An Irgafos® 168 story: When the ubiquity of an additive prevents studying its leaching from plastics

Hermabessiere Ludovic ^{1, *}, Receveur Justine ², Himber Charlotte ¹, Mazurais David ³, Huvet Arnaud ³, Lagarde Fabienne ⁴, Lambert Christophe ⁵, Paul-Pont Ika ⁵, Dehaut Alexandre ¹, Jezequel Ronan ², Soudant Philippe ⁵, Duflos Guillaume ¹

¹ ANSES – Laboratoire de Sécurité des Aliments, Boulevard du Bassin Napoléon, 62200 Boulogne-sur-Mer, France

² CEDRE, 715 Rue Alain Colas, 29218 Brest Cedex 2, France

³ Univ Brest, Ifremer, CNRS, IRD, LEMAR, F-29280 Plouzané, France

⁴ Le Mans Université, Institut des Molécules et Matériaux du Mans - IMMM-UMR-CNRS 6283, 72085 Le Mans Cedex 9, France

⁵ Univ Brest, Ifremer, CNRS, IRD, LEMAR, F-29280 Plouzané, France

* Corresponding author : Ludovic Hermabessiere, email address : <u>ludovic.hermabessiere@utoronto.ca</u>

Abstract :

Plastic pollution is a source of chemical to the environment and wildlife. Despite the ubiquity of plastic pollution and thus plastic additive in the environment, plastic additives have been studied to a limited extend. As a prerequisite to a study aiming to evaluate the leaching of a common additive used as an antioxidant (Irgafos® 168) from polyethylene microparticles, an inventory of the potential background contamination of the laboratory workplace was done. In this study, Irgafos® 168 (tris(2,4-ditertbutylphenyl) phosphite) and its oxidized form (tris (2,4-ditert-butylphenyl) phosphate) were quantified in different laboratory reagents, including the plastic packaging and the powders, using Pyrolysis-GC/MS. At least one form of Irgafos® 168 was detected in all tested laboratory reagents with higher concentrations in caps and bottles as compared to the powders. Additionally, oxidized Irgafos® 168 was also found in the reverse osmosed and deionized water container used in the laboratory. The same profile of contamination, i.e. higher concentration of the oxidized form and higher concentrations in acidic reagents, was observed when comparing the reagent and their respective containers suggesting that the additive is leaching from the container into the powder. Overall, this study demonstrates that the antioxidant additive Irgafos® 168 is ubiquitous in the laboratory workplace. Plastic additives such as Irgafos® 168 can therefore largely interfere and biased ecotoxicological and toxicological studies especially using environmentally relevant concentrations of microplastics. The source, fate and effects of plastic additive from plastic debris should be carefully considered in future studies that require setting up methods to overcome these contaminations.

Graphical abstract



Highlights

▶ Irgafos 168® quantification was done by Pyrolysis-GC/MS in laboratory reagents. ▶ Irgafos 168® was quantified in caps, bottle and powders of all laboratory reagents. ▶ Leaching occurred between the bottle and the powder of the reagents. ▶ Contamination of the laboratory workplace by this plastic additive was ubiquitous.

Keywords : Microplastic, Additive, Leaching, Pyrolysis, Contamination, Irgafos 168 (R)

40 **1 Introduction**

41 Plastic debris contaminates the environment broadly (Galgani et al., 2015; Horton et al., 2017). 42 With an increasing production of plastic since the 1950's (Gever et al., 2017; PlasticsEurope, 43 2019) and a potential surge in production (Geyer et al., 2017), the concentration of plastic debris 44 at the ocean surface will rise (Lebreton et al., 2019, 2018). During its manufacturing, plastic is 45 made with other substances, called plastic additives that are added to confer properties to the 46 polymer (e.g. flexibility, durability, plasticity). As most plastic additives are not chemically 47 bound to the polymeric matrix, they can leach out of the plastic (Hermabessiere et al., 2017) 48 being an additional source of additives in the environment (Al-Odaini et al., 2015). Plastic 49 debris was estimated to be the source of 190 metric tons of 20 plastic additives that entered the 50 oceans in 2015 (De Frond et al., 2019).

51 The ingestion of plastic particles of various sizes has been demonstrated for a wide range of 52 organisms (Lusher, 2015) and has been linked to higher concentrations of plastic additives in 53 their tissues. For instance, the flame retardant Decabromodiphenyl ether, was transferred to 54 Puffinus tenuirostris upon plastic particle ingestion (Tanaka et al., 2015, 2013). Bisphenol A and its analogs were reported to be more concentrated in fish ingesting microplastics than in 55 56 other fish (Barboza et al., 2020). As some plastic additives are considered endocrine disruptor 57 chemicals (Oehlmann et al., 2009), it is crucial to study the transfer of these chemicals to organisms upon ingestion of plastic particles. 58

Irgafos® 168 (tris(2,4-di*tert*-butylphenyl) phosphite) (Figure 1) is a plastic additive mainly incorporated into Polypropylene (PP) and Polyethylene (PE) as an antioxidant (Dopico-García et al., 2007). It has been used in food packaging (Cherif Lahimer et al., 2017; Dopico-García et al., 2007), supposedly explaining its detection in frozen vegetable (Tanaka et al., 2003). Irgafos® 168 leached out of food packaging in contact with oil (Garde et al., 1998; Marcato et al., 2003) and its oxidized form, the tris(2,4-di*tert*-butylphenyl) phosphate (Figure 1), leached

from PE placed in stirred water (Suhrhoff and Scholz-Böttcher, 2016). Both forms were also 65 66 detected in plastic pieces used in oyster farming (Gardon et al., 2020) or ingested by seabirds (Tanaka et al., 2019) and detected in indoor dust (Liu and Mabury, 2018; Venier et al., 2018). 67 68 Irgafos[®] 168 can be also hydrolysed over time in the aquatic system and one of its degradation products, the 2,4-ditert-butylphenol (Figure 1) has been found in plastics collected on Korean 69 70 beaches (Rani et al., 2017, 2015). A second degradation product (bis(2,4-di*tert*-butylphenyl) 71 hydrogen phosphate) (Figure 1) was reported to impair growth of human cells in culture 72 (Hammond et al., 2013) while Chinese hamster ovary cell lines are also sensitive to this 73 chemical (Kelly et al., 2016; Shah et al., 2016). Oxidized Irgafos® 168 has been detected in 74 one laboratory solvent, likely the result of leaching during chemical storage in the laboratory 75 (Ekpo et al., 2012), it appears necessary to perform an inventory of potential Irgafos® 168 76 contaminations in the laboratory workplace prior to any leaching study, such as those using *in* 77 *vitro* simulated gut conditions as already used to study the desorption of oestrogenic chemicals 78 from plastic items (Coffin et al., 2019). In the present paper, the reduced (tris(2,4-ditert-79 butylphenyl) phosphite) and the oxidized (tris(2,4-ditert-butylphenyl) phosphate) forms of 80 Irgafos® 168 were quantified in both the laboratory chemicals used to mimic in vitro (i.e. Coffin 81 et al., 2019) gut conditions and their containers to account for potential contamination.

82 2 Material and methods

83 2.1 Laboratory reagents

Eight chemical reagents used in *in vitro* digestive models were analyzed for trace of Irgafos® 168 two forms. These laboratory reagents were exclusively acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St-Quentin-Fallavier, France) and included: citric acid ($C_6H_7O_8$, reference: 251275), sodium phosphate dibasic (Na₂HPO₄, reference: S7907), sodium cholate hydrate ($C_{24}H_{39}NaO_5$, reference: C1254), calcium chloride dihydrate (CaCl₂, reference: C5080), Tris-HCl (reference: T3253), pepsin (reference: 77160), trypsin (reference: T4799) and pancreatin (reference: 90 P1750). The plastic packaging (bottle and cap) were also analyzed. Analyses were only carried 91 out on the container of reverse osmosed and deionized water (reference: 102927G, VWR, 92 Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) used daily in the laboratory (hereafter call: Laboratory water 93 (LW)). Analyses were only carried out on the plastic material of the container of the reverse 94 osmosed and deionized water (reference: 102927G, VWR, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) used 95 daily in the laboratory (hereafter call: Laboratory water (LW)). The main advantage of using 96 Py-GC/MS for this work was the minimal samples preparation required by this technique (Bart, 97 2001). Unfortunately, this Py-GC/MS technique is not adapted to quantify chemicals in solution 98 as in the water.

99 For each laboratory reagent and each matrix, analyses were done in triplicate.

100 2.2 Irgafos 168[®] quantification by Pyrolysis-GC/MS

101 Methods published by Fries et al., (2013) were applied for the Pyrolysis-GC/MS (Py-GC/MS) 102 analyses. Approximately 500 µg of matrix, *i.e.* plastic packaging or powder, was weighed with 103 0.00001 g precision (XP205, Mettler Toledo, Viroflay, France) then transferred to pyrolysis 104 vials. Py-GC/MS analysis was performed with a 7890N gas chromatograph (Agilent, Little 105 Falls, USA) equipped with a Combipal MPS2 multifunctional injection system (Gerstel, Sursee, 106 Switzerland), used in 'splitless' mode (1 min), and a Gerstel pyrolyser (Sursee, Switzerland). 107 The temperature-controlled cooling injection system was programmed from 50° C (0.3 min) to 108 350°C (2 min) at 12°C/s then the sample was pyrolysed at 700°C for 60 s. During pyrolysis 109 time, the temperature of the interface was maintained at 350°C. The oven temperature program 110 was set from 50°C (2 min) to 320°C (10 min) at 20°C/min. Helium carrier gas used at a constant 111 flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. The capillary column was an HP-5-MS (Agilent, Little Falls, USA): 112 $30 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm} \times 0.25 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (thickness). The chromatograph was coupled to a 5975N mass 113 spectrometry detector (Agilent, Little Falls, USA). Quantitative compounds analysis was 114 carried out in single ion monitoring (SIM) mode with the following marker ions for reduced Irgafos® 168 (m/z = 441) and its oxidized form tris(2,4-di*tert*-butylphenyl) phosphate (m/z=316) (minimum of 1.5 cycles/s for dwell time). Compounds were quantified using external calibration curves method ranging from 5 ng to 1000 ng. Irgafos® 168 reduced form, (CAS no: 31570-04-4, Sigma-Aldrich, St-Quentin-Fallavier, France), and oxidized form (CAS no: 95906-11-9, Toronto Research Chemicals, North York, Canada), were used as standards for quantification.

121 **2.3 Statistical analysis**

122 All statistical analyses were performed using R Statistical Software version 3.5.2 (R Core Team, 123 2018) using RStudio version 1.1.463 (RStudio Team, 2016). Effect of matrix (cap, bottle or 124 powder) on reduced and oxidized Irgafos® 168 concentrations was tested using Kruskal-Wallis 125 tests as neither normality (Shapiro-Wilk test), or homoscedasticity (regression residues), 126 requirements were meet. Where significant differences were found with Kruskal-Wallis, the 127 'agricolae' package (version 1.2-8) (De Mendiburu, 2017) was used, to perform post-hoc test 128 using the Fisher's least significant difference criterion realized with Bonferroni correction. 129 Concentrations of reduced and oxidized Irgafos® 168 in each matrix were compared using a 130 Student t test after checking the normality of the data and the equality of variances. If variances 131 were not equal, Welch correction was applied. A non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was used 132 for non-normally distributed data. Significant differences were considered when the p-value 133 was below 0.05 and all results are expressed as mean ± 2 Standard Error.

134 **3**

3 Results and discussion

135 3.1 Reduced and oxidized Irgafos 168[®] concentrations: ubiquitous in the 136 laboratory workplace

At least one of the forms of Irgafos® 168 was always detected in caps, bottles and powders of
the eight-laboratory reagents (Figure 2). For reduced Irgafos® 168, concentrations in caps

139 ranged from under the limit of detection (n.d) in Na₂HPO₄ to 3265 ± 2782 ng/mg in C₂₄H₃₉NaO₅ 140 (Figure 2A), in bottles between n.d in trypsin and pancreatin and $5,493 \pm 24$ ng/mg in pepsin 141 (Figure 2B) and in powder between n.d in pancreatin, $CaCl_2$ and Na_2HPO_4 and $3,000 \pm 2,507.76$ 142 ng/mg in C₆H₇O₈ (Figure 2C). For oxidized Irgafos® 168 concentrations in caps were between 143 68.11 ± 23.01 ng/mg in trypsin and 218.13 ± 102.13 ng/mg in Tris-HCl (Figure 2A), in bottles 144 between 16.33 ± 10.48 ng/mg in trypsin and 1.023 ± 634.61 ng/mg in Na₂HPO₄ (Figure 2B) 145 and in powder between 8.33 ± 8.97 ng/mg in Na₂HPO₄ and 95 ± 157.23 ng/mg in C₂₄H₃₉NaO₅ 146 (Figure 2C). Irgafos® 168 in its oxidized form was also detected in the container of LW at a 147 concentration of 33.92 ± 34.46 ng/mg (Figure 2B).

148 Overall, both Irgafos® 168 forms were significantly more abundant in plastic pieces, caps and 149 bottles (510.13 \pm 257.77 and 710.24 \pm 411.08 ng/mg, respectively), than in their respective 150 reagent powders (238.52 \pm 216. 49 ng/mg) (post-hoc after Kruskal-Wallis, p<0.05). When 151 present at concentrations above 100 ng/mg (except for Na₂HPO₄ bottle), reduced form was 152 always found in higher amount than oxidized form in caps, bottles and powder of each reagent 153 (Figure 2). These differences were only statistically significant (t test, p < 0.05) for trypsin cap 154 and for pepsin and Tris-HCl bottles (for concentrations above 100 ng/mg) (Figure 2). There was 155 high variability in Irgafos 168® concentrations across matrices (Figure 2). This high variability 156 might be due to the fact that Irgafos® 168 concentration may not be homogeneously distributed 157 in the polymer matrix, especially considering that this type of plastic additive is not chemically 158 bound to the polymer (Hermabessiere et al., 2017). Variability may also occur between batches 159 of the same product as suppliers may use different packaging producers for the same product, 160 who each may potentially use a different mix of additives.

Both forms of Irgafos® 168 were ubiquitously detected in the tested laboratory reagents, even
in the powders. All laboratory reagents tested here were housed in High-Density PE (HDPE)

bottles with PP caps. Additionally, the LW was also stocked into a bag-in-box composed of PE
with quantifiable amounts of Irgafos[®] 168 that might lead to LW contamination over time.

165 Irgafos® 168 is a plastic additive used as an antioxidant in polyolefin with concentration 166 ranging from 0.004 to 3% (wet weight) (European Chemicals Agency, 2019; Hahladakis et al., 167 2018). Oxidation from the reduced form to the oxidized form is a common phenomenon for this 168 molecule (Yang et al., 2016), thus making the protection of the polymer against oxidation 169 possible (Fouyer et al., 2012). In studies concerning Irgafos® 168 from food packaging, both 170 forms are usually targeted (Dopico-García et al., 2007; El Mansouri et al., 1998; Suhrhoff and 171 Scholz-Böttcher, 2016; Yang et al., 2016). According to Yang et al., (2016) oxidation of 172 Irgafos® 168 can occur either during the extrusion of the polymer, during storage in the dark 173 or during exposure to UV; the latter being the fastest pathway (Yang et al., 2016). Here it is not 174 possible to assess whether the oxidation process of Irgafos® 168 in the laboratory has occurred 175 (i) during storage in the dark as laboratory reagents were stocked in safety cabinets or in the 176 fridge straight from their delivery at the laboratory until their use or (ii) during the production 177 and transport process of both the packaging and the reagent. It was recently suggested that when 178 studying antioxidants, the oxidized form should be primarily targeted as the reduced form will 179 react with oxygen and will not accumulate in the environment (Wu et al., 2019). This is 180 confirmed in the present study as the oxidized Irgafos® 168 was systematically detected in 181 quantifiable amounts whereas its reduced form was under the limit of detection in some 182 laboratory reagents.

183 **3.2** Leaching between plastic bottle to the reagent powder

Concentrations of both Irgafos 168® forms were two times lower in the powder compared to the bottle, suggesting that leaching could probably be a slow, long and most often an incomplete process (Yang et al., 2016) that remain to be further deeply studied. Leaching was mostly dependent on the considered reagent. Indeed, some laboratory reagents demonstrated higher

concentrations of reduced Irgafos® 168 (pepsin, C₆H₇O₈, Tris-HCl and C₂₄H₃₉NaO₅), while 188 189 others (trypsin, pancreatin, CaCl₂ and Na₂HPO₄) showed higher concentrations of oxidized 190 Irgafos® 168. These differences could be linked to factors such as the conservation time and 191 temperature, the age of the products, and their containers. However, it was not possible to 192 retrieve information about reagent production from the manufacturer certificate of origin and 193 analysis. Consequently, retracing the history of the reagents processing prior to their arrival in 194 the lab is important in order to draw conclusions about the leaching process between the 195 packaging and the reagents. Here, it is not possible to rule out whether leaching occurred before 196 lab delivery of the chemicals or during their storage and should only be considered as 197 quantifications in the products before use.

Interestingly, the exact same profiles were observed for both Irgafos 168® forms between bottle and powder of each reagent. The form of Irgafos® 168 that was found in a higher concentration in the bottle was also highest in the associated reagent powders suggesting that the content in the powder is principally due to the leaching from the container. This highlights that the leaching process may occur for both forms of Irgafos® 168, as both forms were transferred to the powder in ratios comparable to the container. It is also possible that oxidation occurred after leaching.

205 The ubiquity of Iragfos[®] 168 in the laboratory workplace could pose challenges when assessing 206 the leaching of plastic additives with environmentally relevant concentrations of microplastics 207 (Lenz et al., 2016). In fact, the results of this study prevented us from performing the 208 aforementioned leaching experiment, that was initially plan to use environmental 209 concentrations of PE fragments loaded with Irgafos® 168. The present study reinforces 210 previous observations (Ekpo et al., 2012) which demonstrates that laboratory reagents could be 211 a significant source of plastic additives contamination in laboratory workplace. For other plastic 212 additives, like phthalates, BPA or nonylphenol, the risk of contamination in the laboratory workplace is well known and already considered in some studies (Net et al., 2015; Soto et al., 1991; Ye et al., 2013). Similar inventory work should be undertaken for other plastic additives commonly used and found in the environment (Hermabessiere et al., 2017). Thereafter, measures can be taken to limit the contamination by plastic additives of the workplace such as using glassware instead of plastic equipment, using water grades, using chemicals supply in glass containers and, if necessary, exchanging data with suppliers to find suitable production and storage procedures.

220 **3.3 Environmental implications**

221 Although it has been demonstrated that wildlife can become contaminated with plastic additives 222 through the ingestion of plastic pieces has been demonstrated (Jang et al., 2016; Tanaka et al., 223 2015), their contribution to microplastic toxicity is not well understood (Zimmermann et al., 224 2019). These results emphasize the fact that toxicity of MP with additives remains difficult to 225 assess, due to background noise. Furthermore, pristine MP containing additives may not be 226 environmentally relevant. Indeed, phthalates concentrations from new plastic gear (6.7 - 9.1 227 μ g/L) used in oyster pearl farming were far higher in comparison with aged plastic gear (0.4 -228 0.5 µg/L) (Gardon et al., 2020). When possible, pristine plastic toxicity should be compared 229 with the aged version of the products after long stays in the environment.

Nevertheless, the present work highlights that studying plastic additive found in plastic debris
is an important topic, especially to understand the fate of additives in the environment. Irgafos
168® is not very well studied except for food contact material (Cherif Lahimer et al., 2017).
This plastic additive, was recently quantified in indoor dust (Liu and Mabury, 2018; Venier et
al., 2018) in various form, and was also found in plastic debris (Figure 1) contaminating marine
organisms and seawater (Gardon et al., 2020; Rani et al., 2017, 2015; Tanaka et al., 2019).
Testing and understanding the sources, fate and effects of Irgafos 168® in aquatic environments

will therefore require new methods to overcome contamination throughout the sampling andanalysis in the laboratory.

239 4 Conclusion

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that a plastic additive used as an antioxidant, Irgafos® 168, was detected in different laboratory materials including plastic packaging and more problematically reagents powders. Reduced and oxidized forms of Irgafos® 168 were ubiquitous in the laboratory workplace at high concentrations, which prevented further study of Irgafos® 168 leaching from plastics in realistic conditions.

245 **Declaration of competing interest**

246 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

247 Acknowledgements

Ludovic Hermabessiere is thankful to ANSES (French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety) and the Hauts-de-France Region for the financial support of his PhD. The present work was funded by the ANR Nanoplastics project (ANR-15-CE34-0006) and has been financially supported by the European Union (ERDF), the French State, the French Region Hauts-de-France and Ifremer, in the framework of the project CPER MARCO 2015-2020. The authors are also thankful to Kennedy Bucci, Hannah De Frond, Rachel K. Giles and Clara Thaysen for their helpful revision of English and their comments on the manuscript.

255 **References**

- Al-Odaini, N.A., Shim, W.J., Han, G.M., Jang, M., Hong, S.H., 2015. Enrichment of hexabromocyclododecanes in coastal sediments near aquaculture areas and a wastewater treatment plant in a semi-enclosed bay in South Korea. Sci. Total Environ. 505, 290–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.019
- Barboza, L.G.A., Cunha, S.C., Monteiro, C., Fernandes, J.O., Guilhermino, L., 2020. Bisphenol
 A and its analogs in muscle and liver of fish from the North East Atlantic Ocean in

relation to microplastic contamination. Exposure and risk to human consumers. J.
Hazard. Mater. 393, 122419. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.122419

- Bart, J.C.J., 2001. Polymer/additive analysis by flash pyrolysis techniques1Presented at
 Pyrolysis 2000, Sevilla, April 2–6, 2000.1. J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 58–59, 3–28.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2370(00)00160-1
- Cherif Lahimer, M., Ayed, N., Horriche, J., Belgaied, S., 2017. Characterization of plastic
 packaging additives: Food contact, stability and toxicity. Arab. J. Chem. 10, S1938–
 S1954. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2013.07.022
- Coffin, S., Huang, G.-Y., Lee, I., Schlenk, D., 2019. Fish and Seabird Gut Conditions Enhance
 Desorption of Estrogenic Chemicals from Commonly-Ingested Plastic Items. Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 53, 4588–4599. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b07140
- De Frond, H.L., van Sebille, E., Parnis, J.M., Diamond, M.L., Mallos, N., Kingsbury, T.,
 Rochman, C.M., 2019. Estimating the mass of chemicals associated with ocean plastic
 pollution to inform mitigation efforts. Integr. Environ. Assess. Manag. 15, 596–606.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4147
- De Mendiburu, F., 2017. agricolae: statistical procedures for agricultural research [WWW
 Document]. R Package Version 12-8. URL https://CRAN.R project.org/package=agricolae (accessed 5.11.20).
- Dopico-García, M.S., López-Vilariñó, J.M., González-Rodríguez, M.V., 2007. Antioxidant
 Content of and Migration from Commercial Polyethylene, Polypropylene, and
 Polyvinyl Chloride Packages. J. Agric. Food Chem. 55, 3225–3231.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf070102+
- Ekpo, B.O., Oyo-Ita, O.E., Oros, D.R., Simoneit, B.R.T., 2012. Sources of Organic
 Contaminants in Solvents and Implications for Geochemistry and Environmental
 Forensics: An Example from Local Vendors in Nigeria. Environ. Forensics 13, 1–6.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/15275922.2011.643339
- El Mansouri, H., Yagoubi, N., Ferrier, D., 1998. Extraction of polypropylene additives and their
 analysis by HPLC. Chromatographia 48, 491. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02466639
- European Chemicals Agency, 2019. Mapping exercise Plastic additives initiative [WWW
 Document]. URL https://echa.europa.eu/mapping-exercise-plastic-additives-initiative
 (accessed 7.4.19).
- Fouyer, K., Lavastre, O., Rondeau, D., 2012. Direct Monitoring of the Role Played by a
 Stabilizer in a Solid Sample of Polymer Using Direct Analysis in Real Time Mass
 Spectrometry: The Case of Irgafos 168 in Polyethylene. Anal. Chem. 84, 8642–8649.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac301759q
- Fries, E., Dekiff, J.H., Willmeyer, J., Nuelle, M.-T., Ebert, M., Remy, D., 2013. Identification
 of polymer types and additives in marine microplastic particles using pyrolysis-GC/MS
 and scanning electron microscopy. Environ. Sci. Process. Impacts 15, 1949–1956.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C3EM00214D
- Galgani, F., Hanke, G., Maes, T., 2015. Global Distribution, Composition and Abundance of
 Marine Litter, in: Bergmann, M., Gutow, L., Klages, M. (Eds.), Marine Anthropogenic
 Litter. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 29–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/978 304 3-319-16510-3_2
- Garde, J.A., Catalá, R., Gavara, R., 1998. Global and Specific Migration of Antioxidants from
 Polypropylene Films into Food Simulants. J. Food Prot. 61, 1000–1006.
 https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-61.8.1000
- Gardon, T., Huvet, A., Paul-Pont, I., Cassone, A.-L., Sham Koua, M., Soyez, C., Jezequel, R.,
 Receveur, J., Le Moullac, G., 2020. Toxic effects of leachates from plastic pearlfarming gear on embryo-larval development in the pearl oyster *Pinctada margaritifera*.
 Water Res. 179, 115890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.115890

- Geyer, R., Jambeck, J.R., Law, K.L., 2017. Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made.
 Sci. Adv. 3. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782
- Hahladakis, J.N., Velis, C.A., Weber, R., Iacovidou, E., Purnell, P., 2018. An overview of
 chemical additives present in plastics: Migration, release, fate and environmental impact
 during their use, disposal and recycling. J. Hazard. Mater. 344, 179–199.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.10.014
- Hammond, M., Nunn, H., Rogers, G., Lee, H., Marghitoiu, A.-L., Perez, L., Nashed-Samuel,
 Y., Anderson, C., Vandiver, M., Kline, S., 2013. Identification of a Leachable
 Compound Detrimental to Cell Growth in Single-Use Bioprocess Containers. PDA J.
 Pharm. Sci. Technol. 67, 123–134. https://doi.org/10.5731/pdajpst.2013.00905
- Hermabessiere, L., Dehaut, A., Paul-Pont, I., Lacroix, C., Jezequel, R., Soudant, P., Duflos, G.,
 2017. Occurrence and effects of plastic additives on marine environments and
 organisms: a review. Chemosphere 182, 781–793.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.05.096
- Horton, A.A., Walton, A., Spurgeon, D.J., Lahive, E., Svendsen, C., 2017. Microplastics in
 freshwater and terrestrial environments: Evaluating the current understanding to
 identify the knowledge gaps and future research priorities. Sci. Total Environ. 586, 127–
 141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.190
- Jang, M., Shim, W.J., Han, G.M., Rani, M., Song, Y.K., Hong, S.H., 2016. Styrofoam Debris
 as a Source of Hazardous Additives for Marine Organisms. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50,
 4951–4960. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b05485
- Kelly, P.S., McSweeney, S., Coleman, O., Carillo, S., Henry, M., Chandran, D., Kellett, A.,
 Bones, J., Clynes, M., Meleady, P., Barron, N., 2016. Process-relevant concentrations
 of the leachable bDtBPP impact negatively on CHO cell production characteristics.
 Biotechnol. Prog. 32, 1547–1558. https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2345
- Lebreton, L., Egger, M., Slat, B., 2019. A global mass budget for positively buoyant
 macroplastic debris in the ocean. Sci. Rep. 9, 12922. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598019-49413-5
- Lebreton, L., Slat, B., Ferrari, F., Sainte-Rose, B., Aitken, J., Marthouse, R., Hajbane, S.,
 Cunsolo, S., Schwarz, A., Levivier, A., Noble, K., Debeljak, P., Maral, H., SchoeneichArgent, R., Brambini, R., Reisser, J., 2018. Evidence that the Great Pacific Garbage
 Patch is rapidly accumulating plastic. Sci. Rep. 8, 4666. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598018-22939-w
- Lenz, R., Enders, K., Nielsen, T.G., 2016. Microplastic exposure studies should be
 environmentally realistic. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, E4121.
 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606615113
- Liu, R., Mabury, S.A., 2018. Unexpectedly High Concentrations of a Newly Identified
 Organophosphate Ester, Tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl) Phosphate, in Indoor Dust from
 Canada. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 9677–9683. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b03061
- Lusher, A., 2015. Microplastics in the Marine Environment: Distribution, Interactions and
 Effects, in: Bergmann, M., Gutow, L., Klages, M. (Eds.), Marine Anthropogenic Litter.
 Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 245–307.
- Marcato, B., Guerra, S., Vianello, M., Scalia, S., 2003. Migration of antioxidant additives from
 various polyolefinic plastics into oleaginous vehicles. Int. J. Pharm. 257, 217–225.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-5173(03)00143-1
- Net, S., Delmont, A., Sempéré, R., Paluselli, A., Ouddane, B., 2015. Reliable quantification of
 phthalates in environmental matrices (air, water, sludge, sediment and soil): A review.
 Sci. Total Environ. 515–516, 162–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.02.013
- Oehlmann, J., Schulte-Oehlmann, U., Kloas, W., Jagnytsch, O., Lutz, I., Kusk, K.O.,
 Wollenberger, L., Santos, E.M., Paull, G.C., Van Look, K.J.W., Tyler, C.R., 2009. A

362 critical analysis of the biological impacts of plasticizers on wildlife. Philos. Trans. R.
363 Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 364, 2047–2062. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0242

- PlasticsEurope, 2019. Plastics the Facts 2019: An analysis of European plastics production,
 demand and waste data. [WWW Document]. URL
 https://www.plasticseurope.org/application/files/1115/7236/4388/FINAL_web_versio
 n_Plastics_the_facts2019_14102019.pdf (accessed 3.30.20).
- R Core Team, 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria
 [WWW Document]. URL http://www.R-project.org (accessed 4.15.20).
- Rani, M., Shim, W.J., Han, G.M., Jang, M., Al-Odaini, N.A., Song, Y.K., Hong, S.H., 2015.
 Qualitative Analysis of Additives in Plastic Marine Debris and Its New Products. Arch.
 Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 69, 352–366. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-015-0224-x
- Rani, M., Shim, W.J., Han, G.M., Jang, M., Song, Y.K., Hong, S.H., 2017. Benzotriazole-type
 ultraviolet stabilizers and antioxidants in plastic marine debris and their new products.
 Sci. Total Environ. 579, 745–754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.033
- 376 RStudio Team, 2016. . RStudio Integr. Dev. Environ. R. URL https://rstudio.com/ (accessed
 377 4.15.20).
- Shah, R.R., Linville, T.W., Whynot, A.D., Brazel, C.S., 2016. Evaluating the toxicity of
 bDtBPP on CHO-K1 cells for testing of single-use bioprocessing systems considering
 media selection, cell culture volume, mixing, and exposure duration. Biotechnol. Prog.
 32, 1318–1323. https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2322
- Soto, A.M., Justicia, H., Wray, J.W., Sonnenschein, C., 1991. p-Nonyl-phenol: an estrogenic
 xenobiotic released from "modified" polystyrene. Environ. Health Perspect. 92, 167–
 173. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.9192167
- Suhrhoff, T.J., Scholz-Böttcher, B.M., 2016. Qualitative impact of salinity, UV radiation and
 turbulence on leaching of organic plastic additives from four common plastics A lab
 experiment. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 102, 84–94.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.054
- Tanaka, K., Takada, H., Yamashita, R., Mizukawa, K., Fukuwaka, M., Watanuki, Y., 2015.
 Facilitated Leaching of Additive-Derived PBDEs from Plastic by Seabirds' Stomach
 Oil and Accumulation in Tissues. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 11799–11807.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01376
- Tanaka, K., Takada, H., Yamashita, R., Mizukawa, K., Fukuwaka, M., Watanuki, Y., 2013.
 Accumulation of plastic-derived chemicals in tissues of seabirds ingesting marine
 plastics. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 69, 219–222.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.12.010
- 397 Tanaka, K., van Franeker, J.A., Deguchi, T., Takada, H., 2019. Piece-by-piece analysis of 398 additives and manufacturing byproducts in plastics ingested by seabirds: Implication for 399 Pollut. 145. risk of exposure to seabirds. Mar. Bull. 36-41. 400 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.05.028
- 401 Tanaka, Y., Takahashi, K., Sasao, T., Kirigaya, T., Hosoi, S., Nagaoka, N., Kawamura, T.,
 402 Nakazawa, H., 2003. Detection of tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite (Irgafos 168,
 403 antioxidant in plastics) and its oxide in commercial frozen vegetables. Shokuhin
 404 Eiseigaku Zasshi 44, 181–5.
- Venier, M., Stubbings, W.A., Guo, J., Romanak, K., Nguyen, L.V., Jantunen, L., Melymuk, L.,
 Arrandale, V., Diamond, M.L., Hites, R.A., 2018. Tri(2,4-di-t-butylphenyl) Phosphate:
 A Previously Unrecognized, Abundant, Ubiquitous Pollutant in the Built and Natural
 Environment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 12997–13003.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b02939

- Wu, Y., Venier, M., Hites, R.A., 2019. Identification of Unusual Antioxidants in the Natural
 and Built Environments. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 6, 443–447.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.9b00415
- Yang, Y., Hu, C., Zhong, H., Chen, X., Chen, R., Yam, K.L., 2016. Effects of Ultraviolet (UV)
 on Degradation of Irgafos 168 and Migration of Its Degradation Products from
 Polypropylene Films. J. Agric. Food Chem. 64, 7866–7873.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.6b03018
- 417 Ye, X., Zhou, X., Hennings, R., Kramer, J., Calafat, A.M., 2013. Potential external 418 contamination with bisphenol A and other ubiquitous organic environmental chemicals 419 during biomonitoring analysis: an elusive laboratory challenge. Environ. Health 420 Perspect. 121, 283–286. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1206093
- Zimmermann, L., Dierkes, G., Ternes, T.A., Völker, C., Wagner, M., 2019. Benchmarking the
 in Vitro Toxicity and Chemical Composition of Plastic Consumer Products. Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 53, 11467–11477. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02293
- 424

426 **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

- 427 Ludovic HERMABESSIERE: Conceptualization; Investigation; Formal analysis;
- 428 Visualization; Writing Original Draft; Writing Review and Editing
- 429 Justine RECEVEUR: Investigation
- 430 Charlotte HIMBER: Resources; Investigation
- 431 David MAZURAIS: Conceptualization Writing Review and Editing
- 432 Arnaud HUVET: Conceptualization; Writing Review and Editing
- 433 Fabienne LAGARDE: Conceptualization; Writing Review and Editing;
- 434 Christophe LAMBERT: Conceptualization; Writing Review and Editing
- 435 Ika PAUL-PONT: Conceptualization; Writing Review and Editing
- 436 Alexandre DEHAUT: Conceptualization; Resources; Investigation; Visualization; Writing -
- 437 Review and Editing
- 438 Ronan JEZEQUEL: Investigation; Conceptualization; Writing Review and Editing
- 439 Philippe SOUDANT: Conceptualization; Writing Review and Editing; Supervision
- 440 Guillaume DUFLOS: Conceptualization; Resources, Writing Review and Editing;
- 441 Supervision

442 Figure captions

- 443 Figure 1: Name, CAS number and structure of transformation product of Irgafos® 168 obtained
- 444 after oxidation and hydrolysis. Schematic drawing adapted from (Liu and Mabury, 2018; Yang
- 445 et al., 2016)
- 446 Figure 2: Irgafos® 168 concentration (in ng/mg of matrix) on its reduced (tris(2,4-di-tert-
- 447 butylphenyl)phosphite) and oxidized (tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphate) form in caps (A)
- 448 bottles (B) and powders (C) of used laboratory reagents to create in vitro digestive models.
- 449 Results are expressed as mean (n=3) + 2 Standard Error. n.d: not detected; LW: Laboratory
- 450 water. *: p < 0.05 and ***: p < 0.001 using t test.
- 451





455

456 **Figure 2**



459 Graphical abstract