

New scale analyses reveal centenarian African coelacanths

Mahé Kelig ^{1,*}, Ernande Bruno ^{2,3}, Herbin Marc ⁴

¹ IFREMER, Fisheries Laboratory, Boulogne sur mer, France

² MARBEC, Univ. Montpellier, IFREMER, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France

³ Evolution and Ecology Program, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Laxenburg, Austria


⁴ Mécanismes Adaptatifs et Evolution (MECADEV, UMR7179), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris, CNRS, Paris, France

* Corresponding author : Kelig Mahé, email address : kelig.mahe@ifremer.fr

Abstract :

The extant coelacanth was discovered in 1938; its biology and ecology remain poorly known due to the low number of specimens collected. Only two previous studies^{1,2} have attempted to determine its age and growth. They suggested a maximum lifespan of 20 years, placing the coelacanth among the fastest growing marine fish. These findings are at odds with the coelacanth's other known biological features including low oxygen-extraction capacity, slow metabolism, ovoviviparity, and low fecundity, typical of fish with slow life histories and slow growth. In this study, we use polarized light microscopy to study growth on scales based on a large sample of 27 specimens. Our results demonstrate for the first time nearly imperceptible annual calcified structures (circuli) on the scales and show that maximal age of the coelacanth was underestimated by a factor of 5. Our validation method suggests that circuli are indeed annual, thus supporting that the coelacanth is among the longest-living fish species, its lifespan being probably around 100 years. Like deep-sea sharks with a reduced metabolism, the coelacanth has among the slowest growth for its size. Further reappraisals of age at first sexual maturity (in the range 40 to 69 years old) and gestation duration (of around 5 years) show that the living coelacanth has one of the slowest life histories of all marine fish and possibly the longest gestation. As long-lived species with slow life histories are extremely vulnerable to natural and anthropogenic perturbations, our results suggest that coelacanths may be more threatened than previously considered.

Graphical abstract



Highlights

► A new aging method using scales reveals coelacanths may live a centenarian life ► We revise coelacanth's life history based on 27 specimens sampled across 80 years ► For its size, its growth is among the slowest of marine fish with deep-sea sharks ► Its life history is among the slowest of marine fish with sharks and roughies

Keywords : scalimetry, growth patterns, polarized light, long-lived species, life-history traits, growth performance index, marginal increment analysis, longevity, gestation duration, auximetric analysis

Results and Discussion

A new ageing methodology

Extant coelacanths were discovered in 1938 and are the only surviving members of an extinct lineage³. The coelacanths are large lobe-finned fish (sarcopterygians). The African coelacanth *Latimeria chalumnae*^{3,4} is considered critically endangered. This species is characterized by a large body size that can reach up to two meters in length and weigh up to 105 kg⁵, with large length at maturity (around 150 cm^{6,7}). These animals are ovoviviparous, produce a relatively small number of offspring, and have a large size at birth (around 35 cm). The coelacanth is thought to be a nocturnal languid drift-hunter and its unique locomotory movements are generally slow⁸, though it can exhibit fast-start escape responses⁹. These fish have a slow metabolism^{10,11} often thought to be associated with its energy-saving mode of life, typical of deep water species. African coelacanths are found most commonly at water temperatures between 15 to 19°C where their oxygen uptake capacity is optimal¹⁰. These life-history, physiological and behavioural traits initially positioned coelacanths at the slow end of the slow-fast life-history continuum¹². Growth estimates presented in two previous studies^{1,2} using the same dataset of 12 specimens, however, placed coelacanths among the fastest growing fishes, comparable to tunas¹³. The inconsistency between a suggested fast body growth and other life-history traits indicative of slow life-history prompted us to revisit the age and body growth estimations of coelacanths. In this study, age and growth were estimated from scales collected from 27 coelacanths captured off the coast of the Comoros Islands (13 females, 11 males, 1 juvenile, and 2 embryos) with total length ranging from 30.5 to 180 cm. These specimens were captured between 1953 and 1991 (See also Table S1). The scales of coelacanths present an anterior field with ridges radiating from the apex and concentric macroscopic circuli¹ (called macro-circuli hereafter) observable under transmitted light microscopy (Figure 1). Both previous ageing studies interpreted these macro-circuli as marks of growth rate variation with

alternating translucent and opaque bands suggesting fast and reduced growth, respectively; however, these studies did not agree on the periodicity of band formation. The earliest study suggested that macro-circuli were seasonal with two being laid down each year in association with the alternation of two wet and two dry seasons annually in the tropics¹, whereas the most recent study interpreted macro-circuli as annual, only one being laid down each year², arguing that, as for the majority of fish¹⁴, tropical fish exhibit a circannual rhythm despite climate seasonality.

Following these earlier studies, we analysed our extended sample under transmitted light microscopy to count the macro-circuli along the longest growth axis of each scale (Figure 1a). The age of the individuals was estimated to range between 0 to 8 years and between 1 to 17 years under the hypothesis that macro-circuli are seasonal and annual, respectively (See also Table S1). These age ranges and the total length range of our sample are comparable to those of the previous ageing studies, thus suggesting an equally fast body growth inconsistent with other life-history traits. We therefore decided to use another observation method, namely polarized-light microscopy. As expected, polarized light revealed much more detail on scale topography than transmitted light^{15,16} (Figure 1b), the quality of the image being equivalent to micro-computed tomography (See also Figure S1). More specifically, we identified new circuli that were thinner and more numerous than the macro-circuli observed under transmitted light (Figure 1b vs. Figure 1a). These circuli were also formed by alternating thin translucent and opaque bands suggestive of growth rate variation. Counting circuli in the same manner as macro-circuli and under the assumption that they are annual, we obtained individual ages ranging from 5 to 84 years (See also Table S1). Comparing our results under transmitted and polarized light, the number of circuli appeared linearly related to the number of macro-circuli ($P = 0.009$; $R^2=0.84$) with a slope of 5.16, which indicates the appearance of a macro-circulus roughly every five circuli.

Validation of coelacanth age

Only direct validation methods, i.e., those using individual-level temporal reference marks on scales relative to natural marks to assess the periodicity of the latter, would allow indisputable confirmation of the interpretation of circuli as annual growth marks. The main direct validation methods are (i) mark-recapture of wild individuals tagged externally and labelled with a chemical depositing a mark on their scales and (ii) captive rearing of either chemically-labelled fish of unknown age or of fish of known age (e.g. produced in controlled conditions). These methods are, however, not applicable to coelacanths due to conservation and ethical issues.

Instead, we used indirect validation methods. The most widely used method is Marginal Increment Analysis (MIA), which assesses the periodicity of increments in calcified structures, here macro-circuli and circuli. When growth has a circannual rhythm, increments are formed during the growth period of the year. The size of the increment under formation, named the marginal increment and measured as the distance between the last fully formed (macro-) circulus and the edge of the scale, should thus exhibit some intra-annual periodic pattern when plotted against the month at which the individual was captured. Applying MIA to macro-circuli, no such intra-annual periodic pattern was evident ($P = 0.61$; Figure 1 lower panel) suggesting that they are not formed annually. In contrast, the application of MIA to circuli allowed detection of intra-annual variation in their growth ($P < 0.001$; Figure 1 upper panel), revealing a circannual rhythm in circuli formation.

A second indirect validation method is to assess the internal and/or external consistency of the population-level mean body growth pattern, or length-at-age data, obtained for each scale. Three growth models are widely used in ecology to describe length-at-age data for species with indeterminate growth because they conform to the observed shape of growth curves: the von Bertalanffy, Gompertz, and logistic growth models. For both macro-circuli and circuli ageing, the von Bertalanffy growth model appeared to best described the resulting length-at-age data

based on minimization of the small-sample bias-corrected AIC (See also Table S2). The body growth curve estimated from length-at-age data obtained using macro-circuli for ageing (Figure 2 dashed blue curve) was characterized by a combination of a large asymptotic length ($TL_{\infty} = 296.1$ cm) reached at a fast rate ($K = 0.05 \text{ year}^{-1}$; note that coefficient K in the von Bertalanffy model represents the rate at which asymptotic length is reached and not size change per unit of time). Consequently, the largest ($TL = 180$ cm) and oldest (17 years) specimen of our sample appeared as being still in a fast growth phase and at about only 61% of the estimated asymptotic length TL_{∞} . Moreover, TL_{∞} was estimated to be much larger than both the largest individual ever captured¹⁷ ($TL = 190$ cm) and the maximum length ($TL_{\text{max}} \pm \text{c.i.} = 199 \pm 24$ cm) estimated independently from length-at-age data using extreme value theory². The same holds when using length-at-age data obtained by the previous ageing study assuming annual macro-circuli (Figure 2 dashed dark blue curve). In contrast, the body growth model fitted to length-at-age data obtained using circuli (Figure 2 red curve) estimated a smaller asymptotic length ($TL_{\infty} = 203.8$ cm) reached at a slower rate ($K = 0.02 \text{ year}^{-1}$) such that the largest ($TL = 180$ cm) and oldest (85 years) individual in the data set is in a slow growth phase and much closer to its asymptotic length (88%). In addition, TL_{∞} is in much better agreement with the length of the largest individual ever captured and with the previously independently estimated maximum length. The growth pattern obtained using circuli ageing appears thus to outperform other estimates.

We further used a double logarithmic plot of the von Bertalanffy rate coefficient K versus asymptotic length TL_{∞} (so-called auximetric plot), and the growth performance index ϕ' to compare the global body growth of coelacanths with other marine fish species (1383 populations distributed across 1313 species extracted from FishBase¹⁸) for each ageing method. Ageing based on macro-circuli would position the coelacanth among the fastest growing fishes with a similar asymptotic length (Figure 3A) and above the 90th percentile of the growth

performance index ϕ' distribution across all marine fish (Figure 3B). Such high body growth capacity is almost equivalent to that of tunas¹³ (Figure 3) that have evolved unique morphological: streamlined body and fin shapes, large mass-specific gill surface area, and physiological: high oxygen-affinity blood, combined with endothermy, high proportion of red muscle, high cardiac performance¹³, characteristics to support their high growth performance and very active lifestyle. These traits differ strongly from those of coelacanths, which are typical of fish with low growth performance and high longevity¹⁹ like deep-sea fish with low metabolic rates. In contrast, the body growth pattern obtained from circuli would place *L. chalumnae* among the slowest growing fish within the same range of asymptotic length (Figure 3A) and close to the mode of the growth performance index ϕ' distribution (64th percentile, Figure 3B). This growth is similar to that of deep-sea sharks, concurring with the morphological and physiological traits of the living coelacanth, as well as its lifestyle.

These results suggest that ageing coelacanths by interpreting circuli as annual growth marks provides the most realistic estimates of seasonal scale formation and lifelong body growth, with the longevity of the coelacanth estimated to be close to one century. This observed longevity is five times greater than previously estimated. Only one study had hypothesised such an extended lifespan⁶ but with no direct evidence. Our study unequivocally demonstrates for the first time the coelacanth exceptional longevity and positions it as one of the most long-lived fish species. The factor of five between longevity estimates originates from the fact that the macro-circuli used by the previous studies for ageing do not exhibit a circannual formation rhythm and appear with an approximately 5-year periodicity throughout the lifespan of both males and females (See also Figure S2). Two hypotheses may explain the presence of these macro-circuli, the first one being of exogenous origin, namely periodic environmental events, and the other of endogenous origin, i.e. periodic physiological/behavioural events. Regarding the former, strong variations in environmental conditions such as salinity or temperature are

known to leave marks on scales and large-scale climate oscillations such as the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) or the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO)²⁰ could produce periodic scale marks. However, an exogenous origin would imply a mark deposition on scales that is synchronized across individuals. This synchrony is not observed in the years of appearance of the macro-circuli for the different individuals in our sample (See also Figure S2A). The hypothesis of an endogenous origin (periodic physiological or behavioural stresses) seems thus more likely. Periodic events that affect both sexes equally, as macro-circuli are found in both females and males, such as migration or reproductive behaviour are potential candidates. Unfortunately, knowledge on the biology and behaviour of the coelacanth remains too fragmented to address this hypothesis further.

Implications for life history and conservation

In addition to longevity and body growth, two other aspects the coelacanth's life-history can be reappraised with our new age estimation method: the duration of gestation and the age at first sexual maturity. The early life of coelacanth is not well known and developmental stages available in collections are rare. In our sample, two different *pre-partum* embryos (CCC29.5 and CCC162.21), one early *post-partum* juvenile (CCC94), and another slightly older juvenile were available (See also Table S1). The age of both *pre-partum* specimens is estimated at 5 years based on circuli whereas that of the two *post-partum* individuals is estimated at 9 and 12 years, respectively. This indicates that the gestation duration is at least five years contrary to the 1 to 2 years suggested by earlier studies. The length at birth is uncertain but the size of the largest observed *pre-partum* individual from a litter of 26 near-term embryos²¹ ranging from 30.8 to 35.8 cm total length found in a gravid female could be a good estimation. Based on our estimate of the von Bertalanffy growth model and under the assumption that embryos follow the same growth pattern as post-partum individuals, such length at birth would also give a gestation period close to five years. The earlier hypothesis of a long gestation is thus confirmed²

but largely extended, placing the coelacanth among the vertebrates with the longest gestation period, alongside the deep-sea frilled shark *Chlamydoselachus anguineus* (three years)²².

The length at first sexual maturity was estimated to range between 120 and 129 cm for males and between 160 to 179 cm for females^{6,7}. These values were obtained from the anatomical and morphological differences between juveniles and matures specimens. In light of our ageing data (See also Table S1), these length ranges would correspond to ages at first maturity ranging from 40 to 69 years for males and from 58 to 66 years for females. These new estimates of age at first maturity are also close to those of the frilled shark and correspond to an onset of maturation much later in life (ratio of age maturity to maximum longevity: 0.47-0.81 for males and 0.68-0.78 for females) than in teleost fishes (range: 0.16-0.39 and typical values: 0.25-0.30²³). This pattern is consistent with the reproductive strategy of both coelacanth and elasmobranchs²⁴. Species that rely on internal fertilization and produce a few large fully-developed offspring after a long gestation, especially ovoviviparous and viviparous ones, tend to mature later in life than species that lay very large number of eggs, rely on external fertilization and produce undeveloped larvae (most teleost fishes²⁵). This conforms to life-history theory which predicts that an increased survival probability of immature life-stages, such as in case of ovoviviparity and viviparity, favours the evolution of delayed maturation²⁶. The delayed maturity of the coelacanth relative to its longevity also implies a shorter relative reproductive lifespan than teleost fishes. This results in very different benefits and demographic consequences of extreme longevity. In teleost, long-lived species have an extended reproductive lifespan and thus “sample” multiple reproductive events. In a variable environment resulting in fluctuating recruitment, this allows taking advantage of occasional favourable environmental conditions to produce strong year classes literally “stored” in the adult population until conditions for strong recruitment return, a type of bet-hedging strategy also called “storage effect”²⁷. In contrast, the coelacanth demography is likely to rely on a

continuous influx of weak recruitment insured by very high survival rates of a few offspring per individual whatever the environmental conditions.

Based on our re-appraisal of time-related life-history traits of the coelacanth, namely growth rate through the von Bertalanffy rate coefficient K , maximum observed longevity, age at first sexual maturity and gestation duration, we assessed its location along the slow-fast life-history continuum in marine fish. A Principal Component Analysis was performed on these four life-history traits across 147 populations in 131 marine fish species extracted from FishBase¹⁸. By focusing on life-history traits with time dimensionality, we avoided the problem of heterogeneous dimensions across traits that may prevent correct interpretation of life-history strategies in terms of the slow-fast continuum¹². Ideally, the phylogenetic relatedness between species should have been accounted for in this analysis. However, as far as we are aware, no phylogeny relating the coelacanth, Actinopterygii and Chondrichthyes with a sufficiently fine granularity, i.e. including most species of our life-history dataset, is currently available. In addition, past analyses in other taxa have shown that phylogenetic inertia does not affect strongly the detection and strength of the slow-fast continuum¹². The first Principal Component observed trades-off high longevity and late maturity against fast rate of approach towards asymptotic size, and thus can be considered as the main component of the slow-fast life-history continuum, whereas the second one is mostly determined by gestation duration (inversely related to spawning frequency used in the analysis; Figure 4A). The estimation of life-history traits based on macro-circuli ageing places the coelacanth in the main bulk of marine fish, close to the cluster formed by tunas (Figure 4A). In contrast, life-history traits estimated from circuli position the coelacanth towards very slow life-histories, close to deep-sea sharks and roughies (Figure 4A). Taking the first PC as a metric of the slow-fast life-history continuum, ageing based on circuli places the coelacanth among the slowest life-histories (0th percentile) together with roughies and deep-sea sharks whereas ageing based on macro-circuli would correspond to

a faster, moderate pace of life (26th and 13th percentile for macro-circuli ageing of our data and previous data, respectively; Figure 4B).

The new ageing method developed in this study shows that the African coelacanth, *L. chalumnae*, may live for about a century, making it one of the most long-lived fish species. Alongside its exceptional longevity, this study showed that the African coelacanth has one of the slowest life histories among marine fish, and possibly all vertebrates, characterized by a slow body growth relative to its size, very late age at first sexual maturity, and exceptionally long gestation time, which is in concord with its ovoviviparity, relatively small clutch size, and reduced metabolism. These life-history and physiological characteristics are partly similar to those observed in the human fish (*Proteus anguinus*)²⁸, a small cave salamander with one of the slowest life-histories among vertebrates. Long-lived species characterized by slow life history and relatively low fecundity are known to be extremely vulnerable to perturbations of a natural or anthropic nature due to their very low replacement rate²⁹. Ignoring such characteristics has been shown to be detrimental to conservation and management as exemplified by some deep-sea fisheries³⁰. The African coelacanth is assessed as critically endangered (Red List of Threatened Species of IUCN). Our results suggest that it may be even more threatened than expected due to its peculiar life history. Further studies on the biology and ecology of the coelacanth, especially on its reproductive biology and behaviour, are needed to be able to preserve this unique component of life on Earth.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to R. Elleboode and G. Bled Defruit for age readings. We thank C. Bens and A. Verguin of the Collection de Pièces anatomiques en Fluides at the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN) for their help, E. Sultan of UMR LOCEAN CNRS-MNHN for providing the Comoros climatic data, and L. Poloni, S. Couette and C. Toti Lutet for micro-computed tomography scanning of scales of one specimen at MorphOptics of the UMR CNRS

uB EPHE 6282 Biogéosciences. We would especially thank M. Etherton, W. McCurdy, A. Herrel and K. M. MacKenzie for their valuable help in editing this manuscript. We are extremely grateful to the two anonymous referees, J.M. Gaillard and the Editor for their especially helpful and constructive comments to improve the manuscript. The MNHN gives access to its collections in the framework of the RECOLNAT national Research Infrastructure.

Author contributions

M.H., K.M. and B.E. contributed conception and design of the study. M.H. performed the sampling. K.M. organized the image acquisition and ageing data collection. K.M. performed the analysis of growth patterns and the marginal increment analysis and B.E. the auximetric analysis and the slow-fast life-history continuum analysis. All authors provided inputs for the results and discussion. K.M. wrote the first draft of the manuscript and B.E. wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors provided critical comments and were involved in the revision and editing of the manuscript. All authors accepted the final version of the manuscript.

Declaration of interests



The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure Legends



Figure 1: Analysis and periodicity of the circuli and macro-circuli of the African coelacanth scale. The same scale of *L. chalumnae* CCC4 (female of 109 cm caught in January 1954) is analysed based on a reconstructed image under either transmitted light microscopy (a.) or polarized light microscopy (b.) (Horizontal white scale bar = 1 mm). Macro-circuli (a.) and circuli (b.) are marked (dots) along the longest growth axis (red line). For each type of circuli, temporal dynamics of monthly marginal increments (mm) on scales of *L. chalumnae* (n = 27)

based on the hypothesis of annual circuli (red) or annual macro-circuli (blue) are shown. The bottom and top of each box are the first and the third quartiles of the marginal increment distribution for the considered month (x-axis), the horizontal segment and the diamond inside the box are respectively the median and the mean, and whiskers represent the most extreme data point within 1.5 interquartile range. For three specimens (CCC162.21, CCC29.5 and CCC42.5), only macro-circuli could be identified and no individual was collected in November and December so that no marginal increment was available for month 10 and 12. The thin continuous curve in each panel represents the fit of a sinusoidal regression of marginal increments against month with a period of 12 month to test for annual periodicity. Under the hypothesis of annual circuli, a significant intra-annual periodicity of marginal increment growth is detected by the sinusoidal regression. In contrast, under the assumption of annual macro-circuli, no evidence of a periodic pattern is found (See also Figure S1 and S2).

A



B



307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

Figure 2: Body growth pattern of the African coelacanth according to different scale interpretations. Observed length-at-age data for different scale interpretations for age are shown (red solid circles: circuli ageing, blue open squares: macro-circuli ageing, dark blue crosses: previous age interpretation²) together with the corresponding fitted von Bertalanffy growth models (dashed light blue curve: macro-circuli, continuous red curve: circuli, dashed dark blue curve: previous age interpretation). TL_{∞} and K are the asymptotic total length (cm) and the rate coefficient, i.e. the rate at which the asymptotic length is reached (year^{-1}), respectively, estimated from the von Bertalanffy growth models and $\phi' = \log(K) + 2 \log(TL_{\infty})$ is the growth performance index ($\text{cm} \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$) that allows overall growth performance comparison across populations or species. The grey area represents embryos *in utero*. The black horizontal line indicates the size of the largest specimen ever captured (See also Table S1 and S2).




Figure 3: Comparison of the African coelacanth growth rate characteristics to other marine fish under the various ageing hypotheses. **A.** Auximetric plot of the coelacanth under the various ageing hypotheses (red diamond: annual circuli, light blue diamond: annual macro-circuli from present study; dark blue diamond: annual macro-circuli from previous study) relative to other taxonomic groups of marine fish species (solid circles; orange: tunas; purple: deep-sea sharks; green: roughies; grey: other fish species). The auximetric plane is the plane defined by two logarithmic axes representing the von Bertalanffy rate coefficient K versus asymptotic total length TL_{∞} where a population characterized by a set of von Bertalanffy growth parameters (TL_{∞}, K) is represented by a point. Populations of a given species or species having similar growth characteristics will tend to form clusters of points that delimit the growth space of that species or group of species. 95% ellipses, i.e. contours enclosing 95% of the data points under the assumption of a bivariate-normal distribution, are drawn to illustrate the growth space of tunas (orange circle), deep-sea sharks (purple circle) and roughies (green circle) for better comparison. The auximetric plot allows comparison of species according to their speed of growth at a given asymptotic size (vertical direction) and according to their body size for a given speed of growth (horizontal direction). It also allows comparison of species in terms of their global growth capacity by superimposing isolines of the growth performance index $\phi' = \log(K) + 2 \log(TL_{\infty})$ since these have a known slope of 2 (dashed black lines labelled with the corresponding ϕ' value). **B.** Growth performance index $\phi' = \log(K) + 2 \log(TL_{\infty})$ of the coelacanth (diamonds) under the various ageing hypotheses (same colors as in A) relative to other taxonomic groups of marine fish species (boxplots; same colors as in A). Labelled vertical lines give the percentiles of the distribution of ϕ' values across all marine fish species corresponding to the ϕ' values of the African coelacanth under the various ageing hypotheses. Boxplots are defined as in Figure 1. Von Bertalanffy growth parameters for other marine fish were extracted from FishBase in June 2020 (1383 populations distributed across 1313 species).






Figure 4: Locating the African coelacanth along the slow-fast life-history continuum in marine fish under the various ageing hypotheses. A. Biplot of the two first axes of a Principal Component (PC) analysis on time-related life-history traits (von Bertalanffy rate coefficient K , sexual maturity age, maximum observed longevity and spawning frequency/inverse of gestation time) of marine fish after log10-transformation, removal of the effect of body size, centering and scaling. The coelacanth projection (diamonds) on the PC plane under the various ageing hypotheses (same colors as in Figure 3) can be compared to the projections of other taxonomic groups of marine fish species (solid circles; same colors as in Figure 3). The percentage of variance explained by the PCs is indicated between parentheses in axis labels. Arrows indicate how much each life-history trait contributes to each PC according to its projection on each PC axis and the angle between the arrows is indicative of the correlation between life-history-traits, orthogonality meaning independence and opposite directions meaning negative correlations. Clearly, the first PC corresponds to the slow-fast life-history continuum as it trades-off high longevity and late maturation on the left hand side against fast approach rate towards asymptotic length on the right hand side whereas the second PC mainly involves spawning frequency. **B.** Position of the coelacanth (diamonds) under various ageing hypotheses (same colors as in Figure 3) relative to other taxonomic groups of marine fish species (boxplots; same colors as in Figure 3) along the slow-fast life-history continuum (scores along PC1, panel A). Labelled vertical lines give the percentiles of the distribution of the scores along PC1 of all marine fish species that correspond to the scores of the coelacanth under the various ageing hypotheses. Boxplots are defined as in Figure 1. Life-history traits for other marine fish were extracted from FishBase in June 2020 (147 populations distributed across 131 species).



STAR METHODS

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Kélig MAHE (kelig.mahe@ifremer.fr)

Materials availability

This study did not generate any reagents or other materials.

Data and code availability

All data on coelacanth specimens are available in the Supplementary Material. Data on the other marine fish species were extracted from FishBase in June 2020 (see Key resources table). All data extracted and R codes supporting the current study have been deposited in SEANOE (<https://doi.org/10.17882/80498>).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Between 2 and 5 scales were sampled from the basal part of the first dorsal fin of coelacanth specimens from public natural history collections: two specimens of the Zoologisches Staatssammlung München (ZSM; The Bavarian State Collection of Zoology) and 25 of the Collections des pieces anatomiques en fluide from the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris (MNHN; The French National Museum of Natural History). For each specimen, we provide its inventory number of the institution that houses the collection and the Coelacanth Conservation Council (CCC) number¹⁷ (See also Table S1). The specimens of the MNHN and ZSM are stored in 10% formalin and 70° alcohol, respectively. The MNHN's specimens are conserved in the formalin since their capture and, with time, the un-buffered conservative solution became acid, which could have damaged the structure of some scales.

METHOD DETAILS

Sclerochronology

The coelacanth has an elasmoid-type scale that has evolved several times independently in the evolutionary history of fish. All scales were rehydrated and cleaned. After this preliminary step, they were photographed under transmitted light using a Zeiss microscope equipped with a camera to observe the series of concentric macroscopic circuli (macro-circuli). In a second step, they were photographed under polarized light microscopy in order to increase the contrast

between structures. Polarized light microscopy reveals topographical details on anisotropic materials, including bio-calcified structures such as scales, which are difficult to observe under transmitted light. Polarized light revealed more numerous circuli, thinner than the macro-circuli and formed by alternating translucent and opaque bands suggestive of growth rate variation. Several scales were scanned using a micro-computed tomography scanner (CT scan) providing a high resolution 3-dimensional representation of the object. In a few cases, the structures on the scale were not distinguishable due to degradation by the formalin solution and thus scale interpretation was not possible in the corresponding specimens (See also Table S1).

The image processing was performed using the image analysis system TNPC (Digital processing for calcified structures) for pictures under both transmitted and polarized light. Two sclerochronology experts analyzed each scale by identifying macro-circuli under transmitted light and circuli under polarized light along the longest growth axis, i.e. from the proximal to the distal end of the scale (Figure 1). Several scales per specimen were used to obtain a robust estimate of the number of (macro-) circuli and thus of the age of the specimen under the assumption of an annual growth periodicity (see age validation method below).

[Age validation method: Marginal Increment Analysis](#)

Calcified structures in fish have the potential to grow throughout the life of the individual without resorption. Variations in the individual's body growth are translated into variations in the growth of the calcified structures. These variations are revealed by the optical properties of the bio-calcified material that appears either opaque or translucent. Hence, circannual rhythm in body growth and calcified structure formation produces an alternation of translucent and opaque bands on the latter. Pairs of translucent-opaque bands correspond to annual increments and can thus be used for ageing individuals. However, for using observed increments on calcified structures (here macro-circuli and circuli on coelacanth scales) to age individuals, it is necessary to validate their annual frequency of formation as any event affecting growth may

produce such a mark (e.g. transition from endogenous to exogenous nutrition in larvae, migration, gestation, thermal shock). Such age validation is required for establishing the accuracy of an age estimation method³¹.

Marginal Increment Analysis (MIA) is the most commonly used age validation method and allows assessing the periodicity of increment formation in bio-calcified structures³². It is a quantitative approach that relies on a measure of the size of the increment under formation (named the marginal increment), i.e., the distance between the most recently formed (macro-) circuli and the edge of the scale, relative to the size of the last fully formed increment, i.e., the distance between the last-but-one and the most recently formed (macro-) circuli. In mathematical notation, the relative measure of the marginal increment, MI, is given by:

$$MI = \frac{R_o - R_n}{R_n - R_{n-1}}$$

Where R_o is the radius of the scale measured from its focus to the edge (Figure 1), R_n is the distance between the focus and the last (macro-) circuli formed n , and R_{n-1} is the distance between the focus and the last-but-one (macro-) circuli $n - 1$. If (macro-) circuli are formed annually, the marginal increment MI will thus exhibit an intra-annual periodic pattern that can be observed by plotting its measure against the date of its origin, i.e. the month at which the specimen was captured. MI was measured for each specimen using macro-circuli under transmitted light and circuli under polarized light and plotted against the month of capture. A sinusoidal regression of MI against the month of capture m with a period of 12 months was used to test for the annual periodicity of (macro-)-circuli formation after linearization:

$$MI \sim a + b \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{12}m + c\right) = a + b \sin(c) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{12}m\right) + b \cos(c) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{12}m\right) \quad (1a)$$

so that,

$$MI \sim \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{12}m\right) + \alpha_2 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{12}m\right) \quad (1b)$$

with $a = \alpha_0$, $b = (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)^{1/2}$, and $c = \arctan(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2})$.

The global significance of the linear regression provided a statistical validation for an intra-annual pattern in (macro-) circuli MI. The classical assumptions of the linear models (normality of, homoscedasticity of, and absence of trends in the residuals) were verified and met.

Body growth models

The mean body growth patterns of the sampled specimens obtained by interpreting scales using macro-circuli and circuli were described using three different growth models including:

- a) the von Bertalanffy³³ model:

$$TL_t = TL_\infty - (TL_\infty - TL_1) \cdot e^{-K \cdot (t-1)} \quad (2)$$

- b) the Gompertz³⁴ model:

$$TL_t = TL_\infty \cdot e^{\ln(TL_1/TL_\infty) \cdot e^{-K \cdot (t-1)}} \quad (3)$$

- c) the logistic model³⁵:

$$TL_t = \frac{TL_\infty}{1 + \left(\left(\frac{TL_\infty}{TL_1}\right) - 1\right) \cdot e^{-K \cdot (t-1)}} \quad (4)$$

Where TL_1 , TL_t , and TL_∞ are respectively the length at age 1, at age t and the asymptotic length, and K is the rate at which the asymptote is reached, called the rate coefficient in this paper. Notice that the models started at age 1 as no data was available for age 0 individuals, hence the age offset of 1 in the exponential: $t - 1$.

Auximetric plot and growth performance comparison

The body growth patterns obtained for coelacanth macro-circuli and circuli were compared with those of other marine species using two complementary approaches.

First, an auximetric plot³⁶, which is a double logarithmic plot (base 10) of the von Bertalanffy growth model parameters K versus TL_∞ , was produced. Given that K represents the rate at

which TL_{∞} is reached in inverse time units whereas TL_{∞} represents the size range of the species in size units, it is difficult to compare multiple growth patterns while accounting for both dimensions at the same time. In addition, the fact that K and TL_{∞} are negatively correlated complicates the matter. The auximetric plot allows circumventing these difficulties. A population characterized by a set of von Bertalanffy growth parameters (TL_{∞}, K) is represented by a point and populations of a given species or species having similar growth characteristics will tend to form clusters of points that delimit the growth space of that species or group of species. Moreover, the auximetric plot allows the comparison of species according to their speed of growth at a given asymptotic size (vertical direction) and according to their body size for a given speed of growth (horizontal direction).

Second, the “growth performance index”³⁶ was computed:

$$\phi' = \log_{10} K + 2 \log_{10} TL_{\infty} \quad (5)$$

This index has the interesting property of having the same dimension as a growth rate, i.e. size per unit time, and thus allows to compare the global growth performances across species. A very high growth index would correspond to species growing fast to large sizes relative to species with a low one. Empirically, the index ϕ' is shown to be distributed normally for populations of the same species or phylogenetically close species. Isolines of the index ϕ' can be superimposed to the auximetric plot since these have a known slope of 2, thus allowing both approaches to be used at the same time.

Both approaches were used for the comparison of the coelacanth with other marine fish species. Von Bertalanffy parameters for the other marine fish species were extracted from FishBase in June 2020 (Popgrowth table¹⁸). Only populations for which size was measured as total length were kept for comparison, resulting in 1383 populations distributed across 1313 species.

Slow-fast life-history continuum analysis

The location of the coelacanth along the slow-fast life-history continuum¹² in marine fish was assessed using estimates of time-related life-history traits obtained from both macro-circuli and

circuli ageing: the von Bertalanffy rate coefficient K (yr^{-1}), the maximum observed longevity (yr), the age at first sexual maturity (yr), and the gestation duration (yr) transformed into a spawning frequency (yr^{-1}). These four traits were extracted for other marine fish species from FishBase in June 2020 (Popgrowth, Species, Maturity, Fecundity and Spawning tables¹⁸) and were available together for 147 populations distributed across 131 species.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Body growth models

For both macro-circuli and circuli ageing, the best growth model was identified as the one minimizing the small-sample, bias-corrected form of the Akaike Information Criterion (AICc^{37,38}). The AICc balances the trade-off between the quality of fit and the number of parameters used³⁸ while accounting for small-sample bias and is defined as:

$$\text{AICc} = 2k - 2 \ln(L) + \frac{2k(k+1)}{n-k-1} \quad (6)$$

where n is the sample size, k is the total number of parameters of the model and L is its likelihood.

Slow-fast life-history continuum analysis

As time-related life-history traits are known to be affected by body size¹², the four traits were regressed against asymptotic total length TL_{∞} after log10-transformation of the five variables. A Principal Components (PC) analysis was then carried out on the residuals of the regressions of the four life-history traits after centering and scaling. The two first PCs explained 78.9% of the variation. A biplot on the plane defined by the two first PCs was produced in order to identify the slow-fast life-history continuum and to position the coelacanth life-history as estimated by the two ageing methods. The biplot represents both populations' projection on the PC plane as dots and life-history trait projection as arrows.

Statistical analyses and plots were performed using the following packages in the statistical environment R: Rfishbase³⁹, ade4⁴⁰, ggplot2⁴¹, factoextra⁴², ggpubr⁴³.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

References

1. Hureau, J. C. and Ozouf, C. (1977). Determination de l'âge et croissance du coelacanth *Latimeria Smith*, 1939 (poisson, crossopterygian, coelacanthide). *Cybium*. Ser. 3, 129–137.
2. Froese, R., and Palomares, M.L.D. (2000). Growth, natural mortality, length weight relationship, maximum length and length-at-first-maturity of the coelacanth *Latimeria*. *Environ. Biol. Fish* 58, 45–52. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007602613607>.
3. Smith, J.L.B. (1939). A living fish of Mesozoic type. *Nature* 143, 455–456. <https://doi.org/10.1038/143455a>.
4. Fricke, H. Hissmann, K., Schauer, J., Reinicke, O., and Ksang, L. (1991). Habitat and population size of the coelacanth *Latimeria chalumnae* at Grand Comoro. *Environmental Biology Fishes*. 32, 287–300. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00007462>
5. Smith, C. L., Rand, C. S., Schaeffer, B., and Atz. J.W. (1975). *Latimeria*, the living coelacanth, is ovoviviparous. *Science* 190, 1105–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.190.4219.1105>
6. Fricke, H., Hissmann, K., Froese, R., Schauer, J., Plante, R., and Fricke, S. (2011). The population biology of the living coelacanth studied over 21 years. *Mar. Biol.* 158(7), 1511-1522. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-011-1667-x>.
7. Bruton, M. N., and Armstrong, M. J. (1991). The demography of the coelacanth *Latimeria chalumnae*. *Environ. Biol. Fish.* 32, 301–311. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00007463>.
8. Hismann, K., Fricke H., and Schauer. J. (2000). Patterns of time and space utilisation in coelacanths (*Latimeria chalumnae*), determined by ultrasonic telemetry. *Mar. Biol.* 136, 943-952. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002270000294>.

9. Décamps, T., Herrel, A., Ballesta, L., Holon, F., Rauby, T., Gentil, Y., Gentil, C., Dutel, H., Debruyne, R., Charrassin, J.-B., *et al.* (2016). The third dimension: a novel set-up for filming coelacanths in their natural environment. *Methods in Ecol. Evol.* 8: 322-328. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12671>.
10. Hughes, G.M. (1995). The gills of the coelacanth, *Latimeria chalumnae*, a study in relation to body size. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B.* 347, 427-438. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1995.0034>.
11. Fricke, H., and Hissman, K. (2000). Feeding ecology and evolutionary survival of the living coelacanth *Latimeria chalumnae*. *Mar. Biol.* 136, 379-386. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002270050697>.
12. Gaillard, J. M., Lemaître, J. F., Berger, V., Bonenfant, C., Devillard, S., Douhard, M., Gamelon, M., Plard, F., and Lebreton, J. D. (2016) Life history axes of variation. In *The Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Biology*, R. Kliman, ed. (Cambridge : Academic Press), pp. 312–323.
13. Murua, H. Rodriguez-Marin, E. Neilson, J. D. Farley, J. H., and Juan-Jordá, M. J. (2017). Fast versus slow growing tuna species: age, growth, and implications for population dynamics and fisheries management, *Rev. Fish Biol. Fisher.* 27(4), 733-773. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-017-9474-1>.
14. Vitale, F., Worsøe Clausen, L., and Ní Chonchúir, G. (2019). Handbook of fish age estimation protocols and validation methods. *ICES Coop. Res. Rep.* 346, 1-180 <http://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.5221>.
15. Treble, M. A., Campana, S. E., Wastle, R. J., Jones, C. M., and Boje, J. (2008). Growth analysis and age validation of a deepwater Arctic fish: the Greenland Halibut (*Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 65, 1047–1059. <https://doi.org/10.1139/F08-030>.

16. Le Cren, E. D. (1947).The determination of the age and growth of the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) from the opercular bone. J. Anim. Ecol. 16, 188 – 204. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1494>.
17. Nulens, R., Scott, L., and Herbin, M. (2011).An updated inventory of all known specimens of the coelacanth, *Latimeria* spp. Smithiana Special Publication 3, 1–52.
18. Froese, R., and Pauly, D. Editors. FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org (12/2019)
19. Cailliet, G. M., Andrews, A.H., Burton, E.J., Watters, D.L., Kline, D.E., and Ferry-Graham, L.A. (2001). Age determination and validation studies of marine fishes: do deep-dwellers live longer, Exper. Gero. 36, 739-764. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0531-5565\(00\)00239-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0531-5565(00)00239-4).
20. Sinha, M., Jha, S., and Chakraborty, P., (2020).Indian Ocean wind speed variability and global teleconnection patterns. Oceanologia, Preprint at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceano.2019.10.002>.
21. Heemstra, P.C., and Greenwood. P.H. (1992). New observations on the visceral anatomy of the late term fetuses of the living coelacanth fish and the oophagy controversy. Proceeding of the Royal Society of London B. 249, 49-55. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1992.0082>.
22. Tanaka, S., Shiobara, Y., Hioki, S., Abe, H., Nishi, G., Yano, K., and Suzuki, K., (1990). The reproductive biology of the frilled shark, *Chlamydoselachus anguineus* from Suruga Bay, Japan. Jap. J. Ichthyol. 37(3), 273-291. <https://doi.org/10.11369/jji1950.37.273>.
23. Beverton, R. J. H. (1992). Patterns of reproductive strategy parameters in some marine teleost fishes. J. Fish Biol. 41(sB), 137–160. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1992.tb03875.x>
24. Conrath, C. L., and Musick, J. A. (2012). Reproductive Biology of Elasmobranchs. In Biology of Sharks and Their Relatives, J.C. Carrier, J.A. Musick, and M.R. Heithaus, eds. (Florida : CRC Press), pp. 307–328.

- 25 Gunderson, D. R. (1997). Trade-off between reproductive effort and adult survival in oviparous and viviparous fishes. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54(9), 990-998.
- 26 Ernande, B., Dieckmann, U., and Heino, M. (2004). Adaptive changes in harvested populations: Plasticity and evolution of age and size at maturation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B* 271, 415–423.
- 27 Warner, R. R., and Chesson, P. L. (1985). Coexistence Mediated by Recruitment Fluctuations: A Field Guide to the Storage Effect. *The American Naturalist*, 125(6), 769–787. <https://doi.org/10.1086/284379>
28. Voituron, Y., de Fraipont, M., Issartel, J., Guillaume, O., and Clobert, J. (2011). Evolutionary biology Extreme lifespan of the human fish (*Proteus anguinus*): a challenge for ageing mechanisms. *Biol. Lett.* 7, 105–107. <https://doi:10.1098/rsbl.2010.0539>.
29. Cheung, W.W.L., Pitcher, T.J., and Pauly, D. (2005) A fuzzy logic expert system to estimate intrinsic extinction vulnerabilities of marine fishes to fishing. *Biological Conservation*, 124, 97–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2005.01.017>.
30. Norse, E. A., Brooke, S., Cheung, W. W. L., Clark, M. R., Ekeland, I., Froese, R., Gjerde, K. M., Haedrich, R. L., Heppell, S. S., Morato, T., *et al.* (2012). Sustainability of deep-sea fisheries. *Marine Policy*, 36(2), 307–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2011.06.008>
31. Beamish, R. J., and McFarlane, G. A. (1983). The forgotten requirement for age validation in fisheries biology. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 112, 735–743. <https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659>.
32. Campana, S. (2001). Accuracy, precision and quality control in age determination, including a review of the use and abuse of age validation methods. *J. Fish Biol.* 59(2), 197–242. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2001.tb00127.x>
33. Von Bertalanffy, L. (1938). A quantitative theory of organic growth (Inquiries on growth laws II). *Hum. Biol.* 10, 181-213.

34. Gompertz, B. (1825). On the nature of the function expressive of the law of human mortality and on a new mode of determining the value of life contingencies. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* *115*, 515–585. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspl.1815.0271>.
35. Verhulst, P. F. (1838). Notice sur la loi que la population poursuit dans son accroissement. *Corresp. Math. Phys.* *10*, 113-121. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001827>
36. Pauly, D. (1979). Gill size and temperature as governing factors in fish growth: a generalization of von Bertalanffy's growth formula. PhD Thesis, Univ. Kiel and Institut für Meereskunde.
37. Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control.* *19*, 716-723. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705>
38. Sakamo, Y., Ishiguro, M., and Kitagawa, G. (1986). Akaike Information Criterion Statistics (Netherlands : Springer).
39. Boettiger, C., Lang D. T., and Wainwright, P. C. (2012). rfishbase: exploring, manipulating and visualizing FishBase data from R. *J. Fish Biol.* *81*, 2030-2039. DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2012.03464.x
409. Chessel, D., Dufour, A., and Thioulouse, J. (2004). The ade4 Package - I: One-Table Methods. *R News*, *4(1)*, 5-10. <https://cran.r-project.org/doc/Rnews/>
41. Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis (New York : Springer-Verlag).
42. Kassambara, A., and Mundt, F. (2020). factoextra: Extract and Visualize the Results of Multivariate Data Analyses. R package version 1.0.7. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=factoextra>.
43. Kassambara, A. (2020). ggpubr: 'ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots. R package version 0.4.0. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggpubr>.




Figure S1. Image of a scale of *L. chalumnae* CCC26 obtained using a micro-computed tomography scanner (μCT Brucker Skyscan 1174), Related to Figure 1.





Figure S2. Emergence of macroscopic circuli in each specimen according to the geographic area: A) in relation to the calendar year, B) in relation to individual age (white circles for females and black circles for males), Related to Figure 1.

Inventory number	CCC number	Date of capture (month/year)	Capture depth (m)	Total weight (kg)	Total length (cm)	Sex	Nb. of macro-circuli	Nb. of circuli
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-6	CCC10	03/1955	300	79	166	♀	10	58
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-8	CCC11	03/1955	250	26	131	♀	NA	NA
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-9	CCC12	04/1955	300	23	122	♂	11	56
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-10	CCC13	05/1955	200	70	170	♀	13	64
ZSM 28410	CCC160	09/1989	NA	3	62	NA	3	12
ZSM 28409	CCC162.21	08/1991	NA	0.417	35.6	in utero	1	5
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-13	CCC17	09/1958	350	37	135	♂	NA	NA
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-15	CCC19	10/1959	180	35	132	♂	10	40
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-16	CCC20	01/1960	611	95	180	♀	17	84
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-17	CCC21	02/1960	600	40	145	♀	10	59
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-18	CCC22	06/1960	300	31	130	♂	NA	NA
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-19	CCC24	07/1960	250	64	145	♀	11	69
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-20	CCC26	04/1961	250	33	135	♂	9	41
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-21	CCC27	08/1961	NA	38	132	♂	12	50
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-22	CCC29.5	01/1962	NA	0.530	30.5	in utero	1	5
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-1	CCC3	09/1953	200	40	129	♂	NA	NA
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-23	CCC30	02/1962	NA	30	124	♂	10	60
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-24	CCC31	03/1962	200	45	150	♀	8	66
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-2	CCC4	01/1954	390	20	109	♀	10	47
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-3	CCC5	01/1954	280	34	127	♂	11	40
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-4	CCC6	02/1954	377	33	126	♂	12	69
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-5	CCC7	09/1954	160	30	130	♂	NA	NA
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-25	CCC71	11/1970	70	73	160	♀	12	66
MNHN-ZA-AC C64	CCC76	04/1971	25	10	85	♀	7	32
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-26	CCC79	01/1972	400	78	163	♀	NA	NA

MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-6	CCC8	11/1954	25	41	142	♀	11	57
MNHN-ZA-AC 2012-27	CCC94	08/1974	180	0.8	42.5	♀	1	9

Table S1. Detailed list of the 27 individuals used in this study together with their capture information, biometric characteristics and scale structures. Inventory number: individual's inventory number in the local collections of the French National Museum of Natural History (MNHN) and the Bavarian State Collection of Zoology (ZSM); CCC number: individual's number in the inventory of all known coelacanth specimens by the Coelacanth Conservation Council (CCC)¹⁸; Nb. of macro-circuli: number of macro-circuli observed on the surface of the scale; Nb. of circuli: number of circuli observed on the surface of the scale; NA: non-readable scale, Related to Table 1.

Ageing data	Growth model	K (year ⁻¹)	TL ₁ (cm)	TL _∞ (cm)	ΔAIC
circuli	von Bertalanffy	0.02	20.14	203.80	0.00
	Gompertz	0.04	27.82	179.64	0.60
	Logistic	0.06	30.01	164.26	1.34
macro-circuli	von Bertalanffy	0.05	46.91	296.12	0.00
	Gompertz	0.14	45.07	206.63	0.01
	Logistic	0.23	37.61	184.39	0.45
previous age data	von Bertalanffy	0.04	21.98	286.41	0.00
	Gompertz	0.11	28.28	207.20	2.00
	Logistic	0.18	27.60	187.28	4.78

Table S2. Difference in AIC (ΔAIC) between the different body growth models (von Bertalanffy, Gompertz and Logistic) applied to three length-at-age datasets obtained from scale interpretations for age based on circuli observed under polarized light microscopy, macro-circuli observed under transmitted light microscopy, and previous age data², Related to Table 1.