JD Shutler et al. - Supporting Information

Instrument and	Features	Accuracy*	Countries operating instruments
deployment type			(estimated number of instruments)
Infrared analyzer ^v	Equilibrator system,	< 2 µatm	Argentina, Australia, Belgium, China, France,
	gas standards		Ireland, Germany, Japan, New Zealand,
			Norway, South Africa, Spain, The Netherlands,
			UK, US (60)
Cavity ring-down	Equilibrator system,	< 2 µatm	Germany, Japan (3)
spectrophotometer Ψ	gas standards		
Gas chromatograph ^v	Equilibrator system,	< 2 µatm	Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Germany,
	gas standards		Japan, The Netherlands, UK, US (0, as mainly
			used before 2000)
Infrared ^{χ π}	Small equilibrator,	<4 µatm	Australia, Iceland, South Africa, US (23)
	gas standards		
Infrared ^v	No gas standards	4 ppm	Germany (2)
Sealed infrared $\Psi \chi \pi$	No gas standards,	0.7–5 µatm	Germany, Canada (5)
	permeable		
	membrane		
Spectrometer ^x	Dye based, without	0–15 µatm (or	France, Sweden, US (10, but 20 between the
	gas standards,	ppm)	years 1996–2008)
	permeable		
	membrane		

WebTable 1. Overview of in-situ carbon dioxide (CO₂) instrumentation currently in use for observing the oceans

Notes: information is for datasets from the years 2014–2017 available in version 6 of the Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas (www.socat.info; Bakker *et al.* 2016) and selected other sources. Platform types are research vessels and ships of opportunity ($^{\forall}$), drifting buoys or fixed moorings ($^{\chi}$), and wave gliders ($^{\pi}$). Accuracy (*) is for the pCO₂ systems and is defined as the agreement of the CO₂ measurement with the accepted baseline infrared analyzer system, as described in Wanninkhof *et al.* (2013), using the common deployment modes as indicated by the symbols in superscript. Summaries of the instruments can be found at www.ioccp.org/index.php/instruments-and-sensors#pco2.

WebReferences

Bakker DCE, Pfeil B, Landa CS, *et al.* 2016. A multi-decade record of high-quality fCO₂ data in version 3 of the Surface Ocean CO₂ Atlas (SOCAT). *Earth Syst Sci Data* **8**: 383–413.

Wanninkhof R, Bakker D, Bates N, *et al.* 2013. Incorporation of alternative sensors into the SOCAT database and adjustments to dataset quality control flags. Oak Ridge, TN: US Department of Energy, Oak Ridge National Laboratory.