The following supplement accompanies the article

Revisiting the bioacoustics of European spiny lobsters *Palinurus elephas*: comparison of antennal rasps in tanks and *in situ*

Youenn Jézéquel*, Julien Bonnel, Jennifer Coston-Guarini, Laurent Chauvaud

*Corresponding author: youenn.jezequel@univ-brest.fr

Marine Ecology Progress Series 615: 143–157 (2019)

Supplement Table summarizing the data on the characterization of antennal rasps for spiny lobsters. Results given in these studies are presented as mean \pm SD, when available. When the dimensions of the tanks used during the sound recordings were available, we estimated their minimum resonant frequencies using the equations of Akamatsu et al. (2002). Note that these are only estimates, because the effective heights of the tanks used are not always given by authors. They were used to compare with spectral features from the articles when available. NM: not mentionned. NA: not applicable. TP: technical problem due to tank reverberation. To be complete, we also added intensity features (SPL in dB; denoted with *) from Latha et al. (2005), Patek et al. (2009), Buscaino et al. (2011) and de Vicenzi et al. (2015). Unfortunately, these results are not comparable because they were obtained with different signal processing methods and the authors did not provide enough information to be able to re-estimate the values.

Reference	Species	Number of	Carapace	Site of sound	Distance	Protocol											
		individuals	length (cm)	recordings (m)	from hydrophone (cm)	for eliciting antennal rasps	Total duration (ms)	Single pulse duration (ms)	Time between pulses (ms)	Number of pulses per antennal rasp	Pulse rate (Hz)	SPL (dB re 1 μPa ²)	SL (dB re 1 μPa ²)	Estimated minimum resonant frequency (kHz)	1 st peak Frequency (kHz)	2 nd peak Frequency (kHz)	Bandwidth (kHz)
Moulton 1957	P. argus	NM	NM	Large naturalized aquarium	NM	Hand held	100	NM	NM	NM	Range 56- 133	NM	NM	NA (tank dimensions NM)	0.80	2.50-4.70	Range 0.04– 9.00
Hazlett & Winn 1962a Hazlett &	J. longimanus	2	9	Tank (0.5×0.5×5)	15	NM	55.1 (range 35.3- 113 7)	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	2.13	Range 1.20-2.40	NM	Range 0.09- 12.00
	P. guttatus	1	18.9	Tank (0.5×0.5×9)	15	Handheld	53.3 (range 26-72)	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	2.12	Range 1.20-2.40		Range 0.09- 12.00
Winn 1962b	P. argus	NM	Range 6.4-10	Tank (0.5×0.5×9)	15	Handheld	92.6 (range 63-	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	2.12	Flat from 0.09 to 4 80		Range 0.09- 12.00
Meyer- Rochow & Penrose 1974	P. longipes	NM	Puerulus	Tank (0.5×0.5×4)	5	Handheld	20	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	2.83	NM	NM	Range 0.09-
	P. longipes	NM	Post puerulus larvae	Tank (0.5×0.5×0.4)	5	Handheld	70	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	2.83	Range 0.10-1.00 and 2.00- 3.00	Range 5.00-7.00	6.00 Range 0.09- 11.00
Meyer- Rochow & Penrose 1976	P. longipes	NM	Range 1- 10	Tank (0.5×0.5×0.5)	5	Handheld	Range 60- 220	NM	NM	Range 9-34	NM	NM	NM	2.83	TP	TP	ТР
Mulligan & Fischer 1977	P. argus	25	NM	Tank (0.26m ³)	NM	Agonistic interactions	153 ± 54.7 (range 84- 288)	22 ± 1.4	23 ± 1	6.6	NM	NM	NM	NA (tank dimensions NM)	2.30	3.40	Range 0.08- 16.00
Patek 2001	P. argus	6	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	77	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
Patek 2002	P. argus	6	Range 4.7-9.7	Tank (1.32×0.79×0.64)	15	Handheld	103.7 ± 5.7 (range 19.2- 331.6)	1.7 ± 0.03 (range 0.6-13)		6.9 ± 0.4 (Range 2- 24)	76.7 ± 3.2 (range 24.1-218)	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
Patek & Oakley 2003	P. elephas	2	NM	NM	15	Handheld	101 ± 45.7	NM	NM	13.5 ± 5.4	$138.5 \pm$	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
	P. Wagensis	1	NM	NM	15	Handheld	67.4 ±28.6	NM	NM	8.9 ± 4.5	40.5 129.4 ± 15.8	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
	P.	1	NM	NM	15	Handheld	155.1 ±	NM	NM	9.5 ± 5	61.4 ± 21	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
	japonicus J. japonica	1	NM	NM	15	Handheld	$51.6 \\ 69.2 \pm 47$	NM	NM	8.2 ± 3.8	131.4 ±	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
	L. trigonus	1	NM	NM	15	Handheld	156.6 ± 42.7	NM	NM	17.9 ± 4	118.5 ± 31 NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
	P. homarus	1	NM	NM	15	Handheld	89.5 ± 83	NM	NM	9.9 ± 8.6	120.6 ± 19.8	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
	P. longipes	1	NM	NM	15	Handheld	101 ± 66.5	NM	NM	11.4 ± 7.8	147.4 ± 17	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
	P. argus	4	NM	NM	15	Handheld	151.7 ± 82.3	NM	NM	7.2 ± 1.5	65.9 ± 27.4	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
Latha et al. 2005	P. homarus	NM	NM	Tank (4.3×1.3×1m)	< 50	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	Range 50.1-69.5 (peak amplitude) *	NM	0.96	26.10	52.60	Range 3.00- 100.00

	P. waguensis	3	NM	Tank (4.3×1.3×1m)	< 50	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	Range 119.1-143.2 (peak amplitude) *		NM	0.96	3.30	52.12	Range 3.00- 75.00
Patek & Baio 2007	P. interruptus	5	8.5-8.8	NM	NM	NM	NM	7.9 ± 2 (range 1.4-19.9)	NM	4.5 ± 0.9 (range 3-7)	87.6 ± 15.9 (range 55.4- 136.7)	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
Patek et al. 2009	P. interruptus	19	4.4-10.2	Tank (1.5×0.8)	60	Handheld	108 ±35 (range 15- 303)	ТР	NM	7 ± 3 (2- 19)	71.2 ± 20 (24 - 192)	NM		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
	Р.	20	50-113	Tank (1.5×0.8)	Range 31-66	Handheld	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM NM		NM	1.012	1.79±0.34	$1.80{\pm}0.303$	NM
	interruptus			In situ	31	Handheld	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM			NM	NA	0.63±0.37	1.59±0.48	NM
	P. interruptus	13	65-93	In situ	Range 91- 150	Handheld	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	150.4±2 (peak amplitude) *		NM	NM	NM	NM	NM
Buscaino et al. 2011	P. elephas	25	18.3 ± 2.6 (total length)	Tank	NM	Predator interactions	90±50	ТР	NM	9.5±4.5	118.2±54.4	119.8±8.4 (peak amplitude) *		NM	NA (tank dimensions NM)	19.52±6.70	NM	122.90±23.69
Kikuchi et al. 2014	P. japonicus	NM	NM	In situ	NM	In situ monitoring	40±20	NM	4±2	11.09±4.10	NM	NM		NM	NA	9.99±4.47	10.20±4.42	0.75±0.46
De Vicenzy et al. 2015	P. elephas	40	7.9±0.5	Tank (2.35×1.5)	NM	Response to sounds	70±20	NM	NM	8±3.5	134±37.1	124.6±6.3 (peak amplitude) *		NM	0.56	22.93±8.20	NM	127.20 ± 33.20
This study	P. elephas	13	5.3±0.8 (range 4.2-7)	Tank (1.13×0.73×0.5)	Range 20- 30	Handheld	120.5±26.0 (range 60- 225)	TP	NM	15.0±3.3 (range 7- 28)	127.9±21.1 (range 78.4- 226.7)	171.0±3.1 (range 160.4- 175.7) (peak- peak)	151.2±4.2 (range 139.7- 159.6) (rms)	NA	1.94	3.99±3.68 (range 1.82- 17.74)	5.34±4.27 (range 1.82- 17.83)	5.13±2.51 (range 0.42- 11.70)
	P. elephas	9	5.0±0.3 (range 4.1-5.4)	In situ	Range 20- 50	Handheld	147.0±29.7 (range 53- 266)	NM	NM	16.9±4.7 (range 6- 33)	115.9±27.2 (range 59.4- 208.9)	167.3±3.9 (range 156.0- 175.7) (peak- peak)	139.2±3.0 (range 132.0- 146.4) (rms)	Range 154.2- 160.6 (peak- peak)	NA	0.77±0.24 (range 0.12-1.66)	0.96±0.40 (range 0.22-1.62)	16.99±5.38 (range 4.90- 23.00)

Bibliography

- Akamatsu T, Okumura T, Novarini N, Yan HY (2002) Empirical refinements applicable to the recording of fish sounds in small tanks. J Acoust Soc Am 112:3073–3082
- Buscaino G, Filiciotto F, Gristina M, Bellante A and others (2011) Acoustic behaviour of the European spiny lobster Palinurus elephas. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 441:177-184
- de Vincenzi G, Filiciotto F, Maccarrone V, Mazzola S, Buscaino G (2015) Behavioural responses of the European spiny lobster *Palinurus elephas* (Fabricius, 1787), to conspecific and synthetic sounds. Crustaceana 88:523–540

Hazlett BA, Winn HE (1962a) Sound production and associated behavior of Bermuda crustaceans (Panulirus, Gonodactylus, Alpheus, and Synalpheus). Crustaceana 4:25–38

Hazlett BA, Winn HE (1962b) Characteristics of a sound produced by the lobster Justitia Longimanus. Ecology 43:741-742

Kikuchi M, Akamatsu T, Takase T (2015) Passive acoustic monitoring of Japanese spiny lobster stridulating sounds. Fish Sci 81:229-234

Latha G, Senthilvadivu S, Venkatesan R, Rajendran V (2005) Sound of shallow and deep water lobsters: Measurements, analysis and characterization (L). J Acoust Soc Am 117:2720–2723.

Meyer-Rochow VB, Penrose JD (1974) Sound and sound emission apparatus in puerulus and postpuerulus of the western rock lobster (Panulirus longipes). J Exp Zool 189:283–289

Meyer-Rochow VB, Penrose JD (1976) Sound production by the western rock lobster Panulirus longipes (Milne Edwards). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 23:191–209

Moulton JM (1957) Sound production in the spiny lobster Panulirus argus (Latreille). Biol Bull 113:286–295

Mulligan BE, Fischer RB (1977) Sounds and behavior of the spiny lobster Panulirus argus (Latreille, 1804) (Decapoda, Palinuridae). Crustaceana 32:185–199

Patek SN (2001) Spiny lobsters stick and slip to make sound. Nature 411:153-154

Patek SN (2002) Squeaking with a sliding joint: mechanics and motor control of sound production in palinurid lobsters. J Exp Biol 205:2375–2385

Patek SN, Oakley TH (2003) Comparative tests of evolutionary trade-offs in a palinurid lobster acoustic system. Evolution 57:2082–2100

Patek SN, Baio J (2007) The acoustic mechanics of stick-slip friction in the California spiny lobster (*Panulirus interruptus*). J Exp Biol 210: 3538–3546

Patek SN, Shipp LE, Staaterman ER (2009) The acoustics and acoustic behavior of the California spiny lobster (Panulirus interruptus). J Acoust Soc Am 125:3434–3443