

1 **Supplementary Information**

2

3 **Bleaching forces coral's heterotrophy on diazotrophs and *Synechococcus***

4 Valentine Meunier, Sophie Bonnet, Mathieu Pernice, Mar Benavides, Anne Lorrain, Olivier  
5 Grosso, Christophe Lambert and Fanny Houlbrèque

6

7 **Content**

8 Supplementary Information for Material and Methods

9 Supplementary Information for Results

10 Supplementary Figure S1

11 Supplementary Table 1-5

12 Supplementary References

## 13 **Supplementary Information for Material and Methods**

14

### 15 *Coral collection and acclimation*

16 *Stylophora pistillata* samples were collected in the New Caledonian lagoon (22°28'40.41''S;  
17 166°28'05.68''E, sampling licence issued by the “Province Sud”, Government of New-  
18 Caledonia). Corals were transported from the collection site in a cooler and randomly assigned  
19 to the experimental tanks. Forty terminal branch portions (5 cm) were cut from five parent  
20 colonies, hung on nylon wires and suspended in two 20 L aquaria (twenty nubbins per  
21 aquarium) supplied with 100 µm-filtered seawater, renewed at a rate of 16.5 L.h<sup>-1</sup> and mixed  
22 using a submersible pump (Aquarium system, micro-jet MC 320, Mentor, OH, USA). In each  
23 tank, coral colonies were spaced apart and never touched each other. Temperature (28 ± 0.2 °C)  
24 and salinity (35.70 ± 0.02) were kept constant using heaters connected to electronic controllers  
25 and controlled by YSI MPS 556 probe (YSI, Yellow Springs, OH, USA). Corals received a  
26 constant irradiance of 120 ± 10 µmol photons m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup> (photoperiod 12h: 12h light: dark) using  
27 four Aquablue plus neon bulbs (blue–white, 15.000 K, Gieseemann, Germany). The nubbins  
28 were acclimatized to the different conditions for three weeks before increasing the temperature.  
29 At the end of the acclimation period and in order to mimic a bleaching event, in one of the two  
30 aquaria, *S. pistillata* nubbins were exposed to a gradual increase in temperature of 0.5°C per  
31 day until reaching 31 ± 0.5 °C. These colonies appeared bleached (see *Symbiodiniaceae*  
32 densities and total chlorophyll concentrations in the **Supplementary Information for results**)  
33 and are referred to as “bleached” colonies. In the other aquarium, colonies were maintained at  
34 the initial temperature of 28 ± 0.2 °C and are referred to as “untreated” colonies. While we  
35 recognize that this can be considered as pseudoreplication, all physico-chemical parameters  
36 (temperature, salinity, nutrient concentration, pH) were rigorously controlled in each tank so  
37 that the only difference between tanks was temperature. Therefore, despite the fact that this set

38 up could be considered as pseudoreplication, results obtained are representative and the most  
39 parsimonious explanation for differences observed between the two coral batches is clearly the  
40 presence or absence of *Symbiodiniaceae* in corals, and not stochastic errors.

41

#### 42 ***Experiment setup and <sup>15</sup>N assimilation from planktonic diazotrophs***

43 In March 2017 (austral summer conditions) prior the start of the experiment, plankton was  
44 sampled from the lagoon by collecting and prefiltering 50 L of seawater through a 100 µm mesh  
45 to exclude larger cells. The presence of planktonic diazotrophs was confirmed by observations  
46 using a Zeiss Axio-Imager A2 LED (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) epifluorescence microscope  
47 equipped with a green (510-560 nm) excitation filter, targeted the UCYN phycoerythrin-rich  
48 cells. The sample was split into three 4.3 L polycarbonate bottles which were immediately  
49 filtered on pre-combusted (450°C, 5h) GF/F filters (Whatman, St-Louis, MO, USA) for the  
50 measurement of the initial (time zero) <sup>15</sup>N-enrichment of plankton. Twenty one HCl-washed  
51 2.3 L polycarbonate bottles were also filled and directly spiked with 4 mL of <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> (98.9 atom%  
52 <sup>15</sup>N, Cambridge Isotopes Laboratories, Inc.) injected with a gas-tight syringe [1]. Each bottle  
53 was shaken 20 times (to fragment the <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> bubble and facilitate its dissolution) and incubated  
54 for 24 h at irradiances corresponding to the sampling depth using screening, and cooled with  
55 circulating surface seawater. At the end of the 24 hours of incubation and for each of the  
56 experimental beakers, the 1.5 L bottles containing the labeled plankton cells were filtered and  
57 concentrated onto 0.4 µm polycarbonate filters. These filters were then respectively placed in  
58 50 mL of initial seawater in which plankton cells were harvested and vortexed to resuspend  
59 these cells. Aliquots of 5 mL were filtered on pre-combusted (450°C, 5h) GF/F filters for the  
60 measurement of the <sup>15</sup>N-enrichment of the source pool, while the remaining 45 mL, containing  
61 a concentrate of planktonic cells, was added to the sixteen incubation beakers of which ten  
62 contained corals. The six remaining control beakers (without coral) included four beakers to

63 analyze plankton abundances and three to determine *nifH* gene expression at the beginning and  
 64 the end of incubations. All beakers were immersed in a water bath to maintain the temperature  
 65 constant ( $28 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ ) throughout the experiment. Coral branches were hung on nylon wires to  
 66 the top of the beakers. Subsequently, the beakers were sealed air-free using a glass slide. At the  
 67 end of each experiment (12 h), corals were rinsed six times with filtered seawater to remove  
 68 cells potentially adhered to the coral surface [2] and subsequently transferred to Ziploc bags  
 69 and stored at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$  until analysis. We considered nitrogen assimilation in *Symbiodiniaceae*  
 70 and tissue separately as both ‘compartments’ use nitrogen for their own needs. *Symbiodiniaceae*  
 71 have the ability to take up and retain nitrogen from surrounding water [3, 4] and transfer it to  
 72 the host [5]. Corals can also obtain nitrogen via the ingestion of external food sources [6].  
 73 Hence, it was important to calculate the assimilation of nitrogen by the tissue and the  
 74 *Symbiodiniaceae* separately. Nitrogen assimilation rates were calculated as described in [1]  
 75 (Eqn 1):

$$76 \quad N_{\text{assimilation}} = \frac{({}^{15}\text{N}_{\text{target},Tf} - {}^{15}\text{N}_{\text{target},0f})}{({}^{15}\text{N}_{\text{enriched plankton}} - {}^{15}\text{N}_{\text{not enriched plankton}}) \times t} \times \left[ \frac{PN_{\text{target}}}{A} \right]$$

77 Where  ${}^{15}\text{N}_{\text{target},tf}$  is the  ${}^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment of the target pool (tissue or *Symbiodiniaceae*) at the end  
 78 of the incubation,  ${}^{15}\text{N}_{\text{target},0f}$  is the corresponding time zero  ${}^{15}\text{N}$  enrichment (natural  ${}^{15}\text{N}$   
 79 enrichment of the target pool at the start of the incubation). The  ${}^{15}\text{N}_2$ -labelled ( ${}^{15}\text{N}_{\text{enriched plankton}}$ )  
 80 and non-labelled ( ${}^{15}\text{N}_{\text{not enriched plankton}}$ ) atom % values are considered in Eqn 1 as follows: the  
 81 source pool is the isotopic enrichment of the natural planktonic assemblage added to the  
 82 beakers.  $t$  is the incubation time in hours and  $PN_{\text{target}}/A$  is the mass ( $\mu\text{g}$ ) of particulate nitrogen  
 83 of the target pool per area ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) of coral skeleton surface ( $\mu\text{g N cm}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ ).

84

### 85 ***Experiment setup and ${}^{15}\text{N}$ assimilation from enriched seawater***

86 We also quantified the direct uptake of  $\text{N}_2$  fixed by endosymbiotic diazotrophs. We used the  
 87 dissolved  ${}^{15}\text{N}_2$  method [7, 8]. 20 L of seawater were previously filtered onto  $0.2 \mu\text{m}$ . 4.5 L were

88 degassed and transferred to a gas-tight Tedlar<sup>®</sup> bag using silicon tubing. Subsequently, 45 mL  
89 of <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> (98.3 atom% <sup>15</sup>N, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) were injected into the bag with a  
90 gas-tight syringe [1]. The bubble was vigorously shaken for 20 min until its complete  
91 dissolution. After 24 h of incubation at 4°C, 12 mL samples were collected from the Tedlar<sup>®</sup>  
92 bag in Exetainer<sup>®</sup> vials to measure the <sup>15</sup>N-enrichment of the labeled filtered seawater. The ten  
93 glass beakers were filled to 20% volume with <sup>15</sup>N-enriched seawater, 80% unenriched filtered  
94 seawater and incubated for 12 h.

95

### 96 *Symbiodiniaceae density and total chlorophyll concentration*

97 The tissue was removed from the skeleton using an air-pick [9] and approximately 20 mL of  
98 0.45 µm filtered seawater. The slurry (20 mL) was homogenized with a Potter tissue grinder.  
99 For each coral sample, the number of *Symbiodiniaceae* was counted three times by light  
100 microscopy using a Neubauer's cell. A 10 mL subsample was taken from each homogenate,  
101 immediately centrifuged at 6000g for 10 min (4°C) and the supernatant removed. The pellet  
102 containing the *Symbiodiniaceae* was resuspended in 100% acetone for 24 h (4°C) in the dark to  
103 extract chlorophyll *a* and *c*<sub>2</sub>. The extracts were centrifuged at 10 000g for 15 min and  
104 absorbances were read at 630, 663 and 750 nm. Chlorophyll concentrations were computed  
105 according to the spectrometric equations of [10, 11]. Chlorophyll *a* and *c*<sub>2</sub> are given as total  
106 chlorophyll. All measurements were normalized to the skeletal surface area, see below.

107

### 108 *Surface normalization*

109 Symbiont densities, chlorophyll concentrations and plankton ingestion rates were standardized  
110 per skeletal surface area (cm<sup>2</sup>), estimated using the paraffin wax-dipping method [12, 13].

111

### 112 *Photosynthetic efficiency measurements*

113 Prior the start of the experiment, to assess the status of PSII in *Symbiodiniaceae*, photosynthetic  
114 efficiency ( $F_v/F_m$ ) *in hospite* was measured in aquaria using a diving-PAM fluorometer (Walz,  
115 Germany) ( $n = 10$  from each treatment). After 20 min of dark adaptation, [14] the 8 mm optical  
116 fiber was maintained perpendicular to the nubbins' surface using a black-jacket at a fixed  
117 distance of 5 mm guaranteeing a correct distance of the optical fiber from the coral. The initial  
118 fluorescence ( $F_0$ ) was measured by applying a weak pulsed red light ( $3 \mu\text{s}$ , LED 650 nm) on  
119 dark-adapted colonies. A saturating pulse (800 ms) of bright actinic light ( $8,000 \mu\text{mol photons}$   
120  $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) was then applied to obtain the maximum fluorescence value ( $F_m$ ). Variable fluorescence  
121 ( $F_v$ ) was calculated resulting in  $F_m - F_0$  [15].  $F_v/F_m$  values may be used as a quantitative measure  
122 of photo-inactivation during coral bleaching [16].  $F_v/F_m$  values of healthy corals are ranging  
123 between 0.5 to 0.8. Values between 0 to 0.2 are indicative of severe bleaching [17].

124

### 125 ***Oxygen production***

126 Dissolved oxygen level was measured for each incubation beaker. Measurements have been  
127 performed in individual small glass chambers (115 mL) supplied with seawater collected in  
128 each of the beakers at the beginning ( $T_0$ ) and end ( $T_f$ ) of the experiment. Each glass chambers  
129 was equipped with an  $\text{O}_2$  sensor spot to allow an optical measure of the dissolved oxygen  
130 concentration using a Fibox 4 transmitters (PreSens, Germany). The probe was calibrated  
131 before each measurement against air saturated with moisture.

132

### 133 ***$^{15}\text{N}$ analyses in *Symbiodiniaceae*, coral tissue and plankton***

134 *Symbiodiniaceae* and tissue were separated by centrifugation [2]. *Symbiodiniaceae* were dried,  
135 ground and encapsulated in tin cups before isotope ratio mass spectrometry (IRMS) analyses.  
136 Subsamples of each homogenate containing only coral tissue or planktonic samples were  
137 filtered (15 mL) on pre-combusted GF/F filters under low pressure. All the filters were analysed

138 using an elemental analyser coupled to an IRMS (EA-IRMS, Integra CN, SerCon Ltd, Cheshire,  
139 UK).

140

#### 141 ***Prey cell count***

142 The concentration of prey cells, i.e. *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus*, and picoeukaryotes,  
143 were quantified at the start and end of the incubation period to check for their ingestion by the  
144 corals. This abundance was determined with a FACSVerse Flow Cytometer (Becton Dickinson,  
145 131 San Jose, CA, USA). Heterotrophic bacteria were detected by diluting the medium by 10  
146 with filtered seawater (0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and stained it with SYBR Green (DNA). For small  
147 phytoplankton, cyanobacteria and *Prochlorococcus*, quantification was done according to red  
148 fluorescence level corresponding to chlorophyll a content, and orange fluorescence linked to  
149 pigment content like phycoerythrin (especially to select *Synechococcus* population) and also by  
150 size sorting using 1  $\mu\text{m}$  green calibration beads. *Cyanothece* cells were determined by strain-  
151 specific quantitative analyses to infer their abundance from the number of *nifH* gene copies.

152

#### 153 ***Ingestion rates***

154 Ingestion rates were assessed as clearance rate according to previous studies on corals [18, 19],  
155 and calculated using the equations of [20], which take into account the natural growth and death  
156 of the prey during incubations. Ingestion rates were expressed as number of prey organisms  
157 ingested and normalized to the skeletal surface area.

158

#### 159 ***Quantification of diazotrophs - DNA sampling, extraction and qPCR assays***

160 Samples for DNA analyses were filtered onto Supor filters (Pall Gelman) and stored in bead  
161 beater tubes containing a mixture of 0.1-mm and 0.5-mm diameter glass beads (BioSpec  
162 Products, Bartlesville, OK, USA). The tubes were stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until further analysis. DNA

163 was extracted using the Qiagen Plant kit with additional freeze-thaw, bead beating proteinase  
164 K steps for sample preparation before the kit purification and elution to 50  $\mu$ L as previously  
165 described [21]. All DNA samples were quantified by Picogreen assays (Thermo Scientific). The  
166 abundance of diazotrophs was determined using TaqMan qPCR assays on a StepOnePlus Real-  
167 Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems), with primer-probe sets for *Trichodesmium* [22],  
168 UCYN-A1 [23], UCYN-C [22], the Gammaproteobacterium  $\gamma$ -24774A11 [21], and Het1  
169 (*Richelia-Rhizosolenia* DDAs) [22]. Each reaction (25.5  $\mu$ L) consisted of 12.5  $\mu$ L TaqMan  
170 Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 1  $\mu$ L of each primer (at 10  $\mu$ M, HPLC  
171 purified, TAG Copenhagen, Denmark), 0.5  $\mu$ L probe (TAG Copenhagen), 8  $\mu$ L PCR grade  
172 water, 0.25  $\mu$ L bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 2  $\mu$ L standard or sample (samples were pre-  
173 diluted to 5 ng/ $\mu$ L to add 10 ng DNA to all qPCR reactions). The thermal cycling conditions  
174 were 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C followed by 45 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C.  
175 Standard dilutions ( $10^7$ - $10^1$ ) were run in duplicate, samples and no-template controls (NTCs)  
176 in triplicate. NTCs were undetectable. The efficiency of the primer-probe sets was 82-102%.  
177 Inhibition tests were carried out on all samples and each primer-probe set by adding 2  $\mu$ L of  
178  $10^5$  standard to each sample. The efficiencies of inhibition tests ranged from 98-101%, thus we  
179 consider that our samples were not inhibited. The limit of detection (LOD) and detected but not  
180 quantifiable (DNQ) limits used were 1 and 8 gene copies per reaction, respectively. Samples  
181 that were below LOD were designated a value of 0 in the data set, whereas gene copies higher  
182 than LOD but less than DNQ were designated a conservative value of 1 *nifH* gene copy L<sup>-1</sup>.

183

#### 184 *Statistical analyses*

185 All tests were performed using R version 3.5.1 within RStudio (Version 1.1.456, 2018). The  
186 non-parametric Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test was first used to test for significant differences  
187 in *Symbiodiniaceae* and chlorophyll concentrations, respiration and photosynthetic efficiency

188 ( $F_v/F_m$ ). This test was also applied to analyze planktonic ingestion rates and nitrogen  
189 assimilation rates (**Supplementary Table 1**). The *ggplot2* package (Wickham 2009) was used  
190 to create the box plot figures. Throughout the manuscript, values given are expressed as mean  
191  $\pm$  SD. Statistical significance was accepted at  $P < 0.05$ .

## 192 **Supplementary Information for Results**

193

### 194 ***Symbiodiniaceae density and chlorophyll concentration***

195 Bleached corals have 8 and 7 times less *Symbiodiniaceae* and chlorophyll concentrations than  
196 untreated colonies (respectively  $1.33 \pm 0.33 \cdot 10^6$  cell cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $0.16 \pm 0.06 \cdot 10^6$  cell cm<sup>-2</sup>; n = 9;  
197  $9.91 \pm 2.69$  chl.cm<sup>-2</sup> and  $1.39 \pm 1.08$  chl.cm<sup>-2</sup>; n = 9; Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test, P < 0.01)  
198 **(Supplementary Figure S1).**

199

### 200 ***Photosynthetic efficiency***

201 Prior the start of the experiment, colonies that were submitted to temperature increase, showed  
202 severe visual bleaching and a complete suppression of coral photosynthetic efficiency, with  
203  $F_v/F_m$  equal to 0 (n = 10, while untreated colonies exhibited high photosynthetic efficiency with  
204  $F_v/F_m = 0.721 \pm 0.017$  (n = 10).

205

### 206 ***Oxygen production***

207 The oxygen level in beakers containing corals has decreased from  $5.43 \pm 0.01$  mg O<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup> to 4.19  
208 mg  $\pm 0.95$  O<sub>2</sub> L<sup>-1</sup> (n = 10).

209

### 210 ***Picoplankton cell abundance and ingestion rates***

211 During the 12 h of incubation, concentrations of all pico- and nano-plankton groups decreased,  
212 except those of bacteria. In the control beakers without coral colonies), we observe a slight  
213 increase in *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* concentrations, meaning that there is a natural  
214 cell growth for these two groups during the 12 hours of incubation (increase of  $0.22 \cdot 10^5$  cell  
215 mL<sup>-1</sup> and  $0.67 \cdot 10^5$  cell mL<sup>-1</sup> for *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* respectively) while there  
216 is decrease in picoeukaryotes cells, certainly due to predation by other taxa ( $- 0.62 \cdot 10^3$  cell mL<sup>-1</sup>

217 <sup>1</sup>). In terms of number of prey ingested, normalized to skeletal surface, *Prochlorococcus* was  
218 quantitatively the major group ingested ( $1.44 \pm 0.77 \cdot 10^5 \text{ cell cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) followed by  
219 *Synechococcus* ( $3.08 \pm 0.88 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cell cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) and picoeukaryotes ( $1.83 \pm 1.61 \cdot 10^3 \text{ cell cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ )  
220 <sup>1</sup>) regardless of the treatment (**Supplementary Table 1 and 3**). *Synechococcus* ingestion rates  
221 were higher in bleached than in untreated colonies (respectively  $3.79 \pm 0.64 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cell cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$   
222 and  $2.38 \pm 0.24 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cell cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ; Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test,  $P = 0.028$ ).

223

#### 224 *Quantification of diazotrophs*

225 Analysis of the planktonic diazotroph abundances confirmed the presence of diazotrophs into  
226 the incubation medium. In the control beakers, we noticed an increase in the number of *nifH*  
227 gene copies  $\text{L}^{-1}$  of the tested phlotypes (UCYN-A1, UCYN-C and *Trichodesmium*) during the  
228 incubation period. Conversely, in all beakers containing corals, either untreated or bleached ( $n$   
229 = 3), abundances of UCYN-A1 and UCYN-C decreased between the beginning and the end of  
230 the experiment. This is not the case for *Trichodesmium*, whose abundances remain unchanged  
231 (**Supplementary Table 2**). Indeed, this specific diazotroph is filamentous and often present as  
232 bundles, which likely makes it harder prey to catch and ingest for corals [24].

233

#### 234 *Endosymbiotic diazotroph activity*

235 For coral incubations in  $^{15}\text{N}_2$ -enriched seawater, we measured a mean ( $\pm$  SD) nitrogen  
236 assimilation of  $3.11 \pm 1.91 \cdot 10^{-4}$  and  $5.42 \pm 4.95 \cdot 10^{-7}$  respectively in *Symbiodiniaceae* and tissue  
237 of bleached corals and  $1.21 \pm 1.45 \cdot 10^{-4}$  and  $3.14 \pm 7.68 \cdot 10^{-8}$  in *Symbiodiniaceae* and tissue of  
238 untreated ones.

239

#### 240 *Elemental composition of pico-, nanoplankton and diazotrophs*

241 Nitrogen and carbon contents as well as nitrogen and carbon assimilation rates for each type of

242 preys are reported in **Supplementary Table 4 and 5**. Bleached corals preferentially fed on  
243 *Synechococcus* that provided them  $7.57 \pm 1.28 \cdot 10^{-4} \mu\text{g N cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ . Specific feeding on planktonic  
244 diazotrophs and *Synechococcus spp.* also represents a non-negligible source of carbon for bleached  
245 corals (respectively  $3.10 \cdot 10^{-4}$  and  $9.46 \pm 1.60 \cdot 10^{-3} \mu\text{g C cm}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ).

246 **Supplementary Figure S1:** *Symbiodiniaceae* density (A) and total chlorophyll concentration  
247 (B) in untreated and bleached corals before the  $^{15}\text{N}_2$  isotope labelling experiment. Stars indicate  
248 statistically significant differences ( $n = 9$ ; mean  $\pm$  SD).

249

250 **Supplementary Table 1:** Summary of statistical analyses performed with the non-parametric  
251 test Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test.

252

253 **Supplementary Table 2:** Summary of diazotroph cell abundance (*nifH* gene copies  $\text{L}^{-1}$ ) at the  
254 start ( $T_0$ ) and at the end (12 h) of the incubation period into control beakers (without corals)  
255 and beakers with corals (untreated and bleached) (UCYN-A1 and UCYN-C,  $n = 3$  for each  
256 taxon; mean  $\pm$  SD; *Trichodesmium*,  $n = 9$ , mean  $\pm$  SD).

257

258 **Supplementary Table 3:** Summary of plankton abundance ( $\text{cell mL}^{-1}$ ) and ingestion rates ( $\text{cell}$   
259  $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ ) in the incubation medium at the beginning and the end of the experiment in both  
260 bleached and untreated corals and for both treatments ( $n = 5$  for each treatments; mean  $\pm$  SD).

261

262 **Supplementary Table 4:** Carbon and nitrogen cell content ( $\mu\text{g cell}^{-1}$ ) and related assimilation  
263 rates ( $\mu\text{g cm}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}$ ) calculated for *Prochlorococcus*, *Synechococcus* and picoeukaryotes using  
264 literature conversion factors and the results of the present study (mean  $\pm$  SD).

265

266 **Supplementary Table 5:** Carbon and nitrogen cell content ( $\text{pg cell}^{-1}$ ) of the studied  
267 diazotrophs.

268 **Supplementary References**

- 269 1. Montoya JP, Voss M, Kahler P, Capone DG. A Simple , High-Precision , High-  
270 Sensitivity Tracer Assay for N<sub>2</sub> Fixation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1996; **62**: 986–993.
- 271 2. Houlbrèque F, Tambutté E, Ferrier-Pagès C. Effect of zooplankton availability on the  
272 rates of photosynthesis, and tissue and skeletal growth in the scleractinian coral  
273 *Stylophora pistillata*. *J Exp Mar Bio Ecol* 2003; **296**: 145–166.
- 274 3. Grover R, Maguer JF, Reynaud-Vaganay S, Ferrier-Pagès C. Uptake of ammonium by  
275 the scleractinian coral *Stylophora pistillata*: Effect of feeding, light, and ammonium  
276 concentrations. *Limnol Oceanogr* 2002; **47**: 782–790.
- 277 4. Rådecker N, Pogoreutz C, Voolstra CR, Wiedenmann J, Wild C. Nitrogen cycling in  
278 corals: The key to understanding holobiont functioning? *Trends Microbiol* 2015; **23**:  
279 490–497.
- 280 5. Kopp C, Pernice M, Domart-Coulon I, Djediat C, Spangenberg JE, Alexander DTL, et  
281 al. Highly dynamic cellular-level response of symbiotic coral to a sudden increase in  
282 environmental nitrogen. *MBio* 2013; **4**: 1–9.
- 283 6. Houlbrèque F, Ferrier-Pagès C. Heterotrophy in tropical scleractinian corals. *Biol Rev* .  
284 2009. , 1–17
- 285 7. Mohr W, Großkopf T, Wallace DWR, LaRoche J. Methodological underestimation of  
286 oceanic nitrogen fixation rates. *PLoS One* 2010; **5**: 1–7.
- 287 8. Großkopf T, Mohr W, Baustian T, Schunck H, Gill D, Kuypers MMM, et al. Doubling  
288 of marine dinitrogen-fixation rates based on direct measurements. *Nature* 2012; **488**:  
289 361–364.
- 290 9. Rodolfo-Metalpa R, Martin S, Ferrier-Pagès C, Gattuso J-P. Response of the temperate  
291 coral *Cladocora caespitosa* to mid- and long-term exposure to pCO<sub>2</sub> and temperature  
292 levels projected for the year 2100 AD. *Biogeosciences* 2010; **7**: 289–300.

- 293 10. Jeffrey SW, Humphrey GF. New spectrophotometric equations for determining  
294 chlorophylls a, b, c1 and c2 in higher plants, algae and natural phytoplankton. *Biochem*  
295 *und Physiol der Pflanz* 1975; **167**: 191–194.
- 296 11. Hoogenboom M, Beraud E, Ferrier-Pagès C. Relationship between symbiont density and  
297 photosynthetic carbon acquisition in the temperate coral *Cladocora caespitosa*. *Coral*  
298 *Reefs* 2010; **29**: 21–29.
- 299 12. Stimson J, Kinzie RA. The temporal pattern and rate of release of zooxanthellae from  
300 the reef coral *Pocillopora damicornis* (Linnaeus) under nitrogen-enrichment and control  
301 conditions. *J Exp Mar Bio Ecol* 1991; **153**: 63–74.
- 302 13. Naumann MS, Richter C, El-Zibdah M, Wild C. Coral mucus as an efficient trap for  
303 picoplanktonic cyanobacteria: implications for pelagic-benthic coupling in the reef  
304 ecosystem. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2009; **385**: 65–76.
- 305 14. Hoegh-Guldberg O. Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral  
306 reefs. *Mar Freshw Res* 1999; **50**: 839–866.
- 307 15. Biscéré T, Rodolfo-Metalpa R, Lorrain A, Chauvaud L, Thébault J, Clavier J, et al.  
308 Responses of two scleractinian corals to cobalt pollution and ocean acidification. *PLoS*  
309 *One* 2015; **10**: 1–18.
- 310 16. Warner ME, Fitt WK, Schmidt GW. Damage to photosystem II in symbiotic  
311 dinoflagellates: A determinant of coral bleaching. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 1999; **96**: 8007–  
312 8012.
- 313 17. Fitt W.K., Brown B.E., Warner M.E. DRP. Coral bleaching: Interpretation of thermal  
314 tolerance limits and thermal thresholds in tropical corals. *Coral Reefs* 2001; **20**: 51–65.
- 315 18. Fanny Houlbrèque, Eric Tambutté DA et CF-P. Interactions between zooplankton  
316 feeding, photosynthesis and skeletal growth in the scleractinian coral *Stylophora*  
317 *pistillata*. *J Exp Biol Exp Biol* 2004; **207**: 1461–1469.

- 318 19. Tremblay P, Naumann MS, Sikorski S, Grover R, Ferrier-Pagès C. Experimental  
319 assessment of organic carbon fluxes in the scleractinian coral *Stylophora pistillata* during  
320 a thermal and photo stress event. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2012; **453**: 63–77.
- 321 20. Ribes M, Coma R, Gili J-M. Heterotrophic feeding by gorgonian corals with symbiotic  
322 zooxanthella. *Limnol Oceanogr* 1998; **43**: 1170–1179.
- 323 21. Moisaner PH, Beinart RA, Voss M, Zehr JP. Diversity and abundance of diazotrophic  
324 microorganisms in the South China Sea during intermonsoon. *ISME J* 2008; **2**: 954–967.
- 325 22. Church MJ, Jenkins BD, Karl DM, Zehr JP. Vertical distributions of nitrogen-fixing  
326 phylotypes at Stn ALOHA in the oligotrophic North Pacific Ocean. *Aquat Microb Ecol*  
327 2005; **38**: 3–14.
- 328 23. Thompson A, Carter BJ, Turk-Kubo K, Malfatti F, Azam F, Zehr JP. Genetic diversity  
329 of the unicellular nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria UCYN-A and its prymnesiophyte host.  
330 *Environ Microbiol* 2014; **16**: 3238–3249.
- 331 24. Mulholland MR, Capone DG. The nitrogen physiology of the marine N<sub>2</sub>-fixing  
332 cyanobacteria. *Trends Plant Sci* 2000; **1385**: 0–5.
- 333