Marine Pollution Bulletin
June 2018, Volume 131 Issue Part.B Pages 40-48
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.11.068
https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00451/56214/

Seasonal biomass and alginate stock assessment of three abundant genera of brown macroalgae using multispectral high resolution satellite remote sensing: A case study at Ekas Bay (Lombok, Indonesia)

Setyawidati Nur Azmi R. [1, 2], Puspita Maya [3, 4], Kaimuddin Awaludin H. [2], Widowati Ita [3], Deslandes Eric [2], Bourgougnon Nathalie [4], Stiger-Pouvreau Valérie [2, *]

[1] Minist Marine Affairs & Fisheries, Agcy Marine Affairs & Fisheries Res & Dev, Jl Pasir Putih 1, Ancol Timur 14430, Jakarta Utara, Indonesia.
[2] UBO, European Inst Marine Studies IUEM, LEWAR UMR UBO CNRS Ifremer IRD 6539, Technopole Brest Iroise,Rue Dumont dUrvilie, F-29280 Plouzane, France.
[3] Diponegoro Univ, Fac Fisheries & Marine Sci, Jl Prof H Soedharto SH, Tembalang 50275, Semarang, Indonesia.
[4] UBS, EA3884, Lab Biotechnol & Chim Marines, F-56000 Vannes, France.

* Corresponding author : Valérie Stiger-Pouvreau, email address : stiger@univ-brest.fr

Abstract :

The potential of Indonesian bays as alginate producers was assessed by determining the stock of wild brown algae and exploring their biomass as alginophytes at the scale of entire bay, using a combination of field observations, remote sensing high resolution data and GIS tools. Ekas Bay in Lombok Island presented a stock of brown macroalgae which varied with season and species: for *Padina* the biomass reached 97.85 ± 12.63 and 79.54 ± 2.53 tons in May/June and November respectively; for Sargassaceae species, it reached 669.70 ± 109.64 and 147.70 ± 77.97 tons in May/June and November respectively. The best alginate yields occurred during the May/June period: *Padina* could produce 9.10 ± 0.06 tons DW of alginates. Interestingly, *Sargassum*/*Turbinaria* together allow 207.61 ± 0.42 tons DW of alginates. This study suggests that wild Sargassaceae represent an interesting stock in terms of biomass, alginate yield and M/G ratio.

Keywords : Alginates, Ekas Bay, remote sensing, GIS, Biomass stock, Alginates stock

1. Introduction

Alginate is the major polysaccharide that structure brown algal cell walls; it is constituted of mannuronate (M) and guluronate (G) acids with different ratios of M/G depending on the type of species, age, and location (Draget et al., 2005; Zubia et al. 2008; reviewed by Stiger-Pouvreau et al., 2016). Alginate makes a valuable resource and the global market has reached more than 20 000 tons/year (Mc Hugh 2003; Bixler and Porse, 2011; Rebours et al., 2014; Hafting et al., 2015; Buschmann et al. 2017). Alginate is mostly used as thickening, gelling and stabilizing agents in food, cosmeceutical and pharmaceutical industries (Milani and Maleki, 2012). *Macrocystis pyrifera* (Linnaeus) C. Agardh, *Ascophyllum nodosum* (Linnaeus) Le Jolis, and *Saccharina*/*Laminaria* spp. are the main sources of alginate from temperate regions, whereas in tropical regions, the genera *Sargassum*, *Turbinaria*, and *Padina* are mainly used as major alginate sources (Critchley and Ohno, 1998; Zemke-White and Ohno, 1999), including from China, Philippines, India and Vietnam. Indonesia is also producing alginate but not as successfully as others phycocolloids produced by red macroalgae, agar and carrageenan, which are greatly produced all around the world by agarophytes (*Gelidium*, *Gracilaria,…*) and carrageenophytes (*Kappaphycus*/*Eucheuma*, *Chondrus*,…) in temperate and tropical areas. In fact, alginate production in Indonesia has decreased over past decades for the benefice of the production of phycocolloids from red seaweeds, making this country the leading producer of carrageenan (Cai et al., 2013; Hurtado et al., 2015). However, Indonesia production of carrageenan remains fragile, especially with the emergence of illnesses, like the ice-ice disease which decreases yield and quality of carrageenan (Solis et al., 2010).

To maintain its worldwide leadership in the production of phycocolloids, Indonesia began a program of diversification of their sources of phycocolloids and turn towards the exploitation of brown seaweeds to increase alginate productions (MMAF, 2015). It is believed that alginophytes production in Indonesia remain low due to a lack of information about their types and components (Mushollaeni, 2011). To generate and to develop alginate production from brown seaweeds, it is important to quantify the alginate yield and quality together with the quantity of algae available in Indonesia. Alginate content and quality in brown algae highly depend on species, environmental conditions, season of harvest, and also on the method of extraction used (Mirshafiey and Rehm, 2009). The genera *Sargassum, Turbinaria,* and *Padina* had been considered to have a great potential for the Indonesia alginate production (Rasyid, 2003), but they have not yet been optimally exploited (Subaryono, 2011).

It is then a priority to explore the potential offered by Indonesia coastlines in term of brown macroalgae distribution, biomass and production of high yields of alginate suitable for market's demand. However, the presence and availability of the three genera *Sargassum, Turbinaria,* and *Padina* in natural populations remains poorly known. These macroalgae can also experience seasonal blooming, as it was highlighted in several regions all around the world (Stiger and Payri, 1999; Ateweberhan et al., 2009). Therefore, seasonal monitoring of natural populations would be crucial for an accurate estimation of brown algae stocks, to eventually foster development of an alginophyte industry in Indonesia.

In this context, remote sensing and mapping can be helpful. They have been used in several geographic and spatial contexts for seaweed exploitation development and management, including for farms site selection, planning and zonation mapping, environmental impact assessment, inventory and monitoring, including in Indonesia (Rossi et al. 2010; Radiarta et al., 2011; Oppelt, 2012; Setyawidati et al., 2017). Hu et al. (2015) also demonstrated the feasibility of sensor satellite capture for the monitoring of spatio-temporal distribution of drifting pelagic macroalgae. Of interest here, multispectral sensors were already used in mapping benthic macroalgae and to estimate their biomass (Andréfouët et al., 2003; Andréfouët et al., 2004; Mattio et al., 2008; Noiraksar et al., 2014; Hoang et al., 2015; Zubia et al., 2015; Tin et al., 2016; Setyawidati et al., 2017; Andréfouët et al. 2017).

The present study focused on the stock assessment of brown algae using satellite remote sensing, to explore their biomass and give to Indonesian managers the potential of an area in term of dry material and yield of alginate production. For this demonstration, we choose a bay on the island of Lombok and monitored natural populations of brown algae during two different seasons, i.e. dry and wet seasons. In parallel, we extracted alginates from each species of brown algae to give information about the seasonality of potential alginate yields at the scale of the bay.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area and environmental data

Ekas Bay is situated in the southeast corner of Lombok Island, Indonesia (8°53'00"S, and 116°27'00"E). It is directly facing the Indian Ocean. It is the largest bay in Lombok covering more than 50 km^2 and with an intertidal zone reaching more than 20 km^2 (Fig. 1). Ekas Bay is characterized by two different zones distinguished according to seawater depth and substrates.

One zone covers the intertidal shoreline coastal areas, i.e. the zone which is above water at low tide and under water at high tide (0 up to 5 m water depth), and the other zone covers the always submerged deeper lagoon. Environmental conditions of Ekas Bay, i.e. water temperature, salinity, velocity, wind together with tide range, were monitored at each sampling period.

2.2. Field observations and sampling for the phenological monitoring of populations

Field observations and sampling were carried out in May and November 2014 and in June 2015 to follow broadly the monsoon regime pattern. May 2014 and June 2015 represented the southeast monsoon which is a fresh and dry season known for macroalgal bloom in Indonesia. Conversely, November 2014 represented the northwest moonsoon which is a hot and wet season generally not favorable for the growth of brown algae. These three time-periods allow monitoring variations across three successive seasons.

Field observations consisted in recording presence of algae and density (visual assessment only) for a variety of locations within the intertidal zone of the bay chosen according to the interviews of local inhabitants who pointed out to usual locations of algae presence (Fig. 1). The location of algae in the Ekas Bay were then localized and their actual locations were recorded (waypoint, hereafter WP) using a GPS Garmin GPSMAP 78s data logger (Fig. 1). The field survey was conducted during the lowest tide when seaweeds were exposed. At each WP with sufficient macroalgae abundance, biological variables were determined in each population (see further sections). Each specimen of brown macroalgae was determined at the species level using morphological criteria (Stiger-Pouvreau, pers. observ.), determination keys and local flora guidebooks (Rohfritsch et al., 2007; Mattio et al., 2008, 2009; Mattio and Payri, 2011 ; Atmadja and Prud'homme van Reine, 2014 ; Guiry and Guiry, 2016), but also using NMR analysis as already described for the genus *Turbinaria* (Le Lann et al., 2008, 2014), *Cystoseira* (Jégou et al., 2010) and *Sargassum* (Tanniou et al., 2015).

The number of WPs varied according to the presence of abundant brown macroalgae all along the study period. A total of 62, 20 and 70 WPs were recorded on May 2014, November 2014 and June 2015 respectively, representing a total of 152 points (Fig. 1). Among these

152 WPs, only 70 were used for the phenological monitoring because of the presence of enough thalli for the measurement of biological variables. We refer to them as sampling points (SPs) They were spread as 25, 16 and 29 SPs on May 2014, November 2014 and June 2015 respectively (see Figure 1 with a summary of each type of points monitored during the field survey). The remaining 82 points presented not enough algae to be sampled; then we just checked the presence/absence of brown macroalgae and noted the type of substratum for each alga. These 82 points were called observation points (OPs). All the 152 WPs were also used for the mapping of brown algae within Ekas Bay (see next section).

The phenological monitoring consisted in the sampling of triplicates of 0.25m^2 quadrats at each of the 70 SPs, leading to sample a total of n=210 quadrats. Quadrats were haphazardly placed in the structured populations of algae following the methodology described in several studies of population biology of marine macrophytes (Rogers et al. 1994, Mumby et al. 1997, Stiger and Payri 1999, Plouguerné et al. 2006, Le Lann et al. 2012). Within each quadrat were recorded for the most abundant species: the percentage cover, the density (number of thalli per m^2), the length of thalli together with the total biomass in fresh (FW) and dry (DW) weights.

2.3. Field observations for mapping algal biomass

For the mapping of algae populations, we considered only the genus level with *Padina*, *Sargassum* and pooled *Turbinaria* observations. The mean percentage cover of the three brown genera was used to determine the potential total area colonized by the three genera of brown seaweeds at Ekas Bay.

At each waypoint (70 SPs + 82 OPs), the substrate considered as a favorable habitat for living brown macroalgae was also recorded and photographed. Five categories of substrates associated to the brown macroalgal habitat were identified: 1) Sand (S); 2) Muddy Sand (MS); 3) Heterogeneous on soft (HS); 4) Hard bottom (HB) and 5) Living coral (LC).
Heterogeneous on soft corresponds to any combination of sand, ruble coral, and submerged vegetation that covers 10-100% of any substrate, while hard bottom represents hard substrate composed of exposed bedrock, hard or organic banks (Setyawidati, 2017).

2.3. Satellite data and analysis

A Worldview-2 (WV-2) satellite image acquired on 13 August 2014 (dry season) was used for the mapping. The WorldView-2 sensor provides multispectral imagery with eight bands centered at 427, 478, 546, 608, 659, 724, 833 and 947 nm thus providing higher spectral resolution than other common multispectral imagers. Image preprocessing consisted in pan sharpening operation to guide field data collection, including the choice of ground-control points to test the accuracy of the map (Green et al., 2000). Areas with inaccurate results were edited based on *in-situ* observations to produce a final map corrected for errors.

The image was acquired at a time different than all field surveys, hence the applied strategy was to map the dominant substrates observed *in situ* and assign to each substrate an average cover based on all the three study periods. A supervised Maximum Likelihood Classification performed with the ER Mapper software was performed for the 5 identified substrates of the intertidal zone. Training data were the 82 WPs not used for phenology. The 70 points used for phenology were used for accuracy assessment, to test if the correct substrates were identified by the mapping process.

To create surface grid for cover and non-cover macroalgal potential areas, SPs macroalgal percentage cover from field observations (Fig. 1) were interpolated within polygons for the 5 substrates categories, and for each species and seasons. The Kriging method provided within the Spatial Analyst ESRI toolbox was used (Childs, 2004). This procedure permitted then to cartography, the populations of brown macroalgae in relation with their preferred substrate at the different seasons.

2.4. Alginate extraction and measurement of M/G ratio of alginate

Three genera of macroalgae, i.e. *Padina, Sargassum, Turbinaria,* and four species were selected from our pre-sampling period due to their abundance. After the measurement of biological variables, thalli of about 5 cm to 50 cm, from the holdfast to the top of the individual, were sampled within SPs quadrats, cut and put in plastic bags. All the collected thalli were washed with sterile seawater to remove epiphytes or impurities, and wringed. Later, they were weighted, sun-dried, blended into fine powder, then stored in an aerated bag and kept in shaded and ventilated site prior to the extraction.

2.4.1. Extraction of alginate

All chemicals used for the alginate extraction process were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany). Alginate extraction with alkaline protocol was a laboratory adaptation of the industrial process. It was conducted in several steps as follows, using triplicates of extraction: 10 g of algal powder were rinsed and immersed in a 150 mL HCl solution (0.01N) and 2% of $CaCl_2$, subsequently at room temperature and at 70°C for two times each. The liquid supernatants as soluble fractions were centrifuged, filtered and collected for evaporation process (Vol/30). The dialysis (48 hours in -20°C) was one subsequent process prior to freeze drying and yield analysis. Alginate yield was expressed for each species and each genus of brown macroalgae as a percentage of dry weight (% DW).

2.4.2. Estimation of the M/G ratio of alginate

The estimation of mannuronic acid/guluronic acid ratio (M/G ratio) of alginate extracted from the three brown macroalgae collected at Ekas Bay was obtained with Fourier transformed Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), as described in previous works (Torres et al., 2007; Lean et al., 2008; Zubia et al., 2008 and references cited; Fenoradosoa et al., 2010). Alginate powder obtained from entire thalli of brown species collected at Ekas Bay was recorded using a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS 5 iD 7 ATR accessory (a monolith diamond ATR type) in the 4000–400 cm−1 region. Spectra from FTIR were then analyzed for the M/G ratio. The ratio of absorption band intensities appearing at 1290 and 1320 cm^{-1}, 808 and 787 cm^{-1} and 1030 and 1080 cm^{-1} in the IR spectra (as used in Lean et al. 2008 and Setyawidati 2017), gives a fairly good estimation of the M/G ratio of alginate extracts based on the intensity band from those absorptions. We then estimated the M/G ratios using the three different methods described in Setyawidati (2017) to qualify each alginophyte species in regard of their alginate and to estimate the property of alginate to create gel (by the estimation of M/G ratio).

2.5. Assessment of macroalgal biomass and alginate production at the scale of the bay

Quadrat field data provided for each brown seaweed species the average of dry weight (refered as $DW_{T,S}$) in gram per meter square ($g.m^{-2}$) and the percentage cover refered as $\%C_{T,S}$, as they are estimated for different sampling time T and for each type of substratum S. The map provided the total area for each substrate (A_s). The estimated biomass for each species or genus (B, in kg) at each sampling time T was computed by summing across all substrates the result of the equation:

$$B_{T,S} = A_S * \%C_{T,S} * Dw_{T,S}$$

DW can represent the dry weight of algae or the dry weight of alginates. Results are presented as mean value ± standard deviation.

3. Results

3.1. Environmental conditions

Sea surface temperature (SST) reached 30.0 ± 0.72°C in early dry season (May/June) and 26.0 ± 1.15°C in early rain season (November 2014). This condition was reversely correlated with salinity. Salinity was 32.0 ± 0.41 psu and 34.0 ± 0.59 psu in May/June 2014 and November 2014 respectively. Wind came from the east with 12-15 m/s of velocity in May 2014 and in June 2015. Meanwhile, in November 2014, Northwest wind was dominant with a velocity at 15-20 m/s. In Ekas Bay, tide is mixed semi-diurnal and the maximum amplitude was measured at 314 cm HAT (Highest Astronomical Tides). The intertidal and shallow water area showed important hydrodynamic condition, with the maximum current velocity at the inner Ekas Bay.

3.2. Phenology of brown macroalgae

Finally, four different brown macroalgal species were identified, which were well-identified and abundant all along the monitoring period. These were *Padina boryana* Thivy, *S. aquifolium* (Turner) C. Agardh, *Turbinaria conoides* (J. Agardh) Kützing and *T. decurrens* Bory, as listed on Table 1. Most SPs were in fact monospecific in coverage, and we were then able to monitor the percentage cover for each species across the study period, with *T. decurrens* present in May/June and *T. conoides* present in November (Table 1).

Our field surveys shown an alternation of *Turbinaria* species, i.e. *T. decurrens* dominated in dry season (May/June) and *T. conoides* in wet season (November), while *Sargassum* and *Padina* species were present all along the monitoring period (Table 1, Fig. 2).

The Table 1 showed that *Sargassum aquifolium* was also present all over the monitoring period. May 2014 and June 2015, representing the dry season coincided with the season for the blooming of *Sargassum aquifolium* at Ekas Bay. The density within the population reached 45 ± 1 and 32 ± 1 individuals per m^2 in respectively May 2014 and June 2015, while in November 2014, the density was minimal with 28 ± 2 individuals per m^2 (Table 1). Concerning the length of individuals, an increase was noted in May 2014 and June 2015 with individuals reaching a mean size of 48 ± 4.8 cm and 44 ± 6.3 cm respectively, Table 1) while in November 2014, the length of individuals was smaller (15.2 ± 2.3 cm). The alternation in *Turbinaria* species was visible by a seasonality of measured variables. *T. decurrens* was dominant in May 2014 (55 ± 3 individuals per m^2) and June 2015 (56 ± 2 individuals per m^2) while *T. conoides* was present only in November 2014 with a density of 87 ± 4 individuals per m^2 (Table 1). The length of individuals of *T. decurrens* (16.7 ± 0.7 cm and 15.4 ± 1.0 cm in respectively May 2014 and June 2015) was higher than *T. conoides* (3.2 ± 0.5 cm). Individuals of *Padina boryana* reached a size that did not vary between season and years, with 7.1 ± 0.8 cm in May 2014 and 7.4 ± 0.8 cm in June 2015 (Table 1). Density reached a maximal value in June 2015 with 43 ± 2 individuals per m^2 (Table 1).

3.3. Habitat mapping

The field observation data, from 152 WPs, indicated that the brown algae were concentrated at the Eastern part of Ekas Bay (Figs 1, 2). Among the 70 SPs (210 quadrats) sampled and though to be potential as habitat for brown algae, 25 points were on 'heterogeneous on soft' substrate, 16 were on sand, 14 on 'muddy sand', 9 on 'hard bottom' and 6 on 'living corals' (Fig. 2, Table 2). Living corals were mainly present in the west part of studying area, while hard bottom dominated the east part of the Bay (Fig. 2). Sandy substratum, often surrounded by 'heterogenous on soft' substratum, was present in the middle of the sampling area and all along the coast (Fig. 2).

The overall accuracy quantified with the 70 SP points, was good, at 78.59 % (kappa = 0.72). The total number of mapped pixels, representing the intertidal area, was 7 604 633 pixels or 30.42 km2 (Table 3). The map of the potential areas covered by the studied brown macroalgae during all seasons are shown Figure 3 (light grey). The total area mapped as potential habitat for macroalgae was estimated at 14.625 km^2 with depth less than 3 m and dominated by a 'heteregenous on soft' substrate and clear water (turbidity < 80-90%).

3.4. Coverage, biomass and alginate estimation

Field observation data (SPs) showed that the maximum coverage at Ekas Bay was 80.8 ± 4.3%, observed for *Sargassum aquifolium* in June 2015 (Table 1). Overall, *S. aquifolium* and other brown species presented high coverage during dry season (June 2015). Meanwhile, minimum coverage was observed at the same time for *Padina boryana* (38.6 ± 6.7%). *Turbinaria decurrens* dominated in May 2014 and June 2015 with respectively 59.6 ± 10.1 and 49.8 ± 8.2 (Table 1) while *T. conoides* dominated in November 2014 with small thalli recovering the substratum as a continuous carpet.

Biomass of the three brown genera *Padina, Sargassum* and *Turbinaria*, were determined for both Fresh weight (FW) and Dry weight (DW). The coefficient of correlation between Fresh weight (FW) and Dry weight (DW) of brown algae (r) was calculated at 0.9253. The average ratio between wet and dry weights for the three brown seaweeds was 8:3:1. Moisture content of the three brown algae did not vary; the average was about 87.3 ± 0.05.

The alginate yields per species for the three genera are shown in Table 4. The extraction of alginates from *T. conoides* was not possible as not enough dry matter was available from the collected thalli (dwarfism of individuals in November 2014, Table 1). The alginate yield was maximal for *S. aquifolium* (39.01 ± 0.03 % DW) and for *T. decurrens* (38.70 ± 0.02 % DW), both collected during the dry season (Table 4). Conversely, *P. boryana* presented a low alginate yield comprised between 6 to 9 % DW, with the maximal yield found during the wet season (9.30 ± 0.01 %, Table 4).

The property of alginate was demonstrated by the estimation of the M/G ratio of all extracted alginates (Table 4). Using three different manners to determine this ratio, alginates from the three species were able to form gel as values of ratio oscillated between 0.689 (*P. boryana*, dry season) and 1.031 (*T. decurrens*, dry season). One should note the great intraspecific variability of ratio depending of the used method. Using the Mackie method, which is the most used in the literature, *Sargassum* and *Turbinaria* species from Ekas Bay produced an alginate with a M/G ratio slightly inferior to 1, then with a slightly dominance of G units.

At the scale of the bay, we estimated the stock in *Padina boryana* within a range of 85.23 tons DW to 110.48 tons DW, obtained respectively in May 2014 and June 2015, and at

79.54 tons in November 2014 (Table 5), with this biomass distributing along 6.31 km^2. Larger DW biomasses values were determined for the couple *Sargassum*/*Turbinaria* species with an estimated 779.33 tons and 560.06 tons DW for May 2014 and June 2015 respectively, while the biomass decreased for November 2014 at 147.70 tons DW, due to *Turbinaria decurrens* absence at this sampling date and *T. conoides* represented by dwarf individuals impossible to collect as they constituted a carpet on the substratum. These brown seaweeds were distributed within an area of 8.312 km^2.

The alginate stock for *Padina boryana* was estimated at 9.10 and 4.97 tons in the dry and wet seasons respectively. Larger alginate stocks were calculated for the couple *Sargassum*/*Turbinaria*, with 207.61 and 57.60 tons in dry and wet season respectively (Table 5).

4. Discussion

Our study confirmed a great exploitation potential for brown seaweeds in Ekas Bay (Indonesia) by estimating the potential area of these brown seaweeds (14.625 km^2), the biomass and alginate stock at two seasons and for two taxonomic entities, namely *Padina boryana* and the couple *Sargassum*/*Turbinaria*.

Brown algae were mostly found at the Eastern part of Ekas Bay near the shoreline. The Western part of the Bay was dominated by high turbidity water and high suspended matter which was not likely favorable to fleshy macroalgae. These poor conditions are related to the presence of an estuary more suitable for seagrass and mangrove at the north of Ekas Bay. In term of biomass interesting to harvest, our study highlighted a relative stable biomass of *Padina boryana* for the two periods of sampling while the biomass was more variable for the couple *Sargassum*/*Turbinaria* with high biomasses for May/June and a decrease in November. Indeed, during our monitoring period, *Padina boryana* and *Sargassum aquifolium* were present all year-round while *Turbinaria* species were seasonal, with *T. conoides* present in November and *T. decurrens* observed in May/June. *T. conoides* was represented in November 2014 as a carpet of small individuals covering the substratum. The seasonality or dwarfism of Sargassaceae species was already highlighted in other tropical areas (Stiger and Payri 1999; Ateweberhan et al. 2009; Le Lann et al. 2012; Hoang et al., 2016) with highest biomass values occurred during cooler months. Similar results on biomass and percentage cover were also obtained by a similar study as here, conducted for another Indonesian site, Malasoro Bay in South Sulawesi.

The production in brown algae within the Ekas Bay is interesting to compare with other sites. An estimation of the biomass in brown algae living in the South West lagoon of New Caledonia, using space borne high resolution Landsat (30-m resolution) and Quickbird (2.4-m resolution), was done by Mattio et al. (2008). In this area, the biomass of *Sargassum* beds was estimated at 2 900 kg DW on 9 km^2, thus lower than the biomass of brown algae found in our present study. Moreover, prospective industrial use of drifting algae was also conducted in the South Pacific, including estimations of biomass, biomass renewal and agronomical trials by Zubia et al. (2014) in Moorea Island. In this study, the drifting biomass in rafts was estimated at 13 062 ± 1 998 kg dry mass based on aerial photographs. Compared to these areas, i.e., a large coral reef lagoon in New Caledonia and a narrow lagoon in French Polynesia, Ekas Bay also represents an interesting area which could be used for the harvesting of brown seaweeds, as the potential in brown algae is high. The area is enough diversified in substrata to permit the settlement of a large cover of brown seaweeds, like *Padina boryana* in muddy sand and sandy areas, and like *Sargassum* and *Turbinaria* species in the others substrate types (see Figure 2).

We were able to estimate the alginate stock of brown seaweeds at the scale of Ekas Bay and found an alginate yield of 9.10 tons in May/June and 4.97 tons in November for *Padina boryana* together with an alginate yield of 207.61 tons in May/June and 57.60 tons in November for Sargassaceae species, which represented valuable yields to begin a harvest of brown algae for alginate production within the Bay. The alginate yield of *Sargassum aquifolium* and *Turbinaria decurrens* from Ekas Bay are high and comparable to yields published by Zubia et al. (2008) for two Sargassacean species, *Sargassum pacificum* and *Turbinaria ornata*, that the authors also positively compared to published M/G ratio. Both Indonesian species presented yield between 24 to 39 % DW in alginates, which categorize them as interesting alginophytes for further industrial uses. *S. aquifolium* presented an alginate yield near the one determined in *S. cristaefolium* C. Agardh, *S. duplicatum* C. Bory, *S. ilicifolium* (Turner) C. Agardh, *S. siliquosum* J. Agardh, *S. vulgare* C. Agardh and *S. wightii* Greville ex J. Agardh (Zubia et al. 2008) while the Indonesian *T. decurrens* presented yield similar to the ones determined in others species of *Turbinaria: T. conoides, T. murrayana* E.S. Barton and *T. ornata* (Turner) J. Agardh listed in the review of Zubia et al.

(2008). Concerning their M/G ratios, all were near 1 and also slightly inferior to 1, highlighting a slightly dominance of G blocks in alginate. In their work, Zubia et al. (2008) compared alginophyte species in term of M/G ratio and demonstrated a high variability of this ratio depending of the considered species. In term of alginate, both Indonesian Sargassaceae genus, *Sargassum* and *Turbinaria*, represent an interesting biomass which could be used as sources of alginates with thickening and probably gelling properties.

Another study on a biomass estimation of potential phycocolloid macroalgae using high resolution image, was published by Setyawidati et al. (2017b). It estimated the biomass together with the stock in carrageenans from the red macroalga *Kappaphycus alvarezii*, a species that is mass-cultivated in Indonesia. Setyawidati et al. (2017b) worked in a cultivation area, divided into 108 parcels representing a surface of about 32.2 ha, and they estimated the stock at 368 tons for the studied area. At bay scale, the stock was estimated at 3 575 tons. The extracts of carrageenan and the carrageenan yields obtained in this study fulfilled the specification recommended for industry (followed FT-IR and NMR analysis). The cultivation of *K. alvarezii* (Doty) Doty ex P.C. Silva could also be carried out throughout the year, especially at the time of optimal environmental condition in April-September (Setyawidati et al., 2017). However, this potential has to be considered fragile, due to the appearance of numerous illnesses which weakens this production mode (cultivation).

According to our preliminary results, brown algae in Ekas Bay are of interest for industrial uses especially as a source of raw materials for the preparation of alginates. Our study indicates that *Sargassum* would be a better source compared to the two other genera, i.e. *Padina* and *Turbinaria*. Furthermore, the collection of *Padina* species could be problematic because of a large quantity of sediment, sand and detritus, associated with the algae which would require washing the biomass before its use as alginophyte. Moreover, *Turbinaria* species were seasonally observed with *T. conoides*, visible only as carpet during the rain-to-dry season (November) which then eliminate this species as potential harvestable species. *T. decurrens* is an interesting species but present only during the dry-to-rain season (May/June).

This study highlights the possibility to develop the harvest of wild stock of brown algae, which could represent a novel activity for local people but a management scheme will have to be put in place to preserve populations. Furthermore, if the stock natural renewal is low, one should consider brown algae farming in Ekas Bay as well. The harvest of brown algae in Ekas

Bay should take place preferably during from April to September, then during the dry-to-rain season. Exploitation could focus on both Sargassaceae species, *Sargassum aquifolium* and *Turbinaria decurrens,* and farmers could choose to collect *T. decurrens* in May/June and *S. aquifolium* in November as a rotational scheme to avoid harvesting entirely the Sargassaceae fields. Before to choose these periods, one should also monitor the quality of alginates produced by each species.

Future research effort should be targeted at the characterization and properties of alginate extraction of *Sargassum aquifolium* and *Turbinaria decurrens*. Others molecules could be extracted from the dry matter, such as phenolic compounds as already shown by Le Lann et al. (2012) or Stiger et al. (2004). These high added-value molecules represent activities of interest in many industrial sectors (Zubia et al. 2009; Tanniou et al. 2013; Stiger-Pouvreau et al. 2014; Le Lann et al. 2016 as examples of works on Sargassaceae species). The search for new active molecules could benefit others applications for the large biomass of brown seaweeds occurring with the Ekas Bay.

To conclude, the brown algae from Ekas Bay have a great potential as sources of alginate and could be a source of diversification in Indonesia offering the opportunity for this country to remain a major player in the phycocolloid industry. Anonyme (2009) also mentioned that several Indonesian provinces possess sites with similar environment characteristics (substrate and bottom type) such as Maluku (372,408 ha), South Sulawesi (224,172 ha), South East Sulawesi (206,052 ha), Central Sulawesi (178,296 ha), West Papua (130,081 ha), East Nusa Tenggara (104,320 ha), Riau Islands (93,316 ha) and many other Indonesia provinces that have wider coral reef areas than Ekas Bay. These areas require further exploration to fully assess the potential of brown algae growth and alginate production.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the International project Infrastructure Development of Space Oceanography (INDESO). The study was conducted as a part of the PhD research of the first author. Thanks are also due to all students (Nopi Prihatin, Friska Febriyana Marpaung, Dede Alim, Amrinda) for their helps in the field survey. We sincerely acknowledge the reviewers and editors for their suggestions and corrections.

References

Andréfouët, S., Kramer, P., Pulliza, D.T., Joyce, K.E., Hochberg, E.J., Perez, R.G., Mumby, P.J., Riegl, B., Yamano, H., White, W.H., 2003. Multi-site evaluation of IKONOS data for classification of tropical coral reef environments. Remote Sens. Environ. 88, 128–143.

Andréfouët, S., Zubia, M., Payri, C., 2004. Mapping and biomass estimation of the invasive brown algae *Turbinaria ornata* (Turner) J. Agardh and *Sargassum mangarevense* (Grunow) Setchell on heterogeneous Tahitian coral reefs using 4-meter resolution IKONOS satellite data. Coral Reefs 23, 26–38.

Andréfouët S, Payri C, Van Wynsberge S, Lauret O, Alefaio S, Preston G, Yamano H, Baudel S. 2017. The timing and the scale of the proliferation of *Sargassum polycystum* in Funafuti atoll, Tuvalu. J. Appl. Phycol. DOI 10.1007/s10811-017-1165-8

Anonyme, 2009. Indonesian coral reefs (in Indonesian), Survey Center for Marine Resources, Bakosurtanal (BIG), p. 330.

Atmadja, W.S., Prud'homme van Reine, W.F., 2012. Checklist of the seaweed species biodiversity of Indonesia with their distribution and classification: Rhodophyceae. [Ceklis keanekaragaman jenis rumput laut di Indonesia dengan sebaran dan klasifikasinya merah (Rhodophyceae)]. Jakarta: Coral Reef Information and Training Centre. Coral Reef Rehabilitation and Management Programme. Indonesian Institute of Sciences (LIPI). pp. [2], i–vi, 1–72.

Ateweberhan, M., Bruggemann, J.H., Breeman, A.M., 2009. Seasonal change in size structure of *Sargassum* and *Turbinaria* populations (Phaeophyceae) on tropical reef flats in the southern Red Sea. J. Phycol. 45, 69–80.

Bixler, H.H.J., Porse, H., 2011. A decade of change in the seaweed hydrocolloids industry. J. Appl. Phycol., 23, 321–335.

Buschmann, A.H., Camus, C., Infante, J., Neori, A., Israel, A., Hernández-González, M.C., Pereda, S.V., Gomez-Pinchetti, J.L., Golberg, A., Tadmor-Shalev, N., Critchley, A.T.,

2017. Seaweed production: overview of the global state of exploitation, farming and emerging research activity, Eur. J. Phycol. 52(4), 391-406.

Cai, J., Hishamunda, N., Ridler, N., 2013. Social and economic dimensions of carrageenan seaweed farming: a global synthesis. In Valderrama, D., Cai, J., Hishamunda, N., Ridler, N., eds. Social and economic dimensions of carrageenan seaweed farming, pp. 5–59.

Childs, C., 2004. Interpolating surface in ARGIS spatial analysis. 2004. ArcUser July September, 32-35 Downloaded from www.esri.com

Critchley, A.T., Ohno, M. (eds), 1998. Seaweed Resources of the World. Japan International Cooperation Agency, Yokosuka.

Draget, K.I., Smidsrod, O., Skjak-Braek, G., 2005. Alginates. In: Steinbuchel, A., Rhee, S.K., (eds) Polysaccharides and Polyamides in the Food Industry: Properties, Production, and Patents. Wiley Winheim, pp. 1-30.

Fenoradosoa, T.A., Ali, G., Delattre, C., Laroche, C., Petit, E., Wadouachi, A., Michaud, P., 2010. Extraction and characterization of an alginate from the brown seaweed *Sargassum turbinarioides* Grunow. J. Appl. Phycol. 22, 131–137.

Green, E.P., Mumby, P.J., Clark, C.D., Edwards, T.M., 2000. Remote Sensing Handbook for Tropical Coastal Management; Coastal Management Sourcebooks, 3; UNESCO. Publishing: Paris, France.

Gower, J., Hu, C., Borstad, G., King, S., 2006. Ocean Color Satellites Show Extensive Lines of Floating *Sargassum* in the Gulf of Mexico. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 44, 3619–3625.

Guiry, M.D., Guiry, G.M., 2016. AlgaeBase. World-wide electronic publication, National University of Ireland, Galway. http://www.algaebase.org; searched on 28 October 2016.

Hafting, J.J.T., Craigie, J.S.J.J.S., Stengel, D.B.D., Loureiro, R.R., Buschmann, A.H., Yarish, C., Edwards, M.D., Critchley, A.T., 2015. Prospects and challenges for industrial production of seaweed bioactives. J. Phycol. 51, 821–837.

Hoang, T.C., Cole, A.J., Fotedar, R.K., O'Leary, M.J., Lomas, M.W., Roy, S., 2016. Seasonal changes in water quality and *Sargassum* biomass in southwest Australia. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 551, 63-79.

Hoang, T.C., Michael, J.O., Ravi, F., 2015. Remote-sensed mapping of Sargassum spp. distribution around Rottnest Island, Western Australia using high spatial resolution WorldView-2 satellite data. J. Coastal Res. doi:10.2112/jcoastres-d-15-00077.1.

Hu, C., Feng, L, Hardy, R.F., Hochberg, E.J., 2015. Spectral and spatial requirements of remote measurements of pelagic *Sargassum* macroalgae. Remote Sens. Environ. 167, 229–46.

Hurtado, A.Q., Neish, I.C., Critchley, A.T., 2015. Developments in production technology of *Kappaphycus* in the Philippines: more than four decades of farming. J. Appl. Phycol. 27(5), 1945–1961.

Jégou, C., Culioli, G., Kervarec, N., Simon, G., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2010. LC/ESI-MS^n and ^1H HR-MAS NMR analytical methods as useful taxonomical tools within the genus *Cystoseira* C. Agardh (Fucales; Phaeophyceae). Talanta 83, 613–622.

Lean, D., Matsuhiro, B., Rossi, M., Caruso, F., 2008. FT-IR spectra of alginic acid block fractions in three species of brown seaweeds. Carbohydrate research 343, 308–316.

Le Lann, K., Kraffe, E., Kervarec, N., Cérantola, S., Payri, C.E., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2014. Isolation of turbinaric acid as a chemomarker of *Turbinaria conoides* (J. Agardh) Kützing from South Pacific islands. J. Phycol. 50, 1048–1057.

Le Lann, K., Surget, G., Couteau, C., Coiffard, L., Cérantola, S., Gaillard, F., Larnicol, M., Zubia, M., Guérard, F., Poupart, N., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2016. Sunscreen, antioxidant, and bactericide capacities of phlorotannins from the brown macroalga *Halidrys siliquosa*. J. Appl. Phycol. 28, 3547–3559.

Le Lann, K., Connan, S., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2012. Phenology, TPC and size-fractioning phenolics variability in temperate Sargassaceae (Phaeophyceae, Fucales) from Western Brittany: Native versus introduced species. Mar. Env. Res. 80, 1-11.

Le Lann, K., Kervarec, N., Payri, C.E., Deslandes, E., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2008. Discrimination of allied species within the genus *Turbinaria* (Fucales, Phaeophyceae) using HRMAS NMR spectroscopy. Talanta 74, 1079–1083.

Mattio, L., Payri, C.E., 2011. 190 years of *Sargassum* taxonomy, facing the advent of DNA phylogenies. Bot. Rev. 77, 31–71.

Mattio, L., Dirberg, G., Payri, C.E., Andréfouët, S., 2008. Diversity, biomass and distribution pattern of *Sargassum* beds in the South West lagoon of New Caledonia (South Pacific). J. Appl. Phycol. 20, 811–823.

Mattio, L., Payri, C.E. & Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2008. Taxonomic revision of *Sargassum* (Fucales, Phaeophyceae) from French Polynesia based on morphological and molecular analyses. J. Phycol. 44, 1541–55.

Mattio, L., Payri, C.E., Verlaque, M., 2009. Taxonomic revision and geographic distribution of subgenus *Sargassum* (Fucales, phaeophyceae) in the western and central Pacific islands based on morphological and molecular analyses. J. Phycol. 45, 1213–1227.

Mc Hugh, D.J., 2003. A guide to the seaweed industry. FAO Fisheries Technical paper, No 441, 10.

MMAF, 2015. Ministry of Marine Affair and Fisheries. Peraturan Menteri Kelautan dan Perikanan Republik Indonesia Nomor 25/Permen -KP/2015 tentang Rencana Strategis Menteri Kelautan dan Perikanan Tahun 2015- 2019.

Milani, J., Maleki, G., 2012. Hydrocolloids in Food Industry. *In* Valdez, B. [Ed.] *Food Industrial Processes-Methods and Equipment*. Online Edi. In Tech, Croatia, p. 418.

Mirshafiey, A., Rehm, B.H.A., 2009. Alginate and Its Comonomer Mannuronic Acid: Medical Relevance as Drug , pp. 229- 260. *In* Rehm, B. H. A. [Ed.] *Alginates: Biology and Applications*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 229–60.

Mumby, P.J., Edward, A.J., Green, E.P., Anderson, C.W., Ellis, A.C., Clarck, C.D., 1997. A visual assessment technique for estimating seagrass standing crop. Aquat. Conserv.: Mar. Freswat. Ecosys. 7, 239 – 251.

Mushollaeni, W., 2011. The physicochemical characteristics of sodium alginate from Indonesian brown seaweeds. Afr. J. Food Sci., 5, 349–52.

Noiraksar, T., Sawayam, S., Phauk, S. Komatsu, T., 2014. Mapping *Sargassum* beds off the coast of Chon Buri Province, Thailand, using ALOS AVNIR-2 satellite imagery. Botanica marina 57(5): 367–377.

Nurlidiasari, M., Budiman, S., 2005. Mapping coral reef habitat with and without water column correction using Quickbird image. Int. J. Remote Sens. Earth Sci 2, 45–56.

Oppelt, N., 2012. Hyperspectral classification approaches for intertidal macroalgae habitat mapping: a case study in Heligoland. Opt Eng 51, 1-12.

Pahlevan, N., Valadanzouj, M., Alimohamadi, A., 2006. A quantitative comparison to water column correction techniques for benthic mapping using high spatial resolution data. 2006. In Proceedings of the ISPRS Commission VII Mid-term Symposium ―Remote Sensing, from Pixels to Processes‖, Enschede, The Netherlands, 8–11 May 2006, pp. 286–291.

Plouguerné, E., Le Lann, K., Connan, S., Jechoux, G., Deslandes, E., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2006. Spatial and seasonal variations in density, maturity, length and phenolic content of the invasive brown macroalga *Sargassum muticum* along the coast of Western Brittany (France). Aquatic Botany 85, 337-344.

Radiarta, I.N., Saitoh, S.I., Yasui, H., 2011. Aquaculture site selection for Japanese kelp (*Laminaria japonica*) in southern Hokkaido, Japan, using satellite remote sensing and GIS-based models. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 68, 773–780.

Rasyid, A., 2003. Algae coklat (Phaeophyta) sebagai sumber alginat. Oseana XXVIII, 33–8.

Rebours, C., Marinho-Soriano, E., Zertuche-González, J.A., Hayashi, L., Vásquez, J.A., Kradolfer, P., Soriano, G., Ugarte, R., Abreu, M.H., Bay-Larsen, I., Hovelsrud, G., Rødven, R., Robledo, D., 2014. Seaweeds: an opportunity for wealth and sustainable livelihood for coastal communities. J. Appl. Phycol. 26, 1939–1951.

Rohfritsch, A., Payri, C., Stiger, V., Bonhomme, F. 2007. Molecular and morphological relationships between two closely related species, *Turbinaria ornata* and *T. conoides* (Sargassaceae, Phaeophyceae). Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 35, 91–8.

Rogers, C., Garrison, G., Grober, R., Hillis, Z.M., Franke, M.A., 1994. Coral Reef Monitoring Manual for the Caribbean and Western Atlantic. St. John: National Park Service, Virgin Islands National Park, p.120.

Rossi, N., Perrot, T., Daniel, C., Bajjouk, T., Dion, P., 2010. Evolution temporelle de la couverture en Fucales sur les côtes bretonnes. Rebent

Setyawidati, N., 2017. Marine seaweeds with ecological and economical potentials in Indonesia: spatio-temporal analysis, valorization and aquaculture. PhD manuscript, University of Western Brittany, 301 p.

Setyawidati, N., Kaimiuddin, A.H., Wati, P., Helmi, M., Widowati, I., Rossi, N., Liabot, P.-O., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2017a. Percentage cover, biomass, distribution, and potential habitat mapping of natural macroalgae, based on high-resolution satellite data and in situ monitoring, at Libukang Island, Malasoro Bay, Indonesia. J. Appl. Phycol. DOI: 10.1007/s10811-017-1208-1

Setyawidati, N., Liabot, P.-O., Perrot, T., Radiarta, N., Deslandes, E., Bourgougnon, N., Rossi, N., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2017b. *In situ* evolution of carrageenan content and biomass estimation by satellite image processing for the red macroalga *Kappaphycus alvarezii* cultivated in Malasoro Bay, Indonesia. J. appl. Phycol. 29(5), 2307-2321. DOI: 10.1007/s10811-017-1200-9

Subaryono, 2011. Distribution of brown seaweed producing alginate in Indonesia and the potential utilization. Squalen 6(2), 55-69

Solis, M.J., Draeger, L., Cruz, T.E., 2010. Marine-derived fungi from *Kappaphycus alvarezii* and *K. striatum* as potential causative agents of *ice-ice* disease in farmed seaweeds Botanica Marina 53, 587–594.

Stiger-Pouvreau, V., Bourgougnon, N., Deslandes, E., 2016. Carbohydrates from seaweeds. In: Seaweed in Health and Disease Prevention. Fleurence J., Levine I. (Eds). Elsevier

Stiger-Pouvreau, V., Jégou, C., Cérantola, S., Guérard, F., Le Lann, K., 2014. Phlorotannins in Sargassaceae species from Brittany (France): interesting molecules for ecophysiological and valorisation purposes. Adv. Bot. Res. 71, 379-412.

Stiger, V., Payri, C.E., 2005. Natural settlement of a young population of *Turbinaria ornata* and phenological comparisons with older populations. Aquatic Botany 81, 225-243.

Stiger, V., Deslandes, E., Payri, C.E., 2004. Phenolic contents of two brown algae, *Turbinaria ornata* and *Sargassum mangarevense* on Tahiti (French Polynesia): interspecific, ontogenic and spatio-temporal variations. Botanica Marina 47(5), 402-409.

Stiger, V., Payri C.E., 1999. Spatial and seasonal variations in the biological characteristics of two invasive brown algae, *Turbinaria ornata* (Turner) J. Agardh and *Sargassum mangarevense* (Grunow) Setchell (Sargassaceae, Fucales) spreading on the reefs of Tahiti (French Polynesia). Botanica Marina 42, 295–306.

Tanniou, A., Vandanjon, L., Gonçalves, O., Kervarec, N., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2015. Rapid geographical differentiation of the European spread brown algae *Sargassum muticum* using HRMAS NMR and Fourier-Transform Infrared spectroscopy. Talanta 132, 451-456.

Tanniou, A., Serrano Léon, E., Vandanjon, L., Ibanez, E., Mendiola, J.A., Cérantola, S., Kervarec, N., La Barre, S., Marchal, L., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., 2013. Green improved processes to extract bioactive phenolic compounds from brown macroalgae using *Sargassum muticum* as model. Talanta 104, 44-52.

Tin, H., Garcia, R., O'Leary, M., Fotedar, R., 2016. Identification and Mapping of Marine Submerged Aquatic Vegetation in Shallow Coastal Waters with WorldView-2 Satellite Data. In: Vila-Concejo, A.; Bruce, E.; Kennedy, D.M., and McCarroll, R.J. (eds.), Proceedings of the 14th International Coastal Symposium (Sydney, Australia). Journal of Coastal Research, Special Issue, No. 75, pp. 1287 - 1291. Coconut Creek (Florida), ISSN 0749-0208.

Torres, M.R., Sousa, A.P.A., Silva Filho, E.A.T., Melo, D.F., Feitosa, J.P.A., de Paula, R.C.M., Lima, M.G.S., 2007. Extraction and characterization of *Sargassum vulgare* alginate from Brazil. Carbohydr. Res., 342, 2067–2074.

Wongprayoon, S., Vieira, C.A.O., Leach, J.H.J. 2007. Spatial Accuracy Assessment for Coral Reef Classification. 2007. In proceeding of the XIII Brazilian Remote Sensing Symposium, Florianopolis, Brazil, 21–26 April 2007, pp. 6273–6281.

Wouthuyzen, S., Sekar, M.C., Herandarudewi, Teruhisa K., 2016. stock assessment of brown seaweeds (Phaeophyceae) along the Bitung-Bentena Coast, North Sulawesi Province, Indonesia for alginate product using satellite remote sensing. The 2nd International Symposium on LAPAN-IPB Satellite for Food Security and Environmental Monitoring 2015, LISAT-FSEM

Zemke-White, W.L., Ohno, M. 1999. World seaweed utilisation: An end of century summary. J Appl Phycol. 11, 369–76.

Zubia, M., Andréfouët, S., Payri, C., 2015. Distribution and biomass evolution of drifting brown algae from Moorea lagoon (French Polynesia) for eco-friendly agricultural use. J. Appl. Phycol. 27, 1277-1287.

Zubia, M., Fabre, M.-S., Kerjean, V., Le Lann, K., Stiger-Pouvreau, V., Fauchon, M., Deslandes, E., 2009. Antioxidant and antitumoral activities of some Phaeophyta from Brittany coasts. Food Chemistry 116(3), 693-701.

Zubia, M., Payri, C., Deslandes, E., 2008. Alginate, mannitol, phenolic compounds and biological activities of two range-extending brown algae, *Sargassum mangarevense* and *Turbinaria ornata* (Phaeophyta: Fucales), from Tahiti (French Polynesia). J. Appl. Phycol. 20, 1033–1043.

Table(s)

Table 1

Temporal variation of biological variables determined in triplicates of quadrats (Means ± SE) and monitored along three periods of observation on populations of dominant brown macroalgal species in Ekas Bay (Lombok, Indonesia): thallus density, mean thallus length and mean estimate of the thallus biomasses in dry (DW) and fresh (FW) weights per m^2. During the monitoring of brown macroalgae, 3 quadrats were sampled per population for each species and at each sampling period (May 2014, November 2014 and June 2015).

Taxa	Season and month of observation	Percentage cover (%)	Lenght of thalli (cm)	Density (Ind/m^2)	DW (g /m^2)	FW (g /m^2)
Sargassum aquifolium (Turner) C. Agardh	Dry / May 2014	79.9 ± 6.5	48.0 ± 4.8	45 ± 1	65.3 ± 4.7	797.0 ± 191.5
	Wet / Nov 2014	60.4 ± 3.7	15.2 ± 2.3	28 ± 2	17.8 ± 0.8	142.0 ± 24.3
	Dry / June 2015	80.8 ± 4.3	44.0 ± 6.3	32 ± 1	34.0 ± 2.3	266.7 ± 76.0
Padina boryana Thivy	Dry / May 2014	60 ± 9.8	7.1 ± 0.8	38 ± 6	13.5 ± 2.0	83.0 ± 16.0
	Wet / Nov 2014	60 ± 4.7	7.1 ± 0.4	36 ± 1	12.6 ± 0.4	38.4 ± 14.3
	Dry / June 2015	38.6 ± 6.7	7.4 ± 0.8	43 ± 2	17.5 ± 1.2	98.4 ± 8.7
Turbinaria decurrens Bory	Dry / May 2014	59.6 ± 10.1	16.7 ± 0.7	55 ± 3	28.4 ± 1.7	245.2 ± 28.0
T. conoides (J. Agardh) Kützing	Wet / Nov 2014	Carpet of individuals	3.2 ± 0.5	87 ± 4	15.9 ± 1.0	18.3 ± 8.7
T. decurrens Bory	Dry / June 2015	49.8 ± 8.2	15.4 ± 1.0	56 ± 2	33.4 ± 1.0	158.2 ± 41.9

Table 2

Error matrices for major substrate structure in satellite image. The major diagonal (in grey) presents correct classifications from waypoints during sampling.

	Ground Truth Data							
	Satellite image classification data	**Sand**	**Muddy sand**	**Coral reef**	**Heterogeneous on soft**	**Hard bottom**	**Total**	**User 's Accuracy (%)**
Map Data	**Sand**	10	2	-	2	2	16	62.5
	Muddy sand	2	10	2			14	71.4
	Living coral		2	4			6	66.7
	Heterogeneous on soft	4			21		25	84
	Hard bottom	-	-	-	2	7	9	77.8
	Total	16	14	6	25	9	70	
	Producer's accuracy (%)	62.5	71.4	66.7	84	77.8		
	Overall accuracy (P_o)	78.59 %						
	Kappa coefficient	0.72						

Table 3

Estimation of the potential area of abundant brown macroalgae present on the intertidal zone at Ekas Bay (Indonesia). Following results from Figure 2 (sampling points of brown macroalgae), distinction is made between area colonized by *Padina* (*) and by the couple *Sargassum*/*Turbinaria* (**)

Substrate	**Intertidal zone**		**Potential habitat area**		
	Nb of pixels	**Total Area (km^2)**	**Nb of pixels**	**Total Area (km^2)**	**Total Area (%)**
Sand (S)	1 483 603	5.93	228 150	2.912 *	15.4
Hard bottom (HB)	1 858 002	7.43	1 204 450	1.817 **	64.8
Muddy sand (MS)	2 284 122	9.14	1 793 500	3.401 *	78.5
Heterogeneous on soft (HS)	1 428 729	5.72	850 250	5.174 **	59.5
Living corals (LC)	550 177	2.20	330 304	1.321 **	60.0
Total Area		30.42		14.625	
Area colonized by *Padina*				6.313	
Area colonized by the couple *Sargassum*/*Turbinaria*				8.312	

Table 4

Yields related to dry weight (DW) and M/G ratio of alginate extracted from dominant species of brown macroalgae in Ekas Bay (Lombok, Indonesia). For each species, the best alginate yield is highlighted (in bold). ND: not determined as not enough biomass. SD: Standard deviation. n corresponds to the number of replicates of 10 g of algal matter used for the extraction of alginates by species. M: mannuronic acid; G: guluronic acid. M/G ratio was determined using three different methods as described in Setyawidati (2017) corresponding at three different areas on FT-IR spectra (See materials and methods for the different spectral areas).

Species	**Season**	**Sampling date**	**n**	**Alginate yield (% DW ± SD)**	**M/G ratio**		
					Filippov & Kohn	Mackie	Sakugawa
S. aquifolium	Dry	May 2014, June 2015	6	**39.01 ± 0.03**	1.004	0.999	0.833
	Wet	November 2014	3	24.26 ± 0.08	1.002	0.992	0.731
P. boryana	Dry	May 2014, June 2015	6	6.25 ± 0.04	1.013	1.016	0.689
	Wet	November 2014	3	**9.30 ± 0.01**	1.007	1.023	0.901
T. decurrens	Dry	May 2014, June 2015	6	**38.70 ± 0.02**	1.031	0.977	0.789
T. conoides	Wet	November 2014	3	ND	-	-	-

Table 5. Biomasses (fresh weight (FW) and dry weight (DW), and alginate stock determined for dominant brown macroalgae genus (*Padina, Sargassum* and *Turbinaria*) at the scale of Ekas Bay (Lombok, Indonesia). The alginate yield for the couple *Sargassum/Turbinaria* was calculated from *S. aquifolium* and *T. decurrens* (see text). The best results are written in bold.

Model based on	**Dry season (Mean ± SD)**	**Wet season (Mean ± SD)**
Biomass (tons FW in the Bay)		
Padina	572.72 ± 48.74	242.10 ± 90.09
Sargassum/Turbinaria	**6 096.81** ± 1 175.28	**1 180.55** ± 201.57
Biomass (tons DW in the Bay)		
Padina	97.85 ± 12.63	79.54 ± 2.53
Sargassum/Turbinaria	**669.70** ± 109.64	**147.70*** ± 77.97
Stock Alginate (tons DW in the Bay)		
Padina	9.10 ± 0.06	4.97 ± 0.25
Sargassum/Turbinaria	**207.61 ± 0.42**	**57.60± 0.66**

* Only *Sargassum aquifolium* was taken in consideration as no harvestable *Turbinaria* species occurred in the area in November 2014.

Figure(s)

Click here to download high resolution image

Sampling months	Waypoints (WPs)	Sampling months (SPs)	Observation points (OPs)
May 2014	62	25	37
November 2014	20	16	4
June 2015	70	29	41
Total	152	70	82





Figure(s)
Click here to download high resolution image



Figure(s)
Click here to download high resolution image