



## Supplement of

## **FESDIA** (v1.0): exploring temporal variations of sediment biogeochemistry under the influence of flood events using numerical modelling

Stanley I. Nmor et al.

Correspondence to: Stanley I. Nmor (stanley.nmor@lsce.ipsl.fr)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

## SUPPLEMENTARY TEXT

Model	Model				
Parameters	Notation	Values	units	description	References
<i>flux</i> <sub>org</sub>	CFlux	150	$mmol m^{-2}d^{-2}$	total organic C	Pastor et al 2011
				deposition	
pfast	pFast	0.5	-	part FDET in carbon flux	Pastor et al 2011
flux <sub>Fe003</sub>	FeOH3flux	0.01	$mmol m^{-2}d^{-1}$	deposition rate of FeOH3	Assumed
rFast	rFast	0.1	$d^{-1}$	decay rate FDET	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
rSlow	rSlow	0.0031	$d^{-1}$	decay rate SDET	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
NCrFdet	NCrFdet	0.14	molN/molC	NC ratio FDET	Pastor et al., 2011
NCrSdet	NCrSdet	0.1	molN/molC	NC ratio SDET	Pastor et al., 2011
BCupLiq	BCupLiq	2	-	upper boundary liq. 1:flux, 2:conc, 3:0-grad	
BCdownLiq	BCdownLiq	3	-	lower boundary liq. 1:flux, 2:conc, 3:0-grad	
$O_{2_{bw}}$	O2bw	197	$mmol \ m^{-3}$	upper boundary O2	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
NO <sub>3bw</sub>	NO3bw	0.0	$mmol \ m^{-3}$	upper boundary NO3	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
NH <sub>3bw</sub>	NH3bw	0.0	$mmol \ m^{-3}$	upper boundary NH3	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
$CH_{4_{bw}}$	CH4bw	0.0	$mmol \ m^{-3}$	upper boundary CH4	Rasmann et al., 2016
DIC	DICbw	2360	$mmol \ m^{-3}$	upper boundary DIC	Pastor et al., 2018
$Fe^{2+}_{bw}$	Febw	0.0	$mmol m^{-3}$	upper boundary Fe2	Pastor et al., 2018
$H_2 S_{bw}$	H2Sbw	0.0	$mmol m^{-3}$	upper boundary H2S	Pastor et al., 2018
$SO_{4_{bw}}$	SO4bw	30246	$mmol m^{-3}$	upper boundary SO4	Pastor et al., 2018
W	W	0.027	$cm d^{-1}$	advection rate	Pastor et al., 2011
$D_0$	biot	0.01	$cm^2 d^{-1}$	bioturbation coefficient	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
$Z_L$	biotdepth	5	ст	depth of mixed layer	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
biot <sub>att</sub>	biotatt	1.0	$cm^{-1}$	attenuation coeff below	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
				biot dep th	
Irr <sub>0</sub>	irr	0.2	$d^{-1}$	bio-irrigation rate	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
Z <sub>irr</sub>	irrdep th	7	ст	depth of irrigated layer	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
<i>Irr<sub>att</sub></i>	irratt	1.0	ст	attenuation coeff below	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
				irrdep th	

Table S1: Full Parameters used in the model

Model	Model				
Parameters	Notation	Values	units	description	References
temp	temperature	16	°C	temperature	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
sal	salinity	38	psu	salinity	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
TOC <sub>ref</sub>	TOC0	1.1	%	refractory Carbon conc	Pastor et al., 2018
ks <sub>Nitri</sub>	ksO2nitri	10	mmol $O_2 m^{-3}$	half-sat O2 in nitrification	Soetaert et al., 1996
ks <sub>o2</sub>	ksO2oxic	1.0	mmol $O_2 m^{-3}$	half-sat O2 in oxic mineralisation	Soetaert et al., 1996
ks <sub>NO3</sub>	ksNO3denit	10	mmol NO <sub>3</sub> m <sup>·</sup>	half-sat NO3 in denitrification	Soetaert et al., 1996
$k_{ino_2den}$	kinO2denit	1.0	$mmol O_2 m^{-3}$	half-sat O2 inhib denitrification	Soetaert et al., 1996
k <sub>inNO3</sub> ano	kinNO3anox	10	mmol NO <sub>3</sub> m <sup>·</sup>	half-sat NO3 inhib anoxic degr	Soetaert et al., 1996
k <sub>inO2</sub> ano	kinO2anox	1.0	mmol $O_2 m^{-3}$	half-sat O2 inhib anoxic min	Soetaert et al., 1996
ks <sub>FeOH3</sub>	ksFeOH3	12500	mmol FeOH <sub>3</sub>	half-sat FeOH3 conc in	Wang and Van
				iron reduction	Cappellen, 1996
ks <sub>inFeOH3</sub> ano	kinFeOH3	12500	mmol FeOH <sub>3</sub>	half-sat FeOH3 inhibition S reduction	Wang and Van Cappellen, 1996
$ks_{SO_4}$	ksSO4BSR	800	$mmol \ S \ m^{-3}$	half-sat SO4 conc in sulphate reduction	Wang and Van Cappellen, 1996
k <sub>inSO4</sub> ano	kinSO4Met	1000	$mmol \ S \ m^{-3}$	half-sat SO4 inhibition methanogenesis	Wang and Van Cappellen, 1996
R <sub>FeOH<sub>3</sub></sub>	rFeox	0.3	$(mmol \ m^{-3})^{-1}$	Max rate Fe oxidation	Berg et al. 2003
$R_{H_2S}$	rH2Sox	$5 \times 10^{-5}$	$(mmol \ m^{-3})^{-1}$	Max rate H2S oxidation	Assumed
R <sub>FeSprod</sub>	rFeS	$1 \times 10^{-3}$	(mmol m <sup>-3</sup> ) <sup>-</sup>	maximum rate FeS production	Assumed
R <sub>CH4</sub>	rCH4ox	27	(mmol m <sup>-3</sup> ) <sup>-</sup>	Max rate CH4 oxidation with O2	Berg et al. 2003
R <sub>AOM</sub>	rAOM	$3 \times 10^{-5}$	( <i>mmol m</i> <sup>-3</sup> ) <sup>-</sup>	Max rate anaerobic oxidation Methane	Assumed
Øo	p or0	0.8	-	surface porosity	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
$\phi_{\infty}$	pordeep	0.6	-	deep porosity	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021

Model	Model				
Parameters	Notation	Values	units	description	References
δ	porcoeff	2	ст	porosity decay	Ait Ballagh et al., 2021
				coefficient	
F	formationtype	1.0	-	formation factor,	Pastor et al., 2011
				1=sand,2=fine	
				sand,3=general	
k <sub>ads</sub>	Kads	1.3	-	Adsoption coefficient	Soetaert et al., 1996a

Table S2: Estimate of relaxation timescale (days) with uncertainty in estimate derived from non-parametric bootstrapping

	O <sub>2</sub>	<b>SO</b> 4 <sup>2-</sup>	DIC
EM1 Scenario			
	5 ± 3	$117 \pm 6$	$142 \pm 23$
EM2 Scenario			
	$2\pm 2$	$91\pm 6$	$103 \pm 9$

## A2 Model Grid

As explained in the main text, the event routine was modified the model grid at the specific time during its runtime. A certain depth of deposition  $Z_{pert}$  result to  $N_{pert}$  layer added to the model grid N. Afterward, regridding of this layer together with the cell content is performed via interpolation of the grid  $N + N_{pert}$  into the model grid. Figure A1 showed the difference in the spacing of the grid  $\Delta z$  and number of layer before and deposition.



Figure S1: Grid layer in the event routine.