

1 Supplement

2 **S1. Description of section in the model**

3 The OVIDE section is collocated in the model as a continuous line between A and B defined
4 by zonal (y) or meridional (x) grid segments. Horizontal velocities (\vec{u} and \vec{v}) used to estimate
5 mass transport across a section are towards the North (for \vec{v}) if orthogonal to grid segment x
6 and towards the East (for \vec{u}) if orthogonal to grid segment y (Fig. S1).

7

8 Fig. S1: Schematic representation of the OVIDE section in the model

9

10 **S2. Online computation of transport of Cant across a section**

11 Fig. S2: Transport of Cant across (from top to bottom) 25° N, 36° N and OVIDE sections
12 computed online by ORCA05-PISCES over the period 2003-2011 (monthly resolution). In the
13 online approach, the transport of Cant (${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{online}}$, black bold line) is the sum of the advection
14 (${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{adv}}$, red fine line), the diffusion (${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{lf}}$, blue fine line) and the eddy (${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{eiv}}$, green
15 fine line) contribution. For each section, ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{adv}}$ is the major component of ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{online}}$.

16

17

18 Fig. S3. Smoothed time series.

19

20 **S3. Evaluation of the proxy proposed by Zunino et al. (2014) in ORCA05-PISCES**

21 Zunino et al. (2014) proposed a novel proxy to evaluate processes governing the long term
22 variability of the transport of Cant in the northern Subpolar North Atlantic region. This proxy
23 (T_{Cant}°) is computed from the magnitude of the meridional overturning circulation (MOC)
24 times the difference of averaged-Cant concentration between the northward flow of warm and
25 saline upper ocean waters, enriched in Cant, and the southward return flow of colder and
26 fresher waters across the section (noted $\Delta[\text{Cant}]$, Eq. (S1)). In this region, the MOC intensity
27 is computed in density coordinates to remove depth overlaps between upper and lower limbs
28 (Marsh et al., 2005; Lherminier et al., 2007). It is calculated as the integration of mass
29 transport from Greenland to Portugal and from the bottom to each density level. As a
30 consequence, the maximum negative value corresponds to the net southward transport in the
31 lower limb of the overturning circulation; the absolute value of this estimate corresponds to

32 the magnitude of the MOC (MOC_{σ} in Eq. (S1)). In the model, the proxy (${}^mT_{Cant}^{\circ}$) is evaluated
33 from monthly simulations over the period 2002-2010.

34

$$35 \quad {}^mT_{CANT}^{\circ} = MOC_{\sigma} \Delta[C_{ANT}] \quad (S1)$$

36

37 T_{Cant}° is defined by Zunino et al. (2014) as the proxy of the diapycnal component of the
38 advective Cant transport across the OVIDE section. It could be used in the model if (i) the
39 diapycnal transport of C_{ANT} (${}^mT_{Cant}^{diap}$) is the major mechanism driving the variability of the
40 advective C_{ant} transport across the OVIDE section and if (ii) T_{Cant}° is a good estimator of
41 ${}^mT_{Cant}^{diap}$.

42

43 S3.1. Is ${}^mT_{Cant}^{diap}$ the major mechanism driving the ${}^mT_{CANT}^{adv}$ variability?

44 In the model, the advective transport of Cant evaluated in an offline approach ($[{}^mT_{Cant}^{adv}]^{offline}$)
45 has been decomposed in three additional components along the Greenland-Portugal OVIDE
46 section (Eq. (S2)) to compare with results from Zunino et al. (2014). The approach was
47 initially developed by Bryden and Imawaki (2001) to study the heat transport and has been
48 recently adapted by Zunino et al. (2014) to the advective transport of Cant.
49 (${}^mT_{Cant}^{adv} = [{}^mT_{Cant}^{adv}]^{offline}$). Applying this approach to model output, allows to infer (i) the
50 isopycnal transport of Cant across the OVIDE section, noted ${}^mT_{Cant}^{iso}$, (ii) the diapycnal
51 transport of Cant (${}^mT_{Cant}^{diap}$), related to the overturning circulation and (iii) the net transport
52 of Cant (${}^mT_{Cant}^{net}$), related to the arctic mass balance (Lherminier et al., 2007).

53

$$54 \quad \left[{}^mT_{Cant}^{adv} \right]^{offline} = {}^mT_{Cant}^{adv} = {}^mT_{Cant}^{net} + {}^mT_{Cant}^{diap} + {}^mT_{Cant}^{iso}$$

$$55 \quad (S2)$$

56

57 The decomposition of the advective transport of Cant across the Greenland-Portugal OVIDE
58 section yields 2002-2010 mean values of diapycnal, isopycnal and net transport of Cant of
59 $178 \pm 42 \text{ kmol s}^{-1}$, $-97 \pm 24 \text{ kmol s}^{-1}$ and $13 \pm 9 \text{ kmol s}^{-1}$ respectively. The sum is equal to
60 ${}^mT_{Cant}^{adv}$ and amounts to $94 \pm 43 \text{ kmol s}^{-1}$ obtained in offline mode (from monthly time frame).
61 It's coherent with values computed online from 5 day averages ($90 \pm 44 \text{ kmol s}^{-1}$). Both
62 estimates (from online and offline mode) are strongly correlated ($r=0.94$, $p\text{-value} = 0.00$; $r^2 =$
63 0.89 , Fig. S4a). In general, the model results are lower than those obtained from June
64 observations (Table S1) but with a comparable relative contribution of individual terms to the

65 total transport of Cant. The diapycnal component drives a large northward transport of Cant-
66 rich waters across the section. It is partially compensated by the isopycnal component,
67 yielding a negligible net transport (Fig. S4a). Moreover, the correlation between ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{adv}}$ and
68 each transport component (${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{diap}}$, ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{iso}}$ and ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{net}}$) is only significant with the
69 diapycnal component ($r = 0.75$, $p\text{-value} = 0.00$ from all simulations; $r = 0.89$, $p\text{-value} = 0.00$
70 from June simulations; Fig.S4a). The diapycnal component explains between 60% (full model
71 output over OVIDE period) to 78% (June outputs) of the variance of ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{adv}}$. These results
72 attest that the variability of the ORCA05-PISCES advective transport of Cant is controlled by
73 the variability of the diapycnal component and validates the first condition pertaining to the
74 use of the estimator in the model.

75

76 S3.2. Is T_{Cant}° a good estimator of ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{diap}}$?

77 Mean value of T_{Cant}° derived from model output is higher by $155 \pm 57 \text{ kmol s}^{-1}$ compared to
78 ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{diap}}$, while the difference between the estimator and the diapycnal component in Zunino
79 et al. (2014) is only 22 kmol s^{-1} . In other word, difference between average T_{Cant}° computed
80 from model output and from OVIDE data set is smaller than those obtained for $T_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{diap}}$. This
81 curiosity is probably due to their parametrizations. ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\circ}$ is in fact a proxy of diapycnal
82 transport of Cant based on $\text{MOC}\sigma$ and ΔCant between both MOC limbs (Eq. (S1)) whereas
83 ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{diap}}$ (Eq. (S2)) is a direct estimation using Cant concentration and velocity of each grid
84 level. The underestimation of MOC magnitude and ΔCant by the model is not as large as
85 those detected on transport (Fig. 5) and Cant concentration (Fig. 6), reducing thus the
86 difference between T_{Cant}° from model output and T_{Cant}° from OVIDE data set (Table S1).
87 T_{Cant}° also presents a seasonal cycle with an amplitude higher than 100 kmol s^{-1} , in phase
88 (opposite) with those observed on $\text{MOC}\sigma$ (ΔCant ; Figs. S4b to S4c). This seasonal
89 organization is not detected on ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{diap}}$ and ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{adv}}$, which is confirmed by the small
90 correlation observed between the estimator and ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{diap}}$ ($r = 0.18$, $p\text{-value} = 0.04$) or between
91 the estimator and ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{adv}}$ ($r = 0.33$, $p\text{-value} = 0.00$) computed over the full period (2002-
92 2010). The correlation is nevertheless correct between ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\circ}$ and ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{diap}}$ from June
93 simulations ($r = 0.66$, $p\text{-value} = 0.03$), but not between ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\circ}$ and ${}^mT_{\text{Cant}}^{\text{adv}}$ ($r = 0.35$, $p\text{-value} =$
94 0.29). These comments refute thus the second condition to use the estimator to disentangle the
95 effect of both circulation and Cant accumulation variability on the Cant transport variability.

96

97 Table S1: Model-data comparison over the period covered by OVIDE cruises (2002-2010).

98 Average and standard deviation (SD) for observation-based estimates (column 2) and model

99 output (columns 3 to 5). Model output: (1) June average with SD being a measure of
 100 interannual variability, (2) average year with SD corresponding to the average seasonal
 101 variability, or (3) average over the full period with SD being representative of total variability
 102 (interannual + seasonal).

	OVIDE data set		ORCA05-PISCES	
		June only	Average year	Full period
T_{Cant}^0 (kmol s ⁻¹)	413.1±70.4	332.0±27.0	338.0±19.2	333.5±50.8
T_{Cant}^{diap} (kmol s ⁻¹)	391.2±79.2	201.5±30.9	178.2±9.2	178.1±41.8
T_{Cant}^{iso} (kmol s ⁻¹)	-164.5±16.2	-112.7±13.3	-97.0±7.8	-96.5±24.1
T_{Cant}^{net} (kmol s ⁻¹)	21.0±17.5	3.6±6.3	13.9±5.4	12.7±8.8
T_{Cant}^{adv} (kmol s ⁻¹)	247.7±77.3	92.4±32.4	95.1±13.48	94.3±42.6

103

104

105 Fig. S4 : Monthly evolution of (a) T_{CANT}^0 (magenta) as well as the diapycnal (blue),
 106 isopycnal (red), net (green) and total (black) transport of C_{ANT} (kmol s⁻¹), (b) the maximal
 107 intensity of MOC (MOC_{σ} , Sv), and (c) the C_{ANT} concentration in its upper (triangles) and the
 108 lower (inverse triangles) branches ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) at the Greenland-Portugal OVIDE section
 109 computed offline from monthly averaged model output (continuous line) and compared to
 110 estimations derived from the OVIDE data set (dotted line) over the period 2002-2010.
 111 Colored dots symbolize the month June. The grey dashed line of panel (a) represents C_{ANT}
 112 transport across the OVIDE section computed in online by the model (includes advective,
 113 diffusive and eddies contributions).

114