

S6 Table. Number of samples of canned tuna analysed and mislabelling results split by type of label.

Country	Label	N° Samples (%)	Mislabelled(%)
Spain N=56	Tuna	9 (16%)	0 (0%)
	Species (<i>T. albacares</i> / <i>T.obesus</i>)	47 (84%)	6 (13%)
Portugal N=53	Tuna	47 (89%)	1 (2%)
	Species (Yellowfin/Skipjack)	6 (11%)	1 (17%)
France N=29	Tuna	11 (38%)	0 (0%)
	Species (<i>T. albacares</i>)	6 (21%)	1 (17%)
	Species (<i>T. alalunga</i>)	8 (28%)	0 (0%)
	Species (<i>K.pelamis</i>)	3(10%)	0 (0%)
	Species (<i>T. obesus</i>)	1 (3%)	0 (0%)
	Species	18 (62%)	1 (6%)
ROI N=35	Tuna	3 (9%)	0(0%)
	Species (<i>K. pelamis</i>)	23 (66%)	2(9%)
	Species (<i>T. albacares</i>)	9 (26%)	2(22%)
	Species	32 (91%)	4(13%)
UK N=72	Tuna	0 (0%)	N.A.
	Species (<i>K. pelamis</i>)	48 (66%)	5 (10%)
	Species (<i>T. albacares</i>)	18 (25%)	0 (0%)
	Species (<i>T. alalunga</i>)	6 (25%)	0 (0%)
	Species	72 (100%)	5 (7%)
Germany N=23	Tuna	1 (4%)	0(0%)
	Species (<i>T. albacares</i>)	4 (17%)	0 (0%)
	Species (<i>T. alalunga</i>)	5 (22%)	3 (60%)
	Species (<i>K. pelamis</i>)	13 (57%)	0 (0%)
	Species	22 (96%)	3 (13%)
GLOBAL N=268	Tuna	70 (26%)	1 (1%)
	Species indicated	198 (74%)	20 (10%)

N° of samples (%): the percentage is calculated with the total number of canned samples in each country

Mislabelling (%): the percentage is referenced to the number of samples in each category label (i.e. 6 mislabelled samples in 47= 13%).

N.A. This laboratory did not analysed samples labelled as “Tuna”, only samples with specific names.