The Segmented Zambezi Sedimentary System from Source to Sink: 1. Sand Petrology and Heavy Minerals

Garzanti Eduardo ^{1, *}, Pastore Guido ¹, Resentini Alberto ¹, Vezzoli Giovanni ¹, Vermeesch Pieter ², Ncube Lindani ³, Niekerk Helena Johanna Van ³, Jouet Gwenael ⁴, Dall'asta Massimo ⁵

¹ Laboratory for Provenance Studies, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Milano-Bicocca, 20126 Milano, Italy

² London Geochronology Centre, Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

³ Department of Environmental Sciences, University of South Africa, Florida, South Africa

⁴ Unité de Recherche Geosciences Marines, IFREMER (Institut Français de Recherche pour

l'Exploitation de la Mer), CS 10070, 29280 Plouzané, France

⁵ TOTAL Exploration and Production, CSTJF, Avenue Larribau, 64018 Pau Cedex Pau, France

* Corresponding author : Eduardo Garzanti, email address : eduardo.garzanti@unimib.it

Abstract :

The Zambezi River rises at the center of southern Africa, flows across the low-relief Kalahari Plateau, meets Karoo basalt, plunges into Victoria Falls, follows along Karoo rifts, and pierces through Precambrian basement to eventually deliver its load onto the Mozambican passive margin. Reflecting its polyphase evolution, the river is subdivided into segments with different geological and geomorphological character, a subdivision finally fixed by man's construction of large reservoirs and faithfully testified by sharp changes in sediment composition. Pure quartzose sand recycled from Kalahari desert dunes in the uppermost tract is next progressively enriched in basaltic rock fragments and clinopyroxene. Sediment load is renewed first downstream of Lake Kariba and next downstream of Lake Cahora Bassa, documenting a stepwise decrease in quartz and durable heavy minerals. Composition becomes quartzofeldspathic in the lower tract, where most sediment is supplied by high-grade basements rejuvenated by the southward propagation of the East African rift. Feldspar abundance in Lower Zambezi sand has no equivalent among big rivers on Earth and far exceeds that in sediments of the northern delta, shelf, and slope, revealing that provenance signals from the upper reaches have ceased to be transmitted across the routing system after closure of the big dams. This high-resolution petrologic study of Zambezi sand allows us to critically reconsider several dogmas, such as the supposed increase of mineralogical "maturity" during long-distance fluvial transport, and forges a key to unlock the rich information stored in sedimentary archives, with the ultimate goal to accurately reconstruct the evolution of this mighty river flowing across changing African landscapes since the late Mesozoic.

1

"A river or a drainage basin might best be considered to have a heritage rather than an origin. It is like an organic form, the product of a continuous evolutionary line through time." *Leopold et al. 1995, p.421*

"Nyaminyami is a personification of the Zambezi itself and, by analogy, of the life force and the will to survive."

Main 1990, ZAMBEZI Journey of a river, ch.8, p.124

Introduction

1 2

The Zambezi is one of the most fascinating river systems on Earth, flowing across wild landscapes from Barotseland to Victoria Falls and next plunging into deep gorges carved in basalt and along ancient rift valleys to finally reach the Indian Ocean (Fig. 1; Main 1990; Moore et al. 2007). The relatively recent, complex and controversial natural evolution of its drainage basin ended in the Anthropocene with its drastic subdivision into separate segments by man's construction of two big dams and associated reservoirs.

9 This is the first of a series of articles aiming at characterizing, by a multitechnique approach, the composition of sediment generated in the diverse tracts of the vast Zambezi catchment and the present 10 and inherited factors that influence compositional variability from the headwaters to the Mozambican 11 12 coast and beyond. This study, based on framework petrography and heavy-mineral data, focusses specifically on: a) the relative effects of source-rock lithology and chemical weathering on sand 13 mineralogy in a subequatorial climate; b) the transmission of compositional signals along the 14 15 sediment-routing system from source to sink; c) the use and misuse of current petrological models to 16 infer sediment provenance and of mineralogical parameters to infer climatic conditions. A forthcoming companion paper will be based on complementary data from sand and mud 17 18 geochemistry, clay mineralogy, and detrital zircon geochronology on the same sample set (Garzanti et al., forthcoming). Our ultimate purpose is to build up a solid knowledge of the modern sedimentary 19 system that can be applied to trace fluvial transport from the land to the deep sea and, eventually, to 20

investigate provenance changes recorded in stratigraphic successions accumulated in marine
depocentres through time and thus unravel the complex evolution of the Zambezi River since the late
Mesozoic.

- 24
- 25 26

Geology

27 The Precambrian. The Archean core of southern Africa includes the Zimbabwe Craton, welded
28 by the Limpopo Belt to the Kaapvaal Craton in the south and bounded by the mid-Paleoproterozoic
29 Magondi Belt in the west (Fig. 2). The Zimbabwe Craton comprises a central terrane flanked by
30 greenstone belts. Gneisses of the central terrane are non-conformably overlain by volcanic rocks and
31 conglomerates. The craton was stabilized in the mid-Neoarchean and finally sealed by the Great Dyke

swarm at ~2575 Ma (Jelsma and Dirks 2002; Söderlund et al. 2010).

The composite Archaean core grew progressively during the Paleoproterozoic and Mesoproterozoic. The Proto-Kalahari Craton was established by the late Paleoproterozoic and affected by widespread intraplate magmatism at 1.1 Ga (Hanson et al. 2006), not long before the Kalahari Craton was formed during the orogenic event when Rodinia was assembled (Jacobs et al. 2008).

Orogens developed in the Paleoproterozoic and reworked in the Neproterozoic at the southern margin of the Tanzania Craton include the NW/SE trending Ubendian metamorphic belt, bounding the Bangweulu Block to the north (Boniface and Appel 2018) and the SW/NE striking Usagaran Belt to the east (Collins et al. 2004). In northern Zimbabwe, the Orosirian Magondi Belt contains arc-related volcano-sedimentary and plutonic rocks metamorphosed at amphibolite facies (Majaule et al. 2001; Master et al. 2010).

Orogens generated in the Mesoproterozoic include the Kibaran Belt in the north (Kokonyangi et al. 2006; Debruyne et al. 2015) and the Irumide Belt, which stretches from central Zambia in the SW to northern Malawi in the NE, is delimited by the largely undeformed basement of the Bangweulu block in the north, and was largely affected by the Neoproterozoic orogeny in the west (Fig. 2). The Irumide

Belt includes a Paleoproterozoic gneissic basement overlain by siliciclastic and minor carbonate strata deposited during the late Orosirian (Muva Supergroup), as well as granitoid suites emplaced in the earliest, middle, and latest Mesoproterozoic. During the ~1 Ga orogeny, metamorphic grade increased from greenschist facies in the NW to upper amphibolite and granulite facies in the SE (De Waele et al. 2006, 2009). The Choma–Kalomo block in southern Zambia is a distinct Mesoproterozoic domain also including amphibolite-facies metasediments and granitoid intrusions affected by the latest Mesoproterozoic thermal event (Glynn et al. 2017).

The Kalahari Craton of southern Africa was finally welded to the Congo Craton during the major 54 Neoproterozoic Pan-African orogeny, testified by the Damara-Lufilian-Zambezi Belt stretching 55 56 from coastal Namibia in the west and across Botswana and southern Zambia to finally connect with the Mozambique Belt in the east (Frimmel et al. 2011; Fritz et al. 2013; Goscombe et al. 2020). The 57 Lufilian Arc, located between the Congo and Kalahari Cratons, consists of Neoproterozoic low- to 58 59 high-grade metasedimentary and metaigneous rocks hosting Cu-Co-U and Pb-Zn mineralizations (Kampunzu and Cailteux 1999; John et al. 2004; Eglinger et al. 2016). The Zambezi Belt contains a 60 volcano-sedimentary succession deformed under amphibolite-facies conditions during the early 61 Neoproterozoic (Hanson 2003), whereas eclogite-facies metamorphism constrains the timing of 62 subduction and basin closure as latest Neoproterozoic (Hargrove et al. 2003; John et al. 2003). 63

64 The break-up of Gondwana. A major tectono-magmatic event straddling the Paleozoic/Mesozoic boundary is widely documented across southern Africa (Jourdan et al. 2005; 65 Manninen et al. 2008), when the several km-thick Upper Carboniferous to Lower Jurassic Karoo 66 Supergroup was deposited, including glacial sediments, shale, and volcaniclastic sandstone followed 67 by fluvial sediments (Johnson et al. 1996). Sedimentation was influenced by changing climate, from 68 initially cold to warmer since the mid-Permian and finally hot with fluctuating precipitation in the 69 70 Triassic, when braidplain sandstone and floodplain mudstone were capped by eolian sandstone (Catuneanu et al. 2005). Karoo-type basins formed in intra- and inter-cratonic settings by rift-related 71

extension. In the Tuli and Mid-Zambezi basins of Zimbabwe, glacial deposits are overlain by Permian
sandstones and coal-bearing mudrocks, followed by ~0.5-km-thick Triassic redbeds and pebbly
sandstones (Bicca et al. 2017). Karoo sedimentation was terminated by flood-basalt eruptions
recorded throughout southern Africa in the Early Jurassic (Svensen et al. 2012).

Finally, rifting and break-up of Gondwana in the mid-Jurassic was followed by opening of the Indian
Ocean in the Early Cretaceous, an event associated with formation of sedimentary basins (Salman
and Abdula 1995; Walford et al. 2005), strike-slip deformation (Klimke et al. 2016), and extensive
volcanism in the Mozambique Channel (Vallier et al. 1974; König and Jokat 2010).

80 In the Cenozoic, fluvial and lacustrine sediments were deposited inland in the Mega-Kalahari rim basin (in Tswana language Kgalagadi, "waterless place"), which comprises the largest sand sea on 81 Earth extending across the southern Africa plateau for over 2.5 10⁶ km² (Haddon and McCarthy 82 2005). Repeated phases of eolian deposition took place during Quaternary dry stages, separated by 83 depositional hiatuses corresponding to more humid stages (Stokes et al. 1998; Thomas and Shaw 84 85 2002). The East African rift developed throughout the Neogene (Ebinger and Scholz 2012; Roberts et al. 2012; Maselli et al. 2019), until along-axis propagation reached the Kalahari region in the 86 Quaternary, through a network of unconnected basins extending SW of Lake Tanganyika (Kinabo et 87 88 al. 2007). Since the late Pleistocene, faulting and subsidence in the incipient Okavango rift zone has exerted a major control on drainage reorganization. 89

- 90
- 91
- 92

The Zambezi River

The Zambezi (from either the Bantu term *mbeze*, "fish", or the M'biza people of central-eastern Zambia), 2575 km in length and with a catchment area of ~ $1.4 \ 10^6 \ \text{km}^2$, is the largest river of southern Africa, extending from 11°S to 20°S and from 19°E to 36°30'E (Fig. 1). Annual water discharge is ~ $100 \ \text{Km}^3$, and suspended load amounts to 50-100 million tons (Hay 1998). Annual rainfall in the basin increases from < 600 mm in the south to > 1200 mm in the north. The largest contribution to 98 runoff, therefore, comes from the headwater branches in Zambia and Angola. Mean monthly flows 99 at Victoria Falls remain over 1000 m³/s from February to June, with maxima of 3000 m³/s in April 100 and minima of 300 m³/s in October to November; 9000 m³/s were reached during the 1958 flood, 101 while the Kariba Dam was under construction (Moore et al. 2007).

The natural course of the Zambezi River has been modified profoundly by the great dams that have 102 created since 1959 Lake Kariba, marking the border between Zimbabwe and Zambia, and since 1974 103 Lake Cahora Bassa in northern Mozambique (the former site of the frightful impassable rapids named 104 kebrabassa, "end of work", by slaves who could proceed no farther upstream). Because the sediment-105 routing system is strictly partitioned by these two major reservoirs, it is here convenient to distinguish 106 107 four reaches: a) an uppermost Zambezi headwater tract as far as the Kwando confluence; b) an Upper Zambezi that includes Victoria Falls and the Batoka Gorge as far as Lake Kariba; c) a Middle Zambezi 108 between the two reservoirs; d) a Lower Zambezi downstream of Lake Cahora Bassa (Fig. 3). 109

Sourced among low ridges of the Kasai Shield in the Mwinilunga District of northernmost Zambia and undecided at first whether to head towards the Atlantic or Indian Ocean, the Uppermost Zambezi cuts across Precambrian basement in easternmost Angola. Back to Zambia, the river traverses unconsolidated Kalahari sands and widens in a ~180 km-long floodplain reaching 30 km in width during peak flood (O'Connor and Thomas 1999). Tree growth is inhibited by the persistently high water table and river waters slowly filter through the wetland, where clay accumulates in soils enriched in humus.

The major Uppermost Zambezi tributary is the Kwando River. Sourced in humid Angola, which receives an annual precipitation up to 1400 mm concentrated between December and March when the southward migration of the Congo Air Boundary brings heavy rains, the river chiefly drains the vegetated Mega-Kalahari dune field (Shaw and Goudie 2002). After entering the Okavango Rift (Modisi et al. 2000; Kinabo et al. 2007), the Kwando (here named Linyanti, and next Chobe) deviates sharply eastward along the Linyanti and Chobe Faults, forming a tectonic depression that favored the recent capture of the Kwando by the Zambezi and is presently favoring the capture of Okavango waters as well, conveyed eastward along the Selinda spillway (Gumbricht et al. 2001). The depression, hosting large swamps and once large paleolakes (Shaw and Thomas 1988), continues into Zambia, where it includes the low-gradient Kasaya and Ngwezi Rivers. These west-bank tributaries of the Zambezi, as the Kabombo (Kabompo) to the north, are sourced in the Lufilian Arc.

Downstream of the Kwando confluence, the Upper Zambezi and its local tributaries (e.g., Sinde from 128 Zambia) incise into Karoo basaltic lavas, where the gradient steepens forming local rapids. Suddenly, 129 the river plunges some 100 m along the 1.7 km length of the Victoria Falls (in Lozi language Mosy-130 wa-Tunya, "the-Smoke-that-Thunders"), and the turbulent waters downstream carve an amazing 131 zigzag into the deep Batoka Gorge of black Karoo basalt, the result of progressive retreat of the 132 waterfalls during the Quaternary (Derricourt 1976). After receiving tributaries draining Karoo lavas 133 overlain by a veneer of Kalahari dune sand (Masuie and Matetsi from Zimbabwe), the river reaches 134 Lake Kariba shortly downstream of the confluence with the Gwai River. The senile low-gradient 135 upper reaches of this major tributary sourced in the Zimbabwe Craton are incised, as its east-bank 136 sub-tributaries, in Karoo basalt and sedimentary rocks surrounded by Kalahari dune sand (Thomas 137 and Shaw 1988; Moore et al. 2009a), whereas the youthful lower reaches cut steeply across the 138 Paleoproterozoic basement of the Magondi Belt (Fig. 3). 139

In the lower 1400 km, the Zambezi skirts around the Zimbabwe Craton, flowing through a rift zone formed on top of the Pan-African (Kuunga) suture zone (Goscombe et al. 2020) and hosting a thick infill of Karoo sediments and lavas (Nyambe and Utting 1997). The major north-bank Zambian tributaries of the Middle Zambezi are the Kafue and the Luangwa Rivers. The Kafue drains southward into the Lufilian Arc and next turns sharply eastwards, cutting across the Zambezi Belt to eventually reach the Zambezi mainstem (Fig. 3). The Luangwa, sourced in the Ubendian Belt of northernmost Zambia, flows for most of its course along a Karoo rift basin filled with an 8 km-thick Permo-Triassic sedimentary succession (Banks et al. 1995), and finally traverses the Zambezi Belt before joining the
Zambezi just upstream of Lake Cahora Bassa.

Major tributaries in Mozambique are the Mazowe (Mazoe) and Luenha (Luia), sourced in the Zimbabwe Craton to the west, and the Shire, the outlet of Lake Malawi (Nyassa). Most detritus contributed by tributaries joining the trunk river from either side is generated from upper Mesoproterozoic gneissic basement reworked during the Neoproterozoic Pan-African orogeny (Grantham et al. 2011). Minor tributaries (e.g., Minjova) and sub-tributaries in the north drain also the Karoo Supergroup (Fernandes et al. 2015).

Finally, the Lower Zambezi traverses the Cretaceous and Cenozoic sedimentary covers of the Mozambique lowlands (Fig. 2). Here the river forms a large floodplain with multiple meandering channels, oxbow lakes and swamps before emptying into the Indian Ocean, where it feeds a wide shelf extending beyond Beira in the south and Quelimane in the north. The flatness of the shelf, reaching 150 km in width offshore Beira, contributes to the highest tidal range around Africa (up to 6.4 m).

The finest sediment fractions of the river suspended load settle far off the shelf, forming a wide mud apron on the slope between 300 and 2000 m water depth. A considerable fraction of Zambezi sediment, however, is not deposited today offshore of the mouth, but transported towards the northeast, a direction opposite to the mean flow within the Mozambique Strait (Schulz et al. 2011; van der Lubbe et al. 2014). Longshore currents are confined to the the inner shelf, whereas the outer shelf is largely covered by palimpsest sand with heavy-mineral lags formed by winnowing by strong oceanic, tidal, and wave-induced currents (Beiersdorf et al. 1980; Miramontes et al. 2020).

The multi-sourced Zambezi deep-sea fan, one of the Earth's largest turbidite systems active since the Oligocene (Droz and Mougenot 1987), is mainly fed via the ~1200 km-long, curvilinear, and exceptionally wide Zambezi Valley starting at the shelfbreak offshore Quelimane ~200 km NE of the 171 Zambezi mouth (Fig. 1). In the over 1000 km-long fan, up to very-coarse-grained sand occurs from
172 the upper canyon to the distal lobes (Kolla et al. 1980; Fierens et al. 2019, 2020).

Drainage evolution. The history of the Zambezi River reflects the multistep changes of African 173 landscape caused by the progressive break-up of Gondwana (Partridge and Maud 1987; Key et al. 174 2015; Knight and Grab 2018). Extensional phases in eastern southern Africa started in the Permian 175 (Macgregor 2018). The entire Middle Zambezi and its major tributary the Luangwa River flow along 176 Permo-Triassic rift zones (Fig. 2). The eastward slope, instead, originated in the Early Cretaceous by 177 domal uplift related to incipient rifting of the South Atlantic and emplacement of the Paranà-Etendeka 178 large igneous province in the west (Cox 1989; Moore and Blenkinsop 2002). Superposed onto 179 180 Precambian mobile belts and Permo-Triassic rifts, or intersecting them, the southward propagation of the East African Rift during the Neogene has created further tectonic depressions, including those 181 occupied by Lake Malawi in the east and by the Okavango inland delta in the west (Ebinger and 182 183 Scholz 2012). The modern Zambezi drainage is thus the result of inheritance from multiple Permo-Mesozoic extensional events on both sides of Africa combined with rifting inside Africa that is still 184 ongoing. 185

Successive events of river capture and drainage reversal, indicated by sharp changes in direction of 186 its major Kwando, Kafue and Luangwa tributaries, and the genetic similarities of fish populations 187 between the Kafue and Upper Zambezi, and between the Middle Zambezi and the Limpopo, have 188 long suggested that the Zambezi, Okavango and Limpopo originally formed a single transcontinental 189 river following uplift associated with Early Cretaceous rifting of the South Atlantic (Thomas and 190 Shaw 1988; Moore et al. 2007). In the Paleogene, uplift of the Ovamboland-Kalahari-Zimbabwe axis 191 192 resulted in endorheic drainage of the Okavango and upper Zambezi (Moore and Larkin 2001). The then isolated Lower Zambezi initiated headward erosion, leading to the sequential capture of its 193 194 middle- and upper-course tributaries. Both Kafue and Luangwa Rivers once drained southwestward, the former joining the Upper Zambezi in the Machili Flats and the latter flowing across the Gwembe 195

trough presently occupied by Lake Kariba (Thomas and Shaw 1991). Linking with the upper course in the Plio-Pleistocene was followed by the capture of the Kwando River, and by the presently occurring capture of the Okavango as well (Wellington 1955; Moore et al. 2007). In NW Zimbabwe, drainage is largely controlled by an old pre-Karoo surface, tilted westward during domal uplift in the Early Jurassic (Moore et al. 2009a). The present east-bank tributaries of the Gwai River all drained westwards toward Botswana, until they were captured by headward erosion of the Gwai River after establishment of the modern Zambezi river course (Thomas and Shaw 1988).

- 203
- 204 205

Methods

Between 2018 and 2019, we collected 31 sediment samples ranging in size from very fine to coarse 206 sand from active river bars of the Zambezi River and of its major tributaries in Zambia and 207 Mozambique. In 2011, 25 additional sediment samples were collected in the Zambezi, Kwando, and 208 Gwai catchments in Zambia, Caprivi Strip, Botswana, and Zimbabwe. To cover the entire Zambezi 209 system from source to sink, we also studied four fine sands from the Bons Sinais Estuary and adjacent 210 beaches in the Quelimane area of the northern Zambezi delta, and five sandy silts collected offshore 211 of Quelimane (core MOZ4-CS14, 181 m b.s.l.) and of the Zambezi delta (core MOZ4-CS17; 550 m 212 b.s.l.) during the PAMELA-MOZ04 Ifremer-Total survey (Jouet and Deville 2015). Offshore 213 sediments, collected by Calypso piston corer within 25 m below the sea floor, were deposited during 214 the last glacial lowstand (MOZ4-CS17-2402-2407cm, 24.1 ka), the post-glacial warming and sea-215 level rise (MOZ4-CS14-1602-1607cm, 15.9 ka; MOZ4-CS17-702-707cm, 14.6 ka), and the 216 Holocene highstand (MOZ4-CS14-21-26cm, 4.3 ka; MOZ4-CS17-52-57cm, 4.0 ka). These 217 sediments were dated using accelerator mass spectrometer (AMS) standard radiocarbon methods on 218 marine mollusk shells and bulk assemblages of planktonic foraminifera by applying a local marine 219 reservoir correction of mean $\Delta R = 158 \pm 42$ yr. Analyses, calibrated dates, and interpolated age 220

models are illustrated in detail in Zindorf et al. (2021). Full information on sampling sites is provided
in Appendix Table A1 and Google Earth® file *Zambezi.kmz*.

Petrography. A quartered fraction of each sample was impregnated with Araldite® resin, stained 223 with alizarine red to distinguish dolomite and calcite, cut into a standard thin section, and analysed 224 by counting 400 or 450 points by the Gazzi-Dickinson method (Ingersoll et al. 1984). Sand is 225 classified according to the three main groups of framework components (Q = quartz; F = feldspars; 226 L = lithic fragments), considered where exceeding 10%QFL and listed in order of abundance 227 (classification scheme after Garzanti 2019). Feldspatho-quartzose sand is thus defined as Q > F >228 10%QFL > L, formally distinguishing between feldspar-rich (Q/F < 2) and quartz-rich (Q/F > 4) 229 230 compositions. Pure quartzose sand is defined as Q/QFL > 95%. These distinctions proved to be essential to discriminate among lithic-poor siliciclastic sediments generated from cratonic blocks and 231 deposited along passive continental margins in different geomorphological settings (Garzanti et al. 232 233 2018a). Microcline with cross-hatch twinning is called for brevity "microcline" through the text. Median grain size was determined in thin section by ranking and visual comparison with standards 234 235 of $\phi/4$ classes prepared by sieving in our laboratory.

Heavy minerals. From a split aliquot of the widest convenient size-window obtained by wet 236 sieving (mainly 15-500 µm), heavy minerals were separated by centrifuging in Na-polytungstate 237 (2.90 g/cm^3) and recovered by partial freezing with liquid nitrogen. In grain mounts, ≥ 200 transparent 238 heavy minerals for each sample were either grain-counted by the area method or point-counted at 239 appropriate regular spacing to obtain correct volume percentages (Garzanti and Andò 2019). 240 Mineralogical analyses were carried out by routinely coupling observations under the microscope and 241 242 the Raman spectroscope. Transparent heavy-mineral assemblages, called for brevity "tHM suites" throughout the text, are defined as the spectrum of detrital extrabasinal minerals with density >2.90 243 244 g/cm³ identifiable under a transmitted-light microscope. According to the transparent-heavy-mineral concentration in the sample (tHMC), tHM suites are defined as extremely poor (tHMC < 0.1), very 245

poor ($0.1 \le tHMC < 0.5$), poor ($0.5 \le tHMC < 1$), moderately poor ($1 \le tHMC < 2$), moderately rich ($2 \le tHMC < 5$), rich ($5 \le tHMC < 10$), very rich ($10 \le tHMC < 20$), or extremely rich (tHMC > 20). The sum of zircon, tourmaline, and rutile over total transparent heavy minerals (**ZTR** index of Hubert 1962) expresses the chemical durability of the tHM suite. The "Amphibole Color Index" **ACI** varies from 0 in detritus from greenschist, blueschist, or lowermost amphibolite-facies rocks yielding exclusively blue or blue/green amphibole to 100 in detritus from granulite-facies or volcanic rocks yielding exclusively brown amphibole (Andò et al. 2014).

Significant minerals are listed in order of abundance (high to low) throughout the text. Key compositional parameters are summarized in Table 1. The complete petrographic and heavy-mineral datasets are provided in Appendix Tables A2 and A3.

River morphometry. The geomorphological properties of the Zambezi River and its major tributaries were quantified using TopoToolbox, a set of MATLAB functions for the analysis of relief and flow pathways in digital elevation models (DEM; Schwanghart and Scherler 2014). The analysis of the longitudinal profile of bedrock channels was carried out on a 90 m-resolution DEM provided by Shuttle Radar Topography Mission Global (SRTM GL3; <u>https://opentopography.org</u>) in order to identify major knickpoints, defined as sites where the channel gradient changes abruptly owing to sharp local changes in bedrock strength and/or uplift rate.

Channel concavity θ and normalized channel-steepness k_{sn} (referenced to a fixed concavity 0.45 to facilitate comparison among channel slopes with widely varying drainage areas and concavities) are defined by the power-law relationship $S = k_s A^{-\theta}$ between the local channel slope *S* and the contributing drainage area *A* used as a proxy for discharge (Flint 1974; Whipple 2004).

- 267
- 268

Data

269

In the partitioned Zambezi sediment-routing system, sand compositional signatures are radically
different upstream and downstream of both Lake Kariba and Lake Cahora Bassa (Table 1), indicating

that no sand can pass across each reservoir. In the Uppermost Zambezi mainstem, as in some of its major tributaries including the Kwando and the Kafue, another factor hampering the continuity of downstream sediment transport is the occurrence of densely vegetated flat lowland occupied by numerous pans commonly aligned with shallow grassy valleys (*dambos*) acting as natural sediment traps (Moore et al. 2007).

The Uppermost Zambezi. Near the source, close to the political boundary between Zambia,
Congo and Angola, sand is pure quartzose with K-feldspar >> plagioclase and a very poor tHM
suite dominated by zircon with tourmaline, minor rutile, and staurolite (Fig. 4A). Kyanite increases
downstream and clinopyroxene is significant upstream of the Kwando confluence.

The Kwando River from Angola contributes pure quartzose sand with a very poor tHM suite including zircon, tourmaline, kyanite, and staurolite (Fig. 5A). Sand of west-bank tributaries from Zambia ranges from quartz-rich feldspatho-quartzose with K-feldspar > plagioclase (Kabombo, Ngwezi) to pure quartzose with K-feldspar >> plagioclase (Kasaya). Muscovite occurs. The tHM suites vary from poor with tourmaline, rutile, epidote and kyanite (Kabombo) to very poor and including epidote, zircon, tourmaline, staurolite and green augite (Kasaya), or epidote-dominated with amphibole and minor garnet (Ngwezi).

288*The Upper Zambezi*. Basaltic detritus from Karoo lavas mixes with quartz as the river approaches289Victoria Falls, and in steadily increasing proportions across the gorges downstream of the falls.290Upstream of Lake Kariba, bedload sand and levee silty sand include mafic volcanic rock fragments291with lathwork and microlitic textures (Fig. 4B) and are, respectively, quartzose with plagioclase \approx K-292feldspar and litho-feldspatho-quartzose with plagioclase >> K-feldspar. The moderately rich tHM293suite consists almost entirely of green augite with a few olivine grains.

Upstream of Victoria Falls, the Sinde tributary from Zambia carries quartzose sand with mafic volcanic grains and a poor tHM suite dominated by brown and green augite. Basaltic detritus increases in tributary sand downstream of the falls. Masuie and Matetsi sands are, respectively, lithicrich litho-quartzose and quartzo-lithic basalticlastic, with rich and very rich tHM suites consistingalmost exclusively of augite and augite-bearing rock fragments.

River bars and levees of the Gwai River from Zimbabwe consist of feldspatho-quartzose sand with plagioclase > K-feldspar (Fig. 5B). Mostly biotitic mica is concentrated in levee silty sand. The moderately rich tHM suite consists of amphibole with subordinate epidote, garnet, and clinopyroxene. The Deka River carries quartz-rich litho-quartzose basalticlastic sand with a very rich tHM suite dominated by clinopyroxene with some epidote.

The Middle Zambezi. Downstream of Lake Kariba, Zambezi sand has the same feldspar-rich feldspatho-quartzose composition as Kafue River sand, with K-feldspar >> plagioclase, some metamorphic rock fragments, and micas (biotite \geq muscovite) (Figs. 4C and 5C). The rich tHM suite includes amphibole (blue-green to green-brown hornblende and actinolite) and subordinate epidote, kyanite, and clinopyroxene. Amphibole decreases and zircon increases slightly downstream the mainstem.

The Luangwa River carries feldspatho-quartzose sand with K-feldspar >> plagioclase and granitoid to gneissic rock fragments, with a moderately rich tHM suite including mainly amphibole (greenbrown to blue-green hornblende), kyanite, zircon, and prismatic or fibrolitic sillimanite (Fig. 5D).

The Lower Zambezi. In Mozambique, Zambezi sand ranges from quartzo-feldspathic to feldsparrich feldspatho-quartzose with K-feldspar \geq plagioclase (Fig. 4D). Mica (mostly biotite) is common in very fine sand. The rich tHM suite includes mostly amphibole (blue-green to green-brown hornblende and actinolite), subordinate epidote, locally strongly enriched garnet, and minor titanite, zircon, clinopyroxene, and hypersthene.

Most tributaries contribute quartzo-feldspathic sand with K-feldspar \geq plagioclase and rich tHM suite (Fig. 5E,F,G). An exception is represented by the Minjova and Zangue tributaries, which carry feldspatho-quartzose and quartz-rich feldspatho-quartzose sand with poor tHM suite (Fig. 5H). Feldspars (mostly plagioclase) are twice as abundant as quartz in Shire sand from Malawi. Metabasite grains are significant in Morrunguze sand (Fig. 5E). Chacangara sand includes gabbroic, quartzose
sandstone/metasandstone, and shale/slate rock fragments.

The tHM suites are diverse. Amphibole (mainly green-brown and blue-green hornblende) is dominant 324 in Mufa, Mazowe, Luenha, and Shire sand (ACI 31-50), and common in most other tributaries (ACI 325 13-27 in Sangara, Chacangara, and Zangue sand but up to 80-91 in Sangadze and Minjova sand). 326 Clinopyroxene and hypersthene are most abundant in Chacangara sand and also characterize Sangara, 327 328 Morrunguze, Minjova and, to a lesser extent, Mufa sand (Table 1). Epidote is invariably present in moderate amounts. Garnet is dominant in Sangadze sand and common in Zangue and Minjova sand. 329 Staurolite is associated with kyanite and prismatic or fibrolitic sillimanite in Zangue sand. Kyanite 330 331 and sillimanite also occur in Luenha sand. Zircon and other durable minerals, as well as titanite and apatite, are minor (ZTR up to 8 in Sangara sand). Rare olivine was detected in Sangara, Chancangara, 332 and Mufa sands. 333

The northern coast, the shelf, and the slope. Sand in the Bons Sinais estuary near Quelimane and adjacent beaches, located between 100 and 130 km north of the Zambezi mouth, is feldspathoquartzose with plagioclase \geq K-feldspar and a rich tHM suite including mainly blue-green amphibole, subordinate epidote, clinopyroxene, and minor titanite, garnet, hypersthene, and mostly prismatic sillimanite (Fig. 4E).

339 Very fine-grained sand to coarse silt, cored on the upper continental slope ~85 km offshore of the Zambezi delta and close to the shelfbreak ~80 km to the ENE of the Bons Sinais mouth, is feldspar-340 rich feldspatho-quartzose with K-feldspar \approx plagioclase and a moderately rich tHM suite including 341 blue-green amphibole, epidote, clinopyroxene, and minor prismatic sillimanite, titanite, tourmaline, 342 apatite, hypersthene, and garnet. Benthic foraminifera are abundant (Fig. 4F). No major mineralogical 343 difference is observed either between samples cored offshore of the Zambezi mouth and Quelimane 344 area or among sediments deposited during the last glacial lowstand, the post-glacial sea-level 345 transition, and the Holocene highstand in both areas. 346

347

348

349

Sand generation in the Zambezi catchment

The Uppermost Zambezi: polycyclic sand from the Kalahari. Sand generated in southeastern 350 Angola and westernmost Zambia and carried by the Uppermost Zambezi and its Kwando tributary 351 352 consists almost entirely of monocrystalline quartz with very poor, ZTR-dominated tHM suite 353 including staurolite and kyanite, a mineralogical signature that reflects extensive recycling of 354 Kalahari desert sand (Figs. 6 and 7). The sedimentary succession of this vast rim basin, formed on the low-relief southern Africa plateau confined between the rejuvenated shoulders of the Indian and 355 356 Atlantic rifted margins, is largely of fluvial origin with secondary eolian imprint (Moore and Dingle 1998). Kalahari dunes are generally best developed west of river channels, suggesting deflation of 357 fluvial sediments by easterly winds during drier periods (Shaw and Goudie 2002). Conversely, rivers 358 have inundated interdune areas and incised their course across dune ridges during wetter periods 359 (Thomas et al. 2000). Between a fourth and a half of quartz grains are well rounded in both dune and 360 361 river sediments, indicating that climate-controlled cycling of quartz-rich sand has taken place 362 repeatedly from the fluvial to the eolian environment and back (Thomas and Shaw 2002).

363 *The Upper Zambezi: mixing with detritus from Karoo basalts*. The Zambezi first meets Karoo 364 basalt at Ngonye Falls in SW Zambia and from there on the river flows along Karoo rift basins as far 365 as the Mozambican lowlands. Pure quartzose sand recycled from the Kalahari mixes downstream 366 with detritus derived locally from Lower Jurassic Karoo basalt in increasing proportions, determined 367 accurately with forward mixing models based on integrated petrographic and heavy-mineral data 368 (Garzanti et al. 2012; Resentini et al. 2017).

Although the sand generation potential of basalt is notably less than that of sandstone or granite (Garzanti et al. 2019a, 2021a, 2021b; Le Pera and Morrone 2020; Morrone et al. 2020), mafic lava contains and sheds much more clinopyroxene than the few heavy minerals that quartzose sandstone contains and can thus supply. Therefore, wherever basaltic detritus mixes with recycled quartz, as in

the Upper Zambezi, quartz still dominates among main framework grains but the tHM suite rapidly 373 374 becomes clinopyroxene-dominated (Figs. 6 and 7). From upstream of Victoria Falls to the Batoka Gorge, basaltic detritus accounts for < 3% of total sediment only and Upper Zambezi sand remains 375 pure quartzose although clinopyroxene steadily increases from 14% to 86% of the very poor to poor 376 tHM suite. Basaltic detritus increases to ~12% upstream of Lake Kariba, with composition changed 377 to quartzose with 9% basaltic rocks fragments in bedload sand and to litho-feldspatho-quartzose in 378 379 levee silty sand. Clinopyroxene represents 95% and 90% of the moderately rich and rich tHM suite, respectively. 380

Among Upper Zambezi tributaries, basaltic detritus represents ~10% of Sinde sand in Zambia, and ~15% of Shangani sand, 50% of Masuie sand, and up to 70% of Matetsi sand in Zimbabwe, the rest being mostly represented by quartz recycled from Kalahari dunes. Clinopyroxene invariably represents > 90% of the tHM suite in these rivers.

385 Such estimates are corroborated by clay-mineral and geochemical data, displaying an increase in smectite and in the concentration of Fe, Mg, Ca, Na, Sr, Ti, Eu, V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, and P along 386 the Upper Zambezi, whereas the 87 Sr/ 86 Sr and weathering indices decrease, ϵ Nd₍₀₎ becomes only 387 moderately negative, and t_{DM} model ages younger (Garzanti et al. 2014a). Forward-mixing 388 calculations based on the integrated geochemical dataset indicate that volcanic detritus increases from 389 ~1% for sand and ~14% for cohesive (< 32 μ m) mud upstream of Victoria Falls up to 17-18% for 390 sand, 19-20% for sandy silt, and ~41% for cohesive mud upstream of Lake Kariba. These estimates 391 imply that up to ~27% of the sand and ~45% of the mud that the Upper Zambezi carries towards Lake 392 Kariba is generated downstream of Victoria Falls, from basaltic rocks of the Batoka Gorge and 393 supplied by tributaries draining Karoo lavas and overlying Kalahari dunes. 394

395 *The Middle Zambezi: first-cycle and recycled detritus from Zambia*. The Middle Zambezi flows
396 along Karoo extensional troughs (Fig. 2). These formed on top of the Kuunga suture zone, marking

the boundary between the Zimbabwe-Kalahari and Congo cratonic blocks and sealed during the final
stages of the Neoproterozoic Pan-African orogeny (Goscombe et al. 2020).

The first major tributary joining the Zambezi ~70 km downstream of Lake Kariba is the Kafue River, which largely drains mid-Neoproterozoic volcano-sedimentary rocks and upper Tonian granites of the Lufilian Arc in the upper course. In the lowermost course, the Kafue cuts across the West Zambezi Belt, including polymetamorphic basement of the Congo Craton deformed at upper-amphibolitefacies conditions around 675 Ma (fig. 6 in Goscombe et al. 2020).

Because sand cannot pass Lake Kariba, Middle Zambezi sand downstream of the Kafue confluence
acquires the same feldspar-rich feldspatho-quartzose metamorphiclastic signature of Kafue sand –
with a little more siltstone/sandstone rock fragments and clinopyroxene derived locally from the
Karoo Supergroup –, which is maintained as far as the confluence with the Luangwa River near the
entry point into Lake Cahora Bassa (Fig. 8).

409 The Luangwa River, sourced in Paleoproterozoic gneisses of the Ubendian Belt, follows for most of its course another arm of the Karoo rift network. The Luangwa rift is bordered to the north by the 410 external nappes of the Irumide Belt, including Paleoproterozoic granitoid gneiss overlain by quarzite 411 and schist of the Muva Supergroup deformed at greenschist to amphibolite facies at 1.02-1.05 Ga (De 412 Waele et al. 2009). Exposed to the south is the high-grade internal zone of the Southern Irumide 413 414 Province (fig. 7 in Goscombe et al. 2020). Luangwa sand is thus a mixture of detritus derived from up to high-grade metamorphic rocks and recycled from Carboniferous to Jurassic siliciclastic strata, 415 as indicated by relatively high quartz and ZTR minerals coexisting with blue-green to brown 416 hornblende and mainly prismatic sillimanite. 417

The Lower Zambezi: feldspar-rich sand from Precambrian basements. As Upper Zambezi sand is dumped into Lake Kariba, Middle Zambezi sand is stored in Lake Cahora Bassa. Composition changes therefore again in the Lower Zambezi, where sand supplied by tributaries largely draining felsic to mafic igneous and up to high-grade metamorphic rocks acquires a quartzo-feldspathic signature unique among the Earth's big rivers (Potter, 1978; Garzanti 2019). Most Lower Zambezi tributaries carry sand with Q/F ratio \leq 1 (Fig. 6), reflecting mostly first-cycle provenance from midcrustal crystalline basements. Detritus recycled from the sedimentary fill of Karoo, Cretaceous, or Cenozoic extensional basins is widespread, although subordinate. This is revealed by sandstone and shale rock fragments in Chacangara sand and by higher Q/F ratio and poor tHM suite in sand of the Zangue River draining the northern edge of the Urema Graben and of the Minjova River draining the Karoo Moatize-Minjova Basin (Fernandes et al. 2015).

The Sangara, Chacangara, and Mufa west-bank tributaries and the Morrunguze and Minjova eastbank tributaries drain high-grade rocks of the internal zone of the Southern Irumide Province including the Tete gabbro-anorthosite complex (fig. 1 in Goscombe et al. 2020). This is reflected by the occurrence of gabbroic or metabasite rock fragments and by up to very rich tHM suites including hypersthene and clinopyroxene, brown hornblende, and rare olivine.

The Luenha-Mazowe river system drains well into the Archean Zimbabwe Craton in the upper course and cuts downstream across the polymetamorphic Mudzi migmatitic gneisses remobilized during the Pan-African orogeny, and next across the Neoproterozoic Marginal Gneiss. The mostly first-cycle origin of their quartzo-feldspathic sand is reflected by the rich amphibole-dominated tHM suite, as in Mufa sand to the north (Fig. 7).

The lowest Q/F ratio is recorded in Shire sand, also including a very rich, amphibole-dominated tHM suite derived from granitic orthogneisses of the Blantyre domain (southern Malawi-Unango Complex), where Stenian-age crust underwent granulite-facies metamorphism during the Pan-African orogeny (Goscombe et al. 2020).

The Sangadze and Zangue lowermost-course tributaries are sourced in the Pan-African Umkondo Belt including greenschist-facies to lower-amphibolite-facies schists thrust onto the margin of the Zimbabwe Craton and upper amphibolite-facies migmatitic gneisses in the core, whereas the lower course cuts across the Cretaceous to Cenozoic sediment fill of the Lower Zambezi graben. Recycling is manifested in Zangue sand by the highest Q/F ratio of all Lower Zambezi tributaries. Poor to moderately poor, garnet-dominated (Sangadze) or garnet-staurolite (Zangue) tHM suites reflect both first-cycle provenance from lower-amphibolite-facies metasediments of the Umkondo Belt and recycling of Cretaceous sandstones derived from them (e.g., Sena Formation; Salman and Abdula 1995). The occurrence of brown amphibole and prismatic sillimanite, instead, reveals minor but significant contribution from upper amphibolite-facies to granulite-facies gneisses of the orogen's core (e.g., Stenian Barue complex; fig. 1 in Goscombe et al. 2020).

Forward mixing models based on integrated petrographic and heavy-mineral data suggest that most 454 Lower Zambezi sand (60-80%) is generated in subequal proportions in the Luenha-Mazowe river 455 456 system sourced in the Zimbabwe Craton and in the trunk-river catchment upstream of the Luenha confluence, including the Zambezi Belt and the Southern Irumide Province. Additional contributions 457 from the Umkondo Belt and recycled from the Karoo, Cretaceous, or Cenozoic extensional basins 458 459 drained by the Minjova, Sangadze, and Zangue tributaries are significant (~20%), whereas supply from the Tete gabbro-anortosite complex and Blantyre Domain, drained respectively by the 460 Morrunguze and Shire tributaries, appears to be subordinate ($\sim 10\%$). 461

The Zambezi passive margin. Detrital modes of Lower Zambezi sand match neither those of 462 estuary and beach sands in the northern delta near Quelimane nor sediments cored offshore of both 463 464 the Zambezi mouth and Quelimane area and deposited during either the Holocene highstand or the previous post-glacial and glacial relative lowstands (Table 1). The Q/F ratio is 1.0 ± 0.1 in Lower 465 Zambezi sand but 2.5 \pm 0.5 in sand of the Quelimane area and 1.6 \pm 0.3 in offshore samples. The 466 homogeneous composition of offshore sediments generated before the mid-Holocene (older than 4 467 ka) suggests that this could represent the original, pre-Anthropocene signature of Zambezi sediment. 468 Subsequent closure of the Kariba and Cahora Bassa dams – with consequent drastic reduction of the 469 catchment area effectively contributing sediment to the Zambezi delta - explains the peculiar 470 mineralogical signatures characterizing Lower Zambezi sand today. Besides the abundance of 471

feldspars, these include the high tHMC and ACI indices, reflecting provenance dominantly from
middle crustal igneous and high-grade metamorphic rocks. The abundance of mica in offshore
sediments, instead, is the effect of preferential winnowing of slow-settling platy phyllosilicates by
waves, a phenomenon observed along continental shelves worldwide (e.g., Doyle et al. 1968;
Garzanti et al. 2015, 2019b)

The mineralogy of estuary and beach sand in the Quelimane area is not the same as either Lower Zambezi or offshore sediment (Table 1). This is more difficult to explain, because predominantly northward littoral drift would be expected to entrain sand from the Zambezi delta, leading to homogeneous composition along the coast. Reasons of such discrepancy may include local reworking of floodplain sediments, whereas littoral drift from the north is unsupported by prevailing longshorecurrent patterns (fig. 4 in Schulz et al. 2011; van der Lubbe et al. 2014).

- 483
- 484

How does Zambezi sand fit with classical sedimentary-petrology theories?

485

The petrographic and mineralogical changes documented along the Zambezi sediment-routing system 486 allow some considerations of consequence. In particular, they demonstrate how the knowledge 487 acquired studying present landscapes argues against the uncritical use of simplistic concepts in 488 geological research. In the lack of direct observations, we often try to unravel the past by using 489 ungrounded simplifications and naïve analogies (e.g., sediment that "matures" in time like fruit), 490 unable to constrain or even imagine the complexities of past sediment-routing systems including the 491 effects of inheritance and multiple recycling. In the lack of clear evidence, we tend to implicitly 492 assume that the information contained in the compositional signatures of sediments refers to the 493 targeted sedimentary basin only, although it may — and commonly does — largely reflect tectonic 494 or climatic conditions that existed, there or somewhere else, at earlier times. The present may well 495 provide one key to the past, but how many are the doors and locks that this key is unable to open? 496 Are *prêt-à-porter* models a help or a hindrance to the understanding of the complex 4D evolution of 497

geological entities through space and time? There are several specific questions that the present case
study helps to investigate. The first one, tackled below, is: *"To what extent are classical provenance models adequate*?"

501 **Provenance models.** The first model linking sand mineralogy with the tectonic setting of source areas was developed by P.Krynine (1948), who inherited from his Moscow teacher M.S.Shvetsov 502 (1934) the belief that sediment composition reflects systematic interactions among lithogenetic 503 processes that can be unraveled and understood. The basic assumption is that the continental crust 504 505 can be envisaged as consisting of sedimentary layers non-conformably overlying deformed metamorphic rocks intruded at depth by plutonic rocks. The progressive top-down erosion of such a 506 507 rocky layer-cake would generate quartz-rich recycled sediments first, lithic-rich metamorphiclastic detritus next, and finally feldspar-rich plutoniclastic detritus. During a tectonically quiescent stage, 508 recycling of cover strata would go on for a long time, eventually producing a wide sheet of quartzose 509 510 sand (named "quartzite"). Conversely, tectonic uplift would lead to rapid unroofing of deep-seated plutonic rocks feeding fault-bounded basins with feldspar-rich sand (named "arkose"). These 511 concepts were elaborated further by Krynine's student at Pennsylvania State College R.L.Folk (1980 512 p.108-144), who pointed out the insufficient attention dedicated by his teacher's theory to sources of 513 complexities such as geological inheritance, volcanism, and diversity of geodynamic settings. 514 Furthermore, Folk acknowledged the major role of chemical weathering, and thus distinguished 515 "climatic arkose", generated from basement rocks in dry climate even during stages of tectonic 516 quiescence, from Krynine's "tectonic arkose". 517

The essence of such lines of reasoning passed largely unaltered from the pre-plate-tectonic to the post-plate-tectonic era. The same three stages identified in Krynine's and Folk's models are recognized in W.R.Dickinson's model (1985), where sediments produced in anorogenic (i.e., subduction-unrelated) settings are designated as *continental block* provenance, distinguished into three subprovenances: *craton interior* (the quartz-rich sand produced during tectonically quiescent stages), *transitional*, and *basement uplift* (the feldspar-rich sand shed from rapidly uplifted granitoid
crustal blocks). Differently from Krynine's scheme, lithic-rich sediments were held to be diagnostic
of orogenic (i.e., subduction-related) settings.

Heavy minerals were not organically considered in provenance models until later on (Nechaev and 526 Isphording 1993; Garzanti and Andò 2007). One reason is that they are of limited use in ancient 527 sediments wherever the tHM suite has been strongly depleted and modified by selective intrastratal 528 dissolution of less durable species during diagenesis (Milliken 2007; Morton and Hallsworth 2007; 529 Garzanti et al. 2018b). Moreover, the information carried by tHM suites may be profoundly distorted 530 by hydrodynamic processes or fertility effects (Garzanti et al. 2009; Malusà et al. 2016). Heavy-531 532 mineral-rich sources such as mafic igneous and high-temperature or high-pressure metamorphic rocks have an overwhelming effect on the detrital tHM suite, heavy-mineral-poor sedimentary rocks or 533 granite being conversely strongly under-represented (fig. 1 in Garzanti and Andò 2019). Because of 534 535 the fertility effect, the tHM suite may reflect a radically different provenance than framework petrography, as in the Upper Zambezi where pure quartzose sand contains a clinopyroxene-dominated 536 tHM suite. 537

Combining petrographic and heavy-mineral modes represents a necessary requirement to tackle the 538 complexities of geological landscapes and achieve a refined provenance characterization. Anorogenic 539 540 provenance could thus be subdivided into volcanic and non-volcanic and the latter, in turn, into undissected (craton interior), transitional, and dissected (basement uplift) continental block 541 subprovenances (Garzanti et al. 2001). Anorogenic volcanic provenance is typified by feldspatho-542 lithic to quartzo-feldspatho-lithic sand with rich clinopyroxene-dominated tHM suite, undissected 543 continental block subprovenance by quartzose sand with poor ZTR-dominated tHM suite, and 544 dissected continental block subprovenance by quartzo-feldspathic sand with rich hornblende-545 dominated tHM suite (Garzanti 2016). Supply from continental flood basalts such as the Karoo 546 (anorogenic volcanic provenance) is not contemplated in Dickinson's (1985) model. Consequently, 547

in a hypothetical analogous ancient case study, the uncritical use of that model would erroneously
ascribe the compositional trend observed downstream the Upper Zambezi to mixing with arc-derived
detritus.

Are models right of wrong? Obviously neither. As any tool, they apply well to some circumstances and badly to others. Because they are derived from one setting and extrapolated to another, and because different settings are not the same by definition, models are bound to be partly misleading even in the luckiest case (Garzanti and Sternai 2020). Their uncritical use is therefore discouraged.

The final signature of Zambezi sand. The Zambezi River carries to the Indian Ocean quartzofeldspathic sand, a fingerprint that has hardly an equivalent among the world's big rivers (Potter 1978; Garzanti 2019). Such a composition compares with that of granitoid-derived sand generated in dry southern California (table 3 in Dickinson 1985) and represents a typical mark of *dissected continental block* subprovenance. Shire sand is the richest in feldspars and thus a good example of "ideal arkose" (Dickinson 1985).

Vast river catchments typically embrace a very wide range of rocks produced in different geodynamic 561 settings at different times. Their sediments are thus mixtures of different provenances including a 562 considerable fraction of recycled grains. Lower Zambezi sand — characterized by feldspar \approx quartz, 563 very few aphanite lithics, and a rich hornblende-dominated tHM suite largely shed first-cycle from 564 plutonic and high-grade metamorphic rocks — represents an anomaly in this respect. One main 565 reason, discussed further below, is that quartz-dominated sand recycled in the upper reaches is not 566 transferred to the lower course. Detritus reaching the Indian Ocean is thus generated mostly in eastern 567 Zimbabwe, central Mozambique, and southern Malawi, where the roots of Archean cratons and 568 Proterozoic orogens have been uplifted and progressively eroded during the southward propagation 569 of the East African rift (Fernandes et al. 2015). Consequently, sand composition is the same as detritus 570 shed from mid-crustal basement rocks exposed along actively uplifted and deeply dissected rift 571

shoulders, such as those flanking the Red Sea (Garzanti et al. 2001, 2013a), rather than that expected
for a mature passive margin.

Do minerals "mature" during fluvial transport? A widely held belief in sedimentary petrology – persistent although long demonstrated untrue (e.g., Russell 1937; Shukri 1950) – is that chemically and mechanically durable minerals must increase at the expense of unstable and less resistant minerals during long-distance fluvial transport.

Uppermost Zambezi sand consists almost entirely of quartz associated with the most durable heavy 578 minerals zircon, tourmaline and rutile, thus representing a good example of "highly mature" sediment 579 580 (Folk 1951; Hubert 1962). In the Upper Zambezi downstream, however, mafic volcanic rock fragments increase and clinopyroxene becomes first a significant, than the main, and finally the nearly 581 exclusive transparent heavy mineral. The progressive downstream increase of detritus derived from 582 Karoo lavas, locally including unstable olivine, results in decreasing degree of "maturation" 583 downstream. Decreasing "maturity" with transport distance - which sounds paradoxical because 584 maturation is by definition intended to progress irreversibly with the passing of time — is not unusual 585 in modern rivers wherever less durable detrital components are added downstream, as observed for 586 instance along the Kagera River in equatorial Africa (Garzanti et al. 2013b). 587

In the Middle Zambezi, sand is notably enriched in feldspars and diverse types of rock fragments supplied by the Kafue and other tributaries draining both Precambrian orogenic belts and Permo-Triassic rift-basin fills. Composition thus becomes even less "mature". In the Lower Zambezi, owing to prominent supply from local tributaries draining mid-crustal Precambrian basements, quartz content decreases further, becoming equally or even less abundant than feldspar.

The Zambezi is thus an exemplary case of sediment-routing system along which the ratio between stable and unstable minerals (too often inappropriately portrayed as degree of "maturity"; Garzanti 2017) decreases steadily with distance. Although enhanced by the artificial segmentation of the river course after the closure of Kariba and Cahora Bassa dams, preventing the continuity of sand transport 597 across the reservoirs, such a trend towards less durable mineralogical assemblages downstream is 598 primarily a natural phenomenon reflecting the multistep evolution of the river and location of 599 erosional foci (Fig. 9).

The Zambezi progressively connected stepwise the broad low-relief southern Africa plateau underlain 600 by thick cratonic crust and sustained by dynamic uplift since mid-Cenozoic times (Lithgow-Bertelloni 601 and Silver 1998; Moore et al. 2009b; Flügel et al. 2018) with the middle and lower reaches, entrenched 602 603 in Karoo rifts and cutting across Precambrian mobile belts rejuvenated by the southward propagation of the East African rift in the late Neogene (Roberts et al. 2012; Hopper et al. 2020). If we just looked 604 at the compositional signature of Lower Zambezi sand and uncritically applied traditional ideas of 605 "maturity" disregarding the character and history of the catchment, then we would falsely infer a 606 scenario similar to Red Sea shoulders, involving short fluvial transport from locally uplifted rift 607 highlands. The largest river sourced in the heart of cratonic southern Africa would be left unseen. 608

609 Broken transmission of provenance signals: the anthropogenic effect. One main reason why traditional petrological models apply so badly to the Zambezi is the pronounced segmentation of the 610 fluvial system, which reflects its multistep Neogene evolution finally fixed by man's construction of 611 Kariba and Cahora Bassa dams. Development of the Zambezi River is held to have started by 612 headward erosion operated by a coastal river that captured first the Luangwa and next the Kafue 613 614 Rivers after re-incision of the Cahora Bassa and Gwembe troughs upstream. Only sometime around the early Pleistocene was the gentle-gradient Upper Zambezi captured as well, finally linking the 615 Kalahari Plateau with the Indian Ocean via Victoria Falls (Moore et al. 2007). 616

Rim basins such as the Mega-Kalahari represent huge reservoirs of quartz-rich polycyclic sand stored in continental interiors. Such reservoirs may be tapped by headward-eroding coastal rivers that progressively enhance their discharge as larger segments of endorheic drainage are captured, a process continuing today with incipient piracy of the Okavango (Moore and Larkin 2001). The *undissected continental block* (craton interior) subprovenance signal carried by the Upper Zambezi, however, fails to be transmitted beyond Lake Kariba. In the same way, the *transitional continental block* subprovenance signal carried by the Middle Zambezi fails to be transmitted downstream of Lake Cahora Bassa. The Lower Zambezi thus carries a pure *dissected continental block* (basement uplift) subprovenance signal to the Indian Ocean, the same that the coastal proto-Zambezi would have had before starting its inland expansion punctuated by the progressive capture of interior drainage.

River segmentation was far less pronounced before man's intervention, as indicated by the poor 628 compositional match between the present Lower Zambezi sand and upper Quaternary offshore 629 630 sediments, which have notably higher Q/F ratio and a little more clinopyroxene. Such differences cannot be dismissed as a grain-size effect, because the Q/F ratio typically increases with increasing 631 grain size (e.g., Garzanti et al. 2021c) and our river samples are very fine to fine sands whereas 632 633 offshore samples are sandy silts. Rather than additional contribution by longshore-drifting sediment from outside the Zambezi delta, the plausible explanation is that a larger amount of detritus generated 634 in the upper and middle catchment reached the ocean before closure of Kariba and Cahora Bassa 635 dams. Forward-mixing calculations allow us to estimate that, before the Anthropocene, as much as 636 40% of detritus transferred to the coast was generated by erosion of Phanerozoic covers (~35% 637 recycled from pure quartzose sand or sandstone and ~5% from basalt). Quartz-rich sand and 638 sandstones and Karoo lavas are widespread in the Zambezi catchment, from Ngonye Falls in the 639 Uppermost Zambezi to the lowermost course. The pre-Anthropocene contributions from the Upper 640 or Middle Zambezi are therefore hard to accurately quantify, although the sharp mineralogical 641 642 contrast between Upper Zambezi and offshore sediments indicates that most deritus was derived from the middle-lower reaches even in pre-Anthropocene times (Fig. 9A,B,C). 643

644 *Weathering effects inherited from the past*. The last question dealt with here concerns the 645 possibility to infer climate from mineralogical composition of sand. Spurred by optimism, researchers have widely used chemical indices (e.g., $CIA = [Al_2O_3 / (Al_2O_3 + K_2O + Na_2O + CaO^*)] \cdot 100$; Nesbitt and Young 1982) or even mineralogical indices (e.g., $MIA = Q/(Q+F) \cdot 100$; Rieu et al. 2007) to infer climatic conditions in strata as old as the Paleoproterozoic. Studies of modern sedimentary systems, however, recommend caution (Garzanti and Resentini 2016). If we interpret compositional data uncritically using simplistic concepts, then we are bound to make severe mistakes.

Because feldspars are scarce in the Uppermost Zambezi ($Q/(Q+F) \ge 95\%$) and abundant in the Lower Zambezi ($Q/(Q+F) \approx 50\%$), an inconsiderate use of the MIA would suggest very humid climate in the Kalahari and very dry climate in Mozambique. Which is patently wrong. Beside being subject to marked grain-size control (Garzanti et al. 2021c and references therein), the Q/(Q+F) ratio reaches 100% in sand of both hyper-humid equatorial Congo and hyper-arid tropical Arabian or Sahara sand seas (Garzanti et al. 2013a, 2019c; Pastore et al. 2021), making it evident that climatic conditions cannot be naïvely inferred by mineralogical parameters such as the MIA.

The Uppermost Zambezi and its Kwando tributary carry pure quartzose sand mostly recycled from eolian dunes that grew across the Mega-Kalahari Desert during arid stages of the Quaternary (Thomas and Shaw 2002). In a desert climate, generation of pure quartzose sand cannot occur in a single sedimentary cycle, but requires widespread recycling of older sandstones affected by extensive chemical weathering in very different climatic conditions. Pure quartzose composition of sand, as well as abundant kaolinite in mud (Garzanti et al. 2014a), thus represent the echo of a time when sediments were produced in a chemically aggressive hot-humid climate.

In heavy-mineral suites of Uppermost Zambezi and Kwando sands, this is reflected by the scarcity of garnet relative to staurolite, kyanite, and alusite, and sillimanite [Grt/(Grt+SKA) < 5%]. These minerals characterize amphibolite-facies metasedimentary rocks and unweathered detritus derived from them, where garnet is almost invariably dominant [Grt/(Grt+SKA) = $70 \pm 20\%$; Garzanti et al. 2006, 2010]. This is the case for sand of the Lower Zambezi and its major tributaries (Fig. 7 *middle panel*). In the Upper Zambezi, instead, staurolite and kyanite are common but garnet very scarce, which cannot be explained by either provenance or hydraulic factors (garnet being only slightly denser) and is most plausibly ascribed to the low stability of garnet in a humid subequatorial climate (Garzanti et al. 2013b). Dominance of quartz, abundance of kaolinite, and scarcity of garnet in Upper Zambezi sediment as well as in Kalahari dunes (Garzanti et al. 2014a, 2014b) thus consistently reflect humid subequatorial conditions that reigned in the past, dating back to the Cenozoic or Mesozoic well before the arid Quaternary when Kalahari dunes invaded the landscape (Guillocheau et al. 2015, 2018).

Today, climate is arid enough to induce only limited weathering in most of southern Africa, where 678 detrital modes largely reflect the dominant parent lithologies exposed in source areas. In 679 680 Mozambique, where climate ranges from hot semiarid in the interior to tropical savanna downstream the Zambezi Valley (Bsh to Aw classes of the Köppen climate classification), feldspars are more 681 abundant than in any other big river on Earth. Olivine, which is considered to be a most unstable 682 683 detrital mineral, occurs in small amounts both in the mainstem upstream of Lake Kariba and in western tributaries joining the Zambezi shortly downstream of Cahora Bassa. Sand mineralogy thus 684 fails to reflect a notable effect of weathering occurring at present across most of the Zambezi 685 catchment. 686

687

688 689

Conclusions

Sand in the Uppermost Zambezi is pure quartzose and almost entirely recycled from desert dunes across the Kalahari Plateau, thus matching the theoretical sediment composition produced in cratonic interiors (*undissected continental block* subprovenance). At the opposite end of both the drainage basin and the petrologic spectrum of sediment shed from continental blocks, sand of the Lower Zambezi and many of its major tributaries is quartzo-feldspathic, even reaching an "ideal arkose" composition (*dissected continental block* subprovenance). Sand of the Middle Zambezi and its major tributaries has an intermediate feldspatho-quartzose composition (*transitional continental block* subprovenance). The relative abundance of durable quartz and ZTR minerals thus decreases steadily
along the sediment-routing system, a trend that denies the naïve but still popular idea that sediment
"matures" with transport distance.

Although enhanced by the artificial segmentation of the river course after the closure of the Kariba and Cahora Bassa dams that prevented the continuity of sand transport across the reservoirs, such a downstream trend towards less durable mineralogical assemblages is primarily a natural phenomenon reflecting the dynamic uplift of the low-relief plateau of cratonic central southern Africa in the upper reaches and polyphase, ongoing rift-related rejuvenation of Precambrian mobile belts in the middle and lower reaches.

The thorough investigation of each part of the river catchment and the precise definition of the mineralogical correspondence between parent rocks and daughter sediments is indispensable to forge a key able to unlock the sedimentary archives represented by the thick stratigraphic successions accumulated throughout the late Mesozoic and Cenozoic in onshore and offshore basins, and thus reconstruct with improved robustness the complex history of the Zambezi River.

- 711
- 712
- 713

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

714

The article benefited from careful reviews by Matthias Hinderer and Emilia Le Pera. We are very grateful to Sonwabile Kidwell Rasmeni and Baojin Zhao for their fundamental contribution to the sampling campaign, and to captains, crew, and scientists of the PAMELA-MOZ04 survey onboard the R/V *Pourquoi pas?* which allowed us to collect the offshore samples. The oceanographic PAMELA-MOZ04 cruise was part of the PAMELA (Passive Margin Exploration Laboratories) scientific project led by IFREMER and TOTAL in collaboration with the Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Université Rennes 1, Université Pierre and Marie Curie, CNRS, and IFPEN. Estuary

722	and beach samples from the Quelimane area were kindly provided by Celso de Carvalho Matsinhe
723	(Tongji Univeristy, Shanghai). Funding was contributed by the National Research Foundation of
724	South Africa (NRF), Water Research Commission of South Africa (WRC), African Institute of
725	Mathematical Sciences (AIMS), and Project MIUR - Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2018-2022,
726	Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Milano-Bicocca.
727	
728	SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL
729	
730	Supplementary data associated with this article, to be found in the online version at
731	http://dx.doi, include information on sampling sites (Table A1) together with the
732	complete bulk-sand petrography (Table A2) and heavy-mineral datasets (Table A3). The Google-
733	Earth® map of sampling sites Zambezi.kmz is also provided.
734	
735	DATA AVAILABILITY
736	
737	Sediment cores collected offshore Mozambique are curated at IFREMER core repository in Plouzané
738	(France). Core data related to this article can be requested at:
739	Core MOZ4-CS14: IGSN BFBGX-85862 (http://igsn.org/BFBGX-858652)
740	Core MOZ4-CS17: IGSN BFBGX-85865 (http://igsn.org/BFBGX-85865)

741 742

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. The Zambezi drainage basin (base map from Google Earth®). White circles indicate
sampling locations (more information in file *Zambezi.kmz*). VF = Victoria falls.

Figure 2. Geological domains in the Zambezi catchment and adjacent regions (after Hanson 2003 and CGMW-BRGM 2016). CK = Choma-Kalomo block; IB = Irumide Belt; KB = Kibaran Belt; LRZ
= Luangwa Rift Zone, activated in the Permian and reactivated in the Neogene; MB = Magondi Belt;
MRZ = Malawi Rift Zone; SIB = South Irumide Belt, deeply affected by the Pan-African orogeny;
UB = Umkondo Belt; Ub-Usg = Ubendian-Usagaran Belts.

Figure 3. River morphometry (same vertical scale for all profiles; same horizontal scale for tributaries). Besides the concave equilibrium profile of the Kwando, longitudinal channels are highly irregular, as highlighted by extreme variations in both steepness and concavity indices k_{sn} and θ . As most rivers in southern Africa, the Zambezi and several tributaries (e.g., Gwai, Kafue, Shire) display youthful, staircase profiles with long flat segments separated by very steep tracts, reflecting the presence of stepped planation surfaces separated by escarpments, a characteristic feature of southern African landscape (Knight and Grab 2018).

Figure 4. Petrographic changes along the Zambezi sediment-routing system from source to sink. A) 757 Pure quartzose sand recycled from the Mega-Kalahari Desert. B) Marked enrichment in lathwork to 758 microlitic volcanic rock fragments and clinopyroxene in guartzose sand downstream of Victoria Falls. 759 760 **(C)** Reconstituted feldspatho-quartzose metamorphiclastic bedload downstream of Lake Kariba. **(D)** 761 Sharp increase in feldspars in reconstituted bedload downstream of Lake Cahora Bassa. E) Feldspatho-quartzose beach sand in the Quelimane area. F) Very fine-grained feldspar-rich 762 feldspatho-quartzose sand containing benthic foraminifera (stained by alizarine red) and deposited 763 764 during the last glacial lowstand on the upper slope offshore of the Zambezi mouth. All photos with crossed polars; blue bar for scale = $100 \mu m$. 765

Figure 5. Sand composition in major Zambezi tributaries. A) Up to well rounded monocrystalline 766 767 quartz grains recycled from Mega-Kalahari dunes. B) High-rank metamorphic rock fragments and microcline derived first-cycle from the Magondi Belt. C) Biotite-rich metamorphic detritus from the 768 Lufilian Arc and Zambezi Belt mixed with rounded recycled quartz. **D**) Deeply corroded quartz and 769 feldspar grains derived from the Irumide Belt and recycled from Karoo strata. E) Abundant 770 microcline with high-rank metamorphic rock fragments from the Archean Zimbabwe Craton and 771 772 Proterozoic gneisses. F) Microcline, gabbroic rock fragments, pyroxene, and amphibole from the 773 southern Irumide Belt and Tete gabbro-anortosite complex. G) Dominant feldspar derived from orthogneisses and granulites of the Blantyre domain. H) Skeletal quartz and weathered K-feldspar 774 775 grains in Mozambican lowlands. All photos with crossed polars; blue bar for scale = $100 \mu m$.

Figure 6. Downstream quartz decrease along the segmented Zambezi sediment-routing system. 776 Composition changes stepwise from pure quartzose (Uppermost Zambezi) to quartzose volcaniclastic 777 (Upper Zambezi), feldspar-rich feldspatho-quartzose (Middle Zambezi), and finally quartzo-778 feldspathic metamorphiclastic (Lower Zambezi). Symbol size is roughly proportional to tributary size 779 780 and increases downstream along the mainstem. Smaller symbols with thicker outline are Upper Zambezi and Gwai River levee samples representing deep suspended load. Q = quartz; F = feldspars781 (P = plagioclase; K = K-feldspar); L= lithics (Lm = metamorphic; Lv = volcanic; Ls = sedimentary). 782 783 Fields in the QFL diagram after Garzanti (2019); in the nested blue version of the same QFL plot, data are centered to allow better visualization of quartz-rich samples (von Evnatten et al. 2002). 784

Figure 7. Changes in transparent-heavy-mineral suites downstream the segmented Zambezi sediment-routing system. Note: 1) dominance of durable ZTR (zircon, tourmaline and rutile) and SKA minerals (staurolite, kyanite, sillimanite, and andalusite) in the Uppermost Zambezi: 2) progressive increase in clinopyroxene along the Upper Zambezi; 3) sharp increase in basementderived garnet (Grt), amphibole (Amp) and epidote (Ep) in the Middle and Lower Zambezi. Scarcity of garnet in Uppermost and Upper Zambezi sand is ascribed to high weatherability inherited from past hot-humid subequatorial climate. Symbol size is roughly proportional to tributary size and
increases downstream along the mainstem. Smaller symbols with thicker outline are Upper Zambezi
and Gwai River levee samples representing deep suspended load.

794 Figure 8. Stepwise changes in compositional signatures along the segmented Zambezi sedimentrouting system. Pure quartzose sand in the Uppermost Zambezi and Kwando Rivers is progressively 795 enriched in clinopyroxene and basaltic rock fragments downstream the Upper Zambezi. Middle 796 797 Zambezi sand chiefly reflects contribution from the Kafue River. Lower Zambezi sand is markedly enriched in feldspars, amphibole, and garnet largely derived from Irumide Belts strongly affected by 798 the Pan-African orogeny. The biplot (Gabriel 1971) displays multivariate observations (points) and 799 800 variables (rays). The length of each ray is proportional to the variance of the corresponding variable; if the angle between two rays is 0° , 90° , or 180° , then the corresponding variables are perfectly 801 correlated, uncorrelated, or anticorrelated, respectively. Symbols as in Figs. 6 and 7. 802

Figure 9. Zambezi river sands compared to coastal and offshore sediments. A, B, C) Uppermost and 803 804 Upper Zambezi detritus is clearly distinct from any downstream sample. Sediment fed into the Indian 805 Ocean was thus mostly derived from the middle-lower catchment even before closure of the Kariba and Cahora Bassa dams. D) Passive margin sediments, however, do not closely match either Middle 806 or Lower Zambezi sand, suggesting significant additional contribution from both the upper catchment 807 808 and Mozambican lowlands in pre-Anthropocene times. Biplots C and D (Gabriel 1971) drawn with CoDaPack software by Comas-Cufí and Thió-Henestrosa (2011). Q = quartz; P = plagioclase; K = 809 K-feldspar; ZTR = zircon + tourmaline + rutile; Cpx = clinopyroxene; Ep = epidote; Sil = sillimanite. 810 **Table 1**. Key petrographic and heavy-mineral signatures. Q = quartz; F = feldspars (P = plagioclase); 811 L = lithic grains (Lvm = volcanic to low-rank metavolcanic; Lsm = sedimentary to low-rank 812 metasedimentary; Lmfb = high-rank felsic metamorphic and metabasite); tHMC = transparent heavy-813

mineral concentration; ZTR = zircon + tourmaline + rutile; Ap = apatite; Ttn = titanite; Ep = epidote;

Grt = garnet; St = staurolite; Ky = kyanite; Sil = sillimanite; Amp = amphibole; Cpx = clinopyroxene;
Hy = hypersthene; &tHM = other transparent heavy minerals (mainly anatase and andalusite with
locally monazite, olivine, or enstatite); ACI = Amphibole Color Index; n.d. = not determined. VF =
Victoria Falls.

820 821	REFERENCES CITED
822 823 824	Andò, S., Morton, A., and Garzanti, E., 2014. Metamorphic grade of source rocks revealed by chemical fingerprints of detrital amphibole and garnet. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 386:351-371.
825 826 827	Banks, N.L., Bardwell, K.A., and Musiwa S., 1995. Karoo Rift basins of the Luangwa Valley, Zambia. In: Lambiase, J.J. (ed.), Hydrocarbon Habitat in Rift Basins. Geological Society London, Special Publication 80:285-295.
828 829	Beiersdorf, H., Kudrass, H.R., and von Stackelberg, U., 1980. Placer deposits of ilmenite and zircon on the Zambezi Shelf. Geologisches Geol. Jahrbuch D36:5–85.
830 831 832	Bicca, M.M., Philipp, R.P., Jelinek, A.R., Ketzer, J.M.M., dos Santos Scherer, C.M., Jamal, D.L. and dos Reis, A.D., 2017. Permian-Early Triassic tectonics and stratigraphy of the Karoo Supergroup in northwestern Mozambique. Journal of African Earth Sciences 130:8-27.
833 834 835	Boniface, N., and Appel, P., 2018. Neoproterozoic reworking of the Ubendian Belt crust: Implication for an orogenic cycle between the Tanzania Craton and Bangweulu Block during the assembly of Gondwana. Precambrian Research 305:358-385.
836 837 838	Catuneanu, O., Wopfner, H., Eriksson, P.G., Cairncross, B., Rubidge, B.S., Smith, R.M.H., and Hancox, P.J., 2005. The Karoo basins of south-central Africa. Journal of African Earth Sciences 43(1-3):211-253.
839 840	CGMW-BRGM, 2016. In: Thiéblemont, D. (Ed.), Geological Map of Africa, 1:10 Million Scale, <u>www.brgm.fr</u> .
841 842 843	Collins, A.S., Reddy, S.M., Buchan, C., and Mruma, A., 2004. Temporal constraints on Palaeoproterozoic eclogite formation and exhumation (Usagaran Orogen, Tanzania). Earth and Planetary Science Letters 224(1-2):175-192.
844 845	Comas-Cufí, M., and Thió-Henestrosa, F.S., 2011. CoDaPack 2.0: A Stand-Alone, Multi-Platform Compositional Software
846 847	Cox, K. G. 1989. The role of mantle plumes in the development of continental drainage patterns. Nature 342:873–877.
848 849	De Waele, B., Kampunzu, A.B., Mapani, B.S.E., and Tembo, F., 2006. The Mesoproterozoic Irumide belt of Zambia. Journal of African Earth Sciences 46(1-2):36-70.

- De Waele, B., Fitzsimons, I.C.W., Wingate, M.T.D., Tembo, F., Mapani, B., and Belousova, E.A.,
 2009. The geochronological framework of the Irumide Belt: a prolonged crustal history along
 the margin of the Bangweulu Craton. American Journal of Science 309(2):132-187.
- Debruyne, D., Hulsbosch, N., Van Wilderode, J., Balcaen, L., Vanhaecke, F., and Muchez, P., 2015.
 Regional geodynamic context for the Mesoproterozoic Kibara Belt (KIB) and the KaragweAnkole Belt: Evidence from geochemistry and isotopes in the KIB. Precambrian Research 264:
 82-97.
- B57 Derricourt, R.M., 1976, Regression rate of the Victoria Falls and the Batoka Gorge. Nature 264:2325.
- Dickinson, W.R., 1985. Interpreting provenance relations from detrital modes of sandstones. In:
 Zuffa, G.G. (Ed.), Provenance of Arenites. Reidel, Dordrecht, NATO ASI Series 148:333–361.
- Boyle, L.J., Cleary. W.J., and Pilkey, O.H., 1968. Mica: its use in determining shelf-depositional
 regimes. Marine Geology 6:381-389.
- B63 Droz, L., and Mougenot, D., 1987. Mozambique upper fan: Origin of depositional units. American
 Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin 71(11):1355-1365.
- Ebinger, C.E., and Scholz, C. A. 2012. Continental rift basins: The East African perspective. In:
 Busby, C., Azor, A. (eds.), Tectonics of sedimentary basins: recent advances. Oxford, WileyBlackwell, pp.185–208.
- Eglinger, A., Vanderhaeghe, O., André-Mayer, A.S., Goncalves, P., Zeh, A., Durand, C., and Deloule,
 E., 2016. Tectono-metamorphic evolution of the internal zone of the Pan-African Lufilian
 orogenic belt (Zambia): Implications for crustal reworking and syn-orogenic uranium
 mineralizations. Lithos 240:67-188.
- Fernandes, P., Cogné, N., Chew, D.M., Rodrigues, B., Jorge, R.C.G.S., Marques, J., Jamal, D., and
 Vasconcelos, L., 2015. The thermal history of the Karoo Moatize-Minjova Basin, Tete Province,
 Mozambique: An integrated vitrinite reflectance and apatite fission track thermochronology
 study. Journal of African Earth Sciences 112:55-72.
- Fierens, R., Droz, L., Toucanne, S., Raisson, F., Jouet, G., and Babonneau, N., 2019. Late Quaternary
 geomorphology and sedimentary processes in the Zambezi turbidite system (Mozambique
 Channel). Geomorphology 334:1–28.
- Fierens, R., Toucanne, T., Droz, L., Jouet, G., Raisson, F., Jorissen, E.L., Bayon, G., Giraudeau, J.,
 and Jorry, S.J., 2020. Quaternary sediment dispersal in the Zambezi turbidite system (SW Indian

- 881 Ocean). Marine Geology 428:106276, doi:<u>10.1016/j.margeo.2020.106276</u>.
- Flint, J.J., 1974. Stream gradient as a function of order, magnitude, and discharge. Water Resources
 Research 10:969–973.
- Flügel, T.J., Eckardt, F.D., and Cotterill, W.P.D., 2018. The geomorphology and river longitudinal
 profiles of the Congo-Kalahari Watershed. In Runge, J. (ed.), The African Neogene-Climate,
 Environments and People: Palaeoecology of Africa. CRC Press, Leiden, The Netherlands 34:3152.
- Folk, R.L., 1951, Stages of textural maturity in sedimentary rocks. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology
 21:127–130.
- Folk, R.L., 1980. Petrology of Sedimentary Rocks. Hemphill Publishing Co., Austin (USA), pp. 184.
- Frimmel, H.E., Basei, M.S., and Gaucher, C. 2011, Neoproterozoic geodynamic evolution of SWGondwana: a southern African perspective. Int. J. Earth Sci. 100:323-354.
- Fritz, H., Abdelsalam, M., Ali, K.A., Bingen, B., Collins, A.S., Fowler, A.R., Ghebreab, W.,
 Hauzenberger, C.A., Johnson, P.R., Kusky, T.M., and Macey, P., 2013. Orogen styles in the East
 African Orogen: a review of the Neoproterozoic to Cambrian tectonic evolution. Journal of
 African Earth Sciences 86:65-106.
- Gabriel, K.R., 1971. The biplot graphic display of matrices with application to principal component
 analysis. Biometrika 58:453–467
- Gaillardet, J., Dupré, B., and Allègre, C.J., 1999. Geochemistry of large river suspended sediments:
 silicate weathering or recycling tracer? Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 63:4037–4051.
- Garzanti, E., 2016. From static to dynamic provenance analysis—Sedimentary petrology upgraded.
 Sedimentary Geology 336:3-13.
- Garzanti, E., 2017, The maturity myth in sedimentology and provenance analysis: Journal of
 Sedimentary Research 87:353-365.
- Garzanti, E., 2019. Petrographic classification of sand and sandstone. Earth-Science Reviews
 192:545-563.
- Garzanti, E., and Andò, S., 2007. Plate tectonics and heavy-mineral suites of modern sands. In:
 Mange, M.A., Wright, D.T. (eds.), Heavy minerals in use. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Developments
 in Sedimentology 58:741-763.
- 910 Garzanti, E., and Andò, S., 2019, Heavy Minerals for Junior Woodchucks: Minerals 9(3):148,

- 911 doi:10.3390/min9030148.
- Garzanti, E., and Resentini, A., 2016. Provenance control on chemical indices of weathering (Taiwan
 river sands). Sedimentary Geology 336:81–95.
- Garzanti, E., and Sternai, P., 2020. Against steady state: A quixotic plea for science. Earth ArXiv,
 https://eartharxiv.org/p9xq7/, doi:10.31223/osf.io/p9xq7
- Garzanti, E., Vezzoli, G., Andò, S., and Castiglioni, G., 2001. Petrology of rifted-margin sand (Red
 Sea and Gulf of Aden, Yemen). The Journal of Geology 109:277–297.
- Garzanti, E., Andò, S., and Vezzoli, G., 2006. The continental crust as a source of sand (Southern
 Alps cross-section, Northern Italy). The Journal of Geology 114:533–554.
- Garzanti, E., Andò, S., and Vezzoli, G., 2009. Grain-size dependence of sediment composition and
 environmental bias in provenance studies. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 277:422–432.
- Garzanti, E., Resentini, A., Vezzoli, G., Andò, S., Malusà, M.G., Padoan, M., and Paparella, P. 2010.
 Detrital fingerprints of fossil continental-subduction zones (axial belt provenance, European Alps). The Journal of Geology 118:341–362.
- Garzanti, E., Resentini, A., Vezzoli, G., Andò, S., Malusà, M., and Padoan, M., 2012. Forward
 compositional modelling of Alpine orogenic sediments. Sedimentary Geology 280:149-164.
- Garzanti, E., Vermeesch, P., Andò, S., Vezzoli, G., Valagussa, M., Allen, K., Khadi, K.A., and AlJuboury, I.A., 2013a. Provenance and recycling of Arabian desert sand. Earth Science Reviews
 120:1–19.
- Garzanti, E., Padoan, M., Andò, S., Resentini, A., Vezzoli, G., and Lustrino, M., 2013b. Weathering
 and relative durability of detrital minerals in equatorial climate: sand petrology and geochemistry
 in the East African Rift. The Journal of Geology 121:547–580.
- Garzanti, E., Padoan, M., Setti, M., López-Galindo, A., and Villa, I.M., 2014a. Provenance versus
 weathering control on the composition of tropical river mud (southern Africa). Chemical
 Geology 366:61–74.
- Garzanti, E., Vermeesch, P., Padoan, M., Resentini, A., Vezzoli, G., and Andò, S., 2014b. Provenance
 of passive-margin sand (southern Africa). The Journal of Geology 122:17-42.
- Garzanti, E., Resentini, A., Andò, S., Vezzoli, G., and Vermeesch, P., 2015. Physical controls on sand
 composition and relative durability of detrital minerals during long-distance littoral and eolian
 transport (coastal Namibia). Sedimentology 62:971-996, doi:10.1111/sed.12169.

- Garzanti, E., Dinis, P., Vermeesch, P., Andò, S., Hahn, A., Huvi, J., Limonta, M., Padoan, M.,
 Resentini, A., Rittner, M., and Vezzoli, G., 2018a, Dynamic uplift, recycling, and climate control
 on the petrology of passive-margin sand (Angola). Sedimentary Geology 375:86-104.
- Garzanti, E., Andò, S., Limonta, M., Fielding, L., and Najman, Y., 2018b. Diagenetic control on
 mineralogical suites in sand, silt, and mud (Cenozoic Nile Delta): implications for provenance
 reconstructions. Earth Sci. Rev. 185:122–139.
- Garzanti, E., Limonta, M., Vezzoli, G., An, W., Wang, J., and Hu, X., 2019a, Petrology and
 multimineral fingerprinting of modern sand generated from a dissected magmatic arc (Lhasa
 River, Tibet), in Ingersoll, R.V., Lawton, T.F., and Graham, S.A., eds., Tectonics, Sedimentary
 Basins, and Provenance: A Celebration of William R. Dickinson's Career: Geological Society of
 America Special Paper 540:197-221, https://doi.org/10.1130/2018.2540(09).
- Garzanti, E., Andò, S., France-Lanord, C., Limonta, M., Borromeo, L., and Vezzoli, G., 2019b.
 Provenance of Bengal Shelf Sediments. 2. Petrology of sand. Minerals 9:642, doi:10.3390/min9100642.
- Garzanti, E., Vermeesch, P., Andò, S., Botti, E., Limonta, M., Vezzoli, G., Dinis, P., Hahn, A.,
 Baudet, D., De Grave, J., and Kitambala Yaya, N., 2019c. Congo river sand and the equatorial
 quartz factory. Earth-Science Reviews 197:102918, <u>doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102918</u>
- Garzanti, E., He, J., Barbarano, M., Resentini, A., Li, C., Yang, L., Yang, S., and Wang, H., 2021a,
 Provenance versus weathering control on sediment composition in tropical monsoonal climate
 (South China) 2. Sand petrology and heavy minerals. Chemical Geology 564, 119997
 doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2020.119997.
- Garzanti, E., Dinis, P., Vezzoli, G., and Borromeo, L., 2021b. Sand and mud generation from ParanáEtendeka continental flood basalts in contrasting climatic conditions (Uruguay vs. Namibia).
 Sedimentology, in press.
- Garzanti, E., Bayon, G., Dennielou, B., Barbarano, M., Limonta, M., and Vezzoli, G., 2021c. The
 Congo deep-sea fan: Mineralogical, REE, and Nd-isotope variability in quartzose passive-margin
 sand. Journal of Sedimentary Research 91, doi:10.2110/jsr.2020.100
- Garzanti, E., Bayon, G., Dinis, P., Vermeesch, P., Pastore, G., Resentini, A., Barbarano, M., Ncube,
 L., Van Niekerk, H.J., forthcoming .The segmented Zambezi sedimentary system from source to
 sink. 2. Geochemistry, clay minerals, and detrital zircon geochronology. The Journal of Geology.

- Glynn, S.M., Master, S., Wiedenbeck, M., Davis, D.W., Kramers, J.D., Belyanin, G.A., Frei, D., and
 Oberthür, T., 2017. The Proterozoic Choma-Kalomo Block, SE Zambia: Exotic terrane or a
 reworked segment of the Zimbabwe Craton? Precambrian Research 298:421-438.
- Goscombe, B., Foster, D.A., Gray, D., and Wade, B., 2020. Assembly of central Gondwana along the
 Zambezi Belt: Metamorphic response and basement reactivation during the Kuunga Orogeny.
 Gondwana Research 80:410-465.
- Grantham, G.H., Marques, J., Wilson, M., Manhiça, V., and Hartzer, F., 2011. Explanation of the
 Geological Map of Mozambique, 1: 1 000 000. Direcção Nacional de Geologia, Maputo, 383 p.
- Griffin, W.L., Powell, W.J., Pearson, N.J., and O'Reilly, S.Y., 2008. GLITTER: Data reduction
 software for laser ablation ICP-MS. In: Sylvester, P. (ed.), Laser Ablation-ICP-MS in the Earth
 Sciences: Current Practices and Outstanding Issues. Mineralogical Association of Canada, Short
 Course, Series 40:204–207.
- Guillocheau, F., Chelalou, R., Linol, B., Dauteuil, O., Robin, C., Mvondo, F., Callec, Y., and Colin,
 J.P., 2015. Cenozoic landscape evolution in and around the Congo Basin: constraints from
 sediments and planation surfaces. In: de Wit, M.J., Guillocheau, F., de Wit, M.J.C. (Eds.),
 Geology and Resource Potential of the Congo Basin, Regional Geology Reviews. SpringerVerlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 271–313.
- Guillocheau, F., Simon, B., Baby, G., Bessin, P., Robin, C., and Dauteuil, O., 2018. Planation surfaces
 as a record of mantle dynamics: the case example of Africa. Gondwana Research 53:82–98.
- Gumbricht, T., McCarthy, T.S., and Merry, C.L., 2001. The topography of the Okavango Delta,
 Botswana, and its sedimentological and tectonic implications. South African Journal of Geology
 104:243–264.
- Haddon, I.G., and McCarthy, T.S., 2005. The Mesozoic–Cenozoic interior sag basins of Central
 Africa: The Late-Cretaceous–Cenozoic Kalahari and Okavango basins. J. Afr. Earth Sci. 43:316333.
- Hanson, R.E. 2003. Proterozoic geochronology and tectonic evolution of southern Africa. In:
 Yoshida, M.,Windley, B.F., Dasgupta, S. (eds.), Proterozoic East Gondwana: supercontinent
 assembly and breakup. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 206:427-463.
- Hanson, R.E., Harmer, R.E., Blenkinsop, T.G., Bullen, D.S., Dalziel, I.W.D., Gose, W.A., Hall, R.P.,
 Kampunzu, A.B., Key, R.M., Mukwakwami, J., Munyanyiwa, H., Pancake, J.A., Seidel, E.K.,

- and Ward, S.E., 2006. Mesoproterozoic intraplate magmatism in the Kalahari Craton: a review.
 J. Afr. Earth Sci. 46:141-167.
- Hargrove, U.S., Hanson, R.E., Martin, M.W., Blenkinsop, T.G., Bowring, S.A., Walker, N., and
 Munyanyiwa, H., 2003. Tectonic evolution of the Zambezi orogenic belt: geochronological,
 structural, and petrological constraints from northern Zimbabwe. Precambrian Research 123(24):159-186.
- Hay, W.W., 1998. Detrital sediment fluxes from continents to oceans. Chemical Geology 145:287–
 323.
- Hopper, E., Gaherty, J.B., Shillington, D.J., Accardo, N.J., Nyblade, A.A., Holtzman, B.K., Havlin,
 C., Scholz, C.A., Chindandali, P.R., Ferdinand, R.W., and Mulibo, G.D., 2020. Preferential
 localized thinning of lithospheric mantle in the melt-poor Malawi Rift. Nature Geoscience 13(8):
 584-589.
- Hubert, J.F., 1962, A zircon-tourmaline-rutile maturity index and the interdependence of the
 composition of heavy mineral assemblages with the gross composition and texture of sandstones:
 Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 32:440–450.
- Ingersoll, R.V., Bullard, T.F., Ford, R.L., Grimm, J.P., Pickle, J.D., and Sares, S.W., 1984, The effect
 of grain size on detrital modes: A test of the Gazzi-Dickinson point-counting method: Journal of
 Sedimentary Petrology 54:103–116.
- Jacobs, J., Pisarevsky, S., Thomas, R.J., and Becker, T. 2008. The Kalahari Craton during the
 assembly and dispersal of Rodinia. Precambrian Res. 160:142-158.
- Jelsma, H.A., and Dirks, P.H.G.M., 2002. Neoarchaean tectonic evolution of the Zimbabwe Craton.
 In Fowler, C.M.R.; Ebinger, C.J.: and Hawkesworth, C.J. (eds.), The early Earth: physical,
 chemical and biological development. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 199:183-211.
- John, T., Schenk, V., Haase, K., Scherer, E., and Tembo, F., 2003. Evidence for a Neoproterozoic
 ocean in south-central Africa from mid-ocean-ridge-type geochemical signatures and pressure temperature estimates of Zambian eclogites. Geology 31:243-246.
- John, T., Schenk, V., Mezger, K., and Tembo, F., 2004. Timing and PT evolution of whiteschist
 metamorphism in the Lufilian Arc–Zambezi Belt orogen (Zambia): Implications for the assembly
 of Gondwana. The Journal of Geology 112(1):71-90.
- Johnson, M.R., Van Vuuren, C.J., Hegenberger, W.F., Key, R., and Show, U., 1996. Stratigraphy of the Karoo Supergroup in southern Africa: an overview. Journal of African Earth

- 1033 Jouet, G., and Deville, E., 2015 PAMELA-MOZ04 cruise, RV Pourquoi pas, doi.org/10.17600/15000700.
- Jourdan, F., Féraud, G., Bertrand, H., Kampunzu, A.B., Tshoso, G., Watkeys, M.K., and Le Gall, B.,
 2005. Karoo large igneous province: Brevity, origin, and relation to mass extinction questioned
- 1036 by new 40 Ar/ 39 Ar age data. Geology 33(9):745-748.
- Kampunzu, A.B., and Cailteux, J., 1999. Tectonic evolution of the Lufilian Arc (Central Africa
 Copper Belt) during Neoproterozoic Pan African orogenesis. Gondwana Research 2(3):401-421.
- Kendall, J.M., and Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., 2016. Why is Africa rifting? In: Wright, T.J., Ayele, A.,
 Ferguson, D.J., Kidane, T., Vye-Brown, C. (eds.), Magmatic Rifting and Active Volcanism.
 Geological Society, London, Special Publications 420:11–30, doi.org/10.1144/SP420.17.
- Key, R.M., Cotterill, F.P.D., and Moore, A.E., 2015. The Zambezi River: An archive of tectonic
 events linked to the amalgamation and disruption of Gondwana and subsequent evolution of the
 African Plate. South African Journal of Geology 118(4):425-438.
- Kinabo, B.D., Atakwana, E.A., Hogan, J.P., Modisi, M.P., Wheaton, D.D., and Kampunzu, A.B.,
 2007. Early structural development of the Okavango rift zone, NW Botswana. Journal of African
 Earth Sciences 48:125–136.
- Klimke, J., Franke, D., Gaedicke, C., Schreckenberger, B., Schnabel, M., Stollhofen, H., Rose, J., and
 Chaheire, M., 2016. How to identify oceanic crust—Evidence for a complex break-up in the
 Mozambique Channel, off East Africa Tectonophysics 693:436–452.
- 1051 Knight, J., and Grab, S.W., 2018. The geomorphic evolution of southern Africa during the Cenozoic.
 1052 In: Holmes, P.J., Boardman, J. (eds.), Southern African Landscapes and Environmental Change.
 1053 Routledge, ch.2, pp. 6-28.
- Kokonyangi, J.W., Kampunzu, A.B., Armstrong, R., Yoshida, M., Okudaira, T., Arima, M., and
 Ngulube, D.A., 2006. The Mesoproterozoic Kibaride belt (Katanga, SE DR Congo). Journal of
 African Earth Sciences 46(1-2):1-35.
- Kolla, V., Kostecki, J.A., Henderson, L., and Hess, L., 1980. Morphology and Quaternary
 sedimentation of the Mozambique Fan and environs, southwestern Indian Oceans.
 Sedimentology 27:357-378.
- König, M., and Jokat, W., 2010. Advanced insights into magmatism and volcanism of the
 Mozambique Ridge and Mozambique Basin in the view of new potential field data. Geophysical

- 1062 Journal International 180(1):158-180.
- Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B., and Rubel, F., 2006. World map of the Köppen–Geiger
 climate classification updated. Meteorologische Zeitschrift 15:259–263.
- 1065 Krynine, P.D., 1948. The megascopic study and field classification of sedimentary rocks. The Journal1066 of Geology 56:130-165.
- Leopold, L.B., Wolman, M.G., and Miller, J.P., 1995. Fluvial Processes in Sedimentology. Dover
 Publications, New York, 522 p.
- Le Pera, E., and Morrone, C., 2020. The use of mineral interfaces in sand-sized volcanic rock
 fragments to infer mechanical durability. Journal of Palaeogeography 9(1): 1-26.
- Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., and Silver, P.G., 1998. Dynamic topography, plate driving forces and the
 African superswell. Nature 395(6699):269-272.
- Macgregor, D., 2018. History of the development of Permian–Cretaceous rifts in East Africa: a series
 of interpreted maps through time. Thematic set: Tectonics and petroleum systems of East Africa.
 Gelogical Society London, Petroleum Geoscience 24:8-20, doi/10.1144/petgeo2016-155.
- Main, M., 1990. Zambezi. Journey of a river. Southern Book Publishers, Halfway Housem, South
 Africa, 313 p.
- Majaule, T., Hanson, R.E., Key, R.M., Singletary, S.J., Martin, M.W., and Bowring, S.A., 2001. The
 Magondi Belt in northeast Botswana: regional relations and new geochronological data from the
 Sua Pan area. Journal of African Earth Sciences 32:257-267.
- Malusà, M.G., Resentini, A., and Garzanti, E., 2016. Hydraulic sorting and mineral fertility bias in
 detrital geochronology. Gondwana Research 31:1-19.
- Manninen, T., Eerola, T., Makitie, H., Vuori, S., Luttinen, A., Sénvano, A., and Manhiça, V., 2008.
 The Karoo volcanic rocks and related intrusions in southern and central Mozambique. Geol.
 Surv. Finland, Special Paper 48:211-250.
- Maselli, V., Kroon, D., Iacopini, D., Wade, B.S., Pearson, P.N., and de Haas, H., 2019. Impact of the
 East African Rift System on the routing of the deep- water drainage network offshore Tanzania,
 western Indian Ocean. Basin Research, doi: 10.1111/bre.12398.
- Master, S., Bekker, A., and Hofmann, A., 2010. A review of the stratigraphy and geological setting
 of the Palaeoproterozoic Magondi Supergroup, Zimbabwe–Type locality for the Lomagundi
 carbon isotope excursion. Precambrian Research 182(4):254-273.

- Milliken, K.L., 2007. Provenance and diagenesis of heavy minerals, Cenozoic units of the
 northwestern Gulf of Mexico sedimentary basin In: Mange, M.A., Wright, D.T. (eds.), Heavy
 mnerals in use. Elsevier, Amsterdam, Developments in Sedimentology 58: 247-261.
- 1095 Miramontes, E., Jouet, G., Thereau, E., Bruno, M., Penven, P., Guerin, C., Le Roy, P., Droz, L., Jorry,
- S.J., Hernández-Molina, F.J., Thiéblemont, A., Silva Jacinto, R., Cattaneo, A., 2020. The impact
 of internal waves on upper continental slopes: Insights from the Mozambican margin (southwest
- 1098 Indian Ocean). Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 45(6), 1469-1482.
- Modisi, M.P., Atekwana, E.A., Kampunzu, A.B., and Ngwisanyi, T.H., 2000. Rift kinematics during
 the incipient stages of continental extension: Evidence from the nascent Okavango rift basin,
 northwest Botswana. Geology 28:939–942.
- Moore, A.E., and Blenkinsop, T. 2002. The role of mantle plumes in the development of continental scale drainage patterns: The southern African example revisited. South African Journal of
 Geology 105:353–360.
- Moore, A.E., and Dingle, R.V., 1998. Evidence for fluvial sediment transport of Kalahari sands in
 central Botswana. S. Afr. J. Geol. 101:143–153.
- Moore, A.E., and Larkin, P.A., 2001. Drainage evolution in south-central Africa since the breakup of
 Gondwana. South African Journal of Geology 104:47–68.
- Moore, A.E., Cotterill, F.P.D., Main, M.P.L., and Williams, H.B., 2007. The Zambezi River. In:
 Gupta, A. (ed.), Large Rivers: Geomorphology and Management. Wiley, Chichester, pp. 311–
 332.
- Moore, A.E., Cotterill, F.P.D., Broderick, T.G., and Plowes, D., 2009a. Landscape evolution in
 Zimbabwe from the Permian to present, with implications for kimberlite prospecting. South
 African Journal of Geology 112:65–86.
- Moore, A., Blenkinsop, T., and Cotterill, F.P.D., 2009b. Southern African topography and erosion
 history: plumes or plate tectonics? Terra Nova 21:310–315.
- Morrone, C., Le Pera, E., Marsaglia, K.M., and De Rosa, R., 2020. Compositional and textural study
 of modern beach sands in the active volcanic area of the Campania region (southern Italy).
 Sedimentary Geology 396:105567.
- Morton, A.C., and Hallsworth, C., 2007. Stability of detrital heavy minerals during burial diagenesis.
 In: Mange, M.A., Wright, D.T. (eds.), Heavy Minerals in Use. Elsevier, Amsterdam,
 Developments in Sedimentology 58: 215-245.

- 1123 Nechaev, V.P., and Isphording, W.C., 1993. Heavy mineral assemblages of continental margins as
 1124 indicators of plate-tectonic environments. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology 63:1110–1117.
- 1125 Nesbitt, H.W., and Young, G.M., 1982. Early Proterozoic climates and plate motions inferred from
 1126 major element chemistry of lutites. Nature 299:715–717.
- 1127 Nyambe, I.A., and Utting, J., 1997. Stratigraphy and palynostratigraphy, Karoo Supergroup (Permian
- and Triassic), mid-Zambezi Valley, southern Zambia. South African Journal of Geology 24:563–
 583.
- O'Connor, P.W., and Thomas, D.S.G., 1999. The timing and environmental significance of Late
 Quaternary linear dune development in western Zambia. Quateranry Research 52:44–55.
- Partridge, T.C., and Maud, R.R., 1987. Geomorphic evolution of southern Africa since the Mesozoic.
 South African Journal of Geology 90(2):179-208.
- Pastore, G., Baird, T., Vermeesch, P., Resentini, A., and Garzanti, E., 2021. Provenance and recycling
 of Sahara desert sand. Earth-Science Reviews 216:103606,
 doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103606
- Phethean, J.J.J., Kalnins, L.M., van Hunen, J.,Biffi, P.G., Davies, R.J., and McCaffrey, K.J.W., 2016.
 Madagascar's escape from Africa: A high-resolution plate reconstruction for the Western Somali
 Basin and implications for supercontinent dispersal, Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems 17:
 5036–5055.
- Potter, P.E., 1978. Petrology and chemistry of modern big river sands. The Journal of Geology 86:
 423–449.
- Resentini, A., Goren, L., Castelltort, S., and Garzanti, E., 2017. Partitioning the sediment flux by
 provenance and tracing erosion patterns in Taiwan. Journal Geophysical Research Earth Surface
 122(7):1430-1454, doi:10.1002/2016JF004026.
- Rieu, R., Allen, P.A., Plötze, M., and Pettke, T., 2007. Compositional and mineralogical variations
 in a Neoproterozoic glacially influenced succession, Mirbat area, South Oman: Implications for
 paleoweathering conditions. Precambrian Research 154:248–265.
- Roberts, E.M., Stevens, N.J., O'Connor, P.M., Dirks, P.H.G.M., Gottfried, M.D., Clyde, W.C.,
 Armstrong, R.A., Kemp, A.I.S., and Hemming, S., 2012. Initiation of the western branch of the
 East African Rift coeval with the eastern branch. Nature Geoscience 5(4):289-294.
- 1152 Russell, R.D., 1937, Mineral composition of Mississippi River sands. Geological Society of America,

- 1153 Bulletin 48:1307–1348.
- Salman, G., and Abdula, I., 1995. Development of the Mozambique and Ruvuma sedimentary basins,
 offshore Mozambique. Sedimentary Geology 96:7-41.
- Schulz, H., Lückge, A., Emeis, K.C., and Mackensen, A., 2011. Variability of Holocene to Late
 Pleistocene Zambezi riverine sedimentation at the upper continental slope off Mozambique, 15°21°S. Marine Geology 286:21–34.
- Schwanghart, W., and Scherler, D., 2014. TopoToolbox 2 MATLAB-based software for
 topographic analysis and modeling in Earth surface sciences. Earth Surface Dynamics 2:1-7,
 doi:10.5194/esurf-2-1-2014.
- Shaw, A., and Goudie, A.S., 2002. Geomorphological evidence for the extension of the MegaKalahari into south-central Angola. S. Afr. Geogr. J. 84:182–194.
- Shaw, P., and Thomas, D.S.G., 1988. Lake Caprivi: a late Quaternary link between the Zambezi and
 middle Kalahari drainage. Z. Geomorphol. 32:329–337.
- Shukri, N.M., 1950. The mineralogy of some Nile sediments. Quarterly Journal of the Geological
 Society London 105:511–534.
- Shvetsov, M.S., 1934. Petrografiya osadochnykh porod (Petrography of Sedimentary Rocks).
 Moscow-Leningrad: Gostoptekhizdat (1948), 387 p. (in Russian)
- Söderlund, U., Hofmann, A., Klausen, M.B., Olsson, J.R., Ernst, R.E., and Persson, P.O., 2010.
 Towards a complete magmatic barcode for the Zimbabwe craton: Baddeleyite U–Pb dating of
 regional dolerite dyke swarms and sill complexes. Precambrian Research 183(3):388-398.
- Stokes, S., Haynes, G., Thomas, D.S.G., Horrocks, J.L., Higginson, M., and Malifa, M., 1998.
 Punctuated aridity in southern Africa during the last glacial cycle: The chronology of linear dune
 construction in the northeastern Kalahari. Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology
 137:305–322.
- Svensen, H., Corfu, F., Polteau, S., Hammer, Ø., and Planke, S. 2012. Rapid magma emplacement in
 the Karoo Large Igneous Province. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 325/326:1-9.
- Taylor, S.R., and McLennan, S.M., 1995. The geochemical evolution of the continental crust.
 Reviews of Geophysics 33:241–265.
- Thomas, D.S.G., and Shaw, P.A., 1988. Late Cainozoic drainage evolution in the Zambezi basin:
 evidence from the Kalahari rim. Journal of African Earth Sciences 7:611–618.

- Thomas, D.S.G., and Shaw, P.A., 1991. The Kalahari Environment. Cambridge University Press,
 Cambridge, UK, 284 p.
- Thomas, D.S.G., and Shaw, P.A., 2002. Late Quaternary environmental change in central southern
 Africa: new data, synthesis, issues and prospects. Quaternary Sci. Rev. 21:783-797.
- 1187 Thomas, D.S.G.; O'Connor, P.W.; Bateman, M.D.; Shaw, P.A.; Stokes, S.; and Nash, D.J., 2000.
- 1188 Dune activity as a record of late Quaternary aridity in the northern Kalahari: new evidence from 1189 northern Namibia interpreted in the context of regional arid and humid chronologies. 1190 Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 156:243–259.
- Vallier, T.L., 1974. Volcanogenic sediments and their relation to landmass volcanism and sea floorcontinent movements, western Indian Ocean. Leg 25, Init. Rep. D.S.D.P. 25:515–542.
- 1193 van der Lubbe, J.J.L., Tjallingii, R., Prins, M.A., Brummer, G.J.A., Jung, S.J.A., Kroon, D., and
- Schneider, R.R., 2014. Sedimentation patterns off the Zambezi River over the last 20,000 years.
 Marine Geology 355:189–201.
- von Eynatten, H., Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., and Egozcue, J.J., 2002. Understanding perturbation on the
 simplex: a simple method to better visualise and interpret compositional data in ternary diagrams.
 Mathematical Geology 34:249–257.
- 1199 Vormann, M., Franke, D., and Jokat, W., 2020. The crustal structure of the southern Davie Ridge
 1200 offshore northern Mozambique A wide-angle seismic and potential field study. Tectonophysics
 1201 778:228370.
- Walford, H.L., White, N.J., and Sydow, J.C., 2005. Solid sediment load history of the Zambezi Delta.
 Earth and Planetary Science Letters 238:49–63.
- Wellington, J. 1955. Southern Africa: A geographical study. Physical geography, climate, vegetation
 and soils: hydrography, V.1. Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press, 528 p.
- Whipple, K.X., 2004. Bedrock rivers and the geomorphology of active orogens. Annual Reviews
 Earth Planetary Sciences 32:151–185.
- Zindorf, M., Rooze, J., Meille, C., März, C., Jouet, G., Newton, R., Brandily, C., and Pastor, L., 2021.
 The evolution of early diagenetic processes at the Mozambique margin during the last glacialinterglacial transition. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 300:9-94.



Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences Piazza della Scienza n° 4, 20126 Milano (Italy) Tel. (+39) 02/ 6448.2055 - Fax (+39) 02/ 6448.2073

> To the Editor of The Journal of Geology

Dear Sir,

please find enclosed our revised manuscript, entitled "*The Segmented Zambezi* Sedimentary System from Source to Sink - 1. Sand Petrology and Heavy Minerals" for publication in *The Journal of Geology*.

The previous version has been modified following carefully the helpful comments provided by Reviewers Le Pera and Hinderer. All of their remarks have been considered and the detailed account of how we have responded to each of the Reviewers' concerns and of the specific modifications introduced in the revised text is given in the separate file "Zambezi Revision Notes".

Hoping that our manuscript is now in running order and meets all of the high requirements of *The Journal of Geology*, we thank you heartily for your precious attention and kind interest.

Yours sincerely,

SMC

Eduardo Garzanti (on behalf of all coauthors)

Tel: (39) 02 64482088-2094 • Fax: (39) 02 64482073 Email: eduardo.garzanti@unimib.it

10th May 2021









A) UPPERMOST ZAMBEZI @ Sesheke



C) MIDDLE ZAMBEZI @ Luangwa



E) NORTHERN ZAMBEZI DELTA (Praia da Madal)



B) UPPER ZAMBEZI @ Zambezi Deka



D) LOWER ZAMBEZI @ Chimuara



F) ZAMBEZI PASSIVE MARGIN (Upper slope, 24.1 ka)

≛



G) SHIRE RIVER (quartzo-feldspathic sand)









Table 1

RIVER	n°	Q	F	Lvm	Lsm	Lmfb		P/F	mica	tHMC	ZTR	Ар	Ttn	Ep	Grt	St	Ky	Sil	Amp	Срх	Hy	&tHM		ACI
Kwando River	3	99	1	0	0	0	100.0	n.d.	0%	0.1	61	0.3	0.3	3	0	16	16	0	2	0	0	1	100.0	39
& U st Z. tributaries	3	90	9	0.2	0.5	0	100.0	36%	2%	0.4	31	1	1	38	2	5	6	1	7	5	2	2	100.0	0-5
Uppermost Zambezi	6	96	3	0	0	0	100.0	32%	0.1%	0.4	60	0	0.4	5	0.3	7	18	0	2	4	0	2	100.0	0
U.Z. tributaries pre-VF	1	91	1	7	1	0	100.0	n.d.	0%	0.3	5	0.4	0	0.4	0	1	0	0	0.4	92	0	0	100.0	n.d.
Upper Zambezi pre-VF	4	96	2	1	0	0.2	100.0	47%	0%	0.4	34	0	0	8	0.2	3	23	0.5	3	27	0	1	100.0	0-39
U.Z. tributaries pre-Kariba	2	46	2	52	0	0	100.0	n.d.	0%	10.5	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	97	0	0	100.0	n.d.
Upper Zambezi pre-Kariba	4	93	3	4	0	0	100.0	60%	0%	1.3	3	0.3	0	2	0.2	2	6	0	1	84	0	1	100.0	n.d.
Gwai River	3	74	22	3	1	1	100.0	61%	4%	1.6	3	1	0	18	7	0.3	1	1	35	30	0.2	1	100.0	11-37
Gwai tributaries	5	83	11	5	0.5	0	100.0	60%	0%	3.5	12	1	2	27	2	1	0.2	0	12	43	0	0.4	100.0	8-34
Kafue	2	67	29	0.4	0.5	3	100.0	41%	6%	6.7	8	2	3	10	2	1	4	1	67	1	0	1	100.0	9-16
Middle Zambezi	2	63	32	1	2	2	100.0	36%	4%	4.8	12	1	4	14	2	0	6	0.2	51	6	1	2	100.0	9-16
Luangwa	1	74	25	0	0	1	100.0	39%	0.3%	2.9	11	1	4	18	6	4	11	7	35	1	0	2	100.0	46
Sangara-Chacangara	2	49	48	0.3	3	0.5	100.0	44%	0.1%	3.2	4	2	0.2	4	3	0	1	0	20	41	21	4	100.0	13-24
Morrunguze	1	46	50	0	1	4	100.0	51%	1%	14.7	2	1	3	12	4	0	0	0	30	36	12	0	100.0	41
Minjova	1	70	26	1	3	0	100.0	55%	0%	0.4	6	1	1	7	25	0	0	0.5	26	24	9	0.5	100.0	91
Mufa	2	47	50	1	1	0.2	100.0	44%	1%	12.1	2	2	3	2	2	0	0.5	0	71	9	8	1	100.0	45-50
Mazowe-Luenha	2	45	53	1	0	1	100.0	38%	1%	9.0	3	1	4	12	3	0	2	1	73	1	0.5	0	100.0	31-38
Lower Zambezi	2	48	50	1	0.4	0.2	100.0	58%	5%	16.4	5	1	1	9	25	0	0.2	0.5	43	7	5	2	100.0	14-63
Shire	1	33	67	0	0	0.4	100.0	72%	3%	14.1	4	4	0.4	6	4	0	1	0	76	2	2	0	100.0	41
Sangadze-Zangue	2	64	35	0	1	0.2	100.0	28%	0%	1.2	2	2	1	5	58	7	4	3	18	1	0.2	0.5	100.0	27-80
Lower Zambezi (final)	2	49	49	1	0	1	100.0	45%	3%	6.9	3	1	4	21	3	2	1	0.2	58	3	3	0	100.0	26-38
Zambezi upper slope	3	63	35	0.2	1	1	100.0	52%	11%	3.1	4	2	4	23	3	0.2	1	3	46	11	2	1	100.0	4-7
Quelimane estuary/beaches	4	69	28	1	2	0.4	100.0	53%	2%	4.5	5	2	4	17	4	0.5	1	2	53	8	3	0.2	100.0	2-11
Quelimane outer shelf	2	58	40	1	1	0.5	100.0	46%	29%	2.2	5	3	2	24	0.2	0	0.2	4	47	14	1	1	100.0	4-8