
Acute and chronic toxicity assessments of 17 β -estradiol (E2) and 17 α -ethinylestradiol (EE2) on the calanoid copepod *Acartia clausi*: Effects on survival, development, sex-ratio and reproduction

Djebbi Emna ^{1,*}, Néjib Daly Yahia Mohamed ², Farcy Emilie ³, Pringault Olivier ⁴, Bonnet Delphine ³

¹ Faculty of Sciences of Bizerte, Carthage University, 7021, Zarzouna, LR18ES41 (Tunis El Manar University), 1082 Tunis, Tunisia

² Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, Qatar University, PO Box 2713, Doha, Qatar

³ Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Ifremer, IRD, MARBEC, Montpellier, France

⁴ Aix Marseille University, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IRD, MIO UM 110, 13288 Marseille, France


* Corresponding author : Emna Djebbi, email address : amnadjebbi@gmail.com

Abstract :

Estrogens, such as the 17 β -estradiol (E2) and the 17 α -ethinylestradiol (EE2), have been regarded as a global threat to aquatic ecosystems due to their pseudo-persistence, their high estrogenic activity and their toxicity towards non-target species. Data regarding their ecotoxicological effects on marine calanoid copepods are very scarce. In this study, the calanoid copepod *Acartia clausi* was used as a model organism for estrogens exposure in marine pelagic ecosystems. Lethal effects of estrogens on *A. clausi* life-stages (Embryos, one day old nauplii: N1, three day old nauplii: N3, copepodites: C1-C3 and adults: C6) were investigated using 48 h acute tests. Copepods showed stage-specific responses against E2 and EE2 acute exposure. The most resistant life stage was N1 with LC50 values >1500 μ g L⁻¹ and >5000 μ g L⁻¹, respectively for E2 and EE2. For N3, C1-C3, and C6, sensitivity to estrogens decreased with age and survival was affected at concentrations above those detected in the environment reflecting low estrogens acute toxicity for these life stages. In contrast, embryonic stage revealed high vulnerability to E2 and EE2 acute effects. Embryos showed non-monotonic dose-response and hatching success was significantly reduced at low realistic concentrations of E2 (0.005, 0.5, and 5 μ g L⁻¹) and EE2 (0.05 and 5 μ g L⁻¹).

Survival, development and sex ratio of *A. clausi* to EE2 exposure at 1 and 100 μ g L⁻¹ were also determined during a life cycle experiment. Fitness of the females of the generation F0 was evaluated by measuring lifespan, prosome length and egg production. The main observed effects were the decrease of females' prosome length, the feminization of the population and the reduction of the egg production for the generation F0 at 100 μ g L⁻¹ of EE2. This concentration is above those reported in the environment indicating the tolerance of *A. clausi* to EE2 at environmentally relevant concentrations.

Graphical abstract



Highlights

► First knowledge on *Acartia clausi* response to acute and chronic estrogens exposure. ► Estrogens exhibit a U-shape curve for *A. clausi* embryos. ► Survival of N1 life stage of *A. clausi* was not sensitive to E2 and EE2 exposure. ► Sex ratio was skewed towards females at 100 μ g L⁻¹ of EE2. ► Reproduction and prosome length were reduced in females reared with 100 μ g L⁻¹ of EE2.

Keywords : Estrogens, Low concentration effect, Lethal effect, Life cycle, Egg hatching success, lifespan

1. Introduction

Estrogens are emerging contaminants of concern and are referred to as endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) which can interact and disrupt the endocrine system functions in living organisms (Sonnenschein & Soto, 1998; Adeel et al., 2017). Based on their origin, estrogens can be divided into two groups. Natural estrogens, such as the 17β -estradiol (E2) secreted by vertebrates, play a key role in the regulation of sexual development and reproduction. Synthetic estrogens, such as the 17α -ethinylestradiol (EE2) derived from E2, are mostly used in oral contraceptive pills for hormone replacement therapy (Adeel et al., 2017). The excessive use of estrogens can lead to their possible release into terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems through numerous sources like human and animal excretions, agricultural runoff, as well as the discharge of industrial, hospital, and domestic sewage wastes into natural receptors (Pal et al., 2010).

Estrogen concentrations vary among regions and ecosystems mainly due to differences of sewage plant treatment efficiency and to the fate of estrogens in the environment (e.g., biotransformation, sorption, dispersion, photolysis) (Pal et al., 2010; Adeel et al., 2017). The occurrence of both estrogens in aquatic marine environments was reported at concentrations ranging from 0.7 to 62 ng L^{-1} for E2 and from 0.4 to 6.86 ng L^{-1} for EE2 (Pal et al., 2010; Nazari & Suja, 2016). The highest reported values of E2 and EE2 were reported in the Venice lagoon and were equal to 175 ng L^{-1} and 43 ng L^{-1} , respectively (Pojana et al., 2004). Both estrogens are known by their low solubility (EE2 being the least soluble), their lipophilicity and their high absorption rate to organic matter which can explain their low concentrations in the water column in comparison with those detected in soil and sediment (Adeel et al., 2017).

The presence of steroid estrogens in aquatic ecosystems, even at low concentrations, can result in serious ecological risks due to their high estrogenic activity, their toxicity as well as their pseudo-persistence since they are continuously released into the environment (Laurenson et al., 2014). A wide range of negative effects associated with estrogen exposure has been reported previously in several aquatic organisms (vertebrates and invertebrates) such as a perturbation of endocrine and reproductive systems, alteration of the detoxification and apoptotic responses, and impairment of physiological processes (mineral homeostasis and immune function) (Tarrant et al., 2004; Souza et al., 2013). In addition to their endocrine-disrupting effects, these estrogens are also known to

have genotoxic and cytotoxic effects in fish *Cyprinus carpio* at environmental concentration of 1 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ after 24 h (Orozco-Hernández et al., 2018).

Copepods are good bio-indicators of ecosystem health status due to their high sensitivity to environmental disturbances and climate change (Hussain et al., 2020). They play a key role in aquatic food webs as main secondary producers and as a vital link between phytoplankton and higher trophic levels (Hussain et al., 2020). They can accumulate in their tissues a considerable amount of contaminants such as estrogens and transfer them to higher trophic levels (Cailleaud et al., 2011). Despite their key role in ecosystems, available data regarding estrogens toxic effects in copepods remain scarce and lead to contradictory conclusions. Some studies did not report any negative effects on copepods development and reproduction under chronic exposure to E2 and EE2 at concentrations $\leq 100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (Hutchinson et al., 1999; Breitholtz & Bengtsson, 2001), while others did (Marcial et al., 2003; Forget-Leray et al., 2005). Moreover, copepods' life history and community structures can be strongly impacted by EE2 at environmental concentrations (10 ng L^{-1}) as it can alter the molting process and adult recruitment in calanoid copepods, but also calanoid to cyclopoid copepod ratio (Souza et al., 2013). Bang et al. (2010) observed morphological deformities (shrinking and swelling of the urosome, loss of swimming legs, abnormal segmentation of antennules, etc.) in the harpacticoid copepod *Tigriopus japonicus* after E2 exposure ranging from 1 to $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. The ability of EE2 to generate oxidative stress and to elicit apoptosis in calanoid copepods has also been noted at $10\text{-}1000 \text{ ng L}^{-1}$ (Souza et al., 2013). Both estrogens were reported to negatively affect the survival of adult copepods under acute exposure at concentrations in the range of mg L^{-1} , higher than those detected in the aquatic environment (Andersen et al., 2001; Breitholtz & Bengtsson, 2001).

To our knowledge, there is no study that compares the sensitivity of copepod life-stages under estrogens acute exposure. Moreover, laboratory studies on copepod life-cycle evaluating reproduction, development, and sex-differentiation sensitivity to EDCs have been focused mainly on harpacticoid copepods which present different biological (physiology, metabolism, morphology) and ecological (life strategies) characteristics in comparison to calanoid copepods (Hutchinson et al., 1999; Andersen et al., 2001; Breitholtz & Bengtsson, 2001). The calanoid copepod *Acartia clausi* is a suitable biological model for ecotoxicology tests due to its ubiquitous

occurrence, short life-cycle, small size and high sensitivity to environmental disturbances and contaminants (Carotenuto et al., 2020; Tato et al., 2018).

The present study aims to (1) investigate the lethal toxic effects of E2 and EE2 under acute exposure on various developmental stages of *A. clausi* in order to compare their apparent sensitivity, (2) evaluate the sublethal effects of chronic exposure to EE2 on *A. clausi* by investigating different life cycle endpoints: adult survival rate, development from embryo to adult and sex-ratio. Fitness of the females of the F0 generation was also estimated by measuring egg production rate (EPR), female's lifespan and prosome length (PL). The present study aims to expand our knowledge on the effects of estrogens on copepods as well as to provide, for the first time, information on *A. clausi* life stages sensitivity to estrogens under acute and chronic exposures.

Defining water quality guidelines is essential for the regulation of emerging pollutant discharge in the aquatic environment and the protection of aquatic life against their severe acute and long term effects on survival, growth and reproduction. Acute and chronic data derived from this study could be used for the elaboration of the aquatic life criteria of estrogens (Criterion Maximum Concentration (CMC) and Criterion Continuous Concentration) which requires laboratory ecotoxicological information on the most sensitive life stages of aquatic species (namely median lethal concentrations (LC₅₀) or median effect concentrations (EC₅₀) (Stephan et al., 1985).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Copepods sampling

Sampling was carried out during the autumns 2018 and 2019. Zooplankton was collected with a WP2 plankton net (200 µm mesh size) by horizontal hauls at a fixed station (43°23'59.10''N; 3°36'37.15''E) in Thau lagoon. Temperature varied between 16 and 20 °C and salinity was of 37 psu during the samplings. The zooplankton was maintained in cool boxes and immediately transported to the laboratory within 1 h after collection.

2.2. Copepods cultures

About 300 ovigerous females of *A. clausi* were isolated and incubated for 48 h in a 5 L beaker filled with 0.2 µm filtered natural seawater with a gentle permanent aeration. To avoid egg

predation by adults, females were separated from their eggs using a perspex chamber with a 180 μm mesh false bottom. The temperature was maintained at 20 °C since egg production and hatching success are optimum at this temperature for *A. clausi* (Castro-Longoria, 2003). A light:dark regime of 14:10 h was used to prevent the production of diapause eggs (Chinnery & Williams, 2004). Copepods were fed with a mixture of the haptophyte *Isochrysis galbana* and the chlorophyte *Tetraselmis sp.* at a carbon ratio of 1:1 provided *ad libitum* ($>300 \mu\text{g C L}^{-1}$) (Klein Breteler & Schogt, 1994). Algal cell concentration was estimated daily and adjusted from counts on a Malassez cell. The females were removed after 48 h. Consequently, all individuals were roughly of same age within the 5 L beaker. After egg hatching, about 450 nauplii with different ages (≤ 24 h old and >72 h old) were used to perform acute toxicity tests. Others were reared in the laboratory until copepodite stages were reached.

2.3. Estrogens stock solutions

The natural estrogen 17 β -estradiol (98% purity) and the synthetic estrogen 17 α -ethinylestradiol (98% purity) were supplied from Sigma Aldrich chemicals. Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma Aldrich, and purity $\geq 99.8\%$) was used as a carrier solvent. Stock solutions of 100 mg mL⁻¹ were prepared by dissolving 100 g of E2 or EE2 in 10 mL of DMSO. The solutions were stirred to facilitate solubilization and were stored in darkness at 4 °C until use. Each stock solution was then used to make a further ten-fold dilution series in 100% DMSO. Nominal concentrations were prepared by the addition of 10 μL of the dilution series in 100 mL seawater. The final concentration of DMSO in the solvent control and in E2 and EE2 treatments was 0.0001% (v/v).

2.4. Toxicity test procedures

2.4.1. Lethal effects of short-term estrogens exposure on *A. clausi* life-stages

48 h acute toxicity tests were performed on five different life stages: i) *A. clausi* embryos, ii) nauplii 1 (N1: ≤ 24 h old), iii) nauplii 3 (N3: >72 h old), iv) copepodites (C1-C3) reared in the laboratory and on v) wild females (copepodite 6: C6). Individuals of the targeted stage were identified under a dissecting microscope and captured by a mouth pipette of proper size. The number of replicates varied according to the number of individuals available for each life-stage (Fig. 1A). For each stage, two control treatments were conducted: a seawater control (SW) and a solvent control (DMSO) (final DMSO concentration of 0.0001%). Experiments were performed

using natural seawater, sampled from the Thau lagoon and filtered through a 0.2 μm filter. Experiments were run in glass vials of different volumes depending on the size and the number of individuals used in tests in order to keep a comparable density of individuals among the different tests (Fig. 1A). During acute tests, no food was provided to *A. clausi* life stages. The test solution was prepared 24 h prior to the beginning of the test. Tests were performed using the same conditions described above for the cultures.

Embryos: Test with embryos was performed according to Gorbi et al. (2012) and the ISO 14669 protocol (1999 revised in 2015) with some modifications. For each estrogen, about 240 embryos were used to test 7 nominal concentrations (0.005, 0.05, 0.5, 5, 50, 500, 5000 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) ranging from concentration below or close to environmental concentrations (E2 = 0.83 ng L^{-1} ; EE2 = 4.6 ng L^{-1}) (Pal et al., 2010) to environmentally unrealistic high levels of hormone. For each concentration, triplicates of 5 embryos groups were incubated in 20 ml petri dish filled with the tested solutions. For each hormone, controls in triplicates with SW and with the tested solvent DMSO were prepared.

Nauplii (N1 and N3): Tests with naupliar stages were run according to ISO 14669 (1999 revised in 2015) and Forget-Leray et al. (2005) with some modifications. Preliminary exposure tests were performed with a broader concentration range than those tested on embryos, before setting up the final test in order to better determine the concentration inducing 0% and 100% mortality. The final tests were conducted using six concentrations of E2 (5, 15, 50, 150, 550, 1300 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) and EE2 (15, 50, 150, 550, 1500, 3300 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$). For each concentration, 4 groups of 10 nauplii were added to 60 mL vials containing 20 mL of tested solution. For the controls (SW and DMSO), 5 replicates were set up.

Copepodites (C1-C3): Acute tests on copepodites were carried out following the ISO 14669: 1999 revised in 2015 with slight modifications. As larger and older life-stage is expected to be more resistant, E2 and EE2 were tested respectively at concentrations of 50, 150, 550, 1300 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and 150, 550, 1500, 3300 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. For each concentration, triplicates of 10 copepodites groups were incubated in 70 ml vials. Controls were also carried out in triplicates.

Adult females (C5-C6): Acute tests on copepodites were carried out following the ISO 14669: 1999 revised in 2015 with little modifications. E2 and EE2 concentrations were tested at

concentrations of 200, 400, 750, 1500 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and 200, 550, 1700, 5000 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, respectively. For each treatment and controls, 3 replicates were done. Groups of 10 females were incubated in 250 ml beakers.

During the test, none of the solutions was renewed or aerated. To assess the median lethal concentration (LC_{50}), dead individuals were counted at the end of the incubation. Animals were considered dead when they exhibited no swimming or appendage movements within 10s. Tests were considered valid if there was less than 20% of mortality in controls (SW and DMSO controls) for nauplius stage and less than 10% in controls for copepodite and adult stages. Embryos were considered dead when they did not hatch and changed color. The hatching success was calculated from the number of nauplii observed compared with the initial number of embryos incubated.

2.4.2. Sublethal effects of long-term EE2 exposure on *A. clausi* life cycle

Based on the results of EE2 lethal toxicity tests on females and published data for EE2 that have caused sublethal effects on copepods species, two nominal EE2 concentrations were chosen to study sublethal effects on *A. clausi* developmental cycle (Fig. 1B). Copepod life cycle experiments initiated with embryos were run at 1 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, corresponding respectively to 1/1000 and 1/10 of LC_{50} of the most sensitive stage of *A. clausi* (N3), for 20 days under controlled laboratory conditions. Embryos were obtained from non-exposed *A. clausi* females sampled in the Thau lagoon, according to the above-described procedure. Three replicates for each EE2 concentration and controls (SW and DMSO) were set up using groups of 50 embryos in 250 mL beakers. Cultures were maintained in a laboratory incubator at 37 psu, 20 °C, under a fixed light:dark regime of 14:10 h. Each beaker was continuously aerated. Cohorts were fed *ad libitum* ($> 300 \text{ g C L}^{-1}$) with *Dunaliella salina* (20.000 cells mL^{-1}), *Nannochloris sp* (10.000 cells mL^{-1}), and *I. galbana* (100.000 cells mL^{-1}) in the ratio of 1:1:1 from N2 to adult, as the first naupliar stage does not have a mouth (Baud et al., 2002). Algal cell concentration was estimated daily with a Malassez cell and adjusted from counts. Beakers were visually checked daily and when 50% of the cohorts had reached the copepodite stage, individuals were transferred to 1 L beakers. Due to EE2 half-life of 72 h (Ying et al., 2003), 80% of the incubation volume was renewed every 48 h and food was supplied at the same time. Prior to discarding old solution,

three replicates of 20 mL were sampled in 30 mL tinted glass bottle from each condition to determine the concentration of EE2 at 2, 14 and 20 days.

At the end of the experiment, copepodites survival rates were determined for each treatment, and development time was calculated. C5 and C6 stage frequency distributions, from counts of males and females in each cohort, were used to calculate the cohort development time defined as the time needed for 50% of the individuals to reach the adult stage. For each treatment, males and females from triplicates were pooled to determine sex-ratios.

Adult females of the F0 generation were then selected and transferred to seawater to further explore the chronic exposure effect of EE2 by measuring several endpoints such as EPR, Female individual lifespan and PL.

For each cohort, ovigerous females were individually incubated in 250 ml glass beakers filled with 100 ml filtered seawater for 24 h with the same photoperiod and temperature used during the life cycle experiment. Due to the low abundance of F0 females, the number of replicates varied in each cohort ($n = 6$ to 17). Eggs were counted after 24 h under a Leica stereomicroscope and EPR was expressed as the number of eggs produced per female per day. The females' individual lifespan was then followed each 48 h until they died. Females were fed each 48 h *ad libitum*. When dead, the female PL (mm) was measured using a stereomicroscope.

2.5. EE2 analysis in during the life cycle experiment

In order to determine the EE2 concentrations after 2, 14 and 20 days of exposure, a competitive direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) was used to measure EE2 in the exposure media (Ecologiena, Japan), according to manufacturer's instructions. The protocol is described in details in Wernicke von Siebenthal et al. (2018). The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a TECAN infinite microplate reader (Tecan Group Ltd., Switzerland) and the detection range was $0.05\text{-}3 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$.

2.6. Statistical analysis

The 48 h lethal concentration values (LC_{50}) for EE2 and E2 were calculated for each life stage using REGTOX, a curve fitting macro for Microsoft Excel (version 7.0.3. available at https://www.normalesup.org/~vindimian/en_index.html). The Hill model was used to calculate the 48 h LC_{50} of E2 and EE2 for each replicate. The LC_{50} are given as mean \pm standard deviation.

Data from lethal and sublethal tests are presented as means associated with their standard deviation (SD). Prior to parametric analysis, data were tested for normal distribution and homogeneity of variance and were log-transformed, if necessary. When the assumption was met, one-way ANOVA with a Tukey post-hoc test was performed to determine significant difference between DMS and exposure conditions. If the assumption was not met, data were analyzed using a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test by ranks, followed by Mann/Whitney tests to highlight which treatment was different from DMS in acute and chronic tests. Data analysis was performed using SPSS (version 18). The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

No statistically significant differences were determined between SW and DMSO controls in terms of studied endpoints in acute and chronic tests ($p > 0.05$). Therefore, data for SW are not shown and DMSO was considered as the control (i.e. solvent control) in subsequent analyses.

3.1. Lethal effects of short-term estrogens exposure on *A. clausi* life-stages

The results obtained by exposing various life-stages of *A. clausi* to E2 and EE2 for 48 h are shown in Figures 2 (Embryos) and 3 (N1, N3, C1-C3, C6).

Embryos: Lethal effects of E2 and EE2 on *A. clausi* embryos were assessed by measuring hatching success (HS, Fig. 2). Eggs hatching success showed a U-shaped curve in response to 48 h estrogens exposure. E2 induced a significant decrease in HS at low concentrations of $0.005 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, $0.5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, and $5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, under which HS was equal to $76.7\% \pm 5.8$ compared to $96.7\% \pm 5.8$ recorded in DMSO ($p < 0.05$). Similarly to E2, HS was significantly reduced at low concentrations of EE2 of 0.05 and $5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, for which it was equal to $83.3\% \pm 5.8$ in comparison with DMSO showing a $96.7\% \pm 5.8$ HS ($p < 0.05$). For both estrogens, the higher concentrations, ranging from 50 - $5000 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, exhibited no negative effect on HS ($p > 0.05$).

Nauplii (N1 and N3): After 48 h, N1 survival was not affected by E2 or EE2 exposure (Fig. 3). For both estrogens, survival rates were more than 70% for all tested concentrations, with no significant difference between DMSO and estrogens' treatments ($p > 0.05$). LC_{50} was not calculated for N1 due to their tolerance to estrogens acute exposure.

In contrast to N1, the life stage N3 exhibited a significant survival reduction following estrogen exposure (Fig. 3) ($p < 0.05$). This pattern was significant at concentrations ranging from 150 $\mu\text{g/L}$ to 1300 $\mu\text{g/L}$ of E2, for which survival varied between 85% and 0%, respectively and from a concentration of 150 of EE2 for which survival was 72.5%. No nauplii survived after exposure to the highest concentration of 3300 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2 ($p < 0.05$). LC_{50} values for N3 are $601 \pm 9 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $1068 \pm 376 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for E2 and EE2, respectively (see Figures S1 and S2, Supplementary data and Table 2).

Copepodites (C1-C3): Exposure to E2, up to a concentration of 150 $\mu\text{g/L}$, had no significant effect on C1-C3 survival compared with control (Fig. 3). A significant effect ($p < 0.05$) was observed at the two E2 highest concentrations of 550 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and 1500 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for which $77.5\% \pm 5$ and less than 30% of the exposed copepodites were still alive, respectively. For EE2, the copepodites survival was significantly reduced at concentrations ranging from 550 $\mu\text{g/L}$ to 3300 $\mu\text{g/L}$ at which survival varied between $76.7\% \pm 5.7$ and 0% after 48 h ($p < 0.05$). LC_{50} values for copepodites C1-C3 were $1119 \pm 76 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $1257 \pm 195 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for E2 and EE2, respectively (see Figures S3 and S4, Supplementary data and Table 2).

Adult females: Females' survival rates decreased significantly, in comparison to control, at E2 concentrations of 750 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and 1500 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for which survival rates varied between 50% and 63% ($p < 0.05$). Similarly, EE2 had a significant negative effect on survival rates, starting at concentrations of 550 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, reaching 0% at the highest concentration 5000 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2 ($p < 0.05$). Adult females LC_{50} values are $1422 \pm 265 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $1216 \pm 176 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for E2 and EE2, respectively (see Figures S5 and S6, Supplementary data and Table 2).

3.2. Sublethal effects of long-term EE2 exposure on *A. clausi* life cycle

EE2 analysis

The measured concentrations of EE2 in the chronic test are shown in Table 1. After 48 h, EE2 measured concentrations were half the nominal concentrations. Results showed an increase of measured EE2 concentrations over time. After 14 days, measured EE2 concentrations were equal to $0.9 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, at 1 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ nominal concentration, and $48.3 \pm 15.3 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ at 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ nominal concentration. The highest measured EE2 concentrations were observed after 20 days of

exposure, where the measured EE2 concentrations were equal to 1.2 ± 0.1 and 88.0 ± 18.3 at $1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ nominal concentrations, respectively.

Survival, development time and sex-ratio in the F0 generation

Results of *A. clausi* survival rates after 14 days and 20 days of exposure to EE2 at $1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ are shown in Table 3. Chronic exposure to EE2 at $1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ did not significantly affect survival rates ($54.7\% \pm 21.6$) of *A. clausi* after 14 days ($p > 0.05$). At the highest concentration of $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2, survival rate was significantly lower ($14\% \pm 3.5$) than the one observed for DMSO ($28\% \pm 3.4$) ($p < 0.05$). Survival rates were not constant over time and decreased with increasing time in different cohorts (control and EE2 concentrations). After 20 days, survival rates in DMSO, at $1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and at $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ were equal to $8.7\% \pm 6.4$, $24\% \pm 6.9$ and $10\% \pm 5.3$, respectively. No significant difference was observed in survival rates between DMSO and EE2 treatments ($1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) after 20 days ($p > 0.05$).

Exposure to EE2 at sublethal concentrations of 1 and $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ did affect the development of *A. clausi*. The duration of copepod development from embryos to C5-C6 in DMSO was 16.3 ± 0.6 days and were 16.4 ± 0.8 days and 16.9 ± 0.6 days for $1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2, respectively (Table 3). No significant difference was detected among groups (estrogens and DMSO) ($p > 0.05$).

Results of sex ratio are shown in Table 3. The sex ratio (F/M) was higher for copepods exposed to $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2 (2.75) than for DMSO (1.25) and $1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2 (1.25).

Prosome length, lifespan and reproductive traits in females of the F0 generation

Adult females' lifespan is presented in Table 3. There was no significant difference between groups and adult females' lifespan was equal to 12.1, 14.2 and 10.4 days for females reared in DMSO, $1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, respectively ($p > 0.05$).

Values of PL of the F0 females are indicated in Table 3 and varied between 0.58 ± 0.02 mm and 0.63 ± 0.01 mm. There was no significant difference between individuals cultured in DMSO and $1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2 ($p > 0.05$). However, a significant reduction in PL was observed for females reared at the highest concentration of $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2 and DMSO ($p < 0.05$).

In order to investigate whether EE2 exposure during development impacts reproductive capacities, EPR was measured in F0 females incubated in seawater for 24 h (Fig. 4). A statistical difference in the number of eggs produced by females was noticed between the different treatments ($p < 0.05$). EPR of females raised with $1 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2 did not differ from EPR in control ($p > 0.05$). In contrast, EPR of females reared at $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2 was significantly low 1.16 ± 0.75 eggs female $^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$ compared to 12.6 ± 9.08 eggs female $^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$ in control ($p < 0.05$).

4. Discussion

4.1. Lethal effects of short-term estrogens exposure on *A. clausi* life-stages

Results from acute tests performed on various life-stages of *A. clausi* (Embryos, N1, N3, C1-C3, and C6) showed high LC₅₀ values of E2 and EE2, which reflect the relatively low toxicity of these estrogens for the copepod *A. clausi*. Estrogens mortality effects on various crustacean species, essentially copepods, are reported in Table 4. Our results are in line with findings recorded previously indicating that estrogens concentrations affecting crustacean species survival, under short-term exposure, are well above those occurring in the marine aquatic environment (Pal et al., 2010). For example, the recorded 48 h LC₅₀ values for N1 *A. clausi* in the present study were $>1500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $>5000 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, respectively for E2 and EE2, in the same range as those reported by Goto & Hirami (2003) and Brennan et al. (2006) for the neonates of *D. magna* (48 h LC₅₀ = $2870 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $>5000 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, respectively for E2 and EE2). Data reported in the literature on copepods refer mainly to the adult stage and exposure durations are very diverse, making the comparison with our 48 h LC₅₀ values delicate. The sensitivity of *A. clausi* adult stage to E2 (48 h LC₅₀ = $1422 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) is similar to that of *Acartia tonsa* (48 h LC₅₀ $>1000 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) but lower than for the harpacticoid copepod *T. japonicus* (48 h LC₅₀ = $3350 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$), suggesting an apparent species-specific sensitivity to estrogens acute exposure, as observed by Andersen et al. (2001) and Marcial et al. (2003).

Furthermore, our results reveal a life-stage-specific sensitivity to E2 and EE2 acute exposure. There is a general opinion that earlier life stages of crustacean species are more vulnerable to environmental stress than older stages (Kulkarni et al., 2013). However, early life-stage vulnerability is not a constant rule for all copepods and as reported by Jager et al. (2016) who stated that there is no general pattern in copepods life stages sensitivity. Based on the estimated

48 h LC₅₀, N1 of *A. clausi* evidenced the highest resistance to both estrogens lethal effects in comparison to the other life stages. In contrast, N3, C1-C3 and C6 showed different responses to both estrogens which might be linked to estrogens' mode of toxic action. N3 (LC₅₀ = 601 µg L⁻¹) displayed a higher sensitivity to E2 than C1-C3 (LC₅₀ = 1119 µg L⁻¹) which were slightly more sensitive than C6 (LC₅₀ = 1422 µg L⁻¹). Whereas, under EE2 exposure, no sensitivity difference was observed among C1-C3 (LC₅₀ = 1207 µg L⁻¹) and C6 (LC₅₀ = 1216 µg L⁻¹) which were relatively more resistant to EE2 than N3 (LC₅₀ = 1068 µg L⁻¹). Embryos were the most sensitive life-stage to both estrogens and presented negative effects in HS at low concentrations (E2: 0.005 µg L⁻¹, 0.5 µg L⁻¹, and 5 µg L⁻¹ and EE2: 0.05 and 5 µg L⁻¹). Results from the present study corroborate previous findings observed for the marine copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* exposed to organic chemicals water-soluble fractions of fresh and weathered oil, which are lipophilic contaminants like estrogens, where older, larger, and more lipid-rich life stages turn out to be more sensitive than nauplii (Hansen et al., 2011). Similar results have been asserted in the harpacticoid copepod *Nitocra lacustris* exposed to benanthrene where no sensitivity difference has been observed between nauplii and copepodites, whereas adult females evidenced more vulnerability to this pollutant than all other stages (Lotufo & Fleeger, 1997). Moreover, Calbet et al. (2007) did not observe any difference in mortality between naupliar and adult stages of the marine copepod *Paracartia grani* to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon.

The difference in sensitivity among copepod life-stages may either be explained by the difference in intrinsic sensitivity as well as the toxicokinetics processes difference (uptake and elimination processes of pollutant), or may either result from the way physiological processes interact to produce the observed effect as stated by Jager et al. (2016). Differences in lipid content among life stages might also be an additional factor causing sensitivity difference especially under exposure to hydrophobic pollutants such as estrogens. High lipid content in advanced life stages can protect them against short-term acute toxicity of pollutants as it can be immobilized in the lipids reservoirs (Hansen et al., 2016; Jager et al., 2016). Anatomy and physiology differences can also explain in part the result observed in *A. clausi*, since the digestive apparatus is not differentiated in the N1 stage in opposition to the next life stages for which mouth and anus are both present and functional (Baud et al., 2002). Copepods may take up pollutants *via* their digestive tract when they feed (phytoplankton, detritus, and bacteria) or *via* their body surface (exoskeleton) (Wang & Fisher, 1998). Consequently, the most possible estrogen absorption

pathway for N1 could be the exoskeleton. Nevertheless, the exoskeleton can constitute a barrier against the effect of various contaminants, which can allow them to cope with the toxicity of some pollutants (Yoon et al., 2019). The reasons for N1 *A. clausi* estrogens resistance remain unclear, but we suggest that this tolerance might be explained by a moderate uptake of estrogens by earlier life stages relative to the older ones.

EDCs such as estrogens and Bisphenol A are frequently associated with non-monotonic dose-response (Lagarde et al., 2015). Both estrogens exhibited a U-shape curve for *A. clausi* embryos (Fig. 2) which suggests a non-monotonic dose-response. This response is consistent with previously reported results in the crustacean species *Daphnia pulex* and *Americamysis bahia* under acute exposure (96 h), where EE2 displayed high toxicity at low concentrations (Daigle et al., 2010). Alteration of HS at environmentally realistic concentrations indicates that the presence of these chemicals in the aquatic environments may alter the dynamics and functioning of ecosystems by impairing eggs hatching. The high vulnerability of *A. clausi* embryos suggests that the embryonic stages of crustacean species can be good bio-indicators of acute toxic effects of estrogens. The present study reveals that the evaluation of estrogens toxicity at high concentrations, like those tested in the present work ($50 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and $5000 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$), can underestimate the toxicity of pollutants as they cannot predict their toxic effects, observed at low concentrations, in some aquatic species. Additional works are needed to assess the molecular mechanism behind such non-linear relationships.

4.2. Sublethal effects of long-term EE2 exposure on *A. clausi* life cycle

Survival rate, development time, and sex-ratio in the F0 generation

Full life cycle studies can highlight the toxic effects of contaminants that only manifest after long-term exposure. The life cycle experiment showed low survival rates of *A. clausi* raised in the laboratory which is not in agreement with the commonly accepted criteria for chronic tests conducted on crustacean species such as harpacticoid copepods (control survival $\geq 70\%$) and cladoceran species like *Daphnia magna* (control survival $> 80\%$). *Acartia* species show low densities when cultured in the laboratory in comparison to harpacticoid copepods (Abolghasem et al., 2011), thus the validity criterion of survival $\geq 70\%$ in controls seems difficult to reach for calanoid copepods especially *Acartia* species.

To our knowledge, there are no standardized methods for acute and chronic toxicity tests with the copepod *A. clausi*. Previous ecotoxicological studies with *A. clausi* have focused mainly on sublethal effects of pollutants (eggs viability, respiration, uptake, and accumulation) under acute exposure and no study has been dedicated to evaluate chronic effects of contaminants on *A. clausi* using full life cycle (Carotenuto et al., 2020). *A. clausi* has been proposed in ecotoxicology bioassays as an alternative model species to *A. tonsa*, for which an internationally harmonized test OECD method is available for reproductive and developmental effects of endocrine disrupters (ICRAM-APAT, 2007; Kusk & Wollenberger, 2007). For this reason, our results on survival rates were compared to those recorded in *A. tonsa*. Results of survival rates in both controls (27% for SW and 28% for DMSO) after 14 days are close to those reported previously in *A. tonsa* after 14 days of laboratory culture where the validity criterion for survival in mass culture control was not fulfilled because only 30 to 40% of survival rates were observed in the controls (Barata et al., 2002; Kusk & Wollenberger, 2005). In the present study, adult survival rates of *A. clausi* in controls decreased after 14 days as observed previously by Abolghasem et al. (2011). Moreover, Medina & Barata (2004) indicated that survival of *A. tonsa* was less than 43% after 10 days in all cultures and decreased to less than 12% after 26 days. *A. clausi* population density in the culture can be influenced by numerous intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Among the intrinsic factors, the important natural mortality of naupliar stages induces a decline of the population size (Uye, 1982; Kusk & Wollenberger, 2005). Mortality in early-stages can be linked to the shifts from yolk-related endogenous foods to exogenous sources and to the morphological and physiological changes related to the metamorphosis of nauplii into copepods (Almeda et al., 2010). Water physicochemical parameters may also act as limiting factors for the development, growth and survival of copepods. Temperature (20 °C), salinity (37 psu) and photoperiod (light: dark regime of 14:10 h) of our experiments are well inside the optimal environmental range of *A. clausi* in Thau lagoon and are suitable for its development in laboratory conditions (Person-Le Ruyet, 1975; Klein Breteler & Schogt, 1994; Abolghasem et al., 2011). The exchange of 80 % of water each 48 h should have avoided the degradation of water quality parameters.

Food plays also an important and major role in copepods culture as it controls their growth and their population density (Zhang et al., 2013; El-Tohamy et al., 2021). In this study, a mixture of three algae (*I. galbana*, *D. salina*, *N. sp*) was used to feed *A. clausi* as monoalgal diets do not meet the nutritional requirement of copepods namely *Acartia sp* (Zhang et al., 2013; Dayras et

al., 2021). The suitability of these algae in terms of nutritive value for crustacean species has been previously confirmed (Støttrup & Jensen, 1990; Alvarez- González et al., 2001; Payne & Rippingale, 2001). Our experiment was conducted at satiating food levels where a sufficient carbon concentration ($>300 \mu\text{g C L}^{-1}$) was provided in order to meet the carbon requirement of this copepod (Klein Breteler & Schogt, 1994; Zhou et al., 2016).

Other factors could have partially enhanced *A. clausi* mortality in the present study such as the handling and the filtration of organisms during water renewal. The high natural mortality of *Acartia* sp observed in control conditions in the present study and previous works (Barata et al 2002; Kusk & Wollenberger 2005) clearly suggest revising the validity criterion during chronic tests (Kusk & Wollenberger 2005).

The development time of *A. clausi*, from embryos to adulthood, was around 16 days, which is faster than the duration of 20 days reported by Person-Le Kuyet (1975) at the same temperature of 20°C . This indicates that the controlled conditions fixed for the culture of this copepod in this study were convenient for development of *A. clausi* culture. Chronic exposure to EE2 did not affect the duration to reach the adult stage even at the highest tested concentration of $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. Interestingly, this concentration is much higher than the maximum recommended concentration of EE2 to protect biota in surface water (0.35 ng L^{-1}) (Caldwell et al., 2008), suggesting that the development of crustacean species is not a sensitive endpoint to EE2 chronic effect. Data supporting our results have been observed in the harpacticoid copepods *Nitocra spinipes* and *Tisbe battagliai* where EE2 concentrations in the range of $50\text{-}100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ did not impact nauplius to adult development in these species (Hutchinson et al., 1999; Breitholtz & Bengtsson, 2001). Copepods might be able to eliminate EE2, as observed in the estuarine copepod *E. affinis* (Cailleaud et al., 2011), since crustacean species can biodegrade vertebrate steroids to glucose and sulfate conjugate (Hutchinson et al., 1999; Cailleaud et al., 2011). Copepods could therefore potentially cope with the toxic effects of EE2 by biodegradation into innocuous compounds.

Available data regarding the effects of EE2 on development and molting processes in copepod species are variable. For example, Andersen et al. (1999) indicated a stimulation of female gonads maturation in the copepod *A. tonsa* at $23 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2. In contrast, Andersen et al. (2001) reported an inhibition of naupliar development in *A. tonsa* by EE2 at concentrations of $88 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, concentration measured in our experiment after 20 days, which may suggest that *A. tonsa* was

more sensitive to EE2 chronic effect than our target species *A. clausi*. Moreover, Forget-Leray et al. (2005) and Marcial et al. (2003) reported a delay in naupliar development of the calanoid copepods *Eurytemora affinis* and *T. japonicus* at concentrations of 6 and 1 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of E2, respectively. It is worth noting that the physiological and molecular key mechanisms behind estrogens' negative effects on reproduction and development of crustacean species remain unclear. The development of calanoid copepods includes 12 different postembryonic stages with 6 naupliar and 6 copepodite stages, the last being the adult stage. Molting processes and metamorphosis in crustacean species are regulated by the ecdysteroids system and metamorphosis is presumably controlled by compounds similar to juvenile hormones controlling insect development (Lafont & Mathieu, 2007). Previous studies have suggested that the ability of estrogens to disrupt copepods might be due to the structural features of estrogens allowing them to bind ecdysteroid receptors in the same way that they might bind estrogenic receptors preventing endogenous ecdysteroids from binding and activating their receptor (Forget-Leray et al., 2005).

Sex ratio in DMSO showed the dominance of females in the population with in a higher proportion of females (79%) in comparison to solvent control 53%, indicating a feminization of *A. clausi* population through EE2 exposure. Unlike previous studies that did not report a significant effect of EE2 (50-100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) on the sex ratio of harpacticoid copepods *N. spinipes* and *T. battagliai* (Hutchinson et al., 1999; Breitholtz & Bengtsson, 2001). Our results are consistent with previous findings observed in the amphipod *Gammarus pulex*, for which EE2 skewed the sex ratio in favor of females at a concentration $<10 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (Watts et al., 2001). The variability in results reported in the literature might be attributed to species specificity. Sexual differentiation in crustacean species is regulated by the androgenic glands (AGs). In males, the primordial AGs develop and synthesize the androgenic gland hormone (AGH), which induces testicles development and male sexual differentiation. In females, sexual differentiation is induced spontaneously because AGs are absent (Hasegawa et al., 1993). The feminization of copepods population under EE2 exposure can suggest the interference of EE2 with *A. clausi* sexual differentiation. Vandenberg et al. (2003) stated three EE2 possible mechanisms of action behind the impairment of sexual differentiation in the freshwater amphipod *Hyalolella azteca*. EE2 can disturb the function of AGs, or can interact with the AGH or the hormone metabolizing enzymes and subsequent changes in AGH (Vandenberg et al., 2003).

Prosome length, lifespan and reproductive traits in females of the F0 generation

To further explore the chronic exposure effects of EE2, PL, lifespan and EPR were investigated in adult females having been exposed to EE2 through their whole life, from embryos to adult.

Results showed that lifespan in *A. clausi* F0 females was not affected by EE2 chronic exposure. As a general rule, the lifespan of *A. clausi* decreases with time (Hirst et al., 2010). Hirst et al. (2010) investigated *A. clausi* females' lifespan in laboratory and stated that less than 20% of incubated females remain alive after 20 days. To our knowledge, there are no studies in the literature focusing on copepods lifespan as a sensitive endpoint to estrogens. In contrast to lifespan and development time, females reared at 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2 showed a significant decrease in their PL in comparison to DMSO. This result is consistent with the findings of Bang et al. (2010) which stated a significant reduction of the body length of the harpacticoid copepod *T. japonicus* (F1) exposed to E2 at a concentration of 0.1 to 30 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$.

The reproductive capacity of F0 females was sensitive to EE2 chronic exposure as EPR decreased at 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2. Previous results from laboratory studies are contradictory and varied between species. Our results are in line with those reported in the cladoceran freshwater species *D. magna*, EE2, at 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ was associated with impairment of reproductive traits (Goto & Hiromi, 2003). In contrast, our results are not consistent with those recorded for the harpacticoid copepods *N. spinipes* and *T. battagliai* (Hutchinson et al., 1999; Breitholtz & Bengtsson, 2001), which were tolerant to estrogens, suggesting that our target copepod can be a good bio-indicator of EE2 chronic toxic effect. Alteration of reproduction in *A. clausi* can impact the fitness of the offspring or subsequent generations resulting in the alteration of marine community structure and the modification of the pelagic trophic food web. Exposure to EE2 did not disturb the development of *A. clausi* from embryo to adulthood, but has impacted reproduction in females F0, indicating that exposure to EDCs during development can provoke negative and permanent effects which can manifest even in later adulthood.

In the life-cycle experiment, EPR, sex ratio, and PL were the most sensitive endpoints measured in *A. clausi* exposed to EE2. These results may suggest that EE2 is physiologically active in *A. clausi*, but the precise mechanism underlying these processes is unclear (Lafont & Mathieu, 2007). We cannot draw a conclusion on the interference of EE2 in reproductive impairment and

sexual differentiation in copepods due to the conflicting results in the literature and the lack of molecular information about copepods endocrine system.

It is worth noting that the EE2 measured concentration under which the negative chronic effects were observed in *A. clausi* is above those reported in the field. Thus, EE2 measured concentration in the present study may reflect the chronic effect of EE2 on copepods upon accidental exposure. Moreover, the extensive production and continuous release of estrogens compounds will lead to the increase of estrogens levels in the future which results in potential risk in the aquatic environment.

Journal Pre-proof

5. Conclusion

This work is the first report of the sensitivity of *A. clausi* to E2 and EE2 acute exposure. Results revealed that N1 of *A. clausi* were not acutely sensitive to E2 and EE2 exposure even at concentrations in the mg L⁻¹ range. Both estrogens exhibited low concentrations negative effects on HS with presumably a non-monotonicity response. Nevertheless, under chronic exposure during the whole *A. clausi* life cycle, EE2 appears to affect the sexual differentiation and fecundity of the F0 population. The present findings encourage future research focusing on early life stages to better understand the mechanisms of estrogen uptake by the earlier life stages of *A. clausi*, their functional role and their possible mode of action in copepods. Furthermore, the multigenerational and population effects of estrogens should be studied to highlight the carryover effects of E2 and EE2 on offspring.

CRedit authorship contributions statement

E. DJEBBI: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, writing - original draft. **M.N.D. YAHIA:** Writing - review & editing. **E. FARCY:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Estrogen analysis, Validation, Writing - review & editing. **O. PRINGAULT:** Validation, Writing - review & editing. **D. BONNET:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Formal analysis, Project administration, Resources, Validation, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

This study is part of a PhD research of Ms. Emna Djebbi, which is co-funded by the University of Carthage and the IRD (Institut de Recherche pour le Développement). MARBEC laboratory has funded Miss Djebbi's travels and stays in France. The funders had no role in the study design, analysis or interpretation of the data, writing the manuscript, or the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Juan Carlos Molinero for providing help for statistical analysis and Solenn Soriano and Nicolas Nouguier for their help during field sampling.

Compliance with ethical standards

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no financial interests or personal relationships that could influence the work reported in this article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

Not applicable

Consent to publish:

Not applicable

References

- Abolghasem, E.F., Majid, S., Naser, A., Hossein, O., Shima, M.A., 2011. Laboratory culture of the Caspian Sea calanoid copepod *Acartia clausi* (Giesbrecht, 1889) at different salinity levels. *World. J. Fish. Mar. Sci.* 3, 590-599.
- Adeel, M., Song, X., Wang, Y., Francis, D., Yang, Y., 2017. Environmental impact of estrogens on human, animal and plant life: A critical review. *Environ. Int.* 99, 107-119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2016.12.01>.

- Almeda, R., Calbet, A., Alcaraz, M., Yebra, L., Saiz, E., 2010. Effects of temperature and food concentration on the survival, development and growth rates of naupliar stages of *Oithona davisae* (Copepoda, Cyclopoida). *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 410, 97-109. <https://doi.org/10.10354/meps08625>.
- Alvarez- González, C.A., Ortiz- Galindo, J.L., Dumas, S., Martínez- Díaz, S.F., Hernández- Ceballos, D.E., Alamo, T.G.D., Moreno-Legorreta, M., Pena-Martinez R, Civera- Cerecedo, R., 2001. Effect of stocking density on the growth and survival of spotted sand bass *Paralabrax maculatofasciatus* larvae in a closed recirculating system. *J. World. Aquac. Soc.* 32, 130-137. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.2001.tb00932.x>.
- Andersen, H.R., Halling-Sørensen, B., Kusk, K.O., 1999. A Parameter for Detecting Estrogenic Exposure in the Copepod *Acartia tonsa*. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe.* 44, 56-61. <https://doi.org/10.1006/eesa.1999.1800>.
- Andersen, H.R., Wollenberger, L., Halling-Sørensen, B., Kusk, K.O., 2001. Development of copepod nauplii to copepodites-A parameter for chronic toxicity including endocrine disruption. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 20, 2821-2829. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620201222>.
- Bang, H.W., Lim, D.H., Lee, W.C., 2010. Effect of 17 β -estradiol on life history parameters and morphological deformities in *Tigriopus japonicus sensu lato*: A two-generation study. *Ocean. Polar. Res.* 32, 369-377. <https://doi.org/10.4217/OPR.2010.32.4.369>.
- Barata, C., Medina, M., Telfer, T., Baird, D.J., 2002. Determining demographic effects of cypermethrin in the marine copepod *Acartia tonsa*: stage-specific short tests versus life-table tests. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 43, 0373-0378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-002-1268-2>.
- Baud, A., Barthélémy, R.M., Nival, S., Brunet, M., 2002. Formation of the gut in the first two naupliar stages of *Acartia clausi* and *Hemidiaptomus roubaui* (Copepoda, Calanoida): comparative structural and ultrastructural aspects. *Can. J. Zool.* 80, 232-244. <https://doi.org/10.1139/zj-microc.2015.12.003>.
- Breitholtz, M., Bengtsson, B.E., 2001. Oestrogens have no hormonal effect on the development and reproduction of the harpacticoid copepod *Nitocra spinipes*. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 42, 879-886. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X\(01\)00046-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(01)00046-7).
- Brennan, S.J., Brougham, C.A., Roche, J., Fogarty, A.M., 2006. Multi-generational effects of four selected environmental oestrogens on *Daphnia magna*. *Chemosphere.* 64, 49-55.
- Cailleaud, K., Budzinski, H., Lardy, S., Augagneur, S., Barka, S., Souissi, S., Forget-Leray, J., 2011. Uptake and elimination, and effect of estrogen-like contaminants in estuarine copepods: an experimental study. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 18, 226-236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-010-0355-6>.
- Calbet, A., Saiz, E., Barata, C., 2007. Lethal and sublethal effects of naphthalene and 1, 2-dimethylnaphthalene on the marine copepod *Paracartia grani*. *Mar. Biol.* 151, 195-204. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-006-0468-0>.
- Caldwell, D.J., Mastrocco, F., Hutchinson, T.H., Länge, R., Heijerick, D., Janssen, C., Sumpter, J.P., 2008. Derivation of an aquatic predicted no-effect concentration for the synthetic hormone, 17 α -ethinyl estradiol. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 7046-7054. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es800633q>.
- Carotenuto, Y., Vitiello, V., Gallo, A., Libralato, G., Trifuoggi, M., Toscanesi, M., Lofrano, G., Esposito, F., Buttino, I., 2020. Assessment of the relative sensitivity of the copepods *Acartia tonsa* and *Acartia clausi* exposed to sediment-derived elutriates from the Bagnoli-Coroglio industrial area. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 155, 104878. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.104878>.
- Castro-Longoria, E., 2003. Egg production and hatching success of four *Acartia* species under different temperature and salinity regimes. *J. Crustac. Biol.* 23, 289-299. <https://doi.org/10.1163/20021975-99990339>.
- Chinnery, F.E., Williams, J.A., 2004. The influence of temperature and salinity on *Acartia* (Copepoda: Calanoida) nauplii survival. *Mar. Biol.* 145, 733-738. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-004-1354-2>.

Daigle, J. K., 2010. Acute responses of freshwater and marine species to ethinyl estradiol and fluoxetine. Louisiana State University. LSU Master's Theses, pp. 124.

Dayras, P., Bialais, C., Sadovskaya, I., Lee, M.C., Lee, J.S., Souissi, S., 2021. Microalgal diet influences the nutritive quality and reproductive investment of the cyclopoid copepod *Paracyclops nana*. Front. Mar. Sci. 8, 697561. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.697561>.

El-Tohamy, W., Qin, J., Abdel-Aziz, N., El-Ghobashy, A., Dorgham, M., 2021. Suitable algal species and density for the culture of copepod *Gladioferens imparipes* as a potential live food for fish larvae. Aquac. Int, 29, 105-125. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-020-00614-0>.

Forget-Leray, J., Landriau, I., Minier, C., Leboulenger, F., 2005. Impact of endocrine toxicants on survival, development, and reproduction of the estuarine copepod *Eurytemora affinis* (Poppe). Ecotox. Environ. Safe. 60, 288-294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2004.06.008>.

Goto, T., Hiromi, J., 2003. Toxicity of 17 α -ethynylestradiol and norethindrone, constituents of an oral contraceptive pill to the swimming and reproduction of cladoceran *Daphnia magna*, with special reference to their synergetic effect. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 47, 139-142. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X\(03\)00002-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(03)00002-3).

Gorbi, G., Invidia, M., Savorelli, F., Faraponova, O., Giacco, E., Cigar, M., Buttino, I., Leoni, T., Prato, E., Lacchetti, I., Sei, S., 2012. Standardized methods for acute and semichronic toxicity tests with the copepod *Acartia tonsa*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 31, 2023–2028. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.1909>.

Hansen, B.H., Altin, D., Rørvik, S.F., Øverjordet, I.B., Olsen, A., Nordtug, T., 2011. Comparative study on acute effects of water accommodated fractions of an artificially weathered crude oil on *Calanus finmarchicus* and *Calanus glacialis* (Crustacea: Copepoda). Sci. Total. Environ. 409, 704–707. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.10.03>.

Hansen, B.H., Jager, T., Altin, D., Øverjordet, I. B., Olsen, A. J., Salaberria, I., Nordtug, T., 2016. Acute toxicity of dispersed crude oil on the cold-water copepod *Calanus finmarchicus*: elusive implications of lipid content. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health. Sci. Part A. 79, 549-557. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2016.1171981>.

Hasegawa, Y., Hirose, E., Katakura, Y., 1992. Hormonal control of sexual differentiation and reproduction in Crustacea. Am. Zool. 33, 403-411.

Hirst, A.G., Bonnet, D., Conway, D.V.P., Kjørboe, T., 2010. Does predation controls adult sex ratios and longevities in marine pelagic copepods?. Limnol. Oceanogr. 55, 2193-2206. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.5.2193>.

Hussain, M.B., Laabir, M., Daly Yehia, M.N., 2020. A novel index based on planktonic copepod reproductive traits as a tool for marine ecotoxicology studies. Sci. Total. Environ. 138621. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138621>

Hutchinson, T.H., Pounds, N.A., Hampel, M., Williams, T.D., 1999. Impact of natural and synthetic steroids on the survival, development and reproduction of marine copepods. Sci. Total. Environ. 233, 167-179. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(99\)00223-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(99)00223-5).

ICRAM-APAT, 2007. Manuale per la movimentazione di sedimenti marini. Manual for the handling of marine sediments, p. 77. <http://www.apat.gov.it/site/files/manualeSedimentiMarini.pdf>.

ISO, 1999 revised 2015. International Organization for Standardization. Water Quality—Determination of Acute Lethal Toxicity to Marine Copepods (Copepoda, Crustacea). Draft International Standard ISO/DIS 14669. ISO, Geneva.

Jager, T., Altin, D., Miljeteig, C., Hansen, B.H., 2016. Stage- dependent and sex- dependent sensitivity to water-soluble fractions of fresh and weathered oil in the marine copepod *Calanus finmarchicus*. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 35, 728-735. <https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.3237>.

- Kulkarni, D., Daniels, B., Preuss, T.G., 2013. Life-stage-dependent sensitivity of the cyclopoid copepod *Mesocyclops leuckarti* to triphenyltin. *Chemosphere*. 92, 1145-1153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.01.076>.
- Kusk, K.O., Wollenberger, L., 2005. Validation of full life-cycle test with the copepod *Acartia tonsa*: Report to Nordic Council of Ministers and OEC4D. Institute of Environment & Resources, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark, pp. 1-56.
- Kusk, K.O., Wollenberger, L., 2007. Towards an internationally harmonized test method for reproductive and developmental effects of endocrine disruptors in marine copepods. *Ecotoxicology*. 16, 183-195. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-006-0112-2>.
- Klein Breteler, W., Schogt, N., 1994. Development of *Acartia clausi* (Copepoda, Calanoida) cultured at different conditions of temperature and food. *Hydrobiologia*. 292-293, 469-479. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00229974>.
- Lafont, R., Mathieu, M., 2007. Steroids in aquatic invertebrates. *Ecotoxicology*. 16, 109-130. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-006-0113-1>.
- Lagarde, F., Beausoleil, C., Belcher, S.M., Belzunces, L. P., Emond, C., Guerbet, M., Rousselle, C., 2015. Non-monotonic dose-response relationships and endocrine disruptors: a qualitative method of assessment. *Environ. Health*. 14, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-14-13>.
- Laurenson, J.P., Bloom, R.A., Page, S., Sadrieh, N., 2014. Ethinyl estradiol and other human pharmaceutical estrogens in the aquatic environment: a review of recent risk assessment data. *AAPS J.* 16, 299-310. <https://doi.org/10.1208/s12248-014-9561-3>.
- Lotufo, G.R., Fleeger, J.W., 1997. Effects of sediment associated phenanthrene on survival, development and reproduction of two species of meiobenthic copepods. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 151, 91-102. <https://doi.org/10.10354/meps151091>.
- Medina, M., Barata, C., 2004. Static-renewal culture of *Acartia tonsa* (Copepoda: Calanoida) for ecotoxicological testing. *Aquaculture*. 229, 203-213. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(03\)00389-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00389-2).
- Marcial, H.S., Hagiwara, A., Snell, T.W., 2005. Estrogenic compounds affect development of harpacticoid copepod *Tigriopus japonicus*. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem: An. Inter. J.* 22, 3025-3030. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.030>.
- Marcial, H.S., Hagiwara, A., 2007. Multigenerational effects of 17 β -estradiol and nonylphenol on euryhaline cladoceran *Diaphanosoma ceberensis*. *Fish. Res.* 73, 324-330. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1444-2906.2007.01338.x>.
- Nazari, E., Suja, F., 2016. Effects of 17 β -estradiol (E2) on aquatic organisms and its treatment problem: a review. *Environ. Health. Rev.* 31, 465-491. <https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2016-0040>.
- Orozco-Hernández, L., Gutiérrez-Gómez, A.A., SanJuan-Reyes, N., Islas-Flores, H., García-Medina, S., Galar-Martínez, M., Gómez-Oliván, L.M., 2018. 17 β -Estradiol induces cyto-genotoxicity on blood cells of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Chemosphere*. 191, 118-127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.030>.
- Pal, A., Gin, K.Y.H., Lin, A.Y.C., Reinhard, M., 2010. Impacts of emerging organic contaminants on freshwater resources: review of recent occurrences, sources, fate and effects. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 408, 6062-6069. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.09.026>.
- Payne, M.F., Rippingale, R.J., 2001. Intensive Cultivation of a Calanoid Copepod *Gladioferens imparipes*. *Aquaculture*. 20, 329-342. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(01\)00608-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00608-1).
- Person-Le Ruyet, J., 1975. Elevage de copépodes calanoides. Biologie et dynamique des populations: premiers résultats. *Annales de l'Institut Océanographique Paris*. 51, 203-221. <https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00000/5448>.
- Pojana, G., Bonfà, A., Buseti, F., Collarin, A., Marcomini, A., 2004. Estrogenic potential of the Venice, Italy, lagoon waters. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 23, 1874-1880. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.030>.

Sonnenschein, C., Soto, A.M., 1998. An updated review of environmental estrogen and androgen mimics and antagonists. *J. Steroid. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 65, 143-150.

Souza, M.S., Hallgren, P., Balseiro, E., Hansson, L.A., 2013. Low concentrations, potential ecological consequences: synthetic estrogens alter life-history and demographic structures of aquatic invertebrates. *Environ. Pollut.* 178, 237-243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.03.038>

Stephan, C.E., Mount, D.I., Hansen, D.J., Gentile, J.H., Chapman, G.A., Brungs, W.A., 1985. Guidelines for deriving numerical national water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic organisms and their uses. Washington, DC: US Environmental Protection Agency, pp 98.

Støttrup, J.G., Jensen, J., 1990. Influence of algal diet on feeding and egg-production of the calanoid copepod *Acartia tonsa* Dana. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, 141, 87-105. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981\(90\)90216-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(90)90216-Y).

Tarrant, A.M., Atkinson, M.J., Atkinson, S., 2004. Effects of steroidal estrogens on coral growth and reproduction. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 269, 121-129. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps269121>.

Tato, T., Salgueiro-González, N., León, V.M., González, S., Beiras, R., 2018. Ecotoxicological evaluation of the risk posed by bisphenol A, triclosan, and 4-nonylphenol in coastal waters during early life stages of marine organisms (*Isochrysis galbana*, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*, *Paracentrotus lividus*, and *Acartia clausi*). *Environ. Pollut.* 232, 173-182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.09.031>

Uye, S.I., 1982. Population dynamics and production of *Acartia clausi* giesbrecht (Copepoda: Calanoida) in inlet waters. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 57, 55-83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981\(82\)90144-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(82)90144-7).

Vandenbergh, G.F., Adriaens, D., Verslycke, T., Janssen, C.R., 2003. Effects of 17 α -ethinylestradiol on sexual development of the amphipod *Hyaella azteca*. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe.* 54, 216-222. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-6513\(02\)00030-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0147-6513(02)00030-1).

Wang, W.X., Fisher, N.S., 1998. Accumulation of trace elements in a marine copepod. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 43, 273-283. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.2.0273>.

Watts, M.M., Pascoe, D., Carroll, K., 2001. Survival and precopulatory behaviour of *Gammarus pulex* (L.) exposed to two xenoestrogens. *Water. Res.* 35, 2347-2352. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(00\)00537-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00537-6).

Wernicke von Siebenthal, E., Rehberger, K., Bailey, C., Ros, A., Herzog, E.L., Segner, H., 2018. Trade-offs underwater: physiological plasticity of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) confronted by multiple stressors. *Fishes.* 3, 49. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fishes3040049>.

Ying, G.G., Kookana, R.S., Dillon, P., 2003. Sorption and degradation of selected five endocrine disrupting chemicals in aquifer material. *Water. Res.* 37, 3785-3791. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(03\)00261-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(03)00261-6).

Yoon, D.S., Park, J.C., Park, H.G., Lee, J.S., Han, J., 2019. Effects of atrazine on life parameters, oxidative stress, and ecdysteroid biosynthetic pathway in the marine copepod *Tigriopus japonicus*. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 213, 105213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.05.015>.

Zhang, J., Wu, C., Pellegrini, D., Romano, G., Esposito, F., Ianora, A., Buttino, I., 2013. Effects of different monoalgal diets on egg production, hatching success and apoptosis induction in a Mediterranean population of the calanoid copepod *Acartia tonsa* (Dana). *Aquac.* 400, 65-72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2013.02.032>.

Zhou, C., Vitiello, V., Casals, E., Puentes, V.F., Iamunno, F., Pellegrini, D., Wu C., Benvenuto G., Buttino, I., 2016. Toxicity of nickel in the marine calanoid copepod *Acartia tonsa*: nickel chloride versus nanoparticles. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 170, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.11.003>.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Journal Pre-proof

List of figures:


Fig. 1. Experimental setup of (A) acute (48 h) toxicity test using the copepod *A. clausi* exposed to concentrations of E2 and of EE2 ranging from 0.005 to 5000 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and (B) chronic toxicity (20 days) test using the copepod *A. clausi* exposed to concentrations of EE2 of 1 and 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. r = number of replicates; n = number of individuals per replicate; F0: generation 0 of *A. clausi*; SW: Sea Water control; DMSO: solvent control containing 0.0001% DMSO (v/v).

Fig. 2. Hatching success of *A. clausi* eggs after 48 h of exposure to solvent (DMSO 0.0001% v/v) controls, E2, and EE2. Boxes extend from the lower (25) to the upper (75) quartile with an internal segment for the median. Crosses “x” indicate mean values. Asterisks (*) indicate a significant difference from the DMSO (solvent control) (Kruskal-Wallis, $p < 0.05$). (5 replicates for control and 3 for treatments).

Fig. 3. Survival rates (%) of one day old nauplii: N1, three days old nauplii: N3, copepodites: C1-C3, and adult females: C6 *A. clausi* life stages after 48 h of exposure to E2 and EE2 at concentrations ranging from 0 to 5000 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. Boxes extend from the lower (25) to the upper (75) quartile with an internal segment for the median. Crosses “x” indicate mean values. Asterisks (*) indicate a significant difference from the DMSO (solvent control) (Kruskal-Wallis, $p < 0.05$).

Fig. 4. Number of eggs produced by F0 females, raised in different conditions, incubated in seawater for 24 h expressed as eggs female⁻¹ day⁻¹. Boxes extend from the lower (25) to the upper (75) quartile with an internal segment for the median. Crosses “x” indicate mean values. Control corresponds to the DMSO and SW. The asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference from the control (Kruskal-Wallis, $p < 0.05$). n values denote the number of females incubated in seawater.

A) Acute toxicity test



B) Chronic toxicity test




Fig. 1. Experimental setup of (A) acute (48 h) toxicity test using the copepod *A. clausi* exposed to concentrations of E2 and of EE2 ranging from 0.005 to 5000 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and (B) chronic toxicity (20 days) test using the copepod *A. clausi* exposed to concentrations of EE2 of 1 and 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. r = number of replicates; n = number of individuals per replicate; F0: generation 0 of *A. clausi*; SW: Sea Water control; DMSO: solvent control containing 0.0001% DMSO (v/v).




Fig. 2. Hatching success of *A. clausi* eggs after 48 h of exposure to solvent (DMSO 0.0001% v/v) controls, E2, and EE2. Boxes extend from the lower (25) to the upper (75) quartile with an internal segment for the median. Crosses “×” indicate mean values. Asterisks (*) indicate a significant difference from the DMSO (solvent control) (Kruskal-Wallis, $p < 0.05$). (5 replicates for control and 3 for treatments).




Fig. 3. Survival rates (%) of one day old nauplii: N1, three days old nauplii: N3, copepodites: C1-C3, and adult females: C6 *A. clausi* life stages after 48 h of exposure to E2 and EE2 at concentrations ranging from 0 to 5000 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. Boxes extend from the lower (25) to the upper (75) quartile with an internal segment for the median. Crosses “×” indicate mean values. Asterisks (*) indicate a significant difference from the DMSO (solvent control) (Kruskal-Wallis, $p < 0.05$).




Fig. 4. Number of eggs produced by F0 females, raised in different conditions, incubated in seawater for 24 h expressed as eggs female⁻¹ day⁻¹. Boxes extend from the lower (25) to the upper (75) quartile with an internal segment for the median. Crosses “x” indicate mean values. Control corresponds to the DMSO and SV. The asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference from the control (Kruskal-Wallis, $p < 0.05$). n values denote the number of females incubated in seawater.

List of tables:

Table 1: Nominal and measured concentrations of EE2 ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) at 2, 14 and 20 days of the test. 80% of the test medium were changed every 48 h. Data are expressed as means \pm Standard Deviation

Table 2: Lethal concentrations (LC_{50}) in *Acartia clausi* life stages (N1, N3, C1-C3, C6) exposed to estrogens E2 and EE2 for 48 h, with associated 95% confidence intervals (CI). LC_{50} values are expressed as $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and as means \pm Standard Deviation.

Table 3: *A. clausi* life-history parameters under EE2 chronic exposure. Adults survival rates, development time from embryos to adult (DT, days), sex ratio, adult female lifespan (days), and female PL (mm) measured in *A. clausi* adults of the generation F0. Values are mean \pm Standard Deviation (SD), except for sex-ratio.

Table 4: Lethal concentration LC_{50} ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) of 17β -estradiol (E2) and 17α -ethinylestradiol (EE2) for different life-stages of *A. clausi* and other invertebrate aquatic species.

Table 1: Nominal and measured concentrations of EE2 ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) at 2 days, 14 days and 20 days of the test. 80% of the test medium were changed every 48 h. Data are expressed as means \pm Standard Deviation.

Nominal concentrations	Measured concentrations		
	2 days	14 days	20 days
1 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	0.4 \pm 0.1	0.9 \pm 0.4	1.2 \pm 0.1
100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	51.9 \pm 3.7	48.3 \pm 15.3	88.0 \pm 18.3

Table 2: Lethal concentrations (LC_{50}) in *Acartia clausi* life stages (N1, N3, C1-C3, C6) exposed to estrogens E2 and EE2 for 48 h, with associated 95% confidence intervals (CI). LC_{50} values are expressed as $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ and as means \pm Standard Deviation.

Life stages	N1	N3	C1-C3	Female C6
E2 ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	>1500	601 \pm 9 (501-1488)	1119 \pm 76 (537-1199)	1422 \pm 265 (906-1812)
EE2 ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	>5000	1068 \pm 376 (544-699)	1207 \pm 195 (815-1402)	1216 \pm 176 (936-1697)

Table 3: *A. clausi* life-history parameters under EE2 chronic exposure. Adults survival rates (%), development time from embryos to adult (DT, days), sex ratio (F/M), adult female lifespan (days), and female PL (mm) measured in *A. clausi* adults of the generation F0. Values are mean \pm Standard Deviation (SD), except for sex-ratio.


Treatments	<i>A. clausi</i> life-history parameters					
	Survival rates (%)		DT (days)	Sex-ratio (F/M)	Lifespan (days)	PL (mm)
	14 days	20 days				
DMSO	28 \pm 3.4	8.7 \pm 6.4	16.3 \pm 0.6	1.25	12.1 \pm 7.5	0.63 \pm 0.01
1 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ EE2	54.7 \pm 21.5	24 \pm 6.9	16.4 \pm 0.8	1.25	14.2 \pm 4.7	0.62 \pm 0.01
100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ EE2	14 \pm 3.5*	10 \pm 5.3	16.9 \pm 0.6	2.75	10.4 \pm 4.8	0.58 \pm 0.02*

The asterisk (*) indicates a significant difference from the DMSO (solvent control) (Kruskal-Wallis, $p < 0.05$ or one-way ANOVA, $p < 0.05$).

Table 4: Lethal concentration LC₅₀ ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) of 17 β -estradiol (E₂) and 17 α -ethinylestradiol (EE₂) for different life-stages of *A. clausi* and other invertebrate aquatic species.

Taxonomic groups	Species	Life stages	Incubation time	Lethal Concentrations (LC ₅₀) ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)		References
				E ₂	EE ₂	
Copepods	<i>Acartia clausi</i>	Nauplii N1	48 h	>1500	>5000	Present study
		Nauplii N3		601	1068	
		Copepodite C3		1119	1207	
		Adults		1422	1216	
	<i>Acartia omorii</i>	Adults	96 h		>100	Hossain et al., 2004
	<i>Acartia tonsa</i>	Adults	48 h	>1000	1100	Andersen et al., 2001
	<i>Eurytemora affinis</i>	Nauplii	96 h	45	-	Forget-Leray et al., 2005
	<i>Nitocra spinipes</i>	Adults		1600	510	Breitholtz & Bengtsson, 2001
	<i>Tisbe battagliai</i>	Nauplii	27 days	≥ 100	≥ 100	Hutchinson et al., 1999
	<i>Tisbe japonicus</i>	Adults	48 h	3350	-	Marcial et al., 2003
Cladocerans	<i>Daphnia magna</i>	Adult	24 h	10 000	-	Brennan et al., 2006
		neonates (< 24 h)	48 h	2870	-	
	<i>Diaphanosoma celebensis</i>	Adults	24 h	10370	-	Marcial & Hagiwara, 2007
Amphipods	<i>Gammarus pulex</i>	Juveniles	10 days	-	840	Watts et al., 2002

Graphical abstract



Highlights

First knowledge on *Acartia clausi* response to acute and chronic estrogens exposure.

Estrogens exhibit a U-shape curve for *A. clausi* embryos.

Survival of N1 life stage of *A. clausi* was not sensitive to E2 and EE2 exposure.

Sex ratio was skewed towards females at 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2.

Reproduction and prosome length were reduced in females reared with 100 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of EE2.