Density-dependent growth of bivalves dominating the intertidal zone of Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania: importance of feeding mode, habitat and season

van der Geest Matthijs ^{1, 2, 3, *}, Van Der Lely Jorge A. C. ^{1, 2}, Van Gils Jan A. ^{1, 2}, Piersma Theunis ^{1, 2, 4}, Lok Tamar ^{1, 2}

¹ NIOZ Royal Netherlands Inst Sea Res, Dept Coastal Syst, POB 59, NL-1790 AB Den Burg, Texel, Netherlands.

² Univ Utrecht, POB 59, NL-1790 AB Den Burg, Texel, Netherlands.

³ Univ Montpellier, MARBEC Ctr Marine Biodivers Exploitat & Conservat, UMR 9190, IRD IFREMER CNRS UM, F-34095 Montpellier 5, France.

⁴ Univ Groningen, Conservat Ecol Grp, Groningen Inst Evolutionary Life Sci GELIFES, POB 11103, NL-9700 CC Groningen, Netherlands.

* Corresponding author : Matthijs van der Geest, email address : matt.vandergeest@gmail.com

Abstract :

Accurate predictions of population dynamics require an understanding of the ways by which environmental conditions and species-specific traits affect the magnitude of density dependence. Here, we evaluated the potential impact of season and habitat (characterized by sediment grain size and seagrass biomass) on the magnitude of density dependence in shell growth of 3 infaunal bivalve species dominating the tropical intertidal benthic communities of Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania. Two of our focal species were filter feeders (Senilia senilis and Pelecyora isocardia) and one was a facultative mixotroph (Loripes orbiculatus), mainly relying on organic carbon provided by sulphide-oxidizing endosymbiotic gillbacteria (i.e. chemosymbiotic). Distinguishing 2 seasons, winter and summer, we manipulated local bivalve densities across habitats (from bare sandy sediments to seagrass-covered mud). In situ growth of individually tagged and relocated clams was measured and compared with those of tagged clams that were allocated to adjacent sites where local bivalve densities were doubled. Growth was negatively density-dependent in both winter and summer in P. isocardia and L. orbiculatus, the 2 species that mainly inhabit seagrass sediments, but not in S. senilis, usually found in bare sediments. As reproduction and survival rates are generally size-dependent in bivalves, our results suggest that in our tropical study system, the bivalve community of seagrass-covered sediments is more strongly regulated than that of adjacent bare sediments, regardless of species-specific feeding mode or season. We suggest that ecosystem engineering by seagrasses enhances environmental stability, which allows bivalve populations within tropical seagrass beds to stay close to carrying capacity.

Keywords : Carrying capacity, Chemosymbiosis, Density dependence, Environmental heterogeneity, Feeding guild, Seagrass, Soft-sediment habitat

38 Introduction

39 Density dependence is a key process contributing to long-term persistence and stability of 40 populations (Murdoch, 1994). There is increasing evidence that temporal and spatial 41 variability in environmental conditions, such as resource availability, energetic demands, 42 predation risk or disease risk, affect the magnitude of density dependence (e.g. Wang et al. 43 2006, Finstad et al. 2009, Lok et al. 2013, Ford et al. 2016). For example, in a migratory bird 44 species, density dependence in survival varied seasonally (Lok et al. 2013), whereas in fish, 45 spatial variation in density-dependent growth and condition was driven by spatial variation in 46 shelter availability (Finstad et al. 2009, Ford et al. 2016).

In addition to environmental conditions, species-specific traits can also influence the patterns and magnitude of density dependence. For example, group-living species, such as shoaling coral reef fish, may increase performance at higher densities as a result of better resource patch detection and reduced predation risk (Yeager et al. 2014). Consequently, understanding how environmental conditions and species-specific traits affect the magnitude of density dependence is crucial for making accurate predictions of population dynamics.

53 In soft-bottom-dwelling marine benthos, the extent to which populations are regulated 54 by density-dependent processes may depend on feeding guild (Levinton 1972). In fact, 55 Levinton proposed that infaunal deposit feeders, relying on rather constant and partially self-56 renewing food supplies in the surrounding sediment, should be food-specialists occurring in 57 densities at which they are limited by food availability. In contrast, infaunal suspension 58 feeders, relying on the notoriously seasonally and locally variable phytoplankton in the 59 overlying water, should be food-generalists, occurring in variable densities not closely regulated by food availability. The clarity of these predictions spawned a rich portfolio of 60 61 descriptive and manipulative tests in soft-bottom marine benthic invertebrates, mostly

conducted in temperate coastal systems (e.g. Ólafsson 1986, Peterson & Beal 1989,
Kamermans et al. 1992).

64 As predicted by Levinton (1972), infaunal deposit feeders generally decrease shell 65 growth rates at experimentally increased densities (e.g. Branch & Branch 1980, Ólafsson 66 1986, Kamermans et al. 1992). However, contrasting results were found for infaunal 67 suspension feeders. Whereas some studies found no evidence for density dependence in growth of infaunal suspension feeders (e.g. Ólafsson 1986, Kamermans et al. 1992), others 68 69 did (e.g. Peterson & Beal 1989, Bijleveld et al. 2015). This indicates that the magnitude of 70 density-dependent growth in soft-bottom marine benthos is not determined by feeding mode 71 alone, but that environmental conditions also plays an important role. Yet, we are unaware of 72 any experimental tests that have explored how species-specific feeding traits and 73 environmental conditions (e.g., habitat characteristics, food availability) interact to affect the 74 magnitude of density dependence in growth of soft-sediment marine benthic invertebrate 75 populations.

76 When organisms colonize patches in proportion to the amount of resources available 77 in each patch (i.e. according to an ideal free distribution, sensu Fretwell & Lucas 1970), this 78 can obscure estimates of density dependence in demographic parameters (Wilson & Osenberg 79 2002, Shima & Osenberg 2003). This phenomenon is referred to as "cryptic density 80 dependence" (Shima & Osenberg 2003). To identify density dependence, it is therefore 81 crucial to experimentally manipulate densities. If organisms are ideal free distributed, and all 82 available resources are used and converted into growth, an experimental doubling of natural 83 densities is expected to result in an approximate halving of natural individual growth rates, 84 independent of patch-quality and (associated) naturally occurring densities. However, if 85 available resources are not fully used (i.e. if the strength of density dependence is lower), then 86 the effect of doubling densities on individual growth rates will be smaller. Note that this

scenario only holds in the absence of interference competition, a reasonable assumption for
most soft-sediment benthic communities (reviewed by Peterson 1979).

89 In this study we investigated the role of species-specific feeding traits, habitat 90 characteristics and season in shaping density dependence in shell growth within soft-bottom 91 bivalve communities in a tropical intertidal ecosystem, Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania. By 92 experimentally doubling natural densities of bivalve communities in two contrasting seasons, 93 winter and summer, and by exploiting the spatial variability in habitat properties among sites 94 within our study system (ranging from bare sandy to seagrass-covered muddy sediments), we 95 tested for seasonal and/or local habitat effects on the magnitude of density dependence in 96 shell growth of the three most abundant local bivalve species.

97 In addition to two filter-feeding bivalve species (i.e. Senilia senilis (Arcidae) and 98 *Pelecyora isocardia* (Veneridae)), our study includes the first assessment of density 99 dependence in shell growth of a bivalve species that mainly lives off carbon products 100 provided by sulphide-oxidizing chemoautotrophic bacteria living inside its gills (i.e. Loripes 101 orbiculatus (Lucinidae)) (van der Geest et al. 2014). In this symbiotic association, the bivalve 102 host enhances chemosynthesis by its gill-symbionts by facilitating the supply of sulphide, 103 carbon dioxide and oxygen to its gills. In exchange, the bacterial gill-symbionts fix carbon, 104 fuelling their own energetic and biosynthetic needs, in addition to those of their host (Stewart 105 et al. 2005). Assuming that the bacterial chemosynthesis of the food of 'chemosymbiotic' 106 bivalves is dependent on the transport of resources (i.e. sulphide, oxygen and carbon dioxide) 107 from the local environment (i.e. surrounding pore water) to the gill-symbionts by the host, we 108 hypothesize that when experimentally increasing densities of chemosymbiotic bivalves, these 109 resources may become locally depleted. Therefore, we predict density-dependent growth in 110 chemosymbiotic L. orbiculatus. Moreover, assuming that our focal species obey an ideal free

111 distribution, we predict that the effect of our density treatment on *L. orbiculatus* will be 112 independent of local habitat characteristics (i.e. sediment grain-size and seagrass biomass). 113 In contrast to chemosymbiotic bivalves, filter feeders are less dependent on food 114 produced in their immediate vicinity, but instead depend on water flow for delivery of their 115 food produced elsewhere (Fréchette & Bourget 1985). As a result, competition for food may 116 be low over a wide range of numerical densities. Yet, by reducing hydrodynamics (Fonseca et 117 al. 1982), seagrass may reduce suspended food refreshment rates (Reusch & Williams 1999, 118 Gonzalez-Ortiz et al. 2014) and physical disturbance of filter-feeding activity (Irlandi & 119 Peterson 1991, Irlandi 1996). Moreover, lower predation risk within seagrass beds, due to 120 seagrass providing refuges, may allow bivalves to be less vigilant and spend more time filter-121 feeding (Irlandi & Peterson 1991). Together, these factors may contribute to local food 122 depletion by filter feeders inhabiting seagrass beds, when their natural densities are 123 experimentally enhanced. Therefore, we predict that the negative effect of our density 124 treatment on shell growth in our two focal filter-feeding species will increase with seagrass 125 biomass. Assuming that the intensity of competition is greater during periods of resource 126 shortage (Wiens 1977), and that resource availability may vary seasonally for both filter-127 feeding and chemosymbiotic bivalves, we predict an interaction between season and our 128 density treatment on shell growth in all focal species.

By evaluating the potential impact of feeding mode (filter-feeding versus chemosymbiotic) and environmental conditions (i.e. habitat characteristics and season) on the magnitude of density dependence in shell growth within seagrass-associated tropical bivalve communities, we aim to contribute to a better understanding of the factors that determine tropical bivalve population dynamics. This knowledge may help to identify threats posed by environmental change (e.g. rapid loss of seagrasses worldwide (Waycott et al. 2009)) and to guide conservation strategies.

136 Materials and Methods

137 Study site

138 The study area around the fishing village of Iwik (Fig. 1) is an accessible part of the intertidal 139 area of Banc d'Arguin (19°60'-19°33'N, 16°33'-16°35'W), off the coast of Mauritania. This 140 intertidal ecosystem is characterized by tidal flats of which ~80% are covered by seagrasses 141 (mainly Zostera noltei Hornemann; Wolff & Smit 1990) that retain consistent aboveground 142 biomass throughout the year (Vermaat et al. 1993, El-Hacen et al. 2018). The Banc d'Arguin 143 is an important wintering site for migratory shorebirds, hosting almost two million individuals 144 in winter (Oudman et al. 2017). Previous studies have indicated that the food web of this 145 intertidal area is mainly supported by local benthic primary production (i.e. seagrass and 146 microphytobenthos), with low contributions of phytoplankton, macrophytes and epiphytes to 147 the food-web (Wolff et al. 1993b, Carlier et al. 2015). Being adjacent to the Sahara desert, 148 Banc d'Arguin does not receive freshwater inflow from rivers and precipitation is limited to 149 occasional thunderstorms that occur at irregular intervals, sometimes several years apart 150 (Wolff & Smit 1990, van der Geest et al. 2014). 151 In the Iwik region, seawater temperature varies between $\sim 20^{\circ}$ C in winter (i.e. January)

and ~30°C in late summer (i.e. September) and salinity ranges 40–44‰ (Wolff & Smit 1990, van der Geest et al. 2014). The tide is semi-diurnal and the tidal range is 1 to 2 m; maximum current speeds are about 1 m s⁻¹ (Wolff & Smit 1990).

155 Focal species

156 We restricted our growth measurements to the three most abundant bivalve species: Senilia

157 senilis (Arcidae; synonymised name Anadara senilis), Pelecyora isocardia (Veneridae;

158 synonymised name Dosinia isocardia) and Loripes orbiculatus (Lucinidae; synonymised

159 names *Loripes lucinalis* and *Loripes lacteus*). These three species contribute to more than

160 80% of the overall numbers of bivalves, with S. senilis mostly inhabiting bare sediments, and

L. orbiculatus and to a lesser extent *P. isocardia* mostly inhabiting seagrass-covered
sediments (Honkoop et al. 2008, van der Heide et al. 2012).

163 Experimental design

164 To avoid artefacts that may be imposed by commonly used enclosure experiments (for details 165 see Text S1 in the Supplement), we used an experimental set-up to manipulate bivalve 166 densities while keeping growing conditions as natural as possible, as will be described below. 167 The study area was divided into seven sub-regions, spread over an area of about 36 168 km² (Fig. 1). In each sub-region, we haphazardly assigned an annulus (hereafter named 169 station) with an outer radius of 200 m and an inner radius of 100 m. Within each station, 10 170 sampling sites were randomly selected. Our sampling procedure thus yielded 70 sampling 171 sites.

172 In autumn, between 13 October and 5 November 2007, and spring, between 13 April 173 and 4 May 2008, benthic samples were taken at each sampling site during low tide. The 174 samples were obtained by pushing a 30 cm diameter PVC ring (15 cm high) into the sediment 175 and collecting all sediment within the ring to a depth of 10 cm, using a small shovel. To speed 176 up the process of sorting benthic samples, we sieved the samples over a 2.8-mm mesh. By 177 doing so, we may have missed bivalves < 2.8 mm. Yet, the density of bivalves < 2.8 mm was relatively small compared to the density of larger bivalves (van der Geest 2013). Moreover, 178 179 being more fragile, these smaller bivalves would have been unlikely to survive the 180 experimental handling and transportation.

The retained material on the sieve was transported to the field station near Iwik. Here, all living bivalves were separated from the matrix of seagrass remains and shell fragments. From all clams of *S. senilis*, *P. isocardia* and *L. orbiculatus*, we haphazardly took 1 to 18 individuals per species of which shell heights (H_1) were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm.

This range from 1 to 18 individuals was the result of varying bivalve sizes and densities of our focal species in our benthic samples. Subsequently, these bivalves were individually marked with uniquely labelled glue-on shellfish tags (type 'FPN 4 mm circle tag' or type 'FPN 8x4m oval tag', Hallprint, Australia). Until redeployment, all bivalves were covered with a layer of seawater-moist *Zostera noltei* leaves to protect them from desiccation and stored at ~25°C. Within 24 hours after collection, all bivalves were relocated in their natural environment as described below.

192 As sampling inevitably leads to disruption of sediment structure, all bivalves (both 193 tagged and untagged, often comprising several species) from a benthic sample were relocated 194 altogether at an undisturbed site 5 m away from the original sampling site. The relocation site 195 was marked with two PVC sticks that were placed 50 cm apart. In the middle between the two 196 sticks, a 30 cm diameter PVC ring was placed on top of the sediment. The bivalves were 197 spread out over the enclosed area and gently pushed into the sediment to a depth of ~ 1 cm, 198 after which the PVC ring was removed. As the minimum average size of a bivalve patch in 199 our study area had been estimated to be ~10 m (Oudman et al. in press), we expected the 200 composition of the bivalve community to be similar across a 5 m distance. Thus, by relocating 201 all bivalves collected in a benthic sample at 5 m distance from their original sampling site 202 over a surface area equal to the sampled surface area at the original sampling site, we roughly 203 doubled the density of the total bivalve community at the relocation site.

For the focal bivalve species of which individuals were collected for the density treatment, we collected 1 to 8 additional clams in the vicinity of the sampling sites to use as control treatments. These clams were also measured and individually tagged as described above. In contrast to the treatment where bivalve densities were approximately doubled, these tagged clams were each placed 1 m apart and within 3 m of the site where bivalve densities were doubled. With mean densities of *S. senilis*, *P. isocardia* and *L. orbiculatus* being 242,

219 and 16 individuals per m^2 in bare sediments and 59, 316 and 339 individuals per m^2 in 210 211 seagrass-covered sediments in our study area (Honkoop et al. 2008), bivalve densities per m² 212 were only marginally changed by the addition of just a single individual. Therefore, we 213 considered these sites as control treatments with natural (unmanipulated) densities. These 214 control sites were again marked by two short PVC sticks placed 50 cm apart, the middle point 215 between two short PVC sticks marking the spot where the tagged clam was relocated. In total, 216 1431 clams were tagged (S. senilis, N = 529; P. isocardia, N = 357; L. orbiculatus, N = 545, 217 Table 1).

218 Approximately half a year later, in spring (13 April – 4 May 2008), and in autumn (20 219 October – 14 November 2008), we sampled our experimental relocation sites in a similar way 220 as described above, with the only difference that control sites, to which only one tagged clam 221 was allocated, were sampled with a smaller (15 cm diameter) sediment core to reduce 222 sampling effort. As such, we investigated the effect of our density treatment on shell growth 223 in both winter (i.e. October 2007-April 2008) and summer (April 2008-November 2008). 224 Each benthic sample was sieved over a 2.8-mm mesh and the material retained was put in a 225 plastic bag and transported to the scientific field station near Iwik. Here, all living clams were 226 sorted per sample and when a tagged clam was recovered, its shell height (H_2) was measured 227 again (precision 0.1 mm). At each sampling, tagged clams were either found alive, found dead 228 as empty shells, or missing. Missing clams would have been a consequence of either sampling 229 error, emigration, removal by scavengers, post-mortem transport, or depredation. In some 230 occasions we recaptured single tags in our samples that were not attached to a clam anymore. 231 Because we could not determine whether these tags belonged to clams that were alive, dead or 232 missing from our study plot, we labelled their fate as 'unknown'.

As the tagging procedure involves physical handling and temporary removal of clams from their natural environment, it may cause retarded growth due to stress, in particular in *L*.

orbiculatus, the species with somewhat fragile shells (van der Geest et al. 2011). Yet, as this
would affect the growth of clams in both the control and density treatments, it will not
invalidate any conclusions about the factors that determine density-dependent shell growth in
our focal bivalve species.

239 Site-specific habitat characteristics

240 To investigate if habitat characteristics (i.e. seagrass biomass and grain-size of the sediment) 241 affect the magnitude of density-dependent growth, half-way during the experimental interval 242 (i.e. between 13 April and 2 May 2008) at each site a seagrass core (7 cm, internal diameter) 243 and a sediment core (internal diameter, 2 cm) was taken to a depth of 10 cm. The content of 244 the seagrass core was sieved over a 500-µm mesh. The material retained on the sieve was 245 stored in a plastic bag, frozen at -18 °C and transported to The Netherlands, where for each 246 sample all living seagrass parts (i.e. leaves, rhizomes and roots) were sorted. The ash-free dry 247 mass (AFDM) of all living seagrass parts was determined via the loss-on-ignition method. 248 Samples were dried at 60°C for a minimum of 72 h, weighed and then incinerated at 550°C 249 for 4 h after which the remaining ashes were weighed again. The difference between the first 250 and the second weights gives the AFDM of the living seagrass parts in the sample (AFDM seagrass, in $g m^{-2}$). 251

Content of the sediment core was stored in a plastic bag, frozen at -18°C and in The
Netherlands samples were freeze-dried and grain-size distribution of each sample was
determined using a particle-size analyser (Beckman Coulter Model LS 230). From the grainsize distribution the median was calculated (median grain-size, MGS). Given that MGS
depends on wave exposure and factors that attenuate hydrodynamics (Fonseca et al. 1982,
Paterson & Black 1999), we used MGS as a proxy for local water flow conditions, with larger
MGS reflecting higher water flow (i.e. refreshment rates) at that site.

259 Shell growth rate

For the three focal species, the mean initial size of recaptured bivalves varied between our density treatments (for details see Table 1). To remove the effects of initial size on the magnitude of individual growth increments, we fitted Von Bertalanffy's growth function (VBGF) to our data, a commonly used equation when modeling indeterminate shell growth. In this function, instantaneous growth rate dH/dt declines with an increase in shell height H_t in the following way:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}H}{\mathrm{d}t} = k(H_{\infty} - H_t) \tag{1}$$

where H_{∞} is a constant representing the mean maximum shell height and *k* is the growth coefficient representing the intrinsic rate (in day⁻¹) at which asymptotic shell height is approached. To estimate *k* from tag-recapture data, the traditional VBGF must be modified using the derivation of Fabens (1965) increment model:

271
$$H_2 = H_{\infty} - (H_{\infty} - H_1)e^{-k\Delta t}$$
 (2)

where *k* is the estimated growth coefficient and H_1 and H_2 are defined as the shell heights at time of marking (t_1) and recapture (t_2) , respectively, Δt as the time interval in days (i.e. $t_2 - t_1$) and H_{∞} is the mean maximum shell height. Rewriting this equation gives:

275
$$k = -\frac{\ln\left(\frac{H_{\infty} - H_2}{H_{\infty} - H_1}\right)}{\Delta t}$$
(3)

We used the obtained growth coefficient k (day⁻¹) for each recaptured clam as a proxy for shell growth rate in our analyses.

278 Statistical analysis

279 As sediment median grain-size (MGS) and seagrass biomass were negatively correlated

- 280 (Pearson's r = -0.49), we performed a principle component analysis and used the first
- 281 principle component (PC1) as a continuous variable to describe the habitat characteristics at a

specific sampling site (Fig. 2). PC1 explained 74% of the proportion of the variance insediment MGS and seagrass biomass.

284 For each focal species separately, we used linear mixed effects models to investigate 285 whether density treatment (control or initial bivalve density doubled), season (winter or 286 summer) and habitat (PC1) and their two-way interactions explained variation in growth 287 coefficient k. Due to the nested structure of the data, station and site (nested within station) 288 were included as random effects. We compared all possible combinations of these explanatory 289 variables. Model selection is based on Akaike information criterion adjusted for small sample 290 size (AIC_c) (Burnham & Anderson 2002). Parameter estimates and approximate 95% 291 confidence intervals of the most parsimonious model are reported, with the most 292 parsimonious model being the model with the fewest parameters within $2 \Delta AIC_c$ of the top model (Burnham & Anderson 2002). Per focal species, the R^2_{LR} goodness-of-fit measure was 293 294 calculated from the most parsimonious linear mixed effects model output by using a log-295 likelihood ratio test as described by Magee (1990).

296 We tested for heterogeneity in the residuals following the procedure described by Zuur 297 et al. (2009), by comparing models that described the variance as different functions of the explanatory variables. We determined the appropriate value for H_{∞} for each species by 298 299 gradually increasing the value of H_{∞} (starting from the H_1 of the largest clam in the dataset) 300 until the most parsimonious variance function no longer includes H_1 (for details of this 301 method, see Text S2 in the Supplement). This turned out to be at 76.3, 17.1 and 11.4 mm for S. senilis, P. isocardia and L. orbiculatus, respectively. Using these values for H_{∞} , there was 302 303 still evidence that variance was season-dependent in all three focal species for which we 304 corrected using a 'varIdent' structure (Zuur et al. 2009), which allows different error 305 variances for different factor levels. This variance structure was retained when investigating 306 the statistical support for any of the fixed effects. As there is some individual variation around

307 H_{∞} , we performed a sensitivity analysis with respect to the maximum value of H_{∞} (for details 308 see Text S3 in the Supplement).

309	All analyses were performed in program R (R Core Team 2018, version 3.4.4). For
310	linear mixed effects models, the R-package 'nlme' (Pinheiro et al. 2015) was used. Models
311	with all possible combinations of main effects and their two-way interactions were compared
312	simultaneously based on AIC _c , using the R-package 'MuMIn' (Bartoń 2016).

313 Results

At our experimental sites (N = 70), total AFDM of seagrass (i.e. *Zostera noltei*) ranged from 0–814.4 g AFDM m⁻² with an average value of 151.7 g AFDM m⁻². Biomass was negatively correlated with sediment MGS (Pearson's r = -0.49), which ranged from 29.9–294.7 µm with an average of 100.2 µm (Fig. 2).

318 Live recaptured clams (N = 338) were distributed over 68 of the 70 experimental sites. 319 The habitat characteristics (as described by PC1) of the sites where tagged clams were 320 recaptured differed significantly among the three focal species (one-way ANOVA, $F_{2,119}$ = 321 5.84, P = 0.004). A post-hoc Tukey test showed that the habitat characteristics at the sites 322 occupied by S. senilis differed significantly from those occupied by P. isocardia (P = 0.03) 323 and L. orbiculatus (P = 0.007), with recaptured S. senilis being significantly more restricted to 324 bare sandy sediment sites with relatively low PC1 values, compared to clams of P. isocardia 325 and L. orbiculatus which were recaptured in more muddy seagrass-covered sites with 326 relatively high PC1 values (Fig. 3). There was no significant difference between habitat 327 characteristics at the sites occupied by P. isocardia and those occupied by L. orbiculatus (P =328 0.99).

For *S. senilis*, the most parsimonious model to account for variation in growth rate included an effect of season only (Table 2), which explained 39% of the variation in shell growth ($R^2_{LR} = 0.39$). Growth coefficient *k* was about twice as high in summer than in winter

332 (Table 3, Fig. 4a). Although the model including both season and density treatment as fixed 333 effects was best supported (Table 2), it required an extra parameter without reducing AIC_c by 334 2 points (Table 2). This implies that there is only limited support for an effect of density 335 (Burnham & Anderson 2002), as also indicated by the 95% confidence interval that included 336 zero ($\beta_{density} = 3.87*10^{-5}$, 95% CI = -0.80*10⁻⁵ – 8.54*10⁻⁵).

337 The most parsimonious models to account for variation in growth rate in *P. isocardia* and *L. orbiculatus* included effects of season and density treatment (Table 2) ($R^{2}_{LR} = 0.43$ and 338 $R^{2}_{LR} = 0.32$, respectively). For both *P. isocardia* and *L. orbiculatus*, *k* was higher in summer 339 340 than in winter and was lower in the density treatment than in the control (Table 3, Fig. 4b,c). 341 While the best-supported model for P. isocardia included an effect of habitat, this extra 342 parameter reduced AIC by only 0.02 points, and the 95% CI included zero ($\beta_{habitat} = -2.57*10^{-10}$ ⁴, 95% CI = $-5.30*10^{-4} - 1.63*10^{-5}$), implying only limited support for a habitat effect. There 343 344 was no support for the statistical interactions between our density treatment and habitat 345 characteristics or season in any of the focal species (Table 2). These results were insensitive 346 to the value of maximum shell height H_{∞} across a wide range of values for H_{∞} (*P. isocardia*, 347 17.1–23 mm; L. orbiculatus 11.4–14 mm, S. senilis 76.5–81.7 mm) (for details see Text S3 in 348 the Supplement).

349 **Discussion**

By quantifying the combined effects of density treatment, season and habitat on shell growth
of bivalves from two different feeding guilds, this experimental study yields important
insights in the factors that determine density dependence in shell growth of tropical bivalves.
We show that shell growth was negatively density dependent in filter-feeding *P. isocardia*and chemosymbiotic *L. orbiculatus*, the two species that mainly inhabit seagrass sediments,
but not in filter-feeding *S. senilis*, a species that dominates bare sandy sediments (Fig. 3). We
found no season or habitat-specific effect of density on shell growth. These results suggest

that the bivalve community of seagrass-covered sediments was closer to carrying capacitythan that of adjacent bare sediments, regardless of species-specific feeding mode or season.

359 Intra- versus interspecific competition

360 As we manipulated the density of the total bivalve community, we estimated the joint effects 361 of intra- and interspecific competition on shell growth in our three focal bivalve species. In 362 view of the different feeding modes (filter-feeding vs. feeding mainly on chemosynthetically 363 produced food), and the spatial segregation of the three numerically dominant bivalve species 364 studied here (S. senilis being restricted to more bare sandy sediments and P. isocardia and L. 365 orbiculatus mainly inhabiting muddy seagrass sediments; Fig. 3), intraspecific competition 366 may have been more prevalent than interspecific competition. Yet, L. orbiculatus has recently 367 been shown to grow faster when P. isocardia is depleted, which was attributed to L. 368 orbiculatus being a mixotroph, thus potentially competing for suspended resources with filter-369 feeding P. isocardia (van Gils et al. 2012). Moreover, exploitative interspecific competition 370 for oxygen cannot be ruled out (Ferguson et al. 2013), especially given the detected oxygen 371 deficit due to benthic respiration at night in the seagrass-covered sediments of Banc d'Arguin 372 (Clavier et al. 2011). Irrespective of the relative importance of intra- versus inter-specific 373 competition, density dependence in shell growth was stronger in bivalve communities of 374 seagrass-covered sediments compared to those in bare sediments.

375 Density-dependent growth in filter feeders

Our density treatment strongly affected shell growth in *P. isocardia*, while there was only
limited support for an effect of our density treatment on shell growth in *S. senilis*. Although
we cannot exclude the possibility that species-specific morphological or life history traits play
a role in explaining the differential effect of density on filter-feeding *S. senilis* and *P. isocardia*, the difference in the main habitats occupied by the two species likely contributes to

this effect. *S. senilis* mainly lives in bare sandy sediments whereas *P. isocardia* occupies the
more muddy seagrass-covered sediments (Honkoop et al. 2008; Fig. 3 of this study).

383 Filter feeders depend on the flow of water to supply them with food (Fréchette & 384 Bourget 1985). When flow velocities of the water column and subsequent food refreshment 385 rates are low, filter feeders can locally deplete their food (Reusch & Williams 1999, 386 Gonzalez-Ortiz et al. 2014). Hence, the observed negative correlation between sediment MGS 387 and seagrass biomass (Fig. 2), suggests that inside seagrass canopies water flows (i.e. food 388 refreshment rates) are reduced (Paterson & Black 1999). This would result in enhanced 389 competition for suspended food particles among the filter feeders of the seagrass-covered 390 sediments of Banc d'Arguin.

Alternatively, one could argue that the lack of density-dependent growth in bivalves of bare sediments (i.e. *S. senilis*), is simply caused by the disappearance of the density treatment (doubling densities) by bivalves moving away from the experimental plots more freely in bare sediments than in seagrass-covered sediments. However, as the percentage of missing tagged *S. senilis* clams at resampling was higher at the control sites compared to sites where clam densities were doubled (45% vs. 43% in spring, and 57% vs. 45% in autumn; Table 1), this was unlikely the case.

398 Density-dependent growth in chemosymbiotic bivalves

To our knowledge, this study is the first to reveal density-dependent growth in chemosymbiotic bivalves. Chemosymbiotic bivalves generally dominate the macrobenthic infauna of seagrass sediments (Honkoop et al. 2008, van der Heide et al. 2012), where they can reach densities of up to 4000 individuals per m² (van der Geest et al. 2011). Here, these bivalves and their sulphide-oxidizing gill-symbionts can be considered part of a nested symbiosis with seagrasses, which may be essential to the health and ecological success of seagrasses (van der Heide et al. 2012); while the bivalve-bacteria consortium profits from

406 sulphide that is indirectly provided by seagrasses, and from oxygen released by seagrass roots, 407 the removal of toxic sulphide by the bivalve-bacteria consortium stimulates growth of the 408 seagrasses (van der Heide et al. 2012). In light of this tripartite mutualism, the observed 409 density-dependent growth in chemosymbiotic L. orbiculatus, suggests a limit to their 410 capability to detoxify sulphide from seagrass sediments, which would have major 411 implications for seagrass community functioning and persistence. For example, negative 412 density-dependent growth in chemosymbiotic bivalves could have contributed or even 413 initiated the recently described breakdown of the mutualism between seagrass and 414 chemosymbiotic L. orbiculatus, which accelerated landscape-scale intertidal seagrass collapse 415 in our Banc d'Arguin study system (de Fouw et al. 2016). Clearly, whether and how densitydependent growth in chemosymbiotic bivalves affects the resilience of this seagrass-bivalve 416 417 mutualism provides scope for future studies.

418 Effects of environmental conditions on density-dependent growth

419 We found pronounced seasonal variation in shell growth in all three bivalve species (Tables 2 420 & 3, Fig. 4). This is consistent with previous studies at Banc d'Arguin that investigated 421 seasonality in shell growth of S. senilis (Lavaud et al. 2013) and in biomass of L. orbiculatus 422 (van der Geest et al. 2014). The effect of season on shell growth was largest for *P. isocardia*, 423 intermediate for S. senilis and lowest for L. orbiculatus (a 75.5%, 44.7% and 35.0% growth reduction in winter compared to summer, calculated as $\frac{\beta_{winter}}{\beta_{intercent}} * 100\%$ using the parameter 424 425 estimates in Table 3). This may indicate that, compared with chemosymbiotic L. orbiculatus, 426 seasonality in (phototrophic) food availability was more intense for filter feeders, especially 427 those inhabiting seagrass sediments (i.e. P. isocardia).

The lack of support for a statistical interaction between season and density on growth in *P. isocardia* and *L. orbiculatus* did not confirm our prediction that the density treatment
would have a stronger effect during periods of reduced growth conditions (i.e., in winter). We

431 suggest that this counterintuitive result may be due to the seasonality in predation pressure at 432 our experimental sites. Being responsible for about 80% of all mollusc consumption by 433 vertebrate predators (van Gils et al. 2012), the migratory red knot Calidris canutus canutus is 434 the main molluscivore predator at Banc d'Aguin, where their diets mainly consist of P. 435 *isocardia* and *L. orbiculatus* (Onrust et al. 2013). These birds are able to deplete their 436 favourite P. isocardia and L. orbiculatus prey over the winter, before they return to their 437 Arctic breeding grounds in late spring (Ahmedou Salem et al. 2014). Since red knots 438 preferably forage at sites with high densities of *P. isocardia* and *L. orbiculatus* (van Gils et al. 439 2015), and since we have not excluded predators from our experimental plots, the relatively 440 high predation pressure in winter may have reduced the effect of our density treatment on 441 shell growth in P. isocardia and L. orbiculatus, which may have compensated for the reduced 442 growth conditions in this season, eventually resulting in the lack of an interaction between 443 season and our density treatment. Indeed, the percentage of missing tagged P. isocardia clams 444 at sites where local bivalve densities were experimentally doubled was higher in spring (74% 445 clams missing) compared to autumn (61% clams missing), which may reflect higher predation 446 rates on P. isocardia in winter (Table 1).

447 Whereas the lack of support for a statistical interaction between density treatment and 448 habitat characteristics confirmed our prediction for chemosymbiotic L. orbiculatus, we had 449 expected a positive relationship between seagrass biomass and density dependence in growth, 450 hence a statistical interaction between density treatment and habitat characteristics, for the 451 filter-feeding S. senilis and P. isocardia, as refreshment rates of suspended food generally 452 decrease as seagrass biomass increases (e.g. Reusch & Williams 1999, Gonzalez-Ortiz et al. 453 2014). That there was no support for such a statistical interaction in the two filter-feeding 454 species could be explained by the fact that S. senilis and P. isocardia occupied only a limited

455 range of habitats (bare sandy sediment and seagrass-covered mud respectively, Fig. 3),

456 making it less likely to statistically detect any within-species habitat effects.

457 Density-dependent regulation

458 Density-dependent regulation is essential for the long-term persistence of populations 459 (Murdoch, 1994); it can reduce susceptibility to environmental fluctuations (Anderson et al. 460 2008), resulting in lower risk of extirpation or extinction. As fecundity and survival in 461 bivalves generally increase with size (e.g. Paine 1976, Peterson 1986), the observed density 462 dependence in shell growth of L. orbiculatus and P. isocardia is likely to result in negative 463 density dependence in reproduction and survival rates driving regulation of their populations 464 through negative feedbacks. If true, we would expect rather constant biomass densities in L. 465 orbiculatus and P. isocardia, and more variable densities in S. senilis over time. Indeed, a 466 comparison of biomass densities at the tidal flats of Banc d'Arguin in 1986, 1988 and 2007 467 showed that L. orbiculatus and P. isocardia biomass were very constant in these three periods, varying between 1.1–2.6 g ash-free dry mass (AFDM) m⁻² in L. orbiculatus and 0.1– 468 0.9 g AFDM m⁻² in *P. isocardia*, while biomass densities of *S. senilis* were highly variable, 469 varying from 8.1 g AFDM m⁻² in 1986 to 0.8 g AFDM m⁻² in 1988 up to 20.3 g AFDM m⁻² in 470 471 2007 (Wolff et al. 1993a, Jansen et al. 2008, Wolff & Michaelis 2008).

472 General implications

This study suggests that tropical bivalve communities of seagrass-covered sediments are closer to carrying capacity and presumably more 'regulated' by density-dependent processes than those of adjacent bare sediments. Seagrasses are ecosystem engineers (Jones et al. 1994) in the sense that they create, modify and maintain their own habitat by causing changes in biotic and abiotic conditions that modulate the availability of resources to themselves and other species (e.g. Bos et al. 2007, Folmer et al. 2012). As a result of ecosystem engineering, seagrasses create more stable and predictable environmental conditions for those species that

depend on them (Bertness & Leonard 1997). We suggest that in tropical realms like Banc
d'Arguin, where seagrasses generally retain consistent aboveground biomass throughout the
year (Duarte 1989, Vermaat et al. 1993, El-Hacen et al. 2018), ecosystem engineering by
seagrasses may enhance environmental stability, allowing bivalve (and other macrobenthic)
populations within seagrass beds to stay close to carrying capacity. This would imply that
tropical seagrass-covered sediments harbour more *predictable* benthic food stocks for
predators than bare sediments.

487 Acknowledgements

488 We are grateful to the staff of the Parc National du Banc d'Arguin for their permission to 489 work and use their facilities in the parc. We especially thank Lemhaba Ould Yarba for 490 logistical support and Sidi Ould Ely and Amadou Abderahmane Sall at Iwik station. Annette 491 van Koutrik is thanked for processing sediment samples and so are El-Hacen Mohamed El-492 Hacen, Marieke Smit, José Reinders and Erik Jansen who assisted in collecting and 493 processing benthic samples. We thank Anieke van Leeuwen for constructive comments on a 494 draft. This work was funded by the NWO-WOTRO Integrated Programme grant W.01.65.221.00 to TP, a NWO-VIDI grant (864.09.002) to JAvG, and a post-doctoral 495 496 fellowship of the Mediterranean Center for Environment and Biodiversity (LabEx-CeMEB) to 497 MvdG.

498 Literature cited

Ahmedou Salem MV, van der Geest M, Piersma T, Saoud Y, van Gils JA (2014) Seasonal
changes in mollusc abundance in a tropical intertidal ecosystem, Banc d'Arguin
(Mauritania): testing the 'shorebird depletion' hypothesis. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci
136:26-34

503	Anderson CNK, Hsieh CH, Sandin SA, Hewitt R, et al. (2008) Why fishing magnifies
504	fluctuations in fish abundance. Nature 452:835-839
505	Bartoń K (2016) MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference. R package version 1.15.6. http://CRAN.R-
506	project.org/package=MuMIn.
507	Bertness MD, Leonard GH (1997) The role of positive interactions in communities: lessons
508	from intertidal habitats. Ecology 78:1976-1989
509	Bijleveld AI, Twietmeyer S, Piechocki J, van Gils JA, Piersma T (2015) Natural selection by
510	pulsed predation: survival of the thickest. Ecology 96:1943-1956
511	Bos AR, Bouma TJ, de Kort GLJ, van Katwijk MM (2007) Ecosystem engineering by annual
512	intertidal seagrass beds: Sediment accretion and modification. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci
513	74:344-348
514	Branch GM, Branch ML (1980) Competition in Bembicium auratum (Gastropoda) and its
515	effect on microalgal standing stock in mangrove muds. Oecologia 46:106-114
516	Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) Model selection and multimodel inference: A practical
517	information-theoretic approach. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY
518	Carlier A, Chauvaud L, van der Geest M, Le Loc'h F, et al. (2015) Trophic connectivity
519	between offshore upwelling and the inshore food web of Banc d'Arguin (Mauritania):
520	new insights from isotopic analysis. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 165:149-158
521	Clavier J, Chauvaud L, Carlier A, Amice E, et al. (2011) Aerial and underwater carbon
522	metabolism of a Zostera noltii seagrass bed in the Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania. Aquat
523	Bot 95:24-30
524	de Fouw J, Govers LL, van de Koppel J, van Belzen J, et al. (2016) Drought, mutualism
525	breakdown, and landscape-scale degradation of seagrass beds. Current Biology
526	26:1051-1056

- 527 Duarte CM (1989) Temporal biomass variability and production biomass relationships of
 528 seagrass communities. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 51:269-276
- 529 El-Hacen E-HM, Bouma TJ, Fivash GS, Sall AA, et al. (2018) Evidence for 'critical slowing
 530 down' in seagrass: a stress gradient experiment at the southern limit of its range.
 531 Scientific Reports 8:17263
- Fabens A (1965) Properties and fitting of the von Bertalanffy growth curve. Growth 29:265289
- Ferguson N, White CR, Marshall DJ (2013) Competition in benthic marine invertebrates: the
 unrecognized role of exploitative competition for oxygen. Ecology 94:126–135
- 536 Finstad AG, Einum S, Ugedal O, Forseth T (2009) Spatial distribution of limited resources
- and local density regulation in juvenile Atlantic salmon. J Anim Ecol 78:226-235
- Folmer EO, van der Geest M, Jansen EJ, Olff H, et al. (2012) Seagrass–sediment feedback: an
 exploration using a nonrecursive structural equation model. Ecosystems 15:1380-1393
- Fonseca MS, Fisher JS, Zieman JC, Thayer GW (1982) Influence of the seagrass, *Zostera marina* L., on current flow. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 15:351-364
- 542 Ford JR, Shima JS, Swearer SE (2016) Interactive effects of shelter and conspecific density

shape mortality, growth, and condition in juvenile reef fish. Ecology 97:1373-1380

- 544 Fréchette M, Bourget E (1985) Energy flow between the pelagic and benthic zones: factors
- 545 controlling particulate organic matter available to an intertidal mussel bed. Can J Fish
 546 Aquat Sci 42:1158-1165
- 547 Fretwell S, Lucas HL (1970) On territorial behaviour and other factors influencing habitat
 548 distribution in birds. Acta biotheoretica 19:16-36
- 549 Gonzalez-Ortiz V, Egea LG, Jimenez-Ramos R, Moreno-Marin F, et al. (2014) Interactions
 550 between seagrass complexity, hydrodynamic flow and biomixing alter food
- 551 availability for associated filter-feeding organisms. PLoS One 9:14

552	Honkoop PJC, Berghuis EM, Holthuijsen S, Lavaleye MSS, Piersma T (2008) Molluscan
553	assemblages of seagrass-covered and bare intertidal flats on the Banc d'Arguin,
554	Mauritania, in relation to characteristics of sediment and organic matter. J Sea Res
555	60:235-243
556	Irlandi EA (1996) The effects of seagrass patch size and energy regime on growth of a
557	suspension-feeding bivalve. J Mar Res 54:161-185
558	Irlandi EA, Peterson CH (1991) Modification of animal habitat by large plants: mechanisms
559	by which seagrasses influence clam growth. Oecologia 87:307-318
560	Jansen EJ, Folmer EO, Piersma T (2008) Using remote sensing to predict macrobenthos
561	abundance in the Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania. Master thesis, University of Groningen,
562	Groningen, the Netherlands
563	Jones CG, Lawton JH, Shachak M (1994) Organisms as ecosystem engineers. Oikos 69:373-
564	386
565	Kamermans P, van der Veer HW, Karczmarski L, Doeglas GW (1992) Competition in
566	deposit-feeding and suspension-feeding bivalves: experiments in controlled outdoor
567	environments. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 162:113-135
568	Lavaud R, Thébault J, Lorrain A, van der Geest M, Chauvaud L (2013) Senilia senilis
569	(Linnaeus, 1758), a biogenic archive of environmental conditions on the Banc
570	d'Arguin (Mauritania). J Sea Res 76:61-72
571	Levinton J (1972) Stability and trophic structure in deposit-feeding and suspension-feeding
572	communities. Am Nat 106:472-&
573	Lok T, Overdijk O, Tinbergen JM, Piersma T (2013) Seasonal variation in density
574	dependence in age-specific survival of a long-distance migrant. Ecology 94:2358-2369
575	Magee, L. 1990. R^2 measures based in Wald and likelihood ratio joint significance tests
576	American Statistician 44:250-253

- 577 Murdoch WW (1994) Population regulation in theory and practice. Ecology 75:271-287
- 578 Ólafsson EB (1986) Density dependence in suspension-feeding and deposit-feeding
- populations of the bivalve *Macoma balthica*: a field experiment. J Anim Ecol 55:517580 526
- Onrust J, De Fouw J, Oudman T, van der Geest M, Piersma T, van Gils JA (2013) Red Knot
 diet reconstruction revisited: context dependence revealed by experiments at Banc

583d'Arguin, Mauritania. Bird Study 60:298–307

- 584 Oudman T, Piersma T, Salem Ahmedou MV, Feis ME, et al. (*in press*) Resource landscapes
- 585 explain contrasting patterns of aggregation and site fidelity by red knots at two586 wintering sites. Movement Ecology
- 587 Oudman T, Schekkerman H, Kidee A, van Roomen M, Tentij M, Piersma T (2017) The
 588 waterbirds of Parc National du Banc d'Arguin: evaluation of all complete
 589 wintercounts, workshop proceedings and an evaluation.
- 590 Paine RT (1976) Size-limited predation: observational and experimental approach with
 591 *Mytilus pisaster* interaction. Ecology 57:858-873
- 592 Paterson DM, Black KS (1999) Water flow, sediment dynamics and benthic biology. In:
- 593 Nedwell DB, Raffaelli DG (eds) Advances in Ecological Research, Vol 29: Estuaries,
- 594 Book 29. Elsevier Academic Press Inc, San Diego
- 595 Peterson CH (1979) Predation, competitive exclusion, and diversity in the soft-sediment
- 596 benthic communities of estuaries and lagoons. In: Livingston RJ (ed) Ecological
- 597 processes in coastal and marine systems. Plenum Press, New York, New York
- 598 Peterson CH (1986) Quantitative allometry of gamete production by *Mercernaria*
- 599 *mercernaria* into old-age. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 29:93-97
- 600 Peterson CH, Beal BF (1989) Bivalve growth and higher-order interactions: importance of
- density, site, and time. Ecology 70:1390-1404

- Pinheiro JC, Bates DM, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, R Core Team (2015) nlme: linear and nonlinear
 mixed-effects models. R package version 3.1-121.
- R Core Team (2018) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria
- 606 Reusch TBH, Williams SL (1999) Macrophyte canopy structure and the success of an
- 607 invasive marine bivalve. Oikos 84:398-416
- Shima JS, Osenberg CW (2003) Cryptic density dependence: effects of covariation between
 density and site quality in reef fish. Ecology 84:46-52
- 610 Stewart FJ, Newton ILG, Cavanaugh CM (2005) Chemosynthetic endosymbioses: adaptations
 611 to oxic-anoxic interfaces. Trends Microbiol 13:439-448
- van der Geest M (2013) General discussion: the functioning of the Banc d'Arguin ecosystem
- 613 revised. In: Multi-trophic interactions within the seagrass beds of Banc d'Arguin,
- 614 Mauritania: a chemosynthesis-based intertidal ecosystem. PhD thesis, University of
- 615 Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands,
- 616 van der Geest M, Sall AA, Ely SO, Nauta RW, van Gils JA, Piersma T (2014) Nutritional and
- 617 reproductive strategies in a chemsoymbiotic bivalve living in a tropical intertidal
- 618 seagrass bed. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 501:113-126
- 619 van der Geest M, van Gils JA, van der Meer J, Olff H, Piersma T (2011) Suitability of calcein
- 620 as an *in situ* growth marker in burrowing bivalves. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 399:1-7
- 621 van der Heide T, Govers LL, de Fouw J, Olff H, et al. (2012) A three-stage symbiosis forms
- the foundation of seagrass ecosystems. Science 336:1432-1434
- 623 van Gils JA, van der Geest M, De Meulenaer B, Gillis H, Piersma T, Folmer EO (2015)
- 624 Moving on with foraging theory: incorporating movement decisions into the
- 625 functional response of a gregarious shorebird. J Anim Ecol 84:554-564

626	van Gils JA, van der Geest M, Jansen EJ, Govers LL, de Fouw J, Piersma T (2012) Trophic
627	cascade induced by molluscivore predator alters pore-water biogeochemistry via
628	competitive release of prey. Ecology 93:1143-1152
629	Vermaat JE, Beijer JAJ, Gijlstra R, Hootsmans MJM, et al. (1993) Leaf dynamics and
630	standing stocks of intertidal Zostera noltii Hornem. and Cymodocea nodosa (Ucria)
631	Ascherson on the Banc d'Arguin (Mauritania). Hydrobiologia 258:59-72
632	Wang GM, Hobbs NT, Boone RB, Illius AW, et al. (2006) Spatial and temporal variability
633	modify density dependence in populations of large herbivores. Ecology 87:95-102
634	Waycott M, Duarte CM, Carruthers TJB, Orth RJ, et al. (2009) Accelerating loss of
635	seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
636	106:12377-12381
637	Wiens JA (1977) On competition and variable environments. Am Scientist 65:590-597
638	Wilson J, Osenberg CW (2002) Experimental and observational patterns of density-dependent
639	settlement and survival in the marine fish Gobiosoma. Oecologia 130:205-215
640	Wolff WJ, Duiven AG, Duiven P, Esselink P, et al. (1993a) Biomass of macrobenthic tidal
641	flat fauna of the Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania. Hydrobiologia 258:151-163
642	Wolff WJ, Michaelis H (2008) Do shorebirds graze down zoobenthic biomass at the Banc
643	d'Arguin tidal flats in Mauritania? Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 79:491-495
644	Wolff WJ, Smit CJ (1990) The Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania, as an environment for coastal
645	birds. Ardea 78:17-38
646	Wolff WJ, van der Land J, Nienhuis PH, P.A.W.J. dW (1993b) The functioning of the
647	ecosystem of the Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania: a review. Hydrobiologia 258:211-222
648	Yeager LA, Stoner EW, Zapata MJ, Layman CA (2014) Does landscape context mediate the
649	nature of density dependence for a coral reef fish? Ecol Appl 24:1833-1841

- 650 Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Walker NJ, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009) Mixed effects models and
- 651 extensions in ecology with R. Springer, New York

652 **Table 1**

Fate of clams for our three focal bivalve species over two time periods as a function of density

- treatment. Winter = October 2007–April 2008, Summer = April 2008–November 2008,
- N_{tagged} = number of clams tagged, N_{recap} = number of tagged clams that were recaptured, A =
- 656 percentage recaptured alive, D = percentage recaptured dead, M = percentage missing, U =
- 657 percentage of clams of which the fate was unknown, $H_{1 tagged}$ = mean initial shell height (mm)
- of tagged clams, $H_{1 recap}$ = mean initial shell height (mm) of tagged clams that were

659 recaptured, $H_{2 recap}$ = mean shell height (mm) of tagged clams that were recaptured.

660

Species	Season	Density	Ntagged	N_{recap}	Perc. (%))	$H_{1_tagged} (\pm SD)$	H_{1_recap} (± SD)	H_{2_recap} (± SD)	
					Α	D	М	U			
Souilia conilia	Winter	Control	65	21	32	23	45	0	18.4 ± 12.2	23.4 ± 9.8	25.5 ± 8.8
Sentita sentits		Doubled	196	70	36	21	43	1	31.0 ± 17.2	37.7 ± 14.0	38.7 ± 13.5
	Summer	Control	77	13	17	26	57	0	25.3 ± 13.8	28.1 ± 11.6	31.5 ± 9.9
		Doubled	191	72	38	17	45	1	31.5 ± 16.1	35.2 ± 13.5	37.8 ± 12.0
D.I	Winter	Control	46	11	24	17	59	0	8.1 ± 3.5	10.1 ± 3.9	11.6 ± 2.8
Pelecyora isocarala		Doubled	152	16	11	12	74	3	9.1 ± 3.8	10.1 ± 3.2	10.7 ± 2.7
	Summer	Control	62	9	15	10	73	3	9.7 ± 3.8	9.7 ± 3.8	14.2 ± 1.8
		Doubled	97	11	11	24	61	4	9.7 ± 3.9	12.1 ± 3.0	14.5 ± 1.9
Loripes orbiculatus	Winter	Control	75	18	24	24	51	1	6.6 ± 1.5	6.9 ± 1.3	8.2 ± 1.2
1		Doubled	181	34	19	19	62	1	7.3 ± 1.6	7.5 ± 1.7	8.1 ± 1.3
	Summer	Control	83	22	27	10	60	4	6.6 ± 1.3	6.6 ± 1.3	8.3 ± 1.3
		Doubled	206	41	20	15	62	4	7.2 ± 1.6	7.5 ± 1.4	8.7 ± 1.1
		Total	1431	338							

Table 2. Model selection results for growth coefficient k (day⁻¹) as a function of density663treatment (d), season (s) and habitat (h; modeled as a continuous PC1 variable) and all664possible two-way interactions per focal bivalve species (i.e. *Senilia senilis, Pelecyora*665*isocardia* and *Loripes orbiculatus*). The most parsimonious model is shown in bold. *K*

666	denotes the number of parameters.	Only models with a	model weight of >	0.02 are shown.
-----	-----------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-----------------

Model	K	logLik	ΔAIC_{c}	Model weight
S. senilis				
d + s	7	1302.5	0.00*	0.27
S	6	1301.2	0.49	0.21
d + s + d:s	8	1302.5	2.17	0.09
d + s + h	8	1302.5	2.20	0.09
d + s + h + s:h	9	1303.4	2.55	0.08
s + h	7	1301.2	2.66	0.07
s + h + s:h	8	1302.1	2.92	0.06
d + s + h + d:h	9	1302.5	4.31	0.03
d + s + h + d:s	9	1302.5	4.40	0.03
d + s + h + d:h + s:h	10	1303.5	4.58	0.03
d + s + h + d: $s + s$: h	10	1303.4	4.79	0.02
*AIC _c =-2590.32				
P. isocardia				
d + s + h	8	254.20	0.00*	0.22
d + s	7	252.73	0.02	0.22
d + s + h + d:s	9	255.18	1.13	0.12
d + s + d:s	8	253.63	1.14	0.12
d + s + h + s:h	9	254.84	1.80	0.09
d + s + h + d:h	9	254.47	2.54	0.06
d + s + h + d: $s + s$: h	10	255.60	3.52	0.04
s + h	10	255.44	3.84	0.03
d + s + h + d: $s + d$: h	7	250.68	4.13	0.03
d + s + h + d: $h + s$: h	10	255.10	4.52	0.02
*AIC _c =-488.61				
L. orbiculatus				
d + s	7	587.07	0.00*	0.46
d + s + d:s	8	587.19	2.06	0.16
d + s + h	8	587.14	2.17	0.15
d + s + h + d:s	9	587.25	4.29	0.05
d + s + h + s:h	9	587.25	4.31	0.05
d + s + h + d:h	9	587.18	4.44	0.05

*AIC_c=-1159.09

- 669 **Table 3.** Parameter estimates and approximate 95% confidence intervals for the most
- 670 parsimonious model for growth coefficient k (day⁻¹; see Table 2) per focal bivalve species (i.e.
- 671 Senilia senilis, Pelecyora isocardia and Loripes orbiculatus).

			95% CI		
		Estimate	Lower	Upper	
S. senilis					
Intercept		0.000316	0.000237	0.000396	
Season ^a					
	Winter	-0.000141	-0.000191	-0.000092	
Random effects					
	Ostation	0.000083	0.000041	0.000168	
	Osite	0.000079	0.000053	0.000120	
	O residual	0.000193	0.000161	0.000231	
Variance function					
	$\sigma^2_{winter}/\sigma^2_{summer}$	0.441	0.333	0.585	
P. isocardia					
Intercept	_	0.00495	0.00378	0.00612	
Season ^a					
	Winter	-0.00373	-0.00489	-0.00258	
Treatment ^b					
	Density doubled	0.00066	0.00112	0.00020	
Random effects		-0.00000	-0.00112	-0.00020	
	O station	0.00026	0.00004	0.00194	
	O site	0.00064	0.00039	0.00106	
	0 residual	0.00235	0.00165	0.00333	
Variance function	~ residuar				
	$\sigma^2_{\rm winter}/\sigma^2_{\rm summar}$	0.134	0.066	0 271	
	o winter o summer	0.134	0.000	0.271	
L. orbiculatus	_	0.00000	0.00100	0.000	
Intercept		0.00288	0.00199	0.00377	
Season ^a					
	Winter	-0.00101	-0.00157	-0.00045	
Treatment ^b					
	Density doubled	-0.00096	-0.00152	-0.00040	
Random effects					
	O'station	0.00086	0.00042	0.00175	
	σ_{site}	0.00064	0.00036	0.00116	
	$\sigma_{residual}$	0.00111	0.00087	0.00141	
Variance function					
	$\sigma^2_{winter}/\sigma^2_{summer}$	0.750	0.548	1.026	

Reference category: ^asummer, ^bcontrol

Fig. 1. Map of the study area around the Iwik village (19°53' N; 16°18' W) modified from van Gils et al. (2015). Sampling sites (N = 70) are indicated by white dots. Colours represent the NDVI and are indicative of seagrass coverage (based on a Landsat 5 image taken at 21 August 2007). Light grey shading indicates the mainland; darker grey represents the sea.

Fig. 2. Relationship between results of the first principle component (PC1) and median grain size of the sediment (closed circles) and the ash-free dry mass (AFDM) of all living aboveand belowground parts of seagrass *Zostera noltei* (open circles). Each data point represents the value for a site (N = 70).

Fig. 3. Habitat characteristics measured as the first principle component values (PC1, an integrated measure of seagrass biomass and sediment median grain-size) for sampling sites where at least one tagged clam was recaptured given per bivalve species (i.e. *Senilia senilis*, *Pelecyora isocardia* and *Loripes orbiculatus*). Dots and error bars represent estimated means and 95% confidence intervals from the ANOVA. Sample sizes reflect the number of sites where at least one tagged clam was recaptured.

Fig. 4. The effect of density treatment on growth coefficient k (day⁻¹) in both winter (i.e.

688 October 2007–April 2008) and summer (April 2008–November 2008) for three different

689 bivalve species (a) Senilia senilis (b) Pelecyora isocardia (c) Loripes orbiculatus. On the

690 right y-axis k values are converted to half year (Δt =182.5 days) growth (mm) for a shell with

an initial shell height (H_1) of 5 mm by using equation (3) and the species-specific H_{∞} . Note

that one outlier with a value of k (day⁻¹) = 0.013 is not presented for *Pelecyora isocardia*

693 (summer, control)









Figure	4
.	



Density treatment