86 mesh resolution and bathymetry differences, tidal boundary conditions are generated on the same grid and bathymetry 87 as the ones used by the 3D circulation model. The unstructured 2D spectral model T-UGOm was adapted to perform simulations on a structured, variable horizontal resolution grid, by introducing C-grid equivalent quadrangle elements. 89 This approach is applied to the Bay of Biscay, where tides are highly energetic, particularly over the western 90 French shelf with tidal ranges reaching 6 m locally at the coast. Tides are dominated by M2 (Cavanie and Hyacinthe, 91 1976; Cartwright et al., 1980; Le Cann, 1990), with amplitudes ranging between 1 to 2 m, against a few centimeters 92 for K1. Non-linear interactions occurring between semi-diurnal constituents and the topography can result in the 93 generation of overtides such as M4, which can reach amplitudes of 25 cm. Le Cann (1990) showed that the width of 94 the Bay of Biscay is close to resonance for quarter-diurnal tides, leading to a strong amplification of these constituents. 95 Figure 1 shows the distribution of the M2 tide (elevation and current) in the Bay of Biscay, taken from the FES2012 96 tidal atlas. In addition, Table 1 gives the minimum, mean and maximum values for the tidal amplitude of M2, S2, M4 97 and K1, in the Bay of Biscay. 98



Figure 1: (a) M2 elevation (m), (b) M2 current (m/s), taken from the FES2012 tidal atlas

⁹⁹ The work of Pairaud et al. (2008, 2010) has shown the ability of the SYMPHONIE model in a regional config-

Table 1: Minimum, mean and maximum amplitudes (m) of the tidal elevation for M2, S2, M4 and K1, in the Bay of Biscay, from FES2012

	M2	S 2	M4	K1
Mean	1.36	0.48	0.035	0.070
Min	1.20	0.42	0.0070	0.063
Max	2.1	0.77	0.25	0.076

uration (horizontal resolution of 1.5 km) to reproduce tides in the Bay of Biscay, the major sources of error being
 the bathymetry and boundary conditions. The latter are prescribed from a regional atlas. Since these studies, the
 bathymetry has been significantly improved by merging different datasets (Lyard, pers. comm., 2016). In this study,
 our configuration of SYMPHONIE covers the bay from the deep plain to the shelf and coastal shallow waters.

In the first part of this paper, the configuration applied to the Bay of Biscay and the data used to assess the solution are presented. The three strategies chosen to constrain the 3D circulation model boundaries with tides are then detailed. The 3rd and 4th section are dedicated to the performance evaluation of the different tidal boundary conditions. First, the forcing solutions are compared, followed by the 3D circulation simulations, which are evaluated in two stages: the tidal solution, with respect to tidal elevations and currents; the "global" simulation, comparing more integrating variables (SST, SSS, SSH and total currents). These results are then discussed before concluding.

110 2. Model and data

111 2.1. The SYMPHONIE model and the BOBSHELF configuration

¹¹² In this section, the SYMPHONIE code used for this study is presented, as well as the BOBSHELF grid and ¹¹³ configuration, which is an application of SYMPHONIE to the Bay of Biscay.

114 2.1.1. The SYMPHONIE circulation model

The SYMPHONIE model is based on the Boussinesq hydrostatic equations of momentum, temperature and salin-115 ity. The primitive equations are discretized and solved on an Arakawa C-grid, using an energy conserving finite 116 difference method described in Marsaleix et al. (2008, 2009, 2012). Following Damien et al. (2017), horizontal ad-117 vection and diffusion of momentum are respectively computed with a 4th order centered and a bi-harmonic scheme, 118 while vertical advection of momentum is given by a 2nd order centered scheme. Advection and diffusion of tracers 119 are computed using the QUICKEST scheme (Neumann et al., 2011). A wet and drying scheme is used with the same 120 vertical coordinates; when the water column thickness drops below 1m, the wetting and drying algorithm freezes the 121 tracers and cancels out the baroclinic velocities, which means that the model actually becomes locally a 2D barotropic 122 model. The k-epsilon turbulence closure scheme is implemented as in (Michaud et al., 2012). 123

Large scale forcing terms can be provided to the model. The barotropic tidal forcing consists of the harmonic tidal components provided by an external tidal dataset, introduced through the open boundary conditions, and of the astronomical tide potential, implemented in the momentum equations according to Pairaud et al. (2008). The numerical scheme for the open boundary conditions is described in (Marsaleix et al., 2006); their implementation in the present configuration is explained in the Appendix.

The air-sea fluxes are computed with the bulk formulae of Large and Yeager (2004) and variables from an atmospheric model. The daily river discharges are prescribed at the mouth of the rivers or in estuaries and converted into horizontal depth-averaged currents.

132 2.1.2. The BOBSHELF grid and configuration

The Bay of Biscay configuration used in this study is discretized on a curvilinear horizontal grid, implemented 133 using the equations and scaling factors described by Madec (2008) (Figure 2a). The resolution in the region of the 134 'Pertuis Charentais' and the Gironde estuary is of the order of 300m. Around Brittany, in the northern part of the 135 domain, the resolution is degraded. Therefore, this area will not be further discussed in this paper. The bathymetry 136 is obtained by merging a GEBCO dataset with several local databases (F. Lyard, pers. comm., 2016). The small 137 scales are removed by smoothing. The bathymetry is however not thresholded, in order to be able to represent the 138 intertidal zones using the wetting drying scheme of the model. This configuration allows the representation of physical 139 processes occurring at different spatial and temporal scales, from the deep plain to shallow areas. In this configuration, 140 large scale processes such as tides and shelf circulation can be studied, as well as fine scale processes like waves or 141 river plume dynamics. 142



Figure 2: (a): Bathymetry and grid used for the BOBSHELF configuration. In white : 100, 200 and 1000m isobaths. The displayed grid corresponds to the resolution divided by 5. (b): Location of the main in situ data of satellite altimetry tracks used for the model assessment

For the 3D configuration used in SYMPHONIE, generalized sigma coordinates are used on the vertical, with 143 55 levels. The atmospheric forcing variables are provided by the ECMWF operational analyses (6-h fields, 10 km 144 resolution). The daily river discharges for 6 rivers are obtained from hydrological stations and retrieved through the 145 French national service 'Banque Hydro' (http://www.hydro.eaufrance.fr/). 146

147

For the tidal circulation, tidal elevations and tidal horizontal currents averaged over the water column are prescribed at the open boundaries, for 9 constituents: M2, S2, N2, K2, K1, O1, P1, Q1 and M4. 148

For the 3D non-tidal circulation, the operational product of MERCATOR-Ocean (the 'IBI' product, with a reso-149 lution of 1/36°, see for instance Maraldi et al. (2013)) is prescribed at the open boundaries. The resolution near open 150 boundaries is about 3km, which is matching the IBI resolution. The IBI forcing consists of daily fields of temperature, 151 salinity, horizontal velocities and SSH. These fields are averaged over 25h hours to remove the M2 signal. Conse-152 quently, most of the tidal signal is filtered out, but we expect some to remain; this question is addressed in section 153 5. 154

The BOBSHELF configuration used for this study was conceived to study fine scale processes occurring in the Bay 155 of Biscay, especially the interactions between the Gironde estuary and circulation on the shelf. Going forward, this 156 modelling setup will be used in particular to assess the observability of such processes by the future satellite altimetry 157 mission SWOT (Fu et al., 2012; Rodriguez et al., 2017) for oceanography and continental hydrology. In this context, 158 the question of tides is central, for two reasons. First, tides play a key role in estuarine dynamics by influencing 159 mixing, resulting in a stronger or weaker stratification at the outlet, and determining the characteristics of the water 160 masses that can interact with the shelf circulation. It is then essential to have the best possible representation of tides 161 to study the estuary to ocean continuum. Secondly, as the SWOT mission is being prepared, increasing efforts are 162 made to provide the best corrections for the different sources of error, including tides. Since one of the objectives of 163 SWOT is to observe small scale processes close to the coast, i.e. where the tides influence on the sea surface height is 164 increased, the quality of satellite altimetry data is dependent on the quality of tidal corrections. A good representation 165 of tidal downscaling up to very coastal areas is then essential to the success of SWOT. 166

Although the prime focus of this study is tides, we also discuss the performance of the simulations regarding 167 salinity and temperature. Comparisons with buoy SST and SSS are included in Table 2, to show the ability of the 168 model to correctly reproduce salinity and temperature. The mean error compared to satellite SST (L3S product, Orain 169 (2016)) is also estimated at 0.53C for the years 2011 and 2012. For this calculation, only the dates when the data 170 coverage was higher than 50% are considered. Finally, the averaged error on SSS when compared to the gridded 171 monthly SSS product in the Atlantic Ocean (Reverdin et al., 2007; Alory et al., 2015) is estimated at 0.19 psu, also 172 for 2011 and 2012. 173

2.2. T-UGOm hydrodynamic model 174

T-UGOm is a 2D/3D unstructured grid model developed at LEGOS. It can accommodate a variety of numerical 175 discretization (continuous and dis-continuous finite element, finite volumes) on triangle or quadrangle elements. It 176

Table 2: Model - data comparison with SST and SSS buoys

	Oléron	Yeu	Houat
RMSE SST (°C)	0.41	0.56	0.41
RMSE SSS (psu)	0.38	0.37	0.61

can be used in time-stepping (TS) or frequency-domain (FD) mode. Both TS and FD are routinely run (Pairaud et al.,

¹⁷⁸ 2008; Stammer et al., 2014) for operational ocean high frequency signal (i.e. tides and storm surges) corrections

in satellite altimetry and gravimetric observations. Initially, the frequency-domain mode has been implemented in 179 the original time-stepping T-UGOm code to dynamically downscale tidal boundary conditions for the time-stepping 180 simulations. For instance, a Flather open boundary conditions setting needs both tidal elevation and currents to be 181 known at the open limits. Where direct interpolation from a global atlas for elevation will be quite suitable, tidal 182 currents (when available) are much less likely to be consistent with the nested grid and bathymetry. The frequency-183 domain solver, which is based on a wave equation where tidal currents are not necessarily prescribed along open 184 boundaries, allows for reconstructing at a very limited numerical cost a consistent tidal currents field on the nested 185 configuration, open boundaries included. 186

The T-UGOm FD 2D solver is originally inspired from the CEFMO frequency-domain tidal model that was earlier 187 used for the FES atlases (such as FES2004). FD solver is run for each tidal component separately, it basically assem-188 bles a frequency-domain wave equation and the solution is obtained by a simple inversion of the system. Naturally, 189 FD solver is based upon linearized equations, and subsequently non-linear processes require an iterative approach to 190 converge toward the fully non-linear solutions. The number of iterations is rather limited for the major astronomical 191 tidal components; it tends to increase when addressing compound and non-linear tides. In any case, the numerical 192 cost of the FD solver is extremely small compared to the TS solver cost (more than 1000 times smaller). In terms of 193 solution accuracy, the FD and TS solvers are quite equivalent, with of course a limited advantage to the TS solver in 194 non-linear tides cases. Another major advantage of the FD solver reduced numerical cost is the possibility to conduct 195 a wide range of experiments in order to (globally or regionally) calibrate the model parameters such as bottom fric-196 tion and internal tide drag coefficients, verify bathymetry improvements, or test numerical developments. It must be 197 noticed that the optimal parameters setting for the FD mode will also meet TS mode requirements. 198

The most commonly used elements in T-UGOm are triangles elements, as they offer the most flexible way to discretize the modelling domain with locally adapted resolution. The obvious purpose of implementing quadrangle elements is to be able to run T-UGOm FD solver on structured grids, enabling T-UGOm tidal solver to be run on most of present structured model configurations. In addition, and in the objective to perform the most consistent tidal downscaling, the elevation and current discretization must fit as close as feasible the usual C-grid discretization.

204 2.3. In situ and satellite data for model assessment

Several datasets are used to evaluate the performance of the different simulations (Figure 2b). Along-track tidal 205 harmonics obtained from a 21-year long time series of satellite altimetry data from TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1 and 206 Jason-2 missions are provided by the CTOH-LEGOS (Birol et al., 2016). The data coverage, extending over the 207 whole domain, from the deep plain to the shelf, makes it a very valuable dataset of 'spatial tidal gauges' for model 208 assessment. We also use tidal constituents computed from shorter time-series stemming from the T/P and Jason-1 209 tandem missions (10/2002-10/2005 and 02/2009-03/2012) on the 'interleaved' tracks; the latter are located midway 210 along the original tracks thus improving the spatial resolution temporarily. Because of the shorter time-series, the 211 accuracy on those tidal constituents is degraded but still convenient for the purpose of our comparisons. In the Bay of 212 Biscay, the harmonic analysis provides M2 tidal elevations with uncertainties of 0.26cm and 0.39cm for the nominal 213 and tandem mission respectively; such values are very low compared to the M2 elevation that ranges between 1.20 214 m and 2.10 m in our domain (with a mean value of 1.36 m). These estimations represent the error on the harmonic 215 analysis in itself. The error is linked to the method that is used to extract the aliased frequencies as precisely as 216 possible from the ocean background signal. Altimetric data close to the coast undergo a strong loss of accuracy for 217 several reasons due to instrumental errors and inaccuracies on geophysical corrections; therefore no data is available 218 at a distance of roughly 50km from the coast. 219

Tidal gauges from the REFMAR, SPC Gironde and Puertos del Estado networks provide both tidal harmonics and, for a few of them, SSH time series. Other tidal gauges, previously used in Pairaud et al. (2008), also provide tidal harmonics. These data were obtained through the French Navy and the OHI, but they do not include any indication of error on the tidal analysis.

Harmonic analyses are performed on ADCP current data provided by IFREMER and obtained during the ASPEX campaign (Le Boyer et al., 2013; Kersalé et al., 2016), for comparison with tidal current harmonics calculated at the same positions in the model. 2D mean daily currents, projected on the along-shore and cross-shore axes are also calculated and compared.

Because tides impact the regional hydrology through mixing and current rectification, we also compare model outputs with temperature and salinity data. We use the CORA-IBI database (temperature and salinity profiles) by IFREMER (Szekely et al., 2017), the Islands network (IFREMER), Météo-France buoys, and the Puertos del Estado network (sea surface temperature and salinity). A gridded (1°x 1°) database giving monthly estimates of the SSS (sea surface salinity) in the Atlantic Ocean (Reverdin et al., 2007; Alory et al., 2015) is also used.

233 **3. Tidal open-boundary conditions**

234 3.1. The different strategies for tidal OBC tested in this paper

The OBC in SYMPHONIE are based on Flather and radiation conditions whose implementation is described in the Appendix. External information is needed to specify incoming information. For the barotropic tides, the external 237 information consists in the elevations and horizontal currents averaged over the water column for the nine main tidal

constituents in the Bay of Biscay: M2, S2, N2, K2, O1, P1, K1, Q1, M4. For the non-tidal circulation, it consists

- in sea surface elevation, 3D temperature and salinity fields, 3D horizontal currents fields for the residual circulation,
- ²⁴⁰ provided by MERCATOR-Ocean (as described in 2.1.2).

In this paper, we compare three 7-month simulations of SYMPHONIE 3D, each one forced by a different tidal

solution (elevation and horizontal depth-averaged currents): 1/ FES2012 atlas, 2/ solution from a SYMPHONIE 2D

²⁴³ simulation, 3/ solution from a T-UGOm spectral simulation. The performed simulations are summarized in Table 3.

²⁴⁴ We emphasize the fact that the only differences between the three runs (S3D_FES, S3D_Tugo and S3D_S2D) are on

the source of the tidal elevations and currents prescribed at the open boundaries. The OBC numerical scheme is the

same for the three 3D SYMPHONIE runs.

Table 3:	Summary	of the	performed	simulations
----------	---------	--------	-----------	-------------

Tidal forcing	Model used	Simulation name	Characteristics		
(elevations)					
EE\$2012	SYMPLIONIE	530	2D clamped		
FE 5 2012	SIMPHONIE	52D	No OGCM or atmospheric forcing		
EE0010	TUCO	T	2D		
FES2012	I-UGOm	Tugo	Spectral		
3D - circulation solutions					
Tidal forcing	Model used	Simulation name	Characteristics		
(elevations and currents)					
FES2012	SYMPHONIE	S3D_FES	2D		
S2D	SYMPHONIE	S3D_S2D			
Tugo	SYMPHONIE	S3D_Tugo	OGCM and almospheric forcing		

2D	-	forcing	solutio	ns
		101 CHILL	Solutio	110

247 3.2. FES2012 atlas

FES2012 is a recent version of the FES (Finite Element Solution) global tidal model (Carrère et al., 2012), following the FES2004 version (Lyard et al., 2006). This model is based on the T-UGOm model (frequency-domain solver for the astronomical tides, and time-stepping solver for the non-linear tides) and assimilates tide gauges and satellite altimetry derived harmonic constants. Errors both in prior and assimilated solutions have been significantly reduced compared to FES2004, especially on the coastal and shelf areas, thanks to a longer time series of altimetric data, a more precise bathymetry, and the use of improved data assimilation schemes. The latest FES atlas (i.e. FES2014), despite of superior accuracy, was not used to keep consistent with some already existing simulations forced with
 FES2012.

256 3.3. 2D simulations

As an alternative to the FES2012 tidal atlas, two other tidal forcings are generated to force the 3D circulation model. The main advantage of these forcings is that they are generated on the same grid (BOBSHELF) and with the same bathymetry as the ones used in the 3D simulations.

To generate tidal boundary conditions, both for tidal elevations and currents, it is possible to run simplified (no atmospheric or OGCM forcing) 2D simulations with SYMPHONIE. These simulations are performed with clamped (or Dirichlet) conditions, meaning that only tidal elevations are used at the boundaries (in our case, FES2012 tidal elevations). Tidal currents are not considered. The model is run for 7 months, from October 2010 to April 2011, with 9 tidal constituents (M2, S2, N2, K2, K1, O1, P1, Q1 and M4). The harmonic analysis is run online. 7 months is the necessary period to be able to separate the different waves.

For the 2D T-UGOm spectral simulations (called Tugo hereafter), the clamped conditions and FES2012 tidal elevations are also used at the open boundaries. For consistency, the bottom friction is set at the same value in T-UGOm as in SYMPHONIE.

In the next section, we compare and evaluate the three tidal boundary conditions (FES2012, S2D and Tugo) by comparing tidal elevations to the available observations. In section 4, the results of the 3D simulations are detailed, first focusing on the tidal solution (elevation and currents), then on a wider range of parameters, to assess the influence of the tidal forcing on the global circulation.

273 3.4. Assessment of the tidal forcing solutions

Comparisons with tidal harmonics from satellite altimetry data and tidal gauges are presented in Figure 3. These bar plots represent the mean complex error, which accounts for errors both in amplitude and in phase. The complex error H_s is calculated as follows:

$$H_s = \sqrt{h_1^2 + h_2^2}$$
(1)

$$h_1 = H_m cos(G_m) - H_o cos(G_o) \tag{2}$$

$$h_2 = H_m sin(G_m) - H_o sin(G_o) \tag{3}$$

With H_m and G_m the amplitude and phase of the modelled constituent, and H_o and G_o the amplitude and phase of the observed constituent.

Satellite altimetry results (Figure 3b) show a better agreement with FES2012 for M2 and M4. This is expected because of the assimilation of these data in FES2012. The S2 tide is better represented by S2D and Tugo. This is because the S2 signal captured by the altimetry can be divided into two parts: an astronomic one, and an atmospheric one, which is partly non-stationary. As a consequence, the signal assimilated in FES2012 corresponds to a sort of S2 'average' that does not take into account the seasonal variability of this constituent. This can explain why the performance of the S2 assimilation is relatively limited compared to that of other constituents. More details can be found in Lyard et al. (2006).







Figure 3: Mean complex errors (in cm) over the BOBSHELF domain for the M2, S2, M4 and K1 harmonics, between the forcing solutions FES2012, S2D and Tugo, and available observations

Tidal gauges results (Figure 3a) suggest that the regional models are more accurate near the coast for the M2 tide. However, the M4 tide is better represented by FES2012. M4 is generated by the interaction of M2 with itself. Therefore, a part of the errors on M4 is a direct consequence of the errors on M2. In FES2012, the assimilation is performed independently for each constituent, meaning that the M2 solution is not directly impacting the M4 solution, reducing the level of errors on this constituent. In S2D and Tugo, there is no assimilation, which means that the M4 errors are partly inherited from M2, and squared.

For K1, the level of error is similar for the three solutions and for the two datasets (tidal gauges and satellite altimetry). In the Bay of Biscay, the signal to noise ratio in satellite altimetry is smaller for K1 than for M2, because the K1 tide has a much weaker amplitude and a much lower aliased frequency (180 days against 62). As a consequence, assimilating the K1 altimetric signal has almost no impact in this region.

As shown in Figure 3, the level of error between the two data-model comparisons (tidal gauges and satellite altimetry) is significantly different. For example, the M2 complex error is multiplied by more than 4 (for Tugo). Tidal gauges are mostly located on the coast, and a few are on the shelf. In these areas, the tidal signal is also amplified when compared to the open ocean, where most of the satellite data are obtained from. For instance, the M2 amplitude is doubled between the open ocean and certain coastal areas. In addition, near the coast, the evolution of the tidal signal is very sensitive to the geometry of the area (coastline, bathymetry). This means that the signal can be significantly different between two close locations, whereas in the open ocean, tides are relatively homogeneous in space. This strong spatial variability is difficult to reproduce in a model where the mesh size is larger than the length scale of
 bathymetric features. One model cell is then susceptible to discretize an area where the tidal signal would present
 gradients at a smaller scale.

4. Assessment of the 3D SYMPHONIE simulations

In this section, we evaluate the impact of using different tidal boundary forcing on the 3D SYMPHONIE model results by comparing the simulations to observations from different data sets. The simulation closest to the observations (within the data uncertainties range) is obviously identified as the most realistic one. These comparisons also stand for a more general assessment of the BOBSHELF configuration: we show indeed that the model-data misfits for the different variables are low over the period of study which makes us confident in the ability of the BOBSHELF configuration to simulate the main processes of the Bay of Biscay circulation with the needed accuracy for our purposes (section 2.1.2).

314 4.1. Tidal elevations

Complex errors between modelled and observed tidal amplitudes and phases are given in Table 4. Misfits from M2 altimetry are reduced by more than 75% between S3D_FES and S3D_Tugo (70% for S3D_S2D). For the tidal gauges, the error is reduced by 20% (13 % for S3D_S2D) . Altimetry errors are also lower for S2 with the Tugo and S2D forcings. For M4 and K1, the errors on altimetry are slightly higher for S3D_S2D and S3D_Tugo than for S3D_FES (between 8 and 15%). However, the difference is more significant for the tidal gauges comparison on M4 (more than +38%). For K1, S3D_S2D and S3D_Tugo simulations perform a little bit better than S3D_FES (between -11% and -17%).

Table 4: Mean complex errors (cm) between the 3D circulation solutions and the available data for M2, S2, M4 and K1. SA = Satellite altimetry; TG = Tidal gauges.

3D simulations	M2		S2		M4		K1	
	SA	TG	SA	TG	SA	TG	SA	TG
S3D_FES	7.49	9.30	1.89	3.42	0.993	2.94	1.29	1.28
S3D_S2D	2.10	8.03	1.56	3.80	1.15	4.40	1.49	1.06
S3D_Tugo	1.84	7.39	1.55	3.73	1.11	4.07	1.42	1.13

A more detailed view of the complex errors on M2 is available in Figure 4: all the tidal gauges (shown in Figure 2b) used to compute the mean error are represented, from the northern to the southwestern limit of the domain. All tidal gauges between Ouessant and Gijon are either directly on, or very close to the coast (depth < 50m). The T1 to 'COURIR5 3' gauges are located on the shelf (data from Le Cann (1990) and SHOM dataset). 'MGFCOR large' is the only gauge in the deep plain (depth > 4000m). This figure is consistent with the results presented in Table 4: M2 errors are globally much lower in S3D_Tugo and S3D_S2D than in S3D_FES. Out of 52 tidal gauges, the M2 complex error is the highest for S3D_FES in 33 of them. These errors also show a strong spatial variability. Tidal gauges within a few kilometers of each other can display a very different trend. For example, the 'Birvideaux' and 'Vilaine P1' tidal gauges are distant of less than 10km (see Figure 5 for locations). The first one shows that S3D_FES has the highest error, whereas the second one suggests the opposite. This underlines the difficulty to compare single-point data like tidal gauges records to numerical simulations, as already discussed in the previous section.

In this sense, satellite altimetry data appear to be more useful. First, it provides a spatially homogeneous dataset 334 that covers a larger area, allowing us to check if the data is consistent within a few kilometers. In terms of data 335 quality, it is also important to notice that tidal gauges data are obtained from different providers, with differences in 33 instrumentation and data processing. It is of course necessary to use tidal gauges to evaluate regional models close 337 to the coast. In this modelling configuration in particular, the resolution is increased near the coast. Tidal gauges 338 comparisons must be made, because satellite altimetry cannot yet provide reliable data in these areas. However, it is 339 important to be aware of the challenges associated with these data, that can seem easier to use than satellite altimetry 340 at first. 34

The Royan and Port-Bloc tidal gauges are located at the mouth of the Gironde estuary, each on one side of the 342 river. The Richard, Lamena, Trompeloup and Fort-Médoc gauges are distributed within the estuary, Fort-Médoc being 343 the most upstream point. At the Royan, Port-Bloc and Lamena stations, S3D_FES seems to provide the best solution, 344 but this tendency is reversed when moving upstream, from Lamena to Fort-Médoc. The Gironde estuary is a particular 345 environment, with the presence of a turbidity maximum and fluid mud (Sottolichio and Castaing, 1999). The latter 34 can induce large variations in bed roughness, which influences tidal propagation and distortion within the estuary: in 347 the presence of fluid mud, the bed roughness is very low, inducing an increase of velocities, and a reduced damping 348 of the M2 tide. In order to correctly represent tides within the estuary, bottom friction tuning will be necessary. 349 In our configuration where no specific tuning is done (that would be out of the scope of this paper) and in which 350 sedimentary processes are not taken into account, we cannot expect to represent accurately tides in the estuary. The 35 fact that S3D_FES is closer to the observations in the lower estuary than S3D_Tugo and that the opposite is found in 352 the upper estuary seems paradoxical. However, given the limitations of the configuration there, we suggest that error 353 compensating effects are responsible for the good match of S3D_FES with observations at the estuary mouth. 35



Figure 5 represents the differences in amplitude and in phase, for M2, between S3D_Tugo and S3D_FES. In amplitude, the highest differences (more than 7 cm) are obtained on the shelf, in the northern part of the domain, where the tides are highly energetic. Overall, the difference is close to 5 cm in amplitude. This value is decreasing within the Gironde estuary, suggesting again that the dynamics inside the estuary are more constrained by local effects (bathymetry, friction), than by the remote forcing. The same behavior is obtained for the M2 phase difference, which is the lowest inside the estuary.



Figure 5: Difference between S3D_Tugo and S3D_FES for the M2 amplitude (left, m) and phase (right, °). In white: 50, 100, 200 and 1000m isobaths. Black crosses: 'Vilaine P1' and 'Birvideaux' tidal gauges.

361 4.2. Tidal currents

Tidal analyses are performed on ADCP data obtained during the ASPEX campaign (Le Boyer et al., 2013; Kersalé et al., 2016), and tidal ellipses parameters are then calculated. 3D velocity currents are averaged over depth to perform a 2D analysis. No evidence of a significant vertical structure of the tidal currents was found. For consistency, only the ASPEX ADCP data covering the 7 months simulation period are used for comparison.

Figure 6 shows a global good agreement between the three S3D simulations and ASPEX data, both for M2 and M4, making it difficult to draw conclusions on the best simulation. S2 ellipses (not shown) exhibit results close to the M2 ellipses. Significant direction differences between model and data for ASPEX1 and ASPEX3 are found for M2 (Figure 6a). The M4 tidal ellipses seem a little bit more different between the three solutions (Figure 6b). For ASPEX1, the S3D_S2D and S3D_Tugo ellipses are closer to data than S3D_FES. For ASPEX3, the direction of the ellipse is better reproduced in S3D_Tugo. For ASPEX5 and ASPEX9, the semi-major axis is also closer to data in S3D_Tugo than in the other two simulations.



Figure 6: Tidal current ellipses for the M2 and M4 tide: comparison between data and S3D simulations at each ASPEX mooring.

373 4.3. Sea surface height

The SSH time series of 11 tidal gauges are compared to each 3D simulation. Not all the gauges used for the tidal harmonics comparisons provide SSH time series, explaining why the number of data points used here is reduced. Standard deviations (STD) and root mean square errors (RMSE) are normalized (divided by the data standard deviations), to represent all the tidal gauges used on the same Taylor diagram (Figure 7). The three simulations give good results, with correlation coefficients greater than 0.99 and normalized RMSE between 5 and 15. Normalized STD show a greater agreement between data STD and S3D_S2D or S3D_Tugo than S3D_FES.



Figure 7: Taylor diagram obtained from the comparison of 11 tidal gauges time series with model outputs. On the right: zoom on the lower right corner.

380 4.4. Current velocities

The ADCP data used previously to compare tidal ellipses are now processed to compare total currents. 2D daily means are calculated in the along-shore and cross-shore directions and compared. Mean RMS errors and correlations are calculated over 9 ADCPs (Table 5).

	S3D_FES		S3D_S2D		S3D_Tugo	
	RMĪS E	cōrr	RMĪS E	cōrr	RMĪS E	cōrr
Along-shore $(cm.s^{-1})$	4.96	0.448	4.77	0.510	4.55	0.585
Cross-shore $(cm.s^{-1})$	2.94	0.125	2.89	0.188	2.95	0.202

Table 5: Model - data comparison between 2D daily means of cross-shore and along-shore current velocities

For the along-shore currents, the mean RMSE is lower for S3D_Tugo and S3D_S2D than for the S3D_FES simu-384 lation. The difference is rather small (less than 10 %). However, the mean correlation is increased by more than 30 385 % between S3D_FES and S3D_Tugo (14 % for S3D_S2D). The correlations are significant (95 % confidence level) in 386 8 out of 9 moorings for S3D_Tugo, against 7 for S3D_S2D and 6 for S3D_FES. Besides, the global numbers of table 387 5 do not represent local behaviors. The impact of the tidal forcing is indeed different on the different moorings and 388 changing in time. This is illustrated on Figure 8 for the ASPEX9 mooring which is located over the slope at the 44°N 389 section: the variability of the signal is better reproduced by the S3D_Tugo simulation, particularly at the beginning 390 and the end of January 2011, and at the beginning of March 2011. To make sure that these differences are not due to 391 very local features, the results are plotted representing the median value of 9 grid points (data co-located grid point 392 and 8 surrounding grid points). The minimum and maximum values from these 9 points are also represented by the 393 shaded area. This type of data-model comparison can be called 'fuzzy verification', and comes from the fact that high 394 resolution models often score poorly with single-point comparisons, while their performance is often very satisfying. 395 Thus, fuzzy verification allows the model to be slightly displaced (here in space), and still be valuable (Ebert, 2008). 396 Cross-shore currents are very weak (0-1 cm/s on average). Correlation values are not significant and cannot be 397

399 4.5. Temperature and salinity

compared between the different simulations.

Modelled temperature and salinity are evaluated with respect to several databases of surface measurements (Is-400 lands network, Puertos del Estado network, Météo-France buoy) and in situ profiles from the CORA-IBI database 401 (Szekely et al., 2017). Time series of model-data comparisons and statistics (RMSE, STD, correlation, not shown) are 402 inconclusive, because the differences between the S3D simulations are within the in-situ measurements uncertainties. 403 Comparisons with the gridded monthly sea surface salinity product in the Atlantic Ocean (Reverdin et al., 2007; 404 Alory et al., 2015) show some differences between the 3D simulations. For the 7 months runs, considering a 3 months 405 spin-up for the 3D circulation, comparisons are made from January to April. Monthly means of the model SSS are 406 computed over the same grid as the observations. Noticeable differences between the simulations are found for two 407 points only, located on the shelf, and under the influence of the Gironde estuary (Figure 9a, hereafter 2W46N) and 408 Loire estuary (Figure 9b, hereafter 3W47N) plumes. 409

410 For the 2W46N location, in January and February, the S3D_FES simulation seems to be in better agreement with



Figure 8: 2D mean daily current velocities for the S3D_FES (top) and S3D_Tugo simulations (bottom), compared to ADCP data from Le Boyer et al. (2013). Solid line: median of 9 grid points. Shaded area: minimum and maximum values from 9 grid points

⁴¹¹ the observations. On the other hand, in March, the S3D_S2D and S3D_Tugo results are very close to observations. In

⁴¹² April, all three simulations show a similar level of performance, although the S3D_S2D and S3D_Tugo simulations

⁴¹³ are slightly better. For the 3W47N point, S3D_Tugo has the overall lowest error budget, while there is a systematic

⁴¹⁴ underestimation of the sea surface salinity for the 3 simulations.

In summary, comparisons of data-model misfits between the 3D simulations do not show strong differences.

416 However, it does not mean that there are indeed no differences in temperature and salinity between these simulations.

⁴¹⁷ A more extensive dataset would be necessary to reach a more straightforward conclusion.

418 5. Discussion

419 5.1. A downscaling challenge: an accurate representation at all scales

Based only on the results obtained on the tidal forcings (FES2012, S2D and Tugo), the FES2012 forcing seemed 420 to be the best option, because of a clear lower error budget for M2 when compared to satellite altimetry data. How-421 ever, the results obtained with the 3D simulations show that the Tugo forcing provides an overall better solution, both 422 for tidal elevations and currents. The difference of performance between the forcing FES2012 and the 3D solution 423 forced by FES2012 (S3D_FES) is particularly striking with respect to satellite altimetry: the complex error is almost 424 multiplied by 8 (compare Figure 3b and Table 4). This gap in performance between FES2012 and S3D_FES can be 425 imputed to the differences in resolution and bathymetry at the open boundaries. In other words, there is an inconsis-426 tency between the FES2012 currents at the open boundary conditions and the tidal dynamics inside the domain that 427



Figure 9: Monthly sea surface salinity (psu) comparisons between the Atlantic Ocean database and the S3D simulations, at the two points shown on the maps

are constrained by the resolution and bathymetry of the BOBSHELF configuration. These over-specification errors
 are mainly impacting the M2 tides because the M2 currents are largely dominant.

Over-specification is a recurrent pitfall with OBC. It occurs here because of the high sensitivity of tidal currents to 430 bathymetry and to the detailed representation of the coastline inside the domain. To our knowledge, there is no OBC 431 scheme that prevails to systematically reduce the over-specification errors. Continuous efforts are developed in the 432 community as configurations evolve with higher and higher resolution representing more and more complex processes. 433 The recent study of Herzfeld and Andrewartha (2012) for instance proposes a method based on the Dirichlet conditions 434 in conjunction with a local flux adjustment for volume conservation that has been successfully tested in different 435 configurations and that requires little tuning. Robustness and simplicity are of course highly attractive qualities for a 436 scheme to be implemented in complex systems. Over-specification may be enhanced in the presence of tides together 437 with a low-frequency circulation (such as in our case) because active boundary conditions for tides can be reflective 438 for the low-frequency circulation as noted by Herzfeld and Gillibrand (2015). These authors investigate a method 439 based on dual-relaxation time-scales to solve possible conflicts on the passive/active nature of open boundaries for 440 the different components of the flow. Such new research offers interesting perspectives of improvement for the OBC 441 schemes that we may want to explore in future studies. In the present one, we have chosen to adjust the external 442 forcing field in order to reduce the inconsistencies with the interior solution rather than modifying the OBC scheme. 443 The modelled M2 field for S3D_FES and S3D_Tugo is shown in Figure 10: the complex error between these simu-444 lation results and the tidal harmonics extracted from satellite altimetry data is represented by the circles superimposed 445 on the maps. Figure 10 shows that the errors are well distributed over the whole domain, and not only at the open 446 boundaries. In other words, the change of tidal open-boundary forcing has a significant impact on SSH all over the 447 basin. More precisely, the M2 amplitude is globally underestimated in S3D_FES, and the M2 phase is overestimated. 448 The better performance with S3D_Tugo results from the use of forcing tidal currents that are consistent with the 449 interior resolution and bathymetry, since the Tugo model has been run on the BOBSHELF grid. This is illustrated 450



Figure 10: Comparison between the M2 tide obtained from satellite altimetry and from S3D. In the background: M2 amplitude (m). The circle size is proportional to the complex error (m).

on Figure 11a, showing the difference between the M2 tidal current amplitude in FES2012 and Tugo. Differences 451 larger than 10 cm/s are observed over the northern slope and shelf (north of 45°N) where the tidal currents are strong 452 and the resolution increased. In particular, the difference between the 2D M2 tidal current in FES2012 and Tugo can 453 reach more than 20 cm/s close to the Armorican slope, at the north-west open boundary of our domain (Figure 11a). 454 Between S3D_FES and S3D_Tugo (Figure 11b), these differences are reduced, but they can still reach more than 10 455 cm/s. In the deep plain, the differences are much smaller in the 3D simulations. S3D_S2D also gives better results 456 than the S3D_FES simulation, but with a level of error that is slightly above the S3D_Tugo simulation. As a reminder, 457 T-UGOm was specifically designed to model and study tides, unlike SYMPHONIE, which is dedicated to circulation 458 simulations. In this sense, it is logical that the performance of the Tugo forcing would be higher, although the results 459 obtained with S2D are already an improvement from using the FES2012 atlas. 460

As an attempt to evaluate the impact of the tidal forcing on the hydrology and residual dynamics inside the 461 domain, we have compared the simulations to the observations at our disposal. We found a significant impact on the 462 representation of SSS at large scale (1°x 1°) and for monthly averages over the shelf. Possible mechanisms include the 463 advection by surface current and the vertical mixing which is strongly influenced by tides over the shelf. On the other 464 hand, comparisons to T, S profiles from single point measurements were inconclusive; this is not surprising as model-465 data misfits at single points comprehend many possible sources of error, such as co-localization, that dominates the 466 influence of the tidal solutions on stratification. Similarly, SSH time series comparisons showed very little differences 467 in terms of RMSE or correlation, but the STD of the observed signal was better reproduced by S3D_Tugo ; this 468 suggests that the SSH variability at the coast is better represented in this simulation. Finally, the daily 2D means of 469



Figure 11: Difference between the amplitude of the 2D M2 current in: (a) FES2012 and Tugo, (b) S3D_FES and S3D_Tugo (m/s)

the along-shore current velocities from the ASPEX campaign time series are better correlated to the S3D_Tugo field than to the S3D_FES field; we evidenced episodes of a strong improvement of the model slope current in S3D_Tugo with respect to the data. An attempt is made to provide an interpretation to this improvement in the next section.

473 5.2. Tidal forcing influence on the circulation

In section 4.4, 2D daily currents obtained from ADCP data are compared to modelled currents. These comparisons clearly show a better agreement with the S3D_Tugo simulation, even though the tidal ellipses calculated at the same location (section 4.2) are very close between the three simulations. This suggests that, at these locations (far from the open boundaries), the tidal forcing seems to have a greater influence on the global circulation than on the tidal currents themselves. The difference between the S3D_FES and S3D_Tugo M2 tidal current (Figure 11b) confirms this observation: on the shelf and slope in the southeastern part of the Bay, the differences are very small compared to the large differences observed at the open boundaries.

To explore further the impact on the mean circulation, we compare the mean currents over ten days, from daily 481 detided fields. Figure 12 shows the results obtained at a 50m depth, in the southern part of the domain, for the 482 S3D_FES and S3D_Tugo simulations, over the first 10 days of January. This period has been chosen because it 483 corresponds to the one where the differences at the ASPEX 9 mooring are the largest (Figure 8). It also coincides with 484 the occurrence of an eastward along slope current with an amplitude locally larger than 25 cm/s. The associated SST 485 field displays a warm water tongue along the Spanish coast that extends northward along the slope up to 45° N off the 486 French coast. This SST patterns is consistent with the classical view in the literature of a poleward slope current in 487 early winter advecting warm water masses (see for instance Pingree and Le Cann (1992)). On Figure 12, differences 488 between the simulations, at small scales in the mesoscale field, for both current and SST, can be interpreted as a 489

'stochastic' response of the turbulent flow to the small perturbations of the open-boundary conditions. On the other
 hand, the mean current over the Spanish slope seems to be affected by the change in tidal boundary conditions. In the
 S3D_Tugo simulation, the mean current is more constrained to the upper part of the slope, especially between 5°W

493 and 4° W, and 3° W and 2° W.



Figure 12: Comparison between 10-day means (January 1 to 10) of sea surface temperature (°C) and current velocities (m/s) at 50m from S3D_FES (a,c) and S3D_Tugo (b,d). The Le Danois Bank is marked with a black dot in (a). In black: 100, 200 and 1000m isobaths.

These different features are observed just east from the Le Danois Bank (approximately at 44°05'N, 4°50'W), which is a seamount-like topographic feature at about 60 km of the northern Spanish coast (González-Pola et al., 2012), quite close to the open boundaries of our configuration. From in-situ measurements, González-Pola et al. (2012) show that diurnal tides (K1 and O1) are strongly amplified, both on the northern and southern sides of this seamount. The authors interpret the amplification of the diurnal tides as resulting from the generation of resonant seamount trapped waves. They also argue that the amplification of K1, O1, and, to a lesser extent, of M2, could be an indicator of topographic tidal rectification, that can generate a mean residual current.

Tidal rectification occurs when the nonlinear terms in the momentum equation become of the order or greater than other forcing terms; the processes involved are a combination of continuity and Coriolis effects and bottom friction (Loder, 1980). Shelf breaks or seamounts in macrotidal environments, with a strong cross-isobath tidal flow, are favourable sites for the occurrence of topographic tidal rectification. For instance, Garreau and Maze (1992) derive analytical solutions for eulerian currents generated by the rectification of the M2 tides over a slope: they find that the solution is consistent with observed residual current at the top of the shelf break in the northern Bay of Biscay.

The Le Danois Bank western and northern slopes are a priori favorably oriented so that M2 tides can indeed rectify. Based on rough scaling arguments, González-Pola et al. (2012)show that M2 rectified flow could reach there a few centimeters per second.

In our simulation, because of the multiple forcing terms that are resolved by our model, it is difficult to isolate tidal 510 rectification processes (a thorough analysis of tidal rectification in our simulations is beyond the scope of this study). 511 But in view of the studies in the literature and of the general topographic characteristics, we find very likely that topo-512 graphic tidal rectification indeed occurs in our runs in the Le Danois area. In our simulations, the amplification of K1 513 and O1 does occur, with a stronger intensity in S3D_Tugo. Because of the proximity to the open boundary, the tidal 514 current shows significant differences there between the S3D_FES et S3D_Tugo runs (Figure 11). We therefore expect 515 the rectified flow to be different as well. The early January period is characterized by a mean along-slope current, at 516 least partially originated outside of our domain. We suggest that tidal-mean current interactions and topographic rec-517 tification mechanisms impact the along-slope mean flow; the observed difference further downstream, at the location 518 of the ASPEX 9 mooring (Figure 8) would then result from this impact. We also performed a simulation without tides 519 (not shown), and found that the 10-day mean currents and SST were significantly modified in this part of the Bay, thus 520 confirming the strong impact of tides on circulation. 521

Tidal rectification appears as a likely propagator within the domain of the differences on tidal currents observed at the open boundaries (Figure 11).

524 5.3. Combining tidal forcing and OGCM forcing: potential impact of imperfectly detided fields?

In this section, we open the discussion to another issue, which is not directly related to the two previous ones but that remains central in the general problem of downscaling tides.

In an attempt to downscale in a regional (child) model both the tidal and non-tidal (i.e. general circulation) dy-527 namics, one faces two possible strategies: 1/ chose a parent model that simulates both tides and the general circulation 528 and use the total parents fields (tidal plus non-tidal), 2/ use separate boundary conditions for the tidal and non-tidal dy-529 namics. The first strategy requires that the tidal signal prescribed at the open boundaries and the one generated inside 530 the domain are in phase which is very likely not to happen. Reasons for this situation not to happen are, as illustrated 531 in this study and commented in section 5.2, the usual inconsistency between the bathymetry and the difference of 532 resolution between the parent and child models. Other possible causes include the difference of parameterizations 533 (for instance for the bottom friction) and other forcings. This is the reason why the coastal modelling communities 534 (MacCready et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2011; Katavouta and Thompson, 2016) usually consider separately the open-535 boundary forcing fields for tides and for the general circulation. This raises however another issue that we address 536 below. 537

Indeed, in case the second strategy is chosen, the forcing fields for the general circulation (from the parent model) 538 must be completely detided. If they are imperfectly detided, the residual tidal signal may be aliased and impact the 539 interior solution. In our case, the parent fields from the IBI/NEMO model are provided every 24h as averages over 540 25 hours. Averaging over 25 hours is a very efficient way to remove the M2 signal (period of 12.4 hr) which is by 541 far the largest constituent in the Bay of Biscay. It also partly removes the other semi-diurnal and diurnal components. 542 A thorough look at the effect on S2 tides however shows a significant residual at the MSf frequency (i.e. at the 543 fortnightly period of the spring-neap cycle). In the IBI solutions, we find a residual signal of up to 3 cm in the 544 northern part of the domain, and approximately 1 cm on average in the whole domain (Figure 13a). For comparison, 545 the same tidal analysis has been performed on other daily fields from the MERCATOR-Ocean operational system 546 with the NEMO model running without tides: the so-called PSY2V4R4 product with a 1/12° horizontal resolution and 547 data assimilation. The analysis results in a much weaker signal at the MSf frequency, of less than 1 cm in the whole 548 domain (and likely due to the assimilation of a residual MSf signal in the altimetric data) (Figure 13b). 540



Figure 13: MSf residual (m) after a tidal analysis of daily fields of SSH

A 3D simulation forced by PSYV4R4 was performed to compare the results, in terms of tidal elevations, to the simulation forced by the IBI operational product. When compared to the tidal gauges (same dataset as the one used in section 3.4), the differences in terms of model-data misfits between the two runs are small on average for M2, S2 and M4 (0.1 to 0.2 cm in complex error). However, differences can be quite high locally, especially in, or close to the Gironde estuary for the M4 constituent. At the Royan and Port-Bloc and Richard tidal gauges, the complex errors are reduced by 2.2, 1.9 and 4.8 cm respectively. On the other hand, the errors are increased by 6.3, 6.1 and 6.1 cm

respectively for the three following upstream stations (Lamena, Trompeloup and Fort-Médoc). Thus, although the overall error budget remains almost unchanged, the influence of the global forcing can have a strong influence locally. In conclusion, the use of imperfectly detided 3D fields from the parent model leads here to a residual signal in SSH at the neap-spring frequency all over the domain (1.1 cm on average when forced by IBI, 0.42 cm when forced by PSY2V4R4 (not shown)), and enhanced over the shelf. The impact on the tidal signal itself is weak on average except locally. Our comparison to tidal gauges shows that, in spite of this residual signal, there is no evidence of any degradation on the tides representation in the child model.

563 6. Conclusion

In regional and coastal modelling, a common way to handle open-boundary conditions consists in using external 564 forcing fields from a model at basin scale in one-way nesting approach. Differences between parent and child models 565 bathymetry and resolution mainly lead to inconsistencies between the parent forcing and the child dynamics (i.e. 56 over-specification error as defined for instance by Herzfeld and Gillibrand (2015)). In this study, we propose a robust 567 and simple approach to improve the downscaling of barotropic tides in a 3D circulation model. We have chosen to 568 develop an approach that reduces the inconsistencies between the external fields and the interior solution. We do not 569 try to improve the OBC scheme itself because we aim at proposing a generic approach that can work in many different 570 configurations with different OBC equations and numerical implementations. By pre-processing the FES2012 tidal 57 atlas with a 2D simulation (S2D or Tugo), we produce tidal fields generated with the same bathymetry and on the 572 same grid as the 3D model. 573

Another solution could be to add a few cells in the 2D pre-processing configurations, in order to prevent the child model (3D) from inheriting any possible errors from the 2D model due to the open-boundary scheme (e.g. rim currents). This is not the option chosen here as we aimed to avoid defining and handling an extra configuration (the coastal domain of interest with extra cells). We have checked in the 2D solutions that there was indeed no evidence of reflection for M2 currents (which are the dominant tidal currents).

Results show the potential benefit of using a tailored tidal forcing to force a 3D circulation model. The generation of a 2D tidal solution on the same grid and bathymetry as the ones used in the 3D model reduces the errors due to interpolation and bathymetry inconsistencies at the open boundaries, especially on the tidal currents. Compared to the classical approach, that consists of using a tidal atlas, the use of the tidal model T-UGOm brings about a clear improvement in the tidal solution of the 3D simulation. This approach and method can be transposed to other 3D circulation models, particularly in areas where the tides play a key role on coastal dynamics.

Thanks to several datasets, the influence of the tidal forcing on the tidal solution and the circulation in a 3D circulation model was studied. The results show the benefit of considering both single-point data (tidal harmonics from tidal gauges, SSH time series, current velocities and tidal currents from ADCP data) and wide spatial coverage data (satellite altimetry tidal harmonics) to determine the best forcing. These findings underline the importance of using several datasets and diagnostics to validate a numerical model. Even though this configuration was conceived to study fine scale processes, the validation of the large scale circulation is crucial. This study particularly emphasizes the benefit of satellite altimetry, which provides regular time series homogeneous in space. Tidal gauges, although valuable, can exhibit significant differences in tidal elevations within a few kilometers, due to differences in instrumentation or the time series lengths for example.

Moreover, regional circulation model are often designed to reproduce and study small scale dynamics that occur 594 very close to the coast. In shallow waters, the propagation and the distortion of the tide are strongly influenced 595 by the topography and by bottom friction. For example, nonlinear interactions occurring between the tide and the 596 topography result in the generation and/or amplification of overtides such as M4. Bottom friction strongly impacts 597 the propagation of M2. To reproduce this behavior in numerical models, several tests are often required to tune the 598 bottom friction. With 3D circulation models, this calibration can take a lot of time, both in terms of CPU and running 599 time. With T-UGOm, a large number of tests can be performed in a day, because the running time is of the order of 600 minutes, against hours or days for the 3D simulations. Even if we use the S2D approach presented in this study, the 601 running time is still significantly higher than with T-UGOm in frequency-mode. Although the friction formulations 602 are obviously different between models, the bathymetry and grid being exactly the same, a first approximation can 603 easily be obtained, before adapting this tuning to the circulation model. 604

605 Acknowledgments

This study is part of the COCTO project (SWOT Science Team Program) funded by CNES. The authors wish 606 to gratefully acknowledge the CNES for the funding of this work through a post-doctoral grant. This work is also 607 a contribution to the LEFE/GMMC project ENIGME. HPC resources come from CALMIP (grants 2016 and 2017-608 p1119) and GENCI (CINES, projects EGO7298 and EGO0098): support from CALMIP and GENCI is acknowledged. 609 The authors especially thank the CTOH/LEGOS for providing the satellite altimetry data necessary to this study 610 (http://ctoh.legos.obs-mip.fr/). Many thanks to Puertos del Estado, the SPC Gironde, REFMAR Météo-France and 611 IFREMER (CORA-IBI database, Islands network), SHOM and OHI for providing the data. The authors gratefully 612 acknowledge the receipt of SSS gridded data from E. Kestenare (LEGOS). G. Charria, S. Theetten and A. Le Boyer 613 (IFREMER) are also particularly acknowledged for providing the ASPEX data and processing. We also thank C. 61 Nguyen and T. Duhaut for their valuable help with the SYMPHONIE setting. Maps and model-data comparisons for 615 tidal elevations have been made using POCViP, developed at LEGOS by D. Allain and F. Lyard. 616

617 Appendix: Open-boundary conditions (OBC) in SYMPHONIE

The numerical schemes used at the OB in Symphonie are described in Marsaleix et al. (2006) and are summarized in this appendix. The state variables of Symphonie are: the horizontal (u, v) and vertical (w) currents, temperature and salinity (T, S)and the free surface elevation anomaly (η) with respect to the state at rest. Other model variables are those used in the turbulence closure scheme and are not considered here. Barotropic (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) and baroclinic (u', v') components of the current $(u = \bar{u} + u', v = \bar{v} + v')$ are computed separately using the time-splitting technique described by Blumberg and Mellor (1987). The barotropic velocities are computed as the depth-averaged velocities. The OBC are based on distinct formulations for the different variables and on the use of external forcing fields along the open boundaries.

626 Barotropic variables

⁶²⁷ For the barotropic variables, the OBC consist in:

• C1- a Flather condition applied to the free surface elevation anomaly (η) and taking into account the external forcing

• C2- a radiative condition for the tangential component of the transport

• C3 - the transport component normal to the boundary is deduced from the continuity equation, from η (C1) and from the tangential transport (C2)

Let us take the example of a domain with a western open boundary. Figure 14 shows the discretization and the location of the open boundary. Conditions C1-C3 write as follows at time t:

$$\eta_{1,j} = \eta_{1,j}^f + \frac{1}{\sqrt{gH}} (U_{2,j} - U_{2,j}^f) \tag{4}$$

636

$$\left[\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}\right]_{2,j} = \left[\frac{\partial V^f}{\partial x}\right]_{2,j} \Rightarrow V_{1,j} - V_{1,j}^f = V_{2,j} - V_{2,j}^f \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = 0 \Rightarrow U_{1,j} = U_{2,j} - \frac{dx}{dy} [V_{1,j+1} - V_{1,j}] + dx \frac{\partial \eta_{1,j}}{\partial t}$$
(6)

where (η^f, U^f, V^f) are the external forcing fields, $(U = (H + \eta)\bar{u}, V = (H + \eta)\bar{v})$ are the barotropic transports, *H* the bathymetry and *g* gravity.

Besides, the barotropic velocity is relaxed towards the external forcing within a sponge-layer in order to reduce possible reflection of the outgoing flow. There is no additional constraint on the global mass conservation. Indeed, Marsaleix et al. (2006) show that the mean sea surface elevation over the domain tends toward the mean sea surface elevation of the forcing field over a time scale of about S/Lc, where S is the area of the domain, L the length of the open boundaries and c the mean barotropic phase speed. Taking rough values for our domain (L = 500km, H = $5000m, S = LxL, c = \sqrt{gH}$) we obtain a time scale of 0.6 hours.

The reason for the effectiveness of the Flather condition is discussed in Blayo and Debreu (2005). Besides, we note that with such a choice of conditions and of implementation on the Arakawa C grid (Figure 14), for any point inside the domain, the first order (linear) terms in the equation of motions depend on the elevation and tangential velocity at the boundary only. The normal velocity at the boundary enters the second-order advection and diffusion terms. As



Figure 14: Implementation of the OBC for a western boundary on the SYMPHONIE grid. The boundary points are shown with red symbols, while interior points are shown in blue.

noted by Herzfeld and Andrewartha (2012), this allows to minimize the impact of uncertainties in the normal velocity,

which is critical since the normal velocity is responsible for import/export of mass and energy through the boundary.

651 Baroclinic variables

For the baroclinic variables, a radiation condition is applied to the perturbations of (u', v') from the external forcing as explained by Marsaleix et al. (2006) (see their equation 28). (u', v') are also relaxed toward the external fields in a sponge-layer. The overall condition writes:

655 C4- $Bu' = Bu^f$ and $Bv' = Bv^f$ where the operator B is given by $B = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c\frac{\partial}{\partial n} - \frac{1}{\tau}$

⁶⁵⁶ Condition C4 ensures that the child solution and the external forcing give the same response to the boundary ⁶⁵⁷ operator *B*, which is a way of imposing consistency, as recommended by Blayo and Debreu (2005). The speed c is ⁶⁵⁸ constant and of an order of magnitude comparable to that of the phase speed of the internal waves (here c = 1m/s).

In the BOBSHELF configuration, the sponge-layer is 30 points wide (about 60km considering the horizontal resolution near the open boundaries of the domain) and τ decreases from 1 day at the closest point to the openboundary to one hundredth of its value at the 30th point inside the domain.

662 *Temperature and salinity*

The temperature and salinity conservation equations are used to compute the boundary conditions in T, S, as justified by Marsaleix et al. (2006). These involve the velocities at the OB as computed from conditions C1-C4. The upwind advection scheme adjusts its calculation according to the sign of the current component that is normal to the open boundary. In incoming conditions, temperature and salinity open boundary fluxes are calculated using the external T, S fields and, in the opposite case, considering the T, S fields of the interior solution.

668 External forcing

- The external forcing comes from a tidal model (or tidal atlas) and from a general circulation model (parent model) for the residual circulation, as explained in section 3.1. To be more precise:
- η^{f} is the sum of the elevations for the nine tidal constituents provided by the tidal atlas and of the non-tidal sea level elevation provided by the parent circulation model ;
- (U^f, V^f) are the sum of the horizontal transport for the nine tidal constituents and of the horizontal non-tidal transport from the parent model ;
- the external forcing fields for (u', v', T, S) are given by the parent model.

676 Dirichlet (clamped) condition used for the 2D SYMPHONIE simulation

In the 2D SYMPHONIE run (S2D) performed to generate the tidal forcing (see section 3.3), the Flather condition

- ⁶⁷⁸ C1 is replaced by a Dirichlet condition that writes:
- 679 $\eta = \eta^f$

In this case, η^f is the tidal elevation given by FES2012, since for the 2D run we exclude any other forcing than

tides (no atmospheric forcing field, no river runoff, no residual circulation at the open boundaries). Conditions C2-C4

are unchanged and the barotropic velocity is relaxed towards the external current (here from FES2012) in the sponge

⁶⁸³ layer as described above; the relaxation is expected to reduce possible reflection in case of outgoing conditions, while

the absence of any other forcing than tides limits the generation of additional waves propagating towards the open

⁶⁸⁵ boundary. We have checked that the main tidal current (M2 constituent) does not indeed show any spurious patterns

close to the open boundary.

687 References

Alory, G., Delcroix, T., Téchiné, P., Diverrès, D., Varillon, D., Cravatte, S., Gouriou, Y., Grelet, J., Jacquin, S., Kestenare, E., Maes, C., Morrow, R.,
 Perrier, J., Reverdin, G., Roubaud, F., 2015. The French contribution to the voluntary observing ships network of sea surface salinity. Deep-Sea

Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 105, 1–18. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2015.08.005.

Birol, F., Fuller, N., Lyard, F., Cancet, M., Niño, F., Delebecque, C., Fleury, S., Toublanc, F., Melet, A., Saraceno, M., Léger, F., 2016.

⁶⁹² Coastal applications from nadir altimetry: example of the X-TRACK regional products. Advances in Space Research 59, 936–953.
 ⁶⁹³ doi:10.1016/j.asr.2016.11.005.

Blayo, E., Debreu, L., 2005. Revisiting open boundary conditions from the point of view of characteristic variables. Ocean Modelling 9, 231–252.
 doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2004.07.001.

Blumberg, A.F., Mellor, G.L., 1987. A description of a three-dimensional coastal ocean circulation model. Three-dimensional coastal ocean models , 1–16.

- ⁶⁹⁸ Carrère, L., Lyard, F., Cancet, M., Guillot, A., Roblou, L., 2012. FES2012: a new global tidal model taking advantage of nearly 20 years of
 ⁶⁹⁹ altimetry, in: Proceedings of 20 years of Altimetry, Venice.
- Carter, G.S., Merrifield, M.a., 2007. Open boundary conditions for regional tidal simulations. Ocean Modelling 18, 194–209.
 doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2007.04.003.

Cartwright, D., Edden, A.C., Spencer, R., Vassie, J., 1980. The tides of the northeast Atlantic Ocean. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal

⁷⁰³ Society of London A 298, 87–139.

- Cavanie, A., Hyacinthe, J., 1976. Etude des courants et de la marée à la limite du plateau continental d'après les mesures effectuées pendant la
 campagne Golfe de Gascogne 1970. Technical Report. Ifremer.
- Damien, P., Bosse, A., Testor, P., Marsaleix, P., Estournel, C., 2017. Modeling postconvective submesoscale coherent vortices in the northwestern
 Mediterranean Sea. Journal of Geophical Research doi:10.1002/2014JC010094.
- Dong, C., McWilliams, J.C., Hall, A., Hughes, M., 2011. Numerical simulation of a synoptic event in the Southern California Bight. Journal of
 Geophysical Research 116. doi:10.1029/2010JC006578.
- Ebert, E.E., 2008. Fuzzy verification of high-resolution gridded forecasts: a review and proposed framework. Meteorological applications 15,
 51–64.
- Egbert, G.D., Erofeeva, S.Y., 2002. Efficient inverse modeling of barotropic ocean tides. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 19, 183–204. doi:10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019<0183:eimobo>2.0.co;2.
- Fu, L.L., Alsdorf, D., Morrow, R., Rodriguez, E., Mognard, N. (Eds.), 2012. SWOT: The Surface Water and Ocean Topogra phy Mission: Wide-Swath Altimetric Measurement of Water Elevation on Earth. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California.
 doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004.
- Garreau, P., Maze, R., 1992. Tidal rectification and mass transport over a shelf break: a barotropic frictionless model. Journal of Physical
 Oceanography 22, 719–731.
- 719 González-Pola, C., Díaz del Río, G., Ruiz-Villarreal, M., Sánchez, R.F., Mohn, C., 2012. Circulation patterns at Le Danois Bank, an elongated shelf-
- adjacent seamount in the Bay of Biscay. Deep-Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 60, 7–21. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2011.10.001.
- Guarnieri, A., Pinardi, N., Oddo, P., Bortoluzzi, G., Ravaioli, M., 2013. Impact of tides in a baroclinic circulation model of the Adriatic Sea.
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 118, 166–183. doi:10.1029/2012JC007921.
- Herzfeld, M., 2009. Improving stability of regional numerical ocean models. Ocean Dynamics 59, 21–46. doi:10.1007/s10236-008-0158-1.
- Herzfeld, M., Andrewartha, J., 2012. A simple, stable and accurate dirichlet open boundary condition for ocean model downscaling. Ocean
 Modelling 43, 1–21.
- Herzfeld, M., Gillibrand, P.a., 2015. Active open boundary forcing using dual relaxation time-scales in downscaled ocean models. Ocean Modelling
 89, 71–83. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2015.02.004.
- Holt, J., Hyder, P., Ashworth, M., Harle, J., Hewitt, H.T., Liu, H., New, A.L., Pickles, S., Porter, A., Popova, E., Allen, J.I., Siddorn, J., Wood,
- R., 2017. Prospects for improving the representation of coastal and shelf seas in global ocean models. Geoscientific Model Development 10,
 499–523. doi:10.5194/gmd-2016-145.
- Katavouta, A., Thompson, K.R., 2016. Downscaling ocean conditions with application to the Gulf of Maine, Scotian Shelf and adjacent deep
 ocean. Ocean Modelling 104, 54–72. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2016.05.007.
- Kersalé, M., Marié, L., Le Cann, B., Serpette, A., Lathuilière, C., Le Boyer, A., Rubio, A., Lazure, P., 2016. Poleward along-shore current pulses
 on the inner shelf of the Bay of Biscay. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 179, 155–171. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2015.11.018.
- Large, W.G., Yeager, S.G., 2004. Diurnal to decadal global forcing for ocean and sea-ice models: The data sets and flux climatologies. NCAR
 Tech. Note TN-460+ST. doi:10.5065/D6KK98Q6.
- Le Boyer, A., Charria, G., Le Cann, B., Lazure, P., Marié, L., 2013. Circulation on the shelf and the upper slope of the Bay of Biscay. Continental
 Shelf Research 55, 97–107. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2013.01.006.
- ⁷³⁹ Le Cann, B., 1990. Barotropic tidal dynamics of the Bay of Biscay shelf. Continental Shelf Research 10, 723–758.
- Loder, J.W., 1980. Topographic Rectification of Tidal Currents on the Sides of Georges Bank. Journal of Physical Oceanography 10, 1399–1416.
 doi:10.1175/1520-0485(1980)010<1399:TROTCO>2.0.CO;2.
- Lyard, F., Lefevre, F., Letellier, T., Francis, O., 2006. Modelling the global ocean tides: Modern insights from FES2004. Ocean Dynamics 56,
 394–415. doi:10.1007/s10236-006-0086-x.
- MacCready, P., Banas, N.S., Hickey, B.M., Dever, E.P., Liu, Y., 2009. A model study of tide- and wind-induced mixing in the Columbia River
- Estuary and plume. Continental Shelf Research 29, 278–291. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2008.03.015.
- 746 Madec, G., 2008. NEMO Ocean General Circulation Model Reference Manual. Internal Report LODYC/IPSL.

- 747 Maraldi, C., Chanut, J., Levier, B., Ayoub, N., De Mey, P., Reffray, G., Lyard, F., Cailleau, S., Drévillon, M., Fanjul, E.a., Sotillo, M.G., Marsaleix,
- P., 2013. NEMO on the shelf: Assessment of the Iberia-Biscay-Ireland configuration. Ocean Science 9, 745–771. doi:10.5194/os-9-745-2013.
- Marsaleix, P., Auclair, F., Duhaut, T., Estournel, C., Nguyen, C., Ulses, C., 2012. Alternatives to the Robert-Asselin filter. Ocean Modelling 41,

⁷⁵⁰ 53–66. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2011.11.002.

- Marsaleix, P., Auclair, F., Estournel, C., 2006. Considerations on Open Boundary Conditions for Regional and Coastal Ocean Models. Journal of
 Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 23, 1604–1613. doi:10.1175/JTECH1930.1.
- Marsaleix, P., Auclair, F., Floor, J.W., Herrmann, M.J., Estournel, C., Pairaud, I., Ulses, C., 2008. Energy conservation issues in sigma-coordinate
 free-surface ocean models. Ocean Modelling 20, 61–89. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2007.07.005.
- Marsaleix, P., Ulses, C., Pairaud, I., Herrmann, M.J., Floor, J.W., Estournel, C., Auclair, F., 2009. Open boundary conditions for internal gravity
 wave modelling using polarization relations. Ocean Modelling 29, 27–42. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2009.02.010.
- Michaud, H., Marsaleix, P., Leredde, Y., Estournel, C., Bourrin, F., Lyard, F., Mayet, C., Ardhuin, F., 2012. Three-dimensional modelling of
 wave-induced current from the surf zone to the inner shelf. Ocean Science 8, 657–681. doi:10.5194/os-8-657-2012.
- Neumann, L.E., ŠimÅnek, J., Cook, F.J., 2011. Implementation of quadratic upstream interpolation schemes for solute transport into HYDRUS-1D.
 Environmental Modelling and Software 26, 1298–1308. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.05.010.
- Oliger, J., Sundström, A., 1978. Theoretical and practical aspects of some initial boundary value problems in fluid dynamics. SIAM Journal on
 Applied Mathematics 35, 419–446.
- 763 Orain, F., 2016. Product user manual for Level 3 SST products over European Seas. Technical Report. CMEMS.
- Pairaud, I.L., Auclair, F., Marsaleix, P., Lyard, F., Pichon, A., 2010. Dynamics of the semi-diurnal and quarter-diurnal internal tides in the Bay of
 Biscay. Part 2: Baroclinic tides. Continental Shelf Research 30, 253–269. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2009.10.008.
- Pairaud, I.L., Lyard, F., Auclair, F., Letellier, T., Marsaleix, P., 2008. Dynamics of the semi-diurnal and quarter-diurnal internal tides in the Bay of
 Biscay. Part 1: Barotropic tides. Continental Shelf Research 28, 1294–1315. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2008.03.004.
- Pingree, R.D., Le Cann, B., 1992. Three anticyclonic slope water oceanic eDDIES (SWODDIES) in the Southern Bay of Biscay in 1990. Deep
 Sea Research Part A, Oceanographic Research Papers 39, 1147–1175. doi:10.1016/0198-0149(92)90062-X.
- Ray, R.D., 1999. A Global Ocean Tide Model From TOPEX/POSEIDON Altimetry: GOT99.2. Technical Report. NASA Tech. Memo. 209478.
 Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD. doi:1999-209478.
- Reverdin, G., Kestenare, E., Frankignoul, C., Delcroix, T., 2007. Surface salinity in the Atlantic Ocean (30S-50N). Progress in Oceanography 73,
 311–340. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2006.11.004.
- Rodriguez, E., Fernandez, D.E., Peral, E., Chen, C.W., Bleser, J.w.D., Williams, B., 2017. Wide-Swath Altimetry: A Review, in: Satellite altimetry
 over oceans and land surfaces. Taylor and Francis (in press). chapter 2.
- Sottolichio, A., Castaing, P., 1999. A synthesis on seasonal dynamics of highly-concentrated structures in the Gironde estuary. Comptes Rendus
 de l'Académie des Sciences 329, 795–800.
- Stammer, D., Ray, R., Andersen, O., Arbic, B., Bosch, W., Carrère, L., Cheng, Y., Chinn, D., Dushaw, B., Egbert, G., Erofeeva, S., Fok, H.,
 Green, J., Griffiths, S., King, M., Lapin, V., Lemoine, F., Luthcke, S., Lyard, F., Morison, J., Müller, M., Padman, L., Richman, J., Shriver,
- J., Shum, C., Taguchi, E., Yi, Y., 2014. Accuracy assessment of global barotropic ocean tide models. Reviews of Geophysics 52, 243–282.
- doi:10.1002/2014RG000450.
- Szekely, T., Bezaud, M., Pouliquen, S., Reverdin, G., Charria, G., 2017. CORA-IBI, Coriolis Ocean Dataset for Reanalysis for the Ireland-Biscay Iberia region. SEANOE doi:http://doi.org/10.17882/50360.
- Toublanc, F., Brenon, I., Coulombier, T., 2016. Formation and structure of the turbidity maximum in the macrotidal Charente estuary (France):
 Influence of fluvial and tidal forcing. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 169, 1–14. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2015.11.019.
- Wang, X., Chao, Y., Zhang, H., Farrara, J., Li, Z., Jin, X., Park, K., Colas, F., McWilliams, J.C., Paternostro, C., Shum, C.K., Yi, Y., Schoch,
- C., Olsson, P., 2013. Modeling tides and their influence on the circulation in Prince William Sound, Alaska. Continental Shelf Research 63,
- 788 S126–S137. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2012.08.016.
- 789 Zheng, L., Weisberg, R.H., 2012. Modeling the west Florida coastal ocean by downscaling from the deep ocean, across the continental shelf and

⁷⁹⁰ into the estuaries. Ocean Modelling 48, 10–29. doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2012.02.002.