

## Supplementary material 2

Comparison of five diversity indices computed based on fishes recorded using DOV (Diver Operated Video) or SAUV (Semi-Autonomous Underwater Vehicle). Mean value ( $\pm$  standard error) of each index are displayed for each survey method. A generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) was used to compare method effect (DOV vs SAUV) and order in which assemblage recording was performed. Site and transect replicates were treated as a random factor (1|site) + (1|transect). Candidate models were compared to a null model [M0:  $\sim 1 + (1|site) + (1|transect)$ ] and significant differences (noted \*) were evaluated with maximum likelihood ratio tests ( $\chi^2$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Density and total biomass metrics were log transformed while species richness was exponentially transformed prior analysis to respect assumptions of GLMM. Best significant models are in bold.

Metric	Mean	Model	df	AIC
<b>Density</b> (indiv/100m <sup>2</sup> )	DOV: 95 $\pm$ 9.24	$\sim$ method $\times$ order	3	168.52
		$\sim$ order	1	169.57
	SAUV: 89 $\pm$ 8.31	$\sim$ method	1	169.98
		$\sim$ method + order	2	170.88
<b>Total biomass</b> (g/100m <sup>2</sup> )	DOV: 3653 $\pm$ 332.4	$\sim$ method $\times$ order	3	177.69*
		$\sim$ method + order	2	178.96*
	SAUV: 4927 $\pm$ 473.8	<b><math>\sim</math>method</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>179.54*</b>
		$\sim$ order	1	184.44
<b>Species richness</b> (number of species)	DOV: 28.4 $\pm$ 1.04	$\sim$ method $\times$ order	3	635.97*
		$\sim$ method + order	2	636.02*
	SAUV: 25.2 $\pm$ 0.95	<b><math>\sim</math>method</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>636.65*</b>
		$\sim$ order	1	640.59
<b>Shannon index</b> (on biomass)	DOV: 9.6 $\pm$ 0.6	$\sim$ method	1	523.16
		$\sim$ method + order	2	525.14
	SAUV: 8.4 $\pm$ 0.5	$\sim$ order	1	526.47
		$\sim$ method $\times$ order	3	526.67
<b>Pielou's evenness</b> (on biomass)	DOV: 0.68 $\pm$ 0.015	$\sim$ order	1	-11.30
		$\sim$ method	1	-10.17
	SAUV: 0.68 $\pm$ 0.018	$\sim$ method + order	2	-9.40
		$\sim$ method $\times$ order	3	-7.49