

ARTICLE

Received 20 Apr 2014 | Accepted 29 Aug 2014 | Published 29 Sep 2014

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms6107

Antarctic contribution to meltwater pulse 1A from reduced Southern Ocean overturning

N.R. Golledge^{1,2}, L. Menviel^{3,4}, L. Carter¹, C.J. Fogwill³, M.H. England^{3,4}, G. Cortese² & R.H. Levy²

During the last glacial termination, the upwelling strength of the southern polar limb of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation varied, changing the ventilation and stratification of the high-latitude Southern Ocean. During the same period, at least two phases of abrupt global sea-level rise—meltwater pulses—took place. Although the timing and magnitude of these events have become better constrained, a causal link between ocean stratification, the meltwater pulses and accelerated ice loss from Antarctica has not been proven. Here we simulate Antarctic ice sheet evolution over the last 25 kyr using a data-constrained ice-sheet model forced by changes in Southern Ocean temperature from an Earth system model. Results reveal several episodes of accelerated ice-sheet recession, the largest being coincident with meltwater pulse 1A. This resulted from reduced Southern Ocean overturning following Heinrich Event 1, when warmer subsurface water thermally eroded grounded marine-based ice and instigated a positive feedback that further accelerated ice-sheet retreat.

¹ Antarctic Research Centre, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington 6140, New Zealand. ² GNS Science, Avalon, Lower Hutt 5011, New Zealand. ³ Climate Change Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia. ⁴ ARC Centre of Excellence for Climate System Science, Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.R.G. (email: nick.golledge@vuw.ac.nz).

eologically constrained numerical model simulations indicate that during the last glacial termination (T1, 19-11 ka before present (BP)) the Antarctic ice sheets (AIS) contributed 10-18 m to global mean sea level (GMSL) rise¹⁻⁵. However, whether the AIS made a substantial contribution to deglacial meltwater pulses is still a matter of debate, as a wellconstrained quantitative estimate of the volume, timing and rate of freshwater flux from the AIS is still lacking. For Antarctica to have made a meaningful contribution to deglacial meltwater pulses (MWP1A and MWP1B) during T1 (hereafter referred to as the 'AIS MWP theory'), three conditions must be satisfied. First, sufficient sea-level equivalent (s.l.e.) ice volume must have existed in Antarctica at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM). Second, this ice volume must have been discharged at the correct time and at a fast-enough rate to contribute to the rapid rises in sea level. Third, a plausible mechanism must exist by which the previous two conditions are met.

Here we present a suite of ice-sheet modelling experiments that employ a range of proxy-based and modelled sea level and ocean temperature time series to generate a suite of possible Antarctic ice-sheet retreat scenarios. Our simulations use the latest sea-level reconstruction from Tahiti⁶, the most probable (centreline) scenario from a probabilistic assessment of six far-field sea-level records⁷, and from a glacio-isostatic adjustment model simulation of eustatic sea level⁸ (Supplementary Fig. 1a). We combine each of these with each of four scenarios representing changes in ocean heat through T1, from a global stack of 57 deep-ocean records⁹, a Southern Ocean-specific record from offshore New Zealand¹⁰ and from two transient simulations of the last deglaciation using an intermediate complexity Earth System model—one that incorporates a high southern latitude freshwater input (freshwater forcing (FWF)) at the time of MWP1A, and one that does not (no freshwater forcing (NFW))¹¹ (Supplementary Fig. 1b). As the two Earth System model simulations differ only in the prescription (or not) of a Southern Ocean freshwater flux, we are able to use these two scenarios to isolate the differences in ice-sheet response that arise solely as a consequence of this forcing. Other than the different sea level and ocean heat forcings, all of the deglacial simulations use identical glaciological parameterization, timedependent air temperature and precipitation forcings, as well as an isostatic model. Based on the ensemble mean, the simulations reveal that centennial-scale periods of accelerated ice mass loss could have occurred during the last glacial termination, with the largest such event coinciding with MWP1A. However, for the AIS to have contributed substantially to this event we find that a specific interaction between the ice sheet and its surrounding ocean is necessary. Specifically, mass loss rates are highest when an abrupt reduction in Southern Ocean overturning allows rapid subsurface oceanic warming to take place.

Results

Fit to LGM ice extent. To produce an ice-sheet geometry that closely agrees with ice-core interpretations of LGM surface elevation changes and marine geological reconstructions of LGM extent, and reproduces the pattern of temporal evolution in each sector of the ice sheet, we ran a suite of simulations representing a range of glaciological parameterizations. Outputs from each experiment were assessed against a range of empirical constraints to gauge overall closeness-of-fit (see Methods). The simulation



Figure 1 | Geometry and dynamics of the ensemble mean LGM AIS. Main panel shows locations of ice cores used for constraint and their interpreted LGM elevation or thickness change from present. Geologically interpreted LGM grounding-line positions shown with dashed line¹⁸. Marginal panels show time series of modelled surface elevation changes at each of the ice core sites. Simulated LGM to present-day elevation change and thickness at each ice core site are shown in bold and parenthesis respectively. 'LGM' in this case is defined as the maximum elevation/thickness reached during the period 25-20 ka BP, thus may not be contemporaneous between sites. Red squares denote present-day ice-sheet surface elevations.

considered to reflect the 'best-fit' to these constraints is shown in Fig. 1, based on the parameterization described in Supplementary Table 1 and the ice-core constraints listed in Supplementary Table 2. The pattern of LGM thickening with respect to modelled present-day ice thickness is robustly captured at most sites. although the model underestimates absolute present-day elevations at Law Dome by \sim 18%. In general, mismatches in modelled versus observed surface elevation at the end of the model run are most pronounced on coastal islands, such as Law Dome, Berkner Island and Roosevelt Island. Model-data fit is far better in continental interior areas such as Dome F (<1% difference in elevation), Vostok (<3%), Dome C (<1%) and the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) Divide (<6%). This gives us confidence that the ice-sheet model is performing reliably, and we attribute the peripheral mismatches primarily to unintended consequences of the spin-up procedure, principally the initial smoothing run that relaxes the ice surface from its initial state to one that is slightly smoothed.

Comparison with present-day ice sheet. At the end of the simulation, our model runs closely replicate present-day ice-sheet geometry and surface velocity field (Supplementary Fig. 2). In particular, the ensemble mean predicts a present-day grounded-ice volume that is within 2% of the modern volume¹², and reproduces the locations, flow speeds and drainage patterns of discrete ice streams around the margins of the ice sheet. This check ensures

not only that the glaciological parameterization is well-tuned, but also that the transient forcings are appropriately scaled.

For comparison with measured bedrock uplift rates around the continent, we extract the pattern and magnitude of the ongoing rebound signal from the final time slice of our model. Residual uplift maxima lie in the inner Weddell and Ross seas, with lower rates around most of the modern coastline and across most of the Antarctic Peninsula. Bedrock elevation change is close to zero for interior East Antarctica and central WAIS, with slow subsidence modelled in some sectors (Supplementary Fig. 3). Despite considerable uncertainties in the Global Positioning System (GPS)-derived data, the overall pattern shown by the model is consistent with the pattern indicated by the available measurements (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Evolution of ice-sheet geometry. By tuning our model to reproduce both present-day and LGM configurations, we have confidence that the changes simulated between the two endmember states during T1 are robust. Ice-sheet evolution through T1, from the expanded LGM ice sheet at 18 ka BP, through to the present-day configuration, which is more-or-less attained by the mid-Holocene, is illustrated in Fig. 2. Ice surface elevations in East Antarctica are slightly lower at the LGM than at present, due to declining precipitation under colder, drier, full glacial conditions. These climatic changes also affect West Antarctica, but the surface here rises, in agreement with ice core interpretations. This



Figure 2 | Time slices of AIS extent through T1. Panels show mean surface elevations from the model ensemble. Note the lowering of East Antarctica, thickening in West Antarctica and lateral expansion around the entire continent at LGM. Grounding lines achieve a position close to present-day locations by 6-8 ka BP.

thickening of the WAIS occurs in response to grounding-line migration in the Ross, Weddell and Amundsen Sea basins, and the increased buttressing that this newly grounded ice provides. As a consequence of net ablation in McMurdo Sound, imposed to allow closer fit to geological data there^{13,14}, the modelled ice surface in the western Ross Sea slopes northwestward and allows ice flux into the sound from glaciers to the south (primarily Byrd, Mulock and Skelton glaciers). The ensemble mean predicts an LGM ice surface elevation adjacent to the Dry Valleys of 300-450 m above the present sea level. Grounding-line recession in the Ross Sea occurs earliest in the centre of the embayment, with grounded ice persisting along the present-day coastline until the early Holocene. In the Weddell Sea embayment, grounding-line recession occurs earliest in eastern and western troughs, with slower-flowing ice in the central embayment retreating latest (Fig. 2).

Ice discharge and contribution to sea level. In the experimental ensemble, we use two proxy-based and two model-based time series forcings to control the basal mass balance of ice shelves. The proxy forcings use empirical records that reflect either deep-ocean temperature changes through the last glacial cycle¹⁰ or the combination of ice volume and deep-ocean temperature changes⁹. The former is a Southern Ocean record, whereas the latter is a global stack. Both records, however, show that the fastest warming took place between 18 and 11 ka BP, with evidence in the Southern Ocean proxy¹⁰ of an abrupt deep-ocean warming coincident with MWP1A (Supplementary Fig. 1b). Mid-depth temperature trends in our model simulations^{11,15} reveal more highly resolved changes, but the overall pattern

of fastest warming from around 18 to 11 ka BP is consistent with the empirical proxies. Notable deviations from the coarsely resolved proxy records include two abrupt warming events at 16 ka BP and, in our freshwater forcing experiment (FWF), at 14.4–12.8 ka BP.

The consequence of these forcings in terms of modelled icesheet volume response is shown in Fig. 3. Taking the ensemble mean, Fig. 3a shows that the maximum ice volume of the LGM AIS reaches 32.3×10^6 km³ by 20 ka BP, $\sim 5.8 \times 10^6$ km³ greater than that of the modern ice sheet¹². This represents a eustatic s.l.e. lowering of ~ 14.5 m. Isostatic subsidence, however, as well as the replacement of ocean with grounded ice in some areas, means that the isochronous sea-level relevant ice volume is closer to 10.5 m. Simulations using the Southern Ocean proxy¹⁰ produce peak mass loss rates during T1 of 1.5-2.5 mm per year s.l.e. (2.5-4 mm per year uncorrected for isostatic loading and density changes) at 14.5 and 12 ka BP, whereas the global proxy⁹ leads to a maximum discharge rate of around 1.5-2 mm per year s.l.e. (2-3 mm per year uncorrected) at 14.2, 13 and 11.5-8.9 ka BP. Simulations forced with modelled temperatures from the FWF experiment produce a short-lived discharge pulse of up to 6.7 mm per year s.l.e. (10.5 mm per year uncorrected) at 14.4 ka BP and a secondary, broader peak that averages around 1-2 mm per year s.l.e. (3-4.5 mm per year uncorrected) at 11.5-10.5 ka BP. By contrast, the NFW simulations yield greatest mass loss (2-3.5 mm per year s.l.e., 5-6 mm per year uncorrected) only during this later phase (11.5–10.5 ka BP).

Overall, the ensemble mean shows rapid mass loss between 16.5 and 8.5 ka BP, with fastest rates occurring during two main events at 14.5–14 and 11.6–10.2 ka BP, and a smaller precursor event at 16.2–15.2 ka BP. Antarctic ice loss appears to almost halt



Figure 3 | **Ice volume and sea-level contributions of the LGM AIS.** (a) Modelled ice volume time series from the LGM through to present, from the ensemble simulations using three sea-level^{6–8} and four ocean temperature reconstructions. Grey lines show individual experiments, coloured lines denote means of each set of ocean temperature forcings (blue¹⁰, green⁹, FWF, red¹¹ and NFW, yellow¹¹), dark line shows ensemble mean. (b) Simulated rates of ice-sheet mass loss, colour scheme same as above. Grey shading denotes maximum temporal envelope of meltwater pulses 1A and 1B^{6,7,63}. (c) Iceberg-rafted debris variability in the Scotia Sea during the period 18–8 ka BP¹⁶. Note the slightly different timescale compared with **d**. (d) Simulated sea-level contribution through the period 18–8 ka BP, binned at 100-year intervals, for group means and ensemble mean (solid blue). Timings of meltwater pulses 1A and 1B shown with error bars reflecting probable duration, from ref. 6 (square), ref. 63 (triangle) and ref. 7 (circle). Inset shows GMSL through this interval^{6–8}.

between 13.1 and 11.8 ka BP. The early phase of ice loss, coincident with MWP1A, mostly affects the Antarctic Peninsula and the mid to outer Weddell Sea, with a small amount of thinning and grounding-line retreat also taking place in the central part of the outer Ross Sea (Fig. 4a,e). The second, more prolonged period of discharge (synchronous with MWP1B) takes place primarily in the inner parts of the major marine embayments of the Weddell and Ross seas, and along the length of the Amery Trough (Fig. 4c). Despite only being constrained to fit LGM and present-day ice-sheet geometries, the ensemble-mean prediction of ice-sheet mass-loss events ('meltwater pulses') through the deglacial period (Fig. 3d) shows remarkable agreement with a new record of iceberg-rafted debris from the Scotia Sea¹⁶ (Fig. 3c). This latter record is based on counts of coarse-grained sediments deposited from icebergs, whereas our simulation of mass loss arises from melting, as we impose no explicit iceberg calving in our model. Nonetheless, allowing for subtle differences in timing, there is a clear correspondence between the two records in terms of the broad chronology and relative magnitudes of ice-sheet change through T1 (Fig. 3c,d).

In summary, the suite of simulations appears to satisfy the first two requirements of the AIS MWP theory. We simulate an ice sheet with sufficient excess ice volume to contribute significantly to deglacial meltwater pulses, and the predicted episodes of fastest mass loss are consistent with the timings of MWP1A and MWP1B. In the ensemble mean, peak rates of mass loss are relatively low (<0.3 m s.l.e. per century), but under our most extreme forcing these rates are more than double, contributing around 2 m s.l.e. over the 350-year timeframe of MWP1A⁶. Assuming a total MWP1A sea-level rise of at least 14 m and a Northern Hemisphere contribution to this of $6.5-10 \text{ m}^{17}$, Antarctica and other Southern Hemisphere ice masses presumably contributed to the remaining 4–7.5 m s.l.e. During each of the two broad periods spanning MWP1A (15–13 ka BP) and MWP1B (12–10 ka BP), our simulations suggest ice loss from Antarctica ranging from 1.7 to 4.3 m s.l.e.

Discussion

Sea-level lowering is insufficient to force AIS expansion to the continental shelf edge as inferred from geological data¹⁸. Thus, cooler ocean temperatures are also required so that ice shelves thicken and become grounded^{2,3}. This oceanic mass-balance control becomes increasingly important when atmospheric temperatures decline during the LGM, as the colder and drier air masses supply less precipitation to the ice-sheet surface. During T1, these environmental controls reversed. Sea level rose, ocean temperatures increased and atmospheric conditions became warmer and wetter. All of our simulations incorporate these changes, yet only those that are forced with an abrupt increase in ocean temperature at 14.4-12.4 ka BP produce a meltwater 'pulse' that is more than double the amplitude of other periods of mass loss (Fig. 3d). Abrupt rises in sea level^{6,8} do not, on their own, trigger rapid retreat of the modelled AIS, nor do rapidly warming air temperatures¹⁹.

Therefore, to satisfy the third requirement of the AIS MWP theory, a valid mechanism must exist by which an abrupt subsurface ocean warming might take place, and by which this warming could subsequently trigger the rapid retreat of grounded ice. Proxy data from Antarctica and the Southern Ocean reveal an abrupt reduction in Southern Ocean upwelling following Heinrich Event 1 (H1), perhaps due to rapid recovery of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (Fig. 5a) at this time^{20,21}. Reduced Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW) formation^{22,23} leads to atmospheric cooling over Antarctica¹⁹



Figure 4 | Rate of ice mass loss during the period 16-8 ka BP from the ensemble mean simulation. (a) Earliest ice-sheet thinning is greatest around the Antarctic Peninsula and in the outer Weddell Sea; (b) margin retreat continues through 14-12ka BP but (c) the greatest rates of sustained mass loss occur at 12-10 ka BP in the inner Weddell, Ross and Amery embayments. (d) By 8 ka BP modelled grounding lines are close to present-day positions and continuing retreat is slow. (e) During MWP1A (14-14.5 ka BP), fastest ice loss occurs in the Weddell Sea and around the Antarctic Peninsula, with thinning in the Ross Sea largely restricted to the central embayment.

and a reduction in sea-surface temperature over the Southern $Ocean^{21,24}$ (Fig. 5c,f)—the Antarctic Cold Reversal. Expansion of sea ice further inhibits ventilation, while the decrease in AABW formation also leads to a subsurface warming in the Southern Ocean (Fig. 5d) and close to the Antarctic coast¹⁵.

The Earth System model reproduces the cooler air and seasurface temperatures of the Antarctic Cold Reversal (Fig. 6a,b) as well as the subsurface warming shown in Southern Ocean benthic



temperature reconstructions¹⁰ (Fig. 6c); yet, for the Southern Ocean to influence the AIS there must be direct connectivity. At the end of H1, AIS grounding lines were close to the continental shelf edge and thus proximal to Circumpolar Deep Water rising up the continental slope (Fig. 7a). If the relatively warm Circumpolar Deep Water reached the AIS, it would accelerate ice-sheet melt and further freshen the ocean surface, providing an amplifying feedback (Fig. 7b). Increased grounding-line melt brings about ice-shelf thinning, leading to the acceleration of adjacent grounded ice. Longitudinal coupling in this fast-flowing ice transmits the effects of the oceanic perturbation inland from the grounding line, lowering the ice-sheet surface and increasing horizontal fluxes (that is, discharge). Converting this discharge into equivalent freshwater input to the ocean, we simulate a maximum flux of 0.11 Sv (3,950 Gt per year), a value sufficient to shut down AABW formation in the Earth System model¹¹. Thus, during MWP1A the modelled ice-sheet response is of sufficient magnitude to maintain oceanic stratification, and promote further ice-sheet retreat.

This is not the case, however, for rapid subsurface warming events at 17 ka BP (0.028 Sv) and 11.8 ka BP (0.05 Sv), implying a secondary control. We propose that ice-sheet configuration is the critical second component. At 17 ka BP, the modelled AIS is not yet undergoing retreat and is insensitive to all of the applied ocean forcing combinations (Fig. 3a) due to its excess thickness above flotation at this time. At 11.8 ka BP, the ice-sheet responses in the FWF and NFW experiments are very different from one another, despite identical subsurface ocean warming. Enhanced discharge occurs under the NFW scenarios (Fig. 3d) because of the greater volume of grounded ice in the marine embayments compared with under FWF scenarios at this time (Fig. 3a). Thus, for the ice-ocean feedback to operate, we conclude that the ice sheet must be close to flotation in its marine sectors, but with a critical volume of ice still present in the marine basins. If these conditions are not met, the rate of mass loss will be insufficient to maintain oceanic stratification and the positive feedback will not occur.

Recent observations show that the high-latitude Southern Ocean is becoming increasingly stratified due to freshening of its surface^{25,26}. Future implications of this change, in terms of its effect on the AIS, can be assessed by comparison with periods of the recent past when similar oceanic conditions occurred. Here we have shown that the retreating LGM AIS had sufficient ice volume excess above present day (c. 14.5 m) to substantially contribute to MWP1A, when GMSL rose by 14–18 m in ~350 years⁶. This contribution reaches a maximum only when the effects of an abrupt reduction in high-latitude Southern Ocean overturning are included. Under such circumstances, rates of nearly 0.7 m per century s.l.e. are predicted at the time of MWP1A. Without this oceanic stratification, however, predicted

Figure 5 | Proxy records of oceanic and atmospheric changes during T1.

Antarctic and Southern Ocean proxy records of environmental changes; (a) changes in Southern Ocean stratification (blue) from core MD07-3088, 46° S²¹ and Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) strength (red) from ²³¹Pa/²³⁰Th ratios in core GGC5 (ref. 20) both in anti-phase with (b) opal flux (green) at 53° S (core TN057-13 (ref. 64)), a proxy for upwelling south of the polar front; and (c) diatom-based sea-surface temperature trend from Southern Ocean core TN057-13 (ref. 24). (d) δ^{18} O and Mg/Ca deep Southern Ocean temperature proxies from Ocean Drilling Program Leg 118 core MDV-1123 (ref. 10); (e) modelled s.l.e. AIS ice mass loss for ensemble mean and the freshwater forcing experiment; and (f) atmospheric cooling during the ACR (Antarctic Cold Reversal) in the EPICA Dome C oxygen isotope record¹⁹. H1, Heinrich Event 1; MWP1A, meltwater pulse 1A.



Figure 6 | Modelled consequence of freshwater input to the Southern
Ocean. (a) Surface air temperature anomaly, showing atmospheric cooling (Antarctic Cold Reversal) throughout the southern hemisphere¹¹;
(b) sea surface temperature anomaly, also showing the expansion and thickening of sea ice (black line shows extent, red line shows 0.1 m contour); and (c) ocean temperature anomaly at 485-700 m water depth showing pervasive warming of subsurface water around Antarctica.

mass loss from the ensemble mean amounts to $\sim 0.3 \,\mathrm{m}$ per century s.l.e. We propose that this change in Southern Ocean ventilation may have occurred as a consequence of the abrupt resumption of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation following H1, producing high-latitude subsurface warming that amplified the response of the already retreating ice sheet.



Figure 7 | A positive ice-ocean feedback mechanism for Antarctica. (a) Schematic representation of the AIS and ocean circulation at the LGM. 1: LGM ice sheet at continental shelf edge. 2: Slow thinning and grounding-line retreat. 3: Establishment/growth of ice shelf. (b) The positive feedback mechanism that allows a rapid sea-level contribution from Antarctica, based on inferences from proxy data (Fig. 5) and modelled atmospheric and oceanic temperature anomalies (Fig. 6). 4: Rapid weakening of SO overturning due to abrupt Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) recovery. 5: Freshening of ocean surface. 6: Reduced AABW formation. 7: Expansion/thickening of sea ice. 8: Atmospheric cooling (Antarctic Cold Reversal (ACR)). 9: Incursion of Circumpolar Deep Water (CDW) onto shelf. 10: Rapid thermal erosion at grounding line. In the absence of other stabilizing factors such as gravitational effects or grounding-line sedimentation, this meltwater maintains the stratification and the positive ice-ocean feedback.

Irrespective of the mechanism that may have initiated abrupt changes in Southern Ocean circulation, our results suggest that enhanced oceanic stratification may be a precursor for a positive ice-ocean feedback that under certain conditions could accelerate the retreat of marine-based sectors of the AIS.

Methods

The ice-sheet model. We used the Parallel Ice Sheet Model²⁷ to investigate decay of the AIS through Termination 1. Our experimental ensemble was implemented at 15 km resolution to allow computational tractability for large numbers of experiments. Building on previously established parameterizations^{13,28}, we ran ~ 250 new simulations, primarily exploring a range of basal traction values and ice-flow enhancement factors, to achieve the closest possible fit to ice-sheet elevations inferred from continental ice cores and a lateral extent compiled from many marine surveys over recent decades¹⁸. The parameterization that produces our best fit is shown in Supplementary Table 1. Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM) uses a combined stress balance approach that superposes velocity solutions of the two shallow approximations for sheet flow shallow ice approximation (SIA) and shelf flow shallow sheet and shelf flow to be treated in a consistent manner, and

provides a valid approximation of the transition zone between grounded and floating ice²⁹. Compared with grounding-line positions from semi-analytical calculations³⁰, such as employed in the Marine Ice Sheet Model Intercomparison Project intercomparison³¹, the performance of PISM, and many other finite-difference ice-sheet models, is resolution dependent. The consequence of this is that fluxes across the grounding line may not be accurately represented, leading to grounding-line migration rates that underestimate those expected on theoretical grounds. This problem is exacerbated at shorter timescales, but may be mitigated to some extent by adopting a tuning methodology that reproduces more than one known grounding-line position. In our study, we fit to both LGM and present-day grounding lines. As ice shelves leave little record in geological archives, their probable LGM extents are unconstrained. Although we do not show them, shelves are nonetheless included in our simulations on the basis that they exert a buttressing effect on upstream grounded ice.

A novelty of our study compared with previous simulations^{3,28} is our implementation of a time-dependent basal substrate rheology. In this scheme, meltwater generated at the ice-sheet bed by geothermal, frictional and strain heating saturates, and therefore weakens the subglacial till layer. The reduced basal traction allows grounded ice to accelerate³², which in turn leads to dynamic thinning, a reduction in driving stress and ultimately the cessation of fast flow. Diffusive flow from upstream, coupled with local surface mass balance, subsequently allow the thinned ice stream to thicken, increasing driving stresses at the bed and eventually allowing reactivation of the ice stream (see Supplementary Movie 1). This cyclic behaviour³³ minics ice-stream flow switching currently observed in AIS³⁴, as well as being inferred from geological archives for past behaviour³⁵. As the episodic behaviour of simulated ice streams arises during periods of ice-sheet stability (for example, before T1) as well as during periods of imbalance, it is not attributable to external (that is, environmental) forcings, but emerges from internal glaciological feedbacks.

Glacio-isostatic loading of the underlying bedrock is an important component of our simulation, enabling the evolving ice-sheet geometry to effect changes in bed elevation according to the change in magnitude of the load and the viscosity of the underlying mantle. By consequence, changes in crustal load directly affect relative sea level as felt by the simulated ice sheet, providing a feedback that influences icesheet dynamics and extent. In PISM, the viscoelastic deformable Earth model employed combines a layered elastic spherical Earth with a viscous half-space overlain by an elastic plate lithosphere³⁶. This model adapts a previous local model³⁷ for use in continental-scale ice-sheet simulations. It makes use of a novel numerical strategy that employs the fast Fourier transform to reproduce many of the key features of spherical, layered, self-gravitating viscoelastic Earth models, but with considerably reduced computational expense. By implementing a new mathematical formulation for the half-space model, this approach improves on the elastic plate lithosphere with relaxing asthenosphere model typically used in icesheet models, and produces smaller numerical errors than other Earth models. PISM does not, however, implement a gravitationally self-consistent sea-level model. Two components of this problem exist. First, short-term (<500 years) variations in ice mass lead to changes in the gravitational pull of neighbouring oceans and thus changes in relative sea level at the ice-sheet margin³⁸. Second, over longer timescales the viscous response of the upper mantle and crust produce a redistribution of (bedrock) mass that also affects the gravitational pull on ocean water³⁹. Together, these two effects may locally modify eustatic sea level by several metres, which under some scenarios may be sufficient to stabilize or slow the retreat of marine-based ice sheets^{38,39}, or in the case of a growing ice-sheet, may lead to locally increased sea level and therefore slow the advance of grounding lines⁴⁰. By neglecting these effects in our simulations, we implicitly smooth out any grounding-line migrations that might occur in response to small (<5 m) changes in sea level. However, in our ensemble approach, we use three different sea-level curves that at any given time vary by up to 10 m during the LGM to Holocene period. Thus, by considering our findings in terms of the ensemble mean, we directly capture any probable variability in ice-sheet response that may arise from sea-level changes of up to 10 m.

The earth system model. To specifically investigate the mechanisms that may have either induced or prevented rapid ice-sheet retreat and the generation of an Antarctic meltwater pulse, we used a range of sea-level and ocean-heat forcings. Of the latter, two time series forcings were generated from zonally averaged subsurface (485 700 m depth) ocean temperatures from transient deglacial simulations using the Earth System model LOVECLIM¹¹. The two forcings differ only in that one incorporates a Southern Ocean meltwater pulse between 14.4 and 12.4 ka BP, and the other does not. Thus, differences in modelled ice sheet response between experiments using these two forcings arise purely as a consequence of the presence or absence of the prescribed freshwater input. In the experiment that incorporates the Southern Ocean meltwater pulse, a freshwater flux is added over the Southern Ocean between 14.4 and 12.4 ka B.P. An initial flux of 0.15 Sv is added for 1,000 years after which the input decreases linearly to 0 over the next 1,000 years. If directly translated into s.l.e., the freshwater flux added during MWP1A (14.4-14 ka BP) equates to 5.3 m sea-level rise. There are however several caveats. First, although the total Antarctic Bottom Water formation rate is well captured by the Earth System model, the AABW circulation is represented as a broad slow downslope flow, while in observations there is evidence of rapid confined flows within abyssal canyons off the continental slope. Second, melting of ice shelves would lead to additional freshwater input into the Southern Ocean but without raising sea level. Third, enhanced freshwater flux over the Southern Ocean could also be obtained by increased precipitation and/or reduced evaporation. For example, the 0.15 Sv would be equivalent to an additional 0.1 m per year precipitation over the Southern Ocean (75–50 S, calculated with the ocean area only).

Ice-sheet model inputs and environmental forcing data. We use the newest compilations of Antarctic bedrock topography¹² and surface mass balance⁴¹. The transient simulations also require time-dependent environmental forcings to most accurately reproduce changing conditions through the glacial termination. Air temperature changes are prescribed according to the EPICA Dome C oxygen isotope record, using the EDC3 timescale¹⁹. Precipitation changes are implemented according to a temperature-dependent exponential function², in which cooler air leads to a reduction in moisture-carrying capacity and hence a drier atmosphere. Sea-level changes are prescribed according to three recent interpretations of $GMSL^{6-8}$ (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Ocean heat fluxes are based on a global $\delta^{18}O$ stack⁹, a Southern-Ocean $\delta^{18}O$ record¹⁰ and on two zonally averaged mid-depth temperature fields from LOVECLIM¹¹ (Supplementary Fig. 1b). Although the global δ^{18} O stack⁹ does not separate changes in oceanic temperature and changes in sea level, this and other similar records⁴² have been employed previously to drive AIS simulations^{3,43} and so is used here for completeness and to aid comparison with these (and other) models. As a direct translation of benthic δ^{18} O values into ice-shelf basal melt rates is difficult, due to the combined complexities of converting isotopic values to ocean temperatures and of calculating melt rates from 'mean' ocean temperatures, we scaled all of our ocean heat time-series data to a melt rate range (0 to -20 m per year) that yielded both LGM and present-day icesheet extents that were most consistent with empirically determined grounding-line locations for these time periods. This range of melt rates is well within those currently experienced around Antarctica, although in some cases basal melt is thought to locally exceed 100 m per year in some areas⁴⁴. One advantage of scaling the ocean forcing to reproduce two end-member states (LGM and present) is that the absolute magnitude of the imposed melt rate becomes less important. Simulated deglacial changes arise simply from the relative variation in rate-ofchange of the applied forcing, irrespective of the absolute magnitude. Nevertheless, in the most extreme subsurface warming event simulated at the time of MWP1A in the Earth System Model (0.5-1 C), our scaling gives an equivalent melt-rate increase of ~ 10 m per year, a value that is within the range of those based on observational data from modern Antarctic ice shelves^{45,46}. We acknowledge, however, that there is considerable uncertainty in the relationship between ocean temperature and ice-shelf melt, and that this is an area of considerable current research⁴⁷. Lower melt rates reduce the magnitude, but not the timing, of our modelled rates of ice loss, and result in transient ice-sheet configurations that fit less well with observations. All of our environmental forcings are implemented uniformly across the domain and hence do not account for spatial differences in their timing or magnitude.

Modelling approach. All simulations are initialized from a spun-up present-day configuration AIS. The spin-up procedure involves an initial 100-year smoothing run, in which the model uses the primary input data directly, allowing the ice sheet to evolve by internal deformation only. This removes any steep gradients or inconsistencies in the input ice thickness data. From the outputs of this short run, a 50-kyr run is initialized that holds the ice-sheet geometry fixed but allows ice and bedrock temperature gradients to evolve to equilibrium. The final phase of experimentation employs a 30-kyr spinup before the 20-kyr T1 period of interest, during which full model physics are employed (bedrock loading, flow by sliding, as well as creep, evolution of basal meltwater and substrate rheology) and the ice sheet is allowed to evolve in response to imposed sea level, ocean heat, air temperature and precipitation perturbations. For each of our 250 simulations, model outputs were generated at 100-year intervals through the 50-kyr run, and were assessed against eight discrete criteria compiled from empirical interpretations of both temporal and spatial ice sheet evolution during T1 (see 'Evaluation Criteria'). Progressive refinement of model fit was achieved by manual tuning of model parameters. Once a preferred parameter set had been achieved, a set of 12 experiments (representing the combination of three sea-level and four ocean heat forcings) was run.

Evaluation criteria. Several recent studies have attempted to gauge the closeness of fit of their results to empirical constraints by quantifying mismatches between the two^{28,48,49}. In most cases, this amounts to comparing, for example, modelled ice elevations with those determined for periods such as the LGM from surface exposure dating (SED). Although this appears in principal to be a robust approach to understanding uncertainty in model outcomes, the validity of this seemingly objective analysis is predicated on the correct interpretation of the features from which the numerical constraints are derived. Recently, the assumption that surface exposure dates reflect the true former elevation of the LGM ice-sheet surface in Antarctica has been questioned^{17,50}. These arguments are made on the basis that cold-based ice may have overlain SED sample sites, and left little geomorphological signature. Indeed, modelled temperature fields in LGM Antarctic glaciers such as

Byrd Glacier highlight exactly this problem (ref. 13 and Fig. 2b therein), with warm-based ice restricted to the floor and lowest valley sides of the glacier trunk and cold-based ice occurring higher up.

To avoid such problems, we adopt an approach that considers not only the geometry of the ice mass at a given point in time but also attempts to match our modelled behaviour to transient changes indicated by the collected body of empirical data. Specifically, we use primarily model-based inferences from ice cores in the interior of both East and West Antarctica to define the thinning or thickening pattern of each ice sheet through the last Termination (Supplementary Table 2). Although sparse, these ice-core data are internally consistent in indicating a thinning of 100-120 m in central East Antarctica, and a thickening of 200-400 m in West Antarctica and in coastal areas (Fig. 1). An additional, although less well constrained, check on our modelled ice-sheet evolution comes from measured uplift rates across Antarctica⁵¹, which provide an order-of-magnitude measure of ongoing glacio-isostatic adjustment to LGM loading. Marine geological data provide both temporal and spatial constraint on the growth and decay of the LGM ice sheet, generally indicating expansion to the continental shelf edge at LGM¹⁸ followed by earliest retreat in the outer Weddell Sea embayment⁵² and along the Antarctic Peninsula⁵³.

On this basis, outputs from each simulation were assessed against the following temporal and spatial constraints (in order of importance, based on area covered): (1) lateral extent at LGM reached almost to the continental shelf edge around the entire continent¹⁸; (2) ice surface elevations in interior East Antarctica lowered by 100-150 m at LGM^{19,54}; (3) ice surface elevations in West Antarctica rose by 200– 400 m at LGM^{55,56}; (4) grounded ice in the Ross Sea was fed from both East and West Antarctica, and was confluent around 180 longitude⁵⁷; (5) retreat from LGM extent began earliest in the Antarctic Peninsula⁵⁸; (6) retreat of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet started earliest in the Amery Trough, and later elsewhere⁵⁹; (7) ice in the western Ross Sea flowed around Ross Island and into McMurdo Sound, where it remained stable until \sim 13 ka BP (ref. 60); and (8) coastal parts of WAIS may have thickened during T1 and into the Holocene⁶¹. Finally, the geometry and surface velocities of grounded ice at the end of the run were compared with values from the most recent continent-wide syntheses of present-day ice thickness and velocity^{12,62}. We consider these eight criteria a robust framework for model evaluation, but recognize that the use of other constraints (such as terrestrial SED data) would result in a slightly thinner ice sheet than the one we simulate. Quantifying the reliability of such geological proxies therefore remains an important area for future research.

References

- 1. Denton, G. H. & Hughes, T. J. Reconstructing the Antarctic ice sheet at the Last Glacial Maximum. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* **21**, 193–202 (2002).
- Huybrechts, P. Sea-level changes at the LGM from ice-dynamic reconstructions of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets during the glacial cycles. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 21, 203–231 (2002).
- Pollard, D. & DeConto, R. M. Modelling West Antarctic ice sheet growth and collapse through the past five million years. *Nature* 458, 329–332 (2009).
- Whitehouse, P. L., Bentley, M. J., Milne, G. A., King, M. A. & Thomas, I. D. A new glacial isostatic adjustment model for Antarctica: calibrated and tested using observations of relative sea-level change and present-day uplift rates. *Geophys. J. Int.* **190**, 1464–1482 (2012).
- Ivins, E. R. *et al.* Antarctic contribution to sea level rise observed by GRACE with improved GIA correction. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 118, 3126–3141 (2013).
- Deschamps, P. *et al.* Ice-sheet collapse and sea-level rise at the Bø lling warming 14,600 years ago. *Nature* 483, 559–564 (2012).
- Stanford, J. *et al.* Sea-level probability for the last deglaciation: A statistical analysis of far-field records. *Glob. Planet. Change* 79, 193–203 (2011).
- 8. Clark, P. U. et al. The Last Glacial Maximum. Science 325, 710-714 (2009).
- 9. Lisiecki, L. E. & Raymo, M. E. A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally
- distributed benthic δ¹⁸ O records. *Paleoceanography* 20, 1–17 (2005).
 10. Elderfield, H. *et al.* Evolution of ocean temperature and ice volume through the Mid-Pleistocene climate transition. *Science* 337, 704–709 (2012).
- Menviel, L., Timmermann, A., Timm, O. E. & Mouchet, A. Deconstructing the Last Glacial termination: the role of millennial and orbital-scale forcings. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 30, 1155–1172 (2011).
- 12. Fretwell, P. *et al.* Bedmap2: improved ice bed, surface and thickness datasets for Antarctica. *Cryosphere* **7**, 375–393 (2013).
- Golledge, N. R. et al. Glaciology and geological signature of the Last Glacial Maximum Antarctic ice sheet. Quat. Sci. Rev. 78, 225–247 (2013).
- Denton, G. H. & Hughes, T. J. Reconstruction of the Ross ice drainage system, Antarctica, at the Last Glacial Maximum. *Geogr. Annal.* 82A, 143–166 (2000).
- Menviel, L., Timmermann, A., Timm, O. E. & Mouchet, A. Climate and biogeochemical response to a rapid melting of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet during interglacials and implications for future climate. *Paleoceanography* 25, 1–12 (2010).
- Weber, M. *et al.* Millennial-scale variability in Antarctic ice-sheet discharge during the last deglaciation. *Nature* 510, 134–138 (2014).

- 17. Carlson, A. & Clark, P. U. Ice sheet sources of sea level rise and freshwater discharge during the last deglaciation. *Rev. Geophys.* **50**, RG4007 (2012).
- 18. Livingstone, S. et al. Antarctic palaeo-ice streams. Earth Sci. Rev. 111, 90–128 (2012).
- 19. Parrenin, F. et al. The EDC3 chronology for the EPICA Dome C ice core. Climate Past **3**, 485–497 (2007).
- McManus, J. F., Francois, R., Gherardi, J., Keigwin, L. D. & Brown-Leger, S. Collapse and rapid resumption of Atlantic meridional circulation linked to deglacial climate changes. *Nature* 428, 834–837 (2004).
- Siani, G. *et al.* Carbon isotope records reveal precise timing of enhanced Southern Ocean upwelling during the last deglaciation. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2758 (2013).
- Seidov, D. & Maslin, M. Atlantic ocean heat piracy and the bipolar climate seesaw during Heinrich and Dansgaard-Oeschger events. J. Quat. Sci. 16, 321–328 (2001).
- Menviel, L., England, M., Meissner, K., Mouchet, A. & Yu, J. Atlantic-Pacific seesaw and its role in outgassing CO2 during Heinrich events. *Paleoceanography* 29, 1–13 (2014).
- Nielsen, S. Deglacial and Holocene Southern Ocean Climate Variability from Diatom Stratigraphy and Paleoecology. (PhD thesis, Univ. Tromsø, 2004).
- 25. de Lavergne, C., Palter, J. B., Galbraith, E. D., Bernardello, R. & Marinov, I. Cessation of deep convection in the open Southern Ocean under anthropogenic climate change. *Nature Clim. Change* 4, 278–282 (2014).
- van Wijk, E. M. & Rintoul, S. R. Freshening drives contraction of Antarctic Bottom Water in the Australian Antarctic Basin. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 1657–1664 (2014).
- 27. Bueler, E. & Brown, J. Shallow shelf approximation as a 'sliding law' in a thermomechanically coupled ice sheet model. *J. Geophys. Res.* **114**, F03008 (2009).
- Golledge, N. R., Fogwill, C. J., Mackintosh, A. N. & Buckley, K. M. Dynamics of the Last Glacial Maximum Antarctic ice-sheet and its response to ocean forcing. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* 109, 16052–16056 (2012).
- Winkelmann, R. et al. The Potsdam Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM-PIK)–Part 1: model description. Cryosphere 5, 715–726 (2011).
- 30. Schoof, C. Ice sheet grounding line dynamics: steady states, stability, and hysteresis. J. Geophys. Res. 112, F03S28 (2007).
- Pattyn, F. et al. Results of the Marine Ice Sheet Model Intercomparison Project, MISMIP. Cryosphere 6, 573–588 (2012).
- Schoof, C. A Variational Approach to Ice Stream Flow. J. Fluid Mech. 556, 227–251 (2006).
- van Pelt, W. J. J. & Oerlemans, J. Numerical simulations of cyclic behaviour in the Parallel Ice Sheet Model (PISM). J. Glaciol. 58, 347–360 (2012).
- Hulbe, C. & Fahnestock, M. Century-scale discharge stagnation and reactivation of the Ross ice streams, West Antarctica. J. Geophys. Res. F Earth Surface 112, 1–11 (2007).
- Greenwood, S., Gyllencreutz, R., Jakobsson, M. & Anderson, J. Ice-flow switching and East/West Antarctic Ice Sheet roles in glaciation of the western Ross Sea. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* **124**, 1736–1749 (2012).
- Bueler, E. D., Lingle, C. S. & Brown, J. Fast computation of a viscoelastic deformable Earth model for ice-sheet simulations. *Annal. Glaciol.* 46, 97–105 (2007).
- Lingle, C. S. & Clark, J. A. A numerical model of interactions between a marine ice sheet and the solid earth: Application to a West Antarctic ice stream. J. Geophys. Res. 90, 1100–1114 (1985).
- Gomez, N., Mitrovica, J. X., Huybers, P. & Clark, P. U. Sea level as a stabilizing factor for marine-ice-sheet grounding lines. *Nat. Geosci.* 3, 850–853 (2010).
- Gomez, N., Pollard, D., Mitrovica, J. X., Huybers, P. & Clark, P. U. Evolution of a coupled marine ice sheet-sea level model. J. Geophys. Res. 117, F01013 (2012).
- Stocchi, P. et al. Relative sea-level rise around East Antarctica during Oligocene glaciation. Nat. Geosci. 6, 380–384 (2013).
- 41. Lenaerts, J., van den Broeke, M., van de Berg, W., van Meijgaard, E. & Munneke, P. A new, high-resolution surface mass balance map of Antarctica (1979-2010) based on regional atmospheric climate modeling. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39**, L04501 (2012).
- Imbrie, J. D. & McIntyre, A. SPECMAP time scale developed by Imbrie et al., 1984 based on normalized planktonic records (normalized O-18 vs time, specmap.017). *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.441706 (2006).
- Mackintosh, A. et al. Retreat of the East Antarctic ice sheet during the last glacial termination. Nat. Geosci. 4, 195–202 (2011).
- 44. Dutrieux, P. et al. Pine Island Glacier ice shelf melt distributed at kilometre scales. Cryosphere 7, 1591–1620 (2013).
- Rignot, E. & Jacobs, S. S. Rapid bottom melting widespread near Antarctic Ice Sheet grounding lines. *Science* 296, 2020–2023 (2002).
- Holland, P. R., Jenkins, A. & Holland, D. M. The response of ice shelf basal melting to variations in ocean temperature. J. Clim. 21, 2558–2572 (2008).
- Hellmer, H., Kauker, F., Timmermann, R., Determann, J. & Rae, J. Twentyfirst-century warming of a large Antarctic ice-shelf cavity by a redirected coastal current. *Nature* 485, 225–228 (2012).

NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | 5:5107 | DOI: 10.1038/ncomms6107 | www.nature.com/naturecommunications

ARTICLE

- 48. Whitehouse, P. L., Bentley, M. J. & Le Brocq, A. M. A deglacial model for Antarctica: geological constraints and glaciological modelling as a basis for a new model of Antarctic glacial isostatic adjustment. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 32, 1–24 (2012).
- Briggs, R. D. & Tarasov, L. How to evaluate model-derived deglaciation chronologies: a case study using Antarctica. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 63, 109–127 (2013).
- Clark, P. U. Deglacial history of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet in the Weddell Sea embayment: constraints on past ice volume change: COMMENT. *Geology* 39, 239 (2011).
- Thomas, I. D. et al. Widespread low rates of Antarctic glacial isostatic adjustment revealed by GPS observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38, L22302 (2011).
- 52. Weber, M. E. *et al.* Interhemispheric ice-sheet synchronicity during the Last Glacial Maximum. *Science* **334**, 1265–1269 (2011).
- Cofaigh, Ó. et al. Reconstruction of ice-sheet changes in the Antarctic Peninsula since the Last Glacial Maximum. Quat. Sci. Rev. 100, 87–110 (2014).
- Delmonte, B., Petit, J. & Maggi, V. Glacial to Holocene implications of the new 27000-year dust record from the EPICA Dome C (East Antarctica) ice core. *Clim. Dynam.* 18, 647–660 (2002).
- 55. Waddington, E. et al. Decoding the dipstick: thickness of Siple Dome, West Antarctica, at the last glacial maximum. Geology 33, 281 (2005).
- Neumann, T. A. *et al.* Holocene accumulation and ice sheet dynamics in central West Antarctica. *J. Geophys. Res.* 113, F02018 (2008).
- Licht, K. J., Lederer, J. R. & Swope, R. J. Provenance of LGM glacial till (sand fraction) across the Ross embayment, Antarctica. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 24, 1499–1520 (2005).
- The RAISED Consortium. A community-based reconstruction of Antarctic ice sheet deglaciation since the Last Glacial Maximum. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 100, 1–9 (2014).
- Mackintosh, A. N. *et al.* Retreat history of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet since the Last Glacial Maximum. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 100, 10–30 (2013).
- 60. Hall, B. L., Denton, G. H., Stone, J. O. & Conway, H. History of the Grounded Ice Sheet in the Ross Sea Sector of Antarctica during the Last Glacial Maximum and the Last Termination. Geological Society, London. Special Publications 381, doi:10.1144/SP381.5 (2013).

- Stone, J. O. *et al.* Holocene deglaciation of Marie Byrd Land, West Antarctica. *Science* 299, 99–102 (2003).
- Rignot, E., Mouginot, J. & Scheuchl, B. Ice Flow of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. Science 333, 1427–1430 (2011).
- Liu, J. P. & Milliman, J. D. Reconsidering melt-water pulses 1A and 1B: global impacts of rapid sea-level rise. J. Ocean Univ. China 3, 183–190 (2004).
- 64. Anderson, R. F. *et al.* Wind-driven upwelling in the Southern Ocean and the deglacial rise in atmospheric CO2. *Science* **323**, 1443–1448 (2009).

Acknowledgements

N.R.G. gratefully acknowledges ongoing support from Kevin Buckley and the Victoria University high-performance compute cluster, and the PISM group at the University of Alaska, Fairbanks. George Denton is thanked for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Antarctic Research Centre, Victoria University of Wellington, ANDRILL, GNS Science, the Australian Research Council (ARC) including the ARC Centre of Excellence in Climate System Science, and an award under the Merit Allocation Scheme on the NCI National Facility at the Australian National University, Canberra.

Author contributions

N.R.G. devised and carried out the ice-sheet modelling experiments; L.M. undertook the climate modelling experiments to produce the ocean temperature forcings. All authors contributed to the development of ideas and writing of the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Golledge, N. R. *et al.* Antarctic contribution to meltwater pulse 1A from reduced Southern Ocean overturning. *Nat. Commun.* 5:5107 doi: 10.1038/ncomms6107 (2014).