

## Bi-decadal variability in physico-biogeochemical characteristics of temperate coastal ecosystems: from large-scale to local drivers

Lheureux Arnaud <sup>1,\*</sup>, Savoye Nicolas <sup>1</sup>, Del Amo Yolanda <sup>1</sup>, Goberville Eric <sup>2</sup>, Bozec Yann <sup>3</sup>, Breton Elsa <sup>4</sup>, Conan Pascal <sup>5</sup>, L'Helguen Stephane <sup>6</sup>, Mousseau Laure <sup>7</sup>, Raimbault Patrick <sup>8</sup>, Rimelin-Maury Peggy <sup>9</sup>, Seuront Laurent <sup>4</sup>, Vuillemin Renaud <sup>10</sup>, Caparros Jocelyne <sup>5</sup>, Cariou Thierry <sup>11</sup>, Cordier Marie-Ange <sup>1,12</sup>, Corre Anne-Marie <sup>7</sup>, Costes Laurence <sup>1</sup>, Crispi Olivier <sup>5</sup>, Crouvoisier Muriel <sup>4</sup>, De Latour Hortense De Lary <sup>7</sup>, Derriennic Herve <sup>12</sup>, Devesa Jeremy <sup>6</sup>, Durozier Maia <sup>7</sup>, Ferreira Sophie <sup>13</sup>, Garcia Nicole <sup>8</sup>, Grossteffan Emilie <sup>9</sup>, Gueux Aurore <sup>5</sup>, Lafont Michel <sup>8</sup>, Lagadec Veronique <sup>8</sup>, Lecuyer Eric <sup>4</sup>, Leroux Cedric <sup>11</sup>, Mace Eric <sup>3</sup>, Maria Eric <sup>10</sup>, Mornet Line <sup>1</sup>, Nowaczyk Antoine <sup>1</sup>, Parra Michel <sup>1</sup>, Petit Franck <sup>7</sup>, David Valérie <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Univ Bordeaux, Stn Marine Arcachon, CNRS, UMR 5805,EPOC, F-33120 Arcachon, France.

<sup>2</sup> Univ Antilles, Univ Caen Normandie, Sorbonne Univ,CNRS,IRD, Unite Biol Organismes & Ecosyst Aquat BOREA,Museu, F-75231 Paris 05, France.

<sup>3</sup> UPMC Univ Paris 06, Sorbonne Univ, CNRS, UMR 7144,AD2M,Stn Biol Roscoff, F-29680 Roscoff, France.

<sup>4</sup> Univ Littoral Cote dOpale, Univ Lille, CNRS, UMR 8187,LOG, F-62930 Wimereux, France.

<sup>5</sup> UPMC Univ Paris 06, Sorbonne Univ, CNRS, UMR7621,LOMIC,Observ Oceanol, F-66650 Banyuls Sur Mer, France.

<sup>6</sup> Univ Bretagne Occidentale, IUEM, IFREMER, CNRS,IRD,UMR 6539,LEMAR, Rue Dumont dUrville, F-29280 Plouzane, France.

<sup>7</sup> UPMC Univ Paris 06, Observ Oceanol, CNRS, UMR 7093,LOV,Sorbonne Univ, F-06230 Villefranche Sur Mer, France.

<sup>8</sup> Univ Toulon & Var, Aix Marseille Univ, INSU, CNRS,IRD,UM 110,MIO, 163 Ave Luminy, F-13288 Marseille, France.

<sup>9</sup> Univ Bretagne Occidentale, OSU UMS 3113, IUEM, Rue Dumont dUrville, F-29280 Plouzane, France.

<sup>10</sup> UPMC Univ Paris 06, Observ Oceanol Banyuls Sur Mer, FR3724, Sorbonne Univ,CNRS, Ave Pierre Fabre, F-66650 Banyuls Sur Mer, France.

<sup>11</sup> UPMC Univ Paris 06, Stn Biol Roscoff, FR2424, CNRS,Sorbonne Univ, F-29680 Roscoff, France.

<sup>12</sup> Univ Bordeaux, CNRS, UMR 5805, EPOC, Allee Geoffroy St Hilaire, F-33600 Pessac, France.

<sup>13</sup> Univ Bordeaux, OASU, CNRS, UMS 2567,POREA, Allee Geoffroy St Hilaire, F-33600 Pessac, France.

\* Corresponding author : Arnaud Lheureux, email address : [arnaud.lheureux@u-bordeaux.fr](mailto:arnaud.lheureux@u-bordeaux.fr)

### Abstract :

Coastal marine ecosystems, which play a crucial role in the biogeochemical and ecological functioning of the Earth, are highly sensitive to the combined effects of climate and human activities. Because of their

---

location, coastal ecosystems are directly influenced by human activities, but it remains challenging to assess the spatial and temporal scales at which climate influences coastal ecosystems. We monitored 12 sampling stations, distributed in 8 ecosystems in France, over 2 decades for physico-biogeochemical parameters (temperature, salinity, concentrations of dissolved oxygen, nutrients and particulate material). The study encompasses a large diversity of temperate coastal ecosystems with respect to e.g. geomorphology, trophic status, tidal regime, river influence and turbidity. Time-series analysis coupled with standardised 3-mode principal component analyses, partial triadic analyses and correlations were used to assess bi-decadal variability and ecosystem trajectories, and to identify large-scale, regional and local drivers. Our results highlighted 2 abrupt changes in 2001 and 2005. The bi-decadal changes were related to changes in large-scale and regional climate, detected through proxies of temperature and atmospheric circulation, as well as through river discharge. Ecosystem trajectories tended to move towards an increase in temperature and salinity, and/or a decrease in chlorophyll a, nutrients and particulate matter. However, the magnitude of change, the year-to-year variability and the sensitivity to the 2001 and 2005 changes varied among the ecosystems. This study highlights the need for establishing long-term time series and combining data sets as well as undertaking multi-ecosystem and local studies to better understand the long-term variability of coastal ecosystems and its associated drivers.

**Keywords :** Long-term changes, Coastal ecosystems, Biogeochemistry, Climate change, Multivariate analysis, Monitoring programme

1

## 2 **1. Introduction**

3 Over the last decades, the rate and magnitude of changes in marine ecosystems  
4 have critically accelerated and coastal ecosystems — located at the interface  
5 between the ocean and the continent — are highly impacted by the combined effect  
6 of climate variability and direct anthropogenic pressures (Lima & Wetthey 2012,  
7 Halpern et al. 2015, Lu et al. 2018). While coastal ecosystems represent 7% of the  
8 Earth surface, they play key roles in ecosystems functioning and shelter more than  
9 50% of the marine biodiversity; they are also of high economic importance for the  
10 provisioning, regulating, habitat and cultural services that they provide to the human  
11 population (de Groot et al. 2012).

12

13 Over the twentieth century, the global nitrogen and phosphorus export from the  
14 continent has doubled (Beusen et al. 2016) as a consequence of human activities  
15 (Paerl 2009). Such changes in nutrient export have altered the global biogeochemical  
16 cycles with putative consequences on the increase — in rate and magnitude — of  
17 eutrophication episodes (Sinha et al. 2017). In the coming decades, the human  
18 fingerprint on coastal ecosystems is likely to increase as a result of fossil fuel  
19 combustion, the use of fertiliser and food production (Doney 2010).

20

21 Natural climate variability has also a strong influence on the physico-biogeochemical  
22 parameters in coastal ecosystems. At a local scale, an increase in sea surface

1 temperature enhances water stratification, which in turn reduces the nutrient inputs  
2 from deep waters, and therefore the phytoplankton productivity (Doney 2006). In  
3 nutrient-poor ecosystems, such as the Mediterranean Sea, a rise in precipitation can  
4 induce phytoplankton blooms through atmospheric deposition (Durrieu de Madron et  
5 al. 2011). At a larger spatial scale, strong relationships have been detected between  
6 large-scale hydro-climate processes — such as the Northern Hemisphere  
7 Temperature (NHT) anomalies or the winter North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index —  
8 and changes in the physico-biogeochemical properties of coastal waters (Breton et al.  
9 2006, Goberville et al. 2010).

10

11 The responses of coastal ecosystems — often characterised as complex and  
12 dynamic systems — to both climate and anthropogenic drivers usually occur in non-  
13 linear ways (Cloern et al. 2010, Chaalali et al. 2013). Moreover, ecosystems can  
14 respond in different ways to changes, they can switch to another equilibrium state or  
15 return to the state prior change (Scheffer & Carpenter 2003, Scheffer et al. 2009).  
16 Mounting evidence suggests that changes in the hydro-climate system can ramify  
17 through the food-web, from benthic to pelagic, from species to communities, and from  
18 terrestrial to coastal ecosystems. Such alterations can erode ecosystems resilience  
19 (Hughes 2000, Parmesan & Yohe 2003) and can trigger, in some cases, sudden,  
20 substantial and persistent changes in the state of ecosystems (Carpenter & Brock  
21 2006). Another complex response is that large-scale processes do not directly  
22 influence coastal ecosystems variability but indirectly through a number of physical  
23 and chemical processes and pathways. In the Bay of Brest, the East Atlantic Pattern  
24 (EAP) has been related to salinity changes through its patent influence on  
25 precipitation patterns and river discharge (Tréguer et al. 2014). Identifying the

1 relevant drivers of change in coastal ecosystems — and their temporal and spatial  
2 scales — and disentangling the effects of natural climate variability from the direct /  
3 indirect impacts of human activities, are essential to accurately project the future  
4 trajectories of changes in coastal ecosystems (Elahi et al. 2015).

5

6 While the influence of large-scale hydro-climatic processes can be detected on short  
7 time periods, such oceanic/atmospheric drivers have long cycles (NAO ~6/8 years,  
8 AMO ~60/80 years). In this context, long-term observation surveys are essential to  
9 unambiguously separate the main drivers of changes that can affect coastal  
10 ecosystems in order to better understand and anticipate possible alterations of  
11 biological and ecological systems as a result of global climate change (Hays et al.  
12 2005). Among other coastal surveys the French monitoring programme SOMLIT  
13 (Service d’Observation en Milieu LIToral) has gathered, since 1997, a database of  
14 thirteen physical and biogeochemical parameters at twelve sites located along the  
15 English Channel, the Atlantic coast and the Mediterranean Sea (Cocquempot et al.  
16 2019).

17

18 The sensitivity of these coastal ecosystems to climate variability is noticeable  
19 (Goberville et al. 2010). The authors showed a substantial impact of regional  
20 atmospheric and ocean circulation on changes in nutrient concentrations, particulate  
21 matters, salinity and chlorophyll-*a*, and highlighted that the regional climate variability  
22 was significantly correlated to large-scale hydro-climatological processes. Using a  
23 decade of observations, the authors also reported a major modification in the state of  
24 these coastal ecosystems in 2001. The present study updates their analysis using 20

1 years of observation and integrates local climate and rivers, as well as an  
2 investigation of ecosystem trajectories.

3 It was beyond the scope of the present study to detail the long-term changes in each  
4 parameter, at each sampling station (see. e.g. Talarmin et al. (2016) and Tréguer et  
5 al. (2014) for studies dedicated to particulate organic matter and to the westernmost  
6 stations, respectively). It was preferred to synthesize the data set in order to get an  
7 overview of the variability of the overall physico-biogeochemical characteristics of  
8 coastal ecosystems and finally to highlight the main messages. The objectives of the  
9 study are (1) to understand the main changes in the physico-biogeochemical  
10 characteristics of a panel of temperate ecosystems during a 20-year period (1997-  
11 2016), (2) to identify large-scale, regional and local drivers of change, and (3) to  
12 investigate changes in ecosystem trajectories.

## 13 **2. Material and Methods**

### 14 **2.1. The coastal ecosystems and their sampling stations**

15 Twelve stations belonging to eight contrasted ecosystems located along the French  
16 coast were considered (Fig. 1 and Table 1): (1) the eastern (Point C and Point L) and  
17 (2) western (Astan and Estacade) English Channel, (3) the Iroise Sea (Portzic, in the  
18 Channel of the Bay of Brest), (4) the Arcachon Bay (Eyrac), (5) the Gironde Estuary  
19 (pk30, pk52, and pk86), (6) the Bay of Banyuls (Sola), (7) the Bay of Marseille (Frioul)  
20 and (8) the Bay of Villefranche (Point B). In three ecosystems, two (eastern and  
21 western English Channel) and three stations (Gironde Estuary) were distributed  
22 along a continent-ocean gradient. The three stations located in the Gironde Estuary

1 were referred to as 'estuarine stations', while the other nine stations as 'marine  
2 stations'.

3 The diversity of the studied ecosystems (Table 1) relies on their geomorphological  
4 characteristics (estuary, ria, lagoon, bays and littoral ecosystems standing for semi-  
5 enclosed and open systems) with various bathymetric conditions (from less than 10  
6 to 80 meters depth). These ecosystems have different tidal regimes (from micro- to  
7 mega-tidal regimes), show a large range of turbidity (the annual mean of suspended  
8 particulate matter ranged from less than 1 to more than 300 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) and different  
9 trophic status (from oligotrophic to eutrophic ecosystems); they also differ from their  
10 local climate conditions (e.g. annual air temperature mean ranges from 11 °C to  
11 16 °C) and from their riverine influence: mean annual salinity varies from 2 to 38 and  
12 river flow ranges from a few to hundreds cubic meters per second (see Table 1).  
13 Thus, these ecosystems are representative of most of the coastal ecosystems  
14 encountered at mid-latitudes.

## 15 **2.2 Physico-biogeochemical parameters**

16 The physico-biogeochemical parameters were retrieved from the SOMLIT database,  
17 a long-term monitoring programme that uses a standardised sampling procedure  
18 since 1997. Samples were collected on a weekly (Bay of Brest) to monthly (Gironde  
19 Estuary) basis at high-tide in subsurface waters. A thoughtful description of the  
20 SOMLIT network can be found in Goberville et al. (2010), Liénart et al. (2017, 2018)  
21 and Coquempot et al. (2019).

22 Five nutrients (ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), orthophosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>)  
23 and silicic acid (Si(OH)<sub>4</sub>), four particulate parameters (suspended particulate matter

1 (SPM), particulate organic carbon (POC), particulate organic nitrogen (PON), and  
2 chlorophyll-a), as well as water temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen  
3 concentration were considered. These parameters were defined as Essential Ocean  
4 Variables by the Global Ocean Observing System because they are effective at  
5 addressing the ocean health and services  
6 ([http://www.goosocean.org/index.php?option=com\\_content&view=article&id=14&Itemid=114](http://www.goosocean.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=14&Itemid=114)). Water temperature has an impact on water stratification and in turn on the  
7 vertical mixing of the nutrients. Dissolved oxygen, directly influenced by the water  
8 temperature, is essential to the biological component. Chlorophyll-a biomass is a  
9 proxy of phytoplankton biomass and is controlled by temperature, light and nutrients.  
10 Nutrients, mainly delivered to the coastal ecosystems by the rivers and internal  
11 recycling, are essential to autotrophs but can lead to eutrophication episodes when in  
12 excess, which in turn can affect the whole ecosystem. Salinity is a proxy of riverine  
13 influence. Suspended particulate matter can be considered as a proxy of hydro and  
14 sediment dynamics (particle sinking and re-suspension, river load). Finally, POC and  
15 PON are useful variables to quantify the particulate organic matter.  
16  
17 Data providers are listed in Table 2.

## 18 **2.3 Drivers of change**

### 19 **2.3.1 Large-scale climate**

20 Five teleconnection indices were selected to examine the influence of large-scale  
21 hydro-climatic processes on coastal ecosystems of Western Europe: the Atlantic  
22 Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO), the winter North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the  
23 Northern Hemisphere Temperature anomalies (NHT), the Eastern Atlantic Pattern



1 (EAP) and the Arctic Oscillation (AO) (Table 2).

2 The AMO characterises the multidecadal ocean/atmosphere natural variability in  
3 temperatures, in a range of 0.4°C, in many oceanic regions of the North Atlantic, with  
4 a periodicity ranging from 60 to 80 years (Enfield et al. 2001). By investigating the  
5 influence of the AMO over the period 1997-2016, we focused on its positive (warm)  
6 phase, to assess the influence of a large-scale natural increase in sea surface  
7 temperature on coastal ecosystems of Western Europe. NHT anomalies are a proxy  
8 of the potential effect of climate change in the Northern Hemisphere, although this  
9 index also integrates hydro-climatic variability (Beaugrand & Reid 2003). The winter  
10 NAO index describes the basin-scale gradient of atmospheric pressures over the  
11 North Atlantic in winter. This oscillation has been correlated with a large range of  
12 physical processes such as the frequency and intensity of Atlantic storms and  
13 precipitation patterns (Hurrell 1995). The EAP is the second most prominent mode of  
14 low-frequency variability over the North Atlantic and is structurally similar to the NAO  
15 (Barnston & Livezey 1987). This pattern has a strong influence on Western Europe,  
16 negative values of the index being in phase with drought episodes over the  
17 Mediterranean region. The AO index is characterised by pressure anomalies of one  
18 sign in the Arctic and with the opposite anomalies centred about latitudes of 37-45°N  
19 (Givati & Rosenfeld 2013). During winter, it is one the main driver of intra-seasonal  
20 variability over the North Atlantic and Europe (Givati & Rosenfeld 2013) with strong  
21 consequences on Atlantic cyclones (Thompson & Wallace 1998). While its positive  
22 phase induces dry conditions in the Mediterranean, its negative phase is associated  
23 to extreme cold days in northern Europe (Thompson & Wallace 1998).

### 1 **2.3.2. Regional and local climate**

2 Six regional climatic parameters were selected to examine the influence of regional  
3 climate on coastal ecosystems of Western Europe: Sea Surface Temperature (SST),  
4 Sea Level Pressure (SLP), wind intensity and its zonal and meridional components  
5 (i.e. west-east and south-north components of the wind, respectively) and mean  
6 precipitation. Datasets are derived from reanalysis procedures and improved  
7 statistical methods have been applied to produce stable monthly reconstruction on a  
8 a  $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$  spatial grid, but on a  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$  spatial grid for SST (see Betts et al. (1996),  
9 Kalnay et al. (1996) and Kistler et al. (2001) for further details on the methodology).

10 Five *in-situ*: air temperature, wind intensity (and its zonal and meridional components)  
11 and mean precipitation and one reconstructed parameter: short-wave irradiation (see  
12 Gelaro et al. (2017) for information on the method) were selected to examine the  
13 influence of local climate on coastal ecosystems (Table 2).

14 SST — and air temperature, to a lesser extent — has a direct impact on water  
15 stratification and nutrient supply rates (Sarmiento et al. 2004), and influence species'  
16 phenologies (Poloczanska et al. 2013). For example, the seasonal occurrence of  
17 phytoplankton and meroplankton, larval fishes and ichthyoplankton species  
18 advanced significantly over the last decades as a response to warming in the North  
19 Sea (e.g. plankton species; Edwards et al. 2004), in the English Channel (e.g. sprat  
20 and sardine; Reygondeau et al. 2015) and in the Mediterranean Sea (e.g. copepod  
21 species such as *Temora stylifera*; Mackas et al. 2012). Short-wave irradiation is the  
22 quantity of solar energy incoming from the sun to the ground/ocean and is used by  
23 phytoplankton species for photosynthesis. Atmospheric circulation (SLP and wind  
24 intensity) contributes to the horizontal and/or vertical mixing of nutrients and

1 dissolved oxygen by its action on oceanic currents and therefore the mixing of river  
2 water and impact on the ocean-atmosphere coupling (Reid et al. 2003). Precipitation  
3 has both direct and indirect effects on coastal ecosystems. Its direct influence is  
4 observed on temperature, salinity and nutrient concentrations in raining periods,  
5 whereas its indirect impact appears through water run-off that contributes to river  
6 discharge. Precipitation can also influence phytoplankton communities (Delphy et al.  
7 2018).

### 8 **2.3.3 River discharge**

9 Five parameters — selected for their availability over the whole period in all sites —  
10 were used to examine the influence of local river discharge to the coastal ecosystems:  
11  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , and  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ , suspended particulate matter and river flows (Table 2). Rivers  
12 flowing directly to, or known to influence, the studied ecosystems were selected. The  
13 selected monitored stations were located as close to the sea-side as possible,  
14 upstream the dynamic influence of the tide and with datasets available from 1997 to  
15 2016. For coastal ecosystems influenced by more than one river, water discharge  
16 was weighted by the distance between the river mouth and the sampling station.  
17 Nutrient concentrations were weighted by considering the flow and the distance  
18 between the river mouth and the sampling station (see Liénart et al. 2018).

## 19 **2.4 Numerical approach**

### 20 **2.4.1 Data pre-treatment**

21 The SOMLIT provides quality codes associated to each data. Data flagged as false  
22 were discarded: only 3% of the original dataset was removed and therefore we used

1 97% of the samples. Time series were then regulated and standardised at a monthly  
2 basis using the spline method. The Kalman smoothing procedure was applied on the  
3 Eyrac dataset to prevent possible bias related to repeated missing values over the  
4 study period (Moritz & Bartz-Beielstein 2017). Following the method applied in  
5 Goberville et al. (2010), the seasonality was removed from the time series, except for  
6 the large-scale hydro-climate indices, using a simple moving average of order  $m = 6$ .  
7 Because the application of a moving average prevents from computing values for the  
8 first and last  $(m - 1)$  values of the sequence (Legendre & Legendre 1988), the first  
9 and last 6 months were removed from further analyses.

## 10 **2.4.2 Statistical analyses**

### 11 **Analysis 1: Bi-decadal spatial and temporal changes in coastal ecosystems**

12 Bi-decadal (1997–2016) changes in (1) the coastal physico-biogeochemical  
13 parameters, (2) regional and (3) local climate parameter, (4) river parameter  
14 concentrations and (5) river flows were assessed separately using 3-mode  
15 standardised principal component analyses (PCAs; Hohn 1993, Beaugrand et al.  
16 2000, Goberville et al. 2010). [Prior each PCA - and to overcome possible biases due to  
17 parameters with different units of measurement - all parameters were standardised \(Jolliffe  
18 and Cadima, 2016\)](#). This statistical technique allows — in a single analysis — (1) to  
19 characterise temporal changes by the examination of the first principal components  
20 (PCs) and (2) to identify the parameters and sites (for coastal ecosystems) or the  
21 geographical cells (for gridded climate parameters) mainly influenced by the temporal  
22 patterns (associated normalised eigenvectors). Here, the first two principal  
23 components (PCs) were retained for further examination.

1 **Analysis 2: Influence of environmental and climate drivers on coastal sites**

2 The Pearson linear correlation coefficient was calculated to assess the relationships  
3 between the first two PCs obtained from the PCA applied on the physico-  
4 biogeochemical parameters and (1) the first two PCs calculated from the PCAs  
5 performed on environmental and climate drivers and (2) large-scale hydro-climatic  
6 indices. Probabilities were corrected to account for temporal autocorrelation using the  
7 Chatfield's (Chatfield 1996) modified Box-Jenkins' function (Box & Jenkins 1976) and  
8 by adjusting the degrees of freedom according to the method proposed by Chelton  
9 (1984). Because multiple testing may increase the type I error rate (i.e. rejection of a  
10 true null hypothesis), probabilities were adjusted following the Hochberg method  
11 (Hochberg 1988, Legendre & Legendre 1998).

12 **Analysis 3: Identification of patterns of change among the twelve sampling sites**

13 The Partial Triadic Analysis (PTA) method is a triadic analysis introduced in ecology  
14 by Thioulouse & Chessel (1987) that can be applied to the analysis of series of  
15 ecological tables containing the same variables and observations. While the PTA  
16 relies on the STATIS method (Escoufier 1973, L'Hermier des Plantes 1976, Escoufier  
17 1980), the analysis is applied directly on the ecological tables rather than on the  
18 scalar product derived from the tables (Bertrand & Maumy, 2010). The PTA is a  
19 three-step procedure, namely the interstructure, the compromise and the  
20 intrastructure analyses (Lavit et al. 1994). As this technique has been fully described  
21 and applied elsewhere (e.g. Lavit et al. 1994, Thioulouse et al. 2004, Bertrand &  
22 Maumy, 2010, Mendes et al. 2010, Thabet et al. 2018), we refer the reader to this  
23 literature for a detailed mathematical description and only recall the main steps of  
24 calculation. First, a matrix of scalar products is calculated between the k different  
25 tables, the diagonalisation of the resulting matrix providing eigenvectors. The

1 coefficients of the first eigenvectors are then used to weight the tables in the  
2 calculation of the compromise table (step 2). At this stage, a matrix of vector  
3 correlations (called 'Rv') can be applied to rescale the importance of the k ecological  
4 tables. The second step of the PTA consists in the analysis of the compromise, a  
5 fictitious table that results from the linear combination of the k initial tables (i.e.  
6 weighted mean of all the tables of the series, using the components of the first  
7 eigenvector of the interstructure as weights; Lavit et al. 1994, Mendes et al. 2010) in  
8 order to construct a mean table of maximum inertia with the aim of capturing the  
9 similarities among the k individual matrices. A principal component analysis is then  
10 performed on the mean table, the rows and columns of the individual matrices being  
11 projected onto the analysis as supplementary individuals and supplementary  
12 variables, respectively. Analysis of the compromise depicts the structures which are  
13 common to all the tables (Thioulouse et al. 2004, Bertrand & Maumy, 2010). Finally,  
14 the third step summarises the variability of the succession of tables in comparison to  
15 the common structure defined by the compromise (Mendes et al. 2010), the rows and  
16 columns of all the tables of the three-dimensional array being projected onto the  
17 factor map of the PCA of the compromise as additional elements (Thioulouse et al.  
18 2004). The quality of the compromise can be established by dividing the first  
19 eigenvalue of the Rv coefficients by their sum and with the  $\cos^2$ , an indicator of the  
20 representation of the information contained in each original table by the compromise.  
21 For each table, each row (column) is a point in the space of its p columns (its n rows)  
22 that can be projected as a supplementary individual onto the principal axes of  
23 compromise. The points can then be linked to study trajectories.

24

25 In our study, each table contains the values of all the physico-biogeochemical

1 parameters at all the stations at a given (k) month; the compromise is the mean  
2 structure of the parameters during the study period. Year-to-year changes at each  
3 sampling station, i.e. its dynamic trajectory, can be studied while identifying the  
4 possible common temporal structures among stations.

5

6 All statistical analyses were performed using the R software (RCoreTeam 2020, R  
7 version 4.0.2) and the FactoMineR, ade4, pastecs and ggplot2 packages.

## 8 **3. Results**

### 9 **3.1 Bi-decadal spatial and temporal changes in coastal** 10 **ecosystems**

11 Year-to-year variability in the first PC of the PCA performed on the physico-  
12 biogeochemical parameters (19.36% of the total variability; Fig. 2a) showed a gradual  
13 increase from 1997 to the end of 2001, followed by an overall decrease until 2016  
14 revealing an abrupt change in 2001. Mapping of the first eigenvectors (Fig. 2b)  
15 revealed that PC1 was correlated to almost all the parameters and stations, but the  
16 temperature was poorly represented. Most of the correlations were positive,  
17 suggesting that the parameters mostly decreased from 2001 to 2016 (e.g.  $\text{NO}_3^-$  at all  
18 the English Channel and Atlantic stations), with the exception of salinity that  
19 increased at almost all the marine stations and in the upstream part of the Gironde  
20 Estuary where an overall increase in nutrients and particulate parameters was also  
21 detected.

1 Year-to-year variability in the second PC of the PCA (11.37% of the total variability;  
2 Fig. 2c) showed two periods of decrease from 1997 to 2001 and from 2005 to 2016,  
3 but an increase from 2001 to 2005 pointing out two abrupt changes in 2001 and 2005.  
4 Mapping of the second eigenvectors (Fig. 2d) revealed that PC2 was positively  
5 correlated to the nutrients whereas the salinity, water temperature and particulate  
6 parameters (SPM, POC, PON, chlorophyll-*a*) were negatively correlated at almost all  
7 the stations. The PC2 showed a clear opposition between the nutrients on the one  
8 hand and salinity, temperature and the particulate parameters on the other hand.  
9 Salinity and water temperature increased at most of the stations from 2006 onwards.  
10 The analysis also revealed that the water temperature was not highly related to the  
11 first two PCs (Figs. 2b and d).

## 12 **3.2 Bi-decadal influence of the drivers**

13 Results from correlation analyses between the first two principal components of the  
14 hydrological and biogeochemical variability along the French coast and the first two  
15 PCs of the PCAs performed on large-scale hydro-climatic indices, regional climate  
16 indices, and local drivers are presented in Fig. 3. In addition, temporal trends for  
17 which significant correlations were found are displayed in Fig. 4. Strong correlations  
18 ( $r > 0.5$ ) were found between PC1 and PC2 of the physico-biogeochemical variability  
19 of the French coastal ecosystems and drivers of the three considered spatial scales:  
20 large, regional and local. The correlated drivers were either based on the air  
21 temperature or the atmospheric circulation. PC1 and/or PC2 were correlated to the  
22 NHT anomalies, to changes in wind intensity and direction, SLP and mean  
23 precipitation, and to the river nutrient concentrations and flow (Figs. 3 and 4). The  
24 coastal-ecosystem PCs and the correlated drivers exhibited not only a similar long-



1 term trend but also a similar year-to-year variability (e.g. Figs. 4g and i).

2 Among the correlated drivers, the zonal wind PC2 influenced all the ecosystems  
3 (eigenvalues > 0.5), the SLP PC2 and mean precipitation PC2 influenced the eastern  
4 and western English Channel and the Iroise Sea (eigenvalues > 0.5), the wind  
5 intensity PC2 influenced the Arcachon Bay and Gironde Estuary (eigenvectors > 0.5)  
6 and the meridional wind PC2 influenced the three Mediterranean and the two Atlantic  
7 ecosystems (eigenvalues > 0.5). The eigenvalues maps are shown in Supplement I.

### 8 **3.3 Inter- and intra-station variability**

9 The PTA compromise explained 84% of the total variability of the original set of  
10 matrices. Between 80 and 95% of the information contained in each table was  
11 expressed in the compromise. The first two axes of the compromise expressed  
12 72.47% and 19.58% of the total variability, respectively. By opposing the estuarine  
13 (nutrient-rich, particle-rich and low-salt water) and marine sampling stations (nutrient-  
14 poor, particle-poor and salty water), the position along the abscissa revealed a  
15 continent-ocean gradient (Figs. 5a, b), with a clear opposition between salinity on the  
16 one hand and nutrients ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4$  and  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ) and particulate matter (SPM, POC  
17 and PON) on the other hand. The downstream estuarine station (pk86) exhibited an  
18 intermediate behaviour. By opposing the Mediterranean stations (warm oligotrophic  
19 waters) and the stations located in the English Channel (cold eutrophic waters), the  
20 y-axis showed a gradient based on both the latitude and the trophic status. The  
21 Atlantic stations (oceanic and estuarine) had an intermediate position in the  
22 Euclidean space. The variability between the stations was more related to the salinity  
23 and the associated parameters than to latitude and trophic status (Figs. 5a, b). In  
24 contrast, the variability within the stations was more related to the latitude and trophic

1 status (y-axis) than to the continent-ocean gradient (x-axis) (Fig. 5c), suggesting that  
2 this spatial organisation on the Euclidean plan can be related to a temperature effect.  
3 While the estuarine stations of the Gironde estuary showed an important variability,  
4 the stations located in the Iroise Sea and in the western part of the English Channel  
5 (Astan, Estacade and Portzic) showed relatively stable trajectories (Fig. 5c).

6

7 Over the period 1997–2016, year-to-year variability in the physico-biogeochemical  
8 parameters had gone roughly from the lower or the lower-left to the upper or upper-  
9 right hand side of the panel for most of the stations (Figs. 5d, e, g, h, k, n) which was  
10 associated with an overall increase in temperature, salinity and/or a decrease in  
11 chlorophyll-*a*, nutrients and particulate matter. Few stations exhibited opposite (Fig.  
12 5l) or different (Figs. 5f, i, m, o) behaviours.

13 At stations such as Frioul (Fig. 5k), the trajectories were approximately linear,  
14 meaning that changes over the period 1997–2016 are quite constant without abrupt  
15 modifications. Frioul tended to be hotter and/or saltier and more oligotrophic. At other  
16 stations such as Point L (Fig. 5e), pronounced modification in the trajectories have  
17 been observed, after a period of stability: a seesaw to hotter and/or saltier conditions  
18 was detected, with less eutrophic waters. Some stations showed hysteresis-like  
19 behaviours as observed at Point B (Fig. 5l): a pseudo-cyclical variability was  
20 observed with two phases of cold, less salty and less oligotrophic waters interrupted  
21 by a period of warmer and more oligotrophic waters. Such variations occurred in a  
22 relatively small part of the Euclidean space, however, suggesting that modifications  
23 are less intense at the oceanic stations than at the estuarine stations. The two abrupt

1 changes detected in 2001 and 2005 (Figs. 2a and c) were patent for most of the  
2 stations, as observed at pk86 (Fig. 5o) and Point B (Fig. 5l).

## 3 **4. Discussion**

### 4 **4.1 Importance of long-term monitoring programmes to** 5 **assess the influence of drivers on coastal ecosystems**

6 Long-term monitoring programmes are precious tools for studying the long-term  
7 variability of coastal ecosystems (Hays et al. 2005). However, they are usually not  
8 long enough to provide any baseline of ecosystems which would be useful to  
9 disentangle the natural climate variability from anthropogenic-induced changes and  
10 to detect possible consequences on the ecosystem functioning. The need for long-  
11 term environmental and biological time series to assess the climate influence on  
12 coastal ecosystems is associated with the time span of the climatic processes. For  
13 example, while Fromentin & Planque (1996) attributed changes in the abundance of  
14 *Calanus Finmarchicus* to the NAO over the period 1962-1992, this relationship broke  
15 down from 1996, when one of the most extreme negative phases of the NAO was  
16 observed (Beaugrand 2012). By extending the study to five decades of observations,  
17 Beaugrand (2012) demonstrated that the correlation between the NAO and *C.*  
18 *finmarchicus* abundance was in fact modulated by the thermal regime of the North  
19 Sea, which in turn covaries positively with global temperature anomalies. However,  
20 examples of temporal patterns detected and confirmed using extended datasets also  
21 exist (e.g. Pimm & Redfearn 1988, Cloern & Jassby 2012).

22 Our study based on assessing changes in the physico-biogeochemical variability of

1 the French coastal ecosystems confirms the results obtained by Goberville et al.  
2 (2010), and emphasises the importance of the abrupt episode observed in 2001,  
3 even when twenty years of observation are used instead of ten. This highlights the  
4 magnitude of this event, while confirming the main environmental and climate drivers,  
5 i.e. the influence of changes in atmospheric and oceanic patterns.

6 By combining marine long-term monitoring programmes with other biological,  
7 environmental, climatological, meteorological long-term time series, one can compare  
8 different ecosystems, while identifying local influences. For instance, Capuzzo et al.  
9 (2018) combined different data sets to estimate changes in primary production,  
10 zooplankton abundances, environmental conditions (SST and riverine) and large-  
11 scale hydro-climate processes, and to quantify pathways between these ecological  
12 compartments. By investigating a possible synchrony among patterns of changes,  
13 they showed that the decline in the primary production in the North Sea was induced  
14 by a decrease in riverine inputs and revealed patent consequences on higher trophic  
15 levels (Capuzzo et al. 2018).

16 In our study, combining several data sets allowed us to assess changes in coastal  
17 ecosystems and to investigate the drivers of these changes.

18

## 19 **4.2 Abrupt changes in Western Europe coastal ecosystems:** 20 **drivers of change and consequences**

21 Abrupt changes in the late 90s / early 2000s have already been detected in physico-  
22 biogeochemical parameters, fish communities, local meteorology and sea surface  
23 temperature in the vicinity of the Gironde Estuary (Chaalali et al. 2013), for

1 phytoplankton communities in the English Channel (Hernández Fariñas et al. 2014)  
2 and in the coastal Atlantic Ocean (David et al. 2012). The relationship between large-  
3 scale hydro-climatic indices and the coastal-ecosystem compartments has already  
4 been reported in the literature: the NAO was correlated to the abundance of plankton  
5 species in the English Channel (Beaugrand et al. 2000) and diatoms in southern  
6 Bight of the North Sea (Breton et al. 2006) and significant links between the AMO  
7 and phytoplankton blooms, plankton population and fish population have been  
8 detected at the global scale (Nye et al. 2014 and references therein).

9

10 According to Somavilla et al. (2016), an extreme winter mixing occurred in the mid-  
11 2000s potentially due to a particularly cold and dry winter in 2005 (Shein 2006,  
12 Somavilla et al. 2009) that had modified the Eastern North Atlantic Central Water  
13 (ENACW). These changes induced a modification of the ocean circulation,  
14 introducing northern saltier waters to lower latitudes, and altered regional climate  
15 conditions in the Northern Atlantic (Somavilla et al. 2016). The Atlantic Meridional  
16 Overturning Circulation (AMOC) also exhibited changes during the beginning of the  
17 twenty-first century. Chen & Tung (2018) reported that the transport of southern  
18 warm and saline water northward increased rapidly since 1999 and that the AMOC  
19 was decreasing from 2005 onward, confirming changes in circulation patterns in the  
20 north Atlantic. A decreasing AMOC could lower precipitation rates and therefore  
21 influence water runoff and river flows (Jackson et al. 2015). Goberville et al. (2010)  
22 found that the variability in coastal ecosystems was more related to changes in  
23 atmospheric circulation than in SST. The patent influence of atmospheric circulation  
24 that we detected over the period 1997-2016 corroborates previous results obtained  
25 from a decade of observation, while highlighting that the link between atmospheric

1 circulation and rapid/major ecosystem changes (Goberville et al. 2010; Beaugrand et  
2 al. 2019) is stronger than when SST was considered. In addition, we found that the  
3 riverine influence was of high importance. Indeed, river parameter concentrations  
4 were the only driver correlated to the two PCs of the coastal ecosystems. These  
5 results are reliable: our data showed an increase in salinity over the study period  
6 along with correlations with atmospheric circulation and river discharge. The two  
7 abrupt changes detected circa 2001 and 2005 (Figs. 2a, c) can then be attributed to  
8 changes in wind intensity and sea level pressure at the regional scale and to  
9 changes in river parameter concentrations and river flow at the local scale (Fig. 4).

10

11 The consequences of such changes to coastal ecosystem functioning could be of  
12 various types. For example, changes in regional wind intensity and SLP modified  
13 water circulation dynamics (Somavilla et al. 2016), inducing saltier waters to the mid-  
14 latitudes in combination with decreasing precipitation and therefore a reduction in  
15 inputs from the continent: the flows of the main French rivers have decreased in the  
16 end of the twentieth century like in the Loire river (Ratmaya et al. 2019). In the  
17 literature, similar processes have been reported in the Patos Lagoon, Brazil (Cloern  
18 et al. 2016). In our ecosystems, the most convincing example is the “marinisation”  
19 observed in the Gironde estuary over the last decades (David et al. 2007). The  
20 increase in salinity in the estuary combined with lower SPM concentration, favoured  
21 the colonisation of this ecosystem by the invasive species *Acartia tonsa*. In other low-  
22 salinity ecosystems, especially in wetlands and lagoons, an increase in salinity can  
23 lead to a decrease in zooplankton abundance and a reduction in species richness  
24 (Schallenberg et al. 2003). Richirt et al. (2019) showed that changes in copepod  
25 abundance in the Arcachon Lagoon, one of the studied ecosystem, are governed by

1 changes in physico-biogeochemical parameters, including salinity and SPM.

2

### 3 **4.3 Ecosystem trajectories: common patterns and local** 4 **differences**

5 Among the trajectories of the oceanic coastal ecosystems studied in the present  
6 study, the general trend was towards warmer and saltier waters and/or less nutrient-  
7 rich waters. Similar trends were reported worldwide, such as in the Danish coastal  
8 ecosystems (Riemann et al. 2016), in the Chesapeake Bay (Harding et al. 2016) and  
9 in the Moreton Bay, Australia (Saeck et al. 2013). The two abrupt changes that we  
10 detected over the period 1997-2016 - and especially the 2001 event which may  
11 reflect a response to the global rise in ocean heat content (Levitus et al. 2009,  
12 Chaalali et al. 2013) - were associated with warmer, saltier and nutrient-poorer  
13 waters for most of the sampling sites, with ensuing consequences on the biological  
14 compartment: changes in dinoflagellate and zooplankton assemblages in the North  
15 Sea (Beaugrand et al. 2014; Goberville et al. 2014), and in fish abundances in the  
16 Gironde estuary *circa* 2001 (Chaalali et al. 2013); alterations in phytoplankton  
17 biomass (Somavilla et al. 2009) and copepod diversity (Richirt et al. 2019) in the mid-  
18 2000s in the Bay of Biscay. Despite this overall trend in the studied ecosystems and  
19 similar abiotic drivers of changes (Figs. 2 and 3), different responses of individual  
20 ecosystems were observed in terms of magnitude, timing and even direction of the  
21 trajectories. Local discrepancies from an overall pattern are common, especially in  
22 coastal ecosystems that can face strong local drivers as seen in relationships  
23 between dissolved inorganic nitrogen and chlorophyll-*a* (Lefebvre & Dezécache  
24 2020). Furthermore, no overall common pattern may be found highlighting the role of

1 local over global drivers in controlling the functioning of coastal ecosystems (Talarmin  
2 et al. 2016, Carstensen & Duarte 2019). These variations demonstrate the  
3 importance of taking into account local events to understand the direction and  
4 magnitude of the changes that occurred in coastal ecosystems over the recent  
5 decades.

6 For example, the trajectory at Eyrac goes from right to left (Fig. 5i), suggesting less  
7 saline and more nutrient-rich waters, whereas the overall trend was towards lower  
8 nutrient concentrations in the French coastal ecosystems (Fig. 2a). When analysed  
9 individually, nutrient concentrations in the Arcachon Bay increased since the late  
10 1990s (Lheureux et al. unpub.). The two abrupt changes detected in 2001 and 2005  
11 in the overall pattern (Figs. 2a, c) did not seem to have impacted the trajectory of the  
12 Arcachon Bay, but other abrupt changes occurred ca. five years later (see the right-  
13 hand side of panel i). In this ecosystem, the strong decline of the *Zostera noltii*  
14 seagrass meadow, which had accelerated between 2005 and 2007 (Plus et al. 2010),  
15 may explain the increase in nutrient over the study period (Lheureux et al. unpub.).  
16 Changes in zooplankton abundance and diversity were also reported in this  
17 ecosystem (Richirt et al. 2019).

18 The western English Channel tended to be warmer, but without changes regarding  
19 salinity or nutrient concentrations (Figs. 5f, g). The Iroise Sea showed an increase in  
20 both nutrient concentrations and temperature (Fig. 5h). Although these two  
21 ecosystems were geographically close with similar large-, regional- and local- scale  
22 climate influences, the Iroise Sea was under a greater influence of river discharge  
23 than the western English Channel (Charria et al. 2020). We also highlight that the  
24 abrupt change in 2001 was very obvious on the trajectories of the two stations  
25 located in the western English Channel (Astan and Estacade) but not in the Iroise



1 Sea (Portzic). Interestingly, it seems that this abrupt change prevented the western  
2 English Channel from shifting towards more nutrient-rich and less saline waters and  
3 may illustrate the resilience capacity of these ecosystems.

4 The English Channel ecosystems were more influenced by the 2001 event than other  
5 ecosystems, whereas a predominant abrupt change in the Mediterranean  
6 ecosystems occurred in 2005 (Fig. 5), which may have also impacted zooplankton  
7 abundances and assemblages in the Levantine Basin (Ouba et al. 2016). This  
8 difference is not due to riverine influence, since some stations are under the influence  
9 of rivers (Point C, Sola) and some are not (Astan, Estacade, Point B) in both the  
10 English Channel and the Mediterranean Sea. This can be explained by the patent  
11 influence of precipitation patterns over the English Channel. Whereas no correlation  
12 was detected between the EAP and the physico-biogeochemical properties of the  
13 studied ecosystems as a whole, EAP is known to significantly influence precipitation  
14 patterns over the English Channel (Casanueva et al. 2014). This relation between the  
15 EAP, precipitation patterns and river flows was highlighted by Tréguer et al. (2014) in  
16 the Bay of Brest and western English Channel. As the EAP entered in a positive  
17 phase at the beginning of the 2000s, it can be assumed the variability in the  
18 precipitation patterns played a role in the 2001 abrupt change in the English Channel.

19 The Gironde Estuary exhibited a large variability in the physico-biogeochemical  
20 characteristics over the two last decades compared to the marine ecosystems (Figs.  
21 5c, m-o). This was expected since estuarine ecosystems, due to their location, face  
22 more pressures, especially from the continent, than marine coastal ecosystems.  
23 Estuarine stations were therefore segregated along the salinity-nutrient axis of the  
24 PTA compromise (Fig. 5b) rather than on the temperature axis.

1 Finally, it appears that more work at a local scale is needed in addition to multi-  
2 ecosystem studies in order to better understand the long-term variability of coastal  
3 ecosystems and its associated drivers. Thus, our analysis can be seen as a proof of  
4 concept that one must consider the environmental and ecological context of each site  
5 to better understand how external drivers can influence the functioning of such  
6 ecosystems.

## 7 **5. Conclusion**

8 Many complex ecosystems have a critical threshold at which the ecosystems can  
9 abruptly change from one state to another (Scheffer et al. 2009). Such thresholds  
10 can be detected using appropriate statistical analyses. It is difficult to understand how  
11 these abrupt changes are affecting the coastal ecosystems. Our study enables to  
12 point out the general patterns of changes in the physico-biogeochemical properties of  
13 coastal surface waters that occurred in temperate coastal ecosystems over the past  
14 20 years. Such a multi-ecosystem approach has the great advantage to highlight  
15 overall patterns as well as discrepancies, and potentially to point out gradients and/or  
16 typology of ecosystems and ecosystem functioning (e.g. Liénart et al. 2017, 2018).  
17 Each ecosystem being influenced by climatic and river related drivers at different  
18 spatial and temporal scales, however, and because of the peculiarities of each  
19 ecosystem, we recommend to investigate changes also at a local scale. Local-scale  
20 studies should allow to deeply document subtle changes and to gather information  
21 about local ecological processes such as local changes in water circulation,  
22 quantification of the influence of the biology on remineralisation processes, impact of  
23 direct anthropogenic disturbances such as pollutions. Multi-ecosystems studies and

1 local studies are complementary approaches to better understand the long-term  
2 variability of coastal ecosystems and associated drivers.

### 3 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

4 This article is a contribution of the SOMLIT network of the CNRS/INSU and of the  
5 Research Infrastructure ILICO. Most of the samplings were performed using the  
6 research vessels of the French Oceanographic Fleet (FOF). The authors thank the  
7 crew members of the R/V Antédon, Côtes d'Aquitaine, Côtes de la Manche,  
8 Néomysis, Néreis, Planula, Sagitta, Sépia. This work was funded by LaBex COTE  
9 (Arnaud Lheureux's master thesis), MESRI (Arnaud Lheureux's PhD thesis), EC2CO  
10 PHYSALI and LEFE EVOLECO- $v\phi$ . Data sets regarding the drivers were provided by  
11 the NOAA, the NCEP/NCAR, MeteoFrance, EauFrance and the different French  
12 Water Agencies. The authors also thank all the members of these different institution,  
13 from the analysts to the coordinators, that made the use of the data possible.

1

## 2 **REFERENCES**

3 Barnston AG, Livezey RE (1987) Classification, Seasonality and Persistence of Low-  
4 frequency Atmospheric Circulation Patterns. *Mon Weather Rev* 115:1083–1126.

5 Beaugrand G (2012) Unanticipated biological changes and global warming. *Mar Ecol*  
6 *Prog Ser* 445:293–301.

7 Beaugrand G, Ibañez F, Reid P (2000) Spatial, seasonal and long-term fluctuations  
8 of plankton in relation to hydroclimatic features in the English Channel, Celtic  
9 Sea and Bay of Biscay. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 200:93–102.

10 Beaugrand G, Reid PC (2003) Long-term changes in phytoplankton, zooplankton and  
11 salmon related to climate. *Glob Change Biol* 9:801–817.

12 Beaugrand G, Goberville E, Luczak C, Kirby R (2014) Marine biological shifts and  
13 climate. *Proc R Soc B* 281:20133350.

14 Beaugrand G, Conversi A, Atkinson A, Cloern J, Chiba S, Fonda-Umani S, Kirby RR,  
15 Greene CH, Goberville E, Otto, SA, Reid PC, Stemmann L, Edwards M (2019)  
16 Prediction of unprecedented biological shifts in the global ocean. *Nat Clim*  
17 *Change* 9:137–243.

18 Bertrand F, Maumy M (2010) Using Partial Triadic Analysis for Depicting the  
19 Temporal Evolution of Spatial Structures: Assessing Phytoplankton Structure  
20 and Succession in a Water Reservoir. *Case Stud Bus Ind Gov* 4:23–43.

- 1 Betts AK, Hong S-Y, Pan H-L (1996) Comparison of NCEP-NCAR Reanalysis with  
2 1987 FIFE Data. *Mon Weather Rev* 124:1480–1498.
- 3 Beusen AHW, Bouwman AF, Van Beek LPH, Mogollón JM, Middelburg JJ (2016)  
4 Global riverine N and P transport to ocean increased during the 20th century  
5 despite increased retention along the aquatic continuum. *Biogeosciences*  
6 13:2441–2451.
- 7 Box GEP, Jenkins GW (1976) *Time series analysis: forecasting and control*, Holden-  
8 Day. San Francisco, CA.
- 9 Breton E, Rousseau Vé, Parent J-Y, Ozer J, Lancelot C (2006) Hydroclimatic  
10 modulation of diatom/ *Phaeocystis* blooms in nutrient-enriched Belgian coastal  
11 waters (North Sea). *Limnol Oceanogr* 51:1401–1409.
- 12 Capuzzo E, Lynam CP, Barry J, Stephens D, Forster RM, Greenwood N,  
13 McQuatters-Gollop A, Silva T, van Leeuwen SM, Engelhard GH (2018) A  
14 decline in primary production in the North Sea over 25 years, associated with  
15 reductions in zooplankton abundance and fish stock recruitment. *Glob Change*  
16 *Biol* 24:352–364.
- 17 Carpenter SR, Brock WA (2006) Rising variance: a leading indicator of ecological  
18 transition: Variance and ecological transition. *Ecol Lett* 9:311–318.
- 19 Carstensen J, Duarte CM (2019) Drivers of pH Variability in Coastal Ecosystems.  
20 *Environ Sci Technol* 53:4020–4029.

- 1 Casanueva A, Rodríguez-Puebla C, Frías MD, González-Reviriego N (2014)  
2 Variability of extreme precipitation over Europe and its relationships with  
3 teleconnection patterns. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 18:709–725.
- 4 Chaalali A, Beaugrand G, Boët P, Sautour B (2013) Climate-Caused Abrupt Shifts in  
5 a European Macrotidal Estuary. *Estuar and Coasts* 36:1193–1205.
- 6 Charria G, Rimmelin-Maury P, Goberville E, L'Helguen S, Barrier N, David-Beausire  
7 C, Cariou T, Grossteffan E, Repecaud M, Quémener L, Theetten S, Tréguer P  
8 (2020) Temperature and salinity changes in coastal waters of Western Europe:  
9 variability, trends and extreme events. In: *Evolution of Marine Coastal*  
10 *Ecosystems under the Pressure of Global Changes: Proceedings of Coast*  
11 *Bordeaux Symposium and of the 17th French-Japanese Oceanography*  
12 *Symposium*. Ceccaldi H-J, Hénocque Y, Komatsu T, Prouzet P, Sautour B,  
13 Yoshida J (eds) Springer International Publishing, Cham
- 14 Chatfield C (1996) *The analysis of time series: an introduction*, Chapman&Hall.  
15 London.
- 16 Chelton DB (1984) Commentary: short-term climatic variability in the northeast  
17 Pacific Ocean. In: *The influence of ocean conditions on the production of*  
18 *salmonids in the North Pacific*. Pearcy W (ed) Corvallis, OR, Oregon State  
19 University Press, p 87–99
- 20 Chen X, Tung K-K (2018) Global surface warming enhanced by weak Atlantic  
21 overturning circulation. *Nature* 559:387–391.

- 1 Cloern JE, Abreu PC, Carstensen J, Chauvaud L, Elmgren R, Grall J, Greening H,  
2 Johansson JOR, Kahru M, Sherwood ET, Xu J, Yin K (2016) Human activities  
3 and climate variability drive fast-paced change across the world's estuarine-  
4 coastal ecosystems. *Glob Change Biol* 22:513–529.
- 5 Cloern JE, Hieb KA, Jacobson T, Sansó B, Di Lorenzo E, Stacey MT, Largier JL,  
6 Meiring W, Peterson WT, Powell TM, Winder M, Jassby AD (2010) Biological  
7 communities in San Francisco Bay track large-scale climate forcing over the  
8 North Pacific. *Geophys Res Lett* 37:L21602.
- 9 Cloern JE, Jassby AD (2012) Drivers of change in estuarine-coastal ecosystems:  
10 Discoveries from four decades of study in San Francisco Bay. *Rev Geophys*  
11 50:RG4001.
- 12 Cocquempot L, Delacourt C, Paillet J, Riou P, Aucan J, Castelle B, Charria G,  
13 Claudet J, Conan P, Coppola L, Hocdé R, Planes S, Raimbault P, Savoye N,  
14 Testut L, Vuillemin R (2019) Coastal Ocean and Nearshore Observation: A  
15 French Case Study. *Front Mar Sci* 6:324.
- 16 David V, Ryckaert M, Karpytchev M, Bacher C, Arnaudeau V, Vidal N, Maurer D,  
17 Niquil N (2012) Spatial and long-term changes in the functional and structural  
18 phytoplankton communities along the French Atlantic coast. *Estuar Coast Shelf*  
19 *Sci* 108:37–51.
- 20 David V, Sautour B, Chardy P (2007) Successful colonization of the calanoid  
21 copepod *Acartia tonsa* in the oligo-mesohaline area of the Gironde estuary (SW  
22 France) – Natural or anthropogenic forcing? *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 71:429–442.

- 1 Delpy F, Serranito B, Jamet J-L, Grégori G, Le Poupon C, Jamet D (2018) Pico- and  
2 Nanophytoplankton Dynamics in Two Coupled but Contrasting Coastal Bays in  
3 the NW Mediterranean Sea (France). *Estuar Coasts* 41:2039–2055.
- 4 Doney SC (2006) Plankton in a warmer world. *Nature* 444:695–696.
- 5 Doney SC (2010) The Growing Human Footprint on Coastal and Open-Ocean  
6 Biogeochemistry. *Science* 328:1512–1516.
- 7 Durrieu de Madron X, Guieu C, Sempéré R, Conan P, Cossa D, D’Ortenzio F,  
8 Estournel C, Gazeau F, Rabouille C, Stemmann L, Bonnet S, Diaz F, Koubbi P,  
9 Radakovitch O, Babin M, Baklouti M, Bancon-Montigny C, Belviso S,  
10 Bensoussan N, Bonsang B, Bouloubassi I, Brunet C, Cadiou J-F, Carlotti F,  
11 Chami M, Charmasson S, Charrière B, Dachs J, Doxaran D, Dutay J-C, Elbaz-  
12 Poulichet F, Eléaume M, Eyrolles F, Fernandez C, Fowler S, Francour P,  
13 Gaertner JC, Galzin R, Gasparini S, Ghiglione J-F, Gonzalez J-L, Goyet C,  
14 Guidi L, Guizien K, Heimbürger L-E, Jacquet SHM, Jeffrey WH, Joux F, Le Hir  
15 P, Leblanc K, Lefèvre D, Lejeusne C, Lemé R, Loÿe-Pilot M-D, Mallet M,  
16 Méjanelle L, Mélin F, Mellon C, Mérigot B, Merle P-L, Migon C, Miller WL,  
17 Mortier L, Mostajir B, Mousseau L, Moutin T, Para J, Pérez T, Petrenko A,  
18 Poggiale J-C, Prieur L, Pujo-Pay M, Pulido-Villena, Raimbault P, Rees AP,  
19 Ridame C, Rontani J-F, Ruiz Pino D, Sicre MA, Taillandier V, Tamburini C,  
20 Tanaka T, Taupier-Letage I, Tedetti M, Testor P, Thébault H, Thouvenin B,  
21 Touratier F, Tronczynski J, Ulses C, Van Wambeke F, Vantrepotte V, Vaz S,  
22 Verney R (2011) Marine ecosystems’ responses to climatic and anthropogenic  
23 forcings in the Mediterranean. *Prog Oceanogr* 91:97–166.



- 1 Edwards M, Richardson AJ (2004) Impact of climate change on marine pelagic  
2 phenology and trophic mismatch. *Nature* 430:881–884.
- 3 Elahi R, O'Connor MI, Byrnes JEK, Dunic J, Eriksson BK, Hensel MJS, Kearns PJ  
4 (2015) Recent Trends in Local-Scale Marine Biodiversity Reflect Community  
5 Structure and Human Impacts. *Curr Biol* 25:1938–1943.
- 6 Enfield DB, Mestas - Nuñez AM, Trimble PJ (2001) The Atlantic Multidecadal  
7 Oscillation and its relation to rainfall and river flows in the continental U.S.  
8 *Geophys Res Lett* 28:2077–2080.
- 9 Escoufier Y (1973) Le traitement des variables vectorielles. *Biometrics* 29:750–760.
- 10 Escoufier Y (1980) L'analyse conjointe de plusieurs matrices de données. In:  
11 *Biométrie et Temps*, Jolyvet et al. Société Française de Biométrie, Paris
- 12 Fromentin J, Planque B (1996) Calanus and environment in the eastern North  
13 Atlantic. II. Influence of the North Atlantic Oscillation on *C. finmarchicus* and *C.*  
14 *helgolandicus*. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 134:111–118.
- 15 Gelaro R, McCarty W, Suárez MJ, Todling R, Molod A, Takacs L, Randles CA,  
16 Darmenov A, Bosilovich MG, Reichle R, Wargan K, Coy L, Cullather R, Draper  
17 C, Akella S, Buchard V, Conaty A, da Silva AM, Gu W, Kim G-K, Koster R,  
18 Lucchesi R, Merkova D, Nielsen JE, Partyka G, Pawson S, Putman W,  
19 Rienecker M, Schubert SD, Sienkiewicz M, Zhao B (2017) The Modern-Era  
20 Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2). *J*  
21 *Clim* 30:5419–5454.

- 1 Givati A, Rosenfeld D (2013) The Arctic Oscillation, climate change and the effects  
2 on precipitation in Israel. *Atmos Res* 132–133:114–124.
- 3 Goberville E, Beaugrand G, Sautour B, Tréguer P, Somlit T (2010) Climate-driven  
4 changes in coastal marine systems of western Europe. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*  
5 408:129–147.
- 6 Goberville E, Beaugrand G, Edwards M (2014) Synchronous response of marine  
7 plankton ecosystems to climate in the Northeast Atlantic and the North Sea. *J*  
8 *Mar Syst* 129:189–202.
- 9 de Groot R, Brander L, van der Ploeg S, Costanza R, Bernard F, Braat L, Christie M,  
10 Crossman N, Ghermandi A, Hein L, Hussain S, Kumar P, McVittie A, Portela R,  
11 Rodriguez LC, ten Brink P, van Beukering P (2012) Global estimates of the  
12 value of ecosystems and their services in monetary units. *Ecosystem Services*  
13 1:50–61.
- 14 Halpern BS, Frazier M, Potapenko J, Casey KS, Koenig K, Longo C, Lowndes JS,  
15 Rockwood RC, Selig ER, Selkoe KA, Walbridge S (2015) Spatial and temporal  
16 changes in cumulative human impacts on the world's ocean. *Nat Commun*  
17 6:7615.
- 18 Harding LW, Gallegos CL, Perry ES, Miller WD, Adolf JE, Mallonee ME, Paerl HW  
19 (2016) Long-Term Trends of Nutrients and Phytoplankton in Chesapeake Bay.  
20 *Estuar and Coasts* 39:664–681.
- 21 Hays G, Richardson A, Robinson C (2005) Climate change and marine plankton.  
22 *Trends Ecol Evol* 20:337–344.

- 1 Hernández-Fariñas T, Soudant D, Barillé L, Belin C, Lefebvre A, Bacher C (2014)  
2 Temporal changes in the phytoplankton community along the French coast of  
3 the eastern English Channel and the southern Bight of the North Sea. *ICES J*  
4 *Mar Sci* 71:821–833.
- 5 Hochberg Y (1988) A sharper Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance.  
6 *Biometrika* 75:800–802.
- 7 Hohn ME (1993) Principal Component Analysis of three-way data. In: *Computers in*  
8 *Geology: 25 years of progress*. Davis JC, Hertzfeld U (eds) Oxford University  
9 Press, New York, NY, p 181–194
- 10 Hughes L (2000) Biological consequences of global warming, is the signal already  
11 apparent. *Trends Ecol Evol* 15:56–61.
- 12 Hurrell JW (1995) Decadal Trends in the North Atlantic Oscillation: Regional  
13 Temperatures and Precipitation. *Science* 269:676–679.
- 14 Jackson LC, Kahana R, Graham T, Ringer MA, Woollings T, Mecking JV, Wood RA  
15 (2015) Global and European climate impacts of a slowdown of the AMOC in a  
16 high resolution GCM. *Clim Dyn* 45:3299–3316.
- 17 Jolliffe IT, Cadima J (2016) Principal component analysis: a review and recent  
18 developments. *Phil Trans R Soc A* 374:20150202.
- 19 Kalnay E, Kanamitsu M, Kistler R, Collins W, Deaven D, Gandin L, Iredell M, Saha S,  
20 White G, Woollen J, Zhu Y, Chelliah M, Ebisuzaki W, Higgins W, Janowiak J,  
21 Mo KC, Ropelewski C, Wang J, A Leetmaa, Reynolds R, Jenne R, Joseph

- 1       Dennis (1996) The NCEP/NCAR 40-Year Reanalysis Project. Bull Am Meteorol  
2       Soc 77:437–471.
- 3       Kistler R, Collins W, Saha S, White G, Woollen J, Kalnay E, Chelliah M, Ebisuzaki W,  
4       Kanamitsu M, Kousky V, van den Dool H, Jenne R, Fiorino M (2001) The  
5       NCEP–NCAR 50–Year Reanalysis: Monthly Means CD–ROM and  
6       Documentation. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 82:247–267.
- 7       Lavit C, Escoufier Y, Sabatier R, Traissac P (1994) The ACT (STATIS method).  
8       Comput Stat Data Anal 18:97–119.
- 9       Lefebvre A, Dezécache C (2020) Trajectories of Changes in Phytoplankton Biomass,  
10       *Phaeocystis globosa* and Diatoms (incl. *Pseudo-nitzschia* sp.) Abundances  
11       Related to Nutrient Pressures in the Eastern English Channel, Southern North  
12       Sea. J Mar Sci Eng 8:401.
- 13       Legendre P, Legendre L (1998) Numerical Ecology. Elsevier Science B.V.
- 14       Levitus S, Antonov JI, Boyer TP, Locarnini RA, Garcia HE, Mishonov AV (2009)  
15       Global ocean heat content 1955–2008 in light of recently revealed  
16       instrumentation problems. Geophys Res Lett 36:L07608.
- 17       L'Hermier des Plantes H (1976) Structure of Tables with Three Indices. Theory and  
18       Application of a joint Analysis Method. Thèse de 3ème cycle. Université des  
19       Sciences et Technique du Languedoc.
- 20       Liénart C, Savoye N, Bozec Y, Breton E, Conan P, David V, Feunteun E, Grangeré K,  
21       Kerhervé P, Lebreton B, Lefebvre S, L'Helguen S, Mousseau L, Raimbault P,  
22       Richard P, Riera P, Sauriau P-G, Schaal G, Aubert F, Aubin S, Bichon S, Boinet

1 C, Bourasseau L, Bréret M, Caparros J, Cariou T, Charlier K, Claquin P,  
2 Cornille V, Corre A-M, Costes L, Crispi O, Crouvoisier M, Czamanski M, Del  
3 Amo Y, Derriennic H, Dindinaud F, Durozier M, Hanquiez V, Nowaczyk A,  
4 Devesa J, Ferreira S, Fornier M, Garcia F, Garcia N, Geslin S, Grossteffan E,  
5 Gueux A, Guillaudeau J, Guillou G, Joly O, Lachaussée N, Lafont M,  
6 Lamoureux J, Lecuyer E, Lehodey J-P, Lemeille D, Leroux C, Macé E, Maria E,  
7 Pineau P, Petit F, Pujo-Pay M, Rimelin-Maury P, Sultan E (2017) Dynamics of  
8 particulate organic matter composition in coastal systems: A spatio-temporal  
9 study at multi-systems scale. *Prog Oceanogr* 156:221–239.

10 Liénart C, Savoye N, David V, Ramond P, Rodriguez Tress P, Hanquiez V, Marieu V,  
11 Aubert F, Aubin S, Bichon S, Boinet C, Bourasseau L, Bozec Y, Bréret M,  
12 Breton E, Caparros J, Cariou T, Claquin P, Conan P, Corre A-M, Costes L,  
13 Crouvoisier M, Del Amo Y, Derriennic H, Dindinaud F, Duran R, Durozier M,  
14 Devesa J, Ferreira S, Feunteun E, Garcia N, Geslin S, Grossteffan E, Gueux A,  
15 Guillaudeau J, Guillou G, Jolly O, Lachaussée N, Lafont M, Lagadec V,  
16 Lamoureux J, Lauga B, Lebreton B, Lecuyer E, Lehodey J-P, Leroux C,  
17 L'Helguen S, Macé E, Maria E, Mousseau L, Nowaczyk A, Pineau P, Petit F,  
18 Pujo-Pay M, Raimbault P, Rimmelin-Maury P, Rouaud V, Sauriau P-G, Sultan E,  
19 Susperregui N (2018) Dynamics of particulate organic matter composition in  
20 coastal systems: Forcing of spatio-temporal variability at multi-systems scale.  
21 *Prog Oceanogr* 162:271–289.

22 Lima FP, Wetthey DS (2012) Three decades of high-resolution coastal sea surface  
23 temperatures reveal more than warming. *Nat Commun* 3:704.

- 1 Lu Y, Yuan J, Lu X, Su C, Zhang Y, Wang C, Cao X, Li Q, Su J, Ittekkot V, Garbutt  
2 RA, Bush S, Fletcher S, Wagey T, Kachur A, Sweijd N (2018) Major threats of  
3 pollution and climate change to global coastal ecosystems and enhanced  
4 management for sustainability. *Environmen Pollut* 239:670–680.
- 5 Mackas DL, Greve W, Edwards M, Chiba S, Tadokoro K, Eloire D, Mazzochi MG,  
6 Batten S, Richardson AJ, Johnson C, Head E, Conversi A, Peluso T (2012)  
7 Changing zooplankton seasonality in a changing ocean: Comparing time series  
8 of zooplankton phenology. *Prog Oceanogr* 97-100:31–62.
- 9 Mendes S, Gómez MJF, Pereira MJ, Azeiteiro UM, Galindo-Villardón MP (2010) The  
10 efficiency of the Partial Triadic Analysis method: an ecological application.  
11 *Biometrical Letters* 47:83–106.
- 12 Moritz S, Bartz-Beielstein T (2017) ImputeTS: Time Series Missing Value Imputation  
13 in R. *R J* 9:207.
- 14 Nye JA, Baker MR, Bell R, Kenny A, Kilbourne KH, Friedland KD, Martino E,  
15 Stachura MM, Van Houtan KS, Wood R (2014) Ecosystem effects of the  
16 Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. *J Mar Syst* 133:103–116.
- 17 Ouba A, Abboud-Abi Saab M, Stemmann L (2016) Temporal Variability of  
18 Zooplankton (2000-2013) in the Levantine Sea: Significant Changes Associated  
19 to the 2005-2010 EMT-*like* Event? *PloS One* 11:e0158484.
- 20 Paerl HW (2009) Controlling Eutrophication along the Freshwater–Marine Continuum:  
21 Dual Nutrient (N and P) Reductions are Essential. *Estuar Coasts* 32:593–601.

- 1 Parmesan C, Yohe G (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change  
2 impacts across natural systems. *Nature* 421:37–42.
- 3 Pimm SL, Redfearn A (1988) The variability of population densities. *Nature* 334:613–  
4 614.
- 5 Plus M, Dalloyau S, Trut G, Auby I, de Montaudouin X, Emery É, Noël C, Viala C  
6 (2010) Long-term evolution (1988–2008) of *Zostera* spp. meadows in Arcachon  
7 Bay (Bay of Biscay). *Estuar Coast Shelf Sci* 87:357–366.
- 8 Poloczanska ES, Brown CJ, Sydeman WJ, Kiessling W, Schoeman DS, Moore PJ,  
9 Brander K, Bruno JF, Buckley LB, Burrows MT, Duarte CM, Halpern BS,  
10 Holding J, Kappel CV, O'Connor MI, Pandolfi JM, Parmesan C, Schwing F,  
11 Thompson SA, Richardson AJ (2013) Global imprint of climate change on  
12 marine life. *Nat Clim Change* 3:919–925.
- 13 Ratmaya W, Soudant D, Salmon-Monviola J, Plus M, Cochennec-Laureau N,  
14 Goubert E, Andrieux-Loyer F, Barillé L, Souchu P (2019) Reduced phosphorus  
15 loads from the Loire and Vilaine rivers were accompanied by increasing  
16 eutrophication in the Vilaine Bay (south Brittany, France). *Biogeosciences*  
17 16:1361–1380.
- 18 R Core Team (2020) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R  
19 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 20 Reid PC, Edwards M, Beaugrand G, Skogen M, Stevens D (2003) Periodic changes  
21 in the zooplankton of the North Sea during the twentieth century linked to  
22 oceanic inflow. *Fish Oceanogr* 12:260–269.

- 1 Richirt J, Goberville E, Ruiz-Gonzalez V, Sautour B (2019) Local changes in copepod  
2 composition and diversity in two coastal systems of Western Europe. *Estuar  
3 Coast Shelf Sci* 227:106304.
- 4 Riemann B, Cartensen J, Dahl K, Fossing H., Hansen JW, Jakobsen HH, Josefon AB,  
5 Krause-Jensen D, Markager S, Staehr PA, Timmermann K, Windolf K,  
6 Andersen JH (2016) Recovery of Danish Coastal Ecosystems After Reductions  
7 in nutrient Loadings: A holistic Ecosystem Approach. *Estuar Coasts* 39:82–97
- 8 Reygondeau G, Molinero JC, Coombs S, MacKenzie BR, Bonnet D (2015)  
9 Progressive changes in the Western English Channel foster a reorganization in  
10 the plankton food web. *Prog Oceanogr* 137:524–532.
- 11 Saeck EA, O'Brien KR, Weber TR, Burford MA (2013) Changes to chronic nitrogen  
12 loading from sewage discharges modify standing stocks of coastal  
13 phytoplankton. *Mar Pollut Bull* 71:159–167.
- 14 Sarmiento JL, Slater R, Barber R, Bopp L, Doney SC, Hirst AC, Kleypas J, Matear R,  
15 Mikolajewicz U, Monfray P, Soldatov V, Spall A, Stouffer R (2004) Response of  
16 ocean ecosystems to climate warming. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 18:GB3003.
- 17 Schallenberg M, Hall C, Burns C (2003) Consequences of climate-induced salinity  
18 increases on zooplankton abundance and diversity in coastal lakes. *Mar Ecol  
19 Prog Ser* 251:181–189.
- 20 Scheffer M, Bascompte J, Brock WA, Brovkin V, Carpenter SR, Dakos V, Held H, van  
21 Nes EH, Rietkerk M, Sugihara G (2009) Early-warning signals for critical  
22 transitions. *Nature* 461:53–59.



- 1 Scheffer M, Carpenter SR (2003) Catastrophic regime shifts in ecosystems: linking  
2 theory to observation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 18:648–656.
- 3 Shein KA (2006) State of the Climate in 2005. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 87:1–102.
- 4 Sinha E, Michalak AM, Balaji V (2017) Eutrophication will increase during the 21st  
5 century as a result of precipitation changes. *Science* 357:405–408.
- 6 Somavilla R, González-Pola C, Rodríguez C, Josey SA, Sánchez RF, Lavín A (2009)  
7 Large changes in the hydrographic structure of the Bay of Biscay after the  
8 extreme mixing of winter 2005. *J Geophys Res* 114.
- 9 Somavilla R, González-Pola C, Schauer U, Budéus G (2016) Mid-2000s North  
10 Atlantic shift: Heat budget and circulation changes: Mid-2000S North Atlantic  
11 Shift. *Geophys Res Lett* 43:2059–2068.
- 12 Talarmin A, Lomas MW, Bozec Y, Savoye N, Frigstad H, Karl DM, Martiny AC (2016)  
13 Seasonal and long-term changes in elemental concentrations and ratios of  
14 marine particulate organic matter: temporal variability of marine particulate  
15 organic matter. *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 30:1699–1711.
- 16 Thabet R, Leignel V, Ayadi H, Tastard E (2018) Interannual and seasonal effects of  
17 environmental factors on the zooplankton distribution in the solar saltern of Sfax  
18 (south-western Mediterranean sea). *Cont Shelf Res* 165:1–11.
- 19 Thioulouse J, Chessel D (1987) Les analyses multitableaux en écologie factorielle.  
20 *Acta Oecol* 8:463–480.

1 Thioulouse J, Simier M, Chessel D (2004) Simultaneous Analysis of a Sequence of  
2 paired Ecological Tables. *Ecology* 85:272–283.

3 Thompson DWJ, Wallace JM (1998) The Arctic oscillation signature in the wintertime  
4 geopotential height and temperature fields. *Geophys Res Lett* 25:1297–1300.

5 Tréguer P, Goberville E, Barrier N, L'Helguen S, Morin P, Bozec Y, Rimmelin-Maury  
6 P, Czamanski M, Grossteffan E, Cariou T, Répécaud M, Quéméner L (2014)  
7 Large and local-scale influences on physical and chemical characteristics of  
8 coastal waters of Western Europe during winter. *J Mar Syst* 139:79–90.

9

1 Table 1: Characteristics of the studied ecosystems

Ecosystem	Type of ecosystem	Tidal regime (range in m)	Trophic status	Station	Depth at sampling station (m)	Catchment basin area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Mean river flow (m <sup>3</sup> .s <sup>-1</sup> )	Distance from the river mouth (km)
Eastern English Channel	Littoral ecosystem	Megatidal (7.7)	Eutrophic	Point C	21	96315	Canche (13)	20
				Point L	50		Somme (36)	40
							Seine (509)	212
Western English Channel	Littoral ecosystem	Megatidal (7.5)	Mesotrophic	Estacade	11	612	Penzé (3)	13
				Astan	60			10
Bay of Brest	Semi-enclosed ria	Megatidal (7.6)	Mesotrophic	Portzic	10	2709	Aulne (26)	50
							Elorn (6)	23
Arcachon Bay	Semi-enclosed lagoon	Mesotidal (4.2)	Mesotrophic	Eyrac	8	3754	Leyre (15)	15
Bay of Banyuls	Open bay	Microtidal (centimetric)	Oligotrophic	Sola	27	104200	Têt (7)	33
							Aude (29)	86
							Hérault (31)	93
							Rhône (1640)	212
Bay of Marseille	Open bay	Microtidal (centimetric)	Oligotrophic	Frioul	60	524	Huveaune (1)	7
Bay of Villefranche	Semi-enclosed bay	Microtidal (centimetric)	Oligotrophic	Point B	80	/*	/	/
Gironde Estuary	Estuary	Macrotidal (5)	Eutrophic	pk30	8	81793	Garonne (500) Dordogne (249)	9
				pk52	7			26
				pk86	8			61

Bi-decadal variability of temperate coastal ecosystems

1 \* /: not available Table 2: Summary of datasets

2

Bi-decadal variability of temperate coastal ecosystems


1

Parameters	Provider	Website
T, S, O, NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> , NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> , NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> , PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup> , Si(OH) <sub>4</sub> , POC, PON, SPM, Chla	SOMLIT	<a href="http://somlit-db.epoc.u-bordeaux1.fr/bdd.php?serie=ST">http://somlit-db.epoc.u-bordeaux1.fr/bdd.php?serie=ST</a>
AMO		<a href="http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/timeseries/AMO/">www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/timeseries/AMO/</a>
NAO	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	<a href="http://www.climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/hurrell-north-atlantic-oscillation-nao-index-pc-based">www.climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/hurrell-north-atlantic-oscillation-nao-index-pc-based</a>
EAP		<a href="http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/teledoc/ea.shtml">www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/data/teledoc/ea.shtml</a>
AO		<a href="http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/teleconnections/ao">www.ncdc.noaa.gov/teleconnections/ao</a>
NHT	Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research	<a href="http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/monitoring-references/faq/anomalies.php#anomalies">www.ncdc.noaa.gov/monitoring-references/faq/anomalies.php#anomalies</a>
Gridded data (SST, SLP, lwind, Uwind, Vwind, MP)	National Centers for Environmental Protection and National Center for Atmospheric Research	<a href="http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded">www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded</a>
<i>In-situ</i> local climate (air temperature, lwind, Uwind, Vwind, MP)	Meteo France	<a href="https://donneespubliques.meteofrance.fr/">https://donneespubliques.meteofrance.fr/</a>
Reconstructed local climate (GLO)	Modern-Era Restrospective Analysis for Research and Application Version 2	<a href="https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/reanalysis/MERRA-2/">https://gmao.gsfc.nasa.gov/reanalysis/MERRA-2/</a>
River flows	Banque Hydro	<a href="http://www.hydro.eaufrance.fr">http://www.hydro.eaufrance.fr</a> <a href="http://www.naiades.eaufrance.fr/acces-donnees#/physicochimie">http://www.naiades.eaufrance.fr/acces-donnees#/physicochimie</a>
Continental concentrations	Naiades, the French water agencies and Ecoflux	<a href="http://www.eau-artois-picardie.fr/qualite-de-leau/visualiser-et-telecharger-les-donnees-sur-la-qualite-des-rivieres">http://www.eau-artois-picardie.fr/qualite-de-leau/visualiser-et-telecharger-les-donnees-sur-la-qualite-des-rivieres</a> <a href="http://www.adour-garonne.eaufrance.fr/coursdeau">http://www.adour-garonne.eaufrance.fr/coursdeau</a> <a href="http://www.sierm.eaurmc.fr/surveillance/eaux-superficielles/index.php">http://www.sierm.eaurmc.fr/surveillance/eaux-superficielles/index.php</a> <a href="http://www.qualiteau.eau-seine-normandie.fr">http://www.qualiteau.eau-seine-normandie.fr</a>



Bi-decadal variability of temperate coastal ecosystems

- 1 Fig 1: Localisation of the ecosystems and the twelve sampling stations used in this study.
- 2 The four panels highlight where the sampling stations are located.



3


4

1

2 Fig 2: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the variability of the 12 coastal ecosystems  
 3 stations from 1997 to 2016, a) and b) on the first and c) and d) on the second principal  
 4 component (PC).

5 a) and c) are the parameters variability and b) and d) are the eigenvector correlation to the  
 6 coastal ecosystems variability. Green cells are positive and significant correlation, red cells  
 7 are negative and significant correlations and white cells are non-significant correlations.  
 8 The stations were ordered from north to south along the English Channel and Atlantic  
 9 Ocean coast and from west to east along the Mediterranean coast. The Gironde Estuary  
 10 stations were on the right-hand side. T: Temperature; Sal: Salinity; dO<sub>2</sub> : dissolved oxygen;  
 11 PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>: Orthophosphates; NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>: Ammonium; NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>: Nitrite; NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>: Nitrate; Si(OH)<sub>4</sub>: Silicic acid;  
 12 POC: Particulate Organic Carbon; PON: Particulate Organic Nitrogen; SPM: Suspended  
 13 Particulate Matter; Chla : Chlorophyll-a.

14



15


16



1

2 Fig 3: Correlations between the first two principal components (PCs) of the coastal  
 3 ecosystems variability Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and the drivers of change.  
 4 Coloured bars represented strong positive (green) and negative (red) correlations ( $r > 0.5$ ),  
 5 and white bars non-significant correlations. The probability was corrected to account for  
 6 temporal autocorrelation following Pyper & Peterman (1998) and p values were adjusted to  
 7 account for multiple testing following Hochberg (1988).

8




9

10

1

- 2 Fig 4: Year-to-year changes in the coastal ecosystems in relation to changes in the drivers.
- 3 First (a-d) and second (e-i) principal components (in black) and correlated ( $r > 0.5$ ) drivers
- 4 (dotted blue line) When the correlation was negative, the driver was inverted (Inv).

Bi-decadal variability of temperate coastal ecosystems



1

2

1

2 Figure 5: Trajectories of the studied stations from 1997 (red) to 2016 (blue). a): Euclidean  
3 plot of the stations; b): compromise of the PTA analysis; c): variability of the trajectories  
4 among stations; d-o) trajectories of the stations.

5 The stations were ordered from north to south along the English Channel and Atlantic  
6 Ocean coast, and from west to east on the Mediterranean coast. The Gironde Estuary  
7 stations are located on the lower part of the figure. The black triangles represented the  
8 2001 abrupt change and the black circles the 2005 abrupt change. T: Temperature; Sal:  
9 Salinity;  $dO_2$  : dissolved oxygen;  $PO_4^{3-}$ : Orthophosphates;  $NH_4^+$ : Ammonium;  $NO_2^-$ : Nitrite;  
10  $NO_3^-$ : Nitrate;  $Si(OH)_4$ : Silicic acid; POC: Particulate Organic Carbon; PON: Particulate  
11 Organic Nitrogen; SPM: Suspended Particulate Matter; Chla : Chlorophyll-a

12

# Bi-decadal variability of temperate coastal ecosystems

