Impact of nutrient availability on the trophic strategies of the planktonic protist communities in a disturbed Mediterranean coastal lagoon

Leruste Amandine ^{1, 2}, Garrido Marie ³, Malet Nathalie ⁴, Bec Beatrice ², De Wit Rutger ², Cecchi Philippe ^{2, 5}, Pasqualini Vanina ¹, *

¹ UMR SPE CNRS/UMS Stella Mare CNRS, Université de Corse, 20250, Corte, France

² MARBEC, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, Montpellier, France

³ Environmental Agency of Corsica, 7 Avenue Jean Nicoli, 20250, Corte, France

⁴ Ifremer, Laboratoire Environnement Ressources Provence-Azur-Corse (LER/PAC), Station de Bastia,

Z.I. Furiani, Immeuble Agostini, 20600, Bastia, France

⁵ Centre de Recherches Océanologiques, CRO, Abidjan, Ivory Coast

* Corresponding author : Vanina Pasqualini, email address : pasqualini_v@univ-corse.fr

Abstract :

The impact of changes in nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) availability on the trophic strategies of planktonic protists was evaluated in a disturbed Mediterranean Iagoon (Biguglia Iagoon, France) using short-term bioassays. Natural communities were collected in three periods, i.e., autumn, spring and summer, to address the influence of the different environmental conditions. The responses of autotrophic plankton communities to experimentally induced N and/or P limitations were assessed as changes in chlorophyll a (ChI a) concentrations and in the abundances of potentially mixotrophic protists taxa. We observed blooms (>105 cells I-1) of nanoflagellates in autumn, and of phycocyanin-rich picocyanobacteria in summer. Communities showed a co-limitation by N and P at the three sampling periods, despite high N:P ratios in autumn and spring. The high abundances of potentially mixotrophic pathways for their maintenance in the Iagoon. After bioassay incubations using different nutrient enrichment treatments, we often observed reduced abundances of mixotrophic protists containing ChI a with a concomitant increased abundance of protists without ChI a. This indicates a loss of chloroplasts and photoautotrophic abilities in protists cells, possibly reflecting a shift towards heterotrophy that could be sustained by phagotrophy.

Keywords : Growth rate, Dinoflagellates, Nutrient limitation, Mixotrophy

36 Introduction

37

38 In the last few decades, eutrophication upon nutrient over-enrichment has dramatically 39 altered the functioning of many coastal waters (Boesch, 2002; Cloern, 2001). Coastal lagoons 40 are particularly vulnerable to this threat due to their confinement from the marine water that 41 leads to reduced water turnover and nutrient accumulation. De-eutrophication or re-42 oligotrophication of these semi-enclosed ecosystems by reducing nutrient over-enrichment is a 43 challenging issue for managers and scientific communities because eutrophication alters the 44 services provided by these ecosystems. Indeed, nutrient over-enrichment may result in 45 significant environmental disturbances (e.g. hypoxia and harmful algal blooms) that cause mass 46 mortality in the whole food web.

47 To fully understand the impact of coastal lagoon eutrophication and de-eutrophication, 48 the effect of nutrient availability must be evaluated at the different scales of the trophic web, 49 particularly autotrophic plankton that quickly responds to nutrient availability changes and 50 constitutes the basis of food webs (Boesch, 2002; Schramm, 1999). For instance, reduction of 51 inorganic nitrogen (N) and/or phosphorus (P) load could lead to drastic changes in the 52 community composition, in favor of organisms that can functionally adapt and thrive despite 53 the increasing nutrient limitation. In this respect, strictly autotrophic plankton and potentially 54 mixotrophic protists need to be considered together. Mixotrophic protists, also known as 55 mixoplankton, contain chloroplasts with chlorophyll a (Chl a) and can be autotrophic when 56 performing photosynthesis and heterotrophic by phagotrophy (Mitra et al., 2016). Several 57 mixotrophic protists possess their own chloroplasts, while others are heterotrophic protists that 58 have the capacity to acquire chloroplasts from phototrophic preys (Mitra et al., 2016). Some 59 mixotrophic protists can thus lose chloroplasts and Chl a and become operational heterotrophic organisms. We use the term "potential mixotroph protists" to include all i) Chl a-containing 60

61 protists belonging to species with known heterotrophic capacities, and ii) heterotrophic protists 62 of species known to be capable of hosting a chloroplast and of autotrophy (Flynn et al., 2018; 63 Mitra et al., 2016). Mixotrophic organisms have been reported to be more successful than strict 64 heterotrophic or strict autotrophic species in coastal water ecosystems under nutrient-limiting 65 conditions, and where increased runoff of nutrients and organic matter promotes high N:P ratios 66 (Leles et al., 2018). Blooms of potentially harmful algal species with mixotrophic abilities have 67 been increasingly observed in several coastal lagoons following the reduction of nutrients 68 inputs (Collos et al., 2009; Leruste et al., 2016; Yamamoto, 2003). This community 69 composition modification can lead to changes in the interactions between nutrient stocks and 70 the different organisms in the community, and between organisms, particularly concerning 71 competition and predation (Flynn and Mitra, 2009). The overall structure and dynamics of food 72 webs are greatly affected by these changes that can alter the ecosystem functioning, for example 73 through the occurrence of mixotrophic harmful algal blooms (Burkholder et al., 2008; Leles et 74 al., 2018; Yamamoto, 2003).

75 For decades, Biguglia lagoon, the largest coastal lagoon in Corsica (France), has been 76 experiencing an important eutrophication process, mainly linked to the development of 77 agricultural activities on its watershed. This degradation intensified since the seventies, with 78 the densification of human populations due to the increasing urbanization of the whole Biguglia 79 catchment and also summer tourism. Significant changes in the composition of the primary 80 producer communities have been documented, particularly a net reduction of the aquatic 81 angiosperm cover (Pasqualini et al., 2017). Since 2009, hydrological management interventions 82 have been implemented to increase water fluxes and reduce the confinement of Biguglia lagoon. 83 Nevertheless, nutrient input must be reduced to strengthen these management efforts and to 84 support the lagoon restoration (Pasqualini et al., 2017). To plan future actions for improving 85 the lagoon ecological state, it is important to understand how the protist communities might 86 physiologically and behaviorally respond to nutrient limitation. Indeed, in Biguglia lagoon, 87 blooms of potentially mixotrophic dinoflagellates have been increasingly observed after the 88 modification of the lagoon hydrology in 2009 (Cecchi et al., 2016; Garrido et al., 2016; Leruste 89 et al., 2019b). The environmental causes of these blooms need to be identified to avoid 90 management actions that might lead to potentially harmful algal blooms produced by 91 mixotrophic dinoflagellates species, such as *Prorocentrum cordatum* (Ostenfeld) J.D. Dodge, 92 1975, formerly known as *Prorocentrum minimum* (Pavillard, 1916) J. Schiller, 1933.

93 Therefore, the aim of this study in the Biguglia coastal lagoon (Corsica) was to 94 investigate the potential impact of changes in N and P availability on the trophic responses of 95 planktonic protist communities, focusing on strictly autotrophic and potentially mixotrophic 96 species. We focused on two particular objectives: (i) determining whether the local 97 communities preferentially used N or P resources (internal, external and regenerated pools) to 98 thrive in conditions of nutrient limitation; (ii) testing whether experimentally induced N and/or 99 P limitation promotes the use of mixotrophic strategies by protists, particularly dinoflagellates. 100 We hypothesized that co-limitation by N and P induced a development of potentially 101 mixotrophic dinoflagellates suggesting the involvement of alternative trophic pathways for 102 their maintenance in the lagoon. The key questions to be addressed are: (i) do planktonic protist 103 communities vary among seasons? (ii) are there variations of trophic strategies for N or P 104 resources among seasons? (iii) do these mixotrophic strategies play a significant role in the 105 development of potentially harmful bloom in the lagoons?

106

- 108 Materials and Methods
- 109
- 110 Study site

Biguglia lagoon (42°36'N; 9°28'E) is a shallow brackish coastal lagoon (14.5 km², 111 112 average depth 1.2 m), separated from the Tyrrhenian Sea by a sandy beach barrier (Fig. 1). This 113 choked lagoon (sensu Kjerfve, 1994) is connected to the sea by a long, narrow and shallow 114 natural inlet at the north end (1.5 km). The inlet morphology and its natural inclination to silt 115 up limit the marine water input and lead to a long water residence time ranging from several 116 days near the sea inlet to several weeks or months in the southern basin (Mouillot et al., 2000; 117 Pasqualini et al., 2017). Freshwater inputs (mainly from sewage plants, several rivers, and 118 pumping stations draining the agricultural plain) dominate the water budget of Biguglia lagoon 119 (Fig. 1). These inputs are directly controlled by the inter-annual and inter-seasonal climatic 120 variability and they drastically shape the lagoon salinity. Salinity steeply decreases from the 121 North to the South of the lagoon, because of the artificial freshwater inputs from the Golo River 122 that is connected to the lagoon through the Fossone canal in the South (Garrido et al., 2016). Consequently, Biguglia lagoon displays a highly variable hydrological functioning which 123 124 affects salinity and nutrient inputs, directly influencing the phytoplankton community structure 125 and composition (Garrido et al., 2016; Lafabrie et al., 2013). This seasonal variability generally 126 determines three hydrological periods characterized by differences in nutrient origin and 127 availability, and in phytoplankton biomass, size class structure and photosynthetic performance 128 (Cecchi et al., 2016; Garrido et al., 2016).

Since the 1980s, increasing nutrient inputs from the watershed has gradually eutrophicated Biguglia lagoon. This anthropogenic pressure is especially high during the touristic summer period (Lafabrie et al., 2013). The lagoon sometimes presents higher nutrient concentrations in the water column (NH₄, NO₂, NO₃, DIN, Si, TN) compared with other Mediterranean lagoons (Orsoni et al., 2001; Souchu et al., 2010). This phenomenon is enhanced by the reduced exchanges with the sea. Compared with other Mediterranean coastal lagoons, the sediment compartment displays a silting with high nutrient concentrations (total nitrogen and total phosphorus) and organic matter content that reflect the lagoon eutrophication (Souchu
et al., 2010). Moreover, the southern basin of the lagoon is more eutrophicated than the northern
basin (Garrido et al., 2016).

139

140 Sampling procedures

141 Water samples were collected in two stations representatives of the northern (NB) and 142 the southern basins (SB) (Fig. 1, 42°38'12"N, 9°27'15"E and 42°35'00"N, 9°29'18"E, 143 respectively). Experiments for two stations were carried out in autumn 2013 (26/27 November 144 and 4/5 December), spring 2014 (2/3 and 7/8 April), and summer 2014 (9/10 and 11/12 145 September). At each sampling station, sub-surface salinity, temperature, turbidity and 146 percentage of dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured with a multi-parameter Water Quality 147 Probe (YSI® 6600 V2-2). At each station, 70 L of water were sampled at sub-surface (20 cm 148 depth) and kept in the dark. All samples were pre-filtered through a 1000 µm mesh to remove 149 larger debris but not the zooplankton and larger phytoplankton cells (Collos et al., 2005). At 150 the laboratory, water samples were immediately stored at -20°C after homogenization. 151 Sampling time for filtration and storage was within one hour. Measures of NH4⁺, PO₄³⁻, NO₃⁻, 152 NO_2^- , TN, and TP concentrations (μ M) were performed on duplicates of 80 mL previously 153 filtered (0.7 µm) with Whatman GF/F glass fiber filters (Aminot and Chaussepied, 1983). For 154 calculating the Redfield ratio (DIN:DIP), DIN values corresponded to the sum of the 155 concentrations of the different dissolved inorganic nitrogen forms (NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻), and 156 DIP values corresponded to the concentration of dissolved reactive inorganic phosphorus (PO₄³⁻ 157).

158

159 Autotrophic plankton biomass and community composition

160 Chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) concentration (μ g L⁻¹) was used as a proxy for the 161 photoautotrophic plankton biomass (Neveux and Lantoine, 1993). Size fractioning of water 162 samples with nylon filter meshes allowed estimating the biomasses of micro- (>20 µm), nano-163 (between 5 and 20 µm in size), and ultraphytoplankton (< 5 µm in size) according to the 164 protocol described in Leruste et al. (2019b).

165 The taxonomic composition of the phytoplankton communities was analyzed by optical 166 microscopy for cells $>5 \mu$ m, and by flow cytometry for cells $<5 \mu$ m, as described by Leruste et 167 al. (2018). Identification of the phytoplankton communities was done using a Zeiss Axiolab 168 microscope, at x400 or x600 depending on phytoplankton cell size, after sedimentation 169 (Utermöhl, 1958). At least 200 cells per sample were counted to obtain a relevant assessment 170 of the assemblage. Taxonomic resolution was realized at species level whenever possible 171 (Bourrelly, 1990; Tomas, 1997a, b; Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999; Loir, 2004; Bellinger and 172 Sigee, 2015), and taxonomy was verified using several databases such as the World Register of 173 Marine Species (http://www.marinespecies.org/, databases available online). Abundances of 174 picocyanobacteria, autotrophic picoeukaryotes, and ultraphytoplankton individuals were 175 estimated using a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton–Dickinson), fitted with a 15 mW argon 176 laser (488 nm excitation). For sample processing, the sheath fluid was prepared from filtered 177 (pore size 0.2 µm) artificial seawater (NaCl) whose salinity was adjusted to that of the samples 178 (±2 units) in order to avoid alterations of refractive indices of the cells and changes in the 179 measured Forward Side Scatter. Two protocols have been used depending on cell size. Sample 180 acquisition was done at a flow rate of 25 to 30 µL min⁻¹. Samples were diluted when events 181 reached 1000 s⁻¹. The two eukaryotic groups were distinguished on the basis of optical 182 properties including FSC, related to cell size, and red fluorescence emissions (FL3), a proxy 183 for Chl a-content. Among picocyanobacteria, phycoerythrin-rich and phycocyanin-rich populations were identified and distinguished by their orange and/or red fluorescence emissions
using beads for size calibration, but they were not identified at a more precise taxonomic level.

186

187 Experimental procedure to induce and evaluate phytoplankton nutrient limitation

188 At the three sampling periods, dilution experiments using the «all minus one» technique 189 and dilution experiments with a full enrichment (FE) were performed to assess the physiological 190 N and P limitation of autotrophic plankton communities in Biguglia lagoon (Andersen et al., 191 1991; Landry et al., 1998). For a detailed description of the protocol, see Leruste et al. (2019b). 192 For each sample, three series of five dilutions (9, 17, 43, 74 and 100%) were prepared by 193 dilution with water sampled at the site and filtered on 0.2 µm. The different dilutions were then 194 incubated in different enrichment conditions, according to Andersen et al. (1991). The FE condition consisted of adding DIN and PO_4^{3-} at final concentrations of 20 μ M and 0.8 μ M, 195 196 respectively. For each of the five dilutions, the first series was incubated with the FE, the second 197 with FE minus N (-N), and the third with FE minus P (-P). Duplicates were performed for the 198 different treatments, *i.e.* FE, -N and –P, and in triplicate for the water sampled at the beginning 199 of the experiment (see section 'Trophic mode of potentially mixotrophic taxa' hereafter). N was 200 supplied as nitrate and/or ammonium, depending on the season. For the April 2014 samples, N 201 was supplied as nitrate (20 µM final concentration), on the basis of the assumption that nitrate 202 inputs from watershed leaching represented the main N source in that period. For the September 203 2014 samples, N was supplied as ammonium (20 µM final concentration), assuming that this 204 should have been the predominant form provided by the sediments as an internal source related 205 to the remineralization of organic matter (Collos et al., 2003; Cecchi et al., 2016). For the 206 November-December 2013 samples, N was supplied in both forms (10 µM each) because in 207 this period, temperatures can be sufficiently high to allow ammonium regeneration from the 208 sediments. Moreover, flash floods could bring nitrates from the watershed (Cecchi et al., 2016). All samples, including two bottles without enrichment (WE) for each sample, were incubated simultaneously in Biguglia lagoon (*in situ* temperature and light conditions) at 30 cm depth for 24h. In the end, 32 bottles of 1 L are used, *i.e.* 30 bottles for the dilutions with enrichment and 2 bottles for the control (100% water sample without enrichment).

213 After 24 h incubation, the changes of total and size-fractionated Chl a in each bottle 214 were used to calculate the apparent growth rate k(x) of autotrophic plankton at each dilution x. 215 The relationship between the apparent growth rate and the dilution factor x allowed calculating 216 the maximal growth rate μ_{max} and the mortality rate g. All rates were expressed on a per day 217 basis (d⁻¹). In -N and -P treatments, the mean growth rates μ_{-N} and μ_{-P} were estimated as follows: 218 $\mu_{-N} = g + k_{-N}$ and $\mu_{-P} = g + k_{-P}$. (Andersen et al., 1991). The g: μ_{max} ratio gave indications about 219 the potential biomass transfer to higher trophic levels $(g: \mu > 1)$ and about biomass accumulation 220 $(g: \mu < 1)$ (Calbet and Landry, 2004).

221

222 Trophic mode of potentially mixotrophic taxa

223 The trophic mode of taxa that could be mixotrophic according to the literature was 224 investigated at the two stations and for the three periods before and after incubation in the 225 different treatments (WE, FE, -N and -P) (undiluted samples). The analysis focused on 226 dinoflagellates, because most autotrophic dinoflagellates display phagocytic activity, and on 227 autotrophic Euglenophyceae that are commonly observed in eutrophicated brackish waters and 228 benefit from the high amounts of organic matter through their phagocytic activities (Stoecker, 229 1999; Willey et al., 1988). Triplicates of 250 mL of water samples fixed in glutaraldehyde 230 (0.4% final concentration) and one sample per bottle at T24 (two bottles per treatment) were 231 stored at 4°C in the dark before analysis. Epifluorescence microscopy was used to identify cells 232 showing Chl a red fluorescence and to distinguish strictly heterotrophic organisms from those 233 capable of autotrophy at sampling time (Leruste et al., 2018; Seoane et al., 2011). More precisely for nanoflagellates, samples were stained with DAPI (Di Aminido Phenyl Indol) for fifteen minutes in the dark and counted under an epifluorescence microscope. For dinoflagellates, samples were counted directly under an optical microscope with or without light filter.

238

239 Type of limitation

240 The "all minus one" experiment targeted the type of resource limitation of the total 241 phytoplankton and of the three size classes at the two stations and for the three periods. These 242 limitations were described using interaction plots representing the phytoplankton communities' 243 response (biomass increase) to factorial addition of N and P resources, with one line 244 representing N addition (without enrichment – enrichment minus P), the other representing P 245 addition (enrichment minus N – full enrichment) (Harpole et al., 2011). The Y-axis represents 246 the biomass responses to the factorial addition of N and/or P relative to the bottles without 247 enrichment. The trends of these plots allow hypothesizing about the co-limitation type 248 (simultaneous, independent, serial and synergistic limitation), the negative response, and the 249 absence of response to nutrient addition (Harpole et al., 2011).

250

251 Contributing nutrient resources under experimentally-induced N and P limitations

Three potential nutrient sources were considered for phytoplankton growth during experimentally-induced nutrient limitations: (1) external source, including the nutrients dissolved in the water at the beginning of incubation; (2) internal nutrient pools present in cells at the start of incubation; (3) nutrients supplied by recycling through grazing, such as excretion, egestion and 'sloppy feeding' (*i.e.*, release of organic matter during physical phytoplankton cell breakage) (Andersen et al., 1991). In this study, their relative contributions to the biomass production during incubation were estimated using Eq. (2):

259
$$\exp(k(x)t) - 1 = K_I + K_R x + K_E x^{-1}$$
 (2)

260 Where k(x) is the apparent phytoplankton growth rate at dilution x, and K_E , K_I , and K_R are the 261 potential production coefficients of the three different nutrient pools. These coefficients 262 represent the relative yields of external, internal and remineralized nutrients, respectively. The values of K_E , K_I , and K_R were then obtained by multiple linear regression, with x and x^{-1} as 263 264 independent variables and exp (k(x) t) - 1 as the dependent variable. Equations were fitted with 265 the "lmer" function of the "lme4" library (Bates et al., 2015), and model selection was based 266 on parsimony using the small-sample corrected Akaike's information criterion (Burnham and 267 Anderson, 2004) and the 'dredge' function of the MuMIn package (Bartón, 2013). We used 268 equation models proposed in the cited literature and modelized them on R. We used the MuMin 269 package for model selection by AICc (see Leruste et al., 2019a).

270

271 Statistical analysis and interpretation

272 Statistical analyses were performed using R (R Core Team, 2013). The effects of the 273 four treatments on Chl a concentrations and the abundances of potentially mixotrophic taxa 274 were assessed using parametric or non-parametric analyses of variance according to the data. 275 Parametric multifactorial variance analyses using 'anova.lm' function (Chambers, 1992) were 276 assessed when data fulfilled the conditions of application (normal distribution, 277 homoscedasticity and independence of residuals). When significant effects were observed, 278 Tukey posthoc tests using 'TukeyHSD' function were used to determine significant differences 279 in pairwise comparisons. If conditions of application were not fulfilled, logarithm 280 transformation were tested, and then in last option, non-parametric variance analyses were 281 assessed using 'kruskal test' and posthoc 'kruskalmc' test of the 'pgirmess' package 282 (Giraudoux, 2013).

283	As detailed below, 'lmer' function from the 'lme4' library (version 1.1-10, Bates et al.,
284	2015), 'dredge' function of the 'MuMIn' package (Bartón, 2013) were used to explore the
285	growth rate and limiting nutrient characteristics of the studied communities.
286	N and P consumptions were estimated by the percentage of variation of their
287	concentration between the beginning and the end of the 24h incubation (WE, FE, -N and -P).

- 288
- 289
- 290 Results
- 291

292 Environmental variables and autotrophic plankton community composition

293 The environmental conditions and nutrient concentrations measured before the dilution 294 experiments (T0) were very different according to the sampling period (autumn, spring and 295 summer). Salinity was more variable at the northern station (NB) (from 2 in spring to 10.9 in summer) than at the southern station (SB) (from 6 in spring and summer, to 6.1 in autumn). The 296 297 dissolved oxygen (DO) percentage was always more elevated at NB (from 97.0% in summer to 298 113% in spring) than at SB (from 86.2% in summer to 101.5% in autumn). Conversely, turbidity 299 was lower at NB (from 0.8 in spring to 2.9 in autumn) than at SB (from 4.6 in spring to 16.8 in 300 autumn). Temperature was lowest in autumn (8°C) and highest in summer (average 25°C).

Nutrient concentrations and phytoplankton biomasses in the water column at T0 showed the highest PO_4^{3-} values in autumn at both stations (Table 1). The ratios of dissolved inorganic nitrogen to dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIN:DIP ratios) were much higher than the Redfield ratio (*i.e.*, 16:1) in samples collected in autumn and spring (the two wet seasons), while they were lower in summer (Table 1). These elevated DIN:DIP ratios were caused by high NO_3^{-1} concentrations. Chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) concentrations ranged from 3.6 and 5.8 µg l⁻¹ at both 307 stations for the three sampling periods, except at SB in autumn when it peaked at 20.6 μ g l⁻¹ 308 (Table 1).

The percentages of micro-, nano- and ultraphytoplankton biomasses are presented in Table 1, and the taxonomic composition of the phytoplankton communities in the two stations at the three sampling periods is summarized in Figure 2. Ultraphytoplankton represented the highest biomass fraction at both stations and at all sampling times (until 88.0% at SB in summer). The proportions of micro- and nanophytoplankton were highest at NB in autumn and at SB in spring (Table 1).

315 In autumn, nanophytoplankton represented the highest proportion of the total biomass 316 (39.8%) at NB. This was caused by a bloom of Dictyochophyceae Apedinella radians 317 (Lohmann) P.H. Campbell, 1973 (1.3 x 10⁶ cells.L⁻¹, representing 100% of the 318 Dictyochophyceae abundance) and Dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum cordatum* (2.9 x 10⁵ cells.L⁻¹, 319 representing 87.6% of the Dinoflagellates abundance) (Fig. 2). At SB, the community was dominated by a bloom of Dinoflagellate Heterocapsa minima Pomroy, 1989 (5.1 x 10⁶ cells.L⁻ 320 321 ¹, representing 98.2% of the Dinoflagellates abundance), but also showed high abundance of 322 Dictyochophyceae Apedinella radians (4.8 x 10^6 cells.L⁻¹, representing 99.9% of the 323 Dictyochophyceae abundance) and of the ciliate Mesodinium rubrum Lohmann, 1908 (2.3 x 10⁵ cells.L⁻¹). Picoeukaryotes and Cryptophyceae were also abundant (Fig. 2). In spring, the 324 325 community at NB was dominated by dinoflagellates with a bloom of H. minima (3.7 x 10^6 326 cells.L⁻¹, representing 97.7% of the Dinoflagellates abundance), and by diatoms (3.1×10^6) 327 cells.L⁻¹) (Fig. 2). Community in SB was dominated by a bloom of phycocyanin-rich picocyanobacteria (PC-picocyanobacteria) and picoeukaryotes (6.4 x 10⁷ cells.L⁻¹ and 5.6 x 10⁷ 328 cells.L⁻¹, respectively) (Fig. 2). *M. rubrum* was also abundant at this station (1.6 x 10^5 cells.L⁻¹ 329 330 ¹). In summer, ultraphytoplankton was dominant (more than 80% of the total biomass at both

- 331 stations) due to a bloom of PC-picocyanobacteria (9.4 x 10^8 cells.L⁻¹ in NB, 3.0 x 10^8 cells.L⁻¹
- at SB) and picoeukaryotes (6.5 x 10^7 cells.L⁻¹ in NB, 1.7 x 10^7 cells.L⁻¹ at SB) (Fig. 2).

At T0, potentially mixotrophic protists containing Chl *a* (+Chl *a* protists) were significantly more abundant than those without Chl *a* (-Chl *a* protists) in the communities of both stations at all sampling dates (Fig. 3). The abundances of the two size classes varied significantly between stations and sampling seasons (two-ways ANOVA, *p*-value <0.05).

337 At all sampling dates and at both stations, +Chl a protists between 10 and 20 µm in size 338 were mainly represented by H. minima, P. cordatum, and Heterocapsa niei (Loeblich III) 339 Morrill & Loeblich III, 1981 (Fig. 3A). Blooms of H. minima occurred at SB at the three sampling periods (from 1.1 x 10^5 cells.L⁻¹ in spring to 3.7 x 10^6 cells.L⁻¹ in autumn) and at NB 340 in spring (2.2 x 10^6 cells.L⁻¹), associated with high abundance of *H. niei* (1.9 x 10^5 cells.L⁻¹). 341 At NB in autumn and summer, protists >10 μ m in size were dominated by *P. cordatum* (1.7 x 342 10^5 cells.L⁻¹ and 9.4 x 10^4 cells.L⁻¹, respectively). At SB, the highest abundances and 343 344 proportions of +Chl $a > 20 \mu m$ in size in autumn and spring were caused by *M. rubrum* blooms 345 (Fig. 3C). In summer, +Chl a protists >20 μ m in size included mainly Gymnodinium sp., 346 Gonyaulax sp., and M. rubrum at both stations (Fig. 3C).

In both size classes, -Chl *a* protists were low in numbers, and even not detected in spring
at NB (Fig. 3B-D). *H. minima* and *P. cordatum* (10-20 µm size fraction) and *Gyrodinium* sp.
and *Gymnodinium sanguineum* (>20 µm size fraction) were dominant in autumn and spring
(Fig. 3B-D). In summer, *Gymnodinium* sp. was dominant at both fractions (Fig. 3B-D).

351

352 Nutrient enrichment/limitation bioassays: abundance of potentially mixotrophic protists

The changes in total Chl *a* concentration in undiluted water samples from the two stations after 24 hours of incubation (T24) with the four treatments (without enrichment, WE; full enrichment, FE; enrichment without N, -N; and enrichment without P, -P) are presented in Fig. 4 as percent changes, i.e. 100^* (Chl a_{T24} - Chl a_{T0})/Chl a_{T0} .

357 The final added concentration of DIN was 20 µM, with different compositions used in 358 the three different seasons assuming a better simulation of the conditions prevailing during the 359 season (*i.e.*, 10/10, 20/0 and 0/20 of NO₃-/NH₄⁺ for autumn, spring and summer, respectively; 360 see Methods). The FE enrichment did not always induce an increase of Chl a concentration 361 between T0 and T24 (Fig. 4). In autumn, Chl a concentration was reduced by 32% at NB and 362 by 23% at SB at T24 (Fig. 4A). In spring, Chl *a* concentration significantly increased by 33% 363 at NB, while it decreased by 10% at SB (Fig. 4B). Conversely, in summer, Chl a concentration 364 significantly increased by more than three times at NB and four times at SB (non-parametric 365 ANOVAs and post-hoc Kruskal test, *p*-value <0.05) (Fig. 4C).

In the –P enrichment, Chl *a* concentration at SB decreased after the 24 h incubation in autumn and spring, whereas at NB it increased in autumn (by 12%) and decreased in spring (non-parametric ANOVA, p-value <0.05) (Fig. 4A-B). In summer, Chl *a* concentration increased by 150% at NB and by 350% at SB, respectively.

Analysis of the abundance variations of potentially mixotrophic protists > 10 μ m in size (+Chl a and -Chl a) between T0 and T24 (Fig. 5) revealed that after 24 hours, +Chl a protists between 10 and 20 μ m in size were generally more abundant than the larger +Chl a protists (> 20 μ m). This occurred in all treatments (WE, FE, -N, and -P) at both stations and all sampling times, except at SB in spring where +Chl *a* > 20 μ m outnumbered smaller organisms in the FE treatment.

376 In autumn at NB, only the abundance of +Chl *a* protists >20 μ m in size was significantly 377 reduced by 61% in the -P enrichment (two-ways ANOVA, *p*-value <0.05, Fig. 5A). However, 378 abundance variations were very high in all incubation conditions and at all seasons. Moreover, 379 in the WE condition, the abundances of -Chl *a* protists between 10 and 20 μ m in size were reduced by 81%, and those >20 μ m in size by 50% (Fig. 5A). At SB, the abundance of +Chl *a* protists (both size classes) was significantly decreased after the incubation with the WE treatment (from 38% for the 10 to 20 μ m in size to 68% for the >20 μ m in size) (Fig. 5A). Conversely, the abundance of -Chl *a* protists between 10 and 20 μ m in size significantly increased in the WE, -N and -P enrichments (two-ways ANOVA, *p*-value <0.05) (Fig. 5A). Specifically, after incubation with the –P treatment, abundance of -Chl *a* protists between 10 and 20 μ m in size increased by 13550% and those >20 μ m in size by 50% (Fig. 5A).

387 In spring, the abundance of +Chl *a* protists between 10 and 20 μ m in size at NB 388 significantly decreased after 24h incubation with FE, -N and -P (two-ways ANOVA, p-value 389 <0.05) (Fig. 5B). Moreover, -Chl *a* protists (both size classes) appeared after 24h incubation 390 with the four treatments. At SB, the abundance of -Chl a and +Chl a protists >20 μ m in size 391 did not significantly change after 24h incubation (all conditions), whereas abundance of +Chl 392 a protists between 10 and 20 µm in size decreased upon incubation with the four treatments. 393 Abundance of -Chl a protists between 10 and 20 µm in size decreased with the WE and FE 394 treatments whereas it increased with -N and -P treatments (Fig. 5B).

395 In summer, protists abundance at NB was not significantly affected by any of the four 396 experimental treatments (Fig. 5C), although +Chl a protists between 10 and 20 µm in size 397 increased by 12% (WE) and by 47% (-N), while -Chl a protists between 10 and 20 µm in size 398 decreased by 50% in the FE enrichment. At SB, the abundance of +Chl a protists between 10 399 and 20 µm in size significantly increased by 151% with the FE and by 141% with the -P 400 treatment (two-ways ANOVA, p-value <0.05) (Fig. 5C). The abundance of -Chl a protists 401 between 10 and 20 µm in size increased by 744% with the WE treatment, but this change was 402 not significant (Fig. 5C).

403

404 Nutrient enrichment/limitation bioassays: trophic strategy

405 Comparison of Chl *a* concentrations with and without enrichment highlighted different 406 responses e.g. single N or P-limitation, negative response, absence of response, or differential 407 co-limitation by N and P. Analysis of the average responses to factorial addition of N and/or P 408 (Fig. 6) confirmed that incubation with the FE (with N and P) did not always lead to an increase 409 of the phytoplankton biomass compared with the enrichments without N and/or P. For example, 410 for the bioassay performed at NB in autumn, the total phytoplankton and the micro- and 411 ultraphytoplankton biomasses were reduced upon exposure to FE compared with the absence 412 of enrichment (Fig. 6A). As several 24h incubations led to biomass loss and to negative growth 413 rates, we decided to focus only on the positive responses.

414 In autumn, only the size classes containing high abundances of potentially mixotrophic 415 species showed positive growth rates with the four treatments. Specifically, at NB, 416 nanophytoplankton displayed the highest growth rates upon incubation with FE, -N, and -P 417 compared with WE, indicating a sub-additive, independent co-limitation by N and P (Fig. 6A). 418 At SB, the growth of total phytoplankton, micro- and nanophytoplankton was stimulated by all 419 four conditions. Conversely, ultraphytoplankton lost biomass in the WE treatment, leading to 420 the inability to calculate a positive μ_0 and suggesting a strong nutrient limitation. For total phytoplankton and nanophytoplankton, the interaction plots highlighted a single N-limitation 421 422 (Fig. 6A), whereas for ultraphytoplankton the higher growth rates with -N and -P compared 423 with FE indicated an independent co-limitation by N and P (Fig. 6A).

In spring, at NB, only nanophytoplankton that contained high abundances of potentially mixotrophic *H. minima* dinoflagellates showed a positive response in the four treatments, particularly with -N rather than WE, and with FE and -P, indicating a single P limitation (Fig. 6B). For the total phytoplankton and the microphytoplankton fraction, the three enrichments allowed a release of the growth limitation observed without enrichment ($\mu_0 < 0$). Total phytoplankton also showed a single P limitation, while microphytoplankton displayed

independent co-limitation by N and P (Fig. 6B). At SB, total phytoplankton showed a single Nlimitation, while nanophytoplankton was simultaneously co-limited by N and P, and
ultraphytoplankton displayed a sub-additive independent co-limitation by N and P (Fig. 6B).

433 In summer, the responses of the phytoplankton communities to the different enrichments 434 indicated a strong nutrient limitation at both stations. At NB, total phytoplankton and 435 nanophytoplankton displayed a super-additive independent co-limitation by N and P, while 436 microphytoplankton and ultraphytoplankton showed a serial limitation by N and P (Fig. 6C). 437 At SB, total phytoplankton showed an additive independent co-limitation by N and P. As the 438 microphytoplankton biomass at T0 was too low to be estimated, its growth rate and limitation 439 could not be calculated. Nanophytoplankton displayed a serial limitation by N and P, and 440 ultraphytoplankton was simultaneously co-limited by N and P.

Analysis of the potential biomass production supported by internal, external and recycled N and P pools reflects the percentage of their use by total phytoplankton. The three size classes showed that overall, phytoplankton in Biguglia lagoon mainly used internal N and P resources to cope with the experimentally-induced limitations during the three periods (negative coefficients were not taken into account; absence of bars in Fig. 7).

In autumn, phytoplankton at NB mainly used recycled N resources in conditions of N
limitation. However, micro- and nanophytoplankton mainly used internal N resources (Fig. 7).
At SB, phytoplankton used recycled and internal N pools, whereas the three fractions mainly
used internal N resources (Fig. 7). In P-limiting conditions, phytoplankton at NB used all three
P pools to cope with the P-limitation, while micro- and ultraphytoplankton only used recycled
P and nanophytoplankton its internal P resources (Fig. 7). At SB, each of the three size classes
of phytoplankton used internal P resources to cope with P limitation (Fig. 7).

In spring, phytoplankton and the different fractions at both stations only used internal N
resources to cope with N limitation (Fig. 7). To cope with P limitation, total phytoplankton and

nanophytoplankton at NB mainly used their internal P resources, while microphytoplankton
relied on recycled and external P resources (90% and 10%, respectively) (Fig. 7). At SB, total
phytoplankton and nanophytoplankton mainly used internal P resources to cope with P
limitation, and ultraphytoplankton external P pools (Fig. 7).

In summer, to cope with N limitation, total, nano- and ultraphytoplankton at NB mainly used the internal and external N pools, while microphytoplankton only used internal N resources (Fig. 7). At SB, total and ultraphytoplankton only used external N pools, and nanophytoplankton only internal N resources. To cope with P limitation, total, micro- and ultraphytoplankton at NB relied on internal N pools, and nanophytoplankton on all three pools (55% of internal, and 22% of recycled and external pools) (Fig. 7). At SB, total phytoplankton only used the internal P pool to cope with the experimentally-induced P limitation.

466

467

468 **Discussion**

469

Mediterranean coastal lagoons display a high diversity of ecosystem functioning, directly linked to the intrinsic seasonal variability and to anthropogenic pressures. These pressures affect the delivery of freshwater discharge and nutrient load that influence the composition and activity of planktonic primary producers (Paerl et al., 2014). Our experimental study focused on the functional responses of autotrophic plankton communities to nutrient availability changes, and on their adaptive strategies in adverse conditions.

In autumn, despite the high concentrations of dissolved inorganic nutrients (especially
NO₃⁻), autotrophic planktonic protists showed an independent co-limitation by N and P.
However, because of the unbalanced N:P ratios (much higher than the Redfield ratio) caused
by the elevated DIN concentration we expected to a strong P limitation. Different reasons might

480 explain the unexpected co-limitation. First, the ambient nutrient N:P ratio does not necessarily 481 reflect the actual concentration of available nutrients, because plankton communities may have 482 already consumed part of these nutrients (Leruste et al., 2016). Second, communities are 483 composed of multiple species with different resource requirements, and specific adaptations to 484 limiting resources. The Redfield ratio is a generalization and many species have different N:P 485 requirements. This niche differentiation can lead to species limited by different nutrients, 486 causing a N and P co-limitation for the total community (Burson et al., 2016; Harpole et al., 487 2011). Moreover, as many factors affects nutrient limitation, subtle changes in nutrient supply, 488 community composition, and biogeochemical cycling can modify the nutrient availability and 489 thus the nutrient limitation (Paerl et al., 2014).

490 To cope with limiting resources, autotrophic plankton species can adjust their strategy 491 of nutrient acquisition and uptake by using different pools of resources. Many planktonic 492 groups (e.g., diatoms and dinoflagellates) can use their internal N and P reserves in response to 493 nutrient depletion (Andersen et al., 1991). Indeed, the bioassay results for samples collected in 494 autumn showed that internal N and P were the main resources used by autotrophic plankton of 495 Biguglia lagoon. Moreover, dinoflagellates and flagellates, including potentially mixotrophic 496 species, dominated the communities of the two stations. In addition, only the size classes 497 containing high abundances of potentially mixotrophic species (*i.e.*, nanophytoplankton at NB, 498 micro- and nanophytoplankton at SB) showed positive growth rates after incubation with the 499 four treatments.

The decreased abundance of potentially mixotrophic +Chl *a* protists, especially at SB station, and the increase of -Chl *a* protists highlight that several cells lost their Chl *a* content during the bioassay. This suggests that incubation with the four treatments strongly affected the health of these cells that lost their photosynthetic abilities. Alternatively, limiting conditions could have induced phagotrophy rather than photosynthesis in *H. minima* and *P. cordatum*. 505 Indeed, N and P limiting conditions can induce mixotrophy in some dinoflagellates species 506 (Johnson, 2015). Moreover, in the Seto Inland Sea of Japan, an increase of the TN:TP ratio 507 promoted blooms of potentially mixotrophic dinoflagellates, such as Alexandrium tamarense 508 and *Gymnodinium catenatum* that used dissolved organic P to cope with the increasing P 509 limitation (Yamamoto, 2003). Therefore, in our study, cells that lost Chl a could have obtained 510 their nutrients from ingesting organic forms or preys. The absence of use of external or recycled 511 nutrient observed during experimentally induced N and P limitations supports this hypothesis, 512 and could explain the observed co-limitation by N and P rather than by P alone (Burson et al., 513 2016). In the presence of unbalanced N:P ratios, potentially mixotrophic species might 514 outcompete strict autotrophic cells, although the latter have higher growth rate (Mitra et al., 515 2016). This could also explain the occurrence of dinoflagellate blooms, although 516 nanoflagellates (e.g., A. radians) and picoeukaryotes present in the community have higher 517 growth rates and higher affinity for dissolved inorganic nutrient uptake (Reynolds, 2006).

518 The Chl a concentration decrease after 24h incubation observed in almost all treatments 519 suggests a strong limitation of autotrophic planktonic protist communities, and a strong 520 predation on these autotrophic organisms. The g: μ_{max} ratio can be used as a proxy of primary 521 production consumed by species of higher trophic levels (Fig. 8) (Calbet and Landry, 2004). In 522 autumn, its value indicated a transfer of biomass to higher trophic levels ($g:\mu_{max} > 1$) at both 523 stations. This high consumption of primary production may also corroborate the hypothesis of 524 the importance of the phagotrophic abilities of mixotrophic dinoflagellates species. Indeed, at 525 both stations, nanophytoplankton rich in H. minima and P. cordatum (two potentially 526 mixotrophic species) displayed $g:\mu_{max}$ ratios < 1, indicating that this fraction accumulated 527 biomass after incubation with FE. We could hypothesize that they used their phagotrophic 528 abilities because this size class did not rely on the external and recycled N and P pools.

529 In spring, the community composition was different at the two stations, with a 530 dominance of nanoplanktonic dinoflagellates and diatoms at NB and of PC-picocyanobacteria 531 at SB. This difference of community composition could reflect contrasting environmental 532 characteristics between the two sub-basins, such as freshwater inputs (Leruste et al., 2019b). 533 Their functional responses to the nutrient availability also were different. The single P 534 limitation of the total community and of the nanophytoplankton fraction at NB was coherent 535 with the high N:P ratio observed. This result could be explained by (i) the strong affinity of 536 diatoms for nitrate that was the main N form at the sampling time, and (ii) the potential use of 537 phagotrophy or osmotrophy by *H. minima* rather than strict photoautotrophy. As observed in 538 autumn, this hypothesis could also explain the decreased abundance of potentially mixotrophic 539 + Chl a protists during the bioassay, suggesting that the limited number of these less 540 competitive taxa, compared for example with diatoms, might have promoted the use of organic nutrient resources. The $g:\mu_{max}$ ratio for this period showed a difference between 541 542 ultraphytoplankton (mainly picoeukaryotes at NB and PC-picocyanobacteria at SB) that were 543 consumed by higher trophic level species ($g:\mu_{max} > 1$), and nanophytoplankton that accumulated 544 biomass $(g:\mu_{max} < 1)$ (Fig. 8). This also supports the mixotrophy of nanophytoplankton that 545 probably grazed on ultraphytoplankton (Garrido et al., 2016).

546 In spring at SB and in summer at both stations, communities were largely dominated by 547 blooming PC-picocyanobacteria (more than 10⁸ cells.L⁻¹). The bloom of PC-picocyanobacteria 548 during summer suggests that internal nutrient loading from the sediments may play a critical 549 role in cyanobacterial bloom development (Glibert et al., 2010). In the SB community in spring, 550 only PC-picocyanobacteria used external P resources under the P-limiting conditions reflecting 551 their strong P uptake efficiency. This may explain why this community was co-limited by N and P or limited only by N rather that by P. Moreover, the ratio $g:\mu_{max} < 1$ for ultraphytoplankton 552 at both stations indicates that NH₄⁺ and PO₄³⁻ enrichments led to biomass accumulation despite 553

the potential high grazing pressure on this class size (Collos et al., 2009; Śliwińska-Wilczewska et al., 2018) (Fig. 8). The dense bloom of PC-picocyanobacteria reduced oxygen availability, increased water turbidity, and coincided with high abundances of *Gonyaulax sp.* (10^4 cells.L⁻¹) at both stations. As several mixotrophic species graze on the cyanobacterium *Synechococcus sp.*, PC-picocyanobacteria blooms may have directly benefited to mixotrophic species that could cope with the increased light limitation and nutrient depletion by consuming PCpicocyanobacteria to sustain their carbon requirement (Collos et al., 2009; Flynn et al., 2018).

561 Mixotrophy represents a metabolic duality that is difficult to characterize due to its 562 complexity. However, recent studies have proposed to categorize mixotrophs in constitutive 563 mixotrophs (CMs) with stable plastids, and non-constitutive mixotrophs (NCMs) that lack 564 plastids but can host endosymbiotic algae or steal plastids from their preys. As in our 565 experiments, all observed morphotypes and taxa included at least one fraction of +Chl a cells, 566 they might be classified as strict photo-autotrophic organisms (PAs), or as CMs. They could 567 also correspond to one of the NCM categories (generalist, plastid specialist, or endosymbiotic 568 specialist) if they found enough prevs to keep operating plastids during the 24h incubation. 569 However, our results do not allow classifying them with certainty. For example, even species 570 that always contained Chl a could not be strictly classified as PAs or CMs. For instance, M. 571 rubrum and Dinophysis sp. cells all contained Chl a, but they correspond to plastid specialized 572 NCMs (Mitra et al., 2016). Moreover, in several taxa, such as *H. minima*, some cells lost their 573 Chl a content during the incubation. These taxa (with + and -Chl a cells) could correspond to 574 NCMs. Nevertheless, this is, to the best of our knowledge, the first report showing the potential mixotrophic behavior of H. minima, although this adapting trophic regime has been observed 575 576 for other species of this genus (Leles et al., 2019; Millette et al., 2017). Defining the kind of 577 mixotrophy of these organisms is fundamental because this has important implications for the 578 whole community behavior. Indeed, each group displays different interactions and dynamics in

the trophic food web. These contrasting mixotrophic strategies imply different ecological impacts through their need or removal of preys, and their competitiveness according to the resource availability. For example, belonging to the CM group would imply a higher competitiveness compared with NCMs, and the potential ability to cause important blooms in favorable conditions (Mitra et al., 2016).

584

585

586 Conclusions

587 One-time bioassays at three different seasons gave only a snapshot of the phytoplankton 588 responses to nutrient availability in Biguglia lagoon. Nevertheless, they validated our 589 hypothesis stated in the introduction, namely that co-limitation by N and P induced a 590 development of potentially mixotrophic dinoflagellates, suggesting the involvement of 591 alternative trophic pathways for their maintenance in the lagoon. We document (i) that the 592 diversity of planktonic protist communities and (ii) that the use strategies for N or P resources 593 varied among seasons, and (iii) that these mixotrophic strategies play a significant role in the 594 development of potentially harmful bloom in the lagoons.

595 Our experiments have increased knowledge about the seasonal variability of these 596 responses. We particularly highlighted two bloom types that have adverse effects on Biguglia 597 lagoon health. The bloom of PC-picocyanobacteria during summer indicate that internal 598 nutrient loading from the sediments play a critical role in cyanobacterial bloom development in 599 Biguglia lagoon. This emphasizes the importance of reducing nutrient stocks to prevent blooms 600 of potentially harmful cyanobacteria and other species, such as mixotrophic species favored by 601 high prey abundance.

In autumn and spring, the high abundance of potentially mixotrophic dinoflagellatesbrings questions about the choice of ecological restoration measures to mitigate the risk of

604 potentially harmful bloom in these seasons. As mixotrophic species can use both inorganic and 605 organic nutrient resources, a reduction of these nutrients is necessary. However, a reduction of 606 prey abundance can further favor mixotrophic and potentially harmful species that benefit from 607 the increase of inorganic nutrient limitation to outcompete the strict photo-autotrophic and 608 phago-heterotrophic species.

This study raises many questions that need closer consideration. Our results suggest that the abundance of mixotrophic dinoflagellate species is increasing in Biguglia lagoon due to several synergistic factors, such as unbalanced N:P ratio due to high N inputs, internal nutrient stocks, and the seasonal presence of high prey abundance. Therefore, we need to identify the driver(s) of mixotrophy for these species, and their relationship with organic nutrient stocks and their potential preys. Identifying the mixotroph category of the observed taxa is also essential, because their role in the ecosystem functioning would also be different.

616

617

618 Acknowledgments Amandine Leruste was supported in 2018 by a Postdoctoral fellowship of 619 the University of Corsica Pasquale Paoli (SPE CNRS 6134 Laboratory and UMS Stella Mare) 620 and the Collectivity of Corsica, and from 2013 to 2016 by a PhD fellowship of the Doctoral 621 School at the University of Montpellier. This work has been financed by Biguglia lagoon nature 622 reserve, the Collectivity of Corsica and the University of Corsica Pasquale Paoli, that authors 623 are grateful for their cooperation. This work was (co) funded by the Labex DRIIHM, French 624 programme "Investissements d'Avenir" (ANR-11-LABX-0010) which is managed by the 625 ANR. We thank the Ifremer station of Bastia and the UMS Stella Mare for technical support 626 and help during the experiments. Thanks are extended to everyone who participated in the 627 experiments. Authors also thank the Microbex platform of the MARBEC UMR that provided 628 microscopy and imaging equipment, and particularly Cécile Roques that provided technical

629 support for microscopic analyses. All authors have approved the final article.

631 **References**

- Aminot, A. & M.C. Chaussepied, 1983. Manuel des analyses chimiques en milieu marin. Brest:CNEXO.
- Andersen, T., A. Schartau & E. Paasche, 1991. Quantifying external and internal nitrogen and
- 635 phosphorus pools, as well as nitrogen and phosphorus supplied through remineralization, in
- 636 coastal marine plankton by means of a dilution technique. Marine Ecology Progress Series
- 637 69: 67–80. doi:10.3354/meps069067.
- Bartón, K. & M. Mu, 2013. In: Multi-modal inference. Model selection and model averaging
 based on information criteria (AICc and alike). Httpcranr-Proj.
- 640 Bates, D., M. Mächler, B. Bolker & S. Walker, 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
- 641 Using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01.
- Bellinger, E.G. & D.C. Sigee, 2015. Freshwater algae: identification, enumeration and use as
 bioindicators. John Wiley & Sons Inc, Hoboken.
- 644 Bérard-Therriault, L., M. Poulin & L. Bossé, 1999. Guide d'identification du phytoplancton
 645 marin de l'estuaire et du golfe du Saint-Laurent incluant certains protozoaires. Canadian
- 646 Special Publication of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 128. NRC Research Press.
- 647 Bourrelly, P., 1990. Algues vertes : algues d'eau douce. Tome 1. Boubée.
- 648 Boesch, D.F., 2002. Challenges and opportunities for science in reducing nutrient over-
- enrichment of coastal ecosystems. Estuaries 25: 886–900. doi:10.1007/BF02804914.
- 650 Burkholder, J.M., P.M. Glibert & H.M. Skelton, 2008. Mixotrophy, a major mode of nutrition
- 651 for harmful algal species in eutrophic waters. Harmful Algae 8: 77–93.
 652 doi:10.1016/j.hal.2008.08.010.
- 653 Burnham, K.P. & D.R. Anderson, 2004. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference. New York,
- 654 NY: Springer New York.
- Burson, A, M. Stomp, L. Akil, C.P.D. Brussaard & J. Huisman, 2016. Unbalanced reduction of

- nutrient loads has created an offshore gradient from phosphorus to nitrogen limitation in the
- 657 North Sea. Limnology and Oceanography 61: 869–888. doi:10.1002/lno.10257.
- Calbet, A & M.R. Landry, 2004. Phytoplankton growth, microzooplankton grazing, and carbon
 cycling in marine systems. Limnology and Oceanography 49: 51–57.
- 660 Cecchi, P., M. Garrido, Y. Collos & V. Pasqualini, 2016. Water flux management and
 661 phytoplankton communities in a Mediterranean coastal lagoon. Part II: Mixotrophy of
 662 dinoflagellates as an adaptive strategy? Marine Pollution Bulletin 108: 120-133.
 663 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.04.041.
- 664 Chambers, J.M., 1992. Linear models. Chapter 4 of Statistical Models in S eds J.M. Chambers
 665 and T.J. Hastie, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole.
- 666 Cloern, J.E., 2001. Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem.
 667 Marine Ecology Progress Series 210: 223-253. doi:10.3354/meps210223.
- Collos, Y., B. Bec, C. Jauzein, E. Abadie, T. Laugier, J. Lautier, A. Pastoureaud, P. Souchu &
 A. Vaquer, 2009. Oligotrophication and emergence of picocyanobacteria and a toxic
 dinoflagellate in Thau lagoon, southern France. Journal of Sea Research 61: 68–75.
 doi:10.1016/j.seares.2008.05.008.
- 672 Collos, Y., J. Husseini-Ratrema, B. Bec, A. Vaquer, T.L. Hoai, C. Rougier, V. Pons, P. & P. 673 Souchu, 2005. Pheopigment dynamics, zooplankton grazing rates and the autumnal 674 ammonium peak Mediterranean lagoon. Hydrobiologia in a 550: 83-93. 675 doi:10.1007/s10750-005-4365-1.
- 676 Collos, Y., A. Vaquer, B. Bibent, P. Souchu, G. Slawyk & N. Garcia, 2003. Response of coastal
 677 phytoplankton to ammonium and nitrate pulses: seasonal variations of nitrogen uptake and
- 678 regeneration. Aquatic Ecology 37: 227–236. doi:10.1023/A:1025881323812.
- 679 Flynn, K.J. & A. Mitra, 2009. Building the "perfect beast": modelling mixotrophic plankton.
- Journal of Plankton Research 31: 965–992. doi:10.1093/plankt/fbp044.

- Flynn, K.J., A Mitra, P.M. Glibert & J.M. Burkholder, 2018. Mixotrophy in Harmful Algal
 Blooms: By Whom, on Whom, When, Why, and What Next. In: Glibert P.M., Berdalet E.,
 Burford M.A., Pitcher G.C., Zhou M., editors. Global Ecology and Oceanography. Harmful
 Algal Blooms, Cham: Springer International Publishing: pp 113–132. doi:10.1007/978-3-
- 685 319-70069-4_7.
- 686 Garrido, M., P. Cecchi, Y. Collos, S. Agostini & V. Pasqualini, 2016. Water flux management
- and phytoplankton communities in a Mediterranean coastal lagoon. Part I: How to promote
 dinoflagellate dominance? Marine Pollution Bulletin 104: 139–152.
 doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.01.049.
- 690 Giraudoux, P., 2013. Pgirmess: data analysis in ecology. R package version 1.5.
- Glibert, Boyer, J.N., Heil, C., Madden, C.J., Sturgis, B. & Wazniak, C.S., 2010. Coastal
 Lagoons: Critical Habitats of Environmental Change. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Harpole, W.S., J.T. Ngai, E.E. Cleland, E.W. Seabloom, E.T. Borer, M.E.S. Bracken, J.J. Elser,
- D.S. Gruner, H. Hillebrand, J.B. Shurin & J.E. Smith, 2011. Nutrient co-limitation of
 primary producer communities. Ecology Letters 14: 852–862. doi:10.1111/j.14610248.2011.01651.x.
- Johnson, M.D., 2015. Inducible Mixotrophy in the Dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum minimum*.
 Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiololy 62: 431–443. doi:10.1111/jeu.12198.
- 699 Lafabrie, C., M. Garrido, C. Leboulanger, P. Cecchi, G. Grégori, V. Pasqualini & O. Pringault,
- 2013. Impact of contaminated-sediment resuspension on phytoplankton in the Biguglia
- 701 lagoon (Corsica, Mediterranean Sea). Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Sciences 130: 70–80.
 702 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.06.025.
- 703 Landry, M.R., S.L. Brown, L. Campbell, J. Constantinou & H. Liu, 1998. Spatial patterns in
- phytoplankton growth and microzooplankton grazing in the Arabian Sea during monsoon
- forcing. Deep-Sea Research part II 45: 2353–2368. doi:10.1016/s0967-0645(98)00074-5.

- Leles, S.G., L. Polimene, J. Bruggeman, J. Blackford, S. Ciavatta, A. Mitra & K.J. Flynn, 2018.
 Modelling mixotrophic functional diversity and implications for ecosystem function. Journal
 of Plankton Research 40(6): 627–642. doi:10.1093/plankt/fby044.
- Leruste, A, N. Malet, D. Munaron, V. Derolez, E. Hatey, Y. Collos, R. De Wit & B. Bec, 2016.
- First steps of ecological restoration in Mediterranean lagoons: Shifts in phytoplankton
 communities. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Sciences 180: 190–203.
 doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2016.06.029.
- Leruste, A., S. Villéger, N. Malet, R. De Wit & B. Bec, 2018. Complementarity of the
 multidimensional functional and the taxonomic approaches to study phytoplankton
 communities in three Mediterranean coastal lagoons of different trophic status.
 Hydrobiologia 815: 207-227. doi:10.1007/s10750-018-3565-4.
- Leruste, A., F. Guilhaumon, R. De Wit, N. Malet, Y. Collos & B. Bec, 2019a. Phytoplankton
 strategies to exploit nutrients in coastal lagoons with different eutrophication status and
 involved in a re-oligotrophication process. Aquatic Microbial Ecology 83: 131–146. doi:
 10.3354/ame01906.
- Leruste, A, V. Pasqualini, M. Garrido, N. Malet, R. De Wit & B. Bec, 2019b. Physiological
 and behavioral responses of phytoplankton communities to nutrient availability in a
 disturbed Mediterranean coastal lagoon. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 219: 176–188.
- doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2019.02.014.
- Loir, M., 2004. Guide des diatomées : Plus de 200 micro-algues silicieuses photographiées.
 Delachaux & Niestlé, Paris.
- 727 Millette, N.C., J.J. Pierson, A. Aceves & D.K. Stoecker, 2017. Mixotrophy in *Heterocapsa*
- *rotundata*: A mechanism for dominating the winter phytoplankton. Limnology and
 Oceanography 62: 836–845. doi:10.1002/lno.10470.
- 730 Mitra, A., K.J. Flynn, U. Tillmann, J.A. Raven, D. Caron, D.K. Stoecker, F. Not, P.J. Hansen,

- 731 G. Hallegraeff, R. Sanders, S. Wilken, G. McManus, M. Johnson, P. Pitta, S. Våge, T. Berge,
- A. Calbet, F. Thingstad, H.J. Jeong, J. Burkholder, P.M. Glibert, E. Graneli & V. Lundgren,
- 2016. Defining Planktonic Protist Functional Groups on Mechanisms for Energy and
 Nutrient Acquisition: Incorporation of Diverse Mixotrophic Strategies. Protist 167: 106–
 120. doi:10.1016/j.protis.2016.01.003.
- Mouillot, D., A. Titeux, C. Migon, V. Sandroni, J.P. Frodello & D. Viale, 2000. Anthropogenic
 influences on a mediterranean Nature Reserve: modelling and forecasting. Environ
 Modelling Assessment 5: 185–192. doi:10.1023/A:1011533811237.
- 739 Neveux J. & F. Lantoine, 1993. Spectrofluorometric assay of chlorophylls and phaeopigments
- vising the least squares approximation technique. Deep Sea Research Part I 40: 1747–1765.
- 741 doi:10.1016/0967-0637(93)90030-7.
- 742 Orsoni, V., P. Souchu & D. Sauzade, 2001. Caractérisation de l'état d'eutrophisation des trois
 743 principaux étangs corses (Biguglia, Diana et Urbino), et proposition de renforcement de leur
 744 surveillance. Rapport final, Ifremer.
- Paerl, H.W., N.S. Hall, B.L. Peierls & K.L. Rossignol, 2014. Evolving Paradigms and
 Challenges in Estuarine and Coastal Eutrophication Dynamics in a Culturally and
 Climatically Stressed World. Estuaries Coasts 37: 243–258. doi:10.1007/s12237-014-9773x.
- Pasqualini, V., V. Derolez, M. Garrido, V. Orsoni, Y. Baldi, S. Etourneau, V. Leoni, P.
 Rébillout, T. Laugier, P. Souchu & N. Malet, 2017. Spatiotemporal dynamics of submerged
 macrophyte status and watershed exploitation in a Mediterranean coastal lagoon:
 Understanding critical factors in ecosystem degradation and restoration. Ecological
 Engineering 102: 1–14. doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.01.027.
- 754 R Core Team, 2013. A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
- 755 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/.

- Reynolds, C.S., 2006. Ecology of phytoplankton. Cambridge; New York: CambridgeUniversity Press.
- Schramm, W., 1999. Factors influencing seaweed responses to eutrophication: some results
 from EU-project EUMAC. Journal of Applied Phycology 11: 69–78.
 doi:10.1023/A:1008076026792.
- Seoane, S., M. Garmendia, M. Revilla, A. Borja, J. Franco, E. Orive & V. Valencia, 2011.
 Phytoplankton pigments and epifluorescence microscopy as tools for ecological status
 assessment in coastal and estuarine waters, within the Water Framework Directive. Marine
 Pollution Bulletin 62: 1484–1497. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.04.010.
- Śliwińska-Wilczewska, S., J. Maculewicz, A. Barreiro Felpeto & A. Latała, 2018. Allelopathic
 and Bloom-Forming Picocyanobacteria in a Changing World. Toxins 10(1): 48.
 doi:10.3390/toxins10010048.
- 768 Souchu, P., B. Bec, V.H. Smith, T. Laugier, A. Fiandrino, L. Benau, V. Orsoni, Y. Collos, &
- A. Vaquer, 2010. Patterns in nutrient limitation and chlorophyll a along an anthropogenic
 eutrophication gradient in French Mediterranean coastal lagoons. Canadian Journal of Fish
- 771 Aquatic Sciences 67: 743–753. doi:10.1139/F10-018.
- Stoecker, D.K., 1999. Mixotrophy among dinoflagellates. Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology
 46: 397–401. doi:10.1111/j.1550-7408.1999.tb04619.x.
- Tomas, C.R., 1997a. Identifying marine diatoms and dinoflagellates. Marine Geology 3–4:
 320–321.
- Tomas, C.R., 1997b. Identifying Marine Phytoplankton. Academic Press, Cambridge.
- 777 Utermöhl, H., 1958. Zur Vervollkommnung der quantitativen Phytoplankton-Methodik.
- 778 Mitteilungen Internationale Vereinigung fuer Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie779 9: 1–38.
- 780 Willey, R.L., P.L. Walne, P. Kivic & D.J. Patterson, 1988. Phagotrophy and the origins of the

- 781 euglenoid flagellates. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 7: 303–340.
 782 doi:10.1080/07352688809382268.
- 783 Yamamoto, T., 2003. The Seto Inland Sea eutrophic or oligotrophic? Marine Pollution
- 784 Bulletin 47: 37–42. doi:10.1016/S0025-326X(02)00416-2.

Fig. 1. Location of the two stations representatives of the Northern Basin (NB) and the SouthernBasin (SB) of Biguglia lagoon.

789

Fig. 2. Relative percentage of the main phytoplankton groups in the two stations (NB and SB)
at the three sampling periods. The total abundance (cell.L⁻¹) is specified on the top.

792

Fig. 3. Abundances of the main potentially mixotrophic protists that contain Chl *a* (+Chl *a*) in the 10 to 20 μ m size class (A) and the >20 μ m size class (C), or without Chl *a* (-Chl *a*) in the 10 to 20 μ m size class (B) and the >20 μ m size class (D) at the NB and SB stations of Biguglia lagoon and for the three periods (autumn 2013, spring and summer 2014). Note differences in scales among the four panels.

798

Fig. 4. Percentages of increase (positive values) or decrease (negative values) of Chl *a* concentrations during 24-h of *in situ* incubation in bottles $(100*(Chl a_{T24}-Chl a_{T0})/Chl a_{T0})$: without enrichment (WE), full enrichment (FE), enrichment without N (-N), enrichment without P (-P) at the NB and SB stations, respectively, of Biguglia lagoon. Panels represent experimental results for autumn 2013 (A), spring 2014 (B), and summer 2014 (C), respectively. Note differences in scales among the three panels.

805

Fig. 5. Abundances of potentially mixotrophic protists containing Chl a (+Chl a, light grey bars) or without Chl a (-Chl a, black bars) in the 10 to 20 µm size class (left panels) and in the 808 >20 µm size class (right panels) at the NB and SB stations, respectively, of Biguglia lagoon. 809 Results for the different experiments are represented for autumn 2013 (Top panels, A), spring 810 2014 (Middle panels, B), and summer 2014 (Bottom panels C). Different notations along 811 abscissa relate to before the start of the incubation start at T0 (T0), and at T24 i.e. after 24h 812 incubation respectively for without enrichment (WE), full enrichment (FE), enrichment without 813 N (-N) and enrichment without P (-P). Asterisks indicate a significant difference of the value 814 with respect to T0 (grey asterisks, abundance differences for +Chl a protists; black asterisks, 815 abundance difference for -Chl *a* protists). Note differences in scales among the six panels.

816

817 Fig. 6. Interaction plots describing the responses of all phytoplankton (total water sample), 818 micro-, nano- and ultraphytoplankton to factorial addition of enrichment with N and/or P at the 819 two NB and SB stations of Biguglia lagoon in (A) autumn 2013, (B) spring, and (C) summer 820 2014. Dashed line represents N addition (without enrichment – enrichment minus P), the solid 821 line represents P addition (enrichment minus N - full enrichment). The Y-axis represents the 822 biomass responses to the factorial addition of N and/or P relative to the bottles without 823 enrichment. Trends allow hypothesizing about the co-limitation type (simultaneous, 824 independent, serial and synergistic limitation), the negative response, and the absence of 825 response to nutrient addition (Harpole et al., 2011). Note the scale differences.

826

Fig. 7. Estimated contribution of internal (black bars), external (light grey bars) and recycled (dark grey bars) N (left panels) and P (right panels) pools to the potential increments in Chl *a* stocks during the 24h incubation without N (left panels) or P (right panels) enrichment for the total phytoplankton (total) and the micro-, nano- and ultraphytoplankton at the two stations of Biguglia lagoon in autumn 2013, spring 2014 and summer 2014. Note the scale differences.

Fig. 8. Mortality rates (g) as a function of the maximum growth rates (μ max) of autotrophic planktonic communities at the NB and SB stations [total phytoplankton (t), micro- (m), nano-(n), and ultraphytoplankton (u)] in bioassays performed in autumn 2013, spring and summer 2014. The line indicates the g: μ_{max} ratio = 1.

















1 Table

Table 1. Nutrient concentrations, mean Chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) concentrations, and percentages
of the total Chl *a* concentrations represented by microphytoplankton >20 µm in size (Micro),
nanophytoplankton between 5 and 20 µm in size (Nano) and ultraphytoplankton <5 µm in size
(Ultra) in the two stations (NB and SB) of Biguglia lagoon for the three samplings. DIN:
Dissolved inorganic nitrogen, DIP: Dissolved inorganic phosphorus.

8

Date of sampling	Station	\mathbf{NH}_{4}^{+}	NO ₃ -	NO_2^-	PO4 ³⁻	DIN:DIP	$\operatorname{Chl} a \pm \operatorname{SD}$	Micro	Nano	Ultra
		(µM)					(µg <u>L</u>]-1)		(%)	
26/11/2013	NB	7.52	70.01	0.49	0.73	107.29	5.41 ± 0.26	26.5	39.8	33.7
04/12/2013	SB	0.69	40.72	0.29	0.64	65.52	20.60 ± 2.93	17.1	25.3	57.5
07/04/2014	NB	2.18	17.47	0.16	0.16	124.47	5.75 ± 0.29	15.9	14.6	69.5
02/04/2014	SB	1.85	93.22	0.30	0.00	-	5.06 ± 0.41	39.5	11.9	48.7
11/09/2014	NB	0.37	0.03	0.00	0.03	13.33	3.78 ± 0.06	7.5	9.2	83.3
09/09/2014	SB	1.24	0.11	0.09	0.11	13.06	3.62 ± 0.11	0.1	11.9	88.0

9