# Do non-native plants affect terrestrial arthropods in the sub-Antarctic Kerguelen Islands?

Badenhausser Isabelle <sup>1, \*</sup>, Fourcy Damien <sup>2</sup>, Bertrand M <sup>3</sup>, Pierre Aurélien <sup>3</sup>, Bonneau Brigitte <sup>1</sup>, Chapuis Jean-Louis <sup>4</sup>, Rantier Yann <sup>3</sup>, Hullé Maurice <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Unité de Recherche Pluridisciplinaire Prairies Plantes Fourragères, INRAE, 86600, Lusignan, France <sup>2</sup> UMR 0985, DECOD, INRAE, Institut Agro Rennes-Angers, IFREMER, 35042, Rennes, France <sup>3</sup> UMR 6553, ECORIO, CNRS, Université Rennes, 1, 25000, Rennes, France

<sup>3</sup> UMR 6553, ECOBIO, CNRS, Université Rennes 1, 35000, Rennes, France

<sup>4</sup> UMR 7204, CESCO, CNRS, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Sorbonne Université, 75005, Paris, France

<sup>5</sup> UMR 1349, IGEPP, INRAE, Agrocampus Ouest Rennes, Université Rennes 1, 35653, Le Rheu, France

\* Corresponding author : Isabelle Badenhausser, email address : isabelle.badenhausser@inrae.fr

#### Abstract :

Biological invasions are a major threat to the terrestrial ecosystems of the sub-Antarctic islands. While non-native plants generally have negative impacts on native arthropods, few studies have investigated how both native and non-native arthropods and plants interact in the sub-Antarctic islands. This was the aim of our study, which was conducted on three islands of the Kerguelen archipelago. The design was based on the spatial proximity of areas dominated by non-native or native plant species. Trait-based indices were calculated to characterize the functional structure of plant communities. considering plant stature and leaf traits. Native and non-native vegetation had contrasting functional composition but their functional diversity was similar. The effects of the type of vegetation, native or non-native, and plant functional diversity on arthropods were tested. Native macro-arthropod richness and abundance were similar or higher in non-native vegetation, and benefited from greater plant functional diversity. Abundances of macro-herbivores, macro-decomposers and macro-predators were also similar or higher in non-native vegetation. Conversely, the abundances of micro-arthropods, Symphypleona springtails and Oribatida mites, were higher in native vegetation but we also found that plant functional diversity had a negative effect on Symphypleona. Our results suggest that non-native plants can affect micro-arthropods directly or indirectly, likely through their effects on abiotic factors. By affecting macro-arthropod abundances across different trophic groups and by depleting micro-arthropods, non-native plants can alter trophic interactions, functional balances and the functioning of whole ecosystem.

Keywords : Biodiversity, Biological invasions, Species richness, Vascular plants, Functional traits

#### 79 INTRODUCTION

80 The Kerguelen Islands are located in the South Indian Ocean, and include a main island of 6675 km<sup>2</sup> and about sixty other islands (1 km<sup>2</sup>-200 km<sup>2</sup>) (Fig 1). Like the other sub-Antarctic islands, 81 their terrestrial ecosystems are characterized by strong geographical and historical isolation. 82 They harbor a small number of sub-Antarctic species for both flora and fauna due to low levels 83 of immigration from nearby continents and harsh environmental conditions (Chown et al. 84 85 1998). Terrestrial ecosystems are very simple, natively lacking some taxonomic groups such as mammals, amphibians and reptiles. Low plant diversity at the species and botanical family 86 levels characterizes the native flora, which is composed of 29 species of vascular plants (Frenot 87 88 et al. 2001). Native macro-arthropod communities are composed of 22 native insect species and two native spider species (Hullé and Vernon 2021), while micro-arthropod communities which 89 are dominated by Oribatida mites and springtails are respectively composed of 24 and 18 native 90 91 species (Deharveng and Travé 1981). It should be noted that micro-arthropod diversity may be underestimated and that some 'undiscovered' or cryptic species are likely to be found using 92 93 recent advances in molecular biology in Antarctic (Collins et al. 2020). Macro-arthropod communities are unbalanced, some trophic groups being absent or not abundant such as 94 95 herbivores, pollinators and decomposers such as flies dominate macro-arthropod communities 96 due to the large amounts of marine mammal and seabird excretions (Chown and Convey 2016). Despite the geographical isolation of the Kerguelen Islands, many species among plants, 97 arthropods and mammals have been introduced, voluntarily or involuntarily, due to human 98 activities, and have established. For instance, there are currently as many non-native as native 99 100 macro-arthropod species (Hullé and Vernon 2021), while few non-native micro-arthropod species have been recorded (Deharveng and Travé 1981; Greenslade and Convey 2012). For 101 plants, the number of species in some sites historically frequented by humans has become three 102

times greater than the number of native species (Frenot et al. 2005), having potentiallyimportant impacts on ecosystem processes.

Biological invasions are widely recognized as being one of the most significant threats 105 106 to biodiversity and are expected to increase with global warming (Shaw et al. 2010; McGeoch et al. 2015). Sub-Antarctic islands are deeply affected by climate change (Lebouvier et al. 2011) 107 which makes their ecosystems more suitable to less stress-adapted non-native species (Pertierra 108 109 et al. 2017). In addition, some established non-native species may become invasive (Frenot et al. 2005), exacerbating their impact on biodiversity and ecosystems due to the disruption of 110 interaction networks (Dunn and Hatcher 2015). In general, invasive plants reduce the diversity 111 112 of native plant species and are predicted to also negatively impact native arthropod diversity (review in Spafford et al. 2013) through different mechanisms such as the alteration of 113 vegetation structure or the loss of some plant species which host specialist arthropods. Few 114 115 native specialist arthropods are present on the Kerguelen Islands, e.g., the moth Embryonopsis halticella which feeds on Poa cooki (Crafford and Scholtz 1986), and the fly Calycopteryx 116 moseleyi (Tréhen et al. 1986), the weevils Bothrometopus angusticollis and Canonopsis sericea 117 which feed on Pringlea antiscorbutica (Voisin et al. 2017), and the loss of their host-plant might 118 particularly affect them. 119

120 Arthropods are highly dependent on plants (Schaffers et al. 2008; Joern and Laws 2013) and different components of plant community features affect them, such as plant composition, 121 or morphological and physical attributes. For instance, plant height and lateral spread as well 122 as leaf dimensions characterize plant architecture and determine the availability of different 123 micro-habitat conditions allowing or not arthropods to choose optimal conditions of 124 temperature, protection against wind, rain or predators (Spafford et al. 2013; Gardarin et al. 125 2018). By providing attachment points for spider webs, architectural traits may have an impact 126 on predator hunting efficacy, depending on predator hunting strategy and on predator-prey 127

interactions (Pearson 2009). Vegetation stature also influences the distribution of soil-dwelling 128 129 arthropods likely through its correlation with litter quantity (Gardarin et al. 2018). For herbivores, which have direct interactions with plants since they feed on them, Carmona et al. 130 (2011) showed that morphological and physical plant traits may act as a physical barrier and 131 are often more important for plant-herbivore interactions than chemical traits. For instance, 132 traits related to the biomechanical properties, such as cuticle thickness, specific leaf area 133 134 (Ordonez et al. 2010), or leaf dry matter content (Deraison et al. 2015) have been shown to impact herbivore choice when selecting their food plant. 135

How arthropod communities reliant on native vegetation on sub-Antarctic islands, are 136 137 impacted by non-native plants is yet to be tested (Houghton et al. 2019) and is difficult to predict. This is due to the absence or low richness of many insect groups (Gressit 1970; Vernon 138 et al. 1998), and to the high interactions at play between native and non-native plants, native 139 140 and non-native arthropods and between plants and arthropods (Houghton et al. 2019). Traitbased approaches have been proposed as useful tools to study plant-arthropod interactions at 141 142 the community level (Lavorel et al. 2013; e.g., Deraison et al. 2015; Le Provost et al. 2017) and to understand community responses to biotic disturbance induced by non-native species (Gross 143 et al. 2013; Mouillot et al 2013). This study aimed to investigate the effects of plant 144 145 communities dominated by native or non-native plant species on macro- and micro-arthropod communities on three islands of the Kerguelen archipelago. On each of the studied islands, we 146 selected relatively large areas of the two types of vegetation, i.e., native and non-native, that 147 148 were close to each other. By considering plant traits involved in plant-arthropod interactions, i.e., plant architecture and leaf attributes, we assessed whether the plant functional community 149 structure differed between the two types of vegetation using complementary indices, the 150 functional dispersion and the community-weighted mean of traits (Mouillot et al. 2013). 151 Secondly, we estimated the taxonomic diversity of macro-arthropods and their abundances as 152

well as the abundances of epigaeic micro-arthropods in both types of vegetation. We then investigated whether these components of arthropod communities differed between native and non-native plant communities and whether plant functional diversity and community-weighted means of traits explained a significant part of their variability.

157

#### **158 MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### 159 STUDY AREA AND SAMPLING DESIGN

The study was conducted during the summer 2017-2018 on three islands of the Golfe du 160 Morbihan in the Kerguelen archipelago (48° 30'-50° S, 68° 27'-70° 35' E): Île Australia, Île 161 aux Cochons and Île Mayes (Fig. 1). Originally, plant communities were dominated by Acaena 162 magellanica, Azorella selago, Festuca contracta and P. antiscorbutica. These plant assemblies 163 covered Île Australia and Île Mayes until the 2010s. From these years, following climate 164 165 change, non-native Poaceae and Asteraceae developed, in particular Poa pratensis and *Taraxacum* gr. *ruderalis*. These plants have expanded widely on *Île Mayes*, where meadows of 166 P. pratensis covered large areas in 2016. On Île Australia, non-native Poaceae communities (P. 167 pratensis, Vulpia bromoides) were still localized in 2016. Île aux Cochons differed from the 168 other two islands by the presence of rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) until 1997, when they were 169 170 eradicated (Chapuis et al. 2001). This mammal resulted in the rarefaction of *P. antiscorbutica* and A. selago, replaced by A. magellanica, which covered more than 90% of the island in 1997 171 (Chapuis et al. 2001; Chapuis et al. 2002). During the years 2000-2010, the increase in 172 temperature and especially the low summer precipitations (Lebouvier et al. 2011) resulted in 173 the significant regression of A. magellanica and the development of non-native Asteraceae 174 (Taraxacum erythrospermum, T. gr. ruderalia) and Poaceae (in particular P. pratensis). 175 Currently, the communities dominated by native species, i.e., A. magellanica, occupy small 176

areas. All three islands have been colonized by mice, which have heavily predated and damagedtheir invertebrate fauna (Chapuis et al. 2002).

The sampling design consisted of areas of native- or non-native-dominated vegetation 179 that were close to each other (a few tens of meters). It was implemented in herbaceous habitats 180 which are the main habitats colonized by non-native plants. Sites corresponding to these criteria 181 were preselected using a remote sensing based cartography of the vegetation on the three 182 183 studied islands (Fourcy et al. 2018) (Fig. 2; see Online Resource 1 for a complete description of the classes). Vegetation maps were produced from a multispectral Pléiades image acquired 184 in February 2016 (2 m ground spatial resolution) that we analysed by performing a supervised 185 186 classification with machine-learning algorithms. The supervised classification was based on botanical surveys conducted in December 2016 on training zones on *Île Australia*, *Île aux* 187 *cochons* and *Île Mayes*, and used as references for the machine-learning algorithms. 188

189 Within each island, we selected in the field five sites among the preselected sites where an area covered with >90% of native plant species was close to an area covered with >90% of 190 191 non-native plant species. The percent coverage of vegetation was estimated visually at that step. Then, we delineated a patch >150 m<sup>2</sup> within each of these areas and surveys were carried out 192 193 within each patch. Distance between the two types of patch within a site ranged from 15 m to 194 58 m. This resulted in 30 vegetation patches: 3 islands, 5 sites per island, 2 patches per site (one non-native, hereafter "non-native patch", and one native, hereafter "native patch") (Fig. 2). 195 When selecting the sites, we controlled for abiotic conditions known to affect arthropods, such 196 as the altitude, distance from the sea (Hullé and Vernon 2021), slope, dominant wind and sun 197 exposures. All sites were at < 40 m altitude, > 50 m from the sea, with a slope < 20%. Sun and 198 dominant wind exposures differed between sites and islands but not between paired patches 199 (Online Resource 2). 200

Note that the sampling conditions varied during the study. Harsh weather conditions
were observed in *Île aux Cochons* where a hailstorm occurred during one day and in *Île Mayes*where low temperatures (4.4°C on average) and snowstorm occurred during three days.
Conversely, sunny weather conditions were recorded throughout the sampling period in *Île Australia*.

206

# 207 PLANT SURVEYS AND TRAITS

A botanical survey was conducted within each of the 30 patches on the first day of the experiment ( $20^{\text{th}}$  December 2017 on *Île aux Cochons*, 29<sup>th</sup> December 2017 on *Île Australia*, 9<sup>th</sup> January 2018 on *Île Mayes*). We used five quadrats of 0.50 m x 0.50 m haphazardly located within each patch. In each quadrat, we recorded the number of plant species and we estimated a percentage cover of the quadrat surface for each plant species. We calculated plant species diversity and mean cover of each plant species per patch over the five quadrats.

To characterize plant community features, seven plant traits reflecting important 214 215 functions for plants (Diaz et al. 2016), and involved in plant-arthropod interactions (Carmona et al. 2011; Gardarin et al. 2018) were selected: plant height (PH) and plant width (PW), leaf 216 length (LL) and leaf area (LA), leaf dry matter content (LDMC), specific leaf area (SLA), and 217 leaf thickness (LT). In each island, 15-30 specimens of each plant species covering >10% of at 218 least one vegetation patch were measured and one leaf per plant was sampled, excepting A. 219 selago and P. antiscorbutica for which we only sampled a total of 2-5 leaves. Traits were 220 measured following standard protocols (Cornelissen et al. 2003) and their values were averaged 221 over the number of plant or leaf specimens per plant species and island. 222

223

#### 224 ARTHROPOD SAMPLING

On the first or second day of the experiment, we sampled arthropods in the 30 patches (one 225 226 trapping session) using two types of trap to accurately estimate arthropod diversity: pitfall traps and yellow pans (Southwood 1978). We placed five pitfall traps (7.5 cm diameter, 5 cm depth) 227 228 and two yellow pans (20 cm diameter) per patch. Pitfall traps were placed haphazardly in the patch, while yellow pans were placed on the ground and located so that the distance was the 229 highest between the native patch and the non-native patch within each site. Traps were filled 230 with a preservative solution of water, a few drops of liquid soap and salt (10 gL<sup>-1</sup>). All traps 231 within an island were set up on the same day and left in place for four trapping days. We stored 232 all caught arthropods in the lab in a 70% ethanol solution. Macro-arthropods were identified to 233 234 the lowest possible taxonomic level (usually species) following Hullé et al. (2018). We identified winged aphids to species level while we pooled all wingless aphids together. 235

We characterized macro-arthropods, i.e., insects and spiders, by calculating their 236 237 taxonomic richness and abundance. For this purpose, we defined different groups of macroarthropods according to their origin status and trophic group according to Hullé and Vernon 238 239 (2021). To calculate taxa richness, we first pooled for each patch all macro-arthropods collected either in pitfall traps or in yellow pans. Then, we calculated native, non-native and total taxa 240 241 richness, which included taxa with unknown native or non-native status. We also calculated 242 taxa richness of three trophic groups: decomposers (taxa feeding on plant material and omnivorous taxa), herbivores and predators. For macro-arthropod abundances, we considered 243 native macro-arthropods and performed separate analyses for pitfall traps and for yellow pans, 244 245 pooling all individuals sampled in each patch. We also analysed the abundances of decomposers in yellow pans, herbivores in yellow pans and predators in pan traps by pooling all individuals 246 247 sampled in each patch (see Badenhausser (2021) for a complete description of dataset). For micro-arthropods, we focussed on the abundances of Oribatida mites and Symphypleona 248 springtails, which are generally epigaeic and tend to occur above or on the top of the ground 249

surface (Greenslade 2002). We analysed their abundances in pitfall traps by pooling allindividuals sampled in each patch.

252

## 253 STATISTICAL ANALYSES

All statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical software (R Development Core

Team 2020) version 4.0.3 and packages car (Fox and Weisberg 2019), emmeans (Lenth 2020),

256 factoextra (Kassambara and Mundt 2020), FactoMineR (Le et al. 2008), FD (Laliberté and

Legendre 2010; Laliberté et al. 2014) and lme4 (Bates et al. 2015).

258

### 259 Characterization of plant functional community structure

Two complementary components of the functional structure of plant communities were assessed, i.e., functional composition and functional diversity (Laliberté and Legendre 2010; Mouillot et al. 2013). Plant functional composition was estimated using the community weighted mean index calculated for each trait, *CWM*, and functional diversity using the multitrait functional dispersion index, *FDis*. Both indices were calculated following Laliberté and Legendre (2010).

*CWM* is the mean trait value of the community, weighted by the relative cover of each plant species. It reflects the trait values of the dominant species in the community. It was computed separately for the seven measured traits (*CWM*<sub>TRAIT</sub>):

$$CWM_{TRAIT} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j T_j$$

where *n* is the number of plant species in the vegetation patch,  $p_j$  is the relative cover of species *j* in the patch (mean of species cover over the five quadrats per patch), and  $T_j$  is its mean trait value per island. FDis is the mean distance in multidimensional trait space of individual species to the centroid of all species. It takes into account species abundances weighting distances of individual species by their relative abundances. Its minimum value is 0, when the community is composed of only one species. High *FDis* value in plant communities reflects a strong disparity in the distribution of traits. By providing a greater number of niches and microhabitats for arthropods, high *FDis* is expected to result in greater arthropod diversity (e.g., Deraison et al. 2015). It was computed as:

$$FDis = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j z_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j}$$

where *n* is the number of plant species in the vegetation patch,  $a_j$  is the cover of species *j* in the patch (mean of species cover over the five quadrats per patch),  $z_j$  is the distance of species *j* to the weighted centroid of the  $[x_{ij}]$  (trait × species) matrix, *c*:

284 
$$c = [c_i] = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^n a_j}$$

We performed a principal component analysis (PCA) on the  $CWM_{TRAIT}$  of the seven selected traits to describe plant community features in the two types of patch and to evaluate how they correlated.

We used Linear Mixed Effects Models (LMM) fitted by log-likelihood criterion to test 288 for the effect of the island and type of patch on plant species richness, the seven CWM<sub>TRAIT</sub> and 289 FDis. The interaction term between the type of patch and the island was included in the models 290 291 since the effect of non-native plants may depend on the island and its invasion history. The site was included in the models as random effect to take into account for the effects of similar abiotic 292 environmental conditions in the two patches per site. Models were simplified step by step by 293 294 removing the interaction term and the main fixed effects if not significant ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) using Wald Chi-square test,  $\chi^2$ . Parameters of the final models were estimated using restricted maximum 295 296 likelihood (REML), and least-squares means were calculated. Predicted means were compared using Tukey method and adequate contrasts. Model errors were inspected for normality,constant mean and variance. Model formula was:

299

$$Y \sim (1|Site) + Island * Type of patch$$

300

#### 301 *Effects of plant communities on arthropod communities*

The effects of plant communities on the richness and abundance of arthropods were analysed 302 using LMM or Generalized Linear Mixed Effects Models (GLMM) depending on the 303 distribution of the data and model errors, in order to satisfy the statistical assumptions of 304 models. LMM was used for analysing i/ the taxa richness of macro-arthropods: native species, 305 306 non-native taxa (sqrt-transformed), all taxa (sqrt-transformed), decomposers (sqrt-307 transformed), herbivores and predators; ii/ the abundances of macro-arthropods: decomposers (log-transformed), herbivores (log-transformed), predators (log-transformed); iii/ the 308 abundance of Oribatida mites (log-transformed). GLMM with Poisson errors was used for 309 analysing i/ the abundance of native macro-arthropods and ii/ the abundance of Symphypleona 310 311 springtails.

First, we tested for the effects of the type of patch and plant *FDis* on the response variables mentioned above. We included in the models the island, the type of patch and their two-way interaction, and plant *FDis* as fixed effects. The site was included as random effect in all models. The model had the following structure:

316

# $Y \sim (1|Site) + Island * Type of patch + FDis$

Model simplification was conducted firstly by removing non-significant interaction term. Statistical assumptions were inspected, and model predictions and mean comparisons were performed as described above.

320 Second, we aimed to establish the relative importance of the functional composition of 321 plant communities, namely *CWM*<sub>TRAIT</sub>s and other components of plant community such as plant

species identity, in shaping arthropod communities. However, collinearity issues occurred when 322 323 including the type of patch and single CWM<sub>TRAIT</sub>s in the same statistical models because *CWM*<sub>TRAIT</sub>s and the type of patch were highly correlated (VIF values >2) (Zuur et al. 2010). For 324 this reason and as an exploratory analysis, we have limited the analysis of the effects of 325 *CWM*<sub>TRAIT</sub>s on arthropods to a comparison of models including either the type of patch or single 326 CWM<sub>TRAIT</sub>s. As described above, we run LMM or GLMM to model macro-arthropod taxa 327 328 richness and abundances, and micro-arthropod abundances. Model formula for the patch model 329 was:

- 330  $Y \sim (1|Site) + Island * Type of patch$
- 331

#### Model formula for the *CWM*<sub>TRAIT</sub> models was:

332  $Y \sim (1 | Site) + Island * CWM_{TRAIT}$ 

After model simplification as described above, an information-theoretic approach (AICbased approach corrected for small sample size; *AICc;* Burnham and Anderson 2002) was used to compare for each *CWM*<sub>TRAIT</sub> the simplified patch model and *CWM*<sub>TRAIT</sub> model. *AICc* difference (delta *AICc*) between the two models was calculated. If |delta AICc| < 2, models were not significantly different, while if |delta AICc| > 2 they differed, the best model being the one with the smallest *AICc*.

339

#### 340 **RESULTS**

#### 341 CHARACTERIZATION OF PLANT COMMUNITIES AT THE PATCH SCALE

Main native plant species in the patches were *A. magellanica, A. selago, F. contracta*, and *P. antiscorbutica* and main non-native species were *P. pratensis* generally associated with *T.* gr. *ruderalia*, and *T. erythrospermum*. Non-native plant species covered on average >95% in nonnative patches (Fig. 3d, e, f) while native plant species covered ~ 90% in native patches (Fig. 3a, b, c). Total plant species richness per patch did not vary between native and non-native

patches (LMM:  $p (>\chi_1^2) = 0.1484$ ). It was significantly lower (LMM:  $p (>\chi^2) = 0.0230$ ) on  $\hat{l}le$ 347 Australia (estimated mean  $\pm$  SE, 3.1  $\pm$  0.6 plant species) and  $\hat{I}le$  Mayes (3.4  $\pm$  0.6) than on  $\hat{I}le$ 348 aux Cochons (5.3  $\pm$  0.8). These differences between islands were due to differences in non-349 native plant species richness in the native patches, which was higher on *Île aux Cochons* than 350 on *Île Mayes* than on *Île Australia* (LMM: Island:  $p (>\chi^2) = 0.0001$ ; Type of patch:  $p (>\chi^2) =$ 351 0.0030; Island × type of patch:  $p(>\chi^2) = 0.0321$ ). Native plant species richness was the same in 352 both types of patch and on the three islands (observed mean  $\pm SE = 1.9 \pm 0.3$ ). The functional 353 dispersion FDis did not vary between the types of patch but between islands (Table 1). 354

The PCA performed on  $CWM_{TRAITS}$  showed that non-native and native patches formed distinct groups in the space of the two first PCA axes which accounted for 82.9% of the variance (Online Resource 3). The first PCA axis (60.1% of explained variance) mainly correlated to leaf dimensions, i.e., leaf length ( $CWM_{LL}$ ) (r = 0.96) and leaf area ( $CWM_{LA}$ ) (r = 0.86). The second PCA axis (22.8% of explained variance) correlated to leaf thickness ( $CWM_{LT}$ ) (r =0.79).

All  $CWM_{TRAIT}$  values differed significantly between native and non-native patches (Table 1). Native patches were characterized by low-stature plants, thick and small leaves, small SLA, and large LDMC. Non-native patches were characterized by large stature plants (+33%  $CWM_{PH}$ ; +36%  $CWM_{PW}$ ), thiner leaves (-45%  $CWM_{LT}$ ) with larger dimensions (+103%  $CWM_{LL}$ ; +80%  $CWM_{LA}$ ), larger SLA (+38%  $CWM_{SLA}$ ) and smaller LDMC (-15%  $CWM_{LDMC}$ ). All  $CWM_{TRAIT}$  values but  $CWM_{SLA}$  also differed between islands (Table 1).

367

#### 368 EFFECTS OF PLANT COMMUNITIES ON MACRO-ARTHROPOD COMMUNITIES

369 Overall, we collected nine native macro-arthropod species, 16 non-native taxa (among which

13 species), and four taxa not classifiable as native vs non-native species (Smittia sp., Ixodes

371 spp., Siphonaptera, Thysanoptera). Among macro-arthropods, 785 of the collected individuals

belonged to native species (560 in pitfall traps, 225 in yellow pans), 49 224 to non-native taxa
and 108 specimens were not classifiable (70 *Smittia* sp., 36 Siphonaptera, one *Ixodes* spp., one
Thysanoptera). One non-native taxa was numerically dominant, i.e., the aphids which
represented >90% of the total counts whatever the type of patch and island (see Badenhausser
(2021) for a complete description of dataset).

377

#### 378 *Macro-arthropod diversity and native macro-arthropod abundance*

The same native macro-arthropod species with very few exceptions were collected in native 379 and non-native patches within each island. They belonged to three trophic groups, i.e., 380 381 decomposers, herbivores and predators. Taxa richness of native macro-arthropods was low and differed between islands (estimated means  $\pm$  SE, *Île Australia*: 3.5  $\pm$  0.4; *Île aux Cochons*: 2.7 382  $\pm$  0.4; *Île Mayes*: 1.9  $\pm$  0.4) (Table 2). The type of patch had no effect on the taxa richness of 383 384 native macro-arthropods but plant FDis had a positive significant effect (Table 2) (LMM: Parameter estimate  $\pm$  SE, 6.31  $\pm$  3.11) suggesting that a wide variety of food niches or micro-385 habitats was favorable to native macro-arthropods. Taxa richness of non-native macro-386 arthropods was roughly twice the taxa richness of native macro-arthropods and differed 387 between islands (*Île Australia*:  $7.6 \pm 0.7$ ; *Île aux Cochons*:  $5.1 \pm 0.6$ ; *Île Mayes*:  $4.3 \pm 0.5$ ) 388 (Table 2). The type of patch and FDis had no effect on the taxa richness of non-native macro-389 arthropods (Table 2). Total macro-arthropod richness per patch was the same in native and non-390 native patches on *Île Australia* (native patches:  $11.9 \pm 1.3$ ; non-native patches:  $13.7 \pm 1.3$ ) and 391 *Île aux Cochons* (native patches:  $9.2 \pm 1.0$ ; non-native patches:  $7.6 \pm 1.0$ ) (Table 2). On *Île* 392 *Mayes*, it was significantly lower in native patches  $(5.2 \pm 0.8)$  relative to non-native patches 393  $(8.2 \pm 1.0)$  (Table 2). FDis had a positive significant effect on total richness (Table 2). 394

The native spiders, *Neomaso antarcticus* and *Myro kerguelensis*, represented ~90% of the abundances of native macro-arthropods collected in pitfall traps whatever the type of patch and the island, and >50% in yellow pans. Native macro-arthropod abundances in pitfall traps were significantly lower in native patches relative to non-native patches and differed between islands (Table 2, Fig. 4a). In addition, they increased significantly with *FDis* (Table 2) (GLMM: Parameter estimate  $\pm$  *SE*, 2.46  $\pm$  1.09). The island, type of patch, and *FDis* had no significant effect on the abundances of native macro-arthropods collected in yellow pans (GLMM: Island:  $p (>\chi^2) = 0.0966$ ; Type of patch:  $p (>\chi^2) = 0.9381$ ; Island × Type of patch:  $p (>\chi^2) = 0.2201$ ; *FDis*:  $p (>\chi^2) = 0.1413$ ).

404 Results of the comparison between the patch model and  $CWM_{TRAIT}$ s models showed that 405  $CWM_{PW}$  and  $CWM_{LA}$  models were better than the patch model in explaining the taxa richness 406 of native macro-arthropods (Online Resource 4). In these models,  $CWM_{PW}$  and  $CWM_{LA}$  had 407 opposite effects depending on the island (Online Resource 4). No  $CWM_{TRAIT}$  model was selected 408 as the best model relative to the patch model in explaining the non-native and total taxa richness, 409 and the abundance of native species (Online Resource 4).

410

## 411 Diversity and abundance of trophic groups

Herbivores represented >95% of the counts whatever the island and type of patch 412 (Online Resource 5). This group was mainly composed of four aphid species among which 413 414 Myzus ascalonicus was dominant (>95% of winged aphids), and one thrips species Apterothrips apteris. Four native species represented other herbivores, which were very few (47 individuals 415 in total). Singular fit occurred in LMM conducted on herbivore richness, which was not 416 analysed. Herbivore abundance was similar or higher depending on the island in non-native 417 patches relative to native (Table 2; Fig. 4b). Plant FDis had strong effects on herbivore 418 abundance, which increased with increasing *FDis* (Table 2) (LMM: Parameter estimate  $\pm SE$ , 419 11.22  $\pm$  3.58). In addition, CWM<sub>LL</sub> and CWM<sub>SLA</sub> models explained significantly more variability 420 in herbivore abundance relative to the patch model (Online Resource 4). Herbivore abundance 421

422 increased with increasing  $CWM_{LL}$  and  $CWM_{SLA}$  whose single effects were selected in the 423 simplified models (Online Resource 4).

Decomposers (feeding on plant material and omnivorous pooled) represented ~1% of 424 the macro-arthropods (Online Resource 5). This group was the richest with 13 taxa, among 425 which the sciarid Lycoriella sativae represented half of the counts. Decomposer taxa richness 426 was the same in both types of patch on *Île Australia* (estimated means  $\pm SE$ , native patches: 4.4 427  $\pm$  0.8, non-native patches: 5.1  $\pm$  0.9), and *Île aux cochons* (native patches: 3.2  $\pm$  0.7, non-native 428 patches:  $2.4 \pm 0.6$ ). It was higher in non-native patches relative to native patches on *Île Mayes* 429 (native patches:  $1.7 \pm 0.5$ , non-native patches:  $3.0 \pm 0.7$ ) (Table 2). The same results were 430 431 observed for decomposer abundance (Table 2, Fig. 4c). FDis had no effect on decomposer taxa richness and abundance (Table 2). CWMLA and CWMLDMC models better modeled decomposer 432 taxa richness than the patch model, and the effects of CWMLA and CWMLDMC differed between 433 434 islands (Online Resource 4).

Predators represented 2.6% of the macro-arthropods collected in pitfall traps (Online 435 Resource 5). Predator trophic group was composed of two native spider species, N. antarcticus, 436 M. kerguelensis, and two non-native species, the spider Tenuiphantes tenuis, and the carabid 437 beetle, Merizodus soledadinus (only 2.0% of the predators). Predator richness was the same in 438 native and non-native patches on *Île Australia* (native patches:  $3.0 \pm 0.3$ ; non-native patches: 439  $3.4 \pm 0.3$ ) and on *Île Mayes* (native patches:  $2.2 \pm 0.3$ ; non-native patches:  $2.6 \pm 0.3$ ). On *Île* 440 aux Cochons it was higher in native patches  $(3.2 \pm 0.3)$  than in non-native patches  $(2.6 \pm 0.3)$ 441 (Table 2). Predators were significantly more abundant in non-native patches relative to native 442 ones on Île Australia and Île Mayes (Table 2, Fig. 4d). On Île aux Cochons, they were as 443 numerous in both types of patch (Table 2, Fig. 4d). Neither FDis nor CWM<sub>TRAIT</sub>s had any effect 444 on predator richness and abundance (Table 2, Online Resource 4). 445

#### 447 EFFECTS OF PLANT COMMUNITIES ON MICRO-ARTHROPOD COMMUNITIES

448 Overall, 9845 micro-arthropods were collected in pitfall traps among which 9001 Oribatida mites and 844 Symphypleona springtails. Oribatida mites represented >80% of micro-449 arthropods on the three islands. Native patches had higher Oribatida mite (Fig. 5a) and 450 Symphypleona springtail (Fig. 5b) abundances relative to non-native patches whatever the 451 island (Table 2). FDis had a strong negative effect on Symphypleona springtails (GLMM: 452 453 Parameter estimate  $\pm$  SE, -7.25  $\pm$  1.00) while it had no effect on Oribatida mites (Table 2). Results of the comparison between the patch model and CWM<sub>TRAIT</sub>s models showed that 454 CWM<sub>PW</sub> model was better than the patch model in explaining Symphypleona abundance, which 455 456 decreased with increasing *CWM*<sub>PW</sub> whatever the island (Online Resource 4).

457

#### 458 **DISCUSSION**

459 Our study shows opposite effects of non-native plants on macro-arthropods and microarthropods. Despite strong differences in the functional composition of plant communities, we 460 didn't demonstrate any negative effect of non-native plants on native macro-arthropods. In fact, 461 macro-arthropod diversity and abundance were similar or higher in non-native plant 462 463 communities whatever their native or non-native status, and their trophic group. Conversely, 464 micro-arthropods, i.e., Symphypleona springtails and Oribatida mites, abundances were higher in native plant communities relative to non-native. Finally, we found that plant functional 465 diversity was similar in native and non-native plant communities, and benefited native macro-466 arthropods while it had a null or negative effect on micro-arthropods. 467

468

FUNCTIONAL STRUCTURE OF NATIVE AND NON-NATIVE PLANT COMMUNITIES
Trait-based indices, i.e., *CWM<sub>TRAIT</sub>*s and *FDis*, were used to characterize the functional
composition and diversity of native and non-native patches. Traits associated with leaf structure

and quality were expected to be involved in trophic plant-arthropod interactions, and those with 472 473 plant stature in non-trophic interactions through their effects on arthropod habitats (Lavorel et al. 2013; Gardarin et al. 2018). All CWMTRAITS differed between the two types of patch, 474 reflecting the very high cover of respectively native and non-native plant species in the patches 475 and trait differences between dominant native and non-native species. Dominant native plant 476 species, i.e., A. magellanica and F. contracta, had low stature and leaf dimensions, low SLA 477 478 and high LDMC, indicating low relative growth rate, low nutrient content and slow resource capture. They contrasted with dominant non-native species, i.e., P. pratensis and T. gr. 479 ruderalia whose large stature and leaf dimensions, large SLA and low LDMC, correspond to 480 481 fast-growth rate, high nutrient content and good light competitor (Diaz et al. 2016). Other studies also observed these characteristics in native and non-native plant communities 482 (Ordonnez et al. 2010; Gross et al. 2013). We also found that FDis did not differ between native 483 484 and non-native patches. This reflects the fact that native and non-native species did not mix or mixed very little within the patches. It also reflects similar range of trait disparity between the 485 two dominant species within each type of patch, i.e., between a grass species (F. contracta in 486 native patches and P. pratensis in non-native patches) and an herb species (A. magellanica in 487 native patches and T. gr ruderalia in non-native patches). 488

489

# 490 NON-NATIVE PLANTS HAVE NO NEGATIVE EFFECT ON NATIVE MACRO-491 ARTHROPODS

Plant *FDis* significantly contributed to explaining the effect of plants on native macroarthropods, which benefited as expected from a greater diversity of plant resources and microhabitats in both native and non-native vegetation. Differences in the composition of native and non-native vegetation, did not lead to negative effects on native macro-arthropods. Although this result does not match the general expectation (review in Spafford et al. 2013), it is

interesting to note that it is in agreement with the only published comparative study on the effect 497 498 of non-native plants on invertebrates at the community scale, and in the context of sub-Antarctic islands (Gremmen et al. 1998). In this study, which focussed on soil fauna communities, native 499 500 macro-invertebrate abundance was similar or higher in vegetation dominated by the non-native grass Agrostis stolonifera compared to vegetation dominated by A. magellanica. Obviously, 501 non-native plants would have had a negative impact on specialist native macro-arthropods 502 503 feeding on some particular native plant species. However, highly specialist native macroarthropods were scarce in our study, probably because we focussed on herbaceous habitats, 504 while specialist species were more likely to be found in fellfield habitats (Hullé and Vernon 505 506 2021). Two hypotheses may explain the lack of negative effects of non-native plants on native 507 macro-arthropods. First, the spatial scale of our paired sampling design, i.e., the short distances separating native and non-native patches could result in native macro-arthropod communities 508 509 being composed of the same species in both types of patch. Indeed, the mosaic landscape and entangled patterns of native and non-native plant communities observed on the islands studied 510 511 (Fig. 2) may allow between-patch movements of individuals either actively walking, or flying, depending on species dispersal abilities, or passively carried by wind from and to nearby areas 512 513 (Schooley and Wiens 2003). At the scale of the patch, higher abundances of some macro-514 arthropod species in non-native patches may be related to better local conditions provided by the non-native plants. Similar small-scale distribution patterns in arthropods depending on plant 515 species within the vegetation mosaic have been described (e.g., Coulson et al. 2003). A second 516 517 hypothesis is that interactions between non-native and native macro-arthropods play a greater role than plant-arthropod interactions in shaping native macro-arthropods. Indeed, an important 518 feature of macro-arthropod communities was that non-native species outnumbered native in 519 both types of vegetation, as already highlighted on Kerguelen archipelago (Frenot et al. 2005). 520 For instance, the predatory beetle *M. soledadinus* had a strong impact on native invertebrates 521

e.g., the flies Anatalanta aptera and C. moselevi, resulting in their local extinction on some 522 523 coastal habitats of the Kerguelen Islands (Lebouvier et al. 2020). In our surveys, M. soledadinus were few and could not have any impact on native arthropods. Dominant non-native taxa in our 524 study were the aphids, which are sap-feeders and the thrips A. apteris, which feeds on the 525 content of leaf cells (Karban and Strass 1994). By occupying previously vacant or unsaturated 526 ecological niche (Russel et al. 2017; Houghton et al. 2019), they probably don't compete with 527 528 native species. Since data is missing from locations on Kerguelen Islands that do not host nonnative invertebrates (Hullé and Vernon 2021) against which to compare the diversity and 529 abundance observed in our surveys, it is difficult to conclude on this hypothesis. 530

531

# 532 THE ABUNDANCE OF MACRO-ARTHROPODS OF DIFFERENT TROPHIC GROUPS IS533 HIGHER IN NON-NATIVE VEGETATION

534 Non-native plants also had no negative effect on macro-arthropods belonging to different trophic groups, i.e., herbivores, decomposers and predators whose diversity and abundance 535 were similar or higher in non-native patches. The aphid M. ascalonicus and the thrips A. apteris 536 much dominated among herbivores. Both species being polyphagous, they were able to feed on 537 538 native and non-native species such as A. magellanica and T. gr ruderalia (Karban and Strauss 539 1994; Hullé et al. 2003). Plant FDis had an important contribution to explaining the abundance of macro-herbivores, which benefited as expected from diversified resources (Carmona et al. 540 2011; Moretti et al. 2013). This result highlights that the traits we selected were involved in 541 herbivore-plant interactions, as also suggested by the strong positive effects of CWM<sub>SLA</sub> and 542 CWM<sub>LL</sub> on herbivore abundance. Decomposers mainly comprised omnivorous taxa, which feed 543 mostly on carcases or faeces of mammals and seabirds. Their weak trophic link with plants 544 might explain their moderate response to native and non-native vegetation, as well as to plant 545 FDis. Predator diversity and abundance were not impacted by plant FDis. Predators, i.e., three 546

spiders, were more abundant in non-native patches relative to native, perhaps partly due to differences in prey availability, which is an important factor driving spider dynamics (Pearson 2009). Indeed, these species have a very large diet consisting mainly of small insects (larvae, adults) (Ysnel and Ledoux 1988), whose abundances were higher on non-native patches. This result may contribute to explain higher abundances of native macro-arthropods in non-native patches since the native spiders, *N. antarcticus* and *M. kerguelensis*, represented ~90% of their abundance.

554

# 555 THE ABUNDANCE OF MICRO-ARTHROPODS IS LOWER IN NON-NATIVE556 VEGETATION

Non-native plants had negative effects on the abundance of both Oribatida mites and 557 Symphypleona springtails. This result was also observed at Marion Island where 558 559 Symphypleona springtails and one dominant Oribatida species had higher abundances on the native plant species A. magellanica than on the non-native A. stolonifera (Gremmen et al. 1998). 560 Several studies conducted in the sub-Antarctic islands, concluded that both mites and springtails 561 were mainly controlled by abiotic factors, in particular plant or soil moisture and temperature 562 563 (Travé 1981; McGeoch et al. 2006). Local plant community can have indirect effects on micro-564 arthropods through the effects of plant traits on local abiotic conditions, which in turn may affect micro-arthropods. For instance, such mechanisms were suggested to explain springtail 565 responses to different plant functional groups (Eisenhauer et al. 2011). In this study, lower 566 densities of springtails in the legume group were explained by higher plant biomass production, 567 which, by depleting soil water content, directly affected springtails; it also depleted nutrients in 568 the soil, which in turn negatively affected fungal growth, i.e., the amount of food available to 569 springtails. Interestingly, FDis had a strong negative effect on Symphypleona springtails and 570 no effect on Oribatida mites. These finding echoes that of Milcu et al. (2013) who found the 571

same results, and suggested that the negative effect of FD on springtail abundance was mediated 572 573 by its negative effect on root biomass. In contrast to macro-arthropods, micro-arthropods are less mobile and therefore they might not be able to reach the native patches such as macro-574 575 arthropods can do to find more resources. Further investigations on the relationship between plants, soil micro-arthropods and their main food resources, i.e., soil microbes, could allow 576 understanding the mechanisms generating the results we observed. Indeed, we may hypothesize 577 578 that the negative effects of non-native plants on Oribatida mites and Symphypleona springtails resulted at least partly from changes in the microbial networks in links to changes in plant 579 communities (Boeddinghaus et al. 2019; Karimi et al. 2019). 580

581

#### 582 CONCLUSION

Our study contributes to document the under-explored question of the interactions between 583 584 plants and arthropods, in the context of biological invasions in the sub-Antarctic islands. This is particularly true for micro-arthropods, where the drivers of community assemblages, inter-585 specific interactions, species feeding preferences and ecology are largely unknown (Houghton 586 et al. 2019). Our results point out the importance of conducting studies at different spatial scales 587 588 and repeated over time, which could allow understanding the mechanisms by which non-native 589 plants change native communities and modify the dynamics of both plant and arthropod communities. This would also make it possible to assess the long-term consequences for species 590 conservation and ecosystem functioning. Indeed, by changing abundances of macro-arthropods 591 592 belonging to different trophic groups and by depleting micro-arthropods, which are essential for nutrient cycling and primary production in terrestrial ecosystems, our findings suggest that 593 non-native plant species may alter trophic interactions and whole ecosystem functioning in sub-594 Antarctic islands. 595

#### 597 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Peter Convey and two anonymous referees for helpful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. The authors thank M. Lebouvier and G. Le Provost for useful comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. The authors thank all the contributors involved in the long-term plant and arthropod monitoring carried out within the framework of the programme 136 (IPEV). This research was supported by the 'Institut Polaire Français Paul-Emile Victor' (IPEV, programme 136), the CNRS (Zone-Atelier de Recherches sur l'Environnement Antarctique et Subantarctique).

605

#### 606 **REFERENCES**

607Badenhausser I (2021) Do non-native plants affect terrestrial arthropods in the sub-Antarctic608KerguelenIslands?

609 <u>https://data.inrae.fr/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.15454/DPILL6</u>

Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using
lme4. J Stat Softw 67:1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Boeddinghaus RS, Marhan S, Berner D, Boch S, Fischer M, Hölzel N, Kattge J, Klaus VH,

- Kleinebecker T, Oelmann Y, Prati D, Schäfer D, Schöning I, Schrumpf M, Sorkau E,
  Kandeler E, Manning P. (2019) Plant functional trait shift explain concurrent changes
  in the structure and function of grassland soil microbial communities. J Ecol
  107:2107-2210, https://doi.org/10.1111/1265.2745.12182
- 616 107:2197–2210. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13182
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2002) Multimodel inference: understanding AIC and BIC in
  model selection. Sociol Method Res 33:261–304
- Carmona D, Lajeunesse M, Johnson M (2011) Plant traits that predict resistance to herbivores.
  Funct Ecol 25:358–367. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01794.x

26/47

621	Chapuis JL, Le Roux V, Asseline J, Lefèvre L, Kerleau F (2001) Eradication of rabbits
622	(Oryctolagus cuniculus) by poisoning on three islands of the subantarctic Kerguelen
623	Archipelago. Wildl Res 28:323-331. https://doi.org/10.1071/WR00042

- 624 Chapuis JL, Frenot Y, Lebouvier M (2002) Une gamme d'îles de référence, un atout majeur
  625 pour l'évaluation de programmes de restauration dans l'archipel de Kerguelen. Rev Ecol
  626 (Terre Vie) 9:121–130
- 627 Chown SL, Convey P (2016) Antarctic Entomology. Annu Rev Entomol 61:119–137.
  628 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010715-023537
- Chown SL, Gremmem NJM, Gaston KJ (1998) Ecological biogeography of southern islands:
  species-area relationships, human impacts and conservation. Am Nat 152:562–575.
  https://doi.org/10.1086/286190
- Collins GE, Hogg ID, Convey P, Sancho LG, Cowan DA, Lyons WB, Adams BJ, Wall DH,
  Green TGA (2020) Genetic diversity of soil invertebrates corroborates timing estimates
  for past collapses of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117:22293–
  22302. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2007925117
- 636 Cornelissen JHC, Lavorel S, Garnier E, Diaz S, Buchmann N, Gurvich DE, Reich PB, ter Steege
   637 H, Morgan HD, van der Heijden MGA, Pausas JG, Poorter H (2003) A handbook of
   638 protocols for standardised and easy measurement of plant functional traits worldwide.
- 639 Aust J Bot 51:335–380. https://doi.org/10.1071/BT02124
- Coulson SJ, Hodkinson ID, Webb NR (2003) Microscale distribution pattern in high Artic soil
   microarthropod communities: the influence of plant species within the vegetation
   mosaic. Ecography 26:801–809. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0906-7590.2003.03646.x

- 643 Crafford JE, Scholtz CH (1986) Impact of *Embryonopsis halticella* Eaton larvae (Lepidoptera:
  644 Yponomeutidae) feeding in Marion Island tussock grassland. Polar Biol 6:191–196
- 645 Deharveng L, Travé J (1981) Ecologie des Oribates (Acariens) et des Collemboles (Insectes)
  646 de Kerguelen. CNFRA 48:109–140
- Deraison H, Badenhausser I, Loeuille N, Scherber C, Gross N (2015) Functional trait diversity
  across trophic levels determines herbivore impact on plant community biomass. Ecol
  Lett 18:1346–1355. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele12529
- Díaz S, Kattge J, Cornelissen JH, Wright IJ, Lavorel S, Dray S, Reu B, Kleyer M, Wirth C,
- 651 Prentice IC, Garnier E, Bönisch G, Westoby M, Poorter H, Reich PB, Moles AT, Dickie
- J, Gillison AN, Zanne AE, Chave J, Wright SJ, Sheremet'ev SN, Jactel H, Baraloto C,
- 653 Cerabolini B, Pierce S, Shipley B, Kirkup D, Casanoves F, Joswig JS, Günther A,

Falczuk V, Rüger N, Mahecha MD, Gorné LD. (2016) The global spectrum of plant

form and function. Nature. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16489

- Dunn AM, Hatcher MJ (2015) Parasites and biological invasions: parallels, interactions, and
   control. Trends Parasitol. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2014.12.003
- Eisenhauer N, Sabais ACW, Scheu S (2011) Collembola species composition and diversity
  effects on ecosystem functioning vary with plant functional group identity. Soil Biol
  Biochem 43:1697–1704. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.04.015
- Fourcy D, Chapuis JL, Lebouvier M, Robin M (2018) Combining satellite datasets to track
  changes in the vegetation of Kerguelen. POLAR2018 Abstract proceedings Open
  Science Conference, 19-23 June 2018 Davos, Switzerland. Polar2018 SCAR/IASC
  Open Science Conference, Davos (CH).

- https://www.polar2018.org/uploads/2/4/6/0/24605948/polar2018\_abstractproceedings.
  pdf
- Fox J, Weisberg S (2019) An {R} Companion to Applied Regression, Third Edition. Thousand
  Oaks CA: Sage. URL: https://socialsciences.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion/
- Frenot Y, Gloaguen JC, Massé L, Lebouvier M (2001) Human activities, ecoystem disturbance
  and plant invasions in subantarctic Crozet, Kerguelen and Amsterdam Islands. Biol
  Conserv 101:33–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00052-0
- 672 Frenot Y, Chown SL, Whinam J, Selkirk PM, Convey P, Skotnicki M, Bergstrom DM (2005)
- Biological invasions in the Antarctic: extent, impacts and implications. Biol Rev 80:45–
  72. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1464793104006542
- 675 Gardarin A, Plantegenest M, Bischoff A, Valantin-Morison M (2018) Understanding plantarthropod interactions in multitrophic communities to improve conservation biological 676 metrics. J control: useful traits Pest Sci 91:943-955. 677 and https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-0958-0 678
- Greenslade P (2002) Assessing the risk of exotic Collembola invading subantarctic islands:
  prioritising quarantine management. Pedobiologia 46:338–344.
  https://doi.org/10.1078/0031-4056-00141
- Greenslade P, Convey P (2012) Exotic Collembola on subantarctic islands: pathways, origins
  and biology. Biol Invasions 14:405–417. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-0086-8
- Gremmen NJM, Chown SL, Marshall DJ (1998) Impact of the introduced grass *Agrostis stolonifera* on vegetation and soil fauna communities at Marion Island, sub-Antarctic.
   Biol Conserv 85:223–231

687 Gressit JL (1970) Subantarctic entomology and biogeography. Pac Insects Monogr 23:295–374

- Gross N, Börger L, Duncan RP, Hulme PE (2013) Functional differences between alien and
  native species: do biotic interactions determine the functional structure of highly
  invaded grasslands? Funct Ecol 27:1262–1271. https://doi.org/10.1111/13652435.12120
- Houghton M, Terauds A, Merritt D, Driessen M, Shaw J (2019) The impacts of non-native
  species on the invertebrates of Southern ocean islands. J Insect Conserv 23:435–452.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-019-00147-9
- Hullé M, Vernon P (2021) The terrestrial macro-invertebrates of the sub-Antarctic Îles
  Kerguelen and Île de la Possession. Iste-Wiley, London
- Hullé M, Pannetier D, Simon JC, Vernon P, Frenot Y (2003) Aphids of sub-Antarctic Îles
  Crozet and Kerguelen: species diversity, host range and spatial distribution. Antarct Sci.
  https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102003001184
- Hullé M, Buchard C, Georges R, Vernon P (2018) Guide d'identification des invertébrés des
  Îles sub-Antarctiques Kerguelen et Crozet. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Université Rennes 1.

702 https://doi.org/10.15454/1.5375302767618145E12

- Joern A, Laws AN (2013) Ecological mechanisms underlying arthropod species diversity in
  grasslands. Annu Rev Entomol 58:19–36. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento120811-153540
- Karban R, Strauss SY (1994) Colonization of new host-plant individuals by locally adapted
  thrips. Ecography 17:82–87. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.1994.tb00079.x

708	Karimi B, Dequiedt S, Terrat S, Jolivet C, Arrouays D, Wincker P, Cruad C, Bispo A,
709	Chemidlin Prévost-Bouré N, Ranjard L (2019) Biogeography of Soil Bacterial
710	Networks along a Gradient of Cropping Intensity. Sci Rep 9:3812.
711	https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-40422-y

- Kassambara A, Mundt F (2020) factoextra: Extract and Visualize the Results of Multivariate
   Data Analyses. R package version 1.0.7.
   http://www.sthda.com/english/rpkgs/factoextra
- Laliberté E, Legendre P (2010) A distance-based framework for measuring functional diversity
  from multiple traits. Ecology 91:299-305. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-2244.1
- Laliberté E, Legendre P, Shipley B (2014) FD: measuring functional diversity from multiple
  traits, and other tools for functional ecology. R package version 1.0-12
- Lavorel S (2013) A novel framework for linking functional diversity of plants with other trophic
  levels for the quantification of ecosystem services. J Veg Sci 24:942–948.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12083
- Le S, Josse J, Husson F (2008) FactoMineR: An R Package for Multivariate Analysis. J Stat
  Softw 25:1–18. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v025.i01

Lebouvier M, Laparie M, Hullé M, Marais A, Cozic Y, Lalouette L, Vernon P, Candresse T, 724 Frenot Y, Renault D (2011) The significance of the sub-Antarctic Kerguelen Islands for 725 the assessment of the vulnerability of native communities to climate change, alien insect 726 invasion Invasions and plant viruses. Biol 13:1195-1208. 727 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-9946-5 728

729	Lebouvier M, Lambret P, Garnier A, Convey P, Frenot Y, Vernon P, Renault D (2020) Spotlight
730	on the invasion of a carabid beetle on an oceanic island over a 105-year period. Sci Rep
731	10:17103. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72754-5

- Lenth RV (2020) emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R package
   version 1.5.3. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans
- Le Provost G, Gross N, Börger L, Deraison H, Roncoroni M, Badenhausser I (2017) Traitmatching and mass effect determine the functional response of herbivore community
  to land use intensification. Funct Ecol 31:1600–1611. https://doi.org/10.1111/13652435.12849
- McGeoch MA, Le Roux PC, Hugo EA, Chown SL (2006) Species and community responses
  to short-term climate manipulation: Microarthropods in the sub-Antarctic. Austral Ecol
  31:719–731. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2006.01614.x
- McGeoch MA, Shaw JD, Terauds A, Lee JE, Chown SL (2015) Monitoring biological invasion
  across the broader Antarctic: A baseline and indicator framework. Glob Environ Change
  32:108–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.12.012
- Milcu A, Allan E, Roscher C, Jenkins T, Meyer ST, Flynn D, Bessler H, Buscot F, Engels C,
  Gubsch M, Konig S, Lipowsky A, Loranger J, Renker C, Scherber C, Schmid B,
  Thebault E, Wubet T, Weisser WW, Scheu S, Eisenhauer N (2013) Functionally and
  phylogenetically diverse plant communities key to soil biota. Ecology 94:1878–1885.
  https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1936.1
- Moretti M, de Bello F, Ibanez S, Fontana S, Pezzatti G, Dziock F, Rixen C, Lavorel S (2013)
  Linking traits between plants and invertebrate herbivores to track functional effects of
  land-use changes. J Veg Sci 24:949–962. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12022

752	Mouillot D, Graham NAJ, Villéger S, Mason NWH, Bellwood DR (2013) A functional
753	approach reveals community responses to disturbance. Trends Ecol Evol 28:167-177.
754	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.10.004

755 Ordonez A, Wright IJ, Olff H (2010) Functional differences between native and alien species:

a global-scale comparison. Funct Ecol 24:1353–1361. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652435.2010.01739.x

- Pearson DE (2009) Invasive plant architecture alters trophic interactions by changing predator
  abundance and behaviour. Oecologia 159:549–558. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442008-1241-5
- Pertierra LR, Aragon P, Shaw JD (2017) Global thermal niche models of two European grasses
  shows high invasion risks in Antarctica. Glob Chang Biol 23:2863–2873.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13596
- R Development Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
  Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.Rproject.org/
- Russel JC, Meyer JY, Holmes ND, Pagad S (2017) Invasive alien species on islands: impacts,
   distribution, interactions and management. Environ Conserv 44:359–370.
   https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892917000297
- Schaffers AP, Raemakers IP, Sykora KV, Ter Braak CJF (2008) Arthropod assemblages are
  best predicted by plant species composition. Ecology 89:782–794.
  https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0361.1
- Schooley RL, Wiens JA (2003) Finding habitat patches and directional connectivity. Oikos
  102:559–570. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.12490.x

- Shaw JD, Spear D, Greve M, Chown SL (2010) Taxonomic homogenization and differentiation
  across Southern Ocean Islands differ among insects and vascular plants. J Biogeogr
  37:217–228. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02204.x
- Southwood TRE (1978) Ecological methods, with particular reference to the study of insect
  populations. Chapman and Hall, London
- Spafford RD, Lortie CJ, Butterfield BJ (2013) A systematic review of arthropod community
  diversity in association with invasive plants. Neobiota 16:81–102.
  https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.16.4190
- 783 Travé J (1981) Structure des peuplements de microarthropodes à Kerguelen. Essai de synthèse.

784 Colloque sur les écosystèmes terrestres subantarctiques, CNFRA 51:73–80

- Tréhen P, Vernon P, Delettre Y, Frenot Y (1986) Organisation et dynamique des peuplements
  diptérologiques à Kerguelen. Mise en évidence de modifications liées à l'insularité.
  CNFRA 58:241–253
- Vernon P, Vannier G, Tréhen P (1998) A comparative approach to the entomological diversity
  of polar regions. Acta Oecol 19:303–308. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1146609X(98)80034-9
- Voisin JF, Chapelin-Viscardi JD, Ponel P, Rapp M (2017) Les Coléoptères de la province de
  Kerguelen (îles subantarctiques de l'océan Indien). Faune de France 99, Fédération
  Française des Sociétés de Sciences Naturelles, Paris
- Ysnel F, Ledoux JC (1988) Données sur le cycle biologique de quelques araignées des terres
  australes françaises (Kerguelen, Crozet). Bulletin de la Société Scientifique de Bretagne
  59:209–221

Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS (2010) A protocol for data exploration to avoid common
statistical problems. Methods Ecol Evol 1:3–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041210X.2009.00001.x

Table 1. Effect of island, type of plant patch (native or non-native) and their two-way interaction on the plant community functional diversity (*FDis*) and the community-weighted means of plant traits (*CWM*<sub>TRAIT</sub>). *CWM*<sub>PH</sub> = plant height, *CWM*<sub>PW</sub> = plant width, *CWM*<sub>LL</sub> = leaf length, *CWM*<sub>LA</sub> = leaf area, *CWM*<sub>LT</sub> = leaf thickness, *CWM*<sub>SLA</sub> = specific leaf area, *CWM*<sub>LDMC</sub> = leaf dry matter content. Values and significance of Type II Wald Chi-square tests,  $\chi^2$ , realized on fixed effects tested in LMM models (Island:  $\chi^2_2$  Type of patch:  $\chi^2_1$ , Island × Type of patch:  $\chi^2_2$ ). Significant fixed effects are in bold. We also show predicted means ± standard errors ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ) of *FDis* and *CWM*<sub>TRAIT</sub>'s for each island × type of patch combination.

 	Fixed factor			Île Au	stralia	Île aux	cochons	Île Mayes	
Variable		$\chi^2$	$p(>\chi^2)$	Native	Non-native	Native	Non-native	Native	Non-native
				patch	patch	patch	patch	patch	patch
FDis	Island	9.16	0.0103						
	Type of patch	1.47	0.2258	$0.081\pm0.023$	$0.081\pm0.023$	$0.158\pm0.023$	$0.158\pm0.023$	$0.155\pm0.023$	$0.155\pm0.023$
	Island × Type of patch	1.28	0.5255						
CWM <sub>PH</sub>	Island	235.34	< 0.0001						
(cm)	Type of patch	117.88	< 0.0001	$16.8\pm0.9$	$23.9\pm0.9$	$21.5\pm0.9$	$25.2\pm0.9$	$25.3\pm0.9$	$35.5\pm0.9$
	Island × Type of patch	34.62	< 0.0001						
	Island	6.36	0.0416						
(cm)	Type of patch	10.18	0.0014	$15.4\pm1.8$	$20.7\pm1.8$	$11.7\pm1.8$	$17.1\pm1.8$	$16.8\pm1.8$	$22.2\pm1.8$
	Island × Type of patch	0.58	0.7479						

CWM <sub>LL</sub>	Island	12.30	0.0021						
(cm)	Type of patch	92.30	< 0.0001	$7.3\pm1.0$	$16.0\pm1.6$	$8.9 \pm 1.2$	$18.4\pm1.7$	$12.3\pm1.4$	$23.1\pm1.9$
	Island × Type of patch	1.83	0.3997						
CWMLA	Island	6.45	0.0396						
$(cm^2)$	Type of patch	9.78	0.0017	$16.8\pm6.4$	$31.9\pm8.8$	$12.7\pm5.6$	$26.1\pm8.0$	$34.0\pm9.1$	$54.3 \pm 11.5$
	Island × Type of patch	1.33	0.5131						
CWM <sub>LT</sub>	Island	14.36	0.0008						
(mm)	Type of patch	224.60	<0.0001	$0.69\pm0.03$	$0.38\pm0.02$	$0.60\pm0.03$	$0.33\pm0.02$	$0.74\pm0.04$	$0.41\pm0.02$
	Island × Type of patch	5.5	0.0638						
CWM <sub>SLA</sub>	Island	2.70	0.2589						
$(cm^2g^{-1})$	Type of patch	82.4	<0.0001	$139.0\pm4.5$	$192.0\pm4.5$	$139.0\pm4.5$	$192.0\pm4.5$	$139.0\pm4.5$	$192.0\pm4.5$
	Island × Type of patch	0.18	0.9142						
$CWM_{LDMC}$	Island	12.02	0.0024						
	Type of patch	7.04	0.0079	$0.255\pm0.015$	$0.224\pm0.015$	$0.287\pm0.015$	$0.256\pm0.015$	$0.225\pm0.015$	$0.194\pm0.015$
	Island × Type of patch	1.40	0.4945						

**Table 2.** Effect of island, type of patch (native or non-native), their two-way interaction and plant community *FDis* on macro-arthropod taxa richness and abundance, and micro-arthropod abundance. Taxa richness was calculated pooling pitfall traps and yellow pans. Taxa abundance was calculated using pitfall trap data (macro-arthropods: native species, predators; micro-arthropods) or yellow pan data (macro-arthropods: herbivores, decomposers). Values and significance of Type II Wald Chi-square tests,  $\chi^2$ , realized on all fixed effects tested in GLMM (native macro-arthropod abundance, Symphypleona abundance) or LMM (all other models). Significant fixed effects in the simplified models are in bold.

	Island		Type of patch		Island × Type of patch		FDis	
Arthropod taxa and metrics –	$\chi^2_2$	$p(>\chi_2^2)$	$\chi_1^2$	$p(>\chi_1^2)$	$\chi^2_2$	$p(>\chi_2^2)$	$\chi_1^2$	$p(>\chi_1^2)$
Macro-arthropod richness								
Native species	11.58	0.0030	0.36	0.5456	5.63	0.0597	5.63	0.0175
Non-native taxa	17.76	0.0001	1.03	0.3103	4.45	0.1081	1.29	0.2560
All taxa	24.99	<0.0001	5.24	0.0220	16.52	0.0003	8.91	0.0028
Decomposers	10.98	0.0041	0.61	0.4337	9.60	0.0082	3.01	0.0824
Predators	4.11	0.1281	0.52	0.4702	8.52	0.0141	1.07	0.3000
Macro-arthropod abundance								
Native species	19.38	<0.0001	29.98	<0.0001	5.17	0.0751	2.53	0.1113
Herbivores	0.65	0.7206	25.55	<0.0001	11.93	0.0025	13.03	0.0003
Decomposers	12.28	0.0021	1.29	0.2549	7.32	0.0256	0.11	0.7387
Predators	21.17	<0.0001	11.08	0.0009	6.78	0.0337	1.51	0.2184
Micro-arthropod abundance								
Oribatida mites	4.09	0.1294	5.57	0.0183	0.75	0.6870	0.039	0.8423

Symphypleona springtails	12.58	0.0019	79.63	<0.0001	2.83	0.2423	41.35	<0.0001
--------------------------	-------	--------	-------	---------	------	--------	-------	---------

#### 815 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 Map of Kerguelen Islands and location of Kerguelen Islands in the Southern IndianOcean

818

Fig. 2 Vegetation maps, based on Pléiades 1A PMS satellite image acquired in 2016, in 1 km<sup>2</sup> 819 areas around the sampled patches, and location of the sampled patches (centroids) within native 820 821 and non-native areas. Native patches are in white and non-native patches in black and white. Vegetation was classified in 10 classes: Steppe dominated by non-native Poaceæ; Tall grassland 822 of non-native Poaceæ; Mixed herbfield of native and non-native species; Tall herbfield of 823 Acæna magellanica (ACAMA); Tundra of Azorella selago (AZOSE), A. magellanica and 824 Festuca contracta (FESCO); Native herbfield of A. selago, A. magellanica and Pringlea 825 antiscorbutica (PRIAN); Open cushion-carpet of A. selago; Mire; Steppe dominated by native 826 827 Poaceæ; Fellfield. See Online Resource 1 for a detailed description of the classes. Maps highlight spatial patterns of vegetation, contrasting vegetation dominated by native plant 828 species (in green) and vegetation dominated by non-native plants species (in yellow and pink) 829 830

Fig. 3 Mean plant species cover over the five quadrats per patch, in native and non-native 831 patches in the islands studied: Île Australia (a) native patches (d) non-native patches, Île aux 832 Cochons (b) native patches (e) non-native patches, *Île Mayes* (c) native patches (f) non-native 833 834 patches). Native plant species: Acaena magellanica (ACAMA), Azorella selago (AZOSE), Deschampsia antarctica (DESAN), Festuca contracta (FESCO), Galium antarcticum 835 (GALAN), Ranunculus biternatus (RANBI), Pringlea antiscorbutica (PRIAN); Non-native 836 plant species: Cerastium fontanum (CERFO), Cerastium glomeratum (CERGL), Poa annua 837 (POAAN), Poa pratensis (POAPR), Sagina procumbens (SAGPR), Stellaria alsine (STEAL), 838

839 Stellaria media (STEME), Taraxacum erythrospermum (TARER), Taraxacum gr. ruderalia
840 (TAROF), Vulpia bromoides (VULBR)

841

Fig. 4 Model predictions  $\pm$  SE of the effect of the type of patch (native in green, non-native in 842 red) on macro-arthropod abundance: (a) native species (pitfall traps) (see Table 2 for GLMM 843 results), (b) herbivores (yellow pan counts), (c) decomposers (yellow pan counts) and (d) 844 predators (pitfall trap counts) (see Table 2 for LMM results). Predictions are on a log scale. 845 846 Shown are the results of the comparison between native and non-native patches (additive effect: p is the p-value of Chi-square test,  $\chi^2$  in LMM or GLMM; interactive effect with the island: p 847 is the *p*-value for comparing the estimates of native vs non-native patches within each island 848 with Tukey's method and paired contrasts) 849

850

Fig. 5 Model predictions  $\pm$  *SE* of the effect of the type of patch (native in green, non-native in red) on micro-arthropod abundance: (a) Oribatida mites (pitfall trap) (see Table 2 for LMM results), and (b) Symphypleona springtails (pitfall traps) (see Table 2 for GLMM results). Predictions are on a log scale. Shown are the results of the comparison between native and nonnative patches (additive effect: *p* is the *p*-value of Chi-square test,  $\chi^2$  in LMM or GLMM)



858 Fig. 1



861 Fig. 2



864 Fig. 3



867 Fig. 4



871 Fig. 5

### 873 Supplementary Information

- Additional Supporting information may be found in the online version of this article:
- 875 Online resource 1. Description of vegetation categories used to map vegetation on the three
- 876 islands studied
- 877 **Online resource 2.** Physical description of the surveyed vegetation patches
- 878 Online resource 3. PCA results conducted on CWM<sub>TRAIT</sub>s (community-weighted means of
- 879 plant trait) calculated on native and non-native vegetation patches. PH = plant height, PW=plant
- width, LL=leaf length, LA=leaf area, LDMC=leaf dry matter content, SLA=specific leaf area,
- 881 LT=leaf thickness
- 882 Online resource 4. Results and model outputs of the comparison between patch model and
   883 single *CWM*<sub>TRAIT</sub> models
- 884 **Online resource 5.** Cumulated counts of three macro-arthropod trophic groups: herbivores,
- decomposers and predators, collected in pitfall traps and in yellow pans, on the three islands
- studied (*Île Australia* in grey, *Île aux Cochons* on yellow, *Île Mayes* in blue) in non-native (in
- red) and native patches (in green)